CONTENTS

1	Two	o-Way Crossed Classification 2	2
	1.1	"Cell Means" Model	1
	1.2	An "Effects" Model)
		1.2.1 Baseline Restrictions 10)
		1.2.2 Σ -Restrictions	7
	1.3	Normal Theory Gauss-Markov Model 3	0
		1.3.1 Analysis of Variance 30)
		1.3.2 Type I Sum of Squares 39)
		1.3.3 Method of Unweighted Means	
		- Type III Sum of Squares . 57	7
	1.4	Balanced Factorial Experiments 79)

1 Two-Way Crossed Classification

Days to first germination of three varieties of carrot seed grown in two types of potting soil.

Soil		Variety	
Tpye	1	2	3
1		$y_{121} = 13 y_{122} = 15$	
2	$y_{211} = 12$ $y_{212} = 15$ $y_{213} = 19$ $y_{214} = 18$	$y_{221} = 31$	$y_{231} = 18$ $y_{232} = 9$ $y_{233} = 12$

This might be called "an unbalanced factorial experiment".

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Sample sizes:

Soil		Variety	
type	1	2	3

1
$$n_{11} = 3$$
 $n_{12} = 2$ $n_{13} = 2$
2 $n_{21} = 4$ $n_{22} = 1$ $n_{23} = 3$

In general we have

 $i=1,2,\ldots,a$ levels for the first factor $j=1,2,\ldots,b$ levels for the second factor $n_{ij}>0$ observations at the i-th level of the first factor and the j-th level of the second factor

We will restrict our attention to normal-theory Gauss-Markov models.

1.1 "Cell Means" Model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2) \quad \begin{cases} i = 1, \dots, a \\ j = 1, \dots, b \\ k = 1, \dots, n_{ij} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij}$ is estimable if $n_{ij} > 0$.

Overall mean response:

MEME16203 Linear Models

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Mean response at *i*-th level of factor 1, averaging across the levels of factor 2.

Contrasts of interest: "main effects" for factor 1:

Mean response at j-th level of factor 2, averaging across the levels of factor 1

"main effects" for factor 2:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Conditional effects:

Interaction contrasts:

All of these contrasts are **estimable** when

$$n_{ij} > 0$$
 for all (i, j)

because

- $E(\bar{y}_{ij.}) = \mu_{ij}$ Any linear function of estimable functions is estimable

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

1.2 An "Effects" Model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, a$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, b$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, n_{ij} > 0$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

1.2.1 Baseline Restrictions

The resulting restricted model is

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2) \quad \begin{cases} i = 1, \dots, a \\ j = 1, \dots, b \\ k = 1, \dots, n_{ij} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha_a = 0$$
 $\beta_b = 0$
 $\gamma_{ib} = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., a$
 $\gamma_{aj} = 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., b$

We will call these the "baseline" restrictions.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Soil

Soil

1

Туре Type Variety 1 Variety 2 Variety 3 Means $\mu_{11} = \mu + \alpha_1$ $\mu_{12} = \mu + \alpha_1$ $\mu_{13} = \mu + \alpha_1$ $\mu + \alpha_1$ $+\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{3}$ $+\beta_1 + \gamma_{11}$ $+\beta_2 + \gamma_{12}$ $+\frac{\gamma_{11}+\gamma_{12}}{3}$ $\mu_{21} = \mu + \beta_1$ $\mu_{22} = \mu + \beta_2$ $\mu_{23} = \mu$ $\mu + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{3}$

Var.

2

means
$$\mu + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_1 + \frac{\gamma_{11}}{2} \quad \mu + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_2 + \frac{\gamma_{12}}{2} \qquad \mu + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$$

Interpretation:

$$\mu =$$

 $\beta_j =$

 $\alpha_i =$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Class	IFICATION 13	Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Clas	SIFICATION 1
$\gamma_{ij} =$		Matrix formulation:	
MEME16203 Linear Models	202205	MEME16203 Linear Models	20220

Least squares estimation:

Comments:

Imposing a set of restrictions on the parameters in the "effects" model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

to obtain a model matrix with full column rank.

- (i) Avoids the use of a generalized inverse in least squares estimation.
- (ii) Is equivalent to choosing a generalized inverse for $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ in the unrestricted "effects" model.
- (iii) Restrictions must involve "non-estimable" quantities for the unrestricted "effects" model.
- (iv) Baseline restrictions using by SAS are

$$\alpha_a = 0$$
 $\beta_b = 0$
 $\gamma_{ib} = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., a$
 $\gamma_{aj} = 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., b$

(v) Baseline restrictions using by R are

$$\alpha_1 = 0$$
 $\beta_1 = 0$
 $\gamma_{i1} = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., a$
 $\gamma_{1j} = 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., b$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 Linear Models

