#### Test 1

#### UNIVERSITI TUNKU ABDUL RAHMAN

Faculty: FES Unit Code: MEME16203 Course: MAC Unit Title: Linear Models

Year: 1,2 Lecturer: Dr Yong Chin Khian

Session: 202205

Show your workings. If no workings are shown, ZERO is awarded.

Q1. Suppose  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  are any two matrices with n rows for which  $C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\mathbf{W})$ . Define  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{W})^{-}\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{T}}$ . Show that  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$ . (10 marks)

```
Ans.
C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\mathbf{W})
\Rightarrow \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{XF} \text{ for some } \mathbf{F} \text{ and } \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{WG} \text{ for some } \mathbf{G}. \text{ Thus,}
\mathbf{P_X P_W} = \mathbf{P_X W} (\mathbf{W^T W})^{-} \mathbf{W^T}
= \mathbf{P_X X F} (\mathbf{W^T W})^{-} \mathbf{W^T}
= \mathbf{X F} (\mathbf{W^T W})^{-} \mathbf{W^T}
= \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{W^T W})^{-} \mathbf{W^T}
= \mathbf{P_W}
```

Q2. Suppose **W** is an  $n \times k$  matrix and **D** is a  $k \times k$  non-singular matrix. Define  $\mathbf{P_W} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{W^TW})^{-}\mathbf{W^T}$ , prove that  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_W}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_WD^{-1}})$ . (15 marks)

```
Ans.

Prove that \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_W}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{D^{-1}}):

\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_W}) \iff \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{b} \text{ for some } \mathbf{b}

\iff \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{Ib} \text{ for some } \mathbf{b}

\iff \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{D^{-1}} \underbrace{\mathbf{Db}}_{p \times 1} \text{ treat as } \mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{D^{-1}} \text{ product a } k \times 1 \text{ vector}

\implies \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{D^{-1}})

So, \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_W}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{D^{-1}})

Then similarly,

\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{D^{-1}}) \iff \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{D^{-1}}\mathbf{h} \text{ for some } \mathbf{h}

\iff \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{P_W}\underbrace{\mathbf{D^{-1}}\mathbf{h}}_{p \times 1} \text{ treat as } \mathbf{P_W} \text{ product a } p \times 1 \text{ vector}

\implies \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P_W})
```

```
So, C(\mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{D^{-1}}) \subseteq C(\mathbf{P_W})
And hence,
C(\mathbf{P_W}) = C(\mathbf{P_W}\mathbf{D^{-1}})
```

Q3. A useful result from linear algebra (that you may use it without proof) is as follows:

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{AB}) \leq \min[\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}), \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B})]$$

for any two matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  with dimensions that allow multiplication (number of columns of  $\mathbf{A}$  equals the number of rows of  $\mathbf{B}$ ). In words, this result says that the rank of a product of matrices is no greater than the rank of any matrix in the product. Show that  $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{X}$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix and  $\mathbf{W}$  is a matrix with n columns satisfying  $\mathbf{WP}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{W}$ , then  $\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{WX}) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{W})$ . (15 marks)

Ans.

We are given that for any two matrices A and B that allow for the product matrix AB,

$$rank(\mathbf{AB}) \le min[rank(\mathbf{A}), rank(\mathbf{B})]$$

This says rank(AB) is no larger than the smaller of the two quantities rank( $\mathbf{A}$ ) and rank( $\mathbf{B}$ ), which implies

$$rank(\mathbf{AB}) \le rank(\mathbf{A})$$
 and  $rank(\mathbf{AB}) \le rank(\mathbf{B})$ .

```
(ii) \begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{W}) &= \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}) \text{ since } \mathbf{W}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} &= \mathbf{W} \\ &\leq \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}}) \\ &\leq \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}) \end{aligned}\therefore \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{W}}).
```

(i)  $rank(\mathbf{WX}) \leq rank\mathbf{W}$ 

Q4. Suppose that we are interested in the coefficients  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  of a linear model  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ , where  $\mathbf{Y}$  is  $n \times 1$ ,  $\mathbf{X}$  is nonsingular with dimension  $n \times p$  and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ . Furthermore, suppose that it is of interest to partition that model in the form  $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , for  $n \times p_i$  matrices  $\mathbf{X}_i$ , i = 1, 2. Finally, suppose that an investigator creates a partially orthogonal design, in which  $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{X}_2 \end{bmatrix}$  has the property that  $\mathbf{X}_1^T \mathbf{X}_2 = 0$ . You are given that the least squares estimate of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  takes the form  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , where

$$\bullet \ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 = (\mathbf{X}_1^T \mathbf{X}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_1^T \mathbf{Y}$$

$$\bullet \ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2 = (\mathbf{X}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{Y}$$

