CPSVerification

By Jonathan

May 1, 2018

Contents

Conti	CIIUS				
0.1	VC_KAD Preliminaries				
	ODEs Preliminaries				
0.3	3 VC_diffKAD Preliminaries				
		(primed) dSolve preliminaries			6
	0.3.2	dInv preliminaries		•	11
1 VC_diffKAD					13
		KAD-auxiliarities			
imports	8				
Main	C 1/1/0	IZAD.			
	fied/VC				
Orainar	у-Дізтеге	$ential ext{-}Equations. IVP/Initial ext{-}Value ext{-}Problem$			
begin					
0.1 V	/C_KA	AD Preliminaries			
To mak	e our ne	otation less code-like and more mathematical we	decla	ıre:	
no-nota	ation A_{I}	rchimedean-Field ceiling ([-])			

```
no-notation Archimedean-Field.floor ([-])
no-notation Set.image ( ')
no-notation Range-Semiring.antirange-semiring-class.ars-r(r)
notation p2r([-])
notation r2p ([-])
notation Set.image (-(-))
notation Product-Type.prod.fst (\pi_1)
notation Product-Type.prod.snd (\pi_2)
notation rel-ad (\Delta^c_1)
Their definitions are repeated below.
lemma \lceil P \rceil = \{(s, s) \mid s. P s\} by (simp \ add: p2r-def)
lemma [R] = (\lambda x. \ x \in r2s \ R) by (simp \ add: r2p-def) — where
lemma r2s R = \{x \mid x. \exists y. (x,y) \in R\} by blast — Moreover
```

```
lemma \pi_1(x,y) = x \wedge \pi_2(x,y) = y by simp
lemma \Delta^{c}_{1} R = \{(x, x) | x. \not\exists y. (x, y) \in R\} by (simp add: rel-ad-def)
lemma wp R Q = \Delta^{c}_{1} (R ; \Delta^{c}_{1} Q) by (simp add: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def)
Observe also, the following consequences and facts:
proposition \pi_1(|R|) = r2s R
by (simp add: fst-eq-Domain)
proposition \Delta^{c_1} R = Id - \{(s, s) \mid s. s \in (\pi_1(R))\}
by(simp add: image-def rel-ad-def, fastforce)
proposition P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow wp R P \subseteq wp R Q
by(simp\ add:\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.dka.dom-iso\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-iso)
proposition boxProgrPred-IsProp: wp R \lceil P \rceil \subseteq Id
\mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.\ a-subid'\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.\ addual.\ bbox-def)
proposition rdom-p2r-contents:(a, b) \in rdom \lceil P \rceil = ((a = b) \land P \ a)
proof-
have (a, b) \in rdom \ [P] = ((a = b) \land (a, a) \in rdom \ [P]) using p2r-subid by
also have ... = ((a = b) \land (a, a) \in [P]) by simp
also have ... = ((a = b) \land P \ a) by (simp \ add: p2r-def)
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
proposition rel-ad-rule1: (x,x) \notin \Delta^{c_1} [P] \Longrightarrow P x
by(auto simp: rel-ad-def p2r-subid p2r-def)
proposition rel-ad-rule2: (x,x) \in \Delta^{c}_{1} \lceil P \rceil \Longrightarrow \neg P x
by (metis ComplD VC-KAD.p2r-neg-hom rel-ad-rule1 empty-iff mem-Collect-eq p2s-neg-hom
rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.a-one\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.am1\ relcomp.relcompI)
proposition rel-ad-rule3: R \subseteq Id \Longrightarrow (x,x) \notin R \Longrightarrow (x,x) \in \Delta^{c_1} R
by(metis IdI Un-iff d-p2r rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars3
rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars-r-def rpr)
proposition rel-ad-rule4: (x,x) \in R \Longrightarrow (x,x) \notin \Delta^{c_1} R
\mathbf{by}(metis\ empty-iff\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars1\ relcomp.relcompI)
proposition boxProgrPred-chrctrztn:(x,x) \in wp \ R \ [P] = (\forall \ y. \ (x,y) \in R \longrightarrow P
by (metis boxProgrPred-IsProp rel-ad-rule1 rel-ad-rule2 rel-ad-rule3
rel-ad-rule4 d-p2r wp-simp wp-trafo)
proposition P \subseteq Id \Longrightarrow (x,x) \in wp \ R \ P = (\forall \ y. \ (x,y) \in R \longrightarrow \lfloor P \rfloor \ y)
by (metis boxProgrPred-chrctrztn rpr)
```

```
proposition x \in r2s (wp R P) = (\forall y. (x, y) \in R \longrightarrow \lfloor P \rfloor y)
by(simp add: r2p-def rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def rel-ad-def Domain-iff relcomp.simps)
```

0.2 ODEs Preliminaries

qed

```
Once again, we repeat some definitions.
lemma \{a..b\} = \{x. \ a \le x \land x \le b\} by fastforce
lemma \{a < ... < b\} = \{x. \ a < x \land x < b\} by fastforce
lemma (x \ solves - ode \ f) \ \{0..t\} \ R = ((x \ has - vderiv - on \ (\lambda t. \ ft \ (x \ t))) \ \{0..t\} \land x \in A
\{\theta..t\} \rightarrow R
using solves-ode-def by simp
lemma f \in A \to B = (f \in \{f. \ \forall \ x. \ x \in A \longrightarrow (fx) \in B\}) using Pi-def by auto
lemma (f \text{ has-vderiv-on } f')\{0..t\} = (\forall x \in \{0..t\}. (f \text{ has-vector-derivative } f' x) (at
x \ within \{0..t\})
using has-vderiv-on-def by simp
lemma (f has-vector-derivative f') (at x within \{0..t\}) =
(f has-derivative (\lambda x. x *_R f')) (at x within \{0..t\}) using has-vector-derivative-def
definition solves-ivp :: (real \Rightarrow 'a :: banach) \Rightarrow (real \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow
real\ set\ \Rightarrow\ 'a\ set\ \Rightarrow\ bool
(-solvesTheIVP - withInitCond - \mapsto - [70, 70, 70, 70] 68) where
(x \ solvesTheIVP \ f \ withInitCond \ t0 \mapsto x0) \ Domf \ Codf \equiv (x \ solves-ode \ f) \ Domf
Codf \wedge x \ t\theta = x\theta
\mathbf{lemma}\ solves\text{-}ivpI:
assumes (x \ solves - ode \ f) \ A \ B
assumes x t\theta = x\theta
shows (x solves The IVP f with Init Cond t\theta \mapsto x\theta) A B
using assms by (simp add: solves-ivp-def)
lemma solves-ivpD:
assumes (x solvesTheIVP f withInitCond t\theta \mapsto x\theta) A B
shows (x \ solves - ode \ f) \ A \ B
and x t\theta = x\theta
using assms by (auto simp: solves-ivp-def)
theorem(in unique-on-bounded-closed) ivp-unique-solution:
assumes xIsSol:(x solvesTheIVP f withInitCond <math>t0 \mapsto x0) TX
assumes yIsSol:(y\ solvesTheIVP\ f\ withInitCond\ t0 \mapsto x0)\ T\ X
shows \forall t \in T. x t = y t
proof
fix t assume t \in T
from this and assms show x t = y t
using unique-solution solves-ivp-def by blast
```

0.3 VC_diffKAD Preliminaries

In dL, the set of possible program variables is split in two, the set of variables V and their primed counterparts V'. To implement this, we use Isabelle's string-type and define a function that primes a given string. We then define the set of primed-strings based on it.

```
definition vdiff :: string \Rightarrow string (\partial - [55] 70) where
(\partial x) = ''d[''@x@'']''
definition varDiffs :: string set where
varDiffs = \{str. \exists x. str = \partial x\}
proposition vdiff-inj:(\partial x) = (\partial y) \Longrightarrow x = y
by(simp add: vdiff-def)
proposition vdiff-noFixPoints:str \neq (\partial str)
by(simp add: vdiff-def)
lemma varDiffsI: x = (\partial z) \Longrightarrow x \in varDiffs
by(simp add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)
lemma varDiffsE:
assumes x \in varDiffs
obtains y where x = ''d[''@y@'']''
using assms unfolding varDiffs-def vdiff-def by auto
proposition vdiff-invarDiffs:(\partial str) \in varDiffs
by (simp add: varDiffsI)
```

0.3.1 (primed) dSolve preliminaries

The verification components check that a given external input solves the problem at hand. This input is entered as a list. Thus, we introduce a function to combine lists in a component-wise manner.

```
fun cross-list :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'b list \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) list (infix] \otimes 63) where [] \otimes list = []| list \otimes [] = []| (x \# xtail) \otimes (y \# ytail) = (x,y) \# (xtail \otimes ytail)

— The following lines, test the behavior of our function with other more intuitive functions primrec swap :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'b \times 'a where swap (x,y) = (y,x)

primrec listSwap :: ('a \times 'b) \ list \Rightarrow ('b \times 'a) \ list where listSwap \ [] = [] \ | listSwap \ (head \# tail) = swap \ head \# (listSwap \ tail)
```

```
\mathbf{by}(induct\text{-}tac\ l,\ auto)
lemma listSwap-crossList[simp]: listSwap (l2 \otimes l1) = l1 \otimes l2
apply(induction l1 l2 rule: cross-list.induct)
apply(metis cross-list.elims cross-list.simps(1) cross-list.simps(2) listSwap.simps(1))
apply(metis\ cross-list.simps(1)\ cross-list.simps(2)\ listSwap.simps(1))
by simp
— Next, we derive some properties of the cross_list function.
lemma empty-crossListElim:
[] = xList \otimes yList \Longrightarrow [] = xList \vee [] = yList
by(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct, simp-all)
lemma tail-crossListElim:
(x, y) \# tail = xList \otimes yList \Longrightarrow \exists xTail \ yTail. \ x \# xTail = xList \land y \# yTail
= yList
by(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct, simp-all)
lemma non-empty-crossListElim:
(x, y) \in set (xList \otimes yList) \Longrightarrow x \in set xList \wedge y \in set yList
by(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct, auto)
lemma crossList-map-projElim[simp]:(map \ \pi_1 \ list) \otimes (map \ \pi_2 \ list) = list
by(induct-tac list, auto)
lemma tail-crossList-map-projElim:
(x,y)#list = (map \ \pi_1 \ l1) \otimes l2 \Longrightarrow \exists \ z \ tail. \ (x,z) \ \# \ tail = l1
proof-
assume hyp:(x, y) \# list = (map \pi_1 l1) \otimes l2
then have noEmpt:(map \ \pi_1 \ l1) \neq [] \land l2 \neq [] by (metis \ cross-list.elims \ list.discI)
from this obtain hd1 hd2 tl1 and tl2 where hd1Def:(map \ \pi_1 \ l1) = hd1 \ \# \ tl1
\wedge l2 = hd2 \# tl2
by (meson list.exhaust)
then obtain z and tail where tailDef: l1 = (hd1,z) \# tail \land (map \pi_1 \ tail) = tl1
by auto
moreover have (x, y) \# list = (hd1, hd2) \# (tl1 \otimes tl2) by (simp \ add: \ hd1Def
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma non-empty-crossList-map-projEx:
assumes \forall xzList. xzList = (map \pi_1 xyList) \otimes zList
and (y, z) \in set ((map \ \pi_2 \ xyList) \otimes zList)
shows (\exists x. (x,y) \in set \ xyList \land (x,z) \in set \ xzList)
using assms by(induction xyList zList rule: cross-list.induct, auto)
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ crossList\text{-}length:$

