CONTENTS

Ĺ	Two	o-Way	Crossed Classification	2
	1.1	"Cell]	Means" Model	4
	1.2	An "E	ffects" Model	9
		1.2.1	Baseline Restrictions	10
		1.2.2	Σ -Restrictions	17
	1.3	Norma	al Theory Gauss-Markov Model	30
		1.3.1	Analysis of Variance	30
		1.3.2	Type I Sum of Squares	39
		1.3.3	Method of Unweighted Means	
			- Type III Sum of Squares .	57
	1.4	Balanc	ced Factorial Experiments	79

Two-Way Crossed Classification

Days to first germination of three varieties of carrot seed grown in two types of potting soil.

Soil		Variety	
Tpye	1	2	3
1		$y_{121} = 13 y_{122} = 15$	
2	$y_{211} = 12$ $y_{212} = 15$ $y_{213} = 19$ $y_{214} = 18$	$y_{221} = 31$	$y_{231} = 18$ $y_{232} = 9$ $y_{233} = 12$

This might be called "an unbalanced factorial experiment".

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

3

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Sample sizes:

1
$$n_{11} = 3$$
 $n_{12} = 2$ $n_{13} = 2$
2 $n_{21} = 4$ $n_{22} = 1$ $n_{23} = 3$

In general we have

$$i=1,2,\ldots,a$$
 levels for the first factor $j=1,2,\ldots,b$ levels for the second factor $n_{ij}>0$ observations at the i -th level of the first factor and the j -th level of the second factor

We will restrict our attention to normal-theory Gauss-Markov models.

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

"Cell Means" Model 1.1

$$y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2) \quad \begin{cases} i = 1, \dots, a \\ j = 1, \dots, b \\ k = 1, \dots, n_{ij} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij}$ is estimable if $n_{ij} > 0$.

Overall mean response:

(

Mean response at *i*-th level of factor 1, averaging across the levels of factor 2.

Contrasts of interest: "main effects" for factor 1:

Mean response at j-th level of factor 2, averaging across the levels of factor 1

"main effects" for factor 2:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Conditional effects:

Interaction contrasts:

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

All of these contrasts are **estimable** when

$$n_{ij} > 0$$
 for all (i, j)

because

- $\bullet \ E(\bar{y}_{ij.}) = \mu_{ij}$
- Any linear function of estimable functions is estimable

1.2 An "Effects" Model

 $y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$$

 $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$
 $j = 1, 2, \dots, b$
 $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_{ij} > 0$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

1.2.1 Baseline Restrictions

The resulting restricted model is

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2) \quad \begin{cases} i = 1, \dots, a \\ j = 1, \dots, b \\ k = 1, \dots, n_{ij} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha_a = 0$$
 $\beta_b = 0$
 $\gamma_{ib} = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., a$
 $\gamma_{aj} = 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., b$

We will call these the "baseline" restrictions.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

12

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification 11

Soil Soil Type Туре Variety 1 Variety 2 Variety 3 Means $\mu_{13} = \mu + \alpha_1$ 1 $\mu_{11} = \mu + \alpha_1$ $\mu_{12} = \mu + \alpha_1$ $\mu + \alpha_1$ $+\beta_1 + \gamma_{11}$ $+\beta_2 + \gamma_{12}$ $+\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}$ $+\frac{\gamma_{11}+\gamma_{12}}{2}$ 2 $\mu_{21} = \mu + \beta_1$ $\mu_{22} = \mu + \beta_2$ $\mu_{23} = \mu$ $\mu + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{2}$

Var.

means
$$\mu + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_1 + \frac{\gamma_{11}}{2}$$
 $\mu + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \beta_2 + \frac{\gamma_{12}}{2}$ $\mu + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$

Interpretation:

$$\mu =$$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

$$\alpha_i =$$

$$\beta_i =$$

 $\gamma_{ij} =$

Matrix formulation:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

15

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

16

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Least squares estimation:

Comments:

Imposing a set of restrictions on the parameters in the "effects" model

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

to obtain a model matrix with full column rank.

- (i) Avoids the use of a generalized inverse in least squares estimation.
- (ii) Is equivalent to choosing a generalized inverse for $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ in the unrestricted "effects" model.
- (iii) Restrictions must involve "non-estimable" quantities for the unrestricted "effects" model.
- (iv) Baseline restrictions using by SAS are $\alpha_a = 0$ $\beta_b = 0$ $\gamma_{ib} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, a$ $\gamma_{aj} = 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, b$
- (v) Baseline restrictions using by R are $\alpha_1 = 0$ $\beta_1 = 0$

$$\gamma_{i1} = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, a$$

$$\gamma_{1j} = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, b$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

1.2.2 Σ -Restrictions

 $y_{ijk} = \omega + \gamma_i + \delta_j + \eta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$ $\swarrow \mu_{ij} = E(y_{ijk})$

where

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^{a} \gamma_i = 0$ $\sum_{j=1}^{b} \delta_j = 0$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{a} \eta_{ij} = 0 \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, b$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b} \eta_{ij} = 0 \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, a$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

Interpretation:

 ω =

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

20

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification 19

$$\delta_j - \delta_k =$$

Similarly,

$$\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 =$$

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

For a model that includes the Σ -restrictions:

 $\eta_{ij} =$

Matrix formulation:

Least squares estimation:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

If restrictions are placed on "non-estimable" functions of parameters in the unrestricted "effects" model, then

- The resulting models are reparameterizations of each other. $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = P_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y}$

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = (I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$
$$SSE = \mathbf{e}^{T}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}^{T}(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y}^{T}P_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y}$$

$$SS_{\text{model}} = \mathbf{y}^T (P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mathbf{1}}) \mathbf{y}$$

are the same for any set of restrictions. The solution to the normal equations

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

and interpretations of the corresponding parameters will not be the same for all such sets of restrictions.

