CPSC 540 Assignment 1 (due January 11th at midnight)

IMPORTANT!!!!! Before proceeding, please carefully read the homework instructions: www.cs.ubc.ca/~schmidtm/Courses/540-W18/assignments.pdf

We will deduct 50% on assignments that do not follow the instructions.

Most of the questions below are related to topics covered in CPSC 340, or other courses listed on the prerequisite form. There are several "notes" available on the webpage which can help with some relevant background.

If you find this assignment to be difficult overall, that is an early warning sign that you may not be prepared to take CPSC 540 at this time. Future assignments will be longer and more difficult than this one.

We use blue to highlight the deliverables that you must answer/do/submit with the assignment.

Basic Information

- 1. Name:
- 2. Student ID:
- 3. Graduate students in CPSC/EECE/STAT must submit the prerequisite form as part of alsol.zip: https://www.cs.ubc.ca/~schmidtm/Courses/540_prereqs.pdf

1 Very-Short Answer Questions

Give a short and concise 1-2 sentence answer to the below questions.

- 1. Why was I unimpressed when a student who thought they did not need to take CPSC 340 said they were able to obtain 97% training accuracy (on their high-dimensional supervised learning problem) as the main result of their MSc thesis?
- 2. What is the difference between a test set error and the test error?
- 3. Suppose that a famous person in the machine learning community is advertising their "extremely-deep convolutional fuzzy-genetic Hilbert-long-short recurrent neural network" classifier, which has 500 hyper-parameters. This person claims that if you take 10 different famous (and very-difficult) datasets, and tune the 500 hyper-parameters based on each dataset's validation set, that you can beat the current best-known validation set error on all 10 datasets. Explain whether or not this amazing claim is likely to be meaningful.
- 4. In a parametric model, what is the effect of the number of training examples n that our model uses on the training error and on the approximation error (the difference between the training error and test error)?
- 5. Give a way to set the random tree depth in a random forest model that makes the model parametric, and a choice that makes the model non-parametric.
- 6. In the regression setting, the popular software XGBoost uses the squared error at the leaves of its regression tree, which is different than the "number of training errors" $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}^i \neq y^i))$ we used for decision trees in 340. Why does it use the squared error instead of the number of training errors?

- 7. Describe a situation where it could be better to use gradient descent than Newton's method (known as IRLS in statistics) to fit the parameters of a logistic regression model.
- 8. How does λ in an L2-regularizer affect the sparsity pattern of the solution (number of w_j set to exactly 0), the training error, and the approximation error?
- 9. Minimizing the squared error used by in k-means clustering is NP-hard. Given this, does it make sense that the standard k-means algorithm is easily able to find a local optimum?
- 10. Suppose that a matrix X is non-singular. What is the relationship between the condition number of the matrix, $\kappa(X)$, and the matrix L2-norm of the matrix, $||X||_2$.
- 11. How many regression weights do we have in a multi-class logistic regression problem with k classes?
- 12. Give a supervised learning scenario where you would use the sigmoid likelihood and one where you would use a Poisson likelihood.
- 13. Suppose we need to multiply a huge number of matrices to compute a product like $A_1A_2A_3\cdots A_k$. The matrices have wildly-different sizes so the order of multiplication will affect the runtime (e.g., $A_1(A_2A_3)$ may be faster to compute than $(A_1A_2)A_3$). Describe (at a high level) an $O(k^3)$ -time algorithm that finds the lowest-cost order to multiply the matrices.
- 14. You have a supervised learning dataset $\{X,y\}$. You fit a 1-hidden-layer neural network using stochastic gradient descent to minimize the squared error, that makes predictions of the form $\hat{y}^i = v^\top W x^i$ where W and v are the parameters. You find that this gives the same training error as using the linear model $(\hat{y}^i = w^\top x^i)$ that minimizes the squared error. You thought the accuracy might be higher for the neural network. Explain why or why not this result is reasonable.
- 15. Is it possible that the neural network and training procedure from the previous question results in a higher training error than the linear least squares model? Is it possible that it results in a lower training error?
- 16. What are two reasons that convolutional neural networks overfit less than classic neural networks?