```
by(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct, simp-all)
\mathbf{lemma}\ crossList\text{-}lengthEx:
length \ xList = length \ yList \Longrightarrow
\forall x \in set \ xList. \ \exists y \in set \ yList. \ (x,y) \in set \ (xList \otimes yList)
apply(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct)
prefer 3 apply(rule ballI, simp, erule disjE, simp) subgoal by blast
by simp-all
lemma tail-crossList-length:
length (xList \otimes yList) = length (z \# zTail) \longrightarrow
(\exists x \ y \ xTail \ yTail. \ (xList = x \# xTail) \land (yList = y \# yTail) \land
length (xTail \otimes yTail) = length zTail)
by(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct, simp-all)
lemma length-crossListProj1:
length \ xList = length \ yList \Longrightarrow map \ \pi_1 \ (xList \otimes yList) = xList
by(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct, simp-all)
lemma length-crossListProj2:
\mathit{length}\ \mathit{xList} = \mathit{length}\ \mathit{yList} \Longrightarrow \mathit{map}\ \pi_2\ (\mathit{xList}\ \otimes\ \mathit{yList}) = \mathit{yList}
by(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct, simp-all)
lemma legnth-crossListEx1:
length (xList \otimes yList) = length yList \Longrightarrow
\forall y \in set \ yList. \ \exists \ x \in set \ xList. \ (x, y) \in set \ (xList \otimes yList)
apply(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct, simp, simp)
by(rule ballI, simp, erule disjE, simp, blast)
lemma legnth-crossListEx2:
length ((x\#xTail) \otimes (y\#yTail)) = length zList \Longrightarrow
\exists z \ z \ Tail. \ zList = z \ \# \ zTail \land length \ zTail = length \ (xTail \otimes yTail)
\mathbf{by}(induction\ zList,\ simp-all)
lemma legnth-crossListEx3:
\forall zList \ x \ y. \ length \ (xList \otimes yList) = length \ zList \longrightarrow (x, y) \in set \ (xList \otimes yList)
(\exists z. (x, z) \in set (xList \otimes zList) \land (y, z) \in set ((map \pi_2 (xList \otimes yList)) \otimes
zList)
apply(induction xList yList rule: cross-list.induct, simp, simp, clarify)
apply(rename-tac \ x \ xTail \ y \ yTail \ zList \ u \ v)
apply(subgoal-tac\ (u,v)=(x,y)\lor (u,v)\in set\ (xTail\otimes yTail))
\mathbf{apply}(subgoal\text{-}tac \exists zzTail. (zList = z \# zTail) \land (length(xTail \otimes yTail) = length)
zTail))
apply(erule \ disjE)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
```

 $length \ xList = length \ yList \Longrightarrow length \ (xList \otimes yList) = length \ xList$

subgoal by (metis cross-list.simps(3) length-Suc-conv)

```
subgoal by simp done

Now we need to
```

Now we need to define how to handle the given input in order to return a state at the end of a (differential) evolution. First, we say what the behavior of said state will be on primed-strings.

```
abbreviation varDiffs-to-zero ::real store \Rightarrow real store (d2z) where
d2z \ a \equiv (override-on \ a \ (\lambda \ str. \ \theta) \ varDiffs)
proposition varDiffs-to-zero-vdiff[simp]: (d2z \ a) \ (\partial \ x) = 0
apply(simp add: override-on-def varDiffs-def)
by auto
proposition varDiffs-to-zero-beginning[simp]: take <math>2 \ x \neq ''d['' \Longrightarrow (d2z \ a) \ x = a
apply(simp add: varDiffs-def override-on-def vdiff-def)
by fastforce
— Next, for each entry of the input-list, we update the state using said entry.
definition vderiv-of fS = (SOME f'. (f has-vderiv-on f') S)
primrec state-list-upd :: ((real \Rightarrow real \ store \Rightarrow real) \times string \times (real \ store \Rightarrow real) \times string \times (real \ store \Rightarrow real)
real)) list \Rightarrow
real \Rightarrow real \ store \Rightarrow real \ store \ \mathbf{where}
state-list-upd [] t a = a |
state-list-upd (uxf # tail) t a = (state-list-upd tail t a)
      (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) := (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ t \ a,
    \partial (\pi_1 (\pi_2 \text{ uxf})) := (\text{if } t = 0 \text{ then } (\pi_2 (\pi_2 \text{ uxf})) \text{ a}
else vderiv-of (\lambda \ r. \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ r \ a) \ \{0 < .. < (2 *_R t)\} \ t))
abbreviation state-list-cross-upd ::real store \Rightarrow (string \times (real store \Rightarrow real)) list
(real \Rightarrow real \ store \Rightarrow real) \ list \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow (char \ list \Rightarrow real) \ (-[-\leftarrow-] - [64,64,64])
63) where
s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t \equiv state-list-upd \ (uInput \otimes xfList) \ t \ s
proposition state-list-cross-upd-empty[simp]: (a[[] \leftarrow list] \ t) = a
\mathbf{by}(induction\ list,\ simp-all)
lemma inductive-state-list-cross-upd-its-vars:
assumes distHyp:distinct\ (map\ \pi_1\ ((y,\ q)\ \#\ xftail))
and varHyp: \forall xf \in set((y, g) \# xftail). \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and indHyp:(u, x, f) \in set (utail \otimes xftail) \Longrightarrow (a[xftail \leftarrow utail] t) x = u t a
and disjHyp:(u, x, f) = (v, y, g) \lor (u, x, f) \in set (utail \otimes xftail)
shows (a[(y, g) \# xftail \leftarrow v \# utail] t) x = u t a
using disjHyp proof
  assume (u, x, f) = (v, y, g)
  hence (a[(y, g) \# xftail \leftarrow v \# utail] t) x = ((a[xftail \leftarrow utail] t)(x := u t a,
```

```
\partial x := if \ t = 0 \ then \ f \ a \ else \ vderiv-of \ (\lambda \ r. \ u \ r. \ a) \ \{0 < .. < (2 *_R t)\} \ t)) \ x \ by
simp
  also have \dots = u \ t \ a \ by \ (simp \ add: vdiff-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume yTailHyp:(u, x, f) \in set (utail \otimes xftail)
  from this and indHyp have 3:(a[xftail\leftarrow utail]\ t)\ x=u\ t\ a\ by\ fastforce
  from yTailHyp and distHyp have 2:y \neq x using non-empty-crossListElim by
force
  from yTailHyp and varHyp have 1:x \neq \partial y
  using non-empty-crossListElim vdiff-invarDiffs by fastforce
  from 1 and 2 have (a[(y, g) \# xftail \leftarrow v \# utail] t) x = (a[xftail \leftarrow utail] t) x
by simp
 thus ?thesis using 3 by simp
qed
theorem state-list-cross-upd-its-vars:
assumes distinctHyp:distinct (map \pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and its-var: (u,x,f) \in set (uInput \otimes xfList)
shows (a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ x = u \ t \ a
using assms apply(induction xfList uInput rule: cross-list.induct, simp, simp)
apply(clarify, rule inductive-state-list-cross-upd-its-vars) by simp-all
lemma override-on-upd: x \in X \Longrightarrow (override-on f g X)(x := z) = (override-on f g X)(x := z)
(g(x := z)) X
by (rule ext, simp add: override-on-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ inductive\text{-}state\text{-}list\text{-}cross\text{-}upd\text{-}its\text{-}dvars:
assumes \exists g. (a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ \theta) = override-on \ a \ g \ varDiffs
and \forall xf \in set (xf \# xfTail). \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and \forall uxf \in set (u \# uTail \otimes xf \# xfTail). \pi_1 uxf 0 a = a (\pi_1 (\pi_2 uxf))
shows \exists g. (a[xf \# xfTail \leftarrow u \# uTail] \theta) = override-on a g varDiffs
proof-
let ?qLHS = (a[(xf \# xfTail) \leftarrow (u \# uTail)] \theta)
have observ: \partial (\pi_1 \ xf) \in varDiffs by (auto simp: varDiffs-def)
from assms(1) obtain g where (a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ \theta) = override-on \ a \ g \ varDiffs
by force
then have ?qLHS = (override-on\ a\ q\ varDiffs)(\pi_1\ xf := u\ 0\ a,\ \partial\ (\pi_1\ xf) := \pi_2
xf(a) by simp
also have ... = (override-on\ a\ g\ varDiffs)(\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf) := \pi_2\ xf\ a)
using override-on-def varDiffs-def assms by auto
also have ... = (override-on a (g(\partial (\pi_1 xf) := \pi_2 xf a)) varDiffs)
using observ and override-on-upd by force
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
proposition state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars:
```

```
assumes lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp3: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 uxf) 0 a = a (\pi_1 (\pi_2 uxf))
shows \exists g. (a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \theta) = (override-on \ a \ g \ varDiffs)
using assms proof(induction xfList uInput rule: cross-list.induct)
case (1 list)
have (a[[] \leftarrow list] \ \theta) = override-on \ a \ a \ varDiffs
unfolding override-on-def by simp
thus ?case by metis
\mathbf{next}
case (2 xf xfTail)
have (a[(xf \# xfTail) \leftarrow []] \theta) = override-on \ a \ varDiffs
unfolding override-on-def by simp
thus ?case by metis
next
case (3 xf xfTail u uTail)
then have \exists g. (a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ \theta) = override-on \ a \ g \ varDiffs \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
thus ?case using inductive-state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars 3.prems by blast
— Finally, we combine all previous transformations into one single expression.
abbreviation state-to-sol::real store \Rightarrow (string \times (real store \Rightarrow real)) list \Rightarrow
(real \Rightarrow real \ store \Rightarrow real) \ list \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow (char \ list \Rightarrow real)
(sol - [-\leftarrow -] - [64, 64, 64] 63) where sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t \equiv d2z s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]
0.3.2
           dInv preliminaries
Here, we introduce syntactic notation to talk about differential invariants.
no-notation Antidomain-Semiring.antidomain-left-monoid-class.am-add-op (infixl
no-notation Dioid.times-class.opp-mult (infixl \odot 70)
no-notation Lattices.inf-class.inf (infixl \sqcap 70)
no-notation Lattices.sup-class.sup (infixl \sqcup 65)
datatype trms = Const \ real \ (t_C - [54] \ 70) \ | \ Var \ string \ (t_V - [54] \ 70) \ |
                Mns \ trms \ (\ominus - [54] \ 65) \mid Sum \ trms \ trms \ (\mathbf{infixl} \oplus 65) \mid
                Mult trms trms (infixl ⊙ 68)
primrec tval :: trms \Rightarrow (real \ store \Rightarrow real) (\llbracket - \rrbracket_t \ [55] \ 60) where
[\![t_C \ r]\!]_t = (\lambda \ s. \ r)|
\llbracket t_V \ x \rrbracket_t = (\lambda \ s. \ s \ x) |
\llbracket \ominus \vartheta \rrbracket_t = (\lambda \ s. - (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s) |
datatype props = Eq \ trms \ trms \ (infixr \doteq 60) \mid Less \ trms \ trms \ (infixr \prec 62) \mid
                 Leg trms trms (infixr \leq 61) | And props props (infixl \sqcap 63) |
```

```
Or props props (infixl \sqcup 64)
primrec pval ::props \Rightarrow (real \ store \Rightarrow bool) (\llbracket - \rrbracket_P \ [55] \ 60) where
\llbracket \vartheta \doteq \eta \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s) |
\llbracket \vartheta \prec \eta \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s < (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s) |
\llbracket \vartheta \preceq \eta \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s \leq (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s) 
\llbracket \varphi \sqcap \psi \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) \ s \wedge (\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_P) \ s) |
\llbracket \varphi \sqcup \psi \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) \ s \lor (\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_P) \ s)
primrec tdiff :: trms \Rightarrow trms (\partial_t - [54] 70) where
(\partial_t t_C r) = t_C \theta
(\partial_t t_V x) = t_V (\partial x)
(\partial_t \ominus \vartheta) = \ominus (\partial_t \vartheta)
(\partial_t \ (\vartheta \oplus \eta)) = (\partial_t \ \vartheta) \oplus (\partial_t \ \eta)
(\partial_t (\vartheta \odot \eta)) = ((\partial_t \vartheta) \odot \eta) \oplus (\vartheta \odot (\partial_t \eta))
primrec pdiff :: props \Rightarrow props (\partial_P - [54] 70) where
(\partial_P (\vartheta \doteq \eta)) = ((\partial_t \vartheta) \doteq (\partial_t \eta))|
(\partial_P (\vartheta \prec \eta)) = ((\partial_t \vartheta) \preceq (\partial_t \eta))
(\partial_P (\vartheta \leq \eta)) = ((\partial_t \vartheta) \leq (\partial_t \eta))|
(\partial_P (\varphi \sqcap \psi)) = (\partial_P \varphi) \sqcap (\partial_P \psi)|
(\partial_P (\varphi \sqcup \psi)) = (\partial_P \varphi) \sqcap (\partial_P \psi)
primrec trmVars :: trms \Rightarrow string set where
trm Vars (t_C r) = \{\}|
trm Vars (t_V x) = \{x\}
trm Vars \ (\ominus \ \vartheta) = trm Vars \ \vartheta
trm Vars (\vartheta \oplus \eta) = trm Vars \vartheta \cup trm Vars \eta
trm Vars (\vartheta \odot \eta) = trm Vars \vartheta \cup trm Vars \eta
fun substList :: (string \times trms) \ list \Rightarrow trms \Rightarrow trms \ (-\langle - \rangle \ [54] \ 80) where
xTrmList\langle t_C \ r \rangle = t_C \ r
[]\langle t_V | x \rangle = t_V | x |
((y,\xi) \# xTrmTail)\langle Var x \rangle = (if x = y then \xi else xTrmTail\langle Var x \rangle)|
xTrmList\langle \ominus \vartheta \rangle = \ominus (xTrmList\langle \vartheta \rangle)
xTrmList\langle\vartheta\oplus\eta\rangle = (xTrmList\langle\vartheta\rangle) \oplus (xTrmList\langle\eta\rangle)|
xTrmList\langle\vartheta\odot\eta\rangle = (xTrmList\langle\vartheta\rangle)\odot(xTrmList\langle\eta\rangle)
\mathbf{lemma}\ substList-on-compl-of-varDiffs:
assumes trmVars \ \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
assumes set (map \ \pi_1 \ xTrmList) \subseteq varDiffs
shows xTrmList\langle \eta \rangle = \eta
using assms apply(induction \eta, simp-all add: varDiffs-def)
\mathbf{by}(induction\ xTrmList,\ auto)
lemma substList-help1:set (map <math>\pi_1 ((map (vdiff \circ \pi_1) xfList) \otimes uInput)) \subseteq
```

apply(induction xfList uInput rule: cross-list.induct, simp-all add: varDiffs-def)

by auto

