CONTENTS

1	Twc	-Way Crossed Classification	2
	1.1	"Cell Means" Model	4
	1.2	An "Effects" Model	9
		1.2.1 Baseline Restrictions	10
		1.2.2 Σ -Restrictions	17
	1.3	Normal Theory Gauss-Markov Model	30
		1.3.1 Analysis of Variance	30
		1.3.2 Type I Sum of Squares	39
		1.3.3 Method of Unweighted Means	
		- Type III Sum of Squares .	56
	1.4	Balanced Factorial Experiments	78

1 Two-Way Crossed Classification

Days to first germination of three varieties of carrot seed grown in two types of potting soil.

Soil		Variety	
Tpye	1	2	3
1		$y_{121} = 13 y_{122} = 15$	
2	$y_{211} = 12$ $y_{212} = 15$ $y_{213} = 19$ $y_{214} = 18$	$y_{221} = 31$	$y_{231} = 18$ $y_{232} = 9$ $y_{233} = 12$
	1 11 1 //		1.0

This might be called "an unbalanced factorial experiment".

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

3

Sample sizes:

1
$$n_{11} = 3$$
 $n_{12} = 2$ $n_{13} = 2$
2 $n_{21} = 4$ $n_{22} = 1$ $n_{23} = 3$

In general we have

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, a$$
 levels for the first factor

$$j=1,2,\ldots,b$$
 levels for the second factor

$$n_{ij} > 0$$
 observations at the *i*-th level of the first factor and the *j*-th level of the second factor

We will restrict our attention to normal-theory Gauss-Markov models.

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

.

1.1 "Cell Means" Model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2) \begin{cases} i = 1, \dots, a \\ j = 1, \dots, b \\ k = 1, \dots, n_{ij} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij}$ is estimable if $n_{ij} > 0$.

Overall mean response:

Mean response at i -th level of factor 1, averaging across the levels of factor 2.	Contrasts of interest: "main effects" for factor 1:
Mean response at j -th level of factor 2, averaging across the levels of factor 1	"main effects" for factor 2:
MEME16203 Linear Models 202305	MEME16203 Linear Models 202305
Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification 7 Conditional effects:	All of these contrasts are estimable when $n_{ij} > 0 \text{for all } (i,j)$ because $\bullet \ E(\bar{y}_{ij.}) = \mu_{ij}$ $\bullet \ \text{Any linear function of estimable functions is estimable}$
Interaction contrasts:	
MEME16203 Linear Models 202305	MEME16203 Linear Models 202305

1.2 An "Effects" Model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, a$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, b$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, n_{ij} > 0$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

1.2.1**Baseline Restrictions**

The resulting restricted model is

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2) \begin{cases} i = 1, \dots, a \\ j = 1, \dots, b \\ k = 1, \dots, n_{ij} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha_a = 0$$
 $\beta_b = 0$
 $\gamma_{ib} = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., a$
 $\gamma_{aj} = 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., b$

We will call these the "baseline" restrictions.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

12

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

				Soil
Soil				Type
Type	Variety 1	Variety 2	Variety 3	Means
1	$\mu_{11} = \mu + \alpha_1$	$\mu_{12} = \mu + \alpha_1$	$\mu_{13} = \mu + \alpha_1$	$\mu + \alpha_1$
	$+\beta_1 + \gamma_{11}$	$+\beta_2 + \gamma_{12}$		$+\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{3}$
				$+\frac{\gamma_{11}+\gamma_{12}}{3}$
2	$\mu_{21} = \mu + \beta_1$	$\mu_{22} = \mu + \beta_2$	$\mu_{23} = \mu$	
				$\mu + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{3}$

Var.

means
$$\mu + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_1 + \frac{\gamma_{11}}{2}$$
 $\mu + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_2 + \frac{\gamma_{12}}{2}$ $\mu + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$

Interpretation:

$$\mu =$$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

$$\alpha_i =$$

$$\beta_i =$$

 $\gamma_{ij} =$

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Matrix formulation:

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

15

202305

Least squares estimation:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

16

Comments:

Imposing a set of restrictions on the parameters in the "effects" model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

to obtain a model matrix with full column rank.

- (i) Avoids the use of a generalized inverse in least squares estimation.
- (ii) Is equivalent to choosing a generalized inverse for $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ in the unrestricted "effects" model.
- (iii) Restrictions must involve "non-estimable" quantities for the unrestricted "effects" model.
- (iv) Baseline restrictions using by SAS are $\alpha = 0$ $\beta_1 = 0$

$$\alpha_a = 0$$
 $\beta_b = 0$
 $\gamma_{ib} = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., a$
 $\gamma_{aj} = 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., b$

(v) Baseline restrictions using by R are

$$\alpha_1 = 0$$
 $\beta_1 = 0$
 $\gamma_{i1} = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., a$
 $\gamma_{1j} = 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., b$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

1.2.2 Σ -Restrictions

 $y_{ijk} = \omega + \gamma_i + \delta_j + \eta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$ $\mu_{ij} = E(y_{ijk})$

where

 $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{a} \gamma_i = 0$ $\sum_{j=1}^{b} \delta_j = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a} \eta_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, b$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b} \eta_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, a$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

