

CONTENTS	
4 Tests of Hypotheses and Confidence Intervals	2
4.1 Test of Hypotheses	2
4.2 Hypothesis Tests for Estimable Function	5
4.2.1 The Mean Response For Any Treatments	6
4.2.2 Difference between the mean response for two treatments	9
4.2.3 Non Estimable Functions	10
4.3 Consistencies and Redundancies	11
4.4 Testable Hypothesis	15
4.5 Normal Theory Gauss-Markov Model	17
4.6 Elements of Hypothesis Test	44
4.6.1 Type I Error Level	44
4.6.2 Type II Error Level	45
4.6.3 Power of a Test	46
4.7 Confidence intervals for estimable functions of β	52
4.8 Confidence interval for σ^2 :	57

$$E(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \text{ and } Var(\mathbf{Y}) = \Sigma$$

Consider the linear model with

This can also be expressed as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon$$

where $E(\epsilon) = \mathbf{0}$ and $Var(\epsilon) = \Sigma$.

- is a status quo or prevailing viewpoint about a population
- specifies the values for one or more elements of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- specifies the values for some linear functions of the elements of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

An alternative hypothesis (H_1)

- is an alternative to the null hypothesis – the change in the population that the researcher hopes is true

We may test

$$H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d} \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \mathbf{d}$$

where

- \mathbf{C} is an $m \times k$ matrix of constants
- \mathbf{d} is an $m \times 1$ vector of constants

The null hypothesis is rejected if it is shown to be sufficiently incompatible with the observed data.

Failing to reject H_0 is **not** the same as proving H_0 is true.

- too little data to accurately estimate $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- relatively large variation in $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ (or \mathbf{Y})
- if $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$ is false, $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{d}$ may be “small”

Consider the following effects models:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij} \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \\ j = 1, \dots, n_i$$

In this case

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} \\ Y_{12} \\ Y_{21} \\ Y_{31} \\ Y_{32} \\ Y_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{32} \\ \epsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

By definition

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \mu + \alpha_i \text{ is estimable.}$$

We can test

$$H_0 : \mu + \alpha_1 = 60 \text{ seconds}$$

against

$$H_1 : \mu + \alpha_1 \neq 60 \text{ seconds} \\ (\text{two-sided alternative})$$

Or we can test

$$H_0 : \mu + \alpha_1 = 60 \text{ seconds}$$

against

$$H_1 : \mu + \alpha_1 < 60 \text{ seconds} \\ (\text{one-sided alternative})$$

In this case

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mu + \alpha_1 = \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} \quad \text{where } \mathbf{c} =$$

Note that this quantity is estimable, i. e.,

$$\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mu + \alpha_1 = E \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 0 0 0 0 \right) \mathbf{Y} \right].$$

Then, any solution

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$$

to the generalized least squares estimating equations

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$$

to the least squares estimating equations

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

yields the same value for $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}$ is the unique blue for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

$$\mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$$

yields the same value for $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}$ and it is the unique blue for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

We will reject $H_0 : \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = 60$ if

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$$

is too far away from 60.

We will reject $H_0 : \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = 60$ if

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

is too far away from 60.

4.2.2 Difference between the mean response for two treatments

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_3 = (\mu + \alpha_1) - (\mu + \alpha_3)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} \ 1 \ 2 \ 0 \ \frac{-1}{3} \ \frac{-1}{3} \right) E(\mathbf{Y})$$

and we can test

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 = 0 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1 : \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \neq 0$$

If $\text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) = \sigma^2 I$, the unique blue for

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_3 = (0 \ 1 \ 0 \ -1)\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

is

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} \text{ for any } \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

Reject $H_0 : \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 = \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$ if $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}$ is too far from 0.

4.2.3 Non Estimable Functions

It would not make much sense to attempt to test

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 = 3 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1 : \alpha_1 \neq 3$$

because $\alpha_1 = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is not estimable.

- Although $E(Y_{1j}) = \mu + \alpha_1$ neither μ nor α_1 has a clear interpretation.
- Different solutions to the normal equations produce different values for

$$\hat{\alpha}_1 = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

- To make a statement about α_1 , an additional restriction must be imposed on the parameters in the model to give α_1 a precise meaning.

4.3 Consistencies and Redundancies

For $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, consider testing

$$H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 60 \\ 70 \end{bmatrix} \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 60 \\ 70 \end{bmatrix}$$

In this case $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable, but there is an inconsistency. If the null hypothesis is true,

$$\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \\ \mu + \alpha_1 \\ \mu + \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 60 \\ 70 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\mu + \alpha_1 = 60$ and $\mu + \alpha_3 = 70$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) &= (\mu + \alpha_1) - (\mu + \alpha_3) \\ &= 60 - 70 \\ &= \mathbf{-10} \end{aligned}$$

Such inconsistencies should be avoided.