```
lemma substList-help2:
assumes trmVars \ \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
shows ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput)\langle\eta\rangle=\eta
using assms substList-help1 substList-on-compl-of-varDiffs by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ substList-cross-vdiff-on-non-ocurring-var:
assumes x \notin set \ list1
shows ((map\ vdiff\ list1)\otimes list2)\langle t_V\ (\partial\ x)\rangle = t_V\ (\partial\ x)
using assms apply(induction list1 list2 rule: cross-list.induct, simp, simp, clar-
simp)
\mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ vdiff-inj)
\mathbf{primrec}\ \mathit{prop Vars} :: \mathit{props} \Rightarrow \mathit{string}\ \mathit{set}\ \mathbf{where}
prop Vars \ (\vartheta \doteq \eta) = trm Vars \ \vartheta \cup trm Vars \ \eta
prop Vars (\vartheta \prec \eta) = trm Vars \vartheta \cup trm Vars \eta
prop Vars (\vartheta \leq \eta) = trm Vars \vartheta \cup trm Vars \eta
prop Vars \ (\varphi \sqcap \psi) = prop Vars \ \varphi \cup prop Vars \ \psi
prop Vars (\varphi \sqcup \psi) = prop Vars \varphi \cup prop Vars \psi
primrec subspList :: (string \times trms) list \Rightarrow props \Rightarrow props (-[-] [54] 80) where
xTrmList \upharpoonright \vartheta \doteq \eta \upharpoonright = ((xTrmList \langle \vartheta \rangle) \doteq (xTrmList \langle \eta \rangle))
xTrmList \upharpoonright \vartheta \prec \eta \upharpoonright = ((xTrmList \langle \vartheta \rangle) \prec (xTrmList \langle \eta \rangle))
xTrmList \upharpoonright \vartheta \leq \eta \upharpoonright = ((xTrmList \langle \vartheta \rangle) \leq (xTrmList \langle \eta \rangle))
xTrmList \upharpoonright \varphi \sqcap \psi \upharpoonright = ((xTrmList \upharpoonright \varphi \upharpoonright) \sqcap (xTrmList \upharpoonright \psi \upharpoonright)) \upharpoonright
xTrmList \upharpoonright \varphi \sqcup \psi \upharpoonright = ((xTrmList \upharpoonright \varphi \upharpoonright) \sqcup (xTrmList \upharpoonright \psi \urcorner))
end
1
         VC_diffKAD
theory VC-diffKAD
imports VC-diffKAD-auxiliarities
begin
definition solvesStoreIVP :: (real \Rightarrow real store) \Rightarrow (string \times (real store \Rightarrow real))
list \Rightarrow
real\ store \Rightarrow (real\ store\ pred) \Rightarrow bool
((-solvesTheStoreIVP-withInitState-andGuard-)[70, 70, 70, 70, 70] 68) where
solvesStoreIVP \ \varphi_S \ xfList \ s \ G \equiv
(* F preserves the quard statement and F sends vdiffs-in-list to derivs. *)
(\forall t \geq 0. \ G \ (\varphi_S \ t) \land \ (\forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ \varphi_S \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (\varphi_S \ t)) \land 
(* F preserves the rest of the variables and F sends derive of constants to 0.*)
(\forall y. (y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \longrightarrow \varphi_S \ t \ y = s \ y) \land
        (y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \longrightarrow \varphi_S \ t \ (\partial \ y) = \theta)) \land
(* F solves the induced IVP. *)
(\forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. \varphi_S t (\pi_1 xf)) solvesTheIVP (\lambda t.\lambda r.(\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t))
```

 $withInitCond\ \theta \mapsto s(\pi_1\ xf))\ \{\theta..t\}\ UNIV)$

```
lemma solves-store-ivpI:
assumes \forall t \geq 0. G(\varphi_S t)
  and \forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \longrightarrow \varphi_S t y = s y
  and \forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \longrightarrow \varphi_S t (\partial y) = 0
  and \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. (\varphi_S t (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t)
  and \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ ((\lambda t. \varphi_S \ t \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ solvesTheIVP \ (\lambda t. \lambda r.
(\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t)
withInitCond \ \theta \mapsto (s \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) \ \{\theta..t\} \ UNIV
shows \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s andGuard G
using assms solvesStoreIVP-def by auto
{f named-theorems} solves-store-ivpE elimination rules for solvesStoreIVP
lemma [solves-store-ivpE]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s andGuard G
shows \forall t \geq 0. G(\varphi_S t)
  and \forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \longrightarrow \varphi_S t y = s y
  and \forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \longrightarrow \varphi_S t (\partial y) = 0
  and \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. (\varphi_S t (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t)
  and \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. \varphi_S t (\pi_1 xf)) solvesTheIVP (\lambda t. \lambda r. (\pi_2 xf))
xf) (\varphi_S t)
withInitCond \ \theta \mapsto (s \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) \ \{\theta..t\} \ UNIV
using assms solvesStoreIVP-def by auto
lemma [solves-store-ivpE]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s andGuard G
shows \forall y. y \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow \varphi_S \ \theta \ y = s \ y
proof(clarify, rename-tac x)
fix x assume x \notin varDiffs
from assms and solves-store-ivpE(5)
have \forall f. (x,f) \in set \ xfList \longrightarrow ((\lambda t. \varphi_S \ t \ x) \ solvesTheIVP \ (\lambda t \ r. \ f \ (\varphi_S \ t))
with Init Cond \theta \mapsto s x { \theta ... \theta} UNIV by force
hence x \in (\pi_1(set xfList)) \Longrightarrow \varphi_S \ 0 \ x = s \ x \ using \ solves-ivpD(2) by fastforce
also have x \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \Longrightarrow \varphi_S \ \theta \ x = s \ x
using assms and solves-store-ivpE(2) by simp
ultimately show \varphi_S \theta x = s x using \langle x \notin varDiffs \rangle by auto
qed
named-theorems solves-store-ivpD computation rules for solvesStoreIVP
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s andGuard G
  and t \geq \theta
shows G(\varphi_S t)
using assms solves-store-ivpE(1) by blast
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s andGuard G
```

```
and t > \theta
  and y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs
shows \varphi_S t y = s y
using assms solves-store-ivpE(2) by simp
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s andGuard G
 and t \geq \theta
 and y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList))
shows \varphi_S t(\partial y) = 0
using assms solves-store-ivpE(3) by simp
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s andGuard G
  and t > \theta
 and xf \in set xfList
shows (\varphi_S \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) = (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_S \ t)
using assms solves-store-ivpE(4) by simp
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s andGuard G
 and t \geq \theta
 and xf \in set xfList
shows ((\lambda \ t. \ \varphi_S \ t \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ solvesTheIVP \ (\lambda \ t. \ \lambda \ r. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_S \ t))
withInitCond \ \theta \mapsto (s \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) \ \{\theta..t\} \ UNIV
using assms solves-store-ivpE(5) by simp
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s andGuard G
 \mathbf{and}\ y \notin \mathit{varDiffs}
shows \varphi_S \ \theta \ y = s \ y
using assms solves-store-ivpE(6) by simp
\mathbf{thm}\ solves	ext{-}store	ext{-}ivpE
thm solves-store-ivpD
definition guarDiffEqtn :: (string \times (real store \Rightarrow real)) \ list \Rightarrow (real store pred)
real store rel (ODEsystem - with - [70, 70] 61) where
ODEsystem xfList with G = \{(s, \varphi_S \ t) \mid s \ t \ \varphi_S. \ t \geq 0 \land solvesStoreIVP \ \varphi_S \ xfList
s G

    Differential Weakening.

lemma wlp\text{-}evol\text{-}guard:Id \subseteq wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \ [G]
\mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def\ rel-ad-def\ guar Diff Eqtn-def
p2r-def)
using solves-store-ivpD(1) by force
```

theorem dWeakening:

```
assumes guardImpliesPost: \lceil G \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
using assms and wlp-evol-guard by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) d-p2r
order-trans p2r-subid rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-iso)
lemma PRE (\lambda s. s''x'' = 0)
     (ODEsystem [("x",(\lambda s. s. "x" + 1))] with (\lambda s. s. "x" \ge 0))
     POST(\lambda s. s''x'' \geq 0)
using dWeakening by blast
lemma PRE (\lambda s. s "x" = 0)
     (ODEsystem [("x",(\lambda s. s "x" + 1))] with (\lambda s. s "x" \ge 0))
     POST \ (\lambda \ s. \ s''x'' \ge \theta)
apply(clarify, simp add: p2r-def)
apply(simp add: rel-ad-def rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars-r-def)
apply(simp add: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def)
apply(simp add: relcomp-def rel-ad-def guarDiffEqtn-def)
apply(simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def)
apply(auto)
done

    — Differential Cut.

\mathbf{lemma}\ condAfter Evol-remains Along Evol:
assumes boxDiffC:(s, s) \in wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \ [C]
and FisSol:solvesStoreIVP \varphi_S xfList s G
and tHyp: 0 \leq t
shows G(\varphi_S t) \wedge C(\varphi_S t)
proof-
from boxDiffC have \forall c. (s,c) \in (ODEsystem xfList with G) <math>\longrightarrow C c
by (simp add: boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
also from tHyp have (s, \varphi_S \ t) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G)
using FisSol guarDiffEqtn-def by auto
ultimately show G(\varphi_S t) \wedge C(\varphi_S t)
using solves-store-ivpD(1) tHyp FisSol by blast
qed
lemma condAfterEvol-isGuard:
assumes boxDiffC:(s, s) \in wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \ [C]
assumes FisSol:solvesStoreIVP \varphi_S xfList s G
shows solvesStoreIVP \varphi_S xfList s (\lambda s. G s \wedge C s)
apply(rule\ solves-store-ivpI)
using assms\ condAfterEvol-remainsAlongEvol\ apply(fastforce)
using FisSol solvesStoreIVP-def by auto
theorem dCut:
assumes pBoxDiffCut:(PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST C)
assumes pBoxCutQ:(PRE\ P\ (ODEsystem\ xfList\ with\ (\lambda\ s.\ G\ s\ \wedge\ C\ s))\ POST\ Q)
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
```

```
proof(clarify)
fix a b::real store assume abHyp:(a,b) \in rdom [P] {hence a = b by (metis
rdom-p2r-contents)}
then have (a,a) \in wp (ODEsystem xfList with G) \lceil C \rceil using abHyp and pBoxD-
iffCut bv blast
moreover have \forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ c
\mathbf{using}\ pBoxCutQ\ \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ lifting)\ (a=b)\ abHyp\ boxProgrPred\text{-}chrctrztn
ultimately have \forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow Q \ c
\mathbf{using}\ guar Diff Eqtn-def\ cond After Evol-is Guard\ \mathbf{by}\ fast force
thus (a,b) \in wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \ [Q]
using \langle a = b \rangle by (simp add: boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
qed
— Solve Differential Equation.
lemma prelim-dSolve:
assumes solHyp:(\lambda t. sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInit-
State s and Guard G
and uniqHyp: \forall X. solvesStoreIVP \ X xfList \ s \ G \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]))
t) = X t
and diffAssgn: \forall t \geq 0. G(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) \longrightarrow Q(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)
shows \forall c. (s,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow Q \ c
proof(clarify)
fix c assume (s,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G)
from this obtain t::real and \varphi_S::real \Rightarrow real store
where FHyp:t\geq 0 \land \varphi_S t=c \land solvesStoreIVP \varphi_S xfList s G using quarDiffEqtn-def
from this and uniqHyp have (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) = \varphi_S\ t by blast
then have cHyp:c = (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) using FHyp\ by simp\ 
from solHyp have G (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) by (simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def
then show Q c using diffAssgn FHyp cHyp by auto
qed
theorem wlp-guard-inv:
assumes solHyp:solvesStoreIVP (\lambda t. sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) xfList s G
and uniqHyp: \forall X. solvesStoreIVP \ X \ xfList \ s \ G \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. \ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput])
and diffAssgn: \forall t \geq 0. G(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) \longrightarrow Q(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)
shows | wp (ODEsystem xfList with G) [Q] | s
apply(simp add: r2p-def Domain-iff)
apply(rule exI, subst boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
apply(rule-tac\ uInput=uInput\ in\ prelim-dSolve)
by (simp-all add: r2p-def Domain-unfold assms)
theorem dSolve:
assumes solHup: \forall s. \ solvesStoreIVP \ (\lambda t. \ sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ xfList \ s \ G
and uniqHyp: \forall s. \forall X. solvesStoreIVP X xfList s G \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0.(sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]
t) = X t
```

