202	2405	Ci	HAPTER 2 LINEAR MODELS	1	202405	Сі	HAPTER 2 LINEAR MODELS	2
			TERSITI TUNKU ABDUL RAHMAN ment of Mathematics and Actuarial Science			2.7.1	Example of Estimable Functions	50
C	CONTENTS				2.7.2	Quantities that are not estimable	55	
					2.8	Best I	Linear Unbiased Estimator	68
2	Lin	ear M	odels	3	2.9	Gener	alized Least Squares (GLS)	
	2.1	Gener	al Linear Models	3		Estim	ation	76
	2.2	Gauss	-Markov Model	15	2.10	Repar	ameterization, Restrictions,	
	2.3	Norma	al Theory Gauss-Markov Model	16		and A	voiding Generalized Inverses	89
	2.4	Ordin	ary Least Squares Estimation	17	2.11		ctions (side conditions)	105
	2.5	Gener	alized Inverse	20			,	
		2.5.1	Evaluating Generalized In-					
			verses	27				
		2.5.2	Moore-Penrose Inverse	36				
		2.5.3	Properties of generalized in-					
			verses of $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$	37				
	2.6	Estim	ation of the Mean Vector	40				
		2.6.1	OLS Estimator $E(\mathbf{y})$	40				
		2.6.2	Residuals	42				
		2.6.3	Partition of a total sum of					
			squares	44				
	2.7	Estim	able Functions					
MI	EME16	3203 LIN	EAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHI	AN	MEME16	203 LIN	EAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KI	HIAN

202405

2 Linear Models

2.1 General Linear Models

Any linear model can be written as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1k} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

observed responses

the elements of X are known (non-random) values

random errors are not observed

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

For the i-th case, the observed values are

 $(y_i \quad x_{i1} \quad x_{i2} \quad \cdots \quad x_{ik})$ $\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$

response explanatory variables that variable describe conditions under which the response was generated.

where ϵ specifying the distribution of the random error vector completes the specification of the distribution of \mathbf{y}

Note:

$$\epsilon = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{y} - E(\mathbf{y})$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) &= \mathbf{0} \\ V(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) &= V(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \end{split}$$

CHAPTER 2 LINEAR MODELS

5

Chapter 2 Linear Models

6

Example 1. Regression Analysis: Yield of a chemical process

Yield (%) Temperature (${}^{o}F$) Time (hr)

y	x_1	x_2
77	160	1
82	165	3
84	165	2
89	170	1
94	175	2

Simple linear regression model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \epsilon_i$$
$$i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Matrix formulation:

202405

You can express this model as

Example 2.

Blood coagulation times (in seconds) for blood samples from six different rats. Each rat was fed one of three diets.

A "means" model

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

202405 Chapter 2 Linear Models

10

An "effects" model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

This can be expressed as

This is a linear model with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma$

You could add the assumptions

- independent errors
- homogeneous variance, i.e. $V(\epsilon_{ij}) = \sigma^2$

to obtain a linear model $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

202405

Example 3. A 2×2 factorial experiment

• Experimental units: 8 plots with 5 trees per plot.

• Factor 1: Variety (A or B)

• Factor 2: Fungicide use (new or old)

• Response: Percentage of apples with spots

Percentage of		Fungicide
apples with spots	Variety	use
$y_{111} = 4.6$	А	new
$y_{112} = 7.4$	Α	new
$y_{121} = 18.3$	A	old
$y_{122} = 15.7$	A	old
$y_{211} = 9.8$	В	new
$y_{212} = 14.2$	В	new
$y_{211} = 21.1$	В	old
$y_{222} = 18.9$	В	old

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

$y_{ijk} =$	$\mu + V_i$ +	F_j	$+VF_{ij}$	$+\epsilon_{ijk}$
\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow
percent	variety	fung.	inter-	random
with	effects	use	action	error
spots	(i=1,2)	(j=1,2)	(k=1,2)	
Here we	are using 9) param	eters	

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^T = (\mu \ V_1 \ V_2 \ F_1 \ F_2 \ V F_{11} \ V F_{12} \ V F_{21} \ V F_{22})$$
 to represent the 4 response means,

$$E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2, \text{ and } j = 1, 2,$$
 corresponding to the 4 combinations of levels of the two factors.

Write this model in the form

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

13

The "effects" linear model and the "means" linear model are equivalent in the sense that the space

A "means" model

 $y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$

where

 $\mu_{ij} = E(y_{ijk}) = \text{mean percentage of apples with spots.}$ This linear model can be written in the form $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, that is,

an percentage of apples with is the same for the two models.

• the model matrices differ

of possible mean vectors

- the parameter vectors differ
- the columns of the model matrices span the same vector space

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \middle| \mathbf{x}_2 \middle| \cdots \middle| \mathbf{x}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \beta_k \mathbf{x}_k$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

2.2 Gauss-Markov Model

Definition 1.

