CS2040S Cheatsheet

AY21/22 sem 2

Adapted from github.com/jovyntls

Review

An **invariant** can be of correctness or performance. In the context of binary search, the following invariants are true.

- Correctness: low < val < high
- Performance: $high low \leq \frac{n}{2^r}$

Important properties

Let T(n) = O(f(n)) and S(n) = O(g(n))

- multiplication: T(n) * S(n) = O(f(n) * g(n))
- composition: $f_1 \circ f_2 = O(g_1 \circ g_2)$ only if both increasing
- if/else statements: $cost = max(c1, c2) \le c1 + c2$
- max: $\max(f(n), g(n)) < f(n) + g(n)$
- $\Theta(f(n))$ time complexity $\Rightarrow O(f(n))$ space complexity

Notable examples

- $O(\sqrt{n} \log n) = O(n), \quad O(2^{2n}) \neq O(2^n)$
- $O(\log(n!)) = O(n \log n)$
- Sterling's Approximation, $n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(rac{n}{r}
 ight)^n$
- · Insert something

Master theorem

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= aT(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n) \quad a \geq 0, b > 1 \\ &= \begin{cases} \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & \text{if } f(n) < n^{\log_b a} \text{ polynomially} \\ \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n) & \text{if } f(n) = n^{\log_b a} \\ \Theta(f(n)) & \text{if } f(n) > n^{\log_b a} \text{ polynomially} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Quicksort

- stable quicksort: $O(\log n)$ space (due to recursion stack)
- worst case $O(n^2)$: pivot first/last/middle element
- worst case $O(n \log n)$: median/random element/fraction
- · choose at random: runtime is a random variable

Trees

AVL Trees

- height-balanced (maintained with rotations)
- \iff |v.left.height v.right.height| < 1
- insertion max 2 rotations; deletion recurse all the way up;

Rebalancing

[case 1] Left heavy ⇒ right-rotate

[case 2] Right heavy ⇒ left-rotate

[case 3] Left, right-heavy ⇒ left-rotate(v.left), right-rotate(v) [case 4] Right, left-heavy \Rightarrow right-rotate(v.right), left-rotate(v)

Important notes

- n! ways of insertion; $\sim 4^n$ shapes of a tree;
- $h < 2 \log n \implies n > 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$
- min height to trigger > 1 rotations is 3 (zero-indexed)
- rotations reduce height, deletion reduce height. Does not "undo" the change in height.

Binary Search Trees (BST)

- balanced: $O(h) = O(\log n)$ (depends on insertion order) $-h > \log n - 1 \implies n < 2^{h+1}$ (Geometric sum)
- for a full-binary tree of size $n, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t. $n = 2^k 1$
- height, $h(v) = \max(h(v.left), h(v.right))$
- delete O(h)
- · no children remove the node
- 1 child remove the node, connect parent to child
- · 2 children delete successor; replace node w successor
- searchMin/Max O(h) recurse into left/right subtree • successor - O(h)
- if node has a right subtree: searchMin(v.right)
- · else: traverse upwards and return the first parent that contains the key in its left subtree
- merkle trees
- binary tree nodes augmented with a hash of their children
- · same root value = identical tree
- useful for comparing blocks of data

Trie

- search, insert O(L) (for string of length L)
- space: O(size of text · overhead)
- does not depend on the number of strings nor total size of text

Interval Trees

- search(key) $\Rightarrow O(\log n)$
- · if value is in root interval, return
- if value > max(left subtree), recurse right
- else recurse left (go left only when can't go right)
- all-overlaps $\Rightarrow O(k \log n)$ for k overlapping intervals

