

202401	CONTENTS	1
3	Greatest Accuracy Theory	3
3.1	Introduction	3
3.2	The Bayesian Approach and the Bayesian Premium	4
3.2.1	The Bayesian methodology	4
3.2.2	Bayesian Estimation and Credibility - Discrete Prior	9
3.2.3	Bayesian Estimation and Credibility - Continuous Prior . .	20
3.3	Conjugate Prior	39
3.3.1	Poisson-Gamma	39
3.3.2	Normal/Normal	47
3.3.3	Binomial-Beta	51
3.3.4	Exponential-Inverse Gamma	57
3.4	Inference and Prediction	65
3.4.1	Point Estimation	65
3.4.2	Credible Interval	70
3.5	The Bühlmann Credibility	77
3.6	Bühlmann-Straub credibility	94
3.6.1	Varying Exposure	95
3.6.2	Generalized Variance of Observations	107
3.7	Bühlmann As Least squares estimate of Bayes	112
UECM3473	CREDIBILITY THEORY©DR YONG CHIN KHIAN	

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

3

3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

3.1 Introduction

A model-based approach to the solution of the credibility problem is referred to as greatest accuracy credibility theory.

For a particular policyholder, we have observed n exposure units of past claims $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$. Let us assume that the risk level of each policyholder in the rating class may be characterized by risk parameter θ , but the value of θ varies by policy holder. Thus, there is a probability distribution with pf $\pi(\theta)$ of these values across the rating class. We assume $\pi(\theta)$ is known.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

4

3.2 The Bayesian Approach and the Bayesian Premium

3.2.1 The Bayesian methodology

Let X_i have conditional pf

$$f_{X_i|\Theta}(x_i|\theta), i = 1, \dots, n, n + 1$$

Note that if the X_i are iid (conditional on $\Theta = \theta$), then $f_{X_i|\Theta}(x_i|\theta)$ does not depend on i . Ideally, we are interested in the conditional distribution of X_{n+1} given $\Theta = \theta$ in order to predict the claims experience X_{n+1} of the same policyholder. If we knew θ , we could use $f_{X_i|\Theta}(x_i|\theta)$.

However, $f_{X_i|\Theta}(x_i|\theta)$ is unknown, but we do know \mathbf{X} for the same policyholder. Consequently, we calculate the conditional distribution of \mathbf{X}_{n+1} given $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$ called the predictive distribution.

Because the X_i 's are independent conditional on $\Theta = \theta$, we have

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \pi(\theta) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i | \theta}(x_i | \theta) \right] \pi(\theta)$$

The joint distribution of \mathbf{X} is thus the marginal distribution obtained by integrating/summing θ out, i.e.

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i | \theta}(x_i | \theta) \right] \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

if the prior is continuous

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i | \theta}(x_i | \theta) \right] \pi(\theta)$$

if the prior is discrete

Similarly, the joint distribution of X_1, \dots, X_{n+1} is the right hand side of equation above with n replace by $n + 1$ in the product/summation.

The condition density of X_{n+1} given $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$ is

$$f_{X_{n+1} | \mathbf{X}}(x_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\int \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} f_{X_i | \theta}(x_i | \theta) \right] \pi(\theta) d\theta}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})}$$

if the prior is continuous

$$f_{X_{n+1} | \mathbf{X}}(x_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} f_{X_i | \theta}(x_i | \theta) \right] \pi(\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})}$$

if the prior is discrete

The **posterior density** of Θ given \mathbf{X} is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\Theta | \mathbf{X}}(\theta | \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}, \Theta}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \frac{\left[\prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i | \theta}(x_i | \theta) \right] \pi(\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} \end{aligned}$$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

7

In other words,

$$\left[\prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i | \theta}(x_i | \theta) \right] \pi(\theta) = \pi_{\Theta | \mathbf{X}}(\theta | \mathbf{x}) f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_{n+1} | \mathbf{X}}(x_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}) &= \int_{\theta \in \Theta} f_{X_{n+1} | \theta}(x_{n+1} | \theta) \pi_{\Theta | \mathbf{X}}(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

if the prior is continuous

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_{n+1} | \mathbf{X}}(x_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} f_{X_{n+1} | \theta}(x_{n+1} | \theta) \pi_{\Theta | \mathbf{X}}(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

if the prior is discrete

and

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

8

$$= E(X_{n+1} | \mathbf{X})$$

$$= \int x_{n+1} f_{X_{n+1} | \mathbf{X}}(x_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}) dx_{n+1}$$

$$= \int x_{n+1} \int_{\theta \in \Theta} f_{X_{n+1} | \theta}(x_{n+1} | \theta) \pi_{\Theta | \mathbf{X}}(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta dx_{n+1}$$

$$= \int_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\int x_{n+1} f_{X_{n+1} | \theta}(x_{n+1} | \theta) dx_{n+1} \right] \pi_{\Theta | \mathbf{X}}(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta$$

$$= \int_{\theta \in \Theta} E(X_{n+1} | \theta) \pi_{\Theta | \mathbf{X}}(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta$$

if the prior is continuous

Similarly,

$$E(X_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} E(X_{n+1} | \theta) \pi_{\Theta | \mathbf{X}}(\theta | \mathbf{x})$$

if the prior is discrete

Notes:

$f_{X_{n+1} | \mathbf{X}}(x_{n+1} | \mathbf{x})$ is called the predictive probability and $E(X_{n+1} | \mathbf{X})$ is called the Bayesian premium.

3.2.2 Bayesian Estimation and Credibility - Discrete Prior

The application of Bayesian analysis to credibility estimation can be summarized as follows:

1. The random variable X (usually loss frequency or severity) had pf/pdf f that depends on a parameter Θ , where Θ is a random variable.
2. The assume distribution of Θ (can be discrete or continuous) is refer to the prior distribution, and has pf/pdf $\pi(\theta)$.
3. The distribution of $X|\theta$ is called model distribution.
4. An observation (several observations) is (are) made from the distribution of X , and then an updated form from the distribution of Θ is found, this is called the posterior distribution.
5. It is then is possible to extend this analysis to find the predictive distribution of the next occurrence of X .

The Bayesian statistician assumes that the universe follow a parametric model, with unknown parameters. The distribution of the model given the value of the parameters is called the model distribution. Unlike frequentist, who estimates the parameters from the data, the Bayesian assigns a prior probability distribution to the parameters. After observing data, a new distribution, called the posterior distribution is developed for the parameters.