The linear model

$$y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

is a Gauss-Markov model if

$$V(\mathbf{y}) = V(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2 I$$

for an unknown constant $\sigma^2 > 0$.

Notation:
$$\mathbf{y} \curvearrowright (\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}, \sigma^2 I)$$

distributed $E(\mathbf{y}) \quad V(\mathbf{y})$

The distribution of \mathbf{y} is not completely specified.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

2.3 Normal Theory Gauss-Markov Model

Definition 2.

A normal-theory Gauss-Markov model is a Gauss-Markov model in which \mathbf{y} (or $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$) has a multivariate normal distribution.

$$\mathbf{y} \sim N \quad (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 I)$$
 $\nearrow \quad \uparrow \quad \nwarrow \quad \nwarrow$
distr. multivar. $E(\mathbf{y}) \quad V(\mathbf{y})$
as normal
distr.

The additional assumption of a normal distribution is

- not needed for some estimation results
- useful in creating
 - confidence intervals
 - tests of hypotheses

2.4 Ordinary Least Squares Estimation

For the linear model with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & \cdots & X_{1k} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & \cdots & X_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ X_{n1} & X_{n2} & \cdots & X_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$y_i = \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_{i1} + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_{i2} + \dots + \beta_k \mathbf{x}_{ik} + \epsilon_i$$

= $\mathbf{X}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i$

where $\mathbf{X}_i^T = (\mathbf{x}_{i1}, \mathbf{x}_{i2}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{ik})$ is the *i*-th row of the model matrix \mathbf{X} .

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Definition 3.

For a linear model with $E(\mathbf{y}) = X\boldsymbol{\beta}$, any vector **b** that minimizes the sum of squared residuals

$$Q(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{X}_i^T \mathbf{b})^2$$
$$= (\mathbf{y} - X\mathbf{b})^T (\mathbf{y} - X\mathbf{b})$$

is an ordinary least squares (OLS) estimator for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

For
$$j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$$
, solve

$$0 = \frac{\partial Q(\mathbf{b})}{\partial b_j} = 2\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{X}_i^T \mathbf{b}) X_{ij}$$

Dividing by 2, we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{X}_i^T \mathbf{b}) X_{ij} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

These equations are expressed in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})$$
$$= \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}$$

or

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

These are often called the "normal" equations.

If $\mathbf{X}_{n \times k}$ has full column rank, i.e., rank $(\mathbf{X}) = k$,

- $\bullet~\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ is non-singular
- \bullet $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ exists and is unique

Consequently,

$$(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$$

and

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

is the unique solution to the normal equations.

If $rank(\mathbf{X}) < k$, then

- there are infinitely many solutions to the normal equations
- if $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^-$ is a generalized inverse of $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$, then

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

is a solution of the normal equations.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

2.5 Generalized Inverse

Definition 4.

For a given $m \times n$ matrix **A**, any $n \times m$ matrix **G** that satisfies

$$AGA = A$$

is a **generalized inverse** of **A**.

Comments:

- (i) We will often use \mathbf{A}^- to denote a generalized inverse of \mathbf{A} .
- (ii) There may be infinitely many generalized inverses.
- (iii) If **A** is an $m \times m$ nonsingular matrix, then $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ is the unique generalized inverse for **A**.

 $\mathbf{21}$

Example 4.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & -6 & -10 \\ -6 & 21 & -15 \\ -10 & -15 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = 2$$

Example 4.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & -6 & -10 \\ -6 & 21 & -15 \\ -10 & -15 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = 2.$$
Check that
$$\mathbf{G}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{20} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{30} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{50} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{21}{300} & \frac{6}{300} & 0 \\ \frac{6}{300} & \frac{16}{300} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
are converginged inverse of \mathbf{A}

are generalized inverse of A.

Example 5.

Show tthat if $\mathbf{X}_{n \times k}$ has rank $(\mathbf{X}) < k$, and if $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^-$ is a generalized inverse of $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$, then

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

is a solution of the normal equations.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Example 6.

A "means" model is as follow:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{31} \\ y_{32} \\ y_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{32} \\ \epsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

- (i) Compute $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$.
- (ii) Obtain the OLS estimator.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

Example 7.

"Effects" model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

 $i = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$

(i) Write out the $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ matrix for this models.

202405

(ii) Check that $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^- = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n_3} \end{bmatrix}$ is

a generalized inversed of $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ and compute the corresponding solution to the normal equations.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Evaluating Generalized Inverses 2.5.1

- Step(1) Find any $r \times r$ nonsingular submatrix of **A** where $r=\text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$. Call this matrix \mathbf{W} .
- Step(2) Invert and transpose W, ie., compute $(\mathbf{W}^{-1})^T$.
- Step(3) Replace each element of W in A with the corresponding element of $(\mathbf{W}^{-1})^T$
- Step(4) Replace all other elements in **A** with zeros.
- Step(5) Transpose the resulting matrix to obtain \mathbf{G} , a generalized inverse for \mathbf{A} .