Orthogonal Range Searching

- invariant: the search interval for a left traversal at node v includes the maximum node sub-rooted at that node.
- binary tree; leaves store points, internal nodes store max value in left subtree
- buildTree(points[]) $\Rightarrow O(n \log n)$. Similar to iterative
- query(low, hight) $\Rightarrow O(k + \log n)$ for k points
- v=findSplit() $\Rightarrow O(\log n)$ find node b/w low & high
- leftTraversal(v) $\Rightarrow O(k)$ either output all the right subtree and recurse left, or recurse right
- rightTraversal(v) symmetric
- insert(key), insert(key) $\Rightarrow O(\log n)$

kd-Tree

- stores geometric data (points in an (x, y) plane)
- alternates splitting (partitioning) via x and y coordinates
- construct(points[])
- $\Rightarrow O(n \log n)$
- search(point) $\Rightarrow O(h)$
- searchMin() $\Rightarrow O(\sqrt{n})$
- $\Rightarrow T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{4}) + O(1)$

(a, b)-trees

- rules
- 1. (a,b)-child policy where $2 \le a \le (b+1)/2$

	# keys		# children	
node type	min	max	min	max
root	1	b-1	2	b
internal	a-1	b-1	a	b
leaf	a-1	b-1	0	0

- 2. an internal node has 1 more child than its number of keys 3. all leaf nodes must be at the same depth from the root
- terminology (for a node z)
- ullet key range range of keys covered in subtree rooted at z
- keylist list of keys within z; treelist list of z's children
- max height = $O(\log_a n) + 1$; min height = $O(\log_b n)$
- search(key) $\Rightarrow O(\log n)$
- = $O(\log_2 b \cdot \log_a n)$ for binary search at each node
- insert(key) $\Rightarrow O(\log n)$
- split() a node with too many children.
- O(B)/O(1) amortized. use median to split the keylist into 2
- move median key to parent; re-connect remaining nodes
- if the parent is now unbalanced, recurse upwards; if the root is reached, median key becomes the new root
- delete(key) $\Rightarrow O(\log n)$
- if the node becomes empty, merge(y, z) join it with its left sibling & replace it with their parent
- if the combined nodes exceed max size: share(v, z) = merge(y, z) then split()
- always look for the offending node and separating key

B-Tree (aka (B, 2B)-trees)

 possible augmentation: use a linkedList to connect between each level

Hash Tables

disadvantage: no successor/predecessor operation

Let the m be the table size: let n be the number of items: let cost(h) be the cost of the hash function

- $load(hash table), \alpha = \frac{n}{}$
- = average & expected number of items per bucket
- designing hashing techniques
- division method: $h(k) = k \mod m$ (m is prime) • don't choose $m=2^x$
- if k and m have common divisor d, only $\frac{1}{d}$ of the table will
- · multiplication method -

 $h(k) = (Ak) \bmod 2^w \gg (w-r)$ for odd constant A and $m=2^r$ and w = size of a key in bits

- simple uniform hashing assumption
- (1) every key has an equal probability of being mapped to every bucket; (2) keys are mapped independently
- uniform hashing assumption
- · every key is equally likely to be mapped to every permutation, independent of every other key.
- · NOT fulfilled by linear probing

properties of a good hash function

- 1. able to enumerate all possible buckets $h: U \to \{1..m\}$
 - for every bucket j, $\exists i$ such that h(key, i) = j
- 2. simple uniform hashing assumption

hashCode

rules for the hashCode() method

- 1. always returns the same value, if object hasn't changed
- 2. if two objects are equal, they return the same hashCode

rules for the equals method

- reflexive, symmetric, transitive for $xRy \iff x.equals(y)$
- · consistent always returns the same answer
- null is null x.equals(null) => false

Chaining

- insert(key, value) $O(1 + cost(h)) \Rightarrow O(1)$
- for n items: expected maximum cost = $O(\log n)$
- = $\Theta(\frac{\log n}{\log(\log(n))})$
- search(key)
- worst case: $O(n + cost(h)) \Rightarrow O(n)$
- expected case: $O(\frac{n}{m} + cost(h)) \Rightarrow O(1)$
- total space: O(m+m)