```
and diffAssgn: \forall s. Ps \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. G(sols[xfList \leftarrow uInput]t) \longrightarrow Q(sols[xfList \leftarrow uInput]t)
t))
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
apply(clarsimp, subgoal-tac\ a=b)
apply(clarify, subst boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
apply(simp-all add: p2r-def)
apply(rule-tac uInput=uInput in prelim-dSolve)
apply(simp add: solHyp, simp add: uniqHyp)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) diffAssgn)
lemma conds4InitState:
assumes initHyp: \forall s. \ \forall uxf \in set \ (uInput \otimes xfList). \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ s = s \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ s = s \ (\pi_2 \ uxf) \ s
\mathbf{shows} \ \forall \ y. \ y \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \theta) \ y = s \ y
using assms apply(induction uInput xfList rule: cross-list.induct, simp-all)
by(simp add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ conds 4 In it State 2:
assumes funcsHyp:\forall s. \forall g. \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_2 xf (override-on s g varDiffs)
=\pi_2 xfs
and distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp3: \forall s. \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 uxf) \ 0 \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (\pi_1 uxf) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) \ (d2z \ s) = (d2z \ s) =
(\pi_2 \ uxf)
shows \forall s. \forall xf \in set \ xfList.(sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \theta)(\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (sol \ xfList)
s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \theta
using assms apply(induction uInput xfList rule: cross-list.induct, simp, simp)
proof(clarify, rename-tac u uTail x f xfTail a y g)
fix x \ y :: string \ \mathbf{and} \ f \ g :: real \ store \Rightarrow real
and u :: real \Rightarrow real \ store \Rightarrow real \ and \ a :: real \ store \ and
xfTail::(string \times (real\ store \Rightarrow real))\ list\ {\bf and}\ uTail::(real \Rightarrow real\ store \Rightarrow real)\ list
assume IH: \forall st \ q. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfTail. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (override-on \ st \ q \ varDiffs) = \pi_2 \ xf \ st \Longrightarrow
distinct \ (map \ \pi_1 \ xfTail) \Longrightarrow length \ xfTail = length \ uTail \Longrightarrow \forall \ xf \in set \ xfTail. \ \pi_1
xf \notin varDiffs \Longrightarrow
\forall st. \ \forall uxf \in set \ (uTail \otimes xfTail). \ \pi_1 \ uxf \ 0 \ (d2z \ st) = d2z \ st \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \Longrightarrow
\forall st. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfTail. \ (sol \ st[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ \theta) \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (sol \ st[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ \theta)
\theta
let ?gLHS = (sol\ a[((x, f) \# xfTail) \leftarrow (u \# uTail)]\ \theta)\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ (y, g)))
let ?gRHS = \pi_2 \ (y, g) \ (sol \ a[((x, f) \# xfTail) \leftarrow (u \# uTail)] \ \theta)
let ?goal = ?gLHS = ?gRHS
assume eqFuncs:\forall st g. \forall xf \in set ((x, f) \# xfTail). \pi_2 xf (override-on st g varDiffs)
=\pi_2 xf st
and eqLengths:length ((x, f) \# xfTail) = length (u \# uTail)
and distinct:distinct (map \pi_1 ((x, f) # xfTail))
and vars: \forall xf \in set ((x, f) \# xfTail). \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
```

```
and solHyp: \forall st. \forall uxf \in set ((u \# uTail) \otimes ((x, f) \# xfTail)). \pi_1 uxf 0 (d2z st) =
d2z \ st \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf))
from this obtain h1 where h1Def:(sol a[((x, f) # xfTail) \leftarrow (u # uTail)] 0) =
(override-on (d2z a) h1 varDiffs) using state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars by blast
from IH eqFuncs distinct eqLengths vars and solHyp have summary: \forall x f \in set x f
Tail.
  (sol\ a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail]\ \theta)\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf)) = \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail]\ \theta)\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
assume (y, g) \in set((x, f) \# xfTail)
then have (y, g) = (x, f) \lor (y, g) \in set xfTail by simp
moreover
{assume eqHeads:(y, g) = (x, f)
  then have 1:?qRHS = f (state-list-upd ((u,x,f) \# (uTail \otimes xfTail)) \ 0 \ (d2z \ a))
by simp
 have 2:f(state-list-upd((u,x,f) \# (uTail \otimes xfTail)) \ 0 \ (d2z \ a)) =
 f (override-on (d2z a) h1 varDiffs) using h1Def by simp
 have 3: f(\text{override-on}(d2z \ a) \ h1 \ varDiffs) = f(d2z \ a) \ using \ eqFuncs \ by \ simp
  have f(d2z \ a) = ?gLHS by (simp \ add: eqHeads)
  hence ?goal using 1 2 and 3 by simp}
moreover
{assume tailHyp:(y, g) \in set xfTail
  obtain h2 where h2Def:(sol\ a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail]\ 0) = override-on\ (d2z\ a)\ h2
varDiffs
  using state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars eqLengths distinct vars and solHyp by force
  from eqFuncs and tailHyp have h2Hyp:g (override-on (d2z a) h2 varDiffs) = g
(d2z \ a) by force
  from tailHyp have *:q (sol\ a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail]\ \theta) = (sol\ a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail]\ \theta) (\partial\ y)
  using summary by fastforce
  from tailHyp have y \neq x using distinct non-empty-crossListElim by force
  hence dXnotdY: \partial x \neq \partial y by(simp add: vdiff-def)
  have xNotdY: x \neq \partial y using vars \ vdiff-invarDiffs by auto
  from * have ?qLHS = g \ (sol \ a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ \theta) using xNotdY and dXnotdY
by simp
  then have 2gLHS = g (d2z \ a) using h2Hyp and h2Def by simp
  also have ?gRHS = g (d2z \ a) using eqFuncs h1Def and tailHyp by fastforce
  ultimately have ?qoal by simp}
ultimately show ?goal by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ state\text{-}list\text{-}cross\text{-}upd\text{-}correctInPrimes:
distinct \ (map \ \pi_1 \ xfList) \longrightarrow (\forall \ var \in set \ (map \ \pi_1 \ xfList). \ var \notin varDiffs) \longrightarrow
length \ xfList = length \ uInput \longrightarrow t > 0 \longrightarrow (\forall \ uxf \in set \ (uInput \otimes xfList).
(a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf))) = vderiv \cdot of \ (\lambda \ r. \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ r \ a) \ \{\theta < ... < (2)\}
*_{R} t) \} t)
apply(simp, induction uInput xfList rule: cross-list.induct, simp, simp, clarify)
\mathbf{proof}(rename\text{-}tac\ u\ uTail\ x\ f\ xfTail\ s\ y\ g)
fix x y :: string and f g :: real \ store \Rightarrow real and u \ s :: real \Rightarrow real \ store \Rightarrow real and
xfTail::(string \times (real\ store \Rightarrow real))\ list\ {\bf and}\ uTail::(real \Rightarrow real\ store \Rightarrow real)\ list
assume IH: distinct (map \pi_1 xfTail) \longrightarrow (\forall var\in set xfTail. \pi_1 var \notin varDiffs) \longrightarrow
```

```
length \ xfTail = length \ uTail \longrightarrow 0 < t \longrightarrow (\forall \ uxf \in set \ (uTail \otimes xfTail).
 (a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ t) \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf))) = vderiv - of \ (\lambda r. \ \pi_1 \ uxf \ r \ a) \ \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
assume lengthHyp:length((x, f) \# xfTail) = length(u \# uTail) and tHyp:0 < t
and distHyp:distinct (map \pi_1 ((x, f) \# xfTail))
and varHyp: \forall xf \in set ((x, f) \# xfTail). \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
from this and IH have keyFact: \forall uxf \in set (uTail \otimes xfTail).
 (a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail]\ t)\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ (\pi_2\ uxf))) = vderiv\text{-}of\ (\lambda r.\ \pi_1\ uxf\ r\ a)\ \{0<...<2\ \cdot\ t\}
t by simp
assume sygHyp:(s, y, g) \in set ((u \# uTail) \otimes ((x, f) \# xfTail))
let ?gLHS = (a[(x, f) \# xfTail \leftarrow u \# uTail] t) (\partial (\pi_1 (\pi_2 (s, y, g))))
let ?gRHS = vderiv of (\lambda r. \pi_1 (s, y, g) r a) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} t
let ?goal = ?gLHS = ?gRHS
let ?lhs =
((a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail]\ t)(x := u\ t\ a,\ \partial\ x := vderiv\text{-}of\ (\lambda\ r.\ u\ r\ a)\ \{0 < .. < (2\ \cdot\ t)\}\ t))
let ?rhs = vderiv-of (\lambda r. \ s \ r \ a) \{0 < .. < (2 \cdot t)\} \ t
from sygHyp have (s, y, g) = (u, x, f) \lor (s, y, g) \in set (uTail <math>\otimes xfTail) by
moreover
{have ?gLHS = ?lhs \text{ using } tHyp \text{ by } simp
  also have ?gRHS = ?rhs by simp
  ultimately have ?goal = (?lhs = ?rhs) by simp}
moreover
{assume uxfEq:(s, y, g) = (u, x, f)
  then have ?lhs = vderiv-of (\lambda \ r. \ u \ r \ a) \{0 < ... < (2 \cdot t)\} \ t by simp
 also have vderiv-of (\lambda r. u r a) \{0 < ... < (2 \cdot t)\} t = ?rhs using uxfEq by simp
  ultimately have ?lhs = ?rhs by simp}
moreover
\{ \textbf{assume} \ \textit{sygTail} : (s, \ y, \ g) \in \textit{set} \ (\textit{uTail} \ \otimes \textit{xfTail}) \\
  from this have y \neq x using distHyp non-empty-crossListElim by force
  hence dXnotdY: \partial x \neq \partial y by(simp add: vdiff-def)
  have xNotdY: x \neq \partial y using varHyp using vdiff-invarDiffs by auto
  then have ?lhs = (a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ t) \ (\partial \ y) using xNotdY and dXnotdY by
simp
  also have (a[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ t) \ (\partial \ y) = ?rhs  using keyFact \ sygTail by auto
  ultimately have ?lhs = ?rhs by simp}
ultimately show ?goal by blast
qed
lemma prelim-conds4vdiffs:
assumes funcsHyp:\forall st g. \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_2 xf (override-on st g varDiffs) = \pi_2
and distinctHyp:distinct\ (map\ \pi_1\ xfList)
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and solHyp3: \forall st. \ \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 uxf) \ 0 \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st)
(\pi_1 (\pi_2 uxf))
and keyFact: \forall st. \ \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). \ \forall t>0.
```

