

Yogesh Haribhau Kulkarni • You Al Advisor (Helping organizations in their Al journeys) | PhD (Geometric ... now • 🚯

Every time a new LLM (Large Language Model) claims to be the best (on the planet!!), I put it to the test—specifically for geometric dimension reduction, also known as Midcurve generation.

I have a go-to prompt for generating a simple (for humans) geometric figure called Midcurve. Why? Because most LLM evaluations focus on text, images, and numbers—but not geometric shapes.

The real question is: Does an LLM truly understand shapes and operations on them?

Here's the output from Gemini Pro 2.5 (Experimental), which I tested today. As shown in the pic below 'profile' is the input shape, and after dimension reduction, we need output as 'actual\_midcurve' shown and the LLM is giving the output as 'predicted\_midcurve'. The two outputs are supposed to be close.

While Gemini Pro's output is not pixel-perfect, it's the closest and best I've seen so far! \(\bigcup \) Kudos to Google.

Curious to learn more? Check out my blogs on Medium (links in comments):

- ⋆ Does ChatGPT understand Geometry?
- ★ Geometry, Graphs, and GPT

#AI #MachineLearning #Geometry #LLM #ArtificialIntelligence #DataScience #Tech #gde #mvpbuzz #gemini #geminipro #geminipro25 #llm #geomtry #midcurvenn Google Developers Group Google DeepMind Google Developer Experts GDG Pune GDG Cloud Pune TensorFlow User Group (TFUG) OpenAl Microsoft Anthropic Mistral Al