Recall for discrete prior distribution,

The condition density of X_{n+1} given $X = x$ is

$$f_{X_{n+1}|\mathbf{X}}(x_{n+1}|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} f_{X_{n+1}|\theta}(x_{n+1}|\theta) \pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x})$$

and

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

11

$$E(X_{n+1}|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} E(X_{n+1}|\theta) \pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x})$$

The calculations can be summarized in the following table:

	$\Theta = \theta_1$	$\Theta = \theta_2$	\dots	$\Theta = \theta_k$	Sum
Prior prob.	$\pi(\Theta = \theta_1)$ $= a_1$	$\pi(\Theta = \theta_2)$ $= a_2$	\dots	$\pi(\Theta = \theta_k)$ $= a_k$	1
Model prob.	$\Pi f(x_j \theta_1)$ $= b_1$	$\Pi f(x_j \theta_2)$ $= b_2$	\dots	$\Pi f(x_j \theta_k)$ $= b_k$	
Joint prob.	$a_1 b_1$	$a_2 b_2$	\dots	$a_k b_k$	$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i$
Posterior prob.	$\frac{a_1 b_1}{\sum a_i b_i}$ $= c_1$	$\frac{a_2 b_2}{\sum a_i b_i}$ $= c_2$	\dots	$\frac{a_k b_k}{\sum a_i b_i}$ $= c_k$	1
Predictive Prob.	$\sum f(x_{n+1} \theta_i) c_i$				
Hypothetical mean	$E[X \theta_1]$	$E[X \theta_2]$	\dots	$E[X \theta_k]$	
Bayesian premium	$\sum E[X \theta_i] c_i$				

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

12

Example 1.

There are two types of driver. Good drivers make up 75% of the population and in one year have zero claims with probability 0.7, one claim with probability 0.2, and two claims with probability 0.1. Bad drivers make up the other 25% of the population and have zero, one, or two claims with probabilities 0.5, 0.3, 0.2, respectively. For a particular policyholder suppose we have observed $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 1$. Determine the posterior distribution of $\Theta|x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$.

Example 2.

Using the information from previous example, determine the predictive distribution of $X_3|x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$.

Example 3 (T3Q1).

An automobile liability coverage is sold in three territories, A, B, and C. 30% of the business is sold in A, 20% in B, and 50% in C. Claim frequencies on this coverage are given in the following table:

Territory	Number of Claims		
	0	1	2
A	0.61	0.22	0.17
B	0.67	0.26	0.07
C	0.23	0.66	0.11

An insured selected at random had no claims in one period. Determine the probability of one claim from this insured in the next period. 0.3495

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

15

Example 4 (T3Q02).

An automobile liability coverage is sold in three cities, J, K, and L. 31% of the business is sold in J, 22% in K, and 47% in L. Claim frequencies on this coverage are given in the following table:

City	Number of Claims		
	0	1	2
J	0.6	0.23	0.17
K	0.7	0.28	0.02
L	0.23	0.65	0.12

An insured selected at random had no claims in the first period and two claims in the second period. Determine the expected number of claims from this insured in the next period. 0.6538

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

16

Example 5 (T3Q03).

You are given:

- The annual number of claims on a given policy has a poisson distribution with parameter λ .
- 27% of the policies have $\lambda = 1.4$, 46% of the policies have $\lambda = 2.1$, and the remaining 27% have $\lambda = 3.2$.

A randomly selected policy had 2 claims in Year 1. Calculate the Bayesian expected number of claims for the selected policy in Year2.

Example 6 (T3Q04).

Two eight-sided dice, A and B , are used to determine the number of claims for an insured. The faces of each die are marked with either 0 or 1, representing the number of claims for that insured for the year.

Die	$P(\text{claims} = 0)$	$P(\text{claims} = 1)$
A	$\frac{6}{8}$	$\frac{2}{8}$
B	$\frac{4}{8}$	$\frac{4}{8}$

Two spinners, X and Y , are used to determine claim cost. Spinner X has two areas marked 13 and c . Spinner Y has only one area marked 13.

Spinner	$P(\text{cost} = 13)$	$P(\text{cost} = c)$
X	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
Y	1	0

To determine the losses for the year, a die is randomly selected from A and B and rolled. If a claim occurs, a spinner is randomly selected from X and Y . For subsequent years, the same die and spinner are used to determine losses. Losses for the first year are 13. Based upon the results

of the first year, you determine that the expected losses for the second year are 9.0. Calculate c .

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

19

Example 7 (T3Q5).

You are given the following information about six coins:

Coin	Probability of heads
1 – 4	0.51
5	0.49
6	0.00

A coin is selected at random and then flipped repeatedly. X_i denotes the outcome of the i -th flip, where "1" indicates heads and "0" indicates tail. The following sequence is obtained:

$$S = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$$

Determine $E(X_5|S)$ using Bayesian analysis.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

20

3.2.3 Bayesian Estimation and Credibility - Continuous Prior

Definition 1.

The prior density, $\pi(\theta)$, is the initial density function for the parameter which describes the model. It is permissible to use an improper prior density, one which is nonnegative but whose integral is infinite.

For example, $\pi(\theta) = 1/\theta$, an improper prior, is a good prior for a scale parameter θ for which you have no idea what its distribution is.

Definition 2.

The **model density**, $f(x|\theta)$ is the density function describing the item we're modeling - number of claims or claim size - conditional on the parameter θ . It does not vary as we get more data.

Definition 3.

$f(x)$ is the **unconditional density function** for the item we're modeling if we pick an insured at random from the group. It is given by

$$f(x) = \int f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta$$

Definition 4.

The **posterior density**, $\pi(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the revised density function for the parameter based on data x_1, \dots, x_n .

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \frac{f(x_1|\theta)f(x_2|\theta)\dots f(x_n|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int f(x_1|\theta)f(x_2|\theta)\dots f(x_n|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta} \\ &= \frac{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta)}{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.

The **predictive density**, $f(x_{n+1}|x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the revised unconditional $f(x)$ based on the observations x_1, \dots, x_n . It is given by re-weighting the model with the posterior instead of the prior:

$$f(x_{n+1}|x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n)d\theta$$

Definition 6.