Example 8.

202405

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & \textcircled{1} & \textcircled{5} & 15 \\ 3 & \textcircled{1} & \textcircled{3} & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

You are given that $rank(\mathbf{A}) = 2$, find $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A}^-$.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

29

Example 9.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{4} & 1 & 2 & \textcircled{0} \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 15 \\ \textcircled{3} & 1 & 3 & \textcircled{5} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

You are given that $rank(\mathbf{A}) = 2$, find $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A}^-$.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Example 10.

In an experiment to investigate the effect of nitrogen fertilizer on lettuce production. Two rates of ammonium were applied to 5 replicates plots in a completely randomized design. The data are the number of heads of lettuce harvested from the plot.

				j		
	i Treatment(lb N/acre)	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	104	114	90	140	135
2	50	134	130	144	174	189

Consider the linear model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$
, for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ where

- y_{ij} is the observed number of heads of lettuce for the i^{th} fertilizer assigned to the j^{th} plot.
- τ_i corresponds to the effect of i^{th} fertilizer.
- $\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

(a) Write model above in the form $\mathbf{y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. Do not impose any restriction on the parameters.

(b) Obtain two generalized inverses of $\mathbf{X^TX},\,\mathbf{G_1}$ and $\mathbf{G_2}.$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

(c) Use the generalized inverse you obtained in part(b) to compute solutions to the normal equations, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\tau}_1 \\ \hat{\tau}_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

(d) Using your solution $\hat{\beta}$ to the normal equation from part (c), estimates $\gamma_1 = \tau_1 - \tau_2$.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

(e) Using your solutions $\hat{\beta}$ to the normal equation from part (c), estimates $\gamma_2 = \tau_1 + \tau_2$.

2.5.2 Moore-Penrose Inverse

Definition 5. For any matrix $\bf A$ there is a **unique** matrix M, called the Moore-Penrose inverse, that satisfies

- (i) $\mathbf{A}M\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$
- (ii) $M\mathbf{A}M = M$
- (iii) $\mathbf{A}M$ is symmetric
- (iv) $M\mathbf{A}$ is symmetric

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

2.5.3 Properties of generalized inverses of $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$

Result 1. If **G** is a generalized inverse of $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$, then

- (i) \mathbf{G}^T is a generalized inverse of $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$, i.e., $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T$ is a generalized inverse of \mathbf{X} .
- (iii) $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T$ is invariant with respect to the choice of \mathbf{G} .
- (iv) $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}^T$ is symmetric.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

2.6 Estimation of the Mean Vector

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

For any solution to the normal equations, say

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} ,$$

2.6.1 OLS Estimator E(y)

The OLS estimator for $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{y}} &= \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} \\ &= \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y} \\ &= P_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y} \end{split}$$

- The matrix $P_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^T$ is called an "orthogonal projection matrix".
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = P_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y}$ is the projection of \mathbf{y} onto the space spanned by the columns of \mathbf{X} .

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Result 2. Properties of a projection matrix

$$P_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T$$

(i) $P_{\mathbf{X}}$ is invariant to the choice of $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^-$ For any solution $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ to the normal equations

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} = P_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y}$$

is the same. (From Result 1(iii))

- (ii) $P_{\mathbf{X}}$ is symmetric (From Result 1 (iv))
- (iii) $P_{\mathbf{X}}$ is idempotent $(P_X P_X = P_X)$
- (iv) $P_X \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$ (From Result 1 (ii))
- (v) Partition \mathbf{X} as $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{X}_1 | \mathbf{X}_2 | \cdots | \mathbf{X}_k]$, then $P_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{X}_i$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

2.6.2 Residuals

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{y}_i - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e} &= \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} \\ &= \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

Comment: $I - P_X$ is a projection matrix that projects y onto the space orthogonal to the space spanned by the columns of X.

Result 3.

- (i) $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is symmetric
- (ii) $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is idempotent

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}) = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}$$

(iii)
$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} = 0$$

(iv)
$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$$

(v) Partition **X** as $[\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_2|\cdots|\mathbf{x}_k]$ then

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{X}_j = \mathbf{0}$$

(vi) Residuals are invariant with respect to the choice of $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^-$, so

$$\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

is the same for any solution

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

to the normal equations

The residual vector

$$e = y - \tilde{y} = (I - P_X)y$$

is in the space orthogonal to the space spanned by the columns of X. It has dimension

$$n - rank(\mathbf{X}).$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Partition of a total sum of squares 2.6.3

Squared length of \mathbf{y} is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Squared length of the residual vector is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^2 = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}$$

$$= [(I - P_X)\mathbf{y}]^T (I - P_X)\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_X)\mathbf{y}$$

