Task 1: Create algebraic specification of a control system for autonomous cars

```
fmod CORE-TYPES is
  protecting STRING .
  sorts SensorData Object Action State Component PriorityQueue .
 subsort PriorityQueue < Action .
 ops GPS Camera Radar Lidar TrafficSignal SpeedLimit : -> SensorData .
 ops Lane Obstacle Vehicle Pedestrian GreenLight RedLight StopSign Collision :
-> Object .
  ops KeepSpeed Accelerate Decelerate Stop TurnLeft TurnRight AdjustSpeed
Override : -> Action .
  ops EmergencyBrake HandleCollision CombinedAction : -> Action .
  ops Active Manual Emergency : -> State .
  ops engine brake wheels lights : -> Component .
endfm
fmod PROCESSING is
  protecting CORE-TYPES .
  op process : SensorData -> Object .
  op prioritize : Object Object -> Object .
  vars 01 02 : Object .
  eq process(GPS) = Lane.
  eq process(Camera) = Pedestrian .
  eq process(Radar) = Vehicle .
  eq process(Lidar) = Obstacle .
  eq process(TrafficSignal) = RedLight .
  eq process(SpeedLimit) = Lane .
  eq prioritize(01, 02) =
    if O1 == Pedestrian or O1 == Obstacle
    then 01
    else 02
    fi.
endfm
fmod DECISION is
  protecting CORE-TYPES .
  op decide : Object State -> String .
  vars 01 : Object .
  vars S : State .
  eq decide(01, Active) =
   if O1 == Pedestrian
```

```
then "Car is stopping due to pedestrian detection."
    else if O1 == Obstacle
        then "Emergency brake activated due to obstacle."
        else if O1 == RedLight
              then "Car is stopping at the red light."
              else "Car is maintaining speed."
        fi
    fi
 fi.
 eq decide(01, Emergency) =
    if O1 == Collision
   then "Car is handling a collision."
    else "Emergency brake activated."
   fi.
  eq decide(01, Manual) = "Driver override: manual control enabled." .
endfm
fmod EXECUTION is
 protecting CORE-TYPES .
 op execute : PriorityQueue Component -> String .
 op reportState : String -> String .
 vars A : Action .
 vars C : Component .
 var Msg : String .
 eq execute(A, C) =
   if A == Stop and C == brake
   then "Car is stopping using brakes."
    else if A == EmergencyBrake and C == brake
         then "Emergency brake applied."
         else if A == KeepSpeed and C == engine
              then "Maintaining current speed."
              else if A == TurnLeft and C == wheels
                   then "Turning left using wheels."
                   else if A == HandleCollision and C == lights
                        then "Activating hazard lights for collision."
                        else if A == Stop and C == engine
                          then "Car has shut off."
                            else reportState("Invalid action-component
combination.")
                            fi
                        fi
                   fi
              fi
         fi
    fi.
 eq reportState(Msg) = "Error: " + Msg .
endfm
```

```
fmod COMBINE is
  protecting CORE-TYPES .
  op combine : PriorityQueue PriorityQueue -> PriorityQueue .
 vars A B : Action .
  eq combine(A, B) =
    if A == Stop or B == Stop
    then Stop
    else if A == EmergencyBrake or B == EmergencyBrake
         then EmergencyBrake
         else A
         fi
    fi.
endfm
fmod TEST is
  protecting PROCESSING .
  protecting DECISION .
  protecting EXECUTION .
  protecting COMBINE .
  op testObject : -> Object .
  op testState : -> State .
  eq testObject = RedLight .
  eq testState = Active .
  op testProcess : -> Object .
  eq testProcess = process(GPS) .
  op testDecide : -> String .
  eq testDecide = decide(testObject, testState) .
  op testDecideObstacle : -> String .
  eq testDecideObstacle = decide(Obstacle, Active) .
  op testExecuteBrake : -> String .
  eq testExecuteBrake = execute(Stop, brake) .
  op testCombine : -> PriorityQueue .
  eq testCombine = combine(Stop, Accelerate) .
endfm
```

Task 2: Document the solution

Key Decisions

1. Modular Design:

• The system is divided into modules (CORE-TYPES, PROCESSING, DECISION, EXECUTION, COMBINE, and TEST) to ensure maintainability, scalability, and clarity.

2. State-Aware Decisions:

 The decide operation tailors responses based on the car's state (Active, Emergency, Manual) for appropriate action in various scenarios.

3. Action Prioritization:

• The combine operation ensures safety-critical actions like Stop or EmergencyBrake override others like Accelerate.

4. Traceable Execution:

• The execute operation provides descriptive outputs (Example: "Car is stopping using brakes.") to enhance traceability and debugging.

5. Error Handling:

• Invalid action-component combinations are reported explicitly using reportState.

High-Level Design

1. CORE-TYPES:

• Defines foundational data types (SensorData, Object, Action, State, Component) used across the system.

2. PROCESSING:

 process: Translates sensor data (e.g., GPS, Camera) into actionable objects like Lane or Pedestrian.

3. DECISION:

 decide: Determines the car's action based on detected objects and the state, returning descriptive strings.

4. EXECUTION:

• execute: Links actions to components and outputs feedback.

5. COMBINE:

• combine: Merges multiple actions, ensuring safety-critical ones take precedence.

6. TEST:

• Provides test cases to validate the system's functionality, including:

- o process: Ensures correct object translation.
- o decide: Verifies decision-making for specific states.
- o execute: Tests proper action execution.