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

If you were to place restrictions on estimable functions of parameters in

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_1 + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

then you would change

- $rank(\mathbf{X})$
- \bullet space spanned by the columns of X
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$ and OLS estimators of other estimable quantities.

Example 1.

In a study to examine the effect of 4 drugs on 3 experimentally induced diseases in dogs, each drug-disease combination was given to six randomly selected dogs. The measurement (y) to be analyzed was the increase in systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) due to treatment. Unfortunately, some dogs were unable to complete the experiment. The data are shown in the following table.

		Disease	
Drug	j = 1	j=2	j=3
i = 1	42, 44, 36,13, 19, 22	33, 26, 33,21	31, -3, 25, 25, 24
i = 2	28, 23, 24,42, 13	34, 33, 31,36	3, 26, 28,32, 3, 16
i = 3	1, 29, 19	11, 9, 7,1, -6	21, 1, 9,3
i = 4	24, 9, 22,-2, 15	27, 12, 12,-5, 16, 15	22, 7, 25, 5, 12

Consider the model $y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$ where $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$ and y_{ijk} denotes the change in systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) for the k-th dog given the j-th disease and treated with the i-th drug.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

27

(a) Note that the application of the lm() function in R imposes some restrictions to solve the normal equations. What are the restrictions?

(b) Using the solution to the normal equations provided by the application of the of lm() function in R, report estimates of the following quantities:

$$\mu, \alpha_1, \beta_3, \gamma_{23}, \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \gamma_{22} - \gamma_{23} - \gamma_{32} + \gamma_{33}, \mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_3 + \gamma_{23}, (\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) + \bar{\gamma}_{2.} - \bar{\gamma}_{3.}$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

28

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

```
setwd("E:")
dogs <- read.table("dogs.dat", col.names=c("Drug","Disease","Y"))</pre>
dogs$Drug <- as.factor(dogs$Drug)</pre>
dogs$Disease <- as.factor(dogs$Disease)</pre>
options( contrasts=c("contr.treatment", "contr.ploy") )
```

lm.out1 <- lm(Y ~ Drug*Disease, data=dogs)</pre>

lm.out1\$coef > lm.out1\$coef

(Intercept) Drug2 Drug3 Drug4 Disease2 -3.33 -13.00 -15.73 -1.083 29.33

Disease3 Drug2:Disease2 Drug3:Disease2 Drug4:Disease2 Drug2:Disease -8.93 8.583 -10.85 0.32 0.93

Drug3:Disease3 Drug4:Disease3

1.10 9.53 CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

(c) Give an interpretation of each quantity with respect to the restricted model and the mean change in systolic blood pressure.

(d) The are many ways to put linear restrictions on parameters in the original model to obtain a solution to the normal equations. Would the least squares estimates of any of the linear combinations of parameters in part (a) have the same value for all such solutions to the normal equations? Which ones? Explain.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

31

1.3 Normal Theory Gauss-Markov Model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Analysis of Variance 1.3.1

$$\mathbf{y}^{T}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^{T}P_{\mu}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\alpha} - P_{\mu})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

$$= R(\mu) + R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\mu) + R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mu,\alpha)$$

$$+R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) + SSE$$

By Cochran's Theorem, these quadratic forms (or sums of squares) have independent chi-square distributions with 1, a-1, b-1, (a-1)(b-1), and $n_{\bullet\bullet} - ab$ degrees of freedom, respectively, (if $n_{ij} > 0$ for all (i, j)

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

32

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

```
1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
y_{111}
              1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
y_{112}
                                                      \mu
              1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
y_{113}
                                                     \alpha_1
              1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
y_{121}
                                                      \alpha_2
              1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
y_{122}
                                                      \beta_1
              1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0
y_{131}
                                                      \beta_2
              1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0
y_{132}
                                                     \beta_3
             1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
                                                             +\epsilon
y_{211}
                                                     \gamma_{11}
              1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
y_{212}
                                                     \gamma_{12}
              1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
y_{213}
                                                     \gamma_{13}
              1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
y_{214}
                                                     \gamma_{21}
              1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
y_{221}
                                                     \gamma_{22}
              1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
y_{231}
                                                    \gamma_{23}
              1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
y_{232}
             1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
y_{233}
     call this call this call this
                                       call this
                   \mathbf{X}_{\alpha}
                              \mathbf{X}_{\beta}
                                           \mathbf{X}_{\gamma}
          \mathbf{X}_{\mu}
```