Squared length of $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = P_X \mathbf{y}$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{y}_{i}^{2} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{y}}$$

$$= (P_{X}\mathbf{y})^{T} (P_{X}\mathbf{y})$$

$$= \mathbf{y}^{T} (P_{X})^{T} P_{X}\mathbf{y} \text{ since } P_{X} \text{ is symmetric}$$

$$= \mathbf{y}^{T} P_{X} P_{X}\mathbf{y} \text{ since } P_{X} \text{ is idempotent}$$

$$= \mathbf{v}^{T} P_{Y}\mathbf{v}$$

We have

$$\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^T (P_X + I - P_X) \mathbf{y}$$
$$= \mathbf{y}^T P_X \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_X) \mathbf{y}.$$

Analysis of Variance Table

rinarysis or variance rasic						
Source of	Degrees of	Sums of Squares				
Variation	Freedom					
model (un-	$rank(\mathbf{X})$	$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y}^T P_X \mathbf{y}$				
corrected)						
residuals	$\operatorname{n-rank}(\mathbf{X})$	$\mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_X) \mathbf{y}$				
total (un- corrected)	n	$\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$				

Result 4.

For the linear model with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(y) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$,

the OLS estimator

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} = P_X\mathbf{y}$$

for

$$X\beta$$

is

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

(i) unbiased, i.e.,
$$E(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

- (ii) a linear function of \mathbf{y}
- (iii) has variance-covariance matrix

$$V(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = P_X \Sigma P_X$$

This is true for any solution

$$b = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

to the normal equations.

Comments:

- (i) $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} = P_X\mathbf{y}$ is said to be a **linear unbiased** estimator for $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- (ii) For the Gauss-Markov model, $V(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2 I$ and

$$\begin{split} V(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) &= P_X(\sigma^2 I) P_X \\ &= \sigma^2 P_X P_X \\ &= \sigma^2 P_X \\ &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \end{split}$$

this is sometimes called the "hat" matrix.

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

2.7 Estimable Functions

Some estimates of linear functions of the parameters have the same value, regardless of which solution to the normal equations is used. These are called estimable functions.

Definition 6.

For a linear model with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

we will say that

$$\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = c_1 \beta_1 + c_2 \beta_2 + \dots + c_k \beta_k$$

is **estimable** if there exists a linear unbiased estimator $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{y}$ for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$, i.e., for some vector of constants \mathbf{a} , we have $E(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

We will use **Blood coagulation times** example to illustrate estimable and non-estimable functions.

Diet 1	Diet 2	Diet 3
$y_{11} = 62$	$y_{21} = 71$	$y_{31} = 72$
$y_{12} = 60$		$y_{32} = 68$
		$y_{33} = 67$

The "Effects" model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{31} \\ y_{32} \\ y_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{32} \\ \epsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\mathbf{y} \qquad X \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta} \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

Note that

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 or $E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = 0$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

2.7.1 Example of Estimable FunctionsExample 11.

Show that $\mu + \alpha_1$ is estimable.

2.7.2 Quantities that are not estimable

Quantities that are **not** estimable include

 $\mu, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, 3\alpha_1, 2\alpha_2$

To show that a linear function of parameters

$$c_0\mu + c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_+c_3\alpha_3$$

is not estimable, one must show that there is no non-random vector

$$\mathbf{a^T} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$$

For which

$$E(\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{y}) = c_0\mu + c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_2 + c_3\alpha_3$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Example 16.

Show that α_1 is not estimable.

57

Result 5.

For a model with $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $V(y) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$:

- (i) The expectation of any observation is estimable.
- (ii) A linear combination of estimable functions is estimable.
- (iii) Each element of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable if and only if rank(\mathbf{X}) = k = number of columns.
- (iv) Every $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable if and only if rank(\mathbf{X}) = $k = \text{number of columns in } \mathbf{X}$.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©D
r Yong Chin Khian

59

.

Result 6. For a linear model with $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma$, each of the following is true if and only if $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is **estimable**.

- (i) $\mathbf{c}^T = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{X}$ for some \mathbf{a} i.e., \mathbf{c} is in the space spanned by the rows of \mathbf{X} .
- (ii) $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{a} = 0$ for every \mathbf{a} for which $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$.
- (iii) $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}$ is the same for any solution to the normal equations $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$, i.e., there is a **unique** least squares estimator for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

202405	Chapter 2 Linear Models	61	202405	Chapter 2 Linear Models	62
	Chapter 2 Linear Models	61	Exampl		
MEME1620	3 LINEAR MODELS©DR Yong Chin	i Khian	MEME1620	03 LINEAR MODELS©DR Yong Ch	N KHIAN

Part (ii) of Result 6 sometimes provides a convenient way to identify all possible estimable functions of β .

In Blood Coagulation Times example,

$$Xd = 0$$

if and only if

$$\mathbf{d} = w \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some scalar w.