CHAPTER 4 TESTS OF HYPOTHESES AND

CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

202405 CONFIDENCE INTERVALS 12

CHAPTER 4 TESTS OF HYPOTHESES AND

CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

202405 CONFIDENCE INTERVALS 12

For $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

consider testing

$$H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} -10 \\ 60 \\ 70 \end{bmatrix} \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \begin{bmatrix} -10 \\ 60 \\ 70 \end{bmatrix}$$

In this case $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable and the equations specified by the null hypothesis are consistent.

There is a redundancy

$$\begin{aligned} [1 & 1 & 0 & 0] \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mu + \alpha_1 = 60 \\ [1 & 0 & 0 & 1] \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mu + \alpha_3 = 70 \end{aligned}$$

imply that

$$\begin{aligned} [0 & 1 & 0 & -1] \boldsymbol{\beta} &= \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \\ &= (\mu + \alpha_1) - (\mu + \alpha_3) \\ &= 60 - 70 \\ &= -10 \end{aligned}$$

The rows of \mathbf{C} are not linearly independent, i.e.,
 $\text{rank}(\mathbf{C}) < \text{number of rows in } \mathbf{C}$.

There are many equivalent ways to remove a redundancy:

$$H_0 : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 60 \\ 70 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_0 : \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} -10 \\ 60 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_0 : \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} -10 \\ 70 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_0 : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 50 \\ 130 \end{bmatrix}$$

are all equivalent.

In each case:

- The two rows of \mathbf{C} are linearly independent and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\mathbf{C}) &= 2 \\ &= \text{number of rows in } \mathbf{C} \end{aligned}$$

- The two rows of \mathbf{C} are a basis for the same 2-dimensional subspace of R^4 .

This is the 2-dimensional space spanned by the rows of

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We will only consider null hypotheses of the form

$$H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$$

where $\text{rank}(\mathbf{C}) = \text{number of rows in } \mathbf{C}$. This leads to the following concept of a “testable” hypothesis.

4.4 Testable Hypothesis 15

Definition 1.

Consider a linear model

$$E(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

where

$$V(\mathbf{Y}) = \Sigma$$

and \mathbf{X} is an $n \times k$ matrix. For an $m \times k$ matrix of constants \mathbf{C} and an $m \times 1$ vector of constants \mathbf{d} , we will say that

$$H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$$

is **testable** if

- (i) $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable
- (ii) $\text{rank}(\mathbf{C}) = m = \text{number of rows in } \mathbf{C}$

4.5 Normal Theory Gauss-Markov Model

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 I)$$

A least squares estimator \mathbf{b} for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ minimizes

$$(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})$$

For any generalized inverse of $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$,

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

is a solution to the normal equations

$$(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}.$$

Result 1. Results for the Gauss-Markov model

For a testable null hypothesis

$$H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$$

the OLS estimator for $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$,

$$\mathbf{Cb} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y},$$

has the following properties:

- (i) Since $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable, \mathbf{Cb} is invariant to the choice of $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-}$.
- (ii) Since $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable, \mathbf{Cb} is the unique BLUE for $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$.
- (iii) $E(\mathbf{Cb} - \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{d}$

(vi) When $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$ is true,

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^T)$$

then

$$SS_{H_0} = (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d})^T [\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^T]^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d})$$

where $m = rank(\mathbf{C})$ and

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{d})^T [\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^T]^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{d})$$

(vii) Define

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SS_{H_0} \sim \chi_m^2(\lambda)$$

(viii) $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SS_{H_0} \sim \chi_m^2$ if and only if $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\beta = \mathbf{d}$
is true.

(ix) $E(SS_{residuals}) = (n - k)\sigma^2$ where
 $k = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{P}_X)$ and
 $n - k = \text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)$ and it follows that

$$MS_{\text{residuals}} = \frac{SS_{\text{residuals}}}{n - k}$$

is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

$$(x) \frac{1}{\sigma^2} SS_{residuals} \sim \chi^2_{n-k}$$

(xi) SS_{H_0} and $SS_{residuals}$ are independently distributed.

$$(xii) \quad F = \frac{\left(\frac{SS_{H_0}}{m\sigma^2}\right)}{\left(\frac{SS_{\text{residuals}}}{(n-k)\sigma^2}\right)} = \frac{SS_{H_0}/m}{SS_{\text{residuals}}/(n-k)} = \frac{(n-k)SS_{H_0}}{mSS_{\text{residuals}}} \sim F_{m,n-k}(\lambda)$$

with noncentrality parameter

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{d})^T [\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^T - \mathbf{d}] \geq 0$$

and $\lambda = 0$ if and only if $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$ is true.