Interpretation:

 $\omega =$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

20

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

19

$$\delta_j - \delta_k =$$

Similarly,

$$\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 =$$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

For a model that includes the Σ -restrictions:

$$\eta_{ij} =$$

Matrix formulation:

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Least squares estimation:

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

23

If restrictions are placed on "non-estimable" functions of parameters in the unrestricted "effects" model, then

- The resulting models are reparameterizations of each other. $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = P_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y}$

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = (I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

$$SSE = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{y}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{y}$$

$$SS_{\text{model}} = \mathbf{y}^T (P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mathbf{1}})\mathbf{y}$$

are the same for any set of restrictions.

The solution to the normal equations

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

and interpretations of the corresponding parameters will not be the same for all such sets of restrictions.

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

If you were to place restrictions on estimable functions of parameters in

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_1 + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

then you would change

- \bullet rank(\mathbf{X})
- \bullet space spanned by the columns of X
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$ and OLS estimators of other estimable quantities.

Example 1.

In a study to examine the effect of 4 drugs on 3 experimentally induced diseases in dogs, each drug-disease combination was given to six randomly selected dogs. The measurement (y) to be analyzed was the increase in systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) due to treatment. Unfortunately, some dogs were unable to complete the experiment. The data are shown in the following table.

		Disease	_
Drug	j = 1	j=2	j=3
i = 1	42, 44, 36,13, 19, 22	33, 26, 33,21	31, -3, 25,25, 24
i = 2	28, 23, 24,42, 13	34, 33, 31,36	3, 26, 28,32, 3, 16
i = 3	1, 29, 19	11, 9, 7,1, -6	21, 1, 9,3
i = 4	24, 9, 22,-2, 15	27, 12, 12,-5, 16, 15	22, 7, 25,5, 12

Consider the model $y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$ where $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$ and y_{ijk} denotes the change in systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) for the k-th dog given the j-th disease and treated with the i-th drug.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

(a) Note that the application of the lm() function in R imposes some restrictions to solve the normal equations. What are the restrictions?

(b) Using the solution to the normal equations provided by the application of the of lm() function in R, report estimates of the following quantities:

$$\mu$$
, α_1 , β_3 , γ_{23} , $\alpha_2 - \alpha_3$, $\mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_3 + \gamma_{23}$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

27

```
setwd("E:")
dogs <- read.table("dogs.dat", col.names=c("Drug", "Disease", "Y"))</pre>
dogs$Drug <- as.factor(dogs$Drug)</pre>
dogs$Disease <- as.factor(dogs$Disease)</pre>
options( contrasts=c("contr.treatment", "contr.ploy") )
lm.out1 <- lm( Y ~ Drug*Disease, data=dogs )</pre>
lm.out1$coef
> lm.out1$coef
   (Intercept) Drug2 Drug3
                                Drug4
                                            Disease2
   29.33 -3.33 -13.00 -15.73
                                          -1.083
Disease3 Drug2:Disease2 Drug3:Disease2 Drug4:Disease2 Drug2:Disease
   -8.93
              8.583 -10.85
                                        0.32
Drug3:Disease3 Drug4:Disease3
    1.10
              9.53
```

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

28

(c) Give an interpretation of the following quantities.

$$\mu, \alpha_1, \beta_3, \gamma_{23}, \mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_3 + \gamma_{23}$$

(d) The are many ways to put linear restrictions on parameters in the original model to obtain a solution to the normal equations. Would the least squares estimates of any of the linear combinations of parameters in part (a) have the same value for all such solutions to the normal equations? Which ones? Explain.

MEME16203 Linear Models

202305

31

Normal Theory Gauss-Markov Model 1.3

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Analysis of Variance

$$\mathbf{y}^{T}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^{T}P_{\mu}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\alpha} - P_{\mu})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

$$= R(\mu) + R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\mu) + R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mu,\alpha)$$

$$+R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) + SSE$$

By Cochran's Theorem, these quadratic forms (or sums of squares) have independent chi-square distributions with 1, a-1, b-1, (a-1)(b-1), and $n_{\bullet\bullet} - ab$ degrees of freedom, respectively, (if $n_{ij} > 0$ for all (i, j)

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

```
1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
y_{111}
              1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
y_{112}
                                                     \mu
             1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
y_{113}
                                                     \alpha_1
              1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
y_{121}
                                                     \alpha_2
              1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
y_{122}
                                                     \beta_1
             1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0
y_{131}
                                                     \beta_2
             1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0
y_{132}
                                                     \beta_3
             1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
y_{211}
                                                            +\epsilon
                                                     \gamma_{11}
              1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
y_{212}
                                                     \gamma_{12}
              1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
y_{213}
                                                     \gamma_{13}
             1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
y_{214}
                                                     \gamma_{21}
              1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
y_{221}
                                                     \gamma_{22}
              1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
y_{231}
                                                   _{\perp}\gamma_{23} _{\perp}
             1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
y_{232}
             1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
y_{233}
     call this call this call this
                                         call this
                  \mathbf{X}_{\alpha}
         \mathbf{X}_{u}
                             \mathbf{X}_{\beta}
```