The predictive expectation,

$$\begin{aligned} &= E[x_{n+1}|x_1, \dots, x_n] \\ &= \int E(X|\theta)\pi(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n)d\theta \\ &= E_{\Theta}[E(X|\theta)] \end{aligned}$$

where Θ here refer to the posterior distribution.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

23

Example 8.

The amount of claim has the exponential distribution with mean $\frac{1}{\theta}$. Among the class of insureds and potential insureds, the parameter Θ varies according to gamma distribution with $\alpha = 4$ and scale parameter $\beta = 0.001$. Suppose a person had claims of 100, 950, and 450. Determine the posterior distribution of Θ .

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(7)2500^{-7}}\theta^6 e^{-2500\theta}$$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

24

Example 9.

Using the information from previous example, determine the predictive distribution of the fourth claim. $\text{Pareto}(\alpha = 7, \theta = 2500)$

Example 10.

A random sample of $n = 8$ values for the distribution of X is given:

3, 4, 8, 10, 12, 18, 22, 35

The distribution of X is assumed to be exponential with parameter θ . The prior distribution of Θ has pdf $\pi(\theta) = 1/\theta, \theta > 0$. Find the posterior density of Θ .

$$\frac{112^8}{\Gamma(8)} \theta^{-9} e^{-112/\theta}$$

Example 11.

Claim size follows an uniform distribution on $[0, \omega]$. Θ has a single Pareto distribution with parameters $\alpha = 3$ and $\theta = 550$. An insured selected at random submits 2 claims of sizes 420 and 650. Calculate

- (i) The expected size of the next claim. 406.25

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

27

- (ii) The posterior probability Θ greater than 760.

0.4576

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

28

- (iii) Find $P[X_3 = x | x_1 = 420, x_2 = 650]$.

202401 20
(iv) The probability that the next claim will be more than 620. 0.2051.

202401 30
(v) The probability the next claim will be less than 770. 0.9286

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 31

Example 12 (T3Q06).
Claim size follows a single-parameter Pareto distribution with parameters $\alpha = 4$ and θ . Over all insureds, Θ has a uniform distribution on $[2, 18]$. An insured is selected at random submits 3 claims of sizes 8, 10, and 13. Determine
(i) the posterior density.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 32

(ii) the posterior mean. 7.4286

202401 35
(iii) the expected size of next claim. [9.9048](#)

202401 36
(iv) the predictive distribution.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 35
(v) the probability that the next claim will be
less than 7.9. [0.1998](#)

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 36
(vi) the probability that the next claim will be
greater than 11.0. [0.21394](#)

Example 13 (T3Q07).

The conditional distribution of a frequency model X , given the risk parameter Θ is

$$P(X = 0|\Theta = \theta) = 2\theta, P(X = 1|\Theta = \theta) = 2\theta, \\ P(X = 2|\Theta = \theta) = 1 - 4\theta$$

The parameter Θ is assumed to be uniformly distributed on the interval $[0, 1/4]$. Determine $P(X_2 = 0|X_1 = 0)$.

Example 14 (T3Q08).

Losses are uniformly distributed on $[0, \theta]$. Θ varies by insured uniformly over $[5, 10]$. For a randomly selected insured, one observation of loss size is less than 7.5. Calculate the probability that the next observation of loss size from the same insured is less than 7.5.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

39

3.3 Conjugate Prior

3.3.1 Poisson-Gamma

Bayesian analysis is easy when the posterior hypothesis comes from the same family of distributions as the prior hypothesis. If a prior hypothesis has this property for a given model, it is called the conjugate prior of the model.

An important example is the Poisson model, for which the gamma distribution is a conjugate prior.

The Poisson model is commonly used for claim frequency.

Model distribution: $X|\lambda \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

Prior distribution: $\Lambda \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$.

Suppose there are k exposures - This could be k years for one insured, or k insureds for one year.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

40

Suppose there are $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j$ claims. Then,

the **posterior distribution** of λ given \mathbf{x} :

$$\Lambda|\mathbf{x} \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha^* = \alpha + \sum x_i, \theta^* = 1/(\theta^{-1} + k))$$

The **predictive distribution** of X_{k+1} given $X = x$:

$$(X_{k+1}|X = x) \sim \text{NB}(r^* = \alpha + x, \theta^* = 1/(\theta^{-1} + k))$$

The **Bayesian premium** (estimate) per individual is the expected value of the predictive distribution, i.e.

$$E(X_{k+1}|X = x) = \alpha^* \theta^* = (\alpha + x)/(\theta^{-1} + k)$$

For multiple exposure units, the total premium for $m_{(k+1)}$ individuals in year $k + 1$ is

$$m_{(k+1)} \alpha^* \theta^*$$

Example 15.

The random variable X denotes the number of claims in a year arising from a risk. The distribution of X is to be modeled using a Poisson(λ) random variable, where λ is unknown. Regarded as a random variable, λ has a prior distribution which is gamma, with parameters α and θ . The mean number of claims in the past k years is known, and is denoted \bar{x} .

(a) Derive the posterior distribution of λ .

(b) Show that the Bayesian premium can be written in the form of a credibility estimate. \square

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

43

(c) Find the predictive distribution of X_{k+1} and state which family of distributions it belongs to. \square

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

44

Example 16.

Assume an individual insured is selected at random from a population of insureds. The number of claims experienced in a given year by each insured follows a Poisson distribution. The mean value θ of the Poisson distribution is distributed across the population according to the following gamma distribution:

$$f(\theta) = \frac{7^3}{\Gamma(3)} \theta^2 e^{-7\theta}, \theta > 0$$

Given that a particular insured experienced a total of 6 claims in the previous 2 years, what is the posterior estimate of the future expected annual claim frequency, given the experience of this particular insured?

You are given:

- The number of claims per auto insured follows a Poisson distribution with mean λ
- The prior distribution for Λ has the following probability density function:

$$\pi(\lambda) = [780\lambda^{80}e^{-780\lambda}/\lambda\Gamma(80)].$$

- A company observes the following claims experience:

	Year 1	Year 2
Number of claims	90	260
Number of autos insured	740	970

The company expects to insure 1180 autos in Year 3. Determine the expected number of claims in Year 3.