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Define:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X}_{\mu} &= \mathbf{X}_{\mu} & P_{\mu} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu} (\mathbf{X}_{\mu}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mu})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{\mu}^{T} \\ \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} &= [\mathbf{X}_{\mu} | \mathbf{X}_{\alpha}] & P_{\mu,\alpha} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} (\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha})^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^{T} \\ \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} &= [\mathbf{X}_{\mu} | \mathbf{X}_{\alpha} | \mathbf{X}_{\beta}] & P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \left(\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \right)^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^{T} \\ \mathbf{X} &= [\mathbf{X}_{\mu} | \mathbf{X}_{\alpha} | \mathbf{X}_{\beta} | \mathbf{X}_{\gamma}] & P_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^{T} \end{split}$$

The following three model matrices correspond to reparameterizations of the same model: $\underline{\text{Mode1}}$ 1:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

Model 2:		Model 3:	
1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 0	$\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \gamma_{11} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}$	1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 0 1 0 -1 1 -1 1 0 -1 0 1 -1 0 1 0 -1 1 -1 1 0 -1 0 1 -1 1 0 -1 1 1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 1 1	$\begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ \gamma_1 \\ \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \eta_{11} \\ \eta_{12} \end{bmatrix}$

 $R(\mu) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mu} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models $R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models and so is $R(\alpha|\mu) = R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) - R(\mu)$ $R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models and so is $R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ $R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \mathbf{y}^T P_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{y}$ is the same for all three models and so is $R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) - R(\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

35

Consequently, the partition

$$\mathbf{y}^{T}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^{T}P_{\mu}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\beta} - P_{\mu})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\beta})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(P_{\mathbf{X}} - P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta})\mathbf{y}$$

$$+\mathbf{y}^{T}(I - P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y}$$

$$= R(\mu) + R(\beta|\mu) + R(\alpha|\mu,\beta)$$

$$+R(\gamma|\mu,\alpha,\beta) + SSE$$

is the same for all three models.

By Cochran's Theorem, these quadratic forms (or sums of squares) have independent chi-square distributions with 1, b-1, a-1, (a-1)(b-1), and $n_{\bullet\bullet}-ab$ degrees of freedom, respectively, when $n_{ij}>0$ for all (i,j).

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

36

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

We have also shown earlier that

$$SSE = \mathbf{y}^{T} (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{y}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (y_{ijk} - \bar{y}_{ij\bullet})^{2}$$

$$\sim \chi^{2}_{n\bullet\bullet-ab}$$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Example 2.

Let $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma^2 I)$, where

- $\bullet \mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W_1} \ \mathbf{W_2} \ \mathbf{W_3} \ \mathbf{W_4} \end{bmatrix},$
- $W_1 = 1_{20}$,
- $\bullet \ \mathbf{W_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1_{10}},$
- $\bullet \mathbf{W_3} = \mathbf{1_2} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1_5},$
- $\mathbf{W_4} = \mathbf{1_4} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, and

$$\bullet \; \boldsymbol{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 \\ \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Use Cochran's theorem to find the distributions of
 - $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}SSE = \mathbf{e^T}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{Y^T}(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{P_W})\mathbf{Y}$, where $\mathbf{P_W} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{W^T}\mathbf{W})^{-1}\mathbf{W^T}$
 - $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}R(\gamma_1) = \mathbf{Y^TP_{W_1}Y}$ where $\mathbf{W_1} = \mathbf{1}$ is the first column of \mathbf{W} and
 - $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}_1} = \mathbf{W}_1 (\mathbf{W}_1^T \mathbf{W})^{-1} \mathbf{W}_1^T.$
 - $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}R(\gamma_2|\gamma_1) = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W_2}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W_1}})Y$ where $\mathbf{W_2}$ contains the first two columns of \mathbf{W} and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W_2}} = \mathbf{W_2}(\mathbf{W_2^TW_2})^{-1}\mathbf{W_2^T}$.
 - $\bullet \ \, \tfrac{1}{\sigma^2} R(\gamma_3|\gamma_1\gamma_2) = \mathbf{Y^T}(\mathbf{P_{W_3}} \mathbf{P_{W_2}})\mathbf{Y}. \ \, \text{where} \ \, \mathbf{W_3} \ \, \text{contains the} \\ \text{first three columns of} \ \, \mathbf{W} \ \, \text{and} \ \, \mathbf{P_{W_3}} = \mathbf{W_3}(\mathbf{W_3^TW_3})^{-1}\mathbf{W_3^T}.$
 - $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}R(\gamma_4|\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3) = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}_3})\mathbf{Y}.$

(b) Report a formula for the non-centrality parameter of the non-central F distribution of

$$F = \frac{R(\gamma_3|\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{SSE/7}$$

Use it to the null and alternative hypotheses associated with this test statistic. You are given that:

$$\mathbf{W^{T}}(\mathbf{P_{W_{3}}} - \mathbf{P_{W_{2}}})\mathbf{W}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 20 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

39

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

40

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

1.3.2 Type I Sum of Squares

What null hypotheses are tested by F-tests derived from such ANOVA tables $R(\mu)=$

