CONTENTS

4 Point Estimation

Definition 1. A statistic, $T = t(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$, that is used to estimate the value of $\tau(\theta)$ is called an **estimator** of $\tau(\theta)$, and an observed value of the statistic, $\mathbf{t} = t(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, is called an **estimate** of $\tau(\theta)$.

4.1 Method of Moments

Definition 2. Consider a population pdf, $f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k)$, depending on one or more parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k$. The moments about the origin (raw moments)are

$$\mu'_j(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k) = E(X^j), j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$$

Definition 3. If $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ is a random sample from $f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k)$, the first k sample moments are given by

$$M'_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^j}{n}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$$

Definition 4. The method of moments principle is to choose as estimators of the parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k$ the values $\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\theta}_k$ that render the population moments equal to the sample moments. In other words, $\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\theta}_k$ are solutions of the equations

$$M'_j = \mu'_j(\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\theta}_k), j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$$

Example 1. Consider a random sample from a distribution with two unknown parameters, the mean μ and the variance σ^2. Find the MMEs of μ and σ^2.

Example 2. Consider a random sample from a two-parameter exponential distribution, $X \sim EXP(1, \eta)$.

Example 3. Consider a random sample from a gamma distribution, $X \sim GAM(\alpha, \theta)$. Find the MMEs of α and θ.

Example 4.

The random variable X ha the density function with parameter β given by

$$f(x;\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^2}x^1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x/\beta)^2}, x > 0, \beta > 0.$$

You are given the following observationof X:

$$4.9, 1.3, 3.0, 6.9, 4.7.$$

Determine the method of moments estimate of β. [Note: $\Gamma(1 + 1/2) = 0.8862$.]

4.2   Method of Maximum Likelihood

Definition 5. Likelihood Function The joint density function of n random variables $X_1, \ldots,, X_n$ evaluated at $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, say $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n; \theta)$, is referred to as a likelihood function. For fixed $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ the likelihood function is a function of θ and often is denoted by $L(\theta)$. If $X_1, \ldots,, X_n$ represents a random sample from $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n; \theta)$, then

$$L(\theta) = f(x_1; \theta) \cdots f(x_n; \theta)$$

Definition 6. Maximum Likelihood Estimator Let $L(\theta) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n; \theta)$, be the joint pdf of $X_1, \ldots,, X_n$. For a given set of observations, $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, a value $\hat{\theta}$ in Ω at which $L(\theta)$ is a maximum is called a **maximum likehhood estimate** (MLE) of θ. That is $\hat{\theta}$ is a value of θ that satisfies

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, ; \hat{\theta}) = \max_{\theta \in \Omega} f(x_1, \ldots, x_n; \theta).$$

Note:

1. If each set of observations $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ corresponds to a unique value $\hat{\theta}$, then this procedure defines a function, $\hat{\hat{\theta}} = t(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. This same function when applied to the random sample, $\hat{\theta} = t(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is called

the **maximum likelihood estimator**, also denoted MLE.

2. Any value of $\hat{\theta}$ that maximizes $L(\theta)$ also will maximize the log-likelihood, $lnL(\theta) = l(\theta)$, so for computational convenience then alternate form of the maximum likelihood equation,

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}l(\theta)$$

often will be used.

Example 5. A binomial experiment consisting of n trials resulted in observations $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$, where $x_i = 1$ if the ith trial was a success and $x_i = 0$ otherwise. Find the MLE of p.

Example 6. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be a random sample of size n from an uniform distribution, $X_i \sim U(0, \theta)$. Find the MLE of θ.

Example 7.

The random variable X has the density function

$$f(x) = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2\pi x^3}} e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2x}}, x > 0, \beta > 0$$

A random sample of three observations of X yields the values 130, 190, and 200. Derive the maximum likelihood estimator of β, and the find the maximum likelihood estimate of β

Example 8. Consider a random sample from two parameters exponential distribution, $X_i \sim Exp(1, \eta)$. Find the MLE of η.

Example 9. One observation is taken on a discrete random variable X with pdf $f(x|\theta)$, where $\theta = 1, 2, 3$. Find the MLE of θ.

x	$f(x|1)$	$f(x|2)$	$f(x|3)$
0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0
1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0
2	0	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
3	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{4}$

Theorem 1. Invariance Property If $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ and if $u(\theta)$ is a function of θ, then $u(\hat{\theta})$ is an MLE of $u(\theta)$.