An insured's number of claims per year follows a Poisson distribution with mean λ . λ varies in accordance with a gamma distribution with $\alpha = 30$ and $\theta = 0.035$. You have the following information on the number of claims made by an insured in the past 6 years:

0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0

Calculate the predictive variance of the number of claims per year for this insured.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 47
3.3.2 Normal/Normal

The normal distribution is the conjugate prior of a model having the normal distribution with a fixed variance.

Model distribution: $X|\Theta = \theta \sim N(\theta, v)$

Prior distribution: $\Theta \sim N(\mu, a)$

Posterior distribution:

$$\Theta|\mathbf{x} \sim N\left(\mu^* = \frac{v\mu + na\bar{x}}{v + na}, a^* = \frac{va}{v + na}\right)$$

Predictive Distribution of X_{n+1} Given $X = \mathbf{x}$:

$$X_{n+1}|\mathbf{x} \sim N\left(\mu^* = \frac{v\mu + na\bar{x}}{v + na}, a^* + v = \frac{va}{v + na} + v\right)$$

The **Bayesian Premium** (estimate) is

$$\mu^* = \frac{v\mu + na\bar{x}}{v + na}$$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 48

Example 19. The random variable X denotes the annual aggregate claim amounts from a risk. The distribution of X is to be modeled using a $N(\theta, v)$ where v is known but θ is unknown and is to be estimated. Regarded as a random variable, Θ has a $N(\mu, a)$ distribution where both parameters are known.

The mean aggregate claim amount from the risk over the past n years is known, and is denoted \bar{x} . Derive the posterior distribution of Θ given the data and show that the Bayesian premium can be written in the form of a credibility estimate. □

Example 20.

The annual aggregate claim amounts for the past 5 years for a risk is

$$256, \quad 240, \quad 283, \quad 181, \quad 253.$$

Using normal/normal model, with parameter values $\mu = 230$, $v = 390$, $a = 200$, estimate the pure premium for the coming year for the risk.

Example 21 (T3Q10).

Claim sizes are normally distributed with mean θ and variance 100,000. θ varies by risk, and is normally distributed with mean 1,600 and variance 1,000,000. For a certain risk, 13 claims averaging 2000 are observed. Determine the posterior probability that θ is less than 2049.0.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

51

3.3.3 Binomial-Beta

We observe k outcomes of binomial ($X|\Theta = \theta$), $X_i = x_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, with a total of $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i$ losses.

Model Distribution:

$$X_i|\Theta = \theta \sim \text{Binomial}(m, \theta), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

Prior Distribution:

$$\Theta \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$$

Posterior Distribution of Θ Given $X = x$:

$$\Theta|X = x \sim \text{Beta}(a^* = a + \sum x_i, b^* = b + mk - \sum x_i)$$

Bayesian Premium per exposure:

The Bayesian premium is the expected value of the predictive distribution

$$E(X_{k+1}|X = x) = \frac{ma^*}{(a^*+b^*)} = \frac{m(a+\sum x_i)}{(a+b+mk)}$$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

52

Example 22.

The random variable X denotes the number of claims in a year arising from a risk. The distribution of X is to be modeled using a Binomial(m, q) random variable, where q is unknown. Regarded as a random variable, Q has a prior distribution which is beta, with parameters a and b . The total number of claims in the past k years is known, and is denoted $\sum x_i$.

(a) Derive the posterior distribution of Q .

202401 55
(b) Derive the Bayesian premium and show that the Bayesian premium can be written in the form of a credibility estimate.

202401 54
Example 23 (T3Q11).

The number of claims per year on an insurance coverage has a binomial distribution with parameter $m = 3$ and Q . Q varies by insured and is distributed according to the following density function:

$$f(q) = cq(1 - q)^7, 0 \leq q \leq 1,$$

where c is a constant.

An insured submits 1 claims in 7 years. Calculate the posterior probability that for this insured, q is less than 0.043000000000000003.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 55
Example 24.

You are given:

- Claim number random variables per exposure per year are i.i.d. Bernoulli with parameter q .
- q has a beta distribution with parameters $a = 5$ and $b = 19$.
- For a given risk you observe:

Year	Exposures	Claim Numbers
2017	100	11
2018	130	14
2019	120	16

- Claim size is fixed at 1 if there is a claim.

Determine the total Bayesian premium for a group of 210 exposures from the same class for the next year. 25.8289

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 56
Example 25.

The number of claims X , for an individual risk in one year follows the binomial distribution:

$$f(x|\theta) = \binom{6}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{6-x}, x = 0, 1, \dots, 6$$

The parameter Θ has a prior distribution in the form of a beta:

$$f(\theta) = 60\theta^3(1 - \theta)^2, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$$

Two claims occurred in the first year and three claims occurred in the second year. Determine the Bayesian estimate for the expected number of claims in the third year. 54/19

This is a loss severity model X in which the conditional distribution $X|\Theta$ is Exponential. The size of losses per year ($X|\Theta = \theta$) is conditionally Exponential and Θ is inverse gamma with parameters α and β . It is required that $\alpha > 1$. We observe n outcomes of ($X|\Theta = \theta$) denoted $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n$ with a total of $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ losses.

Model Distribution:

$$(X|\Theta = \theta) \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$$

Prior Distribution:

$$\Theta \sim \text{InverseGamma}(\alpha, \beta)$$

Marginal Distribution of X :

$$X \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$(\Theta|X = x) \sim \text{InverseGamma}(\alpha^* = \alpha + n, \beta^* = \beta + \sum x_i)$$

Predictive Distribution of X_{n+1} Given $X = x$:

$$(X_{n+1}|X = x) \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha^* = \alpha + n, \beta^* = \beta + \sum x_i)$$

Bayesian Premium:

The Bayesian premium is the expected value of the predictive distribution, that is,

$$E(X_{n+1}|X = x) = \frac{\beta^*}{\alpha^* - 1} = \frac{\beta + \sum x_i}{\alpha + n - 1}$$

Note that the Bayesian premium is the same as the posterior mean.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 59

Example 26. The random variable X denotes the loss severity from a risk. The distribution of X is to be modeled using an $\text{Exp}(\theta)$ where θ is unknown and is to be estimated. Regarded as a random variable, Θ has a $\text{InverseGamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution where both parameters are known. The mean calim amount from the risk over the past n years is known, and is denoted \bar{x} .

- (a) Derive the posterior distribution of Θ given the data.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 60

- (b) Show that the Bayesian Premium can be written in the form of a credibility estimate.