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

For the carrot seed germination study:

$$P_{1}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^{T} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} [n_{..}, n_{1.}, n_{2.}, n_{.1}, n_{.2}, n_{.3}, n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{21}, n_{22}, n_{23}] \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n_{..}} \mathbf{1} \left(n_{..} \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_{i.} \alpha_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{.j} \beta_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \gamma_{ij} \right)$$

The null hypothesis is

$$H_0: n_{..} \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_{i.} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{.j} \beta_j + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} n_{ij} \gamma_{ij} = 0$$

With respect to the cell means

$$E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}$$

this null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \mu_{ij} = 0$$

42

Consider

 $R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) =$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

For the general effects model for the carrot seed germination study:

$$P_{\mu,\alpha} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} (\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^T \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha})^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha}^T \mathbf{X}$$
$$= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} n_{..} & n_{1.} & n_{2.} \\ n_{1.} & n_{1.} & 0 \\ n_{2.} & 0 & n_{2.} \end{bmatrix}^{-}$$

$$= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n_{1.}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n_{2.}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} =$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

44

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Then, the first seven rows of $(\mathbf{P}_{\mu,\alpha} - \mathbf{P}_{\mu})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are

$$\left[\mu + \alpha_{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{1j}}{n_{1.}} (\beta_{j} + \gamma_{1j})\right] - \left[\mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{i.}}{n_{..}} \alpha_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{.j}}{n_{..}} \beta_{j} + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \gamma_{ij}\right]$$

The last eight rows of $(P_{\mu,\alpha} - P_{\mu})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are

$$\left[\mu + \alpha_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{2j}}{n_{2.}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{2j})\right] - \left[\mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{i.}}{n_{..}} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{.j}}{n_{..}} \beta_j + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \gamma_{ij}\right]$$

The null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$$
 are all equal $(i = 1, \dots, a)$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

with respect to the cell means model,

$$\mu_{ij} = E(y_{ijk}) = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} \ ,$$
 this null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ij}$$
 are all equal $(i=1,\ldots,a)$.

 $P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \left| \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^T \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \right| \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^T \mathbf{X}$

46

202205

48

Consider $R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{y}^T (P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha}) \mathbf{y}$ and the corresponding F-statistic

$$F = \frac{R(\pmb{\beta}|\mu, \pmb{\alpha})/(b-1)}{MSE} \sim F_{(b-1, n_{\cdot \cdot} - ab)}(\lambda)$$

Here,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \sim \chi^2_{\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha})}(\lambda)$$

$$[1 + (a-1) + (b-1)] - [1 + (a-1)]$$

$$= b - 1 \text{ degrees of freedom}$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \Big[(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha}) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \Big]^T \Big[(P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} - P_{\mu,\alpha}) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \Big]$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

$$= \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} n_{..} & n_{1.} & n_{2.} & n_{.1} & n_{.2} & n_{.3} \\ n_{1.} & n_{1.} & 0 & n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} \\ n_{2.} & 0 & n_{2.} & n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} \\ n_{1} & n_{11} & n_{21} & n_{.1} & 0 & 0 \\ n_{.2} & n_{12} & n_{22} & 0 & n_{.2} & 0 \\ n_{.3} & n_{13} & n_{23} & 0 & 0 & n_{.3} \end{bmatrix}^{-} \mathbf{X}_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}^{T} \mathbf{X}$$

$$\text{call this } \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^{T} & C \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1}B \\ I \end{bmatrix} [C - B^{T}A^{-1}B]^{-1} [-B^{T}A^{-1}|I]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C^{-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} I \\ -C^{-1}B^{T} \end{bmatrix} [A - BC^{-1}B^{T}]^{-1} [I | - BC^{-1}]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} W & -WBC^{-1} \\ -C^{-1}B^{T}W & C^{-1} + C^{-1}B^{T}WBC^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

47

The null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{i.}} (\beta_k + \gamma_{ik}) \right) = 0$$
for all $j = 1, \dots, b$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

With respect to the cell means,

$$E(y_{ijk}) = \mu_{ij},$$

this null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ik} \right) = 0$$
for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, b$.

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Consider

$$R(\pmb{\gamma}|\mu,\pmb{\alpha},\pmb{\beta})=\mathbf{y}^T[P_{\mathbf{X}}-P_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}]\mathbf{y}$$
 and the associated F-statistic

$$F = \frac{R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})/[(a-1)(b-1)]}{MSE}$$
$$\sim F_{(a-1)(b-1), n-ab}(\lambda)$$

The null hypothesis is:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

$$H_0: (\mu_{ij} - \mu_{i\ell} - \mu_{kj} + \mu_{k\ell})$$

= $(\gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{i\ell} - \gamma_{kj} + \gamma_{k\ell}) = 0$

for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ) .