```
vderiv-of (\lambda r. (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ r \ (d2z \ st)) \{0 < .. < (2 *_R t)\} \ t = (\pi_2 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol
st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t)
shows \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList.
(sol\ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf)) = \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)
proof(clarify)
fix t :: real and x :: string and f :: real store \Rightarrow real and a :: real store
\mathbf{assume}\ t\mathit{Hyp} : 0 \le t\ \mathbf{and}\ \mathit{pairHyp} : (x,\,f) \in \mathit{set}\ \mathit{xfList}
from this obtain u where xfuHyp: (u,x,f) \in set (uInput \otimes xfList)
by (metis crossList-length legnth-crossListEx1 lengthHyp)
  show (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\partial (\pi_1(x, f))) = \pi_2(x, f) (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]
t)
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ t=0)
  {f case}\ {\it True}
    have \forall st. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList.
    (sol\ st[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ \theta)\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf)) = \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ st[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ \theta)
    using assms and conds4InitState2 by blast
    then show ?thesis using True and pairHyp by blast
  next
    case False
    from this have t > 0 using tHyp by simp
    hence (sol a[xfList\leftarrowuInput] t) (\partial x) = vderiv-of (\lambdas. u s (d2z a)) {0<..< (2)
*_R t)} t
    using tHyp xfuHyp assms state-list-cross-upd-correctInPrimes by fastforce
   also have vderiv-of (\lambda s.\ u\ s\ (d2z\ a))\ \{0<...<(2*_Rt)\}\ t=f\ (sol\ a[xfList\leftarrow uInput]
t)
    using keyFact xfuHyp and \langle t > 0 \rangle by force
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  ged
qed
lemma keyFact-elim:
assumes distinctHyp:distinct (map \pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp1: \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList.
((\lambda t. (sol\ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) (\pi_1\ xf))\ has\ vderiv\ on\ (\lambda t.\ \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]
t))) \{0..t\}
shows keyFact: \forall st. \ \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). \ \forall t>0.
vderiv-of\ (\lambda r.\ (\pi_1\ uxf)\ r\ (d2z\ st))\ \{0<..<\ (2\ *_R\ t)\}\ t\ =\ (\pi_2\ (\pi_2\ uxf))\ (sol
st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t)
\mathbf{proof}(clarify, rename\text{-}tac\ a\ u\ x\ f\ t)
fix a::real store and t::real and x::string
and f::real\ store \Rightarrow real\ and\ u::real \Rightarrow real\ store \Rightarrow real
assume uxfHyp:(u, x, f) \in set (uInput \otimes xfList) and tHyp:0 < t
from this and assms have \forall s > 0. (sol a[xfList\leftarrowuInput] s) x = u s (d2z a)
using state-list-cross-upd-its-vars by (metis)
hence 1: \Lambda s. s \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} \Longrightarrow (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ s)\ x = u\ s\ (d2z\ a)
using tHyp by force
have \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} \subseteq \{0...2 \cdot t\} by auto
```

```
also have \forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda r. (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] r) (\pi_1 xf))
  has-vderiv-on (\lambda r. \pi_2 \ xf \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ r))) \ \{0...2 \cdot t\} \ using \ solHyp1
and tHyp by simp
ultimately have \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ ((\lambda r. \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ r) \ (\pi_1 \ xf))
  has-vderiv-on (\lambda r. \pi_2 \ xf \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ r))) \ \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
using ODE-Auxiliarities.has-vderiv-on-subset by blast
also from uxfHyp have xfHyp:(x,f) \in set xfList by (meson non-empty-crossListElim)
ultimately have 2:((\lambda r. (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ r)\ x)
  has-vderiv-on (\lambda r. f (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] r))) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
using has-vderiv-on-subset by auto
have ((\lambda r. (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ r) \ x) \ has-vderiv-on \ (\lambda r. \ f \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ r))
r))) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} =
  ((\lambda \ r. \ u \ r \ (d2z \ a)) \ has-vderiv-on \ (\lambda r. \ f \ (sol \ a[xfList\leftarrow uInput] \ r))) \ \{0<...<2 \ \cdot \ t\}
apply(rule-tac has-vderiv-on-conq) using 1 by auto
from this and 2 have derivHyp:((\lambda r. u r (d2z a)) has-vderiv-on
(\lambda r. f (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] r))) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} by simp
then have \forall s \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}. ((\lambda r. u r (d2z a)) has-vector-derivative
f (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ s)) (at \ s \ within \ \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}) by (simp \ add: has-vderiv-on-def)
{fix f' assume ((\lambda s. \ u \ s \ (d2z \ a)) \ has-vderiv-on <math>f') \ \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
  then have f'Hyp: \forall rr \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}. ((\lambda s. \ u \ s \ (d2z \ a)) \ has-derivative \ (\lambda s. \ s
*_R (f' rr))
 (at \ rr \ within \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}) by (simp \ add: has-vderiv-on-def \ has-vector-derivative-def)
  {fix rr assume rrHyp:rr \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
    have boxDef:box \ \theta \ (2 \cdot t) = \{\theta < ... < 2 \cdot t\} \land rr \in box \ \theta \ (2 \cdot t)
    using tHyp rrHyp by auto
    have rr1:((\lambda r.\ u\ r\ (d2z\ a))\ has-derivative\ (\lambda s.\ s*_R\ (f'\ rr)))\ (at\ rr\ within\ box
0 (2 \cdot t)
    using tHyp boxDef rrHyp f'Hyp by force
    from derivHyp have \forall rr \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}. ((\lambda s. u s (d2z a)) has-derivative
    (\lambda s. \ s *_R (f \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ rr)))) \ (at \ rr \ within \ \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\})
    by(simp add: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
    hence rr2:((\lambda \ s. \ u \ s \ (d2z \ a)) \ has-derivative
     (\lambda s. \ s *_R (f \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ rr)))) \ (at \ rr \ within \ box \ 0 \ (2 \cdot t))using
rrHyp boxDef by force
      from boxDef rr1 and rr2 have (\lambda s. \ s *_R (f' \ rr)) = (\lambda s. \ s *_R (f \ (sol
a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] rr)))
    using frechet-derivative-unique-within-open-interval by blast
   hence f'rr = f (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ rr) by (metis\ lambda-one\ real-scaleR-def)
  from this have \forall rr \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}. f'rr = (f (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] rr)) by
force }
then have f'Hyp: \forall f'. ((\lambda s.\ u\ s\ (d2z\ a))\ has-vderiv-on\ f')\ \{0<...<2\cdot t\} \longrightarrow
(\forall rr \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}. f' rr = (f (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] rr))) by force
have ((\lambda s. \ u \ s \ (d2z \ a)) \ has-vderiv-on \ (vderiv-of \ (\lambda r. \ u \ r \ (d2z \ a)) \ \{0 < .. < (2 *_R
t)\})) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
by(simp add: vderiv-of-def, metis derivHyp someI-ex)
from this and f'Hyp have \forall rr \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}.
(vderiv-of (\lambda r.\ u\ r\ (d2z\ a)) {0 < ... < (2 *_R t)}) rr = (f\ (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ rr))
```

```
thus vderiv-of (\lambda r. \pi_1 (u, x, f) r (d2z a)) \{0 < ... < 2 *_R t\} t =
\pi_2 (\pi_2 (u, x, f)) (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) using tHyp by force
qed
lemma conds4vdiffs:
assumes funcsHyp:\forall st q. \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_2 xf (override-on st q varDiffs) = \pi_2
and distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and solHyp1: \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\pi_1 xf))
has-vderiv-on (\lambda t. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (sol\ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t))) \ \{0..t\}
and solHyp3: \forall st. \ \forall uxf \in set \ (uInput \otimes xfList). \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st)
(\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf))
shows \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList.
(sol\ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf)) = \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)
apply(rule prelim-conds4vdiffs)
prefer 6 subgoal using assms and keyFact-elim by blast
using assms by simp-all
\mathbf{lemma}\ conds 4 Consts:
assumes varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
shows \forall str. str \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \longrightarrow (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\partial str) = 0
using varsHyp apply(induction xfList uInput rule: cross-list.induct)
apply(simp-all add: override-on-def varDiffs-def vdiff-def)
by clarsimp
lemma conds4RestOfStrings:
\forall str. str \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \longrightarrow (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) str = a str
apply(induction xfList uInput rule: cross-list.induct)
\mathbf{by}(auto\ simp:\ varDiffs-def)
lemma conds4solvesIVP:
assumes distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp1: \forall st. \ \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set xfList.
((\lambda t. (sol\ st[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\pi_1\ xf))\ has-vderiv-on\ (\lambda t.\ \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ st[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ t)))
t))) \{0..t\}
and solHyp2: \forall st. \ \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ (\lambda t. \ (sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ (\pi_1 \ xf))
\in \{\theta..t\} \rightarrow UNIV
and solHyp3: \forall st. \ \forall \ uxf \in set \ (uInput \otimes xfList). \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st)
(\pi_2 \ uxf)
shows \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\pi_1 xf))
solvesTheIVP (\lambda t \ r. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (sol\ st[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ t)) withInitCond 0 \mapsto st \ (\pi_1
xf)) {0..t} UNIV
apply(rule allI, rule allI, rule impI, rule ballI, rule solves-ivpI, rule solves-odeI)
```

```
subgoal using solHyp1 by simp
subgoal using solHyp2 by simp
\mathbf{proof}(\mathit{clarify}, \mathit{rename-tac}\ a\ t\ x\ f)
fix t::real and x::string and f::real store \Rightarrow real and a::real store
assume tHyp: 0 \le t and xfHyp: (x, f) \in set xfList
then obtain u where uxfHyp:(u, x, f) \in set (uInput \otimes xfList)
by (metis crossList-map-projElim in-set-impl-in-set-zip2 lengthHyp map-fst-zip map-snd-zip)
from varsHyp have toZeroHyp:(d2z \ a) \ x = a \ x using override-on-def \ xfHyp by
auto
from uxfHyp and solHyp3 have u \ 0 \ (d2z \ a) = (d2z \ a) \ x by fastforce
also have (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \theta)\ (\pi_1\ (x,f)) = u\ \theta\ (d2z\ a)
using state-list-cross-upd-its-vars uxfHyp and assms by fastforce
ultimately show (sol a[xfList\leftarrowuInput] 0) (\pi_1 (x, f)) = a (\pi_1 (x, f)) using
toZeroHyp by simp
qed
lemma conds4storeIVP-on-toSol:
assumes funcsHyp:\forall st. \forall g. \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_2 xf (override-on st g varDiffs)
=\pi_2 xf st
and distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and guardHyp: \forall st. \ \forall \ t \geq 0. G(sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)
and solHyp1: \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList.
((\lambda t. (sol\ st[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\pi_1\ xf))\ has\ vderiv\ on\ (\lambda t.\ \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ st[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ )
t))) \{0..t\}
and solHyp2: \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. (\lambda t. (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\pi_1 xf))
\in \{0..t\} \rightarrow UNIV
and solHyp3: \forall st. \ \forall uxf \in set \ (uInput \otimes xfList). \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ dz
(\pi_2 \ uxf)
shows \forall st. solvesStoreIVP (\lambda t. (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t)) xfList st G
apply(rule\ allI,\ rule\ solves-store-ivpI)
subgoal using guardHyp by simp
subgoal using conds4RestOfStrings by blast
subgoal using conds4Consts varsHyp by blast
subgoal using conds4vdiffs and assms by blast
subgoal using conds/solvesIVP and assms by blast
done
theorem dSolve-toSolve:
assumes funcsHyp:\forall st. \forall g. \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_2 xf (override-on st g varDiffs)
=\pi_2 xf st
and distinctHyp:distinct\ (map\ \pi_1\ xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and guardHyp: \forall st. \ \forall t \ge 0. \ G \ (sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)
and solHyp1: \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList.
((\lambda t. (sol\ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) (\pi_1\ xf))\ has\ vderiv\ on\ (\lambda t.\ \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t))
t))) \{\theta..t\}
```

```
and solHyp2: \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. (\lambda t. (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\pi_1 xf))
\in \{0..t\} \rightarrow UNIV
and solHyp3: \forall st. \ \forall uxf \in set \ (uInput \otimes xfList). \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf)
and uniqHyp: \forall st. \forall X. solvesStoreIVP X xfList st G \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput]
t) = X t
and postCondHyp: \forall st. \ P \ st \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. \ Q \ (sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
apply(rule-tac uInput=uInput in dSolve)
subgoal using assms and conds/storeIVP-on-toSol by simp
subgoal by (simp add: uniqHyp)
using postCondHyp guardHyp postCondHyp by simp
term unique-on-bounded-closed to T x0 f X L
thm unique-on-bounded-closed-def
thm unique-on-bounded-closed-axioms-def
thm unique-on-closed-def
lemma conds4UniqSol:
assumes sHyp:t \geq 0
assumes contHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. continuous-on ({0..t} \times UNIV)
(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 xf) (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t))
shows \forall xf \in set xfList. unique-on-bounded-closed 0 {0..t} (a <math>(\pi_1 xf))
(\lambda t \ r. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)) \ UNIV \ (if \ t = 0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 1/(t+1))
apply(simp add: unique-on-bounded-closed-def unique-on-bounded-closed-axioms-def
unique-on-closed-def compact-interval-def compact-interval-axioms-def nonempty-set-def
interval\text{-}def self\text{-}mapping\text{-}def self\text{-}mapping\text{-}axioms\text{-}def closed\text{-}domain\text{-}def global\text{-}lipschitz\text{-}def
lipschitz-def, rule conjI)
subgoal using contHyp continuous-rhs-def by fastforce
subgoal
  using contHyp continuous-rhs-def sHyp by fastforce
done
{f lemma}\ solves-store-ivp-at-beginning-overrides:
assumes Fsolves:solvesStoreIVP F xfList a G
shows F \theta = override-on \ a \ (F \theta) \ varDiffs
apply(rule\ ext,\ subgoal\ tac\ x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow F\ 0\ x=a\ x)
subgoal by (simp add: override-on-def)
using assms and solves-store-ivpD(6) by simp
lemma ubcStoreUniqueSol:
assumes sHyp:s \geq 0
assumes contHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. continuous-on (<math>\{0..s\} \times UNIV)
```