1.2.2 Σ -Restrictions

$$y_{ijk} = \omega + \gamma_i + \delta_j + \eta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

$$\mu_{ij} = E(y_{ijk})$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^a \gamma_i = 0$ $\sum_{j=1}^b \delta_j = 0$
$$\sum_{i=1}^a \eta_{ij} = 0 \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, b$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^b \eta_{ij} = 0 \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, a$$

Interpretation:

 $\omega =$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

 $\delta_j - \delta_k =$

For a model that includes the $\Sigma\text{-restrictions:}$ η_{ij} =

Similarly,

 $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 =$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classificat	гіон 21	Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification 22
Matrix formulation:		Least squares estimation:
MEME16203 Linear Models	202205	MEME16203 Linear Models 202205

If restrictions are placed on "non-estimable" functions of parameters in the unrestricted "effects" model, then

- The resulting models are reparameteriza-• tions of each other. • $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = P_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y}$

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = (I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

$$SSE = \mathbf{e}^{T}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}^{T}(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y}^{T}P_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y}$$

$$SS_{\text{model}} = \mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mathbf{1}})\mathbf{y}$$

are the same for any set of restrictions.

The solution to the normal equations

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

and interpretations of the corresponding parameters will not be the same for all such sets of restrictions.

If you were to place restrictions on estimable functions of parameters in

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_1 + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

then you would change

- \bullet rank(\mathbf{X})
- \bullet space spanned by the columns of X
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$ and OLS estimators of other estimable quantities.

MEME16203 Linear Models

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Example 1.

In a study to examine the effect of 4 drugs on 3 experimentally induced diseases in dogs, each drug-disease combination was given to six randomly selected dogs. The measurement (y) to be analyzed was the increase in systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) due to treatment. Unfortunately, some dogs were unable to complete the experiment. The data are shown in the following table.

		Disease	
Drug	j = 1	j=2	j=3
i = 1	42, 44, 36,13, 19, 22	33, 26, 33,21	31, -3, 25,25, 24
i = 2	28, 23, 24,42, 13	34, 33, 31,36	3, 26, 28,32, 3, 16
i = 3	1, 29, 19	11, 9, 7,1, -6	21, 1, 9,3
i = 4	24, 9, 22,-2, 15	27, 12, 12,-5, 16, 15	22, 7, 25,5, 12

Consider the model $y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$ where $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$ and y_{ijk} denotes the change in systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) for the k-th dog given the j-th disease and treated with the i-th drug.

- (a) Note that the application of the lm() function in R imposes some restrictions to solve the normal equations. What are the restrictions?
- (b) Using the solution to the normal equations provided by the application of the of lm() function in R, report estimates of the following quantities:

$$\mu, \alpha_1, \beta_3, \gamma_{23}, \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \gamma_{22} - \gamma_{23} - \gamma_{32} + \gamma_{33}, \mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_3 + \gamma_{23}, (\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) + \bar{\gamma}_{2.} - \bar{\gamma}_{3.}$$

MEME16203 Linear Models

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

(c) Give an interpretation of each quantity with respect to the restricted model and the mean change in systolic blood pressure.

MEME16203 Linear Models

202205

MEME16203 Linear Models

(d) The are many ways to put linear restrictions on parameters in the original model to obtain a solution to the normal equations. Would the least squares estimates of any of the linear combinations of parameters in part (a) have the same value for all such solutions to the normal equations? Which ones? Explain.

1.3 Normal Theory Gauss-Markov Model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

1.3.1 Analysis of Variance

$$\mathbf{y}^{T}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^{T}P_{\mu}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\alpha} - P_{\mu})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

$$= R(\mu) + R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\mu) + R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mu,\alpha)$$

$$+R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) + SSE$$

By Cochran's Theorem, these quadratic forms (or sums of squares) have independent chi-square distributions with 1, a-1, b-1, (a-1)(b-1), and $n_{\bullet \bullet}-ab$ degrees of freedom, respectively, (if $n_{ij}>0$ for all (i,j))

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 y_{112} μ 1 | 1 0 | 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 0 0 y_{113} α_1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 y_{121} α_2 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 y_{122} β_1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 y_{131} β_2 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 y_{132} β_3 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 $+\epsilon$ y_{211} γ_{11} 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 y_{212} γ_{12} 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 y_{213} γ_{13} 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 y_{214} γ_{21} 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 y_{221} γ_{22} 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 y_{231} γ_{23} 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 y_{232} 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 y_{233} call this call this call this

 \mathbf{X}_{eta}

 \mathbf{X}_{μ}

 \mathbf{X}_{α}

Define:

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mu} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu} \qquad \qquad P_{\mu} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu} (\mathbf{X}_{\mu}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mu})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{\mu}^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} = [\mathbf{X}_{\mu}|\mathbf{X}_{\alpha}] \qquad P_{\mu,\alpha} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}(\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^T\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha})^{-}\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^T$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} = \left[\mathbf{X}_{\mu}|\mathbf{X}_{\alpha}|\mathbf{X}_{\beta}\right] \ P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \left(\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}\right)^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{X}_{\mu} | \mathbf{X}_{\alpha} | \mathbf{X}_{\beta} | \mathbf{X}_{\gamma}] \quad P_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T$$