Associated null hypothesis

Sums of

Squares

 $R(\mu)$

50

ANOVA Summary:

Sums of Squares	Associated null hypothesis
$R(\mu)$	$H_0: \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{i.}}{n_{}} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{.j}}{n_{}} \beta_j$
	$+\sum_{i=1}^{a}\sum_{j=1}^{b}\frac{n_{ij}}{n}\gamma_{ij} = 0$
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{}} \mu_{ij} = 0\right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu})$	$H_0: \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$ are equal
	$\left(\text{or } H_0 : \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{n_{ij}}{n_i} \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal} \right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$	$H_0: \beta_j + \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \gamma_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \sum_{k=1}^b \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{k.}} (\beta_k + \gamma_{ik})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, b$
	$\left(\text{or } H_0: \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \sum_{k=1}^b \frac{n_{ik}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ik} \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, b\right)$
$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$	$H_0: \gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{kj} - \gamma_{i\ell} + \gamma_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ)
	(or $H_0: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj} - \mu_{i\ell} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ)

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

51

202205

$$\begin{split} + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \gamma_{ij} &= 0 \\ \left(\text{or } H_0 : \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{..}} \mu_{ij} &= 0 \right) \\ R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) & H_0 : \beta_j + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} (\alpha_j + \gamma_{ij}) \text{ are equal for all } j = 1, \dots, b \\ \left(\text{or } H_0 : \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal for all } j = 1, \dots, b \right) \\ R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) & H_0 : \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} (\alpha_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}) = \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \sum_{k=1}^{a} \frac{n_{kj}}{n_{.j}} (\alpha_k + \gamma_{kj}) \\ & \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, a \\ \left(\text{or } H_0 : \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \mu_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i.}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{a} \frac{n_{kj}}{n_{.j}} \mu_{kj} \right] \\ & \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, a \right) \\ R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) & H_0 : \gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{kj} - \gamma_{i\ell} + \gamma_{k\ell} = 0 \text{ for all } (i, j) \text{ and } (k, \ell) \\ & \left(\text{or } H_0 : \mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj} - \mu_{i\ell} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0 \text{ for all } (i, j) \text{ and } (k, \ell) \right) \end{split}$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Soil		Variety	
Tpye	1	2	3
1		$y_{121} = 13 y_{122} = 15$	
2	$y_{211} = 12$ $y_{212} = 15$ $y_{213} = 19$ $y_{214} = 18$	$y_{221} = 31$	$y_{231} = 18$ $y_{232} = 9$ $y_{233} = 12$

Type I sums of squares

```
R-Codes
#Type I Sum of Squares(A follows by B)
Y = c(6, 10, 11, 13,15,14,22,12,15,19,18,31,18,9,12)
xmu = rep(1,15)
xa1 = c(rep(1,7),rep(0,8))
xa2 = 1-xa1
xalpha = cbind(xa1, xa2)
xb1 = c(rep(1,3), rep(0,4), rep(1,4), rep(0,4))
xb2 = c(rep(0,3), rep(1,2), rep(0,6), 1, rep(0,3))
xb3 = c(rep(0,5), 1,1, rep(0,5),rep(1,3))
xbeta = cbind(xb1,xb2,xb3)
xab11 = xa1*xb1
xab12 = xa1*xb2
xab13 = xa1*xb3
xab21 = xa2*xb1
xab22 = xa2*xb2
```

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

52

```
xab23 = xa2*xb3
xgamma = cbind(xab11,xab12,xab13,xab21,xab22,xab23)
library(MASS)
Pmu = xmu%*%solve(t(xmu)%*%xmu)%*%t(xmu)
xma = cbind(xmu, xalpha)
Pma = xma%*%ginv(t(xma)%*%xma)%*%t(xma)
xmab = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta)
\label{eq:pmab} {\tt Pmab} = {\tt xmab}\%*\%{\tt ginv(t(xmab))}\%*\%{\tt xmab)}\%*\%{\tt t(xmab)}
X = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta, xgamma)
PX = X%*\%ginv(t(X)%*%X)%*%t(X)
In = diag(rep(1,15))
A1 = Pmu
A2 = Pma - Pmu
A3 = Pmab - Pma
A4 = PX - Pmab
A5 = In - PX
Rmu = t(Y)%*%A1%*%Y
Rma = t(Y)%*%A2%*%Y
Rma
Rmab = t(Y)%*%A3%*%Y
Rmabg = t(Y)%*%A4%*%Y
SSE = t(Y)%*%A5%*%Y
```

Rmabg = t(Y)%*%A4%*%Y

SSE = t(Y)%*%A5%*%Y

MRmu = Rmu

MRma = Rma

MRmabg = Rmabb/2

MRmabg = Rmabb/2

MRmabg = Rmabg/2

MSE = SSE/9

Fmu = MRmu/MSE

Fa = MRma/MSE

Fb = MRmab/MSE

Fab = MRmab/MSE

Fab = MRmabg/MSE

PVmu = 1-pf(Fmu,1,9)

PVa = 1-pf(Fa,1,9)

PVb = 1-pf(Fb,2,9)