 \mathbf{X}_{γ}

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

32

Define:

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mu} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu} \qquad P_{\mu} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu} (\mathbf{X}_{\mu}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mu})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{\mu}^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} = [\mathbf{X}_{\mu} | \mathbf{X}_{\alpha}] \qquad P_{\mu,\alpha} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} (\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha})^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} = [\mathbf{X}_{\mu} | \mathbf{X}_{\alpha} | \mathbf{X}_{\beta}] \quad P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \left(\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \right)^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{X}_{\mu} | \mathbf{X}_{\alpha} | \mathbf{X}_{\beta} | \mathbf{X}_{\gamma}] \quad P_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^{T}$$

The following three model matrices correspond to reparameterizations of the same model:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Model 2:	Model 3:
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \gamma_{11} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -$

 $R(\mu) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mu} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models $R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models and so is $R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) = R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) - R(\mu)$ $R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models and so is $R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ $R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models and so is $R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) - R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

Consequently, the partition

$$\mathbf{y}^{T}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^{T}P_{\mu}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\beta} - P_{\mu})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\beta})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

$$= R(\mu) + R(\beta|\mu) + R(\alpha|\mu,\beta)$$

$$+R(\gamma|\mu,\alpha,\beta) + SSE$$

is the same for all three models.

By Cochran's Theorem, these quadratic forms (or sums of squares) have independent chi-square distributions with 1, b-1, a-1, (a-1)(b-1), and $n_{\bullet\bullet}-ab$ degrees of freedom, respectively, when $n_{ij}>0$ for all (i,j).

MEME16203 Linear Models

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

35

We have also shown earlier that

$$SSE = \mathbf{y}^{T} (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{y}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (y_{ijk} - \bar{y}_{ij\bullet})^{2}$$

$$\sim \chi^{2}_{n\bullet\bullet} - ab$$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

36

Example 2.

Let $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma^2 I)$, where

$$\bullet \ W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 \ W_2 \ W_3 \ W_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bullet \ W_1=1_{20},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{W_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1_{10}},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{W_3} = \mathbf{1_2} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1_5},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{W_4} = \mathbf{1_4} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and }$$

$$ullet oldsymbol{\gamma} = egin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \ \gamma_2 \ \gamma_3 \ \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Use Cochran's theorem to find the distributions of
 - $\begin{aligned} \bullet & \frac{1}{\sigma^2} SSE = \mathbf{e^T} \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{Y^T} (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{P_W}) \mathbf{Y}, \text{ where} \\ \mathbf{P_W} &= \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{W^T} \mathbf{W})^{-1} \mathbf{W^T} \end{aligned}$
 - $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}R(\gamma_1) = \mathbf{Y^TP_{W_1}Y}$ where $\mathbf{W_1} = \mathbf{1}$ is the first column of \mathbf{W} and
 - $P_{W_1} = W_1 (W_1^T W)^{-1} W_1^T \\$
 - $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}R(\gamma_2|\gamma_1) = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W_2}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W_1}})Y$ where $\mathbf{W_2}$ contains the first two columns of \mathbf{W} and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W_2}} = \mathbf{W_2}(\mathbf{W}_2^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{W}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{W}_2^{\mathrm{T}}$.
 - $\bullet \ \tfrac{1}{\sigma^2} R(\gamma_3|\gamma_1\gamma_2) = \mathbf{Y^T}(\mathbf{P_{W_3}} \mathbf{P_{W_2}})\mathbf{Y}. \ \text{where} \ \mathbf{W_3} \ \text{contains the} \\ \text{first three columns of} \ \mathbf{W} \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{P_{W_3}} = \mathbf{W_3}(\mathbf{W_3^TW_3})^{-1}\mathbf{W_3^T}.$
 - $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}R(\gamma_4|\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3) = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}_3})\mathbf{Y}.$

(b) Report a formula for the non-centrality parameter of the non-central F distribution of

$$F = \frac{R(\gamma_3|\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{SSE/7}$$

Use it to the null and alternative hypotheses associated with this test statistic. You are given that:

MEME16203 Linear Models

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

39

1.3.2 Type I Sum of Squares

What null hypotheses are tested by F-tests derived from such ANOVA tables $R(\mu)=$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

40

For the carrot seed germination study:

$$P_{1}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^{T} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} [n_{..}, n_{1.}, n_{2.}, n_{.1}, n_{.2}, n_{.3}, n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{21}, n_{22}, n_{23}] \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \left(n_{..} \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_{i.} \alpha_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{.j} \beta_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \gamma_{ij} \right)$$

The null hypothesis is

$$H_0: n_{..} \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_{i.} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{.j} \beta_j + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} n_{ij} \gamma_{ij} = 0$$

With respect to the cell means

$$E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}$$

this null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \mu_{ij} = 0$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