The following three model matrices correspond to reparameterizations of the same model:

MEME16203 Linear Models 202205 MEME16203 Linear Models

 \mathbf{X}_{γ}

Model 2:	Model 3:	
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -$	$\begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ \gamma_1 \\ \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \eta_{11} \\ \eta_{12} \end{bmatrix}$

 $R(\mu) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mu} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models $R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models and so is $R(\alpha|\mu) = R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) - R(\mu)$ $R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models and so is $R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ $R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models and so is $R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) - R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

Consequently, the partition

$$\mathbf{y}^{T}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^{T}P_{\mu}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\beta} - P_{\mu})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\beta})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

$$= R(\mu) + R(\beta|\mu) + R(\alpha|\mu,\beta)$$

$$+R(\gamma|\mu,\alpha,\beta) + SSE$$

is the same for all three models.

By Cochran's Theorem, these quadratic forms (or sums of squares) have independent chi-square distributions with 1, b-1, a-1, (a-1)(b-1), and $n_{\bullet \bullet} - ab$ degrees of freedom, respectively, when $n_{ij} > 0$ for all (i,j).

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

We have also shown earlier that

$$SSE = \mathbf{y}^{T} (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{y}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (y_{ijk} - \bar{y}_{ij\bullet})^{2}$$

$$\sim \chi^{2}_{n_{\bullet\bullet} - ab}$$

Example 2.

Let $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma^2 I)$, where

$$\bullet \ W = \left[\mathbf{W_1} \ \mathbf{W_2} \ \mathbf{W_3} \ \mathbf{W_4} \right],$$

•
$$W_1 = 1_{20}$$
,

$$\bullet \mathbf{W_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1_{10}},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{W_3} = \mathbf{1_2} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1_5},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{W_4} = \mathbf{1_4} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and }$$

$$ullet oldsymbol{\gamma} = egin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \ \gamma_2 \ \gamma_3 \ \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

MEME16203 Linear Models

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

(a) Use Cochran's theorem to find the distributions of

- $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \ \tfrac{1}{\sigma^2} SSE = \mathbf{e^T} \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{Y^T} (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{P_W}) \mathbf{Y}, \ \text{where} \\ & \mathbf{P_W} = \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{W^T} \mathbf{W})^{-1} \mathbf{W^T} \end{aligned}$
- $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}R(\gamma_1)=\mathbf{Y^TP_{W_1}Y}$ where $\mathbf{W_1}=\mathbf{1}$ is the first column of \mathbf{W} and

$$P_{W_1} = W_1 (W_1^T W)^{-1} W_1^T.$$

- $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}R(\gamma_2|\gamma_1) = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W_2}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W_1}})Y$ where $\mathbf{W_2}$ contains the first two columns of \mathbf{W} and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W_2}} = \mathbf{W_2}(\mathbf{W}_2^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{W}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{W}_2^{\mathbf{T}}$.
- $\bullet \ \tfrac{1}{\sigma^2} R(\gamma_3|\gamma_1\gamma_2) = \mathbf{Y^T}(\mathbf{P_{W_3}} \mathbf{P_{W_2}})\mathbf{Y}. \ \text{where} \ \mathbf{W_3} \ \text{contains the} \\ \text{first three columns of} \ \mathbf{W} \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{P_{W_3}} = \mathbf{W_3}(\mathbf{W_3^TW_3})^{-1}\mathbf{W_3^T}.$
- $\bullet \ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} R(\gamma_4 | \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3) = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{T}} (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}_3}) \mathbf{Y}.$

(b) Report a formula for the non-centrality parameter of the non-central F distribution of

$$F = \frac{R(\gamma_3|\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{SSE/7}$$

Use it to the null and alternative hypotheses associated with this test statistic. You are given that:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

1.3.2 Type I Sum of Squares

What null hypotheses are tested by F-tests derived from such ANOVA tables $R(\mu)=$

For the carrot seed germination study:

$$\begin{split} P_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} &= \frac{1}{n_{..}}\mathbf{1}\,\mathbf{1}^{T}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{n_{..}}\mathbf{1}[n_{..},n_{1.},n_{2.},n_{.1},n_{.2},n_{.3},\\ &n_{11},n_{12},n_{13},n_{21},n_{22},n_{23}]\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{n_{..}}\,\mathbf{1}\,\left(n_{..}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \sum_{i=1}^{a}\,n_{i.}\alpha_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{b}\,n_{.j}\beta_{j}\right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{a}\,\sum_{j=1}^{b}\,\gamma_{ij} \end{split}$$

The null hypothesis is

$$H_0: n_{..} \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_{i.} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{.j} \beta_j + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} n_{ij} \gamma_{ij} = 0$$

With respect to the cell means

$$E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}$$

this null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \mu_{ij} = 0$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Consider

 $R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) =$

For the general effects model for the carrot seed germination study:

$$P_{\mu,\alpha} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} (\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^T \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha})^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^T \mathbf{X}$$
$$= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} n_{..} & n_{1.} & n_{2.} \\ n_{1.} & n_{1.} & 0 \\ n_{2.} & 0 & n_{2.} \end{bmatrix}^{-}$$

$$\times \begin{bmatrix} n_{..} & n_{1} & n_{2} & n_{.1} & n_{2} & n_{.3} & n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} & n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} \\ n_{1.} & n_{1.} & 0 & n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} & n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ n_{2.} & 0 & n_{2.} & n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} =$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Then, the first seven rows of $(\mathbf{P}_{\mu,\alpha} - \mathbf{P}_{\mu})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are

$$\left[\mu + \alpha_{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{1j}}{n_{1.}} (\beta_{j} + \gamma_{1j})\right] - \left[\mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{i.}}{n_{..}} \alpha_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{.j}}{n_{..}} \beta_{j} + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \gamma_{ij}\right]$$

The last eight rows of $(P_{\mu,\alpha} - P_{\mu})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are

$$\left[\mu + \alpha_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{2j}}{n_{2.}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{2j})\right] - \left[\mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{i.}}{n_{..}} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{.j}}{n_{..}} \beta_j + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \gamma_{ij}\right]$$

The null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$$
are all equal $(i = 1, \dots, a)$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205 MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

with respect to the cell means model,

$$\mu_{ij} = E(y_{ijk}) = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} \ ,$$
 this null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ij}$$
 are all equal $(i=1,\ldots,a)$.

Consider $R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{y}^T (P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha}) \mathbf{y}$ and the corresponding F-statistic

$$F = \frac{R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha})/(b-1)}{MSE} \sim F_{(b-1, n_{..}-ab)}(\lambda)$$

Here,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2}R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \sim \chi^2_{\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}})-\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}(\lambda)$$

$$[1+(a-1)+(b-1)]-[1+(a-1)]$$

$$=b-1 \text{ degrees of freedom}$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \Big[(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha}) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \Big]^T \Big[(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha}) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \Big]$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

$$\begin{split} P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}\mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^T \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \end{bmatrix}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \\ &= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} n_{..} & n_{1.} & n_{2.} & n_{.1} & n_{.2} & n_{.3} \\ n_{1.} & n_{1.} & 0 & n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} \\ n_{2.} & 0 & n_{2.} & n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} \\ n_{.l} & n_{11} & n_{21} & n_{.1} & 0 & 0 \\ n_{.2} & n_{12} & n_{22} & 0 & n_{.2} & 0 \\ n_{.3} & n_{13} & n_{23} & 0 & 0 & n_{.3} \end{bmatrix}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \end{split}$$
 call this
$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1}B \\ I \end{bmatrix} [C - B^T A^{-1}B]^{-1} [-B^T A^{-1}|I]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C^{-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} I \\ -C^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix} [A - BC^{-1}B^T]^{-1} [I| - BC^{-1}]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} W & -WBC^{-1} \\ -C^{-1}B^TW & C^{-1} + C^{-1}B^TWBC^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
where $W = [A - BC^{-1}B^T]^{-1}$

MEME16203 Linear Models

The null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^b \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{i.}} (\beta_k + \gamma_{ik}) \right) = 0$$
for all $j = 1, \dots, b$

With respect to the cell means,

$$E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij},$$

this null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ik} \right) = 0$$
for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, b$.

Consider

$$R(\pmb{\gamma}|\mu,\pmb{\alpha},\pmb{\beta}) = \mathbf{y}^T[P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}]\mathbf{y}$$
 and the associated F-statistic

$$F = \frac{R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})/[(a-1)(b-1)]}{MSE}$$
$$\sim F_{(a-1)(b-1), n-ab}(\lambda)$$

The null hypothesis is:

$$H_0: (\mu_{ij} - \mu_{i\ell} - \mu_{kj} + \mu_{k\ell})$$
$$= (\gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{i\ell} - \gamma_{kj} + \gamma_{k\ell}) = 0$$

for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ) .

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

ANOVA Summary:

Sums of Squares	Associated null hypothesis
$R(\mu)$	$H_0: \mu + \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{i}}{n_{}} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{.j}}{n_{}} \beta_j$
	$+\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{}} \gamma_{ij} = 0$
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{}} \mu_{ij} = 0\right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu})$	$H_0: \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$ are equal
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_i} \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal }\right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$	$H_0: \beta_j + \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \gamma_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \sum_{k=1}^b \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{k.}} (\beta_k + \gamma_{ik})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, b$
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \sum_{k=1}^b \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ik} \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, b\right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$	$H_0: \gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{kj} - \gamma_{i\ell} + \gamma_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ)
	(or $H_0: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj} - \mu_{i\ell} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ)