Open Addressing - linear probing

- redefined hash function: $h(k, i) = h(k, 1) + i \mod m$
- delete(key): use a tombstone value DON'T set to null
- **performance** (assume $\alpha < 1$ and uniform hashing)
- if the table is $\frac{1}{4}$ full, there will be clusters of size $\Theta(\log n)$
- expected cost of an operation, $E[\#probes] \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$

Double Hashing

for 2 functions
$$f, g$$
, define $h(k, i) = f(k) + i \cdot g(k) \mod m$

- if q(k) is relatively prime to m, then h(k,i) hits all buckets
- e.g. for $q(k) = n^k$, n and m should be coprime.
- to terminate, $\alpha < 0.5$ and m must be prime

table size

assume chaining & simple uniform hashing growing the table: $O(m_1 \perp m_2 \perp n)$

growing the table. $O(m_1 + m_2 + n)$					
table growth	resize	insert n items			
increment by 1	O(n)	$O(n^2)$			
double	O(n)	O(n), average $O(1)$			
square	$O(n^2)$	O(n)			

Probability Theory

- ullet if an event occurs with probability p, the expected number of iterations needed for this event to occur is $\frac{1}{2}$.
- for random variables: expectation is always = probability
- linearity of expectation: E[A+B]=E[A]+E[B]

Uniformly Random Permutation

- for an array of n items, every of the n! possible permutations are producible with probability of exactly $\frac{1}{2}$ · the number of outcomes should distribute over each
- permutation uniformly. (i.e. $\frac{\text{\# of outcomes}}{\text{\# of permutations}} \in \mathbb{N}$)
- the number of unique choices • probability of an item remaining in its initial position $=\frac{1}{2}$
- $-Pr(\text{picking item i and replaced}) = (\frac{k}{i} \times \frac{1}{k} =$ $\left(\frac{1}{i}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{i+1}\right) \times \cdots \times \frac{n-1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}$
- KnuthShuffle $\Rightarrow O(n)$ for (i = 1...n-1) { swap(i, rand(0, i)) }
- On the ith iteration, we have a uniformly random permutation of length i

Amortized Analysis

an operation has amortized cost T(n) if

- hash table resizing: O(k) for k insertions ⇒ O(1)

- for every integer k, the cost of k operations is $\leq kT(n)$. • binary counter ADT: increment $\Rightarrow O(1)$
- search operation: expected O(1) (not amortized)

Graphs

degree (node): number of adjacent edges
degree (graph): max. degree of a node

• in-/out-degree: number of incoming/outgoing edges

• diameter: max. shortest path • even cycles are bipartite! • graph is dense if $|E| = \theta(V^2)$

3 1		. (.)		
adj	space	(cycle)	(clique)	use for
list	O(V+E)	O(V)	$O(V^2)$	sparse
matrix	$O(V^2)$	$O(V^2)$	$O(V^2)$	dense

Searching

Modifying BFS and DFS is usually a bad choice!

- breadth-first search $\Rightarrow O(V + E)$ queue
- O(V) every vertex is added exactly once to a frontier
- O(E) every neighbourList is enumerated once
- ullet parent edges form a tree & shortest path from S
- depth-first search $\Rightarrow O(V + E)$ stack
- O(V) DFSvisit is called exactly once per node
- O(E) DFSvisit enumerates each neighbour
- with adjacency matrix: O(V) per node \Rightarrow total $O(V^2)$

Shortest paths

When modifying the relax condition, check that dijkstra properties still holds.