MEME16203 Linear Models

Consider
$$R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) =$$

For the general effects model for the carrot seed germination study:

$$P_{\mu,\alpha} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} (\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^T \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha})^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^T \mathbf{X}$$
$$= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} n_{..} & n_{1.} & n_{2.} \\ n_{1.} & n_{1.} & 0 \\ n_{2.} & 0 & n_{2.} \end{bmatrix}^{-}$$

$$\times \begin{bmatrix} n_{..} & n_{1.} & n_{2.} & n_{.1} & n_{.2} & n_{.3} & n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} & n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} \\ n_{1.} & n_{1.} & 0 & n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} & n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ n_{2.} & 0 & n_{2.} & n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} =$$

MEME16203 Linear Models

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Then, the first seven rows of $(\mathbf{P}_{\mu,\alpha} - \mathbf{P}_{\mu})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are

$$\left[\mu + \alpha_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{1j}}{n_{1.}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{1j})\right] - \left[\mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{i.}}{n_{..}} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{.j}}{n_{..}} \beta_j + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \gamma_{ij}\right]$$

The last eight rows of $(P_{\mu,\alpha} - P_{\mu})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are

$$\[\mu + \alpha_2 + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{2j}}{n_{2.}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{2j}) \] - \left[\mu + \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{i.}}{n_{..}} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{.j}}{n_{..}} \beta_j + \sum_i \sum_j \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \gamma_{ij} \right]$$

The null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$$
 are all equal $(i = 1, \dots, a)$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Consider $R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{y}^T (P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha})\mathbf{y}$ and the corresponding F-statistic

$$F = \frac{R(\pmb{\beta}|\mu, \pmb{\alpha})/(b-1)}{MSE} \sim F_{(b-1,n_{..}-ab)}(\lambda)$$

Here,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} R(\boldsymbol{\beta} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \sim \chi^2_{\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha})}(\lambda)$$

$$[1 + (a-1) + (b-1)] - [1 + (a-1)]$$

$$= b - 1 \text{ degrees of freedom}$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha}) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right]^T \left[(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha}) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right]$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

MEME16203 Linear Models

$$\begin{split} P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}\mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^T \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \end{bmatrix}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \\ &= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} n_{..} & n_{1.} & n_{2.} & n_{.1} & n_{.2} & n_{.3} \\ n_{1.} & n_{1.} & 0 & n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} \\ n_{2.} & 0 & n_{2.} & n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} \\ n_{.1} & n_{11} & n_{21} & n_{.1} & 0 & 0 \\ n_{.2} & n_{12} & n_{22} & 0 & n_{.2} & 0 \\ n_{.3} & n_{13} & n_{23} & 0 & 0 & n_{.3} \end{bmatrix}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \end{split}$$
 call this
$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1}B \\ I \end{bmatrix} [C - B^T A^{-1}B]^{-1} [-B^T A^{-1} | I]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C^{-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} I \\ -C^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix} [A - BC^{-1}B^T]^{-1} [I | - BC^{-1}]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} W & -WBC^{-1} \\ -C^{-1}B^TW & C^{-1} + C^{-1}B^TWBC^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } W = [A - BC^{-1}B^T]^{-1}$$

$$\text{MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS} \qquad 202305$$

The null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{i.}} (\beta_k + \gamma_{ik}) \right) = 0$$
for all $j = 1, \dots, b$

With respect to the cell means,

$$E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij},$$

this null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ik} \right) = 0$$
for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, b$.

MEME16203 Linear Models

202305

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

Consider

$$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{y}^T [P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}] \mathbf{y}$$

and the associated F-statistic

$$F = \frac{R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})/[(a-1)(b-1)]}{MSE}$$
$$\sim F_{(a-1)(b-1), n_{..} - ab}(\lambda)$$

The null hypothesis is:

$$H_0: (\mu_{ij} - \mu_{i\ell} - \mu_{kj} + \mu_{k\ell})$$

= $(\gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{i\ell} - \gamma_{kj} + \gamma_{k\ell}) = 0$

for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ) .

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

ANOVA Summary:

Sums of Squares	Associated null hypothesis
$R(\mu)$	$H_0: \mu + \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{i.}}{n_{}} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{.j}}{n_{}} \beta_j$
	$+\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{}} \gamma_{ij} = 0$
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{}} \mu_{ij} = 0\right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu})$	$H_0: \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$ are equal
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal }\right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mu, \boldsymbol{lpha})$	$H_0: \beta_j + \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \gamma_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \sum_{k=1}^b \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{k.}} (\beta_k + \gamma_{ik})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, b$
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \sum_{k=1}^b \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ik} \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, b\right)$
$R(\pmb{\gamma} \mu,\pmb{\alpha},\pmb{\beta})$	$H_0: \gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{kj} - \gamma_{i\ell} + \gamma_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ)
	(or $H_0: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj} - \mu_{i\ell} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ)