Sums of Associated null Squares hypothesis $R(\mu)$
$$\begin{split} & + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{\cdot \cdot}} \gamma_{ij} = 0 \\ & \left(\text{or } H_0 : \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{\cdot \cdot}} \mu_{ij} = 0 \right) \end{split}$$
 $H_0: \beta_j + \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}}(\alpha_j + \gamma_{ij})$ are equal for all $j=1,\ldots,b$ $R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu})$ $\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal for all } j=1,\ldots,b\right)$ $R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\beta}) \qquad H_0: \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} (\alpha_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}) = \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \sum_{k=1}^a \frac{n_{kj}}{n_{.j}} (\alpha_k + \gamma_{kj})$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, a$ $\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^a \frac{n_{kj}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{kj} \right] \right.$ for all $i = 1, \dots, a$ $R(\pmb{\gamma}|\mu,\pmb{\alpha},\pmb{\beta}) \quad H_0: \gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{kj} - \gamma_{i\ell} + \gamma_{k\ell} = 0 \text{ for all } (i,j) \text{ and } (k,\ell)$ $\left(\text{or } H_0: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj} - \mu_{i\ell} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0 \text{ for all } (i,j) \text{ and } (k,\ell)\right)$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

xab23 = xa2*xb3

Soil		Variety	
Tpye	1	2	3
1	$y_{112} = 10$	$y_{121} = 13 y_{122} = 15$	
2	$y_{113} = 11$ $y_{211} = 12$ $y_{212} = 15$ $y_{213} = 19$	$y_{221} = 31$	$y_{231} = 18$ $y_{232} = 9$ $y_{233} = 12$

Type I sums of squares

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

 $y_{214} = 18$

```
R-Codes
#Type I Sum of Squares(A follows by B)
Y = c(6, 10, 11, 13,15,14,22,12,15,19,18,31,18,9,12)
xmu = rep(1,15)
xa1 = c(rep(1,7),rep(0,8))
xa2 = 1-xa1
xalpha = cbind(xa1, xa2)
xb1 = c(rep(1,3), rep(0,4), rep(1,4), rep(0,4))
xb2 = c(rep(0,3), rep(1,2), rep(0,6), 1, rep(0,3))
xb3 = c(rep(0,5), 1,1, rep(0,5),rep(1,3))
xb4a = cbind(xb1,xb2,xb3)
xab11 = xa1*xb1
xab12 = xa1*xb2
xab13 = xa1*xb3
xab21 = xa2*xb1
xab22 = xa2*xb2
```

```
xgamma = cbind(xab11,xab12,xab13,xab21,xab22,xab23)
library(MASS)
Pmu = xmu%*%solve(t(xmu)%*%xmu)%*%t(xmu)
xma = cbind(xmu, xalpha)
Pma = xma%*%ginv(t(xma)%*%xma)%*%t(xma)
xmab = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta)
Pmab = xmab%*%ginv(t(xmab)%*%xmab)%*%t(xmab)
X = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta, xgamma)
PX = X%*%ginv(t(X)%*%X)%*%t(X)
In = diag(rep(1,15))
A1 = Pmu
A2 = Pma - Pmu
A3 = Pmab - Pma
A4 = PX - Pmab

A5 = In - PX
Rmu = t(Y)%*%A1%*%Y
Rma = t(Y)%*%A2%*%Y
Rma
Rmab = t(Y)%*%A3%*%Y
Rmabg = t(Y)%*%A4%*%Y
SSE = t(Y)%*%A5%*%Y
MRmu = Rmu
MRma = Rma
MRmab = Rmab/2
MRmabg = Rmabg/2
MSE = SSE/9
Fmu = MRmu/MSE
Fa = MRma/MSE
Fb = MRmab/MSE
Fab = MRmabg/MSE
PVmu = 1-pf(Fmu,1,9)
PVa = 1-pf(Fa,1,9)
PVb = 1-pf(Fb,2,9)
PVab = 1-pf(Fab,1,9)
data.frame(Source = "Intercept", SS=Rmu, df = 1, MS = MRmu, F.Stat = Fmu
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS
                                                          202205
```

```
p.value = PVmu)
data.frame(Source = "Soil", SS=Rma, df = 1, MS = MRma, F.Stat = Fa,
p.value = PVa)
data.frame(Source = "Variety", SS=Rmab, df = 2, MS = MRmab, F.Stat = Fb,
p.value = PVb)
data.frame(Source = "Interaction",SS=Rmabg, df = 2, F.Stat = Fab,
p.value = PVab)
data.frame(Source = "Error", SS=SSE, df = 9, MS = MSE)
#Using lm() function
Y = c(6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 14, 22, 12, 15, 19, 18, 31, 18, 9, 12)
FA = as.factor(c(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2))
FB = as.factor(c(1,1,1,2,2,3,3,1,1,1,1,2,3,3,3))
mod.fit = lm(Y ~ FA*FB)
anova(mod.fit)
 Source
 of
                                                   Mean
                        sums of
variat. d.f.
                                                               F p-value
                        squares
                                                   square
 "Soils" a-1=1 R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu})=52.50
                                                     52.5 \quad 3.94
                                                                     .0785
 "Var." b - 1 = 2
                        R(\beta|\mu, \alpha) = 124.73
                                                                     .0405
                                                    62.4 4.68
 Inter-
 action
          (a-1)(b-1) R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = 222.76 \quad 111.38 \quad 8.35
                                                                     .0089
 "Res."
         \Sigma\Sigma(n_{ij} - 1) \quad \mathbf{y}^{T}(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y} = 120.00 \quad 13.33
                 =9
 Corr.
         n_{\cdot \cdot} - 1 = 14 \quad \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_1) \mathbf{y} = 520.00
 total
```