PVab = 1-pf(Fab,1,9)

data.frame(Source = "Intercept", SS=Rmu, df = 1, MS = MRmu, F.Stat = Fmu,

MEME16203 Linear Models

```
p.value = PVmu)
data.frame(Source = "Soil",SS=Rma, df = 1, MS = MRma, F.Stat = Fa,
p.value = PVa)
data.frame(Source = "Variety", SS=Rmab, df = 2, MS = MRmab, F.Stat = Fb,
data.frame(Source = "Interaction", SS=Rmabg, df = 2, F.Stat = Fab,
p.value = PVab)
data.frame(Source = "Error", SS=SSE, df = 9.MS = MSE)
#Using lm() function
Y = c(6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 14, 22, 12, 15, 19, 18, 31, 18, 9, 12)
FA = as.factor(c(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2))
FB = as.factor(c(1,1,1,2,2,3,3,1,1,1,1,2,3,3,3))
mod.fit = lm(Y ~ FA*FB)
anova(mod.fit)
Source
of
                      sums of
                                              Mean
variat. d.f.
                      squares
                                              square
                                                        F p-value
 "Soils" a - 1 = 1 R(\alpha | \mu) = 52.50
                                                52.5 \quad 3.94
                                                              .0785
 "Var." b - 1 = 2 R(\beta | \mu, \alpha) = 124.73
                                               62.4 4.68
                                                             .0405
Inter-
action (a-1)(b-1) R(\gamma|\mu, \alpha, \beta) = 222.76 111.38 8.35
                                                            .0089
 "Res." \Sigma\Sigma(n_{ij}-1) \mathbf{y}^T(I-P_{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{y} = 120.00 13.33
                =9
Corr
total n_{..} - 1 = 14 \quad \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_1) \mathbf{y} = 520.00
```

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Rmab = t(Y)%*%A3%*%Y

202205

```
Y = c(6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 14, 22, 12, 15, 19, 18, 31, 18, 9, 12)
xmu = rep(1,15)
xa1 = c(rep(1,7), rep(0,8))
xa2 = 1-xa1
xalpha = cbind(xa1, xa2)
xb1 = c(rep(1,3), rep(0,4), rep(1,4), rep(0,4))
xb2 = c(rep(0,3), rep(1,2), rep(0,6), 1, rep(0,3))
xb3 = c(rep(0,5), 1,1, rep(0,5), rep(1,3))
xbeta = cbind(xb1,xb2,xb3)
xab11 = xa1*xb1
xab12 = xa1*xb2
xab13 = xa1*xb3
xab21 = xa2*xb1
xab22 = xa2*xb2
xab23 = xa2*xb3
xgamma = cbind(xab11,xab12,xab13,xab21,xab22,xab23)
library(MASS)
Pmu = xmu%*%solve(t(xmu)%*%xmu)%*%t(xmu)
xmb = cbind(xmu, xbeta)
Pmb = xmb%*%ginv(t(xmb)%*%xmb)%*%t(xmb)
xmab = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta)
Pmab = xmab%*%ginv(t(xmab)%*%xmab)%*%t(xmab)
X = cbind(xmu, xalpha, xbeta, xgamma)
PX = X%*\%ginv(t(X)%*%X)%*%t(X)
In = diag(rep(1,15))
A1 = Pmu
A2 = Pmb - Pmu
A3 = Pmab - Pmb
A4 = PX - Pmab
A5 = In - PX
Rmu = t(Y)%*%A1%*%Y
Rma = t(Y)%*%A2%*%Y
```

#Type I Sum of Squares(B follows by A)

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

56

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification 55

```
Rmabg = t(Y)%*%A4%*%Y
SSE = t(Y)%*%A5%*%Y
MRmu = Rmu
MRma = Rma
MRmab = Rmab/2
MRmabg = Rmabg/2
MSE = SSE/9
Fmu = MRmu/MSE
Fa = MRma/MSE
Fb = MRmab/MSE
Fab = MRmabg/MSE
PVmu = 1-pf(Fmu,1,9)
PVa = 1-pf(Fa,1,9)
PVb = 1-pf(Fb,2,9)
PVab = 1-pf(Fab, 1, 9)
data.frame(Source = "Intercept", SS=Rmu, df = 1, MS = MRmu, F.Stat = Fmu,
p.value = PVm11)
data.frame(Source = "Soil", SS=Rma, df = 1, MS = MRma, F.Stat = Fa,
p.value = PVa)
data.frame(Source = "Variety", SS=Rmab, df = 2, MS = MRmab, F.Stat = Fb,
p.value = PVb)
data.frame(Source = "Interaction", SS=Rmabg, df = 2, F.Stat = Fab,
p.value = PVab)
data.frame(Source = "Error", SS=SSE, df = 9, MS = MSE)
```

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

Source of variat.	d.f.	sums of squares	Mean square	F	p-value
"Var."	b - 1 = 2	$R(\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 93.33$	46.67	3.50	.0751
"Soils"	a-1=1	$R(\alpha \boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 83.90$	83.90	6.29	.0334
Inter- action	(a-1)(b-1) =2	$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = 222.76$	111.38	8.35	.0089
"Res."	$\Sigma\Sigma(n_{ij} - 1) = 9$	$\mathbf{y}^T (I - P_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{y} = 120.00$	13.33		
Corr. total	$n_{} - 1 = 14$	$\mathbf{y}^T (I - P_1)\mathbf{y} = 520.00$			

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

The least squares estimator (b.l.u.e.) for μ is

1.3.3 Method of Unweighted Means Type III Sum of Squares

(Type III sums of squares in when $n_{ij} > 0$ for all (i, j)).