```
(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))
and eqDerivs: \forall xf \in set xfList. \ \forall t \in \{0..s\}. \ (\pi_2 xf) \ (F t) = (\pi_2 xf) \ (sol
a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t)
and Fsolves:solvesStoreIVP F xfList a G
and solHyp:solvesStoreIVP (\lambda t. (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)) xfList\ a\ G
shows (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] s) = F s
proof
  fix str::string show (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ s)\ str = F\ s\ str
  \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{cases}\ \mathit{str} \in (\pi_1(\mathit{set}\ \mathit{xfList})) \cup \mathit{varDiffs})
  case False
    then have notInVars:str \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs by simp
    from solHyp have \forall t \geq 0. \forall str. str \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \longrightarrow
    (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ str = a\ str\ by\ (simp\ add:\ solvesStoreIVP-def)
    hence 1:(sol\ a[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ s)\ str=a\ str\ using\ sHyp\ notInVars\ by\ blast
    from Fsolves have \forall t \geq 0. \forall str. str \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \longrightarrow F t str
    by (simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def)
    then have 2:F \ s \ str = a \ str \ using \ sHyp \ notInVars \ by \ blast
    thus (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ s)\ str = F\ s\ str\ using\ 1 and 2 by simp
  next case True
    then have str \in (\pi_1(set xfList)) \lor str \in varDiffs by simp
    moreover
     {assume str \in (\pi_1(set xfList)) from this obtain f::((char list \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow
real) where
      strfHyp:(str, f) \in set xfList  by fastforce
       from Fsolves and sHyp have (\forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. F t (\pi_1 xf)) solves
The IVP
      (\lambda t \ r. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (F \ t)) \ with Init Cond \ \theta \mapsto a \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ \{\theta..s\} \ UNIV)
      by (simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def)
      then have expand1: \forall xf \in set xfList.((\lambda t. F t (\pi_1 xf)) solves-ode)
      (\lambda t \ r. (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (F \ t))) \{0...s\} \ UNIV \land F \ 0 \ (\pi_1 \ xf) = a \ (\pi_1 \ xf) \ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add:
solves-ivp-def)
      hence expand2: \forall xf \in set xfList. \forall t \in \{0..s\}. ((\lambda r. F r (\pi_1 xf)))
       has-vector-derivative (\lambda r. (\pi_2 \ xf) (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)) \ t) (at \ t \ within
      using eqDerivs by (simp add: solves-ode-def has-vderiv-on-def)
      then have \forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. F t (\pi_1 xf)) solves-ode
      (\lambda t \ r. (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)))\{0..s\} \ UNIV \land F \ 0 \ (\pi_1 \ xf) = a \ (\pi_1 \ xf)
xf)
      by (simp add: has-vderiv-on-def solves-ode-def expand1 expand2)
      then have 1:((\lambda t. \ F \ t \ str) \ solves The IVP \ (\lambda t \ r. \ f \ (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t))
        withInitCond \ \theta \mapsto a \ str) \ \{\theta...s\} \ UNIV \ \mathbf{using} \ strfHyp \ solves-ivp-def \ \mathbf{by}
fast force
      from solHyp and strfHyp have 2:((\lambda t. (sol a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) str)
       solvesTheIVP\ (\lambda t\ r.\ f\ (sol\ a[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ t))\ withInitCond\ 0\mapsto a\ str)
\{0..s\} UNIV
```

```
using solvesStoreIVP-def sHyp by fastforce
```

```
from sHyp and contHyp have \forall xf \in set xfList. unique-on-bounded-closed 0
\{0..s\}\ (a\ (\pi_1\ xf))
     (\lambda t \ r. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)) \ UNIV \ (if \ s = 0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 1/(s+1))
      using conds4UniqSol by simp
       from this have 3:unique-on-bounded-closed 0 \{0...s\} (a str) (\lambda t \ r. \ f \ (sol
a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))
      UNIV (if s = 0 then 1 else 1/(s+1)) using strfHyp by fastforce
      from 1 2 and 3 have (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ s)\ str = F\ s\ str
    using unique-on-bounded-closed.ivp-unique-solution using real-Icc-closed-segment
sHyp by blast}
   moreover
    {assume str \in varDiffs
      then obtain x where xDef:str = \partial x by (auto simp: varDiffs-def)
      have (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ s)\ str = F\ s\ str
      \mathbf{proof}(cases \ x \in set \ (map \ \pi_1 \ xfList))
      case True
       then obtain f where strFhyp:(x, f) \in set xfList by fastforce
         from sHyp and Fsolves have F s str = f (F s)
         using solves-store-ivpD(4) strFhyp xDef by force
         moreover from solHyp and sHyp have (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ s)\ str =
          f (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ s) \ using \ solves-store-ivpD(4) \ strFhyp \ xDef \ by
force
         ultimately show ?thesis using eqDerivs strFhyp sHyp by auto
      next
      case False
     from this Fsolves and sHyp have F s str = 0 using xDef solves-store-ivpD(3)
by simp
       also have (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ s)\ str = 0
       using False solHyp sHyp solves-store-ivpD(3) xDef by fastforce
       ultimately show ?thesis by simp
   ultimately show (sol a[xfList\leftarrowuInput] s) str = F s str by blast
  qed
qed
theorem dSolveUBC:
assumes contHyp: \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. continuous-on (<math>\{0..t\} \times UNIV)
(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 xf) (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t))
and solHyp: \forall st. solvesStoreIVP (\lambda t. (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t)) xfList st G
and uniqHyp: \forall st. \ \forall X. \ X \ solvesTheStoreIVP \ xfList \ withInitState \ st \ andGuard \ G
(\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (\pi_2 xf) (X r) =
(\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ r))
and diffAssgn: \forall st. \ P \ st \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. \ G \ (sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \longrightarrow Q \ (sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)
st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t)
```

```
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
apply(rule-tac uInput=uInput in dSolve)
subgoal using solHyp by simp
subgoal proof(clarify)
fix a::real store and X::real \Rightarrow real store and s::real
assume XisSol:solvesStoreIVP \ X \ xfList \ a \ G \ {\bf and} \ sHyp:0 \le s
from this and uniqHyp have \forall xf \in set xfList. \forall t \in \{0..s\}.
(\pi_2 \ xf) \ (X \ t) = (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) by auto
moreover have \forall xf \in set xfList. continuous-on (\{0..s\} \times UNIV)
(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)) using contHyp \ sHyp by blast
ultimately show (sol\ a[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ s) = X\ s
using sHyp XisSol ubcStoreUniqueSol solHyp by simp
qed
subgoal using diffAssgn by simp
done
theorem dSolve-toSolveUBC:
assumes funcsHyp:\forall st. \forall g. \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_2 xf (override-on st g varDiffs)
=\pi_2 xf st
and distinctHyp:distinct\ (map\ \pi_1\ xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and guardHyp: \forall st. \ \forall t \ge 0. \ G \ (sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)
and solHyp1: \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList.
((\lambda t. (sol\ st[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\pi_1\ xf))\ has\ vderiv\ on\ (\lambda t.\ \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ st[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ )
t))) \{0..t\}
and solHyp2: \forall st. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. (\lambda t. (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\pi_1 xf))
\in \{0--t\} \rightarrow UNIV
and solHyp3: \forall st. \ \forall uxf \in set \ (uInput \otimes xfList). \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ (d2z \ st) = (d2z \ st) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf)
(\pi_2 \ uxf)
and contHyp: \forall st. \ \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set xfList. \ continuous-on (\{0..t\} \times UNIV)
(\lambda(t, (r::real)), (\pi_2 xf) (sol st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t))
and uniqHyp: \forall st. \ \forall X. \ solvesStoreIVP \ X \ xfList \ st \ G \longrightarrow
(\forall \ t \geq 0. \ \forall \ xf \in set \ xfList. \ \forall \ r \in \{0..t\}. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (X \ r) = (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput])
r))
and postCondHyp: \forall st. \ P \ st \longrightarrow (\forall t > 0. \ Q \ (sol \ st[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
apply(rule-tac\ uInput=uInput\ in\ dSolveUBC)
subgoal using contHyp by simp
subgoal
  \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule-tac}\ \mathit{uInput} = \!\mathit{uInput}\ \mathbf{in}\ \mathit{conds4storeIVP-on-toSol})
  using assms by auto
subgoal using uniqHyp by simp
using postCondHyp by simp
thm derivative-intros(173)
thm derivative-intros
\mathbf{thm} derivative-intros(176)
```

```
thm derivative-eq-intros(8)
thm derivative-eq-intros(17)
thm derivative-eq-intros(6)
thm derivative-eq-intros(15)
thm derivative-eq-intros
thm continuous-intros
lemma PRE (\lambda s. s "station" < s "pos" \wedge s "vel" > \theta)
      (ODE system \ [("pos", (\lambda s. s "vel"))] \ with \ (\lambda s. \ True))
      POST \ (\lambda \ s. \ (s \ "station" < s \ "pos"))
apply(rule-tac\ uInput=[\lambda\ t\ s.\ s\ "vel"\cdot t+s\ "pos"]\ in\ dSolve-toSolveUBC)
prefer 11 subgoal by(simp add: wp-trafo vdiff-def add-strict-increasing2)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
subgoal
  apply(clarify)
  apply(rule-tac f'1=\lambda x. st "vel" and g'1=\lambda x. 0 in derivative-intros(173))
 apply(rule-tac f'1=\lambda x.0 and g'1=\lambda x.1 in derivative-intros(176))
  by(auto intro: derivative-intros)
subgoal by(clarify, rule continuous-intros)
subgoal by(simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
done
— Differential Invariant.
{\bf lemma}\ solves Store IVP-could Be Modified:
fixes F::real \Rightarrow real \ store
assumes vars: \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. F t (\pi_1 xf)))
solves The IVP (\lambda \ t. \ \lambda \ r. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (F \ t)) with Init Cond 0 \mapsto (a \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) \ \{0..t\} UNIV
and dvars: \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. (F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (F t)
shows \forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \forall xf \in set xfList.
((\lambda \ t. \ F \ t \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ has-vector-derivative \ F \ r \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\})
\mathbf{proof}(clarify, rename\text{-}tac\ t\ r\ x\ f)
fix x f and t r :: real
assume tHyp:0 \le t and xfHyp:(x, f) \in set xfList and rHyp:r \in \{0..t\}
from this and vars have ((\lambda t. F t x) solves The IVP (\lambda t. \lambda r. f (F t))
with Init Cond \theta \mapsto (a \ x) \{\theta ... t\} UNIV using tHyp by fastforce
then have ((\lambda \ t. \ F \ t \ x) \ has-vderiv-on \ (\lambda \ t. \ f \ (F \ t))) \ \{\theta..t\}
by (simp add: solves-ode-def solves-ivp-def)
hence *:\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda t. Ftx) has-vector-derivative (\lambda t. f(Ft)) r) (at r within
\{\theta..t\}
by (simp add: has-vderiv-on-def tHyp)
have \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ (Fr(\partial(\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) \ (Fr)
using assms by auto
from this rHyp and xfHyp have (F r (\partial x)) = f (F r) by force
then show ((\lambda t. \ F \ t \ (\pi_1 \ (x, f))) \ has-vector-derivative \ F \ r \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ (x, f)))) \ (at \ r
within \{0..t\})
using * rHyp by auto
\mathbf{qed}
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ derivation Lemma-base Case:
fixes F::real \Rightarrow real store
assumes solves:solvesStoreIVP F xfList a G
shows \forall x \in (UNIV - varDiffs). \forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}.
((\lambda \ t. \ F \ t \ x) \ has-vector-derivative \ F \ r \ (\partial \ x)) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\})
proof
\mathbf{fix} \ x
assume x \in UNIV - varDiffs
then have notVarDiff: \forall z. x \neq \partial z  using varDiffs-def by fastforce
 show \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ ((\lambda t. \ F \ t \ x) \ has-vector-derivative \ F \ r \ (\partial \ x)) \ (at \ r \ within
\{\theta..t\}
  \mathbf{proof}(cases \ x \in set \ (map \ \pi_1 \ xfList))
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    from this and solves have \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ \forall \ xf \in set \ xfList.
    ((\lambda \ t. \ F \ t \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ has-vector-derivative \ F \ r \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\})
   apply(rule-tac\ a=a\ in\ solvesStoreIVP-couldBeModified)\ using\ solves\ solves-store-ivpD
by auto
    from this show ?thesis using True by auto
  next
    case False
    from this not VarDiff and solves have const: \forall t \geq 0. F t x = a x
    using solves-store-ivpD(2) by (simp \ add: varDiffs-def)
     have constD: \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ ((\lambda r. \ a \ x) \ has-vector-derivative \ 0) \ (at \ r. \ a \ x)
within \{0..t\})
    by (auto intro: derivative-eq-intros)
    \{fix t r :: real \}
      assume t \ge \theta and r \in \{\theta..t\}
      hence ((\lambda \ s. \ a \ x) \ has\text{-}vector\text{-}derivative \ \theta) (at r within \{0..t\}) by (simp add:
constD)
      moreover have \bigwedge s. \ s \in \{0..t\} \Longrightarrow (\lambda \ r. \ F \ r \ x) \ s = (\lambda \ r. \ a \ x) \ s
      using const by (simp add: \langle \theta \leq t \rangle)
      ultimately have ((\lambda \ s. \ F \ s \ x) \ has-vector-derivative \ \theta) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{\theta..t\})
      using has-vector-derivative-imp by (metis \langle r \in \{0..t\}\rangle)
    hence isZero: \forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda t. F t x) has-vector-derivative 0) (at r within
\{0...t\})by blast
    from False solves and notVarDiff have \forall t \geq 0. F t (\partial x) = 0
    using solves-store-ivpD(3) by simp
    then show ?thesis using isZero by simp
  qed
  qed
lemma derivationLemma:
assumes solvesStoreIVP \ F \ xfList \ a \ G
and tHyp:t \geq 0
and termVarsHyp: \forall x \in trmVars \ \eta. \ x \in (UNIV - varDiffs)
shows \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda \ s. (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ s)) has-vector-derivative (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r)) (at \ r)
within \{0..t\})
using termVarsHyp proof(induction \eta)
```