202401 61
(c) Find the predictive distribution of X_{n+1} and state which family of distributions it belongs to.

202401 62
Example 27 (T3Q12).

We assume that the amount of an individual claim, Y , follows an exponential distribution with mean δ . The mean claim amount, δ , follows an inverse gamma distribution with density function

$$\pi(\delta) = \frac{6^3 e^{-6/\delta}}{\Gamma(3)\delta^4}, \delta > 0$$

Suppose 26 claims are observed with total aggregate claim amount of 8. Find

$$P\left(Y_{27} > 1 \mid \sum_{i=1}^{26} Y_i = 8\right)$$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 63
Example 28 (T3Q13).

For an insurance portfolio with 1197 exposures, you are given:

- The number of claims for each exposure follows a Poisson distribution.
- The mean claim count varies by exposure. the distribution of mean claim counts is a gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha_1 = 0.5$, $\theta_1 = 4$.
- The size of claims for each exposure follows an exponential distribution.
- The mean claim size varies by exposure. The distribution of mean claim sizes is an inverse gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha_2 = 4$, $\theta_2 = 4$.
- the standard for full credibility of aggregate claims is that aggregate claims must be within 8% of expected 90% of the time.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 64
Determine the credibility assigned to this portfolio. 0.8994

3.4.1 Point Estimation

After obtaining the posterior distribution, you would want a point estimate of the parameter, just as you would with non-Bayesian (e.g. MME and MLE) estimation. To do this, a loss function $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ is defined. $\hat{\theta}$ will be your estimate, and the loss function defines the penalty for $\hat{\theta}$ being different from θ . The Bayes estimate is the $\hat{\theta}$ which minimizes the expected loss, using the posterior distribution to calculate the expectation. i.e.

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} E[l(\hat{\theta}, \theta)] = \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \int l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) \pi(\theta|x) d\theta$$

Three loss function that are commonly use by Bayesian are:

1. Square error loss function, $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2$
2. Absolute error loss function, $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = |\hat{\theta} - \theta|$
3. Almost constant loss function,

$$l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \begin{cases} c, & \hat{\theta} \neq \theta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $\pi(\theta|x)$ denote the posterior density function of θ , given the vector of observed data \mathbf{x} . If the loss function is $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2$, then the Bayesian point estimator, $\hat{\theta}$, which minimizes the expected value of the loss function is the **mean** of the posterior distribution.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory 202401 67

Theorem 2.

If the loss function is $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = |\hat{\theta} - \theta|$, then the Bayesian point estimator, $\hat{\theta}$, which minimizes the expected value of the loss function is the **median** of the posterior distribution.

Theorem 3.

If the loss function is $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \begin{cases} c, & \hat{\theta} \neq \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, then

the Bayesian point estimator, $\hat{\theta}$, which minimizes the expected value of the loss function is the mode of the posterior distribution.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory 202401 68

Example 29. Losses follow a gamma distribution with $\alpha = 3$ and θ unknown. The prior distribution of θ has density function $\pi(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$, Five losses are observed:

100, 200, 140, 120, 200.

Determine the Bayesian estimate of the loss under square error loss. 54.2875

Example 30 (T3Q14).

Losses follow a distribution with density function

$$f(x) = \delta x^{\delta-1}, 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

δ varies by insured according to a gamma distribution with $\alpha = 3$, $\theta = 8$. A loss size of 0.69 is observed. Determine the posterior estimate of δ using zero-one loss function.

3.4.2 Credible Interval

A credible interval (or in general, a credible set) is the Bayesian analogue of a confidence interval. A $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ credible set C is a subset of Θ such that

$$\int_C \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x})d\theta = 1 - \alpha$$

If the parameter space Θ is discrete, a sum replaces the integral.

Definition 7.

If a is the $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ posterior quantile for θ , and b is the $1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ posterior quantile for θ , then (a, b) is a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ **equal probability credible interval** for θ .

Note:

$$P(\theta < a|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ and } P(\theta > b|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\theta \in (a, b)|\mathbf{x})$$

$$= 1 - P(\theta \notin (a, b)|\mathbf{x})$$

$$= 1 - (P(\theta < a|\mathbf{x}) + P(\theta > b|\mathbf{x})) = 1 - \alpha$$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 71

Example 31. The following amounts were paid on a hospital liability policy:

125	132	141	107	133	319	126	104	145	223
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

The amount of a single payment has the single-parameter Pareto distribution with $\theta = 100$ and α unknown. The prior distribution has the gamma distribution with $\alpha = 2$ and $\theta = 1$. Determine the 95% equal probability credible interval for α .

a=1.2915, b = 4.0995

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 72

The equal-tail credible interval approach is ideal when the posterior distribution is symmetric. If $\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ is skewed, a better approach is to create an interval of θ -values having the Highest Posterior Density (HPD).

Definition 8.

A $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ HPD region for θ is a subset $C \in \Theta$ defined by

$$C = \{\theta : \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \geq k\}$$

where k is the largest number such that

$$\int_{\theta: \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \geq k} \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x})d\theta$$

The value k can be thought of as a horizontal line placed over the posterior density whose intersection(s) with the posterior define regions with probability $1 - \alpha$.

Theorem 4.

If the posterior random variable $\theta|\mathbf{x}$ is continuous and unimodal, then the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ HPD credible interval is the unique solution to

$$\int_a^b \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x})d\theta = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\pi(a|\mathbf{x}) = \pi(b|\mathbf{x})$$

Example 32 (T3Q15).

The annual aggregate claim amounts (X) for the past 5 years for a risk is

203, 204, 212, 183, 223.

Suppose $X|\theta \sim N(\theta, v = 390)$ and $\Theta \sim N(\mu = 210, a = 190)$, determine the lower bound of the 90% HPD credibility interval for θ .

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

75

Example 33.

The following amounts were paid on a hospital liability policy:

125 132 141 107 133
319 126 104 145 223

The amount of a single payment has the single-parameter Pareto distribution with $\theta = 100$ and α unknown. The prior distribution has the gamma distribution with $\alpha = 2$ and $\theta = 1$. Determine the 95% HPD credible interval for α .

$a=1.1832, b = 3.9384$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

76

Example 34 (T3Q16).

You are given the following:

- Claim sizes for a given policyholder follow a distribution with density function

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{5x^4}{\theta^5}, 0 < x < \theta.$$

- The prior distribution of Θ has density function

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{5}{\theta^6}, \theta > 1.$$

The policyholder experiences three claim sizes of 200, 800, 900. Find a 94% "HPD" credible set for θ .