Sums of	Associated null
Squares	hypothesis
$R(\mu)$	$H_0: \mu + \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_i}{n_{\cdot \cdot}} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{\cdot j}}{n_{\cdot \cdot}} \beta_j$
	$+\sum_{i=1}^{a}\sum_{j=1}^{b}\frac{n_{ij}}{n_{}}\gamma_{ij} = 0$
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{}} \mu_{ij} = 0\right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\mu})$	$H_0: \beta_j + \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} (\alpha_j + \gamma_{ij})$ are equal for all $j = 1, \dots, b$
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{ij}} \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal for all } j=1,\ldots,b\right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\beta})$	$H_0: \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} (\alpha_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}) = \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \sum_{k=1}^{a} \frac{n_{kj}}{n_{.j}} (\alpha_k + \gamma_{kj})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, a$
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^a \frac{n_{kj}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{kj} \right] \right)$
	for all $i = 1, \ldots, a$
$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$	$H_0: \gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{kj} - \gamma_{i\ell} + \gamma_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ)
	(or $H_0: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj} - \mu_{i\ell} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ))

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

Soil Variety 3 Tpye

1
$$y_{111} = 6$$
 $y_{121} = 13$ $y_{131} = 14$
 $y_{112} = 10$ $y_{122} = 15$ $y_{132} = 22$
 $y_{113} = 11$
2 $y_{211} = 12$ $y_{221} = 31$ $y_{231} = 18$
 $y_{212} = 15$ $y_{232} = 9$
 $y_{213} = 19$ $y_{233} = 12$
 $y_{214} = 18$

Type I sums of squares

```
#Type I Sum of Squares(A follows by B)
Y = c(6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 14, 22, 12, 15, 19, 18, 31, 18, 9, 12)
xmu = rep(1,15)
xa1 = c(rep(1,7), rep(0,8))
xa2 = 1-xa1
xalpha = cbind(xa1, xa2)
xb1 = c(rep(1,3), rep(0,4), rep(1,4), rep(0,4))
xb2 = c(rep(0,3), rep(1,2), rep(0,6), 1, rep(0,3))
xb3 = c(rep(0,5), 1,1, rep(0,5), rep(1,3))
xbeta = cbind(xb1,xb2,xb3)
xab11 = xa1*xb1
xab12 = xa1*xb2
xab13 = xa1*xb3
xab21 = xa2*xb1
xab22 = xa2*xb2
```

MEME16203 Linear Models

202305

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

```
xab23 = xa2*xb3
xgamma = cbind(xab11,xab12,xab13,xab21,xab22,xab23)
library(MASS)
Pmu = xmu%*%solve(t(xmu)%*%xmu)%*%t(xmu)
xma = cbind(xmu, xalpha)
Pma = xma%*%ginv(t(xma)%*%xma)%*%t(xma)
xmab = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta)
Pmab = xmab%*%ginv(t(xmab)%*%xmab)%*%t(xmab)
X = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta, xgamma)
```

In = diag(rep(1,15))

PX = X%*%ginv(t(X)%*%X)%*%t(X)

A1 = Pmu

A2 = Pma - Pmu

A3 = Pmab - Pma

A4 = PX - Pmab

A5 = In - PX

Rmu = t(Y)%*%A1%*%Y

Rma = t(Y)%*%A2%*%Y

Rma

Rmab = t(Y)%*%A3%*%Y

Rmabg = t(Y)%*%A4%*%Y

SSE = t(Y)%*%A5%*%Y

MRmu = Rmu

MRma = Rma

MRmab = Rmab/2

MRmabg = Rmabg/2

MSE = SSE/9

Fmu = MRmu/MSE

Fa = MRma/MSE

Fb = MRmab/MSE

Fab = MRmabg/MSE

PVmu = 1-pf(Fmu,1,9)

PVa = 1-pf(Fa,1,9)

PVb = 1-pf(Fb,2,9)

PVab = 1-pf(Fab,1,9)