```
case (Const r)
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Var\ y)
  then have yHyp:y \in UNIV - varDiffs by auto
  from this tHyp and assms(1) show ?case
  using derivationLemma-baseCase by auto
next
  case (Mns \eta)
  then show ?case
  apply(clarsimp)
  \mathbf{by}(rule\ derivative\text{-}intros,\ simp)
next
  case (Sum \eta 1 \ \eta 2)
  then show ?case
  apply(clarsimp)
  by(rule derivative-intros, simp-all)
next
  case (Mult \eta 1 \eta 2)
  then show ?case
  apply(clarsimp)
  apply(subgoal-tac ((\lambda s. (\llbracket \eta 1 \rrbracket_t) (Fs) *_R (\llbracket \eta 2 \rrbracket_t) (Fs)) has-vector-derivative
  (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta 1 \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r) \cdot (\llbracket \eta 2 \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r) + (\llbracket \eta 1 \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r) \cdot (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta 2 \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r)) \ (at \ r \ within)
\{\theta..t\}, simp
 \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule-tac}\,f'1 = (\llbracket \partial_t \,\,\eta \, 1 \rrbracket_t) \,\,(F\,r) \,\,\mathbf{and}\,\,g'1 = (\llbracket \partial_t \,\,\eta \, 2 \rrbracket_t) \,\,(F\,r) \,\,\mathbf{in}\,\,derivative\text{-}eq\text{-}intros(25))
  by (simp-all add: has-field-derivative-iff-has-vector-derivative)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{diff-subst-prprty-4} \mathit{terms} \colon
assumes solves: \forall xf \in set xfList. F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F t)
and tHyp:(t::real) \geq 0
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and termVarsHyp:trmVars \ \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
shows (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) (F \ t) = (\llbracket ((map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t \ \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ t)
using termVarsHyp apply(induction \eta) apply(simp-all \ add: \ substList-help2)
using listsHyp and solves apply(induction xfList uInput rule: cross-list.induct,
simp, simp)
proof(clarify, rename-tac y g xfTail \vartheta trmTail x)
fix x \ y :: string and \vartheta :: trms and g and xfTail :: ((string \times (real \ store \Rightarrow real)) \ list)
and trm Tail
assume IH: \Lambda x. \ x \notin varDiffs \Longrightarrow map \ \pi_2 \ xfTail = map \ tval \ trmTail \Longrightarrow
\forall xf \in set \ xfTail. \ F \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (F \ t) \Longrightarrow
F \ t \ (\partial \ x) = (\llbracket (map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfTail \otimes trmTail) \langle t_V \ (\partial \ x) \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ t)
and 1:x \notin varDiffs and 2:map \ \pi_2 \ ((y, g) \# xfTail) = map \ tval \ (\vartheta \# trmTail)
and 3: \forall xf \in set ((y, g) \# xfTail). F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F t)
hence *:([(map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfTail\ \otimes\ trmTail)\langle Var\ (\partial\ x)\rangle]_t)\ (F\ t) = F\ t\ (\partial\ x)
using tHyp by auto
show F \ t \ (\partial \ x) = (\llbracket ((map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ ((y, g) \ \# \ xfTail)) \otimes (\vartheta \ \# \ trmTail)) \ \langle t_V \ \rangle
(\partial x) \rangle |_t \rangle (F t)
```

```
\mathbf{proof}(cases\ x \in set\ (map\ \pi_1\ ((y,\ g)\ \#\ xfTail)))
    {f case} True
    then have x = y \lor (x \neq y \land x \in set (map \pi_1 xfTail)) by auto
    moreover
    {assume x = y
       from this have ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ ((y,\ g)\ \#\ xfTail))\otimes (\vartheta\ \#\ trmTail))\langle t_V
(\partial x)\rangle = \vartheta  by simp
       also from 3 tHyp have F t (\partial y) = g (F t) by simp
       moreover from 2 have (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) (F t) = g (F t) by simp
       ultimately have ?thesis by (simp \ add: \langle x = y \rangle)}
    moreover
     {assume x \neq y \land x \in set (map \ \pi_1 \ xfTail)
       then have \partial x \neq \partial y using vdiff-inj by auto
       from this have ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ ((y, g) \# xfTail)) \otimes (\vartheta \# trmTail)) \langle t_V \rangle
(\partial x)\rangle =
       ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfTail)\ \otimes\ trmTail)\ \langle t_V\ (\partial\ x)\rangle\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
       hence ?thesis using * by simp}
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case False
    then have ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ ((y,\ g)\ \#\ xfTail))\otimes (\vartheta\ \#\ trmTail))\ \langle t_V\ (\partial\ x)\rangle
= t_V (\partial x)
   using substList-cross-vdiff-on-non-ocurring-var \mathbf{by}(metis(no-types, lifting)\ List.map.compositionality)
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ eqInVars-impl-eqInTrms:
assumes termVarsHyp:trmVars \ \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and initHyp: \forall x. \ x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow b \ x = a \ x
shows ([\![\eta]\!]_t) a = ([\![\eta]\!]_t) b
using assms by (induction \eta, simp-all)
\mathbf{lemma}\ non\text{-}empty\text{-}funList\text{-}implies\text{-}non\text{-}empty\text{-}trmList\text{:}
shows \forall list.(x,f) \in set list \land map \ \pi_2 list = map tval \ tList \longrightarrow (\exists \ \vartheta.(\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) = f
\wedge \vartheta \in set \ tList)
\mathbf{by}(induction\ tList,\ auto)
lemma dInvForTrms-prelim:
assumes substHyp:
\forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
(\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t\ \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t)\ st = 0
and term Vars Hyp:trm Vars \ \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
shows (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a = 0 \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ c =
\theta)
proof(clarify)
fix c assume aHyp:(\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a=0 and cHyp:(a, c) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
from this obtain t::real and F::real \Rightarrow real store
```

```
where tcHyp:t>0 \land Ft=c \land solvesStoreIVP\ F\ xfList\ a\ G\ using\ quarDiffEqtn-def
by auto
then have \forall x. \ x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow F \ 0 \ x = a \ x \ using \ solves-store-ivpD(6) by blast
from this have (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F \theta) using term Vars Hyp \ eqIn Vars-impl-eqIn Trms
by blast
hence obs1:(\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F \theta) = \theta using aHyp tcHyp by simp
from tcHyp have obs2: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F s)) has-vector-derivative
([\partial_t \eta]_t)(Fr) (at r within \{\theta..t\}) using derivationLemma termVarsHyp by blast
have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \forall xf \in set xfList. F r (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F r)
using tcHyp solves-store-ivpD(4) by fastforce
hence \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r) = (\llbracket ((map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList) \otimes uInput) \ \langle \partial_t \ \eta ]_t) 
using tcHyp diff-subst-prprty-4terms termVarsHyp listsHyp by fastforce
also from substHyp have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t
\eta \rangle |_t \rangle (F r) = 0
using solves-store-ivpD(1) solves-store-ivpD(3) tcHyp by fastforce
ultimately have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F s)) has-vector-derivative 0) (at r)
within \{0..t\})
using obs2 by auto
from this and tcHyp have \forall s \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda x. (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F x)) has-derivative (\lambda x. x)
*_R \theta))
(at s within \{0..t\}) by (metis has-vector-derivative-def)
hence ([\![\eta]\!]_t) (F\ t) - ([\![\eta]\!]_t) (F\ \theta) = (\lambda x.\ x *_R\ \theta) (t - \theta)
using mvt-very-simple and tcHyp by fastforce
then show (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) c = \theta using obs1 tcHyp by auto
qed
theorem dInvForTrms:
assumes \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
(\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t\ \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t)\ st = 0
and termVarsHyp:trmVars \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and eta-f:f = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t)
shows PRE (\lambda s. fs = 0) (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST (\lambda s. fs = 0)
using eta-f proof(clarsimp)
assume (a, b) \in [\lambda s. (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s = \theta] and f = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t)
from this have aHyp:a = b \land (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ a = 0 by (metis (full-types) d-p2r rdom-p2r-contents)
have (\llbracket n \rrbracket_t) a = 0 \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow (\llbracket n \rrbracket_t) \ c =
0)
\mathbf{using}\ assms\ dInvForTrms\text{-}prelim\ \mathbf{by}\ met is
from this and aHyp have \forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ c
= \theta by blast
thus (a, b) \in wp \ (ODE system \ xfList \ with \ G \ ) \ [\lambda s. ([\![\eta]\!]_t) \ s = 0]
using aHyp by (simp add: boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
qed
lemma circular-motion:
       PRE(\lambda \ s. \ (s \ ''x'') \cdot (s \ ''x'') + (s \ ''y'') \cdot (s \ ''y'') - (s \ ''r'') \cdot (s \ ''r'') = 0)
```