$$\text{and } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I).$$

(a) Determine which of the following hypotheses are testable.

$$i. H_0 : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$$

202405	CHAPTER 4 TESTS OF HYPOTHESES AND CONFIDENCE INTERVALS	29
	ii. $H_0 : \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 = 0$	

202405	CHAPTER 4 TESTS OF HYPOTHESES AND CONFIDENCE INTERVALS	29
	iii. $H_0 : \alpha_3 = 0$	

202405	CHAPTER 4 TESTS OF HYPOTHESES AND CONFIDENCE INTERVALS	31
	iv. $H_0 : \mu = 0$	

202405	CHAPTER 4 TESTS OF HYPOTHESES AND CONFIDENCE INTERVALS	31
	v. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3$ and $\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$	32

vi. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_3 = 0$

(b) Suppose

$$H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

against the alternative $H_1 : \alpha_1 \neq \alpha_3$ or
 $\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \neq 0$.
 i. Show that H_0 is testable.

- ii. Express the numerator and denominator of your F -statistic as two quadratic forms. Show that the quadratic form in the denominator of your F -statistic, has a central chi-square distribution. Report it's degrees of freedom.

Report it's degrees of freedom.

- iii. Show that the quadratic form in the numerator of your F -statistic, has a non central chi-square distribution. Report it's degrees of freedom and non centrality parameter.

iv. Show that the numerator and denominator of your F -statistic are independently distributed.

v. Show that your F -statistic has a non-central F -distribution. Report its degrees of freedom and express the non-centrality parameter as a function of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$.

- vi. Show that your test statistic has a central F-distribution when the null hypothesis is true.

Example 2.

The shear strength of an adhesive is thought to be affected by the application pressure (lb/in^2) and temperature ($^{\circ}F$). Two adhesive were applied for each of the six combinations of pressure and temperature. The data are shown below.

Treatment	Shear Strength of Adhesive
120 (lb/in^2) with $250^{\circ}F$	$y_{11} = 9.60$
130 (lb/in^2) with $250^{\circ}F$	$y_{21} = 9.69$
140 (lb/in^2) with $250^{\circ}F$	$y_{31} = 8.43$
120 (lb/in^2) with $270^{\circ}F$	$y_{51} = 9.00$
130 (lb/in^2) with $270^{\circ}F$	$y_{61} = 9.57$
140 (lb/in^2) with $270^{\circ}F$	$y_{71} = 9.03$
	$y_{72} = 11.70$

Consider the model $y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$, where $\epsilon_{ij} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, and $j = 1, 2$. This model can be expressed in matrix form as $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.

- (a) Suppose the null hypothesis is:
“after averaging across the two temperatures, the
average shear strength of the adhesive is the same
for all three pressures”.
- Express the hypothesis in the form $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$

- (b) You are given one of the solution to the normal
equation is
$$\mathbf{b} = [8.44 \ 1.21 \ 1.46 \ 1.28 \ 1.70 \ 0.86 \ 1.93]^T.$$
- Compute the SS_{H_0} corresponding to the null hy-
pothesis in part (a), and state it's distribution
when the null hypothesis is true.

- (c) Suppose $SSE = 9.7267$, compute the value of the corresponding F -statistic and report the degrees of freedom.

4.6 Elements of Hypothesis Test

We perform the test by rejecting

$$H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$$

if

$$F > F_{(m,n-k),\alpha}$$

where α is a specified significance level (Type I error level) for the test.

$$\alpha = Pr \{reject H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ is true}\}$$

4.6.1 Type I Error Level

$$\alpha = Pr \{F > F_{m,n-k,\alpha} \mid H_0 \text{ is true}\}$$

When H_0 is true,

$$F = \frac{MS_{H_0}}{MS_{residuals}} \sim F_{m,n-k}$$

This is the probability of incorrectly rejecting a null hypothesis that is true.

4.6.2 Type II Error Level

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= Pr\{\text{Type II error}\} \\ &= Pr\{\text{fail to reject } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ is false}\} \\ &= Pr\{F < F_{m,n-k,\alpha} \mid H_0 \text{ is false}\}\end{aligned}$$

When H_0 is false,

$$F = \frac{MS_{H_0}}{MS_{\text{residuals}}} \sim F_{(m,n-k)}(\lambda)$$

\nearrow

$power = 1 - \beta$

$= Pr\{F > F_{m,n-k,\alpha} \mid H_0 \text{ is false}\}$

\searrow

this determines the value
of the noncentrality
parameter.

For a fixed type I error level (significance level) α , the power of the test increases as the noncentrality parameter increases.

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{d})^T [\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^T]^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{d})$$

Example 3.

Effects of three diets on blood coagulation times in rats.

Diet factor: Diet 1, Diet 2, Diet 3

Response: blood coagulation time

Model for a completely randomized experiment with n_i rats assigned to the i -th diet.