data.frame(Source = "Intercept", SS=Rmu, df = 1, MS = MRmu, F.Stat = Fmu

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

```
p.value = PVmu)
data.frame(Source = "Soil", SS=Rma, df = 1, MS = MRma, F.Stat = Fa,
data.frame(Source = "Variety", SS=Rmab, df = 2, MS = MRmab, F.Stat = Fb,
p.value = PVb)
data.frame(Source = "Interaction", SS=Rmabg, df = 2, F.Stat = Fab,
p.value = PVab)
data.frame(Source = "Error", SS=SSE, df = 9, MS = MSE)
#Using lm() function
Y = c(6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 14, 22, 12, 15, 19, 18, 31, 18, 9, 12)
FA = as.factor(c(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2))
FB = as.factor(c(1,1,1,2,2,3,3,1,1,1,1,2,3,3,3))
mod.fit = lm(Y ~ FA*FB)
anova(mod.fit)
 Source
of
                        sums of
                                                 Mean
variat. d.f.
                       squares
                                                square
                                                           F p-value
 "Soils" a - 1 = 1 R(\alpha | \mu) = 52.50
                                                   52.5 3.94
                                                                 .0785
 "Var." b - 1 = 2
                        R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 124.73
                                                   62.4 \quad 4.68
                                                                 .0405
 Inter-
 action (a-1)(b-1) R(\gamma|\mu, \alpha, \beta) = 222.76 111.38 8.35
 "Res." \Sigma\Sigma(n_{ij}-1) \mathbf{y}^T(I-P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y} = 120.00 13.33
Corr.
 total n_{..} - 1 = 14 \ \mathbf{y}^{T} (I - P_{1}) \mathbf{y} = 520.00
```

```
#Type I Sum of Squares(B follows by A)
Y = c(6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 14, 22, 12, 15, 19, 18, 31, 18, 9, 12)
xmu = rep(1,15)
xa1 = c(rep(1,7), rep(0,8))
xa2 = 1-xa1
xalpha = cbind(xa1, xa2)
xb1 = c(rep(1,3), rep(0,4), rep(1,4), rep(0,4))
xb2 = c(rep(0,3), rep(1,2), rep(0,6), 1, rep(0,3))
xb3 = c(rep(0,5), 1,1, rep(0,5), rep(1,3))
xbeta = cbind(xb1,xb2,xb3)
xab11 = xa1*xb1
xab12 = xa1*xb2
xab13 = xa1*xb3
xab21 = xa2*xb1
xab22 = xa2*xb2
xab23 = xa2*xb3
xgamma = cbind(xab11,xab12,xab13,xab21,xab22,xab23)
library(MASS)
Pmu = xmu%*%solve(t(xmu)%*%xmu)%*%t(xmu)
xmb = cbind(xmu, xbeta)
Pmb = xmb%*%ginv(t(xmb)%*%xmb)%*%t(xmb)
xmab = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta)
Pmab = xmab%*%ginv(t(xmab)%*%xmab)%*%t(xmab)
X = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta, xgamma)
PX = X%*\%ginv(t(X)%*%X)%*%t(X)
In = diag(rep(1,15))
A1 = Pmu
A2 = Pmb - Pmu
A3 = Pmab - Pmb
A4 = PX - Pmab
A5 = In - PX
Rmu = t(Y)%*%A1%*%Y
Rma = t(Y)%*%A2%*%Y
Rma
MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS
                                                          202305
```

Rmab = t(Y)%*%A3%*%YRmabg = t(Y)%*%A4%*%YSSE = t(Y)%*%A5%*%Y MRmu = Rmu MRma = Rma MRmab = Rmab/2MRmabg = Rmabg/2MSE = SSE/9Fmu = MRmu/MSE Fa = MRma/MSEFb = MRmab/MSE Fab = MRmabg/MSE PVmu = 1-pf(Fmu,1,9) PVa = 1-pf(Fa,1,9)PVb = 1-pf(Fb, 2, 9)PVab = 1-pf(Fab,1,9)data.frame(Source = "Intercept", SS=Rmu, df = 1, MS = MRmu, F.Stat = Fmu p.value = PVmu) data.frame(Source = "Soil", SS=Rma, df = 1, MS = MRma, F.Stat = Fa, p.value = PVa) data.frame(Source = "Variety",SS=Rmab, df = 2, MS = MRmab, F.Stat = Fb, p.value = PVb) data.frame(Source = "Interaction", SS=Rmabg, df = 2, F.Stat = Fab, p.value = PVab) data.frame(Source = "Error", SS=SSE, df = 9, MS = MSE)

MEME16203 Linear Models

55

202305

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Source of variat.	d.f.	sums of squares	Mean square	F	p-value
	b - 1 = 2 $a - 1 = 1$	$R(\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 93.33$ $R(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = 83.90$	46.67 83.90		.0751 .0334
Inter- action	(a-1)(b-1) =2	$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 222.76$	111.38	8.35	.0089
"Res."	$\begin{array}{c} \Sigma\Sigma(n_{ij}-1)\\ =9 \end{array}$	$\mathbf{y}^T (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{y} = 120.00$	13.33		

 $n_{\cdot \cdot \cdot} - 1 = 14 \quad \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_1) \mathbf{y} = 520.00$

total

1.3.3 Method of Unweighted Means -

1.3.3 Method of Unweighted Means Type III Sum of Squares

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

(Type III sums of squares in when $n_{ij} > 0$ for all (i, j)).

Use the cell means reparameterization of the model:

$$\begin{array}{c} y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} \\ = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} \\ \begin{bmatrix} y_{111} \\ y_{112} \\ y_{113} \\ y_{122} \\ y_{131} \\ y_{212} \\ y_{211} \\ y_{212} \\ y_{211} \\ y_{212} \\ y_{211} \\ y_{221} \\ y_{231} \\ y_{231} \\ y_{232} \\ y_{231} \\ y_{23} \\ y_{24} \\ y_{25} \\ y_{25} \\ y_{26} \\ y_{27} \\ y_{27} \\ y_{27} \\ y_{27} \\ y_{27} \\ y_{28} \\ y_{29} \\ y_$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