The Bayesian method is hard to apply in practice, since it requires not only a hypothesis for the loss distribution of specific risk class, but also a hypothesis for the distribution of that hypothesis over the risk classes.

The Buhlmann method is a least squares approximation of Bayesian result.

Recall
Moments of $\mathbf{X}|\Theta$:

1. Expectation of Conditional Means:

$$E[E(X|\theta)] = E(X)$$

2. Variance of Conditional Means:

$$V[E(X|\theta)]$$

3. Expectation of Conditional Variance:

$$E[V(X|\theta)]$$

$$V(X) = E[V(X|\theta)] + V[E(X|\theta)]$$

S In credibility and estimation problems, X is going to be the client's loss severity, loss frequency, or aggregate loss per period. Θ is going to be the distribution of the client's parameter.

The conditional mean is referred to also as the hypothetical mean and is denoted by $\mu(\theta) = E(X|\Theta = \theta)$. The other component is the conditional variance, usually referred to as the process variance. It is denoted by $v(\theta) = V(X|\Theta = \theta)$. Let $\mu = E[\mu(\theta)]$, $v = E[v(\theta)]$, and $a = V[\mu(\theta)]$. The theorem on conditional moments says that

$$E(X) = \mu;$$

$$V(X) = a + v;$$

and

$$Cov(X_i, X_j) = a(i \neq j)$$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
 202401 79

The Bühlmann credibility estimate is determined as follows:

1. Model: $f(x|\theta), \pi(\theta)$
2. Parameters: $\mu = E(X), k = \frac{E[V(X|\theta)]}{V[E(X|\theta)]} = \frac{v}{a}$.
3. Observations: $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$
4. Credibility: $Z = \frac{n}{(n+k)}$
5. Estimate: $E(\widehat{X_{n+1}}|\mathbf{X}) = Z\bar{x} + (1 - Z)\mu = \mu + Z(\bar{x} - \mu)$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
 202401 80

Example 35. You are given the following:

- X is a random variable with mean m and variance ν .
- M is a random variable with mean 2 and variance 4.
- ν is a random variable with mean 8 and variance 32.

Determine the value of the Bühlmann credibility factor Z , after three observations of X . 3/5

202101 81
Example 36 (T3Q17).

- Suppose the losses X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n have $E(X_j) = 205$, $V(X_j) = 195$, and $Cor(X_i, X_j) = 0.72$ for $i \neq j$.
 - You are given $X_1 = 260$, $X_2 = 190$, $X_3 = 175$.
 - The credibility premium for the 5th observation is 260 based on the first 4 observations.
- Determine the credibility premium for the 6th observation if $X_5 = 340$.

202101 82
Example 37 (T3Q18).

You are given the following:

- Two risks have the following severity distribution.

	Probability of	Claim Amount
Amount of Claim	Risk 1	Risk 2
430	0.38	0.44
4,580	0.31	0.33
23,300	0.31	0.23

- Risk 2 is three times as likely as Risk 1 of being observed.
- A claim of 430 is observed, but the observed risk is unknown.

Determine the Buhlmann estimate of the expected value of a second claim amount from the same risk.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 83

Example 38 (T3Q19).

You are given the following:

- The conditional distribution $f_{X|\Theta}(x|\theta)$ is a member of the linear exponential family.
- The prior distribution $\pi(\theta)$ is a conjugate prior for $f_{X|\Theta}(x|\theta)$.
- $E(X) = 3.33$.
- $E(X_2|X_1 = 100) = 27.50$, where X_1 is the value of single observation.
- The expected value of the process variance is 16.67.

Determine the variance of the hypothetical means.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 84

Example 39 (T3Q20).

You are given:

- There are two groups of insureds, A and B. Each group is equally large.
- The number of claims for each member of either group follows a Poisson distribution.
- You are given the following information on mean number of claims for members of each group.

Group	Average Hypothetical Mean	Variance of Hypothetical Mean
A	0.2	0.04
B	0.4	0.25

Calculate the Buhlmann credibility to assign to one of a member. 0.3407

202401
Example 40 (T3Q21).

You sell individual health coverage. Aggregate claim costs vary for each insured, based on the insured's diet and exercise habits. The following table lists the mean and variance of annual aggregate claim costs per insured.

Exercise Habit	Annual aggregate claim costs			
	Bad Diet		Good Diet	
	Expected Claims	Claim Variance	Expected Claims	Claim Variance
Sedentary	11	21	7	15
Active	9	11	5	8
Total	10.0	17.0	6.0	12.5

80% of insureds have a bad diet and 20% have a good diet. Calculate the Bühlmann credibility factor for one year of experience. 0.1908

202401
Example 41 (T3Q22).

- Claims sizes for each insured have mean θ and variance v .
- θ varies by insured according to a gamma distribution with $\alpha = 5$, $\beta = 860$.
- For one insured, 2 claim sizes of 1120 and 1840, plus a third unknown claim size, are observed.
- Using Bühlmann credibility with the known information, the expected claim size is 1800.
- The third claim size turns out to be 1510.

Using Bühlmann credibility methods and using all three claim sizes, determine the revised value of the expected claim size.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 87

Example 42 (T3Q23).

You are given:

- An insured's loss size follows a single-parameter Pareto distribution with parameters $\alpha = 4$ and θ .
- The parameter θ varies by insured uniformly on $[570, 950]$.
- An insured submits claims of 760, 940, 1170

Using Bühlmann credibility methods, estimate the expected size of the next claims.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 88

Example 43 (T3Q24).

You are given the following:

- The number of claims follow a distribution with mean λ and variance $e^{0.07200000000000001\lambda}$.
- Claim sizes follow a distribution with mean θ and variance $e^{0.25\theta}$.
- the number of claims and claim sizes are independent.
- Λ and Θ have a prior probability distribution with joint density function
$$f(\lambda, \theta) = A\lambda^5\theta^3e^{-(0.1\lambda+0.2\theta)}, \lambda, \theta > 0$$
where A is a constant.
- During the first year we observed 2 claims and the claim amounts are 550, and 410.
- During the second year we observed 3 claims and the claim amounts are 200, 280 and 270.