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ij} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$.

Here, $E(Y_{ij}) = \mu + \alpha_i$ is the mean coagulation time for rats fed the i -th diet.

Example 4.
..

Suppose we are willing to specify:

- (i) $\alpha = \text{type I error level} = .05$
- (ii) $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n$
- (iii) power $\geq .90$ to detect
- (iv) a specific alternative

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu + \alpha_1) - (\mu + \alpha_3) &= 0.5\sigma \\(\mu + \alpha_2) - (\mu + \alpha_3) &= \sigma\end{aligned}$$

How many observations (in this case rats) are needed?

Example 5.

For the hypotheses testing

$$H_0 : (\mu + \alpha_1) = (\mu + \alpha_2) = \cdots = (\mu + \alpha_k)$$

against

$$H_1 : (\mu + \alpha_1) \neq (\mu + \alpha_j) \text{ for some } i \neq j$$

Obtain the test statistic and the corresponding non-centrality parameter.

$$t = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{W}{v}}}$$

is called the student t-distribution with v degrees of freedom. We will use the notation

$$t \sim t_v$$

For the normal-theory Gauss-Markov model

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 I),$$

the OLS estimator of an estimable function, $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$,

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

follows a normal distribution, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} \sim N(\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{c}).$$

4.7 Confidence intervals for estimable functions of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

Definition 2.

Suppose $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ is distributed independently of $W \sim \chi^2_v$, and then the distribution of

It follows that

$$Z = \frac{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{c}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

From Result 1.(ix), we have

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SSE = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{Y}^T (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{Y} \sim \chi^2_{(n-k)}$$

where $k = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})$.

Using the same argument that we used to derive Result 1.(x), we can show that $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}$ is distributed independently of $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SSE$.

First note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} \\ (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{Y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \\ (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y}$$

has a joint normal distribution under the normal-theory Gauss-Markov model.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}, (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{Y}) \\ &= (\mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T)(V(\mathbf{Y}))(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})^T \\ &= (\mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T)(\sigma^2)(I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \\ &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{I} - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \uparrow$$

this is a matrix of zeros

Consequently,

$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}$ is distributed independently of $\mathbf{e} = (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{Y}$

which implies that

$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}$ is distributed independently of $SSE = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}$.

$$\text{Then, } t = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2(n-k)}}}$$

and a $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval for $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{c}}} \text{ is}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\sqrt{\frac{\text{SSE}}{(n-k)} \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{c}}} \sim t_{(n-k)}$$

$\frac{\text{SSE}}{n-k}$ is the MSE

It follows that

$$1 - \alpha = Pr \left\{ -t_{(n-k),\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\sqrt{\text{MSE} \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{c}}} \leq t_{(n-k),\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$= Pr \left\{ \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} - t_{(n-k),\alpha/2} \sqrt{\text{MSE} \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{c}} \leq \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} \right. \\ \left. \leq \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} + t_{(n-k),\alpha/2} \sqrt{\text{MSE} \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{c}} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} \pm t_{(n-k),\alpha/2} S_{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}}$$

$$\text{where } S_{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}} = \sqrt{\text{MSE} \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{c}}.$$

For the normal-theory Gauss-Markov model with $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 I)$, the interval

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b} \pm t_{(n-k),\alpha/2} S_{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{b}}$$

is the **shortest random interval** with probability $(1 - \alpha)$ of containing $\mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

4.8 Confidence interval for σ^2 :

For the normal-theory Gauss-Markov model with $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 I)$ we have shown that

$$\frac{\text{SSE}}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\mathbf{Y}^T(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{Y}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(n-k)}$$

Then,

$$1 - \alpha = Pr \left\{ \chi^2_{(n-k),1-\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\text{SSE}}{\sigma^2} \leq \chi^2_{(n-k),\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$= Pr \left\{ \frac{\text{SSE}}{\chi^2_{(n-k),\alpha/2}} \leq \sigma^2 \leq \frac{\text{SSE}}{\chi^2_{(n-k),1-\alpha/2}} \right\}$$

The resulting $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval for σ^2 is

$$\left(\frac{\text{SSE}}{\chi^2_{(n-k),\alpha/2}}, \frac{\text{SSE}}{\chi^2_{(n-k),1-\alpha/2}} \right)$$

Example 6.

For the simple regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \epsilon_i,$$

where for $\mathbf{e} = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)^T$, $E(\mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{0}$. You are given

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ y & 4 & 7 & 6 & 8 \end{array}$$

Suppose that $V(\mathbf{e}) = \sigma^2 I$.

- (a) Construct the 95% confidence interval for β_1 .
- (b) Give 95% two-sided confidence interval for σ^2 in the normal version model.