# **Updates and Progress**

Yogesh Verma Doctoral Candidate Aalto University

## **Updates**

- Paper read: 65/1280
  - Neural Sheaf Diffusion
  - Neural Controlled Differential Equations for Irregular Time Series
  - Neural SDEs as Infinite-Dimensional GANs
  - Variational Neural Cellular Automata
  - ► Generative Coarse-Graining of Molecular Conformations [Reading]
  - Critical points in Quantum Generative Models [Reading]

## **Updates**

- Paper read: 65/1280
  - Neural Sheaf Diffusion
  - Neural Controlled Differential Equations for Irregular Time Series
  - ▶ Neural SDEs as Infinite-Dimensional GANs
  - Variational Neural Cellular Automata
  - Generative Coarse-Graining of Molecular Conformations [Reading]
  - Critical points in Quantum Generative Models [Reading]
- Reversible SDEs for graphs
- TO DO: Meeting with External Supervisor (CS Doctoral Support Program)

#### ICML'22

- Path-aware and structure-preserving generation of synthetically accessible molecules
- LIMO: Latent Inceptionism for Targeted Molecule Generation
- Generating 3D Molecules for Target Protein Binding
- Equivariant Diffusion for Molecule Generation in 3D
- 3D Infomax improves GNNs for Molecular Property Prediction
- EquiBind: Geometric Deep Learning for Drug Binding Structure Prediction
- Generative Coarse-Graining of Molecular Conformations
- Molecular Graph Representation Learning via Heterogeneous Motif Graph Construction
- 3DLinker: An E(3) Equivariant Variational Autoencoder for Molecular Linker Design
- Pocket2Mol: Efficient Molecular Sampling Based on 3D Protein Pockets
- Antibody-Antigen Interface Design via Hierarchical Structure Refinement
- Biological Sequence Design with GFlowNets
- Maximum Likelihood Training for Score-based Diffusion ODEs by High Order Denoising Score Matching
- Diffusion bridges vector quantized variational autoencoders
- Nonlinear Feature Diffusion on Hypergraphs
- Learning to Solve PDE-constrained Inverse Problems with Graph Networks
- Robust SDE-based variational formulations for solving linear PDEs via deep learning
- Equivariant Quantum Graph Circuits
- Quantum-Inspired Algorithms from Randomized Numerical Linear Algebra

Reversible SPDE on Graphs for Conformer Generation

### Before we go,

• Learn the mapping from 2D molecular graph to 3D conformers of that molecule

• Assumptions: Sparsifying on graph structure, locality

Inputs: Coordinate embeddings (x<sub>i</sub>,X)

• Output: Coordinate embeddings

Method: Reversible SDEs

#### SDE

An Ito SDE can be written as:

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}(t))dt + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}(t))d\mathbf{w}$$
 (1)

where  $\mathbf{f}$  is the drift coefficient,  $\mathbf{g}$  is diffusion coefficient and  $\mathbf{w}$  is standard weiner process.

#### SDE

An Ito SDE can be written as:

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}(t))dt + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}(t))d\mathbf{w}$$
 (1)

where  $\mathbf{f}$  is the drift coefficient,  $\mathbf{g}$  is diffusion coefficient and  $\mathbf{w}$  is standard weiner process. The reverse-time SDE for above can be written as (Ref)

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = [\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}(t)) - \mathbf{g}^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{X}(t)} \log p_t(\mathbf{X}(t))] d\tilde{t} + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}(t)) d\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$$
(2)

where  $\tilde{w}$  is reverse-time standard wiener process and  $d\tilde{t}$  is an infinitesimal negative time step.



A graph G can represented by G = (V, E, X) where X are the node (V) features and E are the edges determining the connections.

A graph G can represented by G = (V, E, X) where X are the node (V) features and E are the edges determining the connections.