Then.

$$\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

is estimable if and only if

$$0 = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{d} = w(c_1 - c_2 - c_3 - c_4) = 0$$

$$\iff c_1 = c_2 + c_3 + c_4.$$

Then,

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

$$(c_2 + c_3 + c_4)\mu + c_2\alpha_1 + c_3\alpha_2 + c_4\alpha_3$$

is estimable for any $(c_2 \ c_3 \ c_4)$ and these are the only estimable functions of μ , α_1 , α_2 , α_3 .

For example, some estimable functions are

$$\mu + \frac{1}{3}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \quad (c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = \frac{1}{3})$$

and

202405

$$\mu + \alpha_2 \quad (c_2 = 1 \ c_3 = c_4 = 0)$$

but

$$\mu + 2\alpha_2$$

is not estimable

Example 18.

Let

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \epsilon_3 \\ \epsilon_4 \\ \epsilon_5 \\ \epsilon_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Show that every linear parametric function $c_1\beta_1 + c_2\beta_2$ is estimable.

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Definition 7.

202405

For a linear model with $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma$, where **X** is an $n \times k$ matrix, $C_{r \times k}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k \times 1}$ is said to be **estimable** if all of its elements

$$Coldsymbol{eta} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1^T \ \mathbf{c}_2^T \ dots \ \mathbf{c}_r^T \end{pmatrix} oldsymbol{eta} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1^T oldsymbol{eta} \ \mathbf{c}_2^T oldsymbol{eta} \ \mathbf{c}_r^T oldsymbol{eta} \end{bmatrix}$$

are estimable.

Result 7.

For the linear model with $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma$, where **X** is an $n \times k$ matrix. Each of the following conditions hold if and only if $C\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable.

- (i) $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{X} = C$ for some matrix \mathbf{A} , i.e., each row of C is in the space spanned by the rows of \mathbf{X} .
- (ii) $C\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$ for any \mathbf{d} for which $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$.
- (iii) $C\mathbf{b}$ is the same for any solution to the normal equations $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$.

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

2.8 Best Linear Unbiased Estimator

For a linear model

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$,

we have

- Any estimable function has a specific interpretation
- The OLS estimator for an estimable function $C\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is unique

$$C\mathbf{b} = C(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$$

- The OLS estimator for an estimable function $C\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is
 - a linear estimator
 - an unbiased estimator

In the class of linear unbiased estimators for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$, is the OLS estimator the "best?"

Here "best" means smallest expected squared error. Let $t(\mathbf{y})$ denote a linear unbiased estimator for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$. Then, the expected squared error is

MSE =
$$E[t(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}]^2$$

= $E[t(\mathbf{y}) - E(t(\mathbf{y})) + E(t(\mathbf{y})) - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}]^2$
= $E[t(\mathbf{y}) - E(t(\mathbf{y}))]^2$
+ $[E(t(\mathbf{y})) - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}]^2$
+ $2[E(t(\mathbf{y})) - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}]E[t(\mathbf{y}) - E(t(\mathbf{y}))]$
= $E[t(\mathbf{y}) - E(t(\mathbf{y}))]^2 + [E(t(\mathbf{y})) - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}]^2$
= $V(t(\mathbf{y})) + [\text{bias}]^2$
 \uparrow
the bias is zero

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

We are restricting our attention to linear unbiased estimators for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$:

- $\bullet \ E(t(\mathbf{y})) = \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$
- $t(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{y}$ for some \mathbf{a}

Then, $t(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{y}$ is the **Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE)** for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ if

$$V(\mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{y}) \leq V(\mathbf{d}^T\mathbf{y})$$

for any \mathbf{d} and any value of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Result 8. Gauss-Markov Theorem

For the Gauss-Markov model with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2 I$

the OLS estimator of an estimable function $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the **unique** best linear unbiased estimator (blue) of $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN	202405	Chapter 2 Linear Models	73	202405	Chapter 2 Linear Models	74
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@DR YONG CHIN KHIAN						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©Dr Yong Chin Khian MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©Dr Yong Chin Khian						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©Dr Yong Chin Khian MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©Dr Yong Chin Khian						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©Dr Yong Chin Khian MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©Dr Yong Chin Khian						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©Dr Yong Chin Khian MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©Dr Yong Chin Khian						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR Yong Chin Khian MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR Yong Chin Khian						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN						
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN						
	MEME1620	03 LINEAR MODELS©DR Yong Chin	KHIAN	MEME1620	3 LINEAR MODELS©DR Yong Chin	KHIAN

202405 Chapter 2 Linear Models

76

2.9 Generalized Least Squares (GLS) Estimation

What if you have a linear model that is **not** a Gauss-Markov model?

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
$$V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma \neq \sigma^2 I$$

• Parts (i) and (ii) of the proof of result 8 do not require

$$V(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2 I \ .$$

Consequently, the OLS estimator for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$,

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

is a linear unbiased estimator.