Example 10. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be a random sample of size n from an exponential distribution with parameter θ. Find the MLE of $P(X \geq 1)$.

The definitions of likelihood function and maximum like-
lihood estimator can be applied in the case of more than
one unknown parameter if $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ represents a vector of param-
eters, say $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$. if the partial derivatives of
$L(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$ exist, and the MLEs do not occur on the
boundary of Ω, then the MLEs will be solutions of the si-
multaneous equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} L(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. These are called the **maximum likeli-
hood equations** and the solutions are denoted by $\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_k$.

Theorem 2. Invariance Propefty If $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\ldots}, \hat{\theta}_k)$
denotes the MLE of $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$, then the MLE of $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1(\theta), \ldots, \tau_k(\theta))$ is $\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}} = (\hat{\tau}_1, \ldots, \hat{\tau}_k) = (\tau_1(\hat{\theta}), \ldots, \hat{\tau}(\hat{\tau}))$ for
$= 1 \leq r \leq k$.

Example 11. For a set of random variables $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$,
based on a random sample of size n, find the MLE of μ and
σ^2 if both μ and σ^2 are unknown.

Example 12.

Consider a random sample from a two-parameter exponential distribution with both parameters unknown, $X \sim EXP(\theta, \eta)$. Derive the Maximum Likelihood Estimator of 59th percentile of X. Suppose 10 obeservations are given below:

$$11.8, \quad 13.5, \quad 15.3, \quad 17.8, \quad 19.1,$$
$$21.3, \quad 23.7, \quad 24.8, \quad 27.2, \quad 28.9.$$

Find the Maximum Likelihood estimate of 59th percentile of X.

Example 13. For a set of random variables $X_i \sim GAM(\alpha, \theta)$, based on a random sample of size n, find the MLE of the mean.

4.3   Criteria For Evaluating Estimators

4.3.1   Unbiased Estimators

Definition 7. Unbiased Estimator An estimator T is said to be an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ if

$$E(T) = \tau(\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in \Omega$. Otherwise, we say that T is a biased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.

Example 14. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be a random sample with $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $V(X_i) = \sigma^2$. Show that

(a) $S'^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n}$ is a biased estimator for σ^2 and that

(b) $S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}$ is an unbiased estimator for σ^2.

It is possible to have a reasonable estimator that is biased, and often an estimator can be adjusted to make it unbiased

Example 15. Consider a random sample of size n from an exponential distribution, $X \sim EXP(\theta)$.

(a) Find the MLE of $\frac{1}{\theta}$, say T_1.

(b) Show that T_1 is a biased estimator of $\frac{1}{\theta}$.

(c) Find a constant c such that cT_1 is an unbiased estimator of $\frac{1}{\theta}$.

4.3.2   Mean Squared Error

Definition 8. If T is an estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then the **bias** is given by

$$Bias(T) = E(T) - \tau(\theta)$$

and the **mean sqanred error (MSE)** of T is given by

$$MSE(T) = E[T - \tau(\theta)]^2 = E(T^2) - 2\tau(\theta)E(T) + \tau^2(\theta)$$

Theorem 3. If T is an estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then

$$MSE(T) = V(T) + [Bias(T)]^2$$

Notes:

1. The MSE is a reasonable criterion that considers both the variance and the bias of an estimator, and it agrees with the variance criterion if attention is restricted to unbiased estimators.

2. It provides a useful means for comparing two or more estimators, but it is not possible to obtain an estimator that has uniformly minimum MSE for all $\theta \in \Omega$ and all possible estimators.

Example 16.
Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ denote a random sample of size n from a population whose density is given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 3\beta^3 x^{-4}, & x \geq \beta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\beta > 0$ is unknown. Consider the estimator $\hat{\beta} = X_{1:n}$. Derive the bias of the estimator.

Example 17.

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ denote a random sample of size n from a population whose density is given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 3\beta^3 x^{-4}, & x \geq \beta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\beta > 0$ is unknown. Consider the estimator $\hat{\beta} = X_{1:n}$. Derive $MSE(\hat{\beta})$.

Example 18.