The least squares estimator (b.l.u.e.) for μ is	Test the hypotheses are:
MEME16203 Linear Models 202305	MEME16203 Linear Models 202305
The OLS estimator (b.l.u.e.) for $\frac{1}{b}\sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij}$ and it's variance are	Express the null hypothesis in matrix form: $H_0:C_1oldsymbol{\mu}=0$
MEME16203 Linear Models 202305	MEME16203 Linear Models 202305

Then the OLS estimator	(BLUE) of $C_1 \boldsymbol{\mu}$, and
it's variance are:	

Compute SS_{H_0} and show that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SS_{H_0} \sim \chi^2_{(a-1)}(\lambda)$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Compute: $SSE = \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_D) \mathbf{y} \text{ where } P_D = D(D^T D)^{-1} D^T.$ Show that $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SSE \sim \chi^2_{\Sigma\Sigma(n_{ij}-1)}$

Show that

$$SSE = \mathbf{y}^T \underline{(I - P_D)} \mathbf{y}$$

$$\nwarrow \text{ call this } A_1$$

is distributed independently of

$$SS_{H_0} = \mathbf{y}^T \underline{D(D^T D)^{-1} C_1^T [C_1(D^T D)^{-1} C_1^T]^{-1} C_1(D^T D)^{-1}} D^T \mathbf{y}$$
 \(\sim \text{call this } A_2

Then F =Test $H_0: \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{i1} = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{i2} = \dots = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{ib}$ $H_A: \frac{1}{a}\sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ij} \neq \frac{1}{a}\sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ik}$ for some $j \neq k$ Write the null hypothesis in matrix form as $H_0: C_2 \mu = 0$ where $C_2 =$ MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS 202305MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS 202305 CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION 67CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION 68 then $C_2 \mu =$ Compute $SS_{H_{0,2}}$ and reject H_0 if F =

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Test for Interaction:

Test

 $H_0: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{i\ell} - \mu_{kj} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ) vs.

 $H_A: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{i\ell} - \mu_{kj} + \mu_{k\ell} \neq 0$ for all (i, k) and $(j \neq \ell)$.

Write the null hypothesis in matrix form as

$$H_0: C_3 \mu = 0$$

and perform the test.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

202303

71

```
#Type III Sum of Squares
Y = c(6,10,11,13,15,14,22,12,15,19,18,31,18,9,12)
Y = c(6,10,11,13,15,14,22,12,15,19,18,31,18,9,12)
d1 = c(rep(1,3), rep(0,12))
d2 = c(0,0,0,1,1,rep(0,10))
d3 = c(rep(0,5),1,1,rep(0,8))
d4 = c(rep(0,7), rep(1,4), rep(0,4))
d5 = c(rep(0,11), 1, rep(0,3))
d6 = c(rep(0,12), 1, 1, 1)
D = cbind(d1, d2, d3, d4, d5, d6)
a = 2
b = 3
beta = solve(t(D)%*%D)%*%t(D)%*%Y
Yhat = D%*%beta
SSE = crossprod(Y-Yhat)
df2 = NROW(Y) - a*b
am1 = a-1
bm1 = b-1
Iam1 = diag(rep(1,am1))
Ibm1 = diag(rep(1,bm1))
Onea = c(rep(1,a))
Oneam1 = c(rep(1,am1))
Oneb = c(rep(1,b))
Onebm1 = c(rep(1,bm1))
C1 = kronecker(cbind(Iam1, -Oneam1),t(Oneb))
```

solve(C1%*%solve(crossprod(D))%*%t(C1))%*%C1b

MEME16203 Linear Models

C1b = C1%*%beta SSH0a = t(C1b)%*%

df1 = b-1

202305

72

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

```
F = (SSH0a/df1)/(SSE/df2)
p = 1-pf(F, df1, df2)
C1
data.frame(SS=SSHOa, df = df1, F.Stat = F, p.value = p)
C2 = kronecker(t(Onea), cbind(Ibm1, -Onebm1))
C2b = C2%*%beta
SSHOb = t(C2b)%*%
solve(C2%*%solve(crossprod(D))%*%t(C2))%*%C2b
df1 = b-1
F = (SSH0b/df1)/(SSE/df2)
p = 1-pf(F, df1, df2)
data.frame(SS=SSHOb, df = df1, F.Stat = F, p.value = p)
C3 = kronecker(cbind(Iam1, -Oneam1), cbind(Ibm1, -Onebm1))
C3b = C3%*%beta
SSHOab = t(C3b)\%*\%
solve(C3%*%solve(crossprod(D))%*%t(C3))%*%C3b
df1 = (a-1)*(b-1)
F = (SSH0ab/df1)/(SSE/df2)
p = 1-pf(F, df1, df2)
СЗ
data.frame(SS=SSHOab, df = df1, F.Stat = F, p.value = p)
```

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Source of variation	Sum of d.f.	Mean Squares	Square	F	p-value
Soils	a-1=1	$SS_{H_0} = 123.77$			
Var.	b-1=2	$SS_{H_{0,2}} = 192.13$	96.06	7.20	.0135
Inter.	(a-1)(b-1)=2	$SS_{H_{0,3}} = 222.76$	111.38	8.35	.0089