• Result 6 does not require

$$V(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2 I$$

and the OLS estimator for any estimable quantity,

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} ,$$

is invariant to the choice of $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^-$.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

• The OLS estimator $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}$ may not be blue. There may be other linear unbiased estimators with smaller variance.

Note

$$V(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}) = V(\mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y})$$
$$= \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}^T \Sigma \mathbf{X} [(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^-]^T \mathbf{c}$$

For the Gauss-Markov model

$$V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma = \sigma^2 I$$

and

$$V(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} [(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^-]^T \mathbf{c}$$
$$= \sigma^2 \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{c}$$

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
$$V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma \neq \sigma^2 I$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Definition 8.

For a linear model with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma$,

where Σ is positive definite, a generalized least squares estimator for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ minimizes

$$(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}})$$

Strategy: Transform y to a random vector Z for which the Gauss-Markov model applies. The spectral decomposition of Σ yields

$$\Sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{u}_j^T.$$

Define

$$\Sigma^{-1/2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}} \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{u}_j^T$$

and create the random vector $\mathbf{Z} = \Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{y}$.

Then

$$V(\mathbf{Z}) = V(\Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{y})$$

= $\Sigma^{-1/2}\Sigma \Sigma^{-1/2}$
= I

and

$$E(\mathbf{Z}) = E(\Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{y})$$

$$= \Sigma^{-1/2}E(\mathbf{y})$$

$$= \Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$= \mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

and we have a Gauss-Markov model for \mathbf{Z} , where $\mathbf{W} = \Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}$ is the model matrix.

Note that

$$(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{W}\mathbf{b})^{T}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{W}\mathbf{b})$$

$$= (\Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{y} - \Sigma^{1/2}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})^{T}(\Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{y}\Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})$$

$$= (y - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})^{T}\Sigma^{-1/2}\Sigma^{-1/2}(y - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})$$

$$= (y - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(y - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Hence, any GLS estimator for the \mathbf{y} model is an OLS estimator for the \mathbf{Z} model.

It must be a solution to the normal equations for the ${\bf Z}$ model

$$\mathbf{W}^{T}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{W}^{T}\mathbf{Z}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{X}^{T}\Sigma^{-1/2}\Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{X})\mathbf{b}$$

$$= \mathbf{X}^{T}\Sigma^{-1/2}\Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{y}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{X}^{T}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{X})\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}^{T}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{y}$$

These are the generalized least squares estimating equations.

Any solution

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{GLS}} &= (\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W})^{-} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{Z} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

is called a generalized least squares (GLS) estimator for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Result 9.

For the linear model with $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $V(\mathbf{y}) =$ Σ the GLS estimator of an estimable function $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$,

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{GLS}} = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \ ,$$

is the unique blue of $\mathbf{c}^T \beta$.

Comments:

• For the linear model with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma$

both the OLS and GLS estimators for an estimable function $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ are linear unbiased estimators.

$$V(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}_{\text{OLS}}) = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}^T \Sigma \mathbf{X} [(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^-]^T \mathbf{c}$$

$$\begin{split} V(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}}) = \\ \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{c} \end{split}$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

 $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}_{\text{OLS}}$ is not a "bad" estimator, but

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}_{\text{OLS}}$$
 is not a "bad" estimator, but
$$V(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}_{\text{OLS}}) \geq V(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}})$$
because $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}_{\text{GLS}}$ is the unique blue for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

• For the Gauss-Markov model,

$$\mathbf{c}^T b_{\text{GLS}} = \mathbf{c}^T b_{\text{OLS}} \ .$$

- \bullet The results for $\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{GLS}}$ and $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{GLS}}$ assume that $V(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{\Sigma}$ is known.
- The same results, hold for the model where $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2 V$ for some known matrix V.
- In practice $V(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma$ is usually unknown. Then an approximation to

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{GLS}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

is obtained by substituting a consistent MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

estimator $\hat{\Sigma}$ for Σ .

- use method of moments or maximum likelihood estimation to obtain $\hat{\Sigma}$
- the resulting estimator
 - * is not a linear estimator
 - * is consistent but not necessarily unbiased
 - \ast does not provide a blue for estimable functions
 - * may have larger mean squared error than the OLS estimator

To create confidence intervals or test hypotheses about estimable functions for a linear model with

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

we must

(i) specify a probability distribution for \mathbf{y} so we can derive a distribution for

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

(ii) estimate σ^2 when

$$V(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2 I \text{ or } V(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2 V$$

for some known V.

(iii) Estimate Σ when

$$V(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{\Sigma}$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

Example 19.

Suppose that y_{11} and y_{12} are independent $N(\mu_1, 9\sigma^2)$ variables independent of y_{21} and y_{22} that are independent $N(\mu_2, 25\sigma^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, 36\sigma^2)$ variables respectively. What is the BLUE of $2\mu_1 + 3\mu_2$? Explain carefully.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

.

Example 20.