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

```
#Type I Sum of Squares(B follows by A)
Y = c(6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 14, 22, 12, 15, 19, 18, 31, 18, 9, 12)
xmu = rep(1,15)
xa1 = c(rep(1,7), rep(0,8))
xa2 = 1-xa1
xalpha = cbind(xa1, xa2)
xb1 = c(rep(1,3), rep(0,4), rep(1,4), rep(0,4))
xb2 = c(rep(0,3), rep(1,2), rep(0,6), 1, rep(0,3))
xb3 = c(rep(0,5), 1,1, rep(0,5), rep(1,3))
xbeta = cbind(xb1,xb2,xb3)
xab11 = xa1*xb1
xab12 = xa1*xb2
xab13 = xa1*xb3
xab21 = xa2*xb1
xab22 = xa2*xb2
xab23 = xa2*xb3
xgamma = cbind(xab11,xab12,xab13,xab21,xab22,xab23)
library(MASS)
Pmu = xmu%*%solve(t(xmu)%*%xmu)%*%t(xmu)
xmb = cbind(xmu, xbeta)
Pmb = xmb%*%ginv(t(xmb)%*%xmb)%*%t(xmb)
xmab = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta)
Pmab = xmab%*%ginv(t(xmab)%*%xmab)%*%t(xmab)
X = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta, xgamma)
PX = X\%*\%ginv(t(X)\%*\%X)\%*\%t(X)
In = diag(rep(1,15))
A1 = Pmu
A2 = Pmb - Pmu
A3 = Pmab - Pmb
A4 = PX - Pmab
A5 = In - PX
Rmu = t(Y)%*%A1%*%Y
Rma = t(Y)%*%A2%*%Y
```

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

```
Rmab = t(Y)%*%A3%*%Y
Rmabg = t(Y)%*%A4%*%Y
SSE = t(Y)%*%A5%*%Y
MRmu = Rmu
MRma = Rma
MRmab = Rmab/2
MRmabg = Rmabg/2
MSE = SSE/9
Fmu = MRmu/MSE
Fa = MRma/MSE
Fb = MRmab/MSE
Fab = MRmabg/MSE
PVmu = 1-pf(Fmu,1,9)
PVa = 1-pf(Fa,1,9)
PVb = 1-pf(Fb,2,9)
PVab = 1-pf(Fab,1,9)
data.frame(Source = "Intercept", SS=Rmu, df = 1, MS = MRmu, F.Stat = Fmu,
p.value = PVmu)
data.frame(Source = "Soil", SS=Rma, df = 1, MS = MRma, F.Stat = Fa,
p.value = PVa)
data.frame(Source = "Variety", SS=Rmab, df = 2, MS = MRmab, F.Stat = Fp,
p.value = PVb)
data.frame(Source = "Interaction", SS=Rmabg, df = 2, F.Stat = Fab,
p.value = PVab)
data.frame(Source = "Error",SS=SSE, df = 9,MS = MSE)
```

Source of variat.	d.f.	sums of squares	Mean square	F	p-value
	b - 1 = 2 $a - 1 = 1$	$R(\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 93.33$ $R(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = 83.90$	46.67 83.90		.0751 .0334
Inter- action	(a-1)(b-1) =2	$R(\gamma \mu, \alpha, \beta) = 222.76$	111.38	8.35	.0089
"Res."	$\Sigma\Sigma(n_{ij} - 1) = 9$	$\mathbf{y}^T (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{y} = 120.00$	13.33		

total $n_{..} - 1 = 14 \quad \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_1) \mathbf{y} = 520.00$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

The least squares estimator (b.l.u.e.) for μ is

58

1.3.3 Method of Unweighted Means Type III Sum of Squares

(Type III sums of squares in when $n_{ij} > 0$ for all (i, j)).