Note that

$$\mathbf{y}^{T} P_{1} \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{T} D (D^{T} D)^{-1} [C_{1} (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{1}^{T}]^{-1}$$

$$C_{1} (D^{T} D)^{-1} D^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \mathbf{y}^{T} D (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{2}^{T} [C_{2} (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{2}^{T}]^{-1}$$

$$C_{2} (D^{T} D)^{-1} D^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \mathbf{y}^{T} D (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{3}^{T} [C_{3} (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{3}^{T}]^{-1}$$

$$C_{3} (D^{T} D)^{-1} D^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \mathbf{y}^{T} (I - P_{D}) \mathbf{y}$$

do not necessarily sum to $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}$, nor do the middle three terms $(SS_{H_0}, SS_{H_0,2}, SS_{H_0,3})$ necessarily sum to

$$SS_{\text{model,corrected}} = \mathbf{y}^T (P_D - P_1) \mathbf{y} ,$$

nor are $(SS_{H_0}\,,\,SS_{H_0,2}\,,\,SS_{H_0,3})$ necessarily independent of each other.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

Example 3.

A chemical production process consists of a first reaction with an alcohol and a second reaction with a base. A 3×2 factorial experiment with three alcohols and two bases was conducted. The data had unequal replications among the six treatment combinations of the two factors, Base and Alcohol. The collected data are percent yield. The data are given below.

	Alcohol					
Base	1		2		3	
1	90.1 91	.5	89.2	88.2	90.5	87.9
			90.5		89.2	91.2
2	88.5 88	.6	96.0		93.4	90.8
	92.0				92.8	

Consider the model $y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$, where $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$, i = 1, 2, and j = 1, 2, 3 and $k = 1, \ldots, n_{ij}$. This model can be expressed in matrix form as $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. Examine type III sums of squares for these data.

MEME16203 Linear Models

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

75

(a) Specify the **C** matrix needed to write the null hypothesis associated with the F-test for Alcohol effects in the form $H_0: \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$.

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

76

(b) Present a formula for SS_{H_0} , corresponding to the null hypothesis in part (a), and state it's distribution when the null hypothesis is true.

(c) Compute	SS_{H_0} .
-------------	--------------

1.4 Balanced Factorial Experiments

$$n_{ij} = n$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, a$
 $j = 1, \dots, b$

Example 4. Sugar Cane yields Nitrogem Level

	150 lb/acre	210 lb/acre	270 lb/acre
	$y_{111} = 70.5$	$y_{121} = 67.3$	$y_{131} = 79.9$
Variety 1	$y_{112} = 67.5$	$y_{122} = 75.9$	$y_{132} = 72.8$
	$y_{113} = 63.9$	$y_{123} = 72.2$	$y_{133} = 64.8$
	$y_{114} = 64.2$	$y_{124} = 60.5$	$y_{134} = 86.3$
	$y_{211} = 58.6$	$y_{221} = 64.3$	$y_{231} = 64.4$
Variety 2	$y_{212} = 65.2$	$y_{222} = 48.3$	$y_{232} = 67.3$
	$y_{213} = 70.2$	$y_{223} = 74.0$	
	$y_{214} = 51.8$	$y_{224} = 63.6$	$y_{234} = 72.0$
	$y_{311} = 65.8$	$y_{321} = 64.1$	$y_{331} = 56.3$
Variety 3	$y_{312} = 68.3$	$y_{322} = 64.8$	$y_{332} = 54.7$
	$y_{313} = 72.7$	$y_{323} = 70.9$	$y_{331} = 66.2$
	$y_{314} = 67.6$	$y_{324} = 58.3$	$y_{334} = 54.4$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202305

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

ANOVA

Sum of Squares

80

For a balanced experiment $(n_{ij} = n)$, Type I, Type II, and Type III sums of squares are the same:

$$R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) =$$

$$R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) =$$

$$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) =$$

 $R(\mu) = \mathbf{y}^T P_1 \mathbf{y} \qquad H_0: \mu + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a \alpha_i + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b \beta_j$ $= a b n \bar{y}_{...}^2 \qquad + \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \gamma_{ij} = 0$ $\left(H_0: \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij} = 0 \right)$ $R(\alpha | \mu) = R(\alpha | \mu, \beta) \qquad H_0: \alpha_i + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$ $= n b \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 \qquad \text{are equal}$ $\left(H_0: \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal} \right)$ $R(\beta | \mu) = R(\beta | \mu, \alpha) \qquad H_0: \beta_j + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a (\alpha_i + \gamma_{ij})$ $= n a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{y}_{.j.} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 \qquad \text{are equal}$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Associated null

hypothesis

 $\left(H_0: \frac{1}{a}\sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal }\right)$

$$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = n \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} (\bar{y}_{ij}.-\bar{y}_{i..}-\bar{y}_{.j.}+\bar{y}_{...})^{2}$$

$$H_{0}: \gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{kj} - \gamma_{i\ell} + \gamma_{k\ell} = 0$$
for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ)

$$\left(H_{0}: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj} - \mu_{i\ell} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0\right)$$
for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ)

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS