CPSVerification

CPSVerification

September 2, 2019

Contents

	0.1	Hybrid	l Systems Preliminaries
		0.1.1	Functions
		0.1.2	Orders
		0.1.3	Real numbers 6
		0.1.4	Single variable derivatives
		0.1.5	Filters
		0.1.6	Multivariable derivatives
	0.2	ary Differential Equations	
		0.2.1	Initial value problems and orbits
		0.2.2	Differential Invariants
		0.2.3	Picard-Lindeloef
		0.2.4	Flows for ODEs
1	Line	aar Alc	gebra for Hybrid Systems 27
•	1.1	_	operations
	1.2		norms
	1.2	1.2.1	Matrix operator norm
		1.2.2	Matrix maximum norm
	1.3		Lindeloef for linear systems
	1.4		Exponential
	1.1	1.4.1	Squared matrices operations
		1.4.2	Squared matrices form Banach space
	1.5		or squared matrix systems
	1.6		ation components for hybrid systems
		1.6.1	Verification of regular programs 42
		1.6.2	Verification of hybrid programs
		1.6.3	Derivation of the rules of dL
		1.6.4	Examples
	1.7	Verific	ation components with predicate transformers 59
		1.7.1	Verification of regular programs
		1.7.2	Verification of hybrid programs 61
		1.7.3	Derivation of the rules of dL 64
		1.7.4	Examples
	1.8	Verific	ation components with relational MKA 74

		1.8.1	Modal Kleene algebra preparation
		1.8.2	Relational model
		1.8.3	Store and weakest preconditions
		1.8.4	Verification of hybrid programs
		1.8.5	Derivation of the rules of dL 80
	1.9	Verific	cation components with MKA and non-deterministic func-
		tions	
		1.9.1	Modal Kleene algebra preparation 83
		1.9.2	Non-deterministic functions 84
		1.9.3	Store and weakest preconditions 85
		1.9.4	Verification of hybrid programs 87
		1.9.5	Derivation of the rules of dL 90
		1.9.6	Examples
2	Hvl	orid Sy	vstem Verification with relations 103
_	2.1	_	eation of regular programs
	2.2		eation of hybrid programs
	2.2	2.2.1	Verification by providing evolution
		2.2.2	
			Veringation by providing solutions 105
			Verification by providing solutions
		2.2.3	Verification with differential invariants 106
		2.2.3 2.2.4	Verification with differential invariants
	2.3	2.2.3 2.2.4 2.2.5	Verification with differential invariants
	2.3	2.2.3 2.2.4 2.2.5 VC_di	Verification with differential invariants
	2.3	2.2.3 2.2.4 2.2.5 VC_di 2.3.1	Verification with differential invariants
	2.3	2.2.3 2.2.4 2.2.5 VC_di 2.3.1 2.3.2	Verification with differential invariants
	2.3	2.2.3 2.2.4 2.2.5 VC_di 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	Verification with differential invariants 106 Derivation of the rules of dL 106 Examples 107 ffKAD 113 Stack Theories Preliminaries: VC_KAD and ODEs 113 VC_diffKAD Preliminaries 115 Phase Space Relational Semantics 126
	2.3	2.2.3 2.2.4 2.2.5 VC_di 2.3.1 2.3.2	Verification with differential invariants

0.1 Hybrid Systems Preliminaries

Hybrid systems combine continuous dynamics with discrete control. This section contains auxiliary lemmas for verification of hybrid systems.

theory hs-prelims

 ${\bf imports}\ Ordinary-Differential\text{-}Equations. Picard\text{-}Lindeloef\text{-}Qualitative} \\ {\bf begin}$

0.1.1 Functions

```
lemma case-of-fst[simp]: (\lambda x.\ case\ x\ of\ (t,\ x)\Rightarrow f\ t)=(\lambda\ x.\ (f\circ fst)\ x) by auto
```

lemma case-of-snd[simp]: $(\lambda x. \ case \ x \ of \ (t, \ x) \Rightarrow f \ x) = (\lambda \ x. \ (f \circ snd) \ x)$ by auto

0.1.2 Orders

```
lemma cSup-eq-linorder:
 fixes c::'a::conditionally-complete-linorder
 assumes X \neq \{\} and \forall x \in X. x \leq c
   and bdd-above X and \forall y < c. \exists x \in X. y < x
 shows Sup X = c
 apply(rule order-antisym)
 using assms apply(simp add: cSup-least)
 using assms by (subst\ le-cSup-iff)
lemma cSup-eq:
  fixes c::'a::conditionally-complete-lattice
 assumes \forall x \in X. x \leq c and \exists x \in X. c \leq x
 shows Sup X = c
 apply(rule order-antisym)
  apply(rule\ cSup\text{-}least)
 using assms apply(blast, blast)
 using assms(2) apply safe
 apply(subgoal-tac\ x \leq Sup\ X,\ simp)
 by (metis\ assms(1)\ cSup-eq-maximum\ eq-iff)
\mathbf{lemma}\ bdd-above-ltimes:
 fixes c::'a::linordered-ring-strict
 assumes c \geq \theta and bdd-above X
 shows bdd-above \{c * x | x. x \in X\}
 using assms unfolding bdd-above-def apply clarsimp
 apply(rule-tac \ x=c*M \ in \ exI, \ clarsimp)
 using mult-left-mono by blast
lemma finite-nat-minimal-witness:
  fixes P :: ('a::finite) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
 assumes \forall i. \exists N :: nat. \forall n \geq N. P i n
 shows \exists N. \ \forall i. \ \forall n \geq N. \ P \ i \ n
proof-
 let ?bound i = (LEAST\ N.\ \forall\ n \geq N.\ P\ i\ n)
 let ?N = Max \{?bound i | i. i \in UNIV\}
  {fix n::nat and i::'a
   obtain M where \forall n \geq M. P i n
     using assms by blast
   hence obs: \forall m \geq ?bound i. P i m
     using LeastI[of \ \lambda N. \ \forall \ n \geq N. \ P \ i \ n] by blast
   assume n \ge ?N
   have finite \{?bound\ i\ | i.\ i\in UNIV\}
     using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat by fastforce
   hence ?N \ge ?bound i
     using Max-ge by blast
   hence n \geq ?bound i
     using \langle n \geq ?N \rangle by linarith
   hence P i n
```

```
using obs by blast}
  thus \exists N. \ \forall i \ n. \ N \leq n \longrightarrow P \ i \ n
   by blast
qed
lemma suminf-eq-sum:
  fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow ('a :: real-normed-vector)
 assumes \bigwedge n. n > m \Longrightarrow f n = 0
 shows (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n. f n) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \le m. f n)
  using assms by (meson atMost-iff finite-atMost not-le suminf-finite)
0.1.3
           Real numbers
lemma ge-one-sqrt-le: 1 \le x \Longrightarrow sqrt \ x \le x
 by (metis basic-trans-rules(23) monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square more-arith-simps(6)
      mult-left-mono real-sqrt-le-iff 'zero-le-one')
lemma sqrt-real-nat-le:sqrt (real n) \le real n
 by (metis (full-types) abs-of-nat le-square of-nat-mono of-nat-mult real-sqrt-abs2
real-sqrt-le-iff)
lemma sq-le-cancel:
 shows (a::real) \ge 0 \Longrightarrow b \ge 0 \Longrightarrow a^2 \le b * a \Longrightarrow a \le b
  and (a::real) \ge 0 \Longrightarrow b \ge 0 \Longrightarrow a^2 \le a * b \Longrightarrow a \le b
  \mathbf{apply}(\textit{metis less-eq-real-def mult.commute mult-le-cancel-left semiring-normalization-rules}(29))
  \mathbf{by}(metis\ less-eq\ real\ def\ mult-le-cancel\ left\ semiring\ normalization\ rules(29))
lemma abs-le-eq:
  shows (r::real) > 0 \Longrightarrow (|x| < r) = (-r < x \land x < r)
   and (r::real) > 0 \Longrightarrow (|x| \le r) = (-r \le x \land x \le r)
  by linarith linarith
lemma real-ivl-eqs:
  assumes \theta < r
 and ball (r / 2) (r / 2) = \{0 < -- < r\} and \{0 < -- < r\} = \{0 < ... < r\}
   and ball 0 r = \{-r < -- < r\} and \{-r < -- < r\} = \{-r < ... < r\} and cball\ x\ r = \{x - r - - x + r\} and \{x - r - x + r\} = \{x - r ... x + r\}
   and cball \ (r \ / \ 2) \ (r \ / \ 2) = \{0 - - r\} and \{0 - - r\} = \{0 ... r\} and cball \ 0 \ r = \{-r - - r\} and \{-r - - r\} = \{-r ... r\}
  unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl closed-segment-eq-real-ivl
  using assms apply(auto simp: cball-def ball-def dist-norm)
  \mathbf{by}(simp-all\ add:\ field-simps)
lemma norm-rotate-simps[simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a :: \{banach, real-normed-field\}
  shows (x * cos t - y * sin t)^2 + (x * sin t + y * cos t)^2 = x^2 + y^2
```

```
and (x * cos t + y * sin t)^2 + (y * cos t - x * sin t)^2 = x^2 + y^2
proof-
   have (x * cos t - y * sin t)^2 = x^2 * (cos t)^2 + y^2 * (sin t)^2 - 2 * (x * cos t)
*(y*sin t)
       by(simp add: power2-diff power-mult-distrib)
    also have (x * \sin t + y * \cos t)^2 = y^2 * (\cos t)^2 + x^2 * (\sin t)^2 + 2 * (x * \cos t)^2 + x^2 * (\sin t)^2 + 2 * (x * \cos t)^2 + x^2 * (\sin t)^2 + 2 * (x * \cos t)^2 + x^2 * (\sin t)^2 + x^
cos\ t) * (y * sin\ t)
       by(simp add: power2-sum power-mult-distrib)
    ultimately show (x * cos t - y * sin t)^2 + (x * sin t + y * cos t)^2 = x^2 + y^2
     by (simp add: Groups.mult-ac(2) Groups.mult-ac(3) right-diff-distrib sin-squared-eq)
    thus (x * \cos t + y * \sin t)^2 + (y * \cos t - x * \sin t)^2 = x^2 + y^2
       by (simp add: add.commute add.left-commute power2-diff power2-sum)
\mathbf{qed}
0.1.4
                      Single variable derivatives
notation has-derivative ((1(D - \mapsto (-))/ -) [65,65] 61)
notation has-vderiv-on ((1 D - = (-)/ on -) [65,65] 61)
notation norm ((1||-||) [65] 61)
lemma exp-scaleR-has-derivative-right[derivative-intros]:
   fixes f::real \Rightarrow real
   assumes D f \mapsto f' at x within s and (\lambda h. f' h *_R (exp (f x *_R A) *_A)) = g'
    shows D(\lambda x. exp(fx *_R A)) \mapsto g' at x within s
    from assms have bounded-linear f' by auto
    with real-bounded-linear obtain m where f': f' = (\lambda h. h * m) by blast
   show ?thesis
        using vector-diff-chain-within [OF - exp-scaleR-has-vector-derivative-right, of f
m \times s A
           assms f' by (auto simp: has-vector-derivative-def o-def)
qed
named-theorems poly-derivatives compilation of optimised miscellaneous deriva-
tive rules.
declare has-vderiv-on-const [poly-derivatives]
       and has-vderiv-on-id [poly-derivatives]
       and derivative-intros(191) [poly-derivatives]
       and derivative-intros(192) [poly-derivatives]
       and derivative-intros(194) [poly-derivatives]
lemma has-vderiv-on-compose-eq:
    assumes D f = f' on g ' T
       and D g = g' on T
       and h = (\lambda x. g' x *_R f'(g x))
```

```
shows D(\lambda t. f(g t)) = h \ on \ T
 apply(subst\ ssubst[of\ h],\ simp)
 using assms has-vderiv-on-compose by auto
lemma vderiv-on-compose-add [derivative-intros]:
 assumes D x = x' on (\lambda \tau. \tau + t) ' T
 shows D(\lambda \tau. x(\tau + t)) = (\lambda \tau. x'(\tau + t)) on T
 apply(rule has-vderiv-on-compose-eq[OF assms])
 by(auto intro: derivative-intros)
lemma has-vector-derivative-mult-const [derivative-intros]:
 ((*) a has-vector-derivative a) F
 by (auto intro: derivative-eq-intros)
lemma has-derivative-mult-const [derivative-intros]: D (*) a \mapsto (\lambda x. \ x *_R a) \ F
  using has-vector-derivative-mult-const unfolding has-vector-derivative-def by
simp
lemma has-vderiv-on-mult-const: D (*) a = (\lambda x. \ a) on T
 using has-vector-derivative-mult-const unfolding has-vderiv-on-def by auto
lemma has-vderiv-on-divide-cnst: a \neq 0 \Longrightarrow D(\lambda t. t/a) = (\lambda t. 1/a) on T
 unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def apply clarify
 apply(rule-tac f'1=\lambda t. t and g'1=\lambda x. 0 in derivative-eq-intros(18))
 by(auto intro: derivative-eq-intros)
lemma has-vderiv-on-power: n \geq 1 \Longrightarrow D \ (\lambda t. \ t \ \hat{\ } n) = (\lambda t. \ n * (t \ \hat{\ } (n-1)))
on T
 unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def apply clarify
 by (rule-tac f'1=\lambda t. t in derivative-eq-intros(15)) auto
lemma has-vderiv-on-exp: D(\lambda t. exp t) = (\lambda t. exp t) on T
 unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def by (auto intro: derivative-intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{has-vderiv-on-cos-comp}\colon
 D (f::real \Rightarrow real) = f' \text{ on } T \Longrightarrow D (\lambda t. \cos (f t)) = (\lambda t. - (f' t) * \sin (f t))
on T
 apply(rule\ has-vderiv-on-compose-eq[of\ \lambda t.\ cos\ t])
 unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def apply clarify
 by(auto intro!: derivative-eq-intros simp: fun-eq-iff)
lemma has-vderiv-on-sin-comp:
 D(f::real \Rightarrow real) = f' \text{ on } T \Longrightarrow D(\lambda t. \sin(f t)) = (\lambda t. (f' t) * \cos(f t)) \text{ on } T
 apply(rule\ has-vderiv-on-compose-eq[of\ \lambda t.\ sin\ t])
 unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def apply clarify
 by(auto intro!: derivative-eq-intros simp: fun-eq-iff)
lemma has-vderiv-on-exp-comp:
  D(f::real \Rightarrow real) = f' \text{ on } T \Longrightarrow D(\lambda t. exp(ft)) = (\lambda t. (f't) * exp(ft)) \text{ on}
```

```
apply(rule\ has-vderiv-on-compose-eq[of\ \lambda t.\ exp\ t])
 by (rule has-vderiv-on-exp, simp-all add: mult.commute)
lemma vderiv-uminus-intro[poly-derivatives]:
 D f = f' \text{ on } T \Longrightarrow q = (\lambda t. - f' t) \Longrightarrow D (\lambda t. - f t) = q \text{ on } T
 using has-vderiv-on-uminus by auto
lemma vderiv-div-cnst-intro[poly-derivatives]:
 assumes (a::real) \neq 0 and Df = f' on T and g = (\lambda t. (f't)/a)
 shows D(\lambda t. (f t)/a) = g \ on \ T
 apply(rule has-vderiv-on-compose-eq[of \lambda t. t/a \lambda t. 1/a])
 using assms by (auto intro: has-vderiv-on-divide-cnst)
lemma vderiv-npow-intro[poly-derivatives]:
  fixes f::real \Rightarrow real
 assumes n \ge 1 and D f = f' on T and g = (\lambda t. n * (f' t) * (f t) \hat{} (n-1))
 shows D(\lambda t. (f t) \hat{n}) = g \ on \ T
 apply(rule has-vderiv-on-compose-eq[of \lambda t. t^n])
 using assms(1) apply(rule has-vderiv-on-power)
 using assms by auto
lemma vderiv-cos-intro[poly-derivatives]:
 assumes D(f::real \Rightarrow real) = f' \text{ on } T \text{ and } g = (\lambda t. - (f' t) * sin (f t))
 shows D(\lambda t. cos(f t)) = g on T
 using assms and has-vderiv-on-cos-comp by auto
lemma vderiv-sin-intro[poly-derivatives]:
 assumes D(f::real \Rightarrow real) = f' \text{ on } T \text{ and } q = (\lambda t. (f' t) * cos (f t))
 shows D(\lambda t. \sin(f t)) = g \text{ on } T
 using assms and has-vderiv-on-sin-comp by auto
lemma vderiv-exp-intro[poly-derivatives]:
 assumes D(f::real \Rightarrow real) = f' \text{ on } T \text{ and } g = (\lambda t. (f' t) * exp(f t))
 shows D(\lambda t. exp(f t)) = g on T
 using assms and has-vderiv-on-exp-comp by auto
— Examples for checking derivatives
lemma D(\lambda t. \ a * t^2 / 2 + v * t + x) = (\lambda t. \ a * t + v) \ on \ T
 by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives)
lemma D(\lambda t. \ v * t - a * t^2 / 2 + x) = (\lambda x. \ v - a * x) \ on \ T
 by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives)
lemma c \neq 0 \Longrightarrow D (\lambda t. \ a5 * t^5 + a3 * (t^3 / c) - a2 * exp (t^2) + a1 *
cos t + a\theta) =
 (\lambda t. \ 5 * a5 * t^4 + 3 * a3 * (t^2 / c) - 2 * a2 * t * exp (t^2) - a1 * sin t)
on T
```

```
by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives)
lemma c \neq 0 \Longrightarrow D(\lambda t. - a3 * exp(t^3 / c) + a1 * sin t + a2 * t^2) =
 (\lambda t. \ a1 * cos \ t + 2 * a2 * t - 3 * a3 * t^2 / c * exp \ (t^3 / c)) \ on \ T
 apply(intro poly-derivatives)
 using poly-derivatives (1,2) by force+
lemma c \neq 0 \Longrightarrow D (\lambda t. exp (a * sin (cos (t^4) / c))) =
(\lambda t. - 4 * a * t^3 * sin (t^4) / c * cos (cos (t^4) / c) * exp (a * sin (cos (t^4)) / c)
(c) on T
 apply(intro poly-derivatives)
 using poly-derivatives (1,2) by force+
0.1.5
          Filters
{f lemma} eventually-at-within-mono:
 assumes t \in interior \ T and T \subseteq S
   and eventually P (at t within T)
 shows eventually P (at t within S)
 by (meson assms eventually-within-interior interior-mono subsetD)
lemma netlimit-at-within-mono:
 fixes t::'a::\{perfect\text{-}space, t2\text{-}space\}
 assumes t \in interior \ T and T \subseteq S
 shows netlimit (at t within S) = t
 using assms(1) interior-mono[OF \langle T \subseteq S \rangle] netlimit-within-interior by auto
lemma\ has-derivative-at-within-mono:
 assumes (t::real) \in interior \ T \ and \ T \subseteq S
   and D f \mapsto f' at t within T
 shows D f \mapsto f' at t within S
 using assms(3) apply(unfold has-derivative-def tendsto-iff, safe)
 unfolding net limit-at-within-mono[OF\ assms(1,2)]\ net limit-within-interior[OF\ assms(1,2)]
assms(1)
 by (rule eventually-at-within-mono[OF assms(1,2)]) simp
lemma eventually-all-finite2:
 fixes P :: ('a::finite) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool
 assumes h: \forall i. eventually (P \ i) \ F
 shows eventually (\lambda x. \ \forall i. \ P \ i \ x) \ F
proof(unfold eventually-def)
 let ?F = Rep\text{-filter } F
 have obs: \forall i. ?F (P i)
   using h by auto
 have ?F(\lambda x. \forall i \in UNIV. P i x)
   apply(rule\ finite-induct)
   \mathbf{by}(auto\ intro:\ eventually\text{-}conj\ simp:\ obs\ h)
 thus ?F(\lambda x. \forall i. Pix)
   by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma eventually-all-finite-mono: fixes P::('a::finite)\Rightarrow 'b\Rightarrow bool assumes h1:\forall i. eventually (Pi) F and h2:\forall x. (\forall i. (Pix))\longrightarrow Qx shows eventually QF proof—
have eventually (\lambda x. \forall i. Pix) F using h1 eventually-all-finite2 by blast thus eventually QF unfolding eventually-def using h2 eventually-mono by auto qed
```

0.1.6 Multivariable derivatives

```
\mathbf{lemma} frechet-vec-lambda:
  fixes f::real \Rightarrow ('a::banach) \hat{\ } ('m::finite) and x::real and T::real set
  defines x_0 \equiv netlimit (at x within T) and m \equiv real \ CARD('m)
  assumes \forall i. ((\lambda y. (f y \$ i - f x_0 \$ i - (y - x_0) *_R f' x \$ i) /_R (||y - x_0||))
    \rightarrow \theta) (at x within T)
  shows ((\lambda y. (f y - f x_0 - (y - x_0) *_R f' x) /_R (||y - x_0||)) \longrightarrow \theta) (at x
within T)
proof(simp add: tendsto-iff, clarify)
  fix \varepsilon::real assume 0 < \varepsilon
  let ?\Delta = \lambda y. y - x_0 and ?\Delta f = \lambda y. f y - f x_0
 \mathbf{let} \ ?P = \lambda i \ e \ y. \ inverse \ |?\Delta \ y| * (\|f \ y \ \$ \ i - f \ x_0 \ \$ \ i - ?\Delta \ y \ *_R \ f' \ x \ \$ \ i\|) < e
    and Q = \lambda y. inverse |Q \Delta y| * (||Q \Delta f y - |Q \Delta y| *_R f' x||) < \varepsilon
  have 0 < \varepsilon / sqrt m
    using \langle \theta < \varepsilon \rangle by (auto simp: assms)
  hence \forall i. eventually (\lambda y. ?P \ i \ (\varepsilon \ / \ sqrt \ m) \ y) \ (at \ x \ within \ T)
    using assms unfolding tendsto-iff by simp
  thus eventually ?Q (at x within T)
 proof(rule eventually-all-finite-mono, simp add: norm-vec-def L2-set-def, clarify)
    \mathbf{fix} \ t :: real
    let ?c = inverse |t - x_0| and ?u |t = \lambda i. ft $ i - fx_0 $ i - ?\Delta |t *_R f' |x $ i
    assume hyp: \forall i. ?c * (\|?u \ t \ i\|) < \varepsilon / sqrt \ m
    hence \forall i. (?c *_R (||?u \ t \ i||))^2 < (\varepsilon / sqrt \ m)^2
      by (simp add: power-strict-mono)
    hence \forall i. ?c^2 * ((||?u \ t \ i||))^2 < \varepsilon^2 / m
      by (simp add: power-mult-distrib power-divide assms)
    hence \forall i. ?c^2 * ((\|?u \ t \ i\|))^2 < \varepsilon^2 / m
      by (auto simp: assms)
    also have (\{\}::'m\ set) \neq UNIV \land finite\ (UNIV\ ::\ 'm\ set)
      by simp
    ultimately have (\sum i \in UNIV. ?c^2 * ((||?u \ t \ i||))^2) < (\sum (i::'m) \in UNIV. \varepsilon^2 / (i))^2
      by (metis (lifting) sum-strict-mono)
```

```
moreover have ?c^2 * (\sum i \in UNIV. (||?u \ t \ i||)^2) = (\sum i \in UNIV. ?c^2 * (||?u \ t
|i||)^2)
      using sum-distrib-left by blast
    ultimately have ?c^2 * (\sum i \in UNIV. (||?u \ t \ i||)^2) < \varepsilon^2
      by (simp add: assms)
    hence sqrt \ (?c^2 * (\sum i \in UNIV. (||?u \ t \ i||)^2)) < sqrt \ (\varepsilon^2)
      using real-sqrt-less-iff by blast
    also have \dots = \varepsilon
      using \langle \theta < \varepsilon \rangle by auto
   moreover have ?c * sqrt (\sum i \in UNIV. (||?u t i||)^2) = sqrt (?c^2 * (\sum i \in UNIV.
(\|?u\ t\ i\|)^2)
      by (simp add: real-sqrt-mult)
    ultimately show ?c * sqrt (\sum i \in UNIV. (||?u \ t \ i||)^2) < \varepsilon
      by simp
 \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma frechet-vec-nth:
  fixes f::real \Rightarrow ('a::real-normed-vector) \ 'm and x::real and T::real set
  defines x_0 \equiv netlimit (at x within T)
  assumes ((\lambda y. (f y - f x_0 - (y - x_0) *_R f' x) /_R (||y - x_0||)) \longrightarrow 0) (at x
within T)
  shows ((\lambda y. (f y \$ i - f x_0 \$ i - (y - x_0) *_R f' x \$ i) /_R (||y - x_0||)) \longrightarrow
\theta) (at x within T)
proof(unfold tendsto-iff dist-norm, clarify)
  let ?\Delta = \lambda y. y - x_0 and ?\Delta f = \lambda y. f y - f x_0
  fix \varepsilon::real assume \theta < \varepsilon
  let P = \lambda y. \|(P \Delta f y - P \Delta y *_R f' x)/_R (\|P \Delta y\|) - \theta\| < \varepsilon
  and Q = \lambda y. \|(fy \ \ i - fx_0 \ \ i - Q\Delta \ \ y *_R f'x \ \ i) /_R (\|Q\Delta \ \ y\|) - \theta\| < \varepsilon
  have eventually ?P (at x within T)
    using \langle \theta < \varepsilon \rangle assms unfolding tendsto-iff by auto
  thus eventually ?Q (at x within T)
  \mathbf{proof}(rule\text{-}tac\ P=?P\ \mathbf{in}\ eventually\text{-}mono,\ simp\text{-}all)
    let ?u \ y \ i = f \ y \ \$ \ i - f \ x_0 \ \$ \ i - ?\Delta \ y \ *_R f' \ x \ \$ \ i
    fix y assume hyp:inverse |?\Delta y| * (||?\Delta f y - ?\Delta y *_R f' x||) < \varepsilon
    have \|(?\Delta f y - ?\Delta y *_R f' x) \$ i\| \le \|?\Delta f y - ?\Delta y *_R f' x\|
      using Finite-Cartesian-Product.norm-nth-le by blast
    also have \|?u\ y\ i\| = \|(?\Delta f\ y - ?\Delta\ y *_R f'\ x) \ \|
      by simp
    ultimately have \|?u\ y\ i\| \leq \|?\Delta f\ y - ?\Delta\ y *_R f'\ x\|
    hence inverse |?\Delta y| * (||?u y i||) \le inverse |?\Delta y| * (||?\Delta f y - ?\Delta y *_R f')
x||)
      by (simp add: mult-left-mono)
    thus inverse |?\Delta y| * (||fy \$ i - fx_0 \$ i - ?\Delta y *_R f'x \$ i||) < \varepsilon
      using hyp by linarith
  qed
qed
```

```
lemma has-derivative-vec-lambda:
 fixes f::real \Rightarrow ('a::banach) \hat{\ } ('n::finite)
 assumes \forall i. D (\lambda t. f t \$ i) \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_R f' x \$ i) (at x within T)
 shows D f \mapsto (\lambda h. \ h *_R f' x) at x within T
 apply(unfold has-derivative-def, safe)
  apply(force simp: bounded-linear-def bounded-linear-axioms-def)
 using assms frechet-vec-lambda[of x T ] unfolding has-derivative-def by auto
lemma has-derivative-vec-nth:
  assumes D f \mapsto (\lambda h. \ h *_R f' x) at x within T
 shows D (\lambda t. f t \$ i) \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_R f' x \$ i) at x within T
 apply(unfold\ has-derivative-def,\ safe)
  apply(force simp: bounded-linear-def bounded-linear-axioms-def)
 using frechet-vec-nth[of x T f] assms unfolding has-derivative-def by auto
lemma has-vderiv-on-vec-eq[simp]:
  fixes x::real \Rightarrow ('a::banach) \hat{\ } ('n::finite)
 shows (D \ x = x' \ on \ T) = (\forall i. \ D \ (\lambda t. \ x \ t \ \$ \ i) = (\lambda t. \ x' \ t \ \$ \ i) \ on \ T)
 unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def apply safe
 using has-derivative-vec-nth has-derivative-vec-lambda by blast+
```