Use the cell means reparameterization of the model:

 $\mathbf{y} = D\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205 MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

CHAPTER	5	TWO-WAY	CROSSED	C_{L}	ASSIFICATION

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Test the hypotheses are:

The OLS estimator (b.l.u.e.) for $\frac{1}{b}\sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij}$ and it's variance are

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

 ${\rm MEME16203~Linear~Models}$

Express the null hypothesis in matrix form:

$$H_0: C_1\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$$

Then the OLS estimator (BLUE) of $C_1\mu$, and it's variance are:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Compute SS_{H_0} and show that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2}SS_{H_0} \sim \chi^2_{(a-1)}(\lambda)$$

Compute:
$$SSE = \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_D) \mathbf{y} \text{ where } P_D = D(D^T D)^{-1} D^T.$$
 Show that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SSE \sim \chi^2_{\Sigma\Sigma(n_{ij}-1)}$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Show that

$$SSE = \mathbf{y}^T \underline{(I - P_D)} \mathbf{y}$$

$$\nwarrow \text{ call this } A_1$$

is distributed independently of

$$SS_{H_0} = \mathbf{y}^T \underline{D(D^T D)^{-1} C_1^T [C_1(D^T D)^{-1} C_1^T]^{-1} C_1(D^T D)^{-1}} D^T \mathbf{y}$$

$$\nwarrow \text{ call this } A_2$$

Then F =

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Test

$$H_0: \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{i1} = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{i2} = \dots = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ib}$$

VS.

$$H_A: \frac{1}{a}\sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ij} \neq \frac{1}{a}\sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ik}$$
 for some $j \neq k$

Write the null hypothesis in matrix form as

$$H_0: C_2\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$$

where
$$C_2 =$$

then $C_2 \boldsymbol{\mu} =$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Compute $SS_{H_{0,2}}$ and reject H_0 if F=

Test for Interaction:

Test

 $H_0: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{i\ell} - \mu_{kj} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ) vs.

 H_A : $\mu_{ij} - \mu_{i\ell} - \mu_{kj} + \mu_{k\ell} \neq 0$ for all (i, k) and $(j \neq \ell)$.

Write the null hypothesis in matrix form as

$$H_0: C_3 \mu = 0$$

and perform the test.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

```
#Type III Sum of Squares
Y = c(6,10,11,13,15,14,22,12,15,19,18,31,18,9,12)
Y = c(6,10,11,13,15,14,22,12,15,19,18,31,18,9,12)
d1 = c(rep(1,3), rep(0,12))
d2 = c(0,0,0,1,1,rep(0,10))
d3 = c(rep(0,5),1,1,rep(0,8))
d4 = c(rep(0,7), rep(1,4), rep(0,4))
d5 = c(rep(0,11), 1, rep(0,3))
d6 = c(rep(0,12), 1, 1, 1)
D = cbind(d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6)
a = 2
b = 3
beta = solve(t(D)%*%D)%*%t(D)%*%Y
Yhat = D%*%beta
SSE = crossprod(Y-Yhat)
df2 = NROW(Y) - a*b
am1 = a-1
bm1 = b-1
Iam1 = diag(rep(1,am1))
Ibm1 = diag(rep(1,bm1))
Onea = c(rep(1,a))
Oneam1 = c(rep(1,am1))
Oneb = c(rep(1,b))
Onebm1 = c(rep(1,bm1))
C1 = kronecker(cbind(Iam1, -Oneam1),t(Oneb))
C1b = C1%*%beta
SSHOa = t(C1b)%*%
solve(C1%*%solve(crossprod(D))%*%t(C1))%*%C1b
df1 = b-1
```

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

```
202205
```

```
F = (SSH0a/df1)/(SSE/df2)
p = 1-pf(F, df1,df2)
C1
data.frame(SS=SSHOa, df = df1, F.Stat = F, p.value = p)
C2 = kronecker(t(Onea), cbind(Ibm1, -Onebm1))
C2b = C2%*%beta
SSHOb = t(C2b)\%*\%
solve(C2%*%solve(crossprod(D))%*%t(C2))%*%C2b
df1 = b-1
F = (SSH0b/df1)/(SSE/df2)
p = 1-pf(F, df1,df2)
C2
data.frame(SS=SSHOb, df = df1, F.Stat = F, p.value = p)
C3 = kronecker(cbind(Iam1, -Oneam1), cbind(Ibm1, -Onebm1))
C3b = C3%*%beta
SSHOab = t(C3b)\%*\%
solve(C3%*%solve(crossprod(D))%*%t(C3))%*%C3b
df1 = (a-1)*(b-1)
F = (SSH0ab/df1)/(SSE/df2)
p = 1-pf(F, df1,df2)
C3
data.frame(SS=SSHOab, df = df1, F.Stat = F, p.value = p)
```

MEME16203 Linear Models

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Source of variation	Sum of d.f.	Mean Squares	Square	F	p-val	ue
Soils	a-1=1	$SS_{H_0} = 123.77$				
Var. Inter.	b-1=2 $(a-1)(b-1)=2$	$SS_{H_{0,2}} = 192.13$ $SS_{H_{0,3}} = 222.76$				