2 Calculation Questions

2.1 Minimizing Strictly-Convex Quadratic Functions

Solve for the minimizer w of the below strictly-convex quadratic functions:

- 1. $f(w) = \frac{1}{2} ||w u||_{\Sigma}$ (projection of u onto the real space under the quadratic norm defined by Σ).
- 2. $f(w) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ||Xw y||^2 + w^{\top} \Lambda w$ (ridge regression with known variance and weighted L2-regularization).
- 3. $f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i (w^{\top} x^i y^i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (w u)^{\top} \Lambda (w u)$ (weighted least squares shrunk towards u).

Above we use our usual supervised learning notation. In addition, we assume that u is $d \times 1$ and v is $n \times 1$, while Σ and Λ are symmetric positive-definite $d \times d$ matrices. You can use V as a diagonal matrix with v along the diagonal (with the v_i non-negative). Hint: positive-definite matrices are invertible.

2.2 Norm Inequalities

Show that the following inequalities hold for vectors $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$:

- 1. $||w||_{\infty} \le ||w||_2 \le ||w||_1$ (relationship between decreasing *p*-norms)
- 2. $\frac{1}{2}||w+u||_2^2 \le ||w||_2^2 + ||u||_2^2$ ("not the triangle inequality" inequality)
- 3. $||X||_2 \le ||X||_F$ (matrix norm induced by L2-norm is smaller than Frobenius norm)

You should use the definitions of the norms, but should not use the known equivalences between these norms (since these are the things you are trying to prove). Hint: for many of these it's easier if you work with squared values (and you may need to "complete the square"). Beyond non-negativity of norms, it may also help to use the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, to use that $||x||_1 = x^{\top} \operatorname{sign}(x)$, and to use that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{ij}^2 = \sum_{c=1}^{\min\{n,d\}} \sigma_c(X)^2$ (where $\sigma_c(X)$ is singular value c of X).

2.3 MAP Estimation

In 340, we showed that under the assumptions of a Gaussian likelihood and Gaussian prior,

$$y^i \sim \mathcal{N}(w^\top x^i, 1), \quad w_j \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{\lambda}\right),$$

that the MAP estimate is equivalent to solving the L2-regularized least squares problem

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w^{\top} x^{i} - y^{i})^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} w_{j}^{2},$$

in the "loss plus regularizer" framework. For each of the alternate assumptions below, write it in the "loss plus regularizer" framework (simplifying as much as possible):

1. Laplace likelihood (with a scale of 1) for each training example and Gaussian prior with separate variance σ_i^2 for each variable

$$y^i \sim \mathcal{L}(w^\top x^i, 1), \quad w_j \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_i^2\right).$$

2. Robust student-t likelihood and Gaussian prior centered at u.

$$p(y^i|x^i, w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{(w^\top x^i - y^i)^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}, \quad w_j \sim \mathcal{N}\left(u_j, \frac{1}{\lambda}\right),$$

where u is $d \times 1$, B is the "Beta" function, and the parameter ν is called the "degrees of freedom".

3. We use a Poisson-distributed likelihood (for the case where y_i represents counts), and we use a uniform prior for some constant κ ,

$$p(y^i|w^\top x^i) = \frac{\exp(y^i w^\top x^i) \exp(-\exp(w^\top x^i))}{y^i!}, \quad p(w_j) \propto \kappa.$$

(This prior is "improper" since $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ but it doesn't integrate to 1 over this domain, but nevertheless the posterior will be a proper distribution.)

For this question, you do not need to convert to matrix notation.

2.4 Gradients and Hessian in Matrix Notation

Express the gradient $\nabla f(w)$ and Hessian $\nabla^2 f(w)$ of the following functions in matrix notation, simplifying as much as possible:

1. Regularized and tilted Least Squares

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} ||Xw - y||^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2 + w^{\top} u.$$

wher u is $d \times 1$.