A pmf on the integers with an integer parameter, θ, is

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{2}I[x = \theta - 4 \text{ or } x = \theta + 4].$$

For X_1 and X_2 iid from this distribution, compare MSE's for the two estimators of θ,

$$\hat{\theta} = \begin{cases} X_1 + 4, & \text{if } X_1 = X_2 \\ \bar{X}, & \text{if } X_1 \neq X_2 \end{cases} \text{ or } \tilde{\theta} = \hat{\theta} - \frac{4}{2}.$$

4.3.3   Uniformly Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimators

Definition 9. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be a random sample of size n from $f(x; \theta)$. An estimator T^* of $\tau(\theta)$ is called a uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) of $\tau(\theta)$ if

   1. T^* is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, and

   2. for any other unbiased estimator T of $\tau(\theta)$,

$$V(T^*) \leq V(T)$$

    for all $\theta \in \Omega$.

In some cases, lower bounds can be derived for the variance of unbiased estimators. If an unbiased estimator can be found that attains such a lower bound, then it follows that the estimator is a UMVUE.

Theorem 4. If T is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then the **Cramer-Rao lower bound (CRLB)**, based on a random sample, is

$$V(T) \geq \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{nE\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X; \theta)\right]^2}$$

Note: For T to attain the CRLB of $\tau(\theta)$, it must be a linear function of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_i; \theta).$$

Example 19. Consider a random sample from an exponential distribution, $X_i \sim EXP(\theta)$.

(a) Find the CRLB for θ.

(b) Find the UMVUE of θ.

4.3.4   Large-Sample Properties

Properties of estimators such as unbiasedness and uniformly minimum variance are defined for any fixed sample size n. These are examples of "small-sample" properties.

It also is useful to consider asymptotic or "large-sample" properties of a particular type of estimator. An estimator may have undesirable properties for small n, but still be a reasonable estimator in certain applications if it has good asymptotic properties as the sample size increases. It also is possible quite often to evaluate the asymptotic properties of an estimator when small sample properties are difficult to determine.

Definition 10. Simple Consistency Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of estimators of $\tau(\theta)$. These estimators are said to be **consistent** estimators of $\tau(\theta)$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P[|T_n - \tau(\theta)| < \epsilon] = 1$$

for every $\theta \in \Omega$.

Definition 11. MSE Consistency If $\{T_n\}$ is a sequence of estimators of $\tau(\theta)$, then they are called **mean squared error consistent** if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[T_n - \tau(\theta)]^2 = 0$$

for every $\theta \in \Omega$.

Definition 12. Asymptotic Unbiased A sequence $\{T_n\}$ is said to be asymptotically unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$ if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[T_n - \tau(\theta)] = \tau(\theta)$$

for every $\theta \in \Omega$.

Theorem 5. A sequence $\{T_n\}$ of estimators of $\tau(\theta)$ is mean squared error consistent if and only if it is asymptotically unbiased and $\lim_{n\to\infty} V(T_n) = 0$.

Theorem 6. If a sequence $\{T_n\}$ is mean squared error consistent, it also is simply consistent.

Example 20. Consider a random sample of size n from a distribution with pdf $f(x; \theta) = 1/\theta$ if $0 < x \leq \theta$, and zero otherwise; $0 < \theta$. Show that the MLE of θ is MSE consistent.

4.3.5 Asymptotic Properties of MLEs

Under certain circumstances, it can be shown that the MLEs have very desirable properties. Specifically, if certain regularity conditions are satisfied, then the solutions $\hat{\theta}_n$ of the maximum likelihood equations have the following properties

1. $\hat{\theta}_n$ exists and is unique,

2. $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a consistent estimator of θ,

3. $\hat{\theta}_n$ is asymptotically normai with asymptotic mean θ and variance $\frac{1}{n}E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\ln f(X;\theta)\right]^2$ and

4. $\hat{\theta}_n$ is asymptotically efficient.

Notes:

1. For large n, approximately

$$\hat{\theta} \sim N(0, CRLB\,for\,\theta)$$

2. If $\tau(\theta)$ is a function with nonzero derivative, then $\hat{\tau}_n = \tau(\hat{\theta}_n)$ also is asymptotically normal with asymptotic mean $\tau(\theta)$ and variance $[\tau(\theta)]^2 CRLB$ for θ.

Example 21. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be a random sample of size n from an exponential distribution with parameter θ. Suppose that now we are interested in estimating

$$R = R(t;\theta) = P(X > t) = exp(-t/\theta)$$

What is the asymptotic distribution of R.

Example 22. Consider a random sample from a Pareto distribution, $X \sim PAR(\alpha, \theta(known))$.