The forward diffusion process can be represented with continuous time variable  $t \in [0, T]$  where  $X(0) \sim p_{data}$  and  $X(T) \sim p_T$ 

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}(t))dt + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}(t))d\mathbf{w}$$
(3)

A graph G can represented by G = (V, E, X) where X are the node (V) features and E are the edges determining the connections.

The forward diffusion process can be represented with continuous time variable  $t \in [0, T]$  where  $X(0) \sim p_{data}$  and  $X(T) \sim p_T$ 

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}(t))dt + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}(t))d\mathbf{w}$$
(3)

Following the same analogy, the reverse process can be defined as

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = [\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}(t)) - \mathbf{g}^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{X}(t)} \log p_t(\mathbf{X}(t))] d\tilde{t} + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}(t)) d\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$$
(4)

• Assuming a 1-neighbourhood where local effects are strong, we can factorize or decompose  $f(\mathbf{X}(t))$  as contribution from local regions  $(\mathbf{x}_i(t))$  is the node features of i node at time t, i = 1, ..., M)

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}(t)) = \operatorname{Agg}_{\mathbf{i} \in V}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t)))$$
 (5)

• Assuming a 1-neighbourhood where local effects are strong, we can factorize or decompose  $f(\mathbf{X}(t))$  as contribution from local regions  $(\mathbf{x}_i(t))$  is the node features of i node at time t, i = 1, ..., M)

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}(t)) = \operatorname{Agg}_{\mathbf{i} \in V}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t)))$$
 (5)

By using chain rule of differentiation and factorization we can write

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{X}(t)} \log p_t(\mathbf{X}(t)) = \frac{\partial \log p_t(\mathbf{X}(t))}{\partial \mathbf{X}(t)} := \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{j \in \{i\} \cup \mathcal{N}(i)} \frac{\partial \log p_t(\mathbf{x}_i(t)|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t)))}{\partial \mathbf{x}_j(t)}$$
(6)

|ロト 4 個 ト 4 恵 ト 4 恵 ト | 恵 | りへで

• One can decompose  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}(t))$  similarly as,

$$\mathbf{g}^{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{X}(t)}\log p_{t}(\mathbf{X}(t)) = \sum_{i \in V} \mathbf{g}^{2} \sum_{j \in \{i\} \cup \mathcal{N}(i)} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{j}(t)} p_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{i}(t)|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t))$$
(7)

• One can decompose  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}(t))$  similarly as,

$$\mathbf{g}^{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{X}(t)}\log p_{t}(\mathbf{X}(t)) = \sum_{i \in V} \mathbf{g}^{2} \sum_{j \in \{i\} \cup \mathcal{N}(i)} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{j}(t)} p_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{i}(t)|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t))$$
(7)

• Now one can use above equations in Eq.9 and decompose it for each  $\mathbf{x} \in X$  as (Different noise for each)

$$egin{aligned} d\mathbf{x}_i(t) &= [\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t) - \mathbf{g}^2 \sum_{j \in \{i\} \cup \mathcal{N}(i)} 
abla_{\mathbf{x}_j(t)} 
ho_t(\mathbf{x}_i(t) | \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t))] d ilde{t} \ &+ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t)) d ilde{\mathbf{w}} \end{aligned}$$

### Solving Reverse SDE

• Solving reverse SDE, we need the score function and the final distribution  $p_T$ . (Note that notation is not adapted, and x can be extended to previous notation)

## Solving Reverse SDE

- Solving reverse SDE, we need the score function and the final distribution  $p_T$ . (Note that notation is not adapted, and x can be extended to previous notation)
- One can estimate  $\nabla_x \log p_t(x)$ , as time-dependent-score-based-model as  $s_\theta(x,t) \approx \nabla_x \log p_t(x)$