¹This likelihood is more robust than the Laplace likelihood, but leads to a non-convex objective.

2. L2-regularized weighted least squares with non-Euclidean quadratic regularization,

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i (w^{\top} x^i - y^i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_i w_j \lambda_{ij}$$

where you can use V as a matrix with the v_i along the diagonal and Λ as a positive-definite $d \times d$ (symmetric) matrix with λ_{ij} in position (i, j).

3. Squared hinge loss,

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\max\{0, 1 - y^{i} w^{\top} x^{i} \} \right)^{2}.$$

Hint: You can use the results from the linear and quadratic gradients and Hessians notes to simplify the derivations. You can use 0 to represent the zero vector or a matrix of zeroes and I to denote the identity matrix. It will help to convert the second question to matrix notation first. For the last question you'll need to define new vectors to express the gradient and Hessian in matrix notation and you can use \circ as element-wise multiplication of vectors. As a sanity check, make sure that your results have the right dimension.

3 Coding Questions

If you have not previously used Julia, there is a list of useful Julia commands (and syntax) among the list of notes on the course webpage.

3.1 Regularization and Hyper-Parameter Tuning

Download a1.zip from the course webpage, and start Julia (latest version) in a directory containing the extracted files. If you run the script example_nonLinear, it will:

- 1. Load a one-dimensional regression dataset.
- 2. Fit a least-squares linear regression model.
- 3. Report the test set error.
- 4. Draw a figure showing the training/testing data and what the model looks like.

This script uses the JLD package to load the data and the PyPlot package to make the plot. If you have not previously used these packages, they can be installed using:²

```
using Pkg
Pkg.add("JLD")
Pkg.add("PyPlot")
```

Unfortunately, this is not a great model of the data, and the figure shows that a linear model is probably not suitable.

1. Write a function called leastSquaresRBFL2 that implements least squares using Gaussian radial basis functions (RBFs) and L2-regularization.

You should start from the leastSquares function and use the same conventions: n refers to the number of training examples, d refers to the number of features, X refers to the data matrix, y refers to the targets, Z refers to the data matrix after the change of basis, and so on. Note that you'll have to add two additional input arguments (λ for the regularization parameter and σ for the Gaussian RBF

²Last term, several people (eventually including myself) had a runtime problem on some system. This seems to be fixed using the answer of K. Gkinis at this url: https://stackoverflow.com/questions/46399480/julia-runtime-error-when-using-pyplot

- variance) compared to the *leastSquares* function. To make your code easier to understand/debug, you may want to define a new function rbfBasis which computes the Gaussian RBFs for a given training set, testing set, and σ value. Hand in your function and the plot generated with $\lambda = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$.
- 2. When dealing with larger datasets, an important issue is the dependence of the computational cost on the number of training examples n and the number of features d. What is the cost in big-O notation of training the model on n training examples with d features under (a) the linear basis, and (b) Gaussian RBFs (for a fixed σ)? What is the cost of classifying t new examples under these two bases? Assume that multiplication by an n by d matrix costs O(nd) and that solving a d by d linear system costs $O(d^3)$.
- 3. Modify the script to split the training data into a "train" and "validation" set (you can use half the examples for training and half for validation), and use these to select λ and σ . Hand in your modified script and the plot you obtain with the best values of λ and σ .
- 4. There are reasons why this dataset is particularly well-suited to Gaussian RBFs are that (i) the period of the oscillations stays constant and (ii) we have evenly sampled the training data across its domain. If either of these assumptions are violated, the performance with our Gaussian RBFs might be much worse. Consider a scenario where either (i) or (ii) is violated, and describe a way that you could address this problem.

Note: the distancesSquared function in misc.jl is a vectorized way to quickly compute the squared Euclidean distance between all pairs of rows in two matrices.

3.2 Multi-Class Logistic Regression

Coming soon...

3.3 Robust and Brittle Regression

Coming soon...