0.2 Ordinary Differential Equations

Vector fields $f::real \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a::real-normed-vector)$ represent systems of ordinary differential equations (ODEs). Picard-Lindeloef's theorem guarantees existence and uniqueness of local solutions to initial value problems involving Lipschitz continuous vector fields. A (local) flow $\varphi::real \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a::real-normed-vector)$ for such a system is the function that maps initial conditions to their unique solutions. In dynamical systems, the set of all points φ t s::'a for a fixed s::'a is the flow's orbit. If the orbit of each $s \in I$ is conatined in I, then I is an invariant set of this system. This section formalises these concepts with a focus on hybrid systems (HS) verification.

```
theory hs-prelims-dyn-sys
imports hs-prelims
begin
```

end

0.2.1 Initial value problems and orbits

```
notation image (P)

lemma image-le-pred[simp]: (P \ f \ A \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}) = (\forall x \in A. \ G \ (f \ x))

unfolding image-def by force

definition ivp-sols :: (real \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a::real-normed-vector)) \Rightarrow real \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set
```

```
real \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow (real \Rightarrow 'a) \ set \ (Sols)
  where Sols f T S t_0 s = {X | X. (D X = (\lambda t. f t (X t)) on T) \land X t_0 = s \land X
\in T \to S
lemma ivp-solsI:
  assumes D X = (\lambda t. f t (X t)) on T X t_0 = s X \in T \rightarrow S
  shows X \in Sols f T S t_0 s
  using assms unfolding ivp-sols-def by blast
lemma ivp-solsD:
  assumes X \in Sols f T S t_0 s
  shows D X = (\lambda t. f t (X t)) on T
    and X t_0 = s and X \in T \to S
  using assms unfolding ivp-sols-def by auto
abbreviation down T t \equiv \{\tau \in T . \tau \leq t\}
definition g-orbit :: (('a::ord) \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set \ (\gamma)
  where \gamma \ X \ G \ T = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \mid t. \ \mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{ s. \ G \ s \} \}
lemma g-orbit-eq:
  fixes X::('a::preorder) \Rightarrow 'b
  shows \gamma X G T = \{X t \mid t. t \in T \land (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (X \ \tau))\}
  unfolding g-orbit-def apply safe
  using le-left-mono by blast auto
lemma \gamma X (\lambda s. True) T = \{X t | t. t \in T\} \text{ for } X::('a::preorder) \Rightarrow 'b
  unfolding g-orbit-eq by simp
definition g-orbital :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow real \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow
  ('a::real-normed-vector) \Rightarrow 'a set
  where g-orbital f G T S t_0 s = \bigcup \{ \gamma X G T | X. X \in ivp\text{-sols } (\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s \}
lemma g-orbital-eq: g-orbital f G T S t_0 s =
  \{X\ t\ | t\ X.\ t\in T\ \land\ \mathcal{P}\ X\ (\textit{down}\ T\ t)\subseteq \{s.\ G\ s\}\ \land\ X\in \textit{Sols}\ (\lambda t.\ f)\ T\ S\ t_0\ s\ \}
  unfolding g-orbital-def ivp-sols-def g-orbit-eq image-le-pred by auto
lemma g-orbital f G T S t_0 s =
  \{X\ t\ | t\ X.\ t\in T\ \land\ (D\ X=(f\circ X)\ on\ T)\ \land\ X\ t_0=s\ \land\ X\in\ T\ \rightarrow\ S\ \land\ (\mathcal{P}\ X)
(down\ T\ t) \subseteq \{s.\ G\ s\}\}
  unfolding g-orbital-eq ivp-sols-def by auto
lemma g-orbital f G T S t_0 s = (\bigcup X \in Sols (\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s. \gamma X G T)
  unfolding g-orbital-def ivp-sols-def g-orbit-eq by auto
lemma g-orbitalI:
  assumes X \in Sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
    and t \in T and (\mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\})
  shows X t \in g-orbital f G T S t_0 s
```

```
using assms unfolding g-orbital-eq(1) by auto
```

```
lemma q-orbitalD:
 assumes s' \in g-orbital f G T S t_0 s
 obtains X and t where X \in Sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
 and X t = s' and t \in T and (\mathcal{P} X (down T t) \subseteq \{s. G s\})
 using assms unfolding g-orbital-def g-orbit-eq by auto
no-notation g-orbit (\gamma)
0.2.2
           Differential Invariants
definition diff-invariant :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (('a::real-normed-vector) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow real
set \Rightarrow
  'a \ set \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool
 where diff-invariant If\ T\ S\ t_0\ G \equiv (\bigcup\ \circ\ (\mathcal{P}\ (g\text{-orbital}\ f\ G\ T\ S\ t_0)))\ \{s.\ I\ s\}\subseteq
\{s.\ I\ s\}
lemma diff-invariant-eq: diff-invariant I f T S t_0 G =
 (\forall s.\ I\ s \longrightarrow (\forall X \in Sols\ (\lambda t.\ f)\ T\ S\ t_0\ s.\ (\forall\ t \in T.(\forall\ \tau \in (down\ T\ t).\ G\ (X\ \tau)) \longrightarrow
I(X(t)))
 unfolding diff-invariant-def q-orbital-eq image-le-pred by auto
lemma diff-inv-eq-inv-set:
  diff-invariant I f T S t_0 G = (\forall s. I s \longrightarrow (g\text{-}orbital f G T S t_0 s) \subseteq \{s. I s\})
  unfolding diff-invariant-eq g-orbital-eq image-le-pred by auto
named-theorems diff-invariant-rules rules for obtainin differential invariants.
lemma [diff-invariant-rules]:
 assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
    and \forall X. (D X = (\lambda \tau. f(X \tau)) \text{ on } T) \longrightarrow (D(\lambda \tau. \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau)) =
((*_R) \ \theta) \ on \ T)
 shows diff-invariant (\lambda s.\ \mu\ s=\nu\ s) f T S t_0 G
proof(simp add: diff-invariant-eq ivp-sols-def, clarsimp)
 fix X \tau assume tHyp:\tau \in T and x-ivp:D X=(\lambda \tau. f\ (X\ \tau)) on T \mu (X\ t_0)=
\nu (X t_0)
 hence obs1: \forall t \in T. D(\lambda \tau. \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau)) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R \theta) at t within T
    using assms by (auto simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
 have obs2: \{t_0 - \tau\} \subseteq T
    using closed-segment-subset-interval tHyp Thyp by blast
 hence D(\lambda \tau. \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau)) = (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R \theta) \text{ on } \{t_0 - \tau\}
    using obs1 x-ivp by (auto intro!: has-derivative-subset[OF - obs2]
        simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
  then obtain t where t \in \{t_0 - \tau\} and \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau) - (\mu(X t_0) - \nu(X \tau))
(X t_0) = (\tau - t_0) * t *_R \theta
```

using mvt-very-simple-closed-segmentE by blast

thus μ $(X \tau) = \nu$ $(X \tau)$ by $(simp \ add: x-ivp(2))$

qed

lemma [diff-invariant-rules]:

```
lemma [diff-invariant-rules]:
  fixes \mu::'a::banach \Rightarrow real
  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
    and \forall X. (D X = (\lambda \tau. f(X \tau)) \ on \ T) \longrightarrow (\forall \tau \in T. (\tau > t_0 \longrightarrow \mu'(X \tau) \ge t_0))
\nu'(X \tau) \wedge
(\tau < t_0 \longrightarrow \mu'(X \tau) \le \nu'(X \tau))) \land (D(\lambda \tau. \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau)) = (\lambda \tau. \mu'(X \tau))
\tau) - \nu'(X \tau)) on T)
  shows diff-invariant (\lambda s. \ \nu \ s \leq \mu \ s) \ f \ T \ S \ t_0 \ G
proof(simp add: diff-invariant-eq ivp-sols-def, clarsimp)
  fix X \tau assume \tau \in T and x-ivp:D X = (\lambda \tau. f(X \tau)) on T \nu(X t_0) \leq \mu(X t_0)
t_0
  {assume \tau \neq t_0
  hence primed: \land \tau. \tau \in T \Longrightarrow \tau > t_0 \Longrightarrow \mu'(X \tau) \ge \nu'(X \tau)
    \bigwedge \tau. \ \tau \in T \Longrightarrow \tau < t_0 \Longrightarrow \mu'(X \ \tau) \le \nu'(X \ \tau)
    using x-ivp assms by auto
  have obs1: \forall t \in T. D(\lambda \tau. \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau)) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X \tau)))
t))) at t within T
    using assms x-ivp by (auto simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
  have obs2: \{t_0 < -- < \tau\} \subseteq T \{t_0 - -\tau\} \subseteq T
    using \langle \tau \in T \rangle Thyp \langle \tau \neq t_0 \rangle by (auto simp: convex-contains-open-segment
         is-interval-convex-1 closed-segment-subset-interval)
  hence D\left(\lambda\tau.\ \mu\ (X\ \tau)-\nu\ (X\ \tau)\right)=\left(\lambda\tau.\ \mu'\ (X\ \tau)-\nu'\ (X\ \tau)\right)\ on\ \{t_0--\tau\}
    using obs1 x-ivp by (auto intro!: has-derivative-subset [OF - obs2(2)]
         simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
  then obtain t where t \in \{t_0 < -- < \tau\} and
    (\mu (X \tau) - \nu (X \tau)) - (\mu (X t_0) - \nu (X t_0)) = (\lambda \tau. \tau * (\mu' (X t) - \nu' (X t_0)))
(t))) (\tau - t_0)
    using mvt-simple-closed-segmentE \langle \tau \neq t_0 \rangle by blast
 hence mvt: \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau) = (\tau - t_0) * (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t)) + (\mu(X t_0))
-\nu (X t_0)
    by force
  have \tau > t_0 \Longrightarrow t > t_0 \neg t_0 \le \tau \Longrightarrow t < t_0 \ t \in T
    using \langle t \in \{t_0 < -- < \tau\} \rangle obs2 unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl by auto
  moreover have t > t_0 \Longrightarrow (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t)) \ge 0 \ t < t_0 \Longrightarrow (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t))
\nu'(X t) \leq \theta
    using primed(1,2)[OF \langle t \in T \rangle] by auto
  ultimately have (\tau - t_0) * (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t)) \ge 0
    apply(case-tac \tau \geq t_0) by (force, auto simp: split-mult-pos-le)
  hence (\tau - t_0) * (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t)) + (\mu(X t_0) - \nu(X t_0)) \ge 0
    using x-ivp(2) by auto
  hence \nu (X \tau) \leq \mu (X \tau)
    using mvt by simp
  thus \nu (X \tau) \leq \mu (X \tau)
    using x-ivp by blast
```

```
fixes \mu::'a::banach \Rightarrow real
  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
    and \forall X. (D X = (\lambda \tau. f(X \tau)) \ on \ T) \longrightarrow (\forall \tau \in T. (\tau > t_0 \longrightarrow \mu'(X \tau) \ge \tau)
(\tau < t_0 \longrightarrow \mu'(X \tau) \le \nu'(X \tau))) \land (D(\lambda \tau. \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau)) = (\lambda \tau. \mu'(X \tau))
\tau) - \nu' (X \tau)) on T)
  shows diff-invariant (\lambda s. \ \nu \ s < \mu \ s) f T S t_0 G
proof(simp add: diff-invariant-eq ivp-sols-def, clarsimp)
  fix X \tau assume \tau \in T and x-ivp: DX = (\lambda \tau. f(X \tau)) on T \nu(X t_0) < \mu(X t_0)
t_0
  {assume \tau \neq t_0
  hence primed: \land \tau. \tau \in T \Longrightarrow \tau > t_0 \Longrightarrow \mu'(X \tau) \ge \nu'(X \tau)
    \wedge \tau. \ \tau \in T \Longrightarrow \tau < t_0 \Longrightarrow \mu'(X \ \tau) \le \nu'(X \ \tau)
    using x-ivp assms by auto
  have obs1: \forall t \in T. D(\lambda \tau. \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau)) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X \tau)))
t))) at t within T
    using assms x-ivp by (auto simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
  have obs2: \{t_0 < -- < \tau\} \subseteq T \{t_0 - -\tau\} \subseteq T
    using \langle \tau \in T \rangle Thyp \langle \tau \neq t_0 \rangle by (auto simp: convex-contains-open-segment
        is-interval-convex-1 closed-segment-subset-interval)
  hence D(\lambda \tau. \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau)) = (\lambda \tau. \mu'(X \tau) - \nu'(X \tau)) on \{t_0 - \tau\}
    using obs1 x-ivp by (auto intro!: has-derivative-subset[OF - obs2(2)]
        simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
  then obtain t where t \in \{t_0 < -- < \tau\} and
    (\mu (X \tau) - \nu (X \tau)) - (\mu (X t_0) - \nu (X t_0)) = (\lambda \tau. \tau * (\mu' (X t) - \nu' (X t_0)))
(t))) (\tau - t_0)
    using mvt-simple-closed-segmentE \ \langle \tau \neq t_0 \rangle by blast
  hence mvt: \mu(X \tau) - \nu(X \tau) = (\tau - t_0) * (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t)) + (\mu(X t_0))
-\nu (X t_0)
    by force
  have \tau > t_0 \Longrightarrow t > t_0 \neg t_0 \le \tau \Longrightarrow t < t_0 \ t \in T
    using \langle t \in \{t_0 < -- < \tau\} \rangle obs2 unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl by auto
  moreover have t > t_0 \Longrightarrow (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t)) \ge 0 \ t < t_0 \Longrightarrow (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t))
\nu'(X t) \leq \theta
    using primed(1,2)[OF \langle t \in T \rangle] by auto
  ultimately have (\tau - t_0) * (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t)) \ge 0
    apply(case-tac \tau \geq t_0) by (force, auto simp: split-mult-pos-le)
  hence (\tau - t_0) * (\mu'(X t) - \nu'(X t)) + (\mu(X t_0) - \nu(X t_0)) > 0
    using x-ivp(2) by auto
  hence \nu (X \tau) < \mu (X \tau)
    using mvt by simp}
  thus \nu (X \tau) < \mu (X \tau)
    using x-ivp by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ [\textit{diff-invariant-rules}]:
assumes diff-invariant I_1 f T S t_0 G
    and diff-invariant I_2 f T S t_0 G
shows diff-invariant (\lambda s. I_1 s \wedge I_2 s) f T S t_0 G
```

```
using assms unfolding diff-invariant-def by auto
```

```
lemma [diff-invariant-rules]: assumes diff-invariant I_1 f T S t_0 G and diff-invariant I_2 f T S t_0 G shows diff-invariant (\lambda s.\ I_1\ s\lor I_2\ s) f T S t_0 G using assms unfolding diff-invariant-def by auto
```

0.2.3 Picard-Lindeloef

A locale with the assumptions of Picard-Lindeloef theorem. It extends ll-on-open-it by providing an initial time $t_0 \in T$.

```
locale picard-lindeloef =
  fixes f::real \Rightarrow ('a::\{heine-borel,banach\}) \Rightarrow 'a and T::real set and S::'a set
and t_0::real
  assumes open-domain: open T open S
   and interval-time: is-interval T
   and init-time: t_0 \in T
   and cont-vec-field: \forall s \in S. continuous-on T(\lambda t. f t s)
   and lipschitz-vec-field: local-lipschitz T S f
begin
sublocale ll-on-open-it T f S t_0
 by (unfold-locales) (auto simp: cont-vec-field lipschitz-vec-field interval-time open-domain)
\mathbf{lemmas}\ subinterval I = closed\text{-}segment\text{-}subset\text{-}domain
lemma csols-eq: csols t_0 s = \{(X, t), t \in T \land X \in Sols f \{t_0 - -t\} S t_0 s\}
  unfolding ivp-sols-def csols-def solves-ode-def using subintervalI[OF init-time]
by auto
abbreviation ex\text{-}ivl \ s \equiv existence\text{-}ivl \ t_0 \ s
lemma unique-solution:
 assumes xivp: D X = (\lambda t. f t (X t)) on \{t_0 - -t\} X t_0 = s X \in \{t_0 - -t\} \rightarrow S
   and yivp: D Y = (\lambda t. ft (Y t)) on \{t_0 - t\} Y t_0 = s Y \in \{t_0 - t\} \rightarrow S and
  shows X t = Y t
proof-
  have (X, t) \in csols t_0 s
    using xivp \langle t \in T \rangle unfolding csols-eq ivp-sols-def by auto
  hence ivl-fact: \{t_0--t\}\subseteq ex-ivl s
    unfolding existence-ivl-def by auto
 have obs: \bigwedge z \ T'. t_0 \in T' \land is-interval T' \land T' \subseteq ex-ivl s \land (z \ solves - ode \ f) \ T'
  z \ t_0 = flow \ t_0 \ s \ t_0 \Longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T'. \ z \ t = flow \ t_0 \ s \ t)
```

using flow-usolves-ode $[OF\ init$ -time $\langle s \in S \rangle]$ unfolding usolves-ode-from-def

```
by blast
  have \forall \tau \in \{t_0 - -t\}. X \tau = flow t_0 s \tau
    using obs[of \{t_0--t\} X] xivp ivl-fact flow-initial-time[OF init-time (s \in S)]
    unfolding solves-ode-def by simp
  also have \forall \tau \in \{t_0 - t\}. Y \tau = flow t_0 s \tau
    using obs[of \{t_0--t\} \ Y] yivp ivl-fact flow-initial-time[OF init-time \langle s \in S \rangle]
    unfolding solves-ode-def by simp
  ultimately show X t = Y t
    by auto
qed
lemma solution-eq-flow:
  assumes xivp: D X = (\lambda t. f t (X t)) on ex-ivl s X t_0 = s X \in ex\text{-ivl } s \to S
    and t \in ex\text{-}ivl \ s \text{ and } s \in S
  shows X t = flow t_0 s t
proof-
  have obs: \bigwedge z \ T'. t_0 \in T' \land is-interval T' \land T' \subseteq ex-ivl s \land (z \ solves - ode \ f) \ T'
  z \ t_0 = flow \ t_0 \ s \ t_0 \Longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T'. \ z \ t = flow \ t_0 \ s \ t)
     using flow-usolves-ode [OF init-time \langle s \in S \rangle] unfolding usolves-ode-from-def
  have \forall \tau \in ex\text{-}ivl \ s. \ X \ \tau = flow \ t_0 \ s \ \tau
    using obs[of\ ex-ivl\ s\ X]\ existence-ivl-initial-time[OF\ init-time\ (s\in S)]
      xivp\ flow-initial-time[OF\ init-time\ \langle s\in S\rangle]\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ solves-ode-def\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
  thus X t = flow t_0 s t
    by (auto simp: \langle t \in ex\text{-ivl } s \rangle)
qed
end
lemma local-lipschitz-add:
  fixes f1 f2 :: real \Rightarrow 'a :: banach \Rightarrow 'a
  assumes local-lipschitz T S f1
       and local-lipschitz T S f2
    shows local-lipschitz T S (\lambda t s. f1 t s + f2 t s)
proof(unfold local-lipschitz-def, clarsimp)
  fix s and t assume s \in S and t \in T
  obtain \varepsilon_1 L1 where \varepsilon_1 > 0 and L1: \bigwedge \tau. \tau \in cball\ t\ \varepsilon_1 \cap T \Longrightarrow L1-lipschitz-on
(cball\ s\ \varepsilon_1\cap S)\ (f1\ \tau)
    using local-lipschitzE[OF\ assms(1)\ \langle t\in T\rangle\ \langle s\in S\rangle] by blast
  obtain \varepsilon_2 L2 where \varepsilon_2 > 0 and L2: \bigwedge \tau. \tau \in cball\ t\ \varepsilon_2 \cap T \Longrightarrow L2-lipschitz-on
(cball\ s\ \varepsilon_2\cap S)\ (f2\ \tau)
    using local-lipschitzE[OF\ assms(2)\ \langle t\in T\rangle\ \langle s\in S\rangle] by blast
  have ballH: cball s (min \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2) \cap S \subseteq cball s \varepsilon_1 \cap S cball s (min \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2) \cap S \subseteq
cball\ s\ \varepsilon_2\cap S
    by auto
  have obs1: \forall \tau \in cball \ t \ \varepsilon_1 \cap T. \ L1-lipschitz-on \ (cball \ s \ (min \ \varepsilon_1 \ \varepsilon_2) \cap S) \ (f1 \ \tau)
    using lipschitz-on-subset[OF L1 ballH(1)] by blast
  also have obs2: \forall \tau \in cball \ t \ \varepsilon_2 \cap T. \ L2-lipschitz-on \ (cball \ s \ (min \ \varepsilon_1 \ \varepsilon_2) \cap S)
```

```
(f2 \tau)
    using lipschitz-on-subset [OF L2 ballH(2)] by blast
  ultimately have \forall \tau \in cball \ t \ (min \ \varepsilon_1 \ \varepsilon_2) \cap T.
    (L1 + L2)-lipschitz-on (cball s (min \varepsilon_1 \ \varepsilon_2) \cap S) (\lambda s. \ f1 \ \tau \ s + f2 \ \tau \ s)
    using lipschitz-on-add by fastforce
  thus \exists u > 0. \exists L. \forall t \in cball \ t \ u \cap T. L-lipschitz-on (cball \ s \ u \cap S) (\lambda s. \ f1 \ t \ s + t)
f2 t s
    apply(rule-tac x=min \ \varepsilon_1 \ \varepsilon_2 \ in \ exI)
    using \langle \varepsilon_1 > \theta \rangle \langle \varepsilon_2 > \theta \rangle by force
lemma picard-lindeloef-add: picard-lindeloef f1 T S t_0 \Longrightarrow picard-lindeloef f2 T S
t_0 \Longrightarrow
  picard-lindeloef (\lambda t \ s. \ f1 \ t \ s + f2 \ t \ s) T \ S \ t_0
  unfolding picard-lindeloef-def apply(clarsimp, rule conjI)
  using continuous-on-add apply fastforce
  using local-lipschitz-add by blast
lemma picard-lindeloef-constant: picard-lindeloef (\lambda t \ s. \ c) UNIV UNIV t_0
  apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
  by (rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, simp)
            Flows for ODEs
0.2.4
A locale designed for verification of hybrid systems. The user can select the
interval of existence and the defining flow equation via the variables T and
\varphi.
\textbf{locale} \ \textit{local-flow} = \textit{picard-lindeloef} \ (\lambda \ t. \ f) \ T \ S \ \theta
  for f::'a::\{heine-borel,banach\} \Rightarrow 'a and T S L +
  fixes \varphi :: real \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  assumes ivp:
    \bigwedge t \ s. \ t \in T \Longrightarrow s \in S \Longrightarrow D \ (\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. \ f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \ on \ \{\theta - - t\}
    \bigwedge s. \ s \in S \Longrightarrow \varphi \ \theta \ s = s
    \bigwedge^{\cdot} t \ s. \ t \in T \Longrightarrow s \in S \Longrightarrow (\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ s) \in \{\theta - - t\} \to S
begin
lemma in-ivp-sols-ivl:
  assumes t \in T s \in S
  shows (\lambda t. \varphi t s) \in Sols (\lambda t. f) \{0--t\} S \theta s
  apply(rule ivp-solsI)
  using ivp assms by auto
lemma eq-solution-ivl:
  assumes xivp: D X = (\lambda t. f(X t)) on \{\theta - -t\} X \theta = s X \in \{\theta - -t\} \rightarrow S
    and indom: t \in T s \in S
  \mathbf{shows}\ X\ t = \varphi\ t\ s
  apply(rule\ unique\ solution[OF\ xivp\ \langle t\in T\rangle])
  using \langle s \in S \rangle ivp indom by auto
```

```
lemma ex-ivl-eq:
 assumes s \in S
 shows ex\text{-}ivl\ s = T
 using existence-ivl-subset[of s] apply safe
 unfolding existence-ivl-def csols-eq
 using in-ivp-sols-ivl[OF - assms] by blast
lemma has-derivative-on-open 1:
  assumes t > 0 \ t \in T \ s \in S
 obtains B where t \in B and open B and B \subseteq T
    and D(\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f(\varphi t s)) at t within B
proof-
 obtain r::real where rHyp: r > 0 ball t r \subseteq T
    using open-contains-ball-eq open-domain(1) \langle t \in T \rangle by blast
  moreover have t + r/2 > 0
    using \langle r > \theta \rangle \langle t > \theta \rangle by auto
  moreover have \{\theta - -t\} \subseteq T
    using subintervalI[OF\ init-time\ \langle t\in T\rangle].
  ultimately have subs: \{0 < -- < t + r/2\} \subseteq T
    unfolding abs-le-eq abs-le-eq real-ivl-eqs[OF \langle t > 0 \rangle] real-ivl-eqs[OF \langle t + r/2 \rangle]
    by clarify (case-tac t < x, simp-all add: cball-def ball-def dist-norm subset-eq
field-simps)
 have t + r/2 \in T
    using rHyp unfolding real-ivl-eqs[OF rHyp(1)] by (simp \ add: \ subset-eq)
 hence \{\theta-t+r/2\}\subseteq T
    using subintervalI[OF init-time] by blast
 hence (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)) \text{ on } \{0 - -(t + r/2)\})
    using ivp(1)[OF - \langle s \in S \rangle] by auto
  hence vderiv: (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)) \text{ on } \{0 < -- < t + r/2\})
    apply(rule has-vderiv-on-subset)
    unfolding real-ivl-eqs[OF \langle t + r/2 > 0 \rangle] by auto
  have t \in \{0 < -- < t + r/2\}
    \textbf{unfolding} \ \textit{real-ivl-eqs}[\textit{OF} \ \langle t+r/2>\theta \rangle] \ \textbf{using} \ \textit{rHyp} \ \langle t>\theta \rangle \ \textbf{by} \ \textit{simp}
  moreover have D (\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f (\varphi t s)) (at t within \{0 < -- < t \})
    using vderiv calculation unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def
\mathbf{bv} blast
 moreover have open \{0 < -- < t + r/2\}
    unfolding real-ivl-eqs[OF \langle t + r/2 > \theta \rangle] by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using subs that by blast
qed
lemma has-derivative-on-open2:
 assumes t < 0 \ t \in T \ s \in S
 obtains B where t \in B and open B and B \subseteq T
    and D(\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f(\varphi t s)) at t within B
proof-
```

```
obtain r::real where rHyp: r > 0 ball t r \subseteq T
        using open-contains-ball-eq open-domain(1) \langle t \in T \rangle by blast
    moreover have t - r/2 < \theta
        using \langle r > \theta \rangle \langle t < \theta \rangle by auto
    moreover have \{\theta - -t\} \subseteq T
        using subintervalI[OF init-time \langle t \in T \rangle].
    ultimately have subs: \{0 < -- < t - r/2\} \subseteq T
        unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl closed-segment-eq-real-ivl
            real-ivl-eqs[OF\ rHyp(1)] by (auto simp:\ subset-eq)
    have t - r/2 \in T
        using rHyp unfolding real-ivl-eqs by (simp add: subset-eq)
    hence \{\theta-t-r/2\}\subseteq T
        using subintervalI[OF init-time] by blast
    hence (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)) \text{ on } \{\theta - -(t - r/2)\})
        using ivp(1)[OF - \langle s \in S \rangle] by auto
    hence vderiv: (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)) \text{ on } \{0 < -- < t - r/2\})
        apply(rule has-vderiv-on-subset)
        unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl closed-segment-eq-real-ivl by auto
    have t \in \{0 < -- < t - r/2\}
       unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl using rHyp \langle t < \theta \rangle by simp
    moreover have D (\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f (\varphi t s)) (at t within \{0 < -- < t\}
- r/2)
        using vderiv calculation unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def
\mathbf{by} blast
    moreover have open \{0 < -- < t - r/2\}
        unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
        using subs that by blast
qed
lemma has-derivative-on-open3:
   assumes s \in S
    obtains B where \theta \in B and open B and B \subseteq T
       and D(\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f(\varphi \theta s)) at \theta within B
proof-
    obtain r::real where rHyp: r > 0 ball 0 r \subseteq T
        using open-contains-ball-eq open-domain(1) init-time by blast
    hence r/2 \in T - r/2 \in T r/2 > 0
        unfolding real-ivl-eqs by auto
    hence subs: \{\theta - -r/2\} \subseteq T \{\theta - -(-r/2)\} \subseteq T
        using subintervalI[OF init-time] by auto
    hence (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)) on \{0 - -r/2\})
        (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)) on \{0 - -(-r/2)\})
        using ivp(1)[OF - \langle s \in S \rangle] by auto
   also have \{0 - r/2\} = \{0 - r/2\} \cup closure \{0 - r/2\} \cap closure \{0 - (-r/2)\}
       \{0--(-r/2)\} = \{0--(-r/2)\} \cup closure \{0--r/2\} \cap closure \{0--(-r/2)\}
        unfolding closed-segment-eq-real-ivl \langle r/2 \rangle 0 \rangle by auto
    ultimately have vderivs:
       (D(\lambda t. \varphi ts) = (\lambda t. f(\varphi ts)) \text{ on } \{0 - r/2\} \cup \text{closure } \{0 - r/2\} \cap \text
```

```
\{0--(-r/2)\}
    (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)) \text{ on } \{0 - (-r/2)\} \cup \text{closure } \{0 - -r/2\} \cap
closure \{0 - -(-r/2)\}
   unfolding closed-segment-eq-real-ivl \langle r/2 > 0 \rangle by auto
 have obs: 0 \in \{-r/2 < -- < r/2\}
   unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl using \langle r/2 > 0 \rangle by auto
 have union: \{-r/2 - -r/2\} = \{0 - -r/2\} \cup \{0 - -(-r/2)\}
   unfolding closed-segment-eq-real-ivl by auto
 hence (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)) on \{-r/2 - -r/2\})
    using has-vderiv-on-union[OF vderivs] by simp
  hence (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)) on \{-r/2 < -- < r/2\})
   using has-vderiv-on-subset [OF - segment-open-subset-closed [of -r/2 \ r/2]] by
auto
  hence D(\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f(\varphi \theta s)) (at \theta within \{-r/2 < -- < r/2\})
   unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def using obs by blast
  moreover have open \{-r/2 < -- < r/2\}
   unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl by simp
  moreover have \{-r/2 < -- < r/2\} \subseteq T
   using subs union segment-open-subset-closed by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
   using obs that by blast
qed
lemma has-derivative-on-open:
  assumes t \in T s \in S
 obtains B where t \in B and open B and B \subseteq T
   and D(\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f(\varphi t s)) at t within B
 \mathbf{apply}(subgoal\text{-}tac\ t < \theta \lor t = \theta \lor t > \theta)
 using has-derivative-on-open1[OF - assms] has-derivative-on-open2[OF - assms]
   has-derivative-on-open \Im[OF \ \langle s \in S \rangle] by blast force
lemma in-domain:
  assumes s \in S
 shows (\lambda t. \varphi t s) \in T \to S
  unfolding ex-ivl-eq[symmetric] existence-ivl-def
  using local.mem-existence-ivl-subset ivp(3)[OF - assms] by blast
lemma has-vderiv-on-domain:
 assumes s \in S
 shows D(\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f(\varphi t s)) on T
proof(unfold has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def, clarsimp)
  fix t assume t \in T
  then obtain B where t \in B and open B and B \subseteq T
   and Dhyp: D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f (\varphi t s)) at t within B
   using assms has-derivative-on-open [OF \ \langle t \in T \rangle] by blast
  hence t \in interior B
   using interior-eq by auto
  thus D(\lambda t. \varphi ts) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f(\varphi ts)) at t within T
   using has-derivative-at-within-mono[OF - \langle B \subseteq T \rangle Dhyp] by blast
```

```
qed
lemma in-ivp-sols:
 assumes s \in S
 shows (\lambda t. \varphi t s) \in Sols (\lambda t. f) T S \theta s
 using has-vderiv-on-domain ivp(2) in-domain apply(rule\ ivp-solsI)
  using assms by auto
lemma eq-solution:
  assumes X \in Sols (\lambda t. f) T S \theta s and t \in T and s \in S
  shows X t = \varphi t s
proof-
  have D X = (\lambda t. f(X t)) on (ex\text{-}ivl s) and X \theta = s and X \in (ex\text{-}ivl s) \to S
   using ivp-solsD[OF \ assms(1)] unfolding ex-ivl-eq[OF \ \langle s \in S \rangle] by auto
  note solution-eq-flow[OF this]
  hence X t = flow \ \theta \ s \ t
   unfolding ex-ivl-eq[OF \langle s \in S \rangle] using assms by blast
  also have \varphi t s = flow 0 s t
   \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule\ solution-eq-flow\ ivp})
       apply(simp-all\ add:\ assms(2,3)\ ivp(2)[OF\ \langle s\in S\rangle])
    unfolding ex\text{-}ivl\text{-}eq[OF \ (s \in S)] by (auto simp: has-vderiv-on-domain assms
in-domain)
  ultimately show X t = \varphi t s
   by simp
qed
lemma ivp-sols-collapse:
  assumes T = UNIV and s \in S
 shows Sols (\lambda t. f) T S \theta s = \{(\lambda t. \varphi t s)\}
  using in-ivp-sols eq-solution assms by auto
lemma additive-in-ivp-sols:
  assumes s \in S and \mathcal{P}(\lambda \tau. \tau + t) T \subseteq T
  shows (\lambda \tau. \varphi (\tau + t) s) \in Sols (\lambda t. f) T S \theta (\varphi (\theta + t) s)
  apply(rule ivp-solsI, rule vderiv-on-compose-add)
  using has-vderiv-on-domain has-vderiv-on-subset assms apply blast
  using in-domain assms by auto
lemma is-monoid-action:
  assumes s \in S and T = UNIV
  shows \varphi \ \theta \ s = s \text{ and } \varphi \ (t_1 + t_2) \ s = \varphi \ t_1 \ (\varphi \ t_2 \ s)
proof-
 \mathbf{show} \ \varphi \ \theta \ s = s
   using ivp assms by simp
  have \varphi (\theta + t_2) s = \varphi t_2 s
   by simp
  also have \varphi t_2 s \in S
   using in-domain assms by auto
  finally show \varphi (t_1 + t_2) s = \varphi t_1 (\varphi t_2 s)
```

```
using eq-solution[OF additive-in-ivp-sols] assms by auto
qed
definition orbit :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set (\gamma^{\varphi})
  where \gamma^{\varphi} s = g\text{-}orbital f (\lambda s. True) T S 0 s
lemma orbit-eq[simp]:
  assumes s \in S
  shows \gamma^{\varphi} s = \{ \varphi \ t \ s | \ t. \ t \in T \}
  using eq-solution assms unfolding orbit-def q-orbital-eq ivp-sols-def
  by(auto intro!: has-vderiv-on-domain ivp(2) in-domain)
lemma g-orbital-collapses:
  assumes s \in S
  \mathbf{shows}\ g\text{-}\mathit{orbital}\ f\ G\ T\ S\ \theta\ s = \{\varphi\ t\ s|\ t.\ t\in\ T\ \land\ (\forall\ \tau{\in}\mathit{down}\ T\ t.\ G\ (\varphi\ \tau\ s))\}
proof(rule subset-antisym, simp-all only: subset-eq)
  let ?gorbit = \{ \varphi \ t \ s \ | t. \ t \in T \land (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \}
  {fix s' assume s' \in g-orbital f G T S \theta s
    then obtain X and t where x-ivp:X \in Sols (\lambda t. f) T S <math>\theta s
      and X t = s' and t \in T and guard:(\mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\})
      unfolding g-orbital-def g-orbit-eq by auto
    have obs: \forall \tau \in (down\ T\ t). X\ \tau = \varphi\ \tau\ s
      using eq-solution[OF x-ivp - assms] by blast
    hence \mathcal{P}(\lambda t. \varphi t s) (down T t) \subseteq \{s. G s\}
      using guard by auto
    also have \varphi t s = X t
      using eq-solution [OF x-ivp \langle t \in T \rangle assms] by simp
    ultimately have s' \in ?gorbit
      using \langle X | t = s' \rangle \langle t \in T \rangle by auto
  thus \forall s' \in g-orbital f G T S 0 s. s' \in ?gorbit
    \mathbf{by} blast
next
  let ?gorbit = \{\varphi \ t \ s \ | t. \ t \in T \land (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s))\}
  \{ \text{fix } s' \text{ assume } s' \in ?gorbit \}
    then obtain t where \mathcal{P}(\lambda t. \varphi t s) (down T t) \subseteq \{s. G s\} and t \in T and \varphi
t s = s'
      by blast
    hence s' \in g-orbital f G T S \theta s
      using assms by(auto intro!: g-orbitalI in-ivp-sols)}
  thus \forall s' \in ?gorbit. \ s' \in g\text{-}orbital \ f \ G \ T \ S \ 0 \ s
    by blast
qed
end
lemma line-is-local-flow:
  0 \in T \Longrightarrow is\text{-interval } T \Longrightarrow open \ T \Longrightarrow local\text{-flow} \ (\lambda \ s. \ c) \ T \ UNIV \ (\lambda \ t \ s. \ s
+ t *_{B} c
  apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule-tac}\;x=1\;\mathbf{in}\;exI,\;\mathit{clarsimp},\;\mathit{rule-tac}\;x=1/2\;\mathbf{in}\;exI,\;\mathit{simp})\\ \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule-tac}\;f'1=\lambda\;s.\;0\;\mathbf{and}\;g'1=\lambda\;s.\;c\;\mathbf{in}\;\mathit{derivative-intros}(191))\\ \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule}\;\mathit{derivative-intros},\;\mathit{simp})+\\ \mathbf{by}\;\mathit{simp-all}\\ \mathbf{end}\\ \mathbf{theory}\;\mathit{hs-prelims-matrices}\\ \mathbf{imports}\;\mathit{hs-prelims-dyn-sys} \\ \mathbf{begin} \end{array}
```

Chapter 1

Linear Algebra for Hybrid Systems

Linear systems of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) are those whose vector fields are linear operators. That is, there is a matrix A such that the system x't = f(xt) can be rewritten as x't = A*vxt. The end goal of this section is to prove that every linear system of ODEs has a unique solution, and to obtain a characterization of said solution. We start by formalising various properties of vector spaces.

1.1 Vector operations

lemma sum-axis[simp]:

```
abbreviation e \ k \equiv axis \ k \ 1
abbreviation entries (A::'a \ 'n'm) \equiv \{A \ s \ i \ s \ j \mid i \ j. \ i \in UNIV \land j \in UNIV\}
abbreviation kronecker-delta :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('b::zero) \ (\delta_K - - - [55, 55, 55] \ 55)
where \delta_K \ i \ j \ q \equiv (if \ i = j \ then \ q \ else \ 0)
lemma finite-sum-univ-singleton: (sum \ g \ UNIV) = sum \ g \ \{i\} + sum \ g \ (UNIV - \{i\}) \ for \ i::'a::finite
by (metis \ add.commute \ finite-class.finite-UNIV \ sum.subset-diff \ top-greatest)
lemma kronecker-delta-simps [simp]:
fixes q::('a::semiring-0) and i::'n::finite
shows (\sum j \in UNIV. \ f \ j * (\delta_K \ j \ i \ q)) = f \ i * q
and (\sum j \in UNIV. \ f \ j * (\delta_K \ i \ j \ q)) = f \ i * q
and (\sum j \in UNIV. \ (\delta_K \ i \ q) * f \ j) = q * f \ i
and (\sum j \in UNIV. \ (\delta_K \ j \ q) * f \ j) = q * f \ i
by (auto \ simp: finite-sum-univ-singleton[of - i])
```

```
fixes q::('a::semiring-\theta)
 shows (\sum j \in UNIV. \ fj * axis i \ q \ \$ \ j) = fi * q
   and (\sum j \in UNIV. \ axis \ i \ q \ \$ \ j * f \ j) = q * f \ i
  \mathbf{unfolding} \ \mathit{axis-def} \ \mathbf{by}(\mathit{auto} \ \mathit{simp} \colon \mathit{vec\text{-}eq\text{-}iff})
lemma sum-scalar-nth-axis: sum (\lambda i. (x \$ i) *s e i) UNIV = x for x :: ('a::semiring-1) ^{\prime}n
  unfolding vec-eq-iff axis-def by simp
lemma scalar-eq-scaleR[simp]: c *s x = c *_R x for c :: real
  unfolding vec-eq-iff by simp
lemma matrix-add-rdistrib: ((B + C) ** A) = (B ** A) + (C ** A)
  by (vector matrix-matrix-mult-def sum.distrib[symmetric] field-simps)
lemma vec-mult-inner: (A * v v) \cdot w = v \cdot (transpose \ A * v w) for A::real ^\prime n ^\prime n
  unfolding matrix-vector-mult-def transpose-def inner-vec-def
  apply(simp add: sum-distrib-right sum-distrib-left)
  apply(subst sum.swap)
 \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{subgoal\text{-}tac} \ \forall \ i \ j. \ A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j \ast v \ \$ \ j \ast w \ \$ \ i = v \ \$ \ j \ast (A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j \ast w \ \$ \ i))
  by presburger (simp)
lemma uminus-axis-eq[simp]: - axis i k = axis i (-k) for k::'a::ring
  unfolding axis-def by(simp add: vec-eq-iff)
lemma norm-axis-eq[simp]: ||axis\ i\ k|| = ||k||
proof(simp add: axis-def norm-vec-def L2-set-def)
 have (\sum j \in UNIV. (\|(\delta_K \ j \ i \ k)\|)^2) = (\sum j \in \{i\}. (\|(\delta_K \ j \ i \ k)\|)^2) + (\sum j \in (UNIV - \{i\}).
(\|(\delta_K \ j \ i \ k)\|)^2)
   using finite-sum-univ-singleton by blast
  also have ... = (\|k\|)^2 by simp
  finally show sqrt (\sum j \in UNIV. (norm (if j = i then k else 0))^2) = norm k by
qed
lemma matrix-axis-\theta:
  fixes A :: ('a::idom) \hat{\ }'n \hat{\ }'m
  assumes k \neq 0 and h: \forall i. (A *v (axis i k)) = 0
  shows A = \theta
proof-
  {fix i::'n
   have 0 = (\sum j \in UNIV. (axis\ i\ k) \ \ j \ *s\ column\ j\ A)
     using h matrix-mult-sum[of A axis i k] by simp
   also have \dots = k *s column i A
    by (simp add: axis-def vector-scalar-mult-def column-def vec-eq-iff mult.commute)
   finally have k *s column i A = 0
     unfolding axis-def by simp
   hence column \ i \ A = 0
     using vector-mul-eq-0 \langle k \neq 0 \rangle by blast
  thus A = \theta
```

```
unfolding column-def vec-eq-iff by simp
qed
lemma scaleR-norm-sgn-eq: (||x||) *_R sgn x = x
 by (metis divideR-right norm-eq-zero scale-eq-0-iff sgn-div-norm)
lemma vector-scaleR-commute: A *v c *_R x = c *_R (A *v x) for x :: ('a::real-normed-algebra-1) ^'n
 unfolding scaleR-vec-def matrix-vector-mult-def by (auto simp: vec-eq-iff scaleR-right.sum)
lemma scaleR-vector-assoc: c *_R (A * v x) = (c *_R A) *_V x \text{ for } x :: ('a::real-normed-algebra-1) ^'n
 unfolding matrix-vector-mult-def by(auto simp: vec-eq-iff scaleR-right.sum)
lemma mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq:
 fixes x :: ('a::real-normed-algebra-1) ^'n
 shows (||A * v sgn x||) * (||x||) = ||A * v x||
proof-
 have ||A * v x|| = ||A * v ((||x||) *_R sgn x)||
   by(simp add: scaleR-norm-sqn-eq)
 also have ... = (||A * v sgn x||) * (||x||)
   \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ vector\text{-}scaleR\text{-}commute)
 finally show ?thesis ...
qed
```

1.2 Matrix norms

Here we develop the foundations for obtaining the Lipschitz constant for every linear system of ODEs x' t = A *v x t. For that we derive some properties of two matrix norms.

1.2.1 Matrix operator norm

```
abbreviation op-norm :: ('a::real-normed-algebra-1) ^'n ^'m \Rightarrow real ((1||-||op) [65] 61) where ||A||_{op} \equiv onorm (\lambda x. \ A * v \ x)

lemma norm-matrix-bound: fixes A::('a::real-normed-algebra-1) ^'n ^'m shows ||x|| = 1 \implies ||A * v \ x|| \le ||(\chi \ i \ j. \ ||A \$ \ i \$ \ j||) * v \ 1||

proof—
fix x::('a, 'n) vec assume ||x|| = 1
hence xi-le1:\bigwedge i. \ ||x \$ \ i|| \le 1
by (metis Finite-Cartesian-Product.norm-nth-le)
{fix j::'m
have ||(\sum i \in UNIV. \ A \$ \ j \$ \ i * x \$ \ i)|| \le (\sum i \in UNIV. \ ||A \$ \ j \$ \ i * x \$ \ i||)
using norm-sum by blast
also have ... \le (\sum i \in UNIV. \ (||A \$ \ j \$ \ i||) * (||x \$ \ i||))
by (simp add: norm-mult-ineq sum-mono)
also have ... \le (\sum i \in UNIV. \ (||A \$ \ j \$ \ i||) * 1)
```

```
using xi-le1 by (simp add: sum-mono mult-left-le)
   finally have \|(\sum i \in UNIV. A \ \ j \ \ \ i * x \ \ \ i)\| \le (\sum i \in UNIV. (\|A \ \ \ j \ \ \ i\|)\|
* 1) by simp}
  hence \bigwedge j. \|(A * v x) \$ j\| \le ((\chi i1 i2. \|A \$ i1 \$ i2\|) * v 1) \$ j
   \mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{matrix}\text{-}\mathit{vector}\text{-}\mathit{mult}\text{-}\mathit{def}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{simp}
  hence (\sum j \in UNIV. (\|(A * v x) \$ j\|)^2) \le (\sum j \in UNIV. (\|((\chi i1 i2. \|A \$ i1 \$ i1 \$))^2))
i2||)*v1)$j||)^2)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) norm-ge-zero power2-abs power-mono real-norm-def
sum-mono)
  thus ||A *v x|| \le ||(\chi i j. ||A \$ i \$ j||) *v 1||
    unfolding norm-vec-def L2-set-def by simp
qed
lemma onorm-set-proptys:
  fixes A::('a::real-normed-algebra-1) ^'n ^'m
 shows bounded (range (\lambda x. (||A *v x||) / (||x||)))
   and bdd-above (range (\lambda x. (||A *v x||) / (||x||)))
   and (range (\lambda x. (||A *v x||) / (||x||))) \neq \{\}
  unfolding bounded-def bdd-above-def image-def dist-real-def apply(rule-tac x=0
in exI)
   apply(rule-tac \ x=\|(\chi \ i \ j. \ \|A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j\|) *v \ 1\| \ in \ exI, \ clarsimp,
     subst mult-norm-matrix-sqn-eq[symmetric], clarsimp,
     rule-tac \ x=sgn - in \ norm-matrix-bound, \ simp \ add: \ norm-sgn) +
  by force
lemma op-norm-set-proptys:
  fixes A::('a::real-normed-algebra-1) ^'n ^'m
  shows bounded \{||A * v x|| | x. ||x|| = 1\}
   and bdd-above {||A * v x|| | x. ||x|| = 1}
   and \{||A * v x|| \mid x. ||x|| = 1\} \neq \{\}
  unfolding bounded-def bdd-above-def apply safe
   apply(rule-tac x=0 in exI, rule-tac x=\|(\chi \ i \ j. \|A \ i \ j\|) *v \ 1\| in exI)
   apply(force simp: norm-matrix-bound dist-real-def)
  apply(rule-tac\ x=\|(\chi\ i\ j.\ \|A\ s\ i\ s\ j\|)*v\ 1\|\ in\ exI,\ force\ simp:\ norm-matrix-bound)
  using ex-norm-eq-1 by blast
lemma op-norm-def:
  fixes A::('a::real-normed-algebra-1) ^'n ^'m
  shows ||A||_{op} = Sup \{||A *v x|| | x. ||x|| = 1\}
  \mathbf{apply}(rule\ antisym[OF\ onorm\text{-}le\ cSup\text{-}least[OF\ op\text{-}norm\text{-}set\text{-}proptys(3)]])
  apply(case-tac \ x = 0, simp)
  apply(subst\ mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq[symmetric],\ simp)
  apply(rule\ cSup-upper[OF - op-norm-set-proptys(2)])
  apply(force\ simp:\ norm-sgn)
  unfolding onorm-def apply(rule\ cSup-upper[OF - onorm-set-proptys(2)])
  by (simp add: image-def, clarsimp) (metis div-by-1)
lemma norm-matrix-le-op-norm: ||x|| = 1 \implies ||A * v x|| \le ||A||_{op}
  apply(unfold\ onorm\text{-}def,\ rule\ cSup\text{-}upper[OF\ -\ onorm\text{-}set\text{-}proptys(2)])
```

```
unfolding image-def by (clarsimp, rule-tac x=x