(a) Find the MLE of α, $\hat{\alpha}$.

(b) Find the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\alpha}$.

4.4   Bayes Estimation

4.4.1   Posterior Distribution

The Bayesian statistician assumes that the universe follow a parametric model, with unknown parameters. The distribution of the model given the value of the parameters is called the model distribution. Unlike frequentist, who estimates the parameters from the data, the Bayesian assigns a prior probability distribution to the parameters. After observing data, a new distribution, called the posterior distribution is developed for the parameters.

Definition 13. Posterior Distribution
The conditional density of θ given the sample observations $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is called the posterior density or posterior pdf, and is given by

$$\pi_{\theta|\mathbf{x}}(\theta) = \frac{f(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int f(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\theta)\pi(\theta)}d\theta = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{\int f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)}d\theta$$

Posterior Mean, $E(\Theta) = \int \theta \pi_{\theta|\mathbf{x}}(\theta)d\theta = E[E(\mathbf{X}|\theta)]$

4.4.2   Discrete Prior

The calculations can be summarized in the following table:

	$\Theta = \theta_1$	$\Theta = \theta_2$	$\cdots$	$\Theta = \theta_k$	Sum
Prior prob.	$\pi(\Theta = \theta_1)$ $= a_1$	$\pi(\Theta = \theta_2)$ $= a_2$	$\cdots$ $\cdots$	$\pi(\Theta = \theta_k)$ $= a_k$	1
Model prob.	$\prod f(x_j\vert\theta_1)$ $= b_1$	$\prod f(x_j\vert\theta_2)$ $= b_2$	$\cdots$ $\cdots$	$\prod f(x_j\vert\theta_k)$ $= b_k$	
Joint prob.	$a_1 b_1$	$a_2 b_2$	$\cdots$	$a_k b_k$	$\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i b_i$
Posterior prob.	$\frac{a_1 b_1}{\sum a_i b_i}$ $= c_1$	$\frac{a_2 b_2}{\sum a_i b_i}$ $= c_2$	$\cdots$ $\cdots$	$\frac{a_k b_k}{\sum a_i b_i}$ $= c_k$	1

Example 23.

A coin is biased so that the probability of throwing a head is an unknown constant q. It is known that q must be either 0.36 or 0.71. Prior beliefs about q are given by the distribution:

$$P(Q = 0.36) = 0.55 \quad P(Q = 0.71) = 0.45$$

The coin is tossed 7 times and 4 heads are observed. Find the posterior distribution of Q.

4.4.3   Continuous Prior

Definition 14.
The **posterior density**, $\pi(\theta|x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the revised density function for the parameter based on data $x_1, \ldots, x_n$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(\theta|x_1, \ldots, x_n) &= \frac{f(x_1|\theta)f(x_2|\theta)\cdots f(x_n|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int f(x_1|\theta)f(x_2|\theta)\cdots f(x_n|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta} \\
&= \frac{f(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n,\theta)}{f(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)} \\
&= \frac{f(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n,\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\pi(\theta|x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is a function of θ, $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$ is a conatant with respect to $\pi(\theta|x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Thus, when derive the posterior density, we do not need to find out $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$.

Example 24.
You are given the following:

- X follow a distribution with density function

$$
f(x|\theta) = \frac{5x^4}{\theta^5}, 0 < x < \theta.
$$

- The prior distribution of Θ has density function

$$
\pi(\theta) = \frac{8}{\theta^9}, \theta > 1.
$$

100, 700, 1100.were observed. Determine the posterior mean.

4.4.4 Conjugate Prior

Bayesian analysis is easy when the posterior hypothesis comes from the same family of distributions as the prior hypothesis. If a prior hypothesis has this property for a given model, it is called the conjugate prior of the model.

Poisson-Gamma The gamma distribution is the conjugate prior of a model having the poisson distribution.

Model distribution: $X|\lambda \sim Poisson(\lambda)$
Prior distribution: $\Lambda \sim gamma(\alpha, \theta)$.

Suppose there are k obeservations, then the **posterior distribution** of λ given $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)'$ is:

$$\Lambda|\mathbf{x} \sim gamma(\alpha^* = \alpha + \sum x_i, \theta^* = 1/(\theta^{-1} + k))$$

Example 25 (T2Q6).
You are given the following information:

- $X|\lambda \sim POI(\lambda)$.
- λ, has gamma distribution with mean 0.14 and variance 0.0004.
- $\sum_{i=1}^{620} x_i = 110$

Determine the posterior mean.

Normal/Normal The normal distribution is the conjugate prior of a model having the normal distribution with a fixed variance.

Model distribution: $X|\Theta = \theta \sim N(\theta, v)$
Prior distribution: $\Theta \sim N(\mu, a)$

Posterior distribution:

$$\Theta|\mathbf{x} \sim N\left(\mu^* = \frac{v\mu + na\bar{x}}{v + na}, a^* = \frac{va}{v + na}\right)$$

Example 26.

Suppose $X|\theta \sim N(\theta, 120,000)$ and $\Theta \sim N(1,500, 1,100,000)$. 11 observations averaging 2000 are observed. Determine the posterior probability that Θ is less than 2177.0.

Binomial-Beta   We observe k outcomes of binomial ($X|\Theta = \theta$), $X_i = x_i, i = 1, ..., k$, with a total of $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i$ losses.

Model Distribution:

$$X_i|\Theta = \theta \sim Binomial(m, \theta), i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$$

Prior Distribution:

$$\Theta \sim Beta(a, b)$$

Posterior Distribution of Θ Given $X = x$:

$$\Theta|X = x \sim Beta(a^* = a + \sum x_i, b^* = b + mk - \sum x_i)$$

Example 27.
Suppose the loss random variable X_j follows a Bernoulli distribution with the risk parameter Θ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. Further suppose the risk parameter Θ follows beta distribution with parameter $a = 10$ and $b = 90$. Based on the first 100 observations, you calculate $\sum x_i = 12$. Determine the mean of the posterior distribution. $\boxed{0.11}$

Exponential-Inverse Gamma The inverse gamma distribution is the conjugate prior of a model having the exponential distribution.

Model Distribution:

$$(X|\Theta = \theta) \sim Exponential(\theta)$$

Prior Distribution:

$$\Theta \sim InverseGamma(\alpha, \beta)$$

Posterior Distribution of Θ Given $X = x$:

$$(\Theta|X = x) \sim InverseGamma(\alpha^* = \alpha+n, \beta^* = \beta+\sum x_i)$$

Example 28 (T2Q8)**.**

You are given:

- $X_i|\delta \sim \text{Exp}(\delta)$

- $\Delta \sim$ Inverse Gamma($\alpha = 9, \ \beta = 6,500$)

- Based on the first 120 observations, you calculate $\sum x_i = 360$.

Determine the posterior mean.

4.5   Estimation

Definition 15. Loss Function If T is an estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then a loss function is any real-valued function, $L(t;\theta)$, such that

$$L(t;\theta) \geq 0 \text{ for every } t$$

and

$$L(t;\theta) = 0 \text{ when } t = \tau(\theta)$$

Definition 16. If $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ denotes a random sample from $f(x|\theta)$, then the Bayes estimator is the estimator that minimizes the expected loss relative to the posterior distribution, $\theta|\mathbf{x}$,

$$E_\theta[L(T;\theta]$$

Three loss function that are commonly use by Bayesian are:

1. Square error loss function, $L(T;\theta) = [T - \theta]^2$

2. Absolute error loss function, $L(T;\theta) = |T - \tau(\theta)|$

3. Almost constant loss function,

$$L(T;\theta) = \begin{cases} c, T \neq \theta, \\ 0, \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7.
The Bayes estimator, T, of θ under the squared error loss function,

$$L(T;\theta) = [T - \theta]^2$$

is the conditional mean of θ relative to the posterior distribution,

$$T = E_{\theta|\mathbf{x}}[\theta] = \int \theta f_{\theta|\mathbf{x}}(\theta)d\theta$$

Theorem 8. The Bayes estimator, T, of θ under absolute error loss,

$$L(T;\theta) = |T - \theta|$$

is the median of θ relative to the posterior distribution.

Theorem 9.

If the loss function is $L(T;\theta) = \begin{cases} c, \hat{\theta} \neq \theta \\ 0, \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$,then the

Bayesian point estimator, $\hat{\theta}$, which minimizes the expected value of the loss function is the mode of the posterior distribution.

Example 29.

Suppose $X \sim$ single-parameter Pareto$(\alpha = 4, \theta)$, $\Theta \sim U[4, 15]$. 3 observations: 6, 8, and 11 were observed. Calculate the Bayesian point estimate using the 3 loss function just described.