202405

Suppose $y_i = x_i \beta + \epsilon$, where for $\mathbf{e} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)'$, $E(\mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{0}$. A particular experiment produces n = 5 data points as per

	27		_		
y	327	390	120	138	85

Suppose that $V(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 diag(9, 36, 64, 81, 100)$. Evaluate an appropriate BLUE of β under the model assumptions.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

2.10 Reparameterization, Restrictions, and Avoiding Generalized Inverses

Models that may appear to be different at first sight, may be equivalent in many ways.

Example 21. Two-way classification Consider the "cell mean" model.

$$y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$
 $i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2; k = 1, 2$
where $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{111} \\ y_{112} \\ y_{121} \\ y_{122} \\ y_{211} \\ y_{212} \\ y_{221} \\ y_{222} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} \\ \mu_{12} \\ \mu_{21} \\ \mu_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{111} \\ \epsilon_{112} \\ \epsilon_{121} \\ \epsilon_{121} \\ \epsilon_{211} \\ \epsilon_{212} \\ \epsilon_{221} \\ \epsilon_{222} \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

The "effects" model:

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$$
 1 = 1, 2; $j = 1, 2; k = 1, 2$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{111} \\ y_{112} \\ y_{121} \\ y_{122} \\ y_{211} \\ y_{212} \\ y_{221} \\ y_{222} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \gamma_{11} \\ \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{21} \\ \gamma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$\mathbf{y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

The models are "equivalent": the space spanned by the columns of \mathbf{W} is the same as the space spanned by columns of \mathbf{X} , i.e. $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{W}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$.

You can find matrices F and G such that

and

$$X = \mathbf{W} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{WG}$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Then,

(i)
$$rank(X) = rank(\mathbf{W})$$

(ii) Estimated mean responses are the same:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{y}} &= X(X^T X)^- X^T \mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W})^{-1} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{y} \end{split}$$

or

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = P_X \mathbf{y} = P_\mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}$$

(iii) Residual vectors are the same

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = (I - P_X)\mathbf{y}$$
$$= (I - P_\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y}$$

Example 22. Regression model for the yield of a chemical process

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \epsilon_i$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$
yield temperature time

An "equivalent" model is

$$y_i = \alpha_0 + \beta_1(X_{1i} - \bar{X}_{1.}) + \beta_2(X_{2i} - \bar{X}_{2.}) + \epsilon_i$$

For the first model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \\ y_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{11} & X_{21} \\ 1 & X_{12} & X_{22} \\ 1 & X_{13} & X_{23} \\ 1 & X_{14} & X_{24} \\ 1 & X_{15} & X_{25} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \epsilon_3 \\ \epsilon_4 \\ \epsilon_5 \end{bmatrix} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR Yong Chin Khian

For the second model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \\ y_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{11} - \bar{X}_1 & X_{21} - \bar{X}_2 \\ 1 & X_{12} - \bar{X}_1 & X_{22} - \bar{X}_2 \\ 1 & X_{13} - \bar{X}_1 & X_{23} - \bar{X}_2 \\ 1 & X_{14} - \bar{X}_1 & X_{24} - \bar{X}_2 \\ 1 & X_{15} - \bar{X}_1 & X_{25} - \bar{X}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \epsilon_3 \\ \epsilon_4 \\ \epsilon_5 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

The space spanned by the columns of X is the same as the space spanned by the columns of \mathbf{W} . Find matrices \mathbf{G} and F such that $X = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{W} = XF$.

96

Definition 9.

Consider two linear models:

1.
$$E(\mathbf{y}) = X\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and,

2.
$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$$
 and $V(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

where X is an $n \times k$ model matrix and \mathbf{W} is an $n \times q$ model matrix.

We say that one model is a **reparameterization** of the other if there is a $k \times q$ matrix F and a $q \times k$ matrix G such that

$$\mathbf{W} = XF$$
 and $X = \mathbf{WG}$.

The previous examples illustrate that if one model is a reparameterization of the other, then

- (i) $\operatorname{rank}(X) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{W})$
- (ii) Least squares estimates of the response means are the same, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = P_X \mathbf{y} = P_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{y}$
- (iii) Residuals are the same, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = (I - P_X)\mathbf{y} = (I - P_w)\mathbf{y}$$

MEME
16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

(iv) An unbiased estimator for σ^2 is provided by

$$MSE = SSE/(n - rank(X))$$

where,

$$SSE = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_X) \mathbf{y}$$
$$= \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_W) \mathbf{y}$$

Reasons for reparameterizing models:

- (i) Reduce the number of parameters
 - Obtain a full rank model
 - Avoid use of generalized inverses
- (ii) Make computations easier
 - In the previous examples, $\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{W}$ is a diagonal matrix and $(\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{W})^{-1}$ is easy to compute
- (iii) More meaningfull interpretation of parameters.

Result 10.