# Solving Reverse SDE

- Solving reverse SDE, we need the score function and the final distribution  $p_T$ . (Note that notation is not adapted, and x can be extended to previous notation)
- One can estimate  $\nabla_x \log p_t(x)$ , as time-dependent-score-based-model as  $s_\theta(x,t) \approx \nabla_x \log p_t(x)$
- Training objective can be a continuous weighted combination of Fisher divergences, given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{t \in \mathcal{U}(0,T)} \mathbf{E}_{p_t(x)} \left[ \lambda(t) || \nabla_x \log p_t(x) - s_\theta(x,t) ||_2^2 \right] \tag{8}$$

#### Other methods:

Variational lower bound on the log-likelihood ELBO (GeoDiff, Equivariant Diffusion for 3D molecules )

#### How to do?

- Model the score when training with score matching
- Sampling with score-based MCMC like Langevin MCMC, HMC (Similar to multiple noise levels for greater accuracy in low density regions as well in Song et al. 2020)

#### Factorized score matching

• Since, there occurs a factorization in the probability due to local neighbourhood dependence. This also leads to factorized score matching as we now only need to approximate  $\sum_{i \in \{i\} \cup \mathcal{N}(i)} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i(t)} p_t(\mathbf{x}_i(t)|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t))$  for node i

#### Factorized score matching

- Since, there occurs a factorization in the probability due to local neighbourhood dependence. This also leads to factorized score matching as we now only need to approximate  $\sum_{i \in \{i\} \cup \mathcal{N}(i)} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i(t)} p_t(\mathbf{x}_i(t)|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t))$  for node i
- Plus points:
  - ightharpoonup Less dimensionality of the score conditional ightharpoonup easy to accurately approximate in contrast to currently used techniques on images

#### Factorized score matching

- Since, there occurs a factorization in the probability due to local neighbourhood dependence. This also leads to factorized score matching as we now only need to approximate  $\sum_{i \in \{i\} \cup \mathcal{N}(i)} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i(t)} p_t(\mathbf{x}_i(t)|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}(i)}(t))$  for node i
- Plus points:
  - ▶ Less dimensionality of the score conditional → easy to accurately approximate in contrast to currently used techniques on images
  - ► Langevin MCMC sampling giving structure constrained sampling (can also be extended to HMC sampling)

#### Modular Adjoints over SDE

• One can extend the modular joints from previous project to modular joints over SDE

#### Modular Adjoints over SDE

- One can extend the modular joints from previous project to modular joints over SDE
- Leads to Augmented Diffusion, Drift for each node as we decompose f and g

- The above can be extended to conditional generation, where we have a property scalar or vector y associated
- In practice, this means learning to predict the conditional score function  $\nabla_{X(t)} p_t(X(t)|y)$

- The above can be extended to conditional generation, where we have a property scalar or vector y associated
- In practice, this means learning to predict the conditional score function  $\nabla_{X(t)} p_t(X(t)|y)$
- By using Bayes rule we have:

$$p(X(t) | y) = \frac{p(y|X(t))p(X(t))}{p(y)}$$
(9)

$$\nabla_{X(t)} p(X(t) \mid y) = \nabla_{X(t)} p(y \mid X(t)) + \nabla_{X(t)} p(X(t))$$
(10)

• We can further decompose it using prescribed previous decomposition.

14

- p(y|X(t)) is exactly what classifiers and other discriminative models try to fit. But in our case it would be a regressive one as property vector y is a continuous one. (Energy, solubility, etc.)
- One can also consider Brownian bridges for property generation as well

- p(y|X(t)) is exactly what classifiers and other discriminative models try to fit. But in our case it would be a regressive one as property vector y is a continuous one. (Energy, solubility, etc.)
- One can also consider Brownian bridges for property generation as well
- Usually, scaling the conditioning term by a factor leads to better results

$$\nabla_{X(t)} p_{\gamma}(X(t) \mid y) = \gamma \nabla_{X(t)} p(y \mid X(t)) + \nabla_{X(t)} p(X(t))$$
(11)

• Combining the generative model with a regressive model, would lead to it

# THANK YOU FEEDBACK?