Use the cell means reparameterization of the model:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification 59

Test the hypotheses are:

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

The OLS estimator (b.l.u.e.) for $\frac{1}{b}\sum_{j=1}^{b}\mu_{ij}$ and it's variance are

Express the null hypothesis in matrix form:

$$H_0: C_1\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$$

Then the OLS estimator (BLUE) of $C_1\mu$, and it's variance are:

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Compute SS_{H_0} and show that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2}SS_{H_0} \sim \chi^2_{(a-1)}(\lambda)$$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION 64

Compute: $SSE = \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_D) \mathbf{y} \text{ where } P_D = D(D^T D)^{-1} D^T.$

Show that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2}SSE \sim \chi^2_{\Sigma\Sigma(n_{ij}-1)}$$

66

Show that

$$SSE = \mathbf{y}^T \underline{(I - P_D)} \mathbf{y}$$

$$\nwarrow \text{ call this } A_1$$

is distributed independently of

$$SS_{H_0} = \mathbf{y}^T \underline{D(D^T D)^{-1} C_1^T [C_1(D^T D)^{-1} C_1^T]^{-1} C_1(D^T D)^{-1}} D^T \mathbf{y}$$
 \times call this A_2

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

68

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification 67

Test

$$H_0: \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{i1} = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{i2} = \dots = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{a} \mu_{ib}$$

VS.

$$H_A: \frac{1}{a}\sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ij} \neq \frac{1}{a}\sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ik}$$
 for some $j \neq k$

Write the null hypothesis in matrix form as

$$H_0: C_2 \mu = 0$$

where $C_2 =$

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

then $C_2 \mu =$

Then F =

70

Compute $SS_{H_{0,2}}$ and reject H_0 if F =

Test for Interaction:

Test

 $H_0: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{i\ell} - \mu_{kj} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i, j) and (k, ℓ) vs.

 $H_A: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{i\ell} - \mu_{kj} + \mu_{k\ell} \neq 0$ for all (i, k) and $(j \neq \ell)$.

Write the null hypothesis in matrix form as

$$H_0: C_3 \mu = 0$$

and perform the test.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

72

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION 71

```
#Type III Sum of Squares
Y = c(6,10,11,13,15,14,22,12,15,19,18,31,18,9,12)
Y = c(6,10,11,13,15,14,22,12,15,19,18,31,18,9,12)
d1 = c(rep(1,3), rep(0,12))
d2 = c(0,0,0,1,1,rep(0,10))
d3 = c(rep(0,5),1,1,rep(0,8))
d4 = c(rep(0,7), rep(1,4), rep(0,4))
d5 = c(rep(0,11), 1, rep(0,3))
d6 = c(rep(0,12), 1, 1,1)
D = cbind(d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6)
a = 2
beta = solve(t(D)%*%D)%*%t(D)%*%Y
Yhat = D%*%beta
SSE = crossprod(Y-Yhat)
df2 = NROW(Y) - a*b
am1 = a-1
bm1 = b-1
Iam1 = diag(rep(1,am1))
Ibm1 = diag(rep(1,bm1))
Onea = c(rep(1,a))
Oneam1 = c(rep(1,am1))
Oneb = c(rep(1,b))
Onebm1 = c(rep(1,bm1))
C1 = kronecker(cbind(Iam1, -Oneam1),t(Oneb))
C1b = C1%*%beta
SSH0a = t(C1b)\%*\%
solve(C1%*%solve(crossprod(D))%*%t(C1))%*%C1b
df1 = b-1
```

```
Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

F = (SSH0a/df1)/(SSE/df2)
```

```
p = 1-pf(F, df1, df2)
C1
data.frame(SS=SSHOa, df = df1, F.Stat = F, p.value = p)
C2 = kronecker(t(Onea), cbind(Ibm1, -Onebm1))
C2b = C2%*%beta
SSHOb = t(C2b)\%*\%
solve(C2%*%solve(crossprod(D))%*%t(C2))%*%C2b
df1 = b-1
F = (SSH0b/df1)/(SSE/df2)
p = 1-pf(F, df1, df2)
C2
data.frame(SS=SSHOb, df = df1, F.Stat = F, p.value = p)
C3 = kronecker(cbind(Iam1, -Oneam1), cbind(Ibm1, -Onebm1))
C3b = C3%*%beta
SSHOab = t(C3b)%*%
solve(C3%*%solve(crossprod(D))%*%t(C3))%*%C3b
df1 = (a-1)*(b-1)
F = (SSH0ab/df1)/(SSE/df2)
p = 1-pf(F, df1, df2)
СЗ
data.frame(SS=SSHOab, df = df1, F.Stat = F, p.value = p)
```

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

Source of variation	Sum of d.f.	Mean Squares	Square	F	p-value
Soils	a-1=1	$SS_{H_0} = 123.77$	123.77	9.28	.0139
Var.	b-1=2	$SS_{H_{0,2}} = 192.13$	96.06	7.20	.0135
Inter.	(a-1)(b-1)=2	$SS_{H_{0,3}} = 222.76$	111.38	8.35	.0089