202401 88
Determine the Buhlmann estimate of the expected aggregate loss for the third year. 1008.08

202401 88
Example 44 (T3Q25).

You are given;

- The number of claims follows a binomial distribution with parameters 3 and λ .
- Claim sizes follow a distribution with mean σ and variance $2\sigma^2$.
- The number of claims and claim sizes are independent.
- λ and σ have a prior probability distribution with joint density function
$$f(\lambda, \sigma) = k\lambda^2(8 - \sigma)^1, 0 < \lambda < 1, 0 < \sigma < 8.$$
- During the first year we observe 3 claims and the claims are 1, 2, and 3.
- During the second year we observe 2 claims and the claims are 3, and 4.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 91
Determine the Buhlmann estimate of the expected aggregate loss for the third year. 6.225

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 92
Example 45. You are given;

- The number of claims follows a distribution with mean λ and variance 2λ
- Claim sizes follow a distribution with mean σ and variance $2\sigma^2$.
- The number of claims and claim sizes are independent.
- λ and σ have a prior probability distribution with joint density function
$$f(\lambda, \sigma) = \frac{5}{112}\lambda^2(8 - \sigma), 0 < \lambda < 2, \lambda < \sigma < 2\lambda.$$
- During the first year we observe 2 claims and the claims are 1, and 3.
- During the second year we observe 2 claims and the claims are 0, and 2.

202401 95
Determine the Bühlmann estimate of the expected aggregate loss for the third year. [3.7144](#)

3.6 Bühlmann–Straub credibility

The Bühlmann model is the simplest of the credibility models because it effectively requires that the past claims experience of a policyholder comprise of i.i.d. components with respect to each past year. An important practical difficulty with this assumption is that it does not allow for variations in the exposure or size.

For example, what if the first year’s claims experience of a policyholder reflected only a portion of a year due to unusual policyholder anniversary? For group insurance, what if the size of group changed over time?

The Bühlmann–Straub model is a generalization of the Bühlmann model. There are two generalizations of Bühlmann Credibility:

1. Varying Exposure
2. Generalized Variance of Observations

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 95

3.6.1 Varying Exposure

Suppose there are m_j exposure units in year j , $j = 1, \dots, n$. Here the loss random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n for n risks are independent, conditionally on Θ , with common mean

$$\mu(\theta) = E(X_j | \Theta = \theta)$$

but with conditional variances

$$V(X_j | \Theta = \theta) = \frac{v(\theta)}{m_j}$$

This model would be appropriate if each X_j were the average m_j independent (conditional on Θ) random variables each with mean $\mu(\theta)$ and variance $v(\theta)$.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401 96

Bühlmann–Straub Model

- m_j is a known constant, the exposure for risk j .
- X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n for n risks are independent (X_j is the average of m_j independent random variables.)
- $E(X_j | \Theta = \theta) = \mu(\theta)$
- $V(X_j | \Theta = \theta) = v(\theta)/m_j$
- $\mu = E[\mu(\Theta)]$
- $v = E[v(\Theta)]$
- $a = V[\mu(\Theta)]$
- $k = \frac{v}{a}$
- $V(X_j) = E[V(X_j | \Theta)] + V[E(X_j | \Theta)]$
 $= E\left[\frac{v(\Theta)}{m_j}\right] + V[\mu(\Theta)]$
 $= \frac{v}{m_j} + a$
- $m = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n$
- $Z = \frac{m}{(m+k)}$

202401 84

$$\bar{X} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{m_j}{m} X_j$$

- The credibility premium is

$$E(\widehat{X}_{1,n+1}|\mathbf{X}) = Z\bar{X} + (1 - Z)\mu$$

- The credibility premium to be charged to the group in year $n + 1$ would thus be

$$m_{n+1}[Z\bar{X} + (1 - Z)\mu]$$

for m_{n+1} members in the next year.

202401 85

Example 46 (T3Q26).

Number of claims for each member of a group follows a Poisson distribution with mean λ . λ varies by insured according to a uniform distribution on $(0, 0.5)$.

You are given three years of experience for the group:

Year	Number of members	Number of claims
1	130	3
2	160	6
3	180	6

The group will have 200 members in year 4. Calculate the Bühlmann credibility premium for the group in year 4.

7.46

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

99

Example 47 (T3Q27).

For each exposure in a group, the hypothetical mean of aggregate losses is Θ and the process variance is $e^{0.4100000000000003\theta}$. Θ varies by group. Its distribution is gamma with $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1.95$. For three years experience from a group, you have the following data:

Year	Exposures	Aggregate Losses
1	24	80
2	26	97
3	35	110

There will be 38 exposures in the group next year. Calculate the Buhlmann-Straub credibility premium for the group.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

100

Example 48 (T3Q28).

You are given the following:

- A portfolio of risks consists of three classes, A, B and C.
- The number of claims per year per risk is the same for each member in a class. The distribution for each class is:

class	Number of Claims			
	0	1	2	3
A	0.51	0.26	0.13	0.1
B	0.34	0.28	0.21	0.17
C	0.41	0.33	0.16	0.1

- The ratio of the number of insureds in Class A, B and C is 6:7:8.
- Customers insure risks, all of which must belong to the same class.

A randomly selected customer has the following experience:

- In year 1 the customer insures 16 risks and has 3 claims.

- 202101 101
- In year 2 the customer insurers 17 risks and has 7 claims.

In year 3 the customer seeks to insure 20 risks. Determine the Buhlmann-Straub estimate of the number of claims for this customer for year 3.

202101 102

Example 49.
An insurance company writes a book of business that contains several classes of policyholders. You are given:

- The average claim frequency for a policyholder over the entire book is 0.425.
- The variance of the hypothetical means is 0.370.
- The expected value of the process variance is 1.793.

One class of policyholders is selected at random from the book. Nine policyholders are selected at random from this class and are observed to have produced a total of seven claims. Five additional policyholders are selected at random for the same class. Determine the Bühlmann credibility estimate for the total number of claims for these five policyholders. 3.2715

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 103

Example 50 (T3Q29).

For a group dental coverage, you have the following three years of experience from a covered group:

Year	Number of members in group	Number of claims	Aggregate claims
2017	110	150	36,000
2018	140	175	46,000
2019	110	160	46,000

There will be 160 members in the next year. The number of claims per member in any year follows a binomial distribution with parameters $m = 3$ and q . q is the same for all members in the group, but varies over groups, and is distributed uniformly over $(0.63, 0.73)$. Claim size follows a gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha = 12$, $\theta = 34$. Claim sizes and claim counts are independent.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory
202401 104

Calculate the Buhlmann-Straub estimate of aggregate claims in the next year.

