From: mreichard

**Sent:** Friday, August 23, 2019 6:11 PM

**To:** Superintendent

Subject: FW: Article: War of words delays Ethnics Studies Bill

## **Dear Superintendent Tony Thurman,**

I have sent the letter below to the LA Times as a response to the reports on the Ethnics Studies Legislation. I would like to know who provided guidance to this committee to only include ethnics studies for people of color (as noted in one of the Time's articles) and why any inclusion/mention of Jewish ethnics studies was not included. I feel the whole approach was anti-semitic, including the stated support of the BDS movement. This is a political issue and should not be included in an ethnics program, especially when only one side of the issue is presented.

How could you think of spending tax payer money to present this type of program? Who was monitoring this committee preparing this report/proposal? Based on what was presented, I would hope that clear guidelines would be given to the committee handling the major re-do and that they would not be biased. I would also suggest that a totally different committee be charged with developing this plan, because those involved in the one presented obviously had their own agendas. Why were Moslems included while other religious groups were not? Why were the Palestinians given such prominence in the program? It appears that politics were strongly at play.

I have sent the President of the State Board of Education, Linda Darling-Hammond, multiple responses and have had no reply. I hope that is because she was over-whelmed by the negative response to her proposal. She had stated "We will not accept a curriculum that fails to address difficult issues in a way that promotes open-mindedness and independent thought — skills our students need to understand vital societal and civic forces". She really missed the stated objective on this proposal. The proposal submitted is just the opposite. I would have sent her a copy of this e-mail but could not find an e-mail address for her on-line.

I am in favor of an ethnicity program for all ethnic groups but not one disguised as an ethnics program which favors only limited groups and includes political statements.

How about publishing the guidelines for the following study so that if there are any issues they can be corrected before a committee spends months working on a plan?

Mark Reichard San Pedro, California

**Sent:** Friday, August 23, 2019 2:51 PM

To: <a href="mailto:letters@latimes.com">letters@latimes.com</a>

Subject: Article: War of words delays Ethnics Studies Bill

Dear LA Times Editor,

It is not the "war of words" that delays the Ethnics Studies Bill, but the poorly written bill itself. If those supporting the original bill feel it should only cover people of color, then there is a major problem, for it then excludes many other groups. It also stated that "capitalism is a major enemy of people of color". Is that what we want taught in our schools?

You referenced a Jewish group (Jewish Voice for Peace) as supporting the original proposal. It is defined by Wikipedia as a left wing activist organization. It certainly does not represent the mainstream Jewish organizations or Jewish thought. Apparently Palestinian groups have had a major say in structuring this program.

Any Ethnicity Program has to be inclusive and represent values of all, not just a limited group that has gained control of the drafting of this proposal.

Mark Reichard San Pedro, California