- Bellman-Ford $\Rightarrow O(VE)$
- invariant: On the ith iteration, nodes that are ith hops away on the shortest paths have their estimates determined.
- |V| iterations of relaxing every edge terminate when an entire sequence of |E| operations have no effect
- Dijkstra $\Rightarrow O((V+E)\log V) = O(E\log V)$
- · no negative weight edges!

sort

bubble

selection

insertion

merge

quick

heap

- extending the path does not make it shorter
- using a PQ to track the min-estimate node, relax its outgoing edges and add incoming nodes to the PQ
- |V| times of insert/deleteMin ($\log V$ each)
- |E| times of relax/decreaseKey ($\log V$ each)
- with fibonacci heap $\Rightarrow O(E + V \log V)$

best

 $\Omega(n)$

 $\Omega(n^2)$

 $\Omega(n)$

 $\Omega(n \log n)$

 $\overline{\Omega(n\log n)}$

 $\Omega(n \log n)$

- for DAG $\Rightarrow O(E)$ (topo-sort and relax in this order)
- · longest path: negate the edges/modify relax function

average

 $O(n^2)$

 $O(n^2)$

 $O(n^2)$

 $O(n \log n)$

 $O(n \log n)$

 $O(n \log n)$

• for Trees $\Rightarrow O(V)$ (relax each edge in BFS/DFS order)

Topological ordering

A topological sort order is unique if all pairs of consecutive vertices are connected by an edge.

- post-order DFS $\Rightarrow O(V + E)$
- prepend each node from the post-order traversal
- Kahn's algorithm (lecture vers.) $\Rightarrow O(E \log V)$
- add nodes without incoming edges to the topological order
- remove min-degree node from PQ $\Rightarrow O(V \log V)$
- decreaseKey (in-degree) of its children $\Rightarrow O(E \log V)$
- Kahn's algorithm (tutorial vers.) $\Rightarrow O(E+V)$
- add nodes with in-degree=0 to a queue; decrement the in-degree of its adjacent nodes, dequeue & repeat

Spanning trees

Every edge is either blue or red, but never both!

- any 2 subtrees of the MSTs are also MSTs
- for every cycle, the maximum weight edge is NOT in the MST
- for every partition of the nodes, the minimum weight edge across the cut is in the MST
- for every vertex, the minimum outgoing edge is in the MST.
- · Steiner Tree: (NP-hard) MST containing a given set of nodes
- 1. calculate the shortest path between any 2 vertices
- 2. construct new graph on required nodes
- 3. MST the new graph and map edges back to original

MST algorithms

- Prim's $O(E \log V)$
- · add the minimum edge across the cut to MST
- PQ to store nodes (priority: lowest incoming edge weight)
- each vertex: one insert/extractMin $\Rightarrow O(V \log V)$
- each edge: one decreaseKey $\Rightarrow O(E \log V)$
- Kruskal's $O(E \log V)$
- sort edges by weight, add edges if unconnected
- sorting $\Rightarrow O(E \log E) = O(E \log V)$
- each edge: find/union $\Rightarrow O(\log V)$ using union-find DS
- Boruvka's $O(E \log V)$

memory

O(1)

O(1)

O(1)

O(n)

O(1)

O(n)

stable?

X

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

×

worst

 $O(n^2)$

 $O(n^2)$

 $O(n^2)$

 $O(n \log n)$

 $O(n^2)$

 $O(n \log n)$

• each node: store a componentId $\Rightarrow O(V)$

sort

bubble

selection

insertion

merge

quick

- one Boruvka step: for each cc, add minimum weight outgoing edge to merge cc's $\Rightarrow O(V+E)$ dfs/bfs

sorting invariants

invariant (after k iterations)

largest k elements are sorted

smallest k elements are sorted

first k slots are sorted

given subarray is sorted

partition is in the right position

- at most $O(\log V)$ Boruvka steps
- update componentlds $\Rightarrow O(V)$
- directed MST with one root $\Rightarrow O(E)$
- for every node, add minimum weight incoming edge