Example 51 (T3Q30).

You are given five classes of insureds, each of whom may have zero or one claim, with the following probabilities:

Class	Number of Claims	
	0	1
I	0.91	0.09
II	0.69	0.31
III	0.52	0.48
IV	0.34	0.66
V	0.29	0.71

A class is selected at random (with probability $1/5$), and 6 insureds are selected at random from the class. The total number of claims is 3. If 13 insureds are selected at random from the same class, estimate the total number of claims using Bühlmann-Straub credibility.

Example 52. For a portfolio of insurance risks, claim frequency per year has a Poisson distribution with mean λ . λ varies by insured, and its distribution has density function $\pi(\lambda) = (\frac{1}{8})(4 - \lambda)$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 4$. A given risk has the following experience:

Exposure Period	Number of Claims
6 months	0
12 months	2
3 months	0

Determine the Bühlmann-Straub estimate of the number of claims for this risk in the next 9 months. 12/13

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

107

3.6.2 Generalized Variance of Observations

- m_j is a known constant, the exposure for risk j .
- X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n for n risks are independent (X_j is the average of m_j independent random variables.)

$$E(X_j|\Theta = \theta) = \mu(\theta)$$

$$V(X_j|\Theta = \theta) = w(\theta) + v(\theta)/m_j$$

$$\mu = E[\mu(\theta)]$$

$$v = E[v(\theta)]$$

$$a = V[\mu(\theta)]$$

as before.

$$m^* = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{m_j}{(v + w m_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{w + \frac{v}{m_j}} \right)$$

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

108

•

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{m_j X_j}{m_j w + v}}{m^*}$$

•

$$Z = a m^* / (1 + a m^*)$$

- The credibility premium is

$$E(\widehat{X}_{1,n+1}|\mathbf{X}) = Z \bar{X} + (1 - Z) \mu$$

- The credibility premium to be charged to the group in year $n + 1$ would thus be

$$m_{n+1} [Z \bar{X} + (1 - Z) \mu]$$

for m_{n+1} members in the next year.

$$\bullet \bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{w + v/m_j} \right)}{m^*} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{m_j X_j}{m_j w + v} \right)}{m^*}$$

Example 53. You are given the following information for a policyholder regarding actual aggregate losses and the conditional variance of aggregate losses in each of 3 years:

	Average losses	Variance
Year 1	10	5
Year 2	9	4
Year 3	11	2

The variance of the hypothetical means of the losses is 1.
 Calculate the Buhlmann-Straub credibility factor Z for this experience. 19/39

Example 54.
 An insurance portfolio has two type of risk, A and B, each comprising half the portfolio. The mean claim count per year for a risk of Type A is 0.1. The mean claim count for a risk of Type B is 0.3. For either type, the variance of the claim count is $0.1 + \frac{1}{m_j}$, where m_j is the number of exposures. A group in the portfolio has 40 members with 5 claims in the first year, and 10 members with 0 claims in the second year. Determine the Buhlmann-Straub credibility estimate for the number of claims per member in the third year. 0.1858

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401111

Example 55 (T3Q31).

For a portfolio of insurance risks, average aggregate losses per exposure have mean θ and variance $9000 + \frac{50000}{m_j}$, where m_j is the number of exposures in year j . θ varies by risk, and follows a log normal distribution with parameters $\mu = 5$, $\sigma = 0.7$. The following is the experience for this risk over 5 years:

Year	Number of Exposures	Average Losses Per Exp
1	20	1,800
2	35	2,200
3	60	3,200
4	65	3,400
5	90	3,700

Determine the Buhlmann-Straub estimate of average aggregate losses per exposure in the next year for this risk.

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401112

3.7 Buhlmann As Least squares estimate of Bayes

Bühlmann has shown that the credibility estimate

$$E(\widehat{X_{n+1}}|\mathbf{X}) = Z\bar{X} + (1 - Z)\mu$$

is the best linear approximation to Bayesian estimate of pure premium

$$[E(X_{n+1}|\mathbf{x})].$$

If we want to estimate Y_i by \hat{Y}_i which is a linear function of X_i ,

$$\hat{Y}_i = aX_i + b,$$

in such a way as to minimizes the weighted least square difference, where p_i is the weight of observation i , then, treating the p_i as probabilities, the formula are

$$a = \frac{cov(X,Y)}{V(X)} = \frac{\sum p_i X_i Y_i - \bar{X}\bar{Y}}{\sum p_i X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2}$$

and

$$b = E(Y) - aE(X)$$

where

- X_i are the observations
- Y_i are the Bayesian predictions
- \hat{Y}_i are the Bühlmann predictions.

So, $a = Z$, the credibility factor. Also, the overall mean doesn't change and the mean of Bühlmann prediction is the true mean, so

$$E(Y) = E(X) = E(\hat{Y}_i).$$

This implies that

$$b = (1 - Z)E(X).$$

Example 56. You are given the following information about the Bayesian estimates of an event:

Outcome	Initial Probability of Outcome $P(X_1)$	Bayesian Estimate $E(X_2 X_1)$
0	0.5	1
2	0.25	2
8	0.25	-

Determine the Bühlmann estimates of $E(X_2|X_1)$ for each possible outcome. ▢

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

115

Example 57. You are given the following information about the Bayesian estimates of an event:

Outcome(R_i)	Initial Probability of Outcome $P(R_1)$	Bayesian Estimate $E(E_i R_i)$
0	2/3	7/4
2	2/9	55/24
14	1/9	35/12

The Bühlmann credibility factor after one experiment is $\frac{1}{12}$. Determine the values for the parameters a and b that minimize the expression $\sum_{i=1}^3 (P_i(aR_i + b - E_i))^2$: 1/12, 11/6

Chapter 3 Greatest Accuracy Theory

202401

116

Example 58 (T3Q32).

You are given the following information about a credibility model:

Observed Losses	Probability	Bayesian Estimate
10	2/10	28.61
12	1/10	29.21
24	1/10	32.81
40	2/10	37.61
50	4/10	y_5

Determine the Buhmann credibility estimate of the second observation, given that the first observation is 24.