```
(ODE system [("x", (\lambda s. s "y")), ("y", (\lambda s. - s "x"))] with G)
            POST \ (\lambda \ s. \ (s \ ''x'') \cdot (s \ ''x'') + (s \ ''y'') \cdot (s \ ''y'') - (s \ ''r'') \cdot (s \ ''r'') = 0)
\mathbf{apply}(\textit{rule-tac}\ \eta = (t_V\ ''x'') \odot (t_V\ ''x'') \oplus (t_V\ ''y'') \odot (t_V\ ''y'') \oplus (\ominus (t_V\ ''r'') \odot (t_V\ ''y'') \oplus (c_V\ ''y''') \oplus (c_V\ ''y'''') \oplus (c_V\ 
   and uInput=[t_V "y", \ominus (t_V "x")] in dInvForTrms)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
apply(clarsimp, erule-tac x="r" in allE)
by simp
lemma diff-subst-prprty-4props:
assumes solves: \forall xf \in set xfList. F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F t)
and tHyp:t \geq 0
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and prop VarsHyp:prop Vars \varphi \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
shows (\llbracket \partial_P \varphi \rrbracket_P) (F t) = (\llbracket ((map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P \varphi \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P) \ (F t)
using prop VarsHyp apply(induction \varphi, simp-all)
using assms diff-subst-prprty-4terms apply fastforce
using assms diff-subst-prprty-4terms apply fastforce
using assms diff-subst-prprty-4terms by fastforce
lemma dInvForProps-prelim:
assumes substHyp:
\forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
(\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t\ \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t)\ st \geq 0
and termVarsHyp:trmVars \ \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
shows (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a > 0 \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem xfList with G) \longrightarrow (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) c >
and (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a \geq 0 \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ c \geq 0)
\mathbf{proof}(\mathit{clarify})
fix c assume aHyp:(\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a > 0 and cHyp:(a, c) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
from this obtain t::real and F::real \Rightarrow real store
where tcHyp:t\geq 0 \land F t=c \land solvesStoreIVP F xfList a G using guarDiffEqtn-def
then have \forall x. \ x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow F \ 0 \ x = a \ x \ using \ solves-store-ivpD(6) by blast
from this have (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F \ \theta) using termVarsHyp\ eqInVars-impl-eqInTrms
by blast
hence obs1:(\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ \theta) > \theta using aHyp \ tcHyp by simp
from tcHyp have obs2: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F s)) has-vector-derivative
(\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r)) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\}) \ using \ derivation Lemma \ term Vars Hyp \ by \ blast
have (\forall t \ge 0. \ \forall \ xf \in set \ xfList. \ F \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (F \ t))
using tcHyp solves-store-ivpD(4) by blast
hence \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) (F \ r) = (\llbracket ((map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList) \otimes uInput) \ \langle \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t)
\eta \rangle |_t) (F r)
using diff-subst-prprty-4terms term VarsHyp tcHyp listsHyp by fastforce
also from substHyp have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t
\eta \rangle \mathbb{I}_t) (F r) > 0
using solves-store-ivpD(3) to Hyp by (metis at Least At Most-iff)
ultimately have *: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ([\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t) (F r) \ge 0 by (simp)
```

```
(\lambda x. \ x *_R ((\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t) (Fr)))) (at \ r \ within \{0..t\}) by (simp \ add: has-vector-derivative-def)
hence \exists r \in \{0..t\}. ([\![\eta]\!]_t) (F t) - ([\![\eta]\!]_t) (F \theta) = t \cdot ([\![(\partial_t \eta)]\!]_t) (F r)
using mvt-very-simple and tcHyp by fastforce
then obtain r where (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r) \geq 0 \ \land \ 0 \leq r \land r \leq t \land (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ t) \geq 0
\wedge (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F t) - (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F \theta) = t \cdot ((\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t) (F r)) \text{ using } * tcHyp \text{ by } fastforce
thus (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) c > 0
using obs1 tcHyp by (metis cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-qe-0-iff-qe
diff-strict-mono linorder-neqE-linordered-idom linordered-field-class.sign-simps(45)
not-le)
\mathbf{next}
show 0 \le (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G \longrightarrow 0 \le (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ c)
\mathbf{proof}(clarify)
fix c assume aHyp:(\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a > 0 and cHyp:(a, c) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
from this obtain t::real and F::real \Rightarrow real store
where tcHyp:t\geq 0 \land F \ t = c \land solvesStoreIVP \ F \ xfList \ a \ G \ using \ guarDiffEqtn-def
by auto
then have \forall x. \ x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow F \ \theta \ x = a \ x \ using \ solves-store-ivpD(6) by blast
from this have (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) a = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F \ \theta) using termVarsHyp\ eqInVars-impl-eqInTrms
by blast
hence obs1:(\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ \theta) \geq \theta using aHyp \ tcHyp by simp
from tcHyp have obs2: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F s)) has-vector-derivative
(\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r)) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\}) \ using \ derivation Lemma \ term Vars Hyp \ by \ blast
have (\forall t \geq 0. \ \forall \ xf \in set \ xfList. \ F \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (F \ t))
using tcHyp solves-store-ivpD(4) by blast
from this and tcHyp have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ([\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t) (F r) =
(\llbracket ((map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList) \otimes uInput) \ \langle \partial_t \ \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r)
using diff-subst-prprty-4terms termVarsHyp listsHyp by fastforce
also from substHyp have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \ \langle \partial_t \rangle
\eta \rangle |_t) (F r) > 0
using solves-store-ivpD(3) to Hyp by (metis at Least At Most-iff)
ultimately have *: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t) (F r) \ge 0 \text{ by } (simp)
from obs2 and tcHyp have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. (\[\[\[\]\]\]\]\) has-derivative
(\lambda x. \ x *_R ((\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t) (Fr)))) (at \ r \ within \{0..t\}) by (simp \ add: has-vector-derivative-def)
hence \exists r \in \{0..t\}. ([\![\eta]\!]_t) (F t) - ([\![\eta]\!]_t) (F \theta) = t \cdot (([\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t) (F r))
using mvt-very-simple and tcHyp by fastforce
then obtain r where (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ r) \geq 0 \ \land \ 0 \leq r \land r \leq t \land (\llbracket \partial_t \ \eta \rrbracket_t) \ (F \ t) \geq 0
\wedge (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F t) - (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F \theta) = t \cdot ((\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t) (F r)) \text{ using } * tcHyp \text{ by } fastforce
thus (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) c \geq 0
using obs1 tcHyp by (metis cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-ge-0-iff-ge
diff-strict-mono linorder-neqE-linordered-idom\ linordered-field-class.sign-simps(45)
not-le)
qed
qed
```

from obs2 and tcHyp have $\forall r \in \{0..t\}$. (($\lambda s.$ ($\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t$) (F s)) has-derivative

```
lemma less-pval-to-tval:
assumes (\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P\ (\vartheta \prec \eta) \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P) \ st
shows (\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ st \geq 0
using assms by (auto)
lemma leq-pval-to-tval:
assumes (\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P\ (\vartheta \leq \eta) \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P) \ st
shows (\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ st \geq 0
using assms by (auto)
lemma dInv-prelim:
assumes substHyp: \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList))) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) =
(\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P \varphi \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P)\ st
\mathbf{and}\ \mathit{prop\,VarsHyp:prop\,Vars}\ \varphi\subseteq(\mathit{UNIV}\ -\ \mathit{varDiffs})
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
shows (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) a \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) \ c)
proof(clarify)
fix c assume aHyp:(\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) a and cHyp:(a, c) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
from this obtain t::real and F::real \Rightarrow real store
where tcHyp:t\geq 0 \land F t=c \land solvesStoreIVP F xfList a G using quarDiffEqtn-def
by auto
from aHyp prop VarsHyp and substHyp show (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) c
\mathbf{proof}(induction \ \varphi)
case (Eq \vartheta \eta)
hence hyp: \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = \theta) \longrightarrow
(\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P (\vartheta \doteq \eta) \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P) \ st \ by \ blast
then have \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = \theta) \longrightarrow
(\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t\ (\vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta)) \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ st = 0 \ by \ simp
also have trmVars\ (\vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta)) \subseteq UNIV - varDiffs\ \mathbf{using}\ Eq.prems(2)\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
moreover have (\llbracket \vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta) \rrbracket_t) a = \theta using Eq.prems(1) by simp
ultimately have (\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G \longrightarrow (\llbracket \vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta) \rrbracket_t) \ c
= 0
using dInvForTrms-prelim listsHyp by blast
hence (\llbracket \vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta) \rrbracket_t) (F t) = \theta using tcHyp \ cHyp by simp
from this have (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) (F t) = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F t) by simp
also have (\llbracket \vartheta \doteq \eta \rrbracket_P) c = ((\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) (F t) = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F t)) using tcHyp by simp
ultimately show ?case by simp
next
case (Less \vartheta \eta)
hence \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
0 \leq (\llbracket (map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t \ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ st
using less-pval-to-tval by metis
also from Less.prems(2)have trmVars\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \subseteq UNIV - varDiffs\ by\ simp
moreover have (\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t) a > \theta using Less.prems(1) by simp
ultimately have (\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G \longrightarrow (\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t) \ c
using dInvForProps-prelim(1) listsHyp by blast
hence (\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t) (F t) > \theta using tcHyp \ cHyp by simp
```

```
from this have (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F t) > (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) (F t) by simp
also have (\llbracket \vartheta \prec \eta \rrbracket_P) c = ((\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) (F t) < (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F t)) using tcHyp by simp
ultimately show ?case by simp
next
case (Leq \vartheta \eta)
hence \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
0 \leq (\llbracket (map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t \ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ st \ using \ leq-pval-to-tval
also from Leq.prems(2) have trmVars (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \subseteq UNIV - varDiffs by simp
moreover have (\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t) a \geq 0 using Leq.prems(1) by simp
ultimately have (\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G \longrightarrow (\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t) \ c
using dInvForProps-prelim(2) listsHyp by blast
hence (\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t) (F t) \geq \theta using tcHyp \ cHyp by simp
from this have ((\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F t) \geq (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) (F t)) by simp
also have (\llbracket \vartheta \leq \eta \rrbracket_P) c = ((\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) (F t) \leq (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) (F t)) using tcHyp by simp
ultimately show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
case (And \varphi 1 \varphi 2)
then show ?case by (simp)
case (Or \varphi 1 \varphi 2)
from this show ?case by auto
qed
qed
theorem dInv:
assumes \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
(\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P \varphi \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P)\ st
and termVarsHyp:propVars \varphi \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and phi-p:P = (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P)
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST P
proof(clarsimp)
\mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
assume (a, b) \in [P]
from this have aHyp: a = b \land P a by (metis\ (full-types)\ d-p2r\ rdom-p2r-contents)
have P \ a \longrightarrow (\forall \ c. \ (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow P \ c)
using assms dInv-prelim by metis
from this and a Hyp have \forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow P \ c by
blast
thus (a, b) \in wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G \ ) \ [P]
using aHyp by (simp add: boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
qed
theorem dInvFinal:
assumes \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
(\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P \varphi \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P) \ st
and termVarsHyp:propVars \varphi \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
```

```
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and impls: \lceil P \rceil \subseteq \lceil F \rceil \land \lceil F \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil
and phi-f:F = (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P)
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
apply(rule-tac C = (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) in dCut)
apply(subgoal-tac [F] \subseteq wp (ODEsystem xfList with G) [F], simp)
using impls and phi-f apply blast
apply(subgoal-tac PRE F (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST F, simp)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = \varphi and uInput = uInput in dInv)
  subgoal using assms(1) by simp
 subgoal using term VarsHyp by simp
 subgoal using listsHyp by simp
 subgoal using phi-f by simp
apply(subgoal-tac PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with (\lambda s. G s \wedge F s)) POST Q,
simp add: phi-f)
apply(rule dWeakening)
using impls by simp
declare d-p2r [simp del]
lemma motion-with-constant-velocity-and-invariants:
      PRE (\lambda s. s''x'' > 0 \land s''v'' > 0)
     (ODEsystem [("x", \lambda s. s"v")] with (\lambda s. True))
     POST (\lambda s. s "x" > 0)
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s''v'' > 0 \ in \ dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi=(t_C \ \theta) \prec (t_V \ "v") and uInput=[t_V \ "v"]in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def, clarify, erule-tac x="v" in allE, simp)
apply(rule-tac\ C = \lambda\ s.\ s\ ''x'' > 0\ in\ dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi=(t_C \ \theta) \prec (t_V \ ''x'') and uInput=[t_V \ ''v'']
 and F=\lambda s. s''x'' > 0 in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
using dWeakening by simp
{\bf lemma}\ motion\hbox{-}with\hbox{-}constant\hbox{-}acceleration\hbox{-}and\hbox{-}invariants:
     PRE (\lambda s. s "y" < s "x" \land s "v" \ge 0 \land s "a" > 0)
     (ODE system \ [("x", (\lambda s. s "v")), ("v", (\lambda s. s "a"))] \ with \ (\lambda s. True))
      POST (\lambda s. (s "y" < s "x"))
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s''a'' > 0 \ in \ dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_C \ \theta) \prec (t_V \ ''a'') and uInput = [t_V \ ''v'', t_V \ ''a'']in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all\ add:\ vdiff-def\ varDiffs-def,\ clarify,\ erule-tac\ x=''a''\ in\ all E,\ simp)
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s \ "v" \ge 0 \ in \ dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_C \ \theta) \leq (t_V \ ''v'') and uInput=[t_V \ ''v'', t_V \ ''a''] in dInvFi-
nal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s''x'' > s''y'' in dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_V "y") \prec (t_V "x") and uInput = [t_V "v", t_V "a"]in dInv-
Final)
apply(simp-all\ add:\ varDiffs-def\ vdiff-def,\ clarify,\ erule-tac\ x="y"\ in\ all E,\ simp)
using dWeakening by simp
declare d-p2r [simp]
```

 \mathbf{end}