Heaps

- heap ordering priority[parent] ≥ priority[child]
- 2. complete binary tree every level (except last level) is full; all nodes as far left as possible
- operations: all $O(\max height) = O(\lfloor \log n \rfloor)$
- · insert: insert as leaf, bubble up to fix ordering
- increase/decreaseKey: bubble up/down larger key
- $\bullet \ \ \text{delete: swap w bottom rightmost in subtree; bubble down}$
- extractMax: delete(root), bubble down larger key
- · heap as an array:
- left(x) = 2x + 1, right(x) = 2x + 2
- parent(x) = $\lfloor \frac{x-1}{2} \rfloor$
- **HeapSort**: $\Rightarrow O(n \log n)$ always
- ullet unsorted arr to heap: O(n) (bubble down, low to high)
- heap to sorted arr: $O(n \log n)$ (extractMax, swap to back)

Union Find

- quick-find int[] componentId, flat trees
- $\bullet \ {\cal O}(1)$ find check if objects have the same componentId
- O(n) union enumerate all items in array to update id
- quick-union int[] parent, deeper trees
- O(n) find check for same root (common parent)
- O(n) union add as a subtree of the root
- weighted union int[] parent, int[] size
- $O(\log n)$ find check for same root (common parent)
- ullet $O(\log n)$ union add as a smaller tree as subtree of root
- path compression set parent of each traversed node to the root $O(\log n)$ find, $O(\log n)$ union
- a binomial tree remains a binomial tree
- weighted union + path compression for m union/find operations on n objects: $O(n+m\alpha(m,n))$
- $O(\alpha(m,n))$ find, $O(\alpha(m,n))$ union

Graph tips and tricks

Add a super node if you need to find min/max across all paths

- You can find longest paths and MST in a DAG in O(V+E) time
- Each layer in the graph should represent one of the graph states. Each edge should represent a state transition.
- If there is a montonically increasing/decreasing property, try using binary search.
- Reversing the edges is a trick you can use to find min paths across different starting points, but same end point.
- Graph duplication is a great way to force the edges to follow a certain path.

data structures assuming O(1) comparison

data structure	search	insert			
sorted array	$O(\log n)$	O(n)			
unsorted array	O(n)	O(1)			
linked list	O(n)	O(1)			
tree (kd/(a, b)/bst)	$O(\log n), O(h)$	$O(\log n), O(h)$			
trie	O(L)	O(L)			
heap	O(n)	$O(\log n), O(h)$			
dictionary	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$			
symbol table	O(1)	O(1)			
chaining	O(n)	O(1)			
open addressing	$\frac{1}{1-\alpha} = O(1)$	O(1)			
priority queue	(contains) $O(1)$	$O(\log n)$			
skip list	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$			

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n \log n)$$

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(n) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n)$$

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(1) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n)$$

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1) \qquad \Rightarrow O(\log n)$$

$$T(n) = 2T(n-1) + O(1) \qquad \Rightarrow O(2^n)$$

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n \log n) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n(\log n)^2)$$

$$T(n) = 2T(n/4) + O(1) \qquad \Rightarrow O(\sqrt{n})$$

$$T(n) = T(n-c) + O(n) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n^2)$$

orders of growth

$$1 < \log n < \sqrt{n} < n < n \log n < n^2 < 2^n < 2^{2n}$$

 $\log_n n < n^a < a^n < n! < n^n$

Dynamic Programming

- optimal sub-structure optimal solution can be constructed from optimal solutions to smaller sub-problems
- overlapping sub-problems can memoize
- optimal substructure but no overlapping subproblems = divide-and-conquer
- prize collecting: $\Rightarrow O(kE)$ or $O(kV^2)$ for k steps
- vertex cover (set of nodes where every edge is adjacent to
- at least one node) of a tree: $\Rightarrow O(V)$ or $O(V^2)$ • diameter of a graph: SSSP all $\Rightarrow O(V^2 \log V)$
- APSP: diikstra all $\Rightarrow O(VE \log V)$ or $O(V^2E)$
- APSP: floyd warshall $\Rightarrow O(V^3)$
- $S[v,w,P_k]$ = shortest path from v to w only using nodes from set P
- $S[v,w,P_8] = \min(S[v,w,P_7],S[v,8,P_7]+S[8,w,P_7])$