Task 3: Prepare some test cases (scenarios, inputs)

1. testObject and testState

Represents the initial inputs to the system.

Description

- testObject is set to RedLight, simulating a traffic signal detection.
- testState is set to Active, representing the car's current state.

```
Maude> red testObject .
reduce in TEST : testObject .
rewrites: 1 in Oms cpu (Oms real) (~ rewrites/second)
result Object: RedLight

Maude> red testState .
reduce in TEST : testState .
rewrites: 1 in Oms cpu (Oms real) (~ rewrites/second)
result State: Active
```

- testObject provides the object being processed (RedLight).
- testState provides the car's state (Active).

2. testProcess

Validates the process operation for sensor data.

- Processes GPS data and translates it into an object.

```
Maude> red testProcess .
  reduce in TEST : testProcess .
  rewrites: 2 in Oms cpu (Oms real) (~ rewrites/second)
  result Object: Lane
```

• GPS data is interpreted as detecting a Lane.

testDecide

Validates the decide operation for decision-making based on objects and state.

- Determines the car's action based on testObject (RedLight) and testState (Active).
- The car's action based on object (Pedestrian) and state (Active).

```
Maude> red testDecide .
reduce in TEST : testDecide .
```

```
rewrites: 10 in Oms cpu (Oms real) (~ rewrites/second)
result String: "Car is stopping at the red light."

Maude> red decide(Pedestrian, Active) .
reduce in TEST : decide(Pedestrian, Active) .
rewrites: 3 in Oms cpu (Oms real) (~ rewrites/second)
result String: "Car is stopping due to pedestrian detection."
```

- The car stops upon detecting the RedLight in the Active state.
- The car stops when detecting the Pedestrian in the Active state.

4. testDecideObstacle

Tests the car's decision when encountering an obstacle

• Evaluates the decision-making logic when the object is Obstacle and the state is Active.

```
Maude> red testDecideObstacle .
reduce in TEST : testDecideObstacle .
rewrites: 6 in Oms cpu (Oms real) (~ rewrites/second)
result String: "Emergency brake activated due to obstacle."
```

• The car applied Emergency brake to avoid Obstacle.

5.testExecuteBrake

Verifies the execute operation for stopping the car.

• Executes the Stop action using the brake component.

```
Maude> red testExecuteBrake .
reduce in TEST : testExecuteBrake .
rewrites: 6 in 0ms cpu (0ms real) (~ rewrites/second)
result String: "Car is stopping using brakes."
```

The car stops using its brake.

6. testCombine

Validates the combination of two actions using combine.

• Combine the action Stop and Accelerate.

```
Maude> red testCombine .
reduce in TEST : testCombine .
rewrites: 9 in 0ms cpu (0ms real) (~ rewrites/second)
result Action: Stop
```

• The Stop action takes priority over Accelerate.

7. Test Case Summary

Test Case	Operation	Inputs	Expected Output
testObject	N/A	N/A	RedLight
testState	N/A	N/A	Active
testProcess	process	GPS	Lane
testDecide	decide	RedLight, Active	"Car is stopping at the red light."
decide	decide	Pedesritan, Active	"Car is stopping due to pedestrian detection."
testDecideObstacle	decide	Obstacle, Active	"Emergency brake activated due to obstacle."
testExecuteBrake	execute	Stop, brake	"Car is stopping using brakes."
testCombine	combine	Stop, Accelerate	Stop

Task 4: Evaluation of the Autonomous Car System

1. Level of Abstraction

- **Details Ignored:** Internal sensor operations, environmental factors (e.g., weather, road conditions), and physical mechanics (e.g., brake force).
- Strength: Focuses on decision-making and execution without unnecessary complexity.
- Limitation: Cannot simulate real-world imperfections or failures.

2. Level of Approximation

- **Over-Specification:** Ensures safety with strict prioritization of critical actions (e.g., Stop, EmergencyBrake).
- **Under-Specification:** Assumes perfect sensor data, lacks handling of conflicting or missing inputs.
- **Balance:** Skews towards safety by being more restrictive.

3. Ambiguity vs. Precision

- **Precision:** Operations (process, decide, execute) and priorities are explicitly defined, ensuring clarity.
- **Ambiguity:** Undefined behaviors for sensor failures or conflicting inputs.
- Assessment: High precision within scope but ambiguous for unhandled edge cases.

4. Completeness

- Coverage: Covers core scenarios (e.g., stopping for pedestrians, responding to obstacles).
- Missing: Handling sensor failures, environmental factors, and equally critical actions.
- Assessment: Functionally complete for its scope but not exhaustive for real-world complexities.

Task 5: Opinion about the modeling language, tool, and the whole approach (methodology) based on your personal experience

1. Modeling Language (Maude)

- Strengths: Highly expressive, modular, and supports executable specifications for testing.
- Limitations: Steep learning curve and cryptic error messages make debugging challenging.

2. Tool (Maude Interpreter)

- Strengths: Efficient for prototyping and rule-based rewriting.
- **Limitations:** Lacks IDE support (e.g., syntax highlighting, visualization) and can be hard to debug large models.

3. Methodology

- Strengths: Ensures precision, modularity, and rigorous validation for safety-critical systems.
- Limitations: Models can become complex, and adoption is limited by the expertise required.

4. Practical Use

- **Feasibility:** Practical for safety-critical systems with proper IDE support; otherwise, limited to niche or academic projects.
- **Scenarios:** Validating complex designs, ensuring requirement consistency, and precise system documentation.