Show that the estimates  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$  are uncorrelated. (15 marks)

$$Ans.$$

$$V(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = V \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ (\mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{2})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ (\mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{2})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} V(\mathbf{Y}) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{1} (\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1})^{-1} & \mathbf{X}_{2} (\mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{2})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ (\mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{2})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} \sigma^{2}(\mathbf{I}) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{1} (\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1})^{-1} & \mathbf{X}_{2} (\mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{2})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1} (\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1})^{-1} & (\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{2} (\mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{2})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{1})^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_{2})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Q5. Suppose that  $y_{11}$  and  $y_{12}$  are independent  $N(\mu_1, 4\sigma^2)$  variables independent of  $y_{21}$  and  $y_{22}$  that are independent  $N(\mu_2, 16\sigma^2)$  and  $N(\mu_2, 9\sigma^2)$  variables respectively. What is the BLUE of  $6\mu_1 + 2\mu_2$ ? Explain carefully. (15 marks)

$$E(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}E(\mathbf{Y})$$
$$= \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
$$= \mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

where 
$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & 0\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}}\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Var(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} Var(\mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}} & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4\sigma^2 & & \\ & 4\sigma^2 & \\ & & & 16\sigma^2 \\ & & & 9\sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}} & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, **Z** follows a Gauss-Markov model with model matrix **W**.

$$(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{W}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & 0\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}}\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0\\ 0 & 0.17361111111111111 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{W}\right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0 & 0\\ 0 & 5.76 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} y_{11}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} y_{12}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}} y_{21}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} y_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} y_{11} + \frac{1}{4} y_{12}\\ \frac{1}{16} y_{21} + \frac{1}{9} y_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

So, the BLUE of 
$$6\mu_1 - 2\mu_2$$
 is
$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W})^{-1} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \\
= \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5.76 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}y_{11} + \frac{1}{4}y_{12} \\ \frac{1}{16}y_{21} + \frac{1}{9}y_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\
= 12.0 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}y_{11} + \frac{1}{4}y_{12} \end{bmatrix} + 11.52 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{16}y_{21} + \frac{1}{9}y_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Q6. You are given:
  - Model (1):  $Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$
  - Model (2):  $Y_{ij} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1(X_i 4) + \gamma_2(X_i 4)^2 + \epsilon_{ij}$

where  $i=1,2,3,\ j=1,2,\ X_1=2, X_2=4, X_3=6$  and  $\mu,\ \alpha_1,\ \alpha_2,\ \alpha_3,\ \gamma_0,\ \gamma_1,\ \gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  are unknown parameters.

(a) For model (2), write down a formula for the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) for  $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . (10 marks)

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} \\ Y_{12} \\ Y_{21} \\ Y_{22} \\ Y_{31} \\ Y_{32} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2 & 4 \\ 1 & -2 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 16 \\ 0 & 16 & 0 \\ 16 & 0 & 64 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{..} \\ 2(Y_{3.} - Y_{1.}) \\ 4(Y_{1.} + Y_{3.}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X}| = 6(16)(64) - 16^{3} = 2048$$

$$(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X})^{-1}| = \frac{1}{2048} \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & 16 \\ 0 & 64 & | & 16 & 64 & | & 16 & 0 \\ 0 & 64 & | & 16 & 64 & | & 16 & 0 \\ 0 & 64 & | & 16 & 64 & | & 16 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 & | & 6 & 16 & | & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 & | & 6 & 16 & | & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 & | & 6 & 16 & | & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 & | & | & 6 & 16 & | & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 & | & | & 6 & 16 & | & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\gamma} = (\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X})^{1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 & -0.125 \\ 0 & 0.0625 & 0 \\ -0.125 & 0 & 0.046875 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_{..} \\ 2(Y_{3.} - Y_{1.}) \\ 4(Y_{1.} + Y_{3.}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{Y}_{2.} \\ \frac{\tilde{Y}_{3.} - \tilde{Y}_{1.}}{\tilde{Y}_{1.} - 2Y_{2.} + \tilde{Y}_{3.}} \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) For model (1), verify that  $\tau = 5\mu + 2\alpha_1 - 4\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3$  is an estimable function and writhe down a formula for  $\hat{\tau}$ , the BLUE for  $\tau$ . (10 marks)

Ans.

$$\tau = 5\mu + 2\alpha_1 - 4\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3$$
  
=  $2(\mu + \alpha_1) - 4(\mu + \alpha_2) + 7(\mu + \alpha_3)$   
=  $E(2\bar{Y}_1 - 4\bar{Y}_{2,1} + 7\bar{Y}_3)$ 

Hence,  $\tau$  is estimable.

The BLUE for  $\tau$  is  $2\bar{Y}_{1.} - 4\bar{Y}_{2.1} + 7\bar{Y}_{3.}$ .

(c) Formulate what is meant by the statement that model (2) is a reparameterization of model (1), and verify that this statement is correct. (10 marks)

Ans.

The model  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  is a reparameterization of the model  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  if there is a matrix  $\mathbf{F}$  such that  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{F}$  and a matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{G}$ . The the space spanned by the columns of  $\mathbf{X}$  is a basis for space spanned by the columns of  $\mathbf{W}$  and vice versa.

In this case we can write model (1) as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} egin{bmatrix} \mu \ lpha_1 \ lpha_2 \ lpha_3 \end{bmatrix} + oldsymbol{\epsilon},$$

where

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and we can write model (2) as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{W} egin{bmatrix} \gamma_0 \ \gamma_1 \ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} + oldsymbol{\epsilon},$$

where

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 \\ 1 & -2 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,
$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{XF}, \text{ where } \mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and }$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{WG} \text{ where } \mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{8} \end{bmatrix}.$$