Suppose two linear models,

(1)
$$E(\mathbf{y}) = X\boldsymbol{\beta} \ V(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

and

(2)
$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{W}_{\dot{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}} \gamma \ V(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

are reparameterizations of each other, and let F be a matrix such that $\mathbf{W} = XF$. Then

- (i) If $\mathbf{C}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable for the first model, then $\boldsymbol{\beta} = F \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{C}^T F \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is estimable under Model 2.
- (ii) Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (X^T X)^- X^T \mathbf{y}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = (\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W})^- \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{y}$. If $\mathbf{C}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable, then

$$\mathbf{C}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{C}^T F \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS© DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

Example 23.

Consider an experiment with 10 batteries. Two types of plate material (labeled 1 and 2)were randomly assigned to the 10 batteris using a balanced and completely randomized design. Temperatures of $x_1 = 0^{\circ}C$, $x_2 = 30^{\circ}C$, $x_3 = 60^{\circ}C$, $x_4 = 90^{\circ}C$ and $x_5 = 120^{\circ}C$ were randomly assigned to 2 batteries with plate material type 1 and 2 respectively. Consider a Gauss-Markov model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \gamma X_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$
 Model (1)

where

- y_{ij} is the battery life time for the battery assigned to the j^{th} level of the temperature and the i^{th} level of the battery type,
- X_j denote the level of temperature administered to the battery,
- $\mu, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma$ are unknown parameters, and
- ϵ_{ij} denotes a random error with $\epsilon_{ij} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$ where $\sigma^2 > 0$.

Use this model to answer the following questions. (You may express your answers in matrix notation, but define any new notation that you introduce.)

(a) Let
$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\mu, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma)^T$$
, $\mathbf{y} = [y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{14}, y_{15}, y_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23},$ and

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

- (c) Show that any two matrices ${\bf W}$ and ${\bf X}$ have the same column space if there exist matrices ${\bf F}$ and ${\bf G}$ such that ${\bf WG}={\bf X}$ and ${\bf XF}={\bf W}.$
- (d) Show that \mathbf{X} in part (a) has the same column space as

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

202405

Restrictions (side conditions) 2.11

- Give meaning to individual parameters
- Make individual parameters estimable
- Create a full rank model matrix
- Avoid the use of generalized inverses
- Restrictions must involve "non-estimable" quantities for the unrestricted "effects" model.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

Example 24. An effects model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

This model can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ \vdots \\ y_{1,n_1} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2,n_2} \\ y_{31} \\ y_{32} \\ \vdots \\ y_{3,n_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{1,n_1} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2,n_2} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{32} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{3,n_3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Impose the restriction

$$\alpha_3 = 0$$

Then,
$$E(y_{1j}) =$$

$$E(y_{2j}) = E(y_{3j}) =$$
and

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ \vdots \\ y_{1,n_1} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2,n_2} \\ y_{31} \\ y_{32} \\ \vdots \\ y_{3,n_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{1,n_1} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2,n_2} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{32} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{3,n_3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Write this model as $\mathbf{y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ where

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and
$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, $X^TX =$ and

Chapter 2 Linear Models

110

 $X^T \mathbf{y} =$

л у —

and the unique OLS estimator for $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\mu \ \alpha_1 \ \alpha_2)^T$ is

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

Example 25.

202405

Consider the model $y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ with the restriction $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$. Then, $\alpha_3 = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ and

$$E(y_{1j}) = E(y_{2j}) = E(y_{3j} = and$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ \vdots \\ y_{1,n_1} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2,n_2} \\ y_{31} \\ y_{32} \\ \vdots \\ y_{3,n_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{1,n_1} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2,n_2} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{32} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{3,n_3} \end{bmatrix}$$

This model is $\mathbf{y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$

Chapter 2 Linear Models

112

The unique OLS estimator for $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\mu \ \alpha_1 \ \alpha_2)^T$

Example 26.

202405

Consider the model $y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ with the restriction $\alpha_1 = 0$. Then,

$$E(y_{1j}) = E(y_{2j}) = E(y_{3j})$$
 and

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ \vdots \\ y_{1,n_1} \\ y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2,n_2} \\ y_{31} \\ \vdots \\ y_{3,n_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{1,n_1} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2,n_2} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{32} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{3,n_3} \end{bmatrix}$$

This model is $\mathbf{y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, with

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

.

202405

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and
$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and and an expression of the exp

The unique OLS estimator for $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\mu \ \alpha_1 \ \alpha_2)^T$ is

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS@Dr Yong Chin Khian

The restrictions (i.e. the choice of one particular solution to the normal equations) have no effect on the OLS estimates of estimable quantities. The estimated treatment means are:

$$E(\hat{y}_{1j}) = \hat{\mu} = \bar{y}_{1.}$$

$$E(\hat{y}_{2j}) = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_{2} = \bar{y}_{2.}$$

$$E(\hat{y}_{3j}) = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_{3} = \bar{y}_{3.}$$