Note that

$$\mathbf{y}^{T} P_{1} \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{T} D (D^{T} D)^{-1} [C_{1} (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{1}^{T}]^{-1}$$

$$C_{1} (D^{T} D)^{-1} D^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \mathbf{y}^{T} D (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{2}^{T} [C_{2} (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{2}^{T}]^{-1}$$

$$C_{2} (D^{T} D)^{-1} D^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \mathbf{y}^{T} D (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{3}^{T} [C_{3} (D^{T} D)^{-1} C_{3}^{T}]^{-1}$$

$$C_{3} (D^{T} D)^{-1} D^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \mathbf{y}^{T} (I - P_{D}) \mathbf{y}$$

do not necessarily sum to $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}$, nor do the middle three terms $(SS_{H_0}, SS_{H_0,2}, SS_{H_0,3})$ necessarily sum to

$$SS_{\text{model,corrected}} = \mathbf{y}^T (P_D - P_1) \mathbf{y} ,$$

nor are $(SS_{H_0}, SS_{H_0,2}, SS_{H_0,3})$ necessarily independent of each other.

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

75

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

76

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

Example 3.

A chemical production process consists of a first reaction with an alcohol and a second reaction with a base. A 3×2 factorial experiment with three alcohols and two bases was conducted. The data had unequal replications among the six treatment combinations of the two factors, Base and Alcohol. The collected data are percent yield. The data are given below.

	Alcohol					
Base]	L	4	2	ć	3
1	90.7	91.6	89.0	88.9	89.5	87.9
			91.0		89.9	91.2
2	87.9	89.0	97.3		94.2	92.5
	92.0				92.0	

Consider the model $y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$, where $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$, i = 1, 2, and j = 1, 2, 3 and $k = 1, \ldots, n_{ij}$. This model can be expressed in matrix form as $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. Examine type III sums of squares for these data.

Chapter 5 Two-Way Crossed Classification

(a) Specify the **C** matrix needed to write the null hypothesis associated with the F-test for Alcohol effects in the form $H_0: \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$.

(b) Present a formula for SS_{H_0} , corresponding to the null hypothesis in part (a), and state it's distribution when the null hypothesis is true.

(c) Compute SS_{H_0} .

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

1.4 Balanced Factorial Experiments

$$n_{ij} = n$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, a$
 $j = 1, \dots, b$

Example 4. Sugar Cane yields Nitrogem Level

	150 lb/acre	210 lb/acre	270 lb/acre
	-	$y_{121} = 67.3$	
Variety 1	$y_{112} = 67.5$	$y_{122} = 75.9$	$y_{132} = 72.8$
	$y_{113} = 63.9$	$y_{123} = 72.2$	$y_{133} = 64.8$
	$y_{114} = 64.2$	$y_{124} = 60.5$	$y_{134} = 86.3$
	$y_{211} = 58.6$	$y_{221} = 64.3$	
Variety 2	$y_{212} = 65.2$	$y_{222} = 48.3$	$y_{232} = 67.3$
	$y_{213} = 70.2$	$y_{223} = 74.0$	$y_{233} = 78.0$
	$y_{214} = 51.8$	$y_{224} = 63.6$	$y_{234} = 72.0$
	$y_{311} = 65.8$	$y_{321} = 64.1$	$y_{331} = 56.3$
Variety 3	$y_{312} = 68.3$	$y_{322} = 64.8$	$y_{332} = 54.7$
	$y_{313} = 72.7$	$y_{323} = 70.9$	$y_{331} = 66.2$
	$y_{314} = 67.6$	$y_{324} = 58.3$	$y_{334} = 54.4$

CHAPTER 5 TWO-WAY CROSSED CLASSIFICATION

For a balanced experiment $(n_{ij} = n)$, Type I, Type II, and Type III sums of squares are the same:

$$R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) =$$

$$R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) =$$

$$R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\mu,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) =$$

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 Linear Models

Associated null

82

ANOVA

Sum of Squares hypothesis $R(\mu) = \mathbf{y}^T P_1 \mathbf{y} \qquad H_0 : \mu + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a \alpha_i + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b \beta_j$ $= a b n \bar{y}_{...}^2 \qquad + \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \gamma_{ij} = 0$ $\left(H_0 : \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij} = 0 \right)$ $R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\mu) = R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \qquad H_0 : \alpha_i + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b (\beta_j + \gamma_{ij})$ $= n b \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 \qquad \text{are equal}$ $\left(H_0 : \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal} \right)$ $R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mu) = R(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mu, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \qquad H_0 : \beta_j + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a (\alpha_i + \gamma_{ij})$ $= n a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{y}_{.j.} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 \qquad \text{are equal}$ $\left(H_0 : \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ij} \text{ are equal} \right)$

 $R(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = n \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} (\bar{y}_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{.j.} + \bar{y}_{...})^{2}$ $H_{0}: \gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{kj} - \gamma_{i\ell} + \gamma_{k\ell} = 0$ for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ) $\left(H_{0}: \mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj} - \mu_{i\ell} + \mu_{k\ell} = 0\right)$ for all (i,j) and (k,ℓ)

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS

202205

MEME16203 LINEAR MODELS