Note that

$$\mathbf{y}^{T} P_{1} \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{T} D (D^{T} D)^{-1} [C_{1} (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{1}^{T}]^{-1}$$

$$C_{1} (D^{T} D)^{-1} D^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \mathbf{y}^{T} D (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{2}^{T} [C_{2} (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{2}^{T}]^{-1}$$

$$C_{2} (D^{T} D)^{-1} D^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \mathbf{y}^{T} D (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{3}^{T} [C_{3} (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{3}^{T}]^{-1}$$

$$C_{3} (D^{T} D)^{-1} D^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \mathbf{y}^{T} (I - P_{D}) \mathbf{y}$$

do not necessarily sum to $\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{y}$, nor do the middle three terms $(SS_{H_0}\,,\,SS_{H_0,2}\,,\,SS_{H_0,3})$ necessarily sum to

$$SS_{\rm model, corrected} = \mathbf{y}^T (P_D - P_{\mathbf{1}}) \mathbf{y} \ ,$$

nor are $(SS_{H_0}, SS_{H_0,2}, SS_{H_0,3})$ necessarily independent of each other.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Example 3.

A chemical production process consists of a first reaction with an alcohol and a second reaction with a base. A 3×2 factorial experiment with three alcohols and two bases was conducted. The data had unequal replications among the six treatment combinations of the two factors, Base and Alcohol. The collected data are percent yield. The data are given below.

	Alcohol					
Base	1		2		3	
1	90.7	91.6	89.0	88.9	89.5	87.9
			91.0		89.9	91.2
2	87.9	89.0	97.3		94.2	92.5
	92.0				92.0	

Consider the model $y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$, where $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$, i = 1, 2, and j = 1, 2, 3 and $k = 1, \ldots, n_{ij}$. This model can be expressed in matrix form as $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. Examine type III sums of squares for these data.

MEME16203 Linear Models

202205

(a) Specify the **C** matrix needed to write the null hypothesis associated with the F-test for Alcohol effects in the form $H_0: \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

(b) Present a formula for SS_{H_0} , corresponding to the null hypothesis in part (a), and state it's distribution when the null hypothesis is true.

(c) Compute SS_{H_0} .

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

1.4 Balanced Factorial Experiments

$$n_{ij} = n$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, a$
 $j = 1, \dots, b$

Example 4. Sugar Cane yields Nitrogem Level

	150 lb/acre	210 lb/acre	270 lb/acre
	$y_{111} = 70.5$	$y_{121} = 67.3$	$y_{131} = 79.9$
Variety 1	$y_{112} = 67.5$	$y_{122} = 75.9$	$y_{132} = 72.8$
	$y_{113} = 63.9$	$y_{123} = 72.2$	$y_{133} = 64.8$
	$y_{114} = 64.2$	$y_{124} = 60.5$	$y_{134} = 86.3$
	$y_{211} = 58.6$	$y_{221} = 64.3$	$y_{231} = 64.4$
Variety 2	$y_{212} = 65.2$	$y_{222} = 48.3$	$y_{232} = 67.3$
	$y_{213} = 70.2$	$y_{223} = 74.0$	$y_{233} = 78.0$
	$y_{214} = 51.8$	$y_{224} = 63.6$	$y_{234} = 72.0$
	$y_{311} = 65.8$	$y_{321} = 64.1$	$y_{331} = 56.3$
Variety 3	$y_{312} = 68.3$	$y_{322} = 64.8$	$y_{332} = 54.7$
	$y_{313} = 72.7$	$y_{323} = 70.9$	$y_{331} = 66.2$
	$y_{314} = 67.6$	$y_{324} = 58.3$	$y_{334} = 54.4$

 ${\rm MEME16203~Linear~Models}$

202205

For a balanced experiment $(n_{ij} = n)$, Type I, Type II, and Type III sums of squares are the same:

$$R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) =$$

$$R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) =$$

$$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) =$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Associated null

82

ANOVA

Sum of Squares hypothesis $R(\mu) = \mathbf{y}^T P_1 \mathbf{y} \qquad H_0 : \mu + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a \alpha_i + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b \beta_j$ $= a b n \bar{y}_{...}^2 \qquad + \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \gamma_{ij} = 0$ $\left(H_0 : \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij} = 0 \right)$ $R(\alpha | \mu) = R(\alpha | \mu, \beta) \qquad H_0 : \alpha_i + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$ $= n b \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 \qquad \text{are equal}$ $\left(H_0 : \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal} \right)$ $R(\beta | \mu) = R(\beta | \mu, \alpha) \qquad H_0 : \beta_j + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a (\alpha_i + \gamma_{ij})$ $= n a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{y}_{.j.} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 \qquad \text{are equal}$ $\left(H_0 : \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal} \right)$

 $R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = n \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} (\bar{y}_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{.j.} + \bar{y}_{...})^{2}$ $H_{0}: \gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{kj} - \gamma_{i\ell} + \gamma_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ) $\left(H_{0}: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj} - \mu_{i\ell} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0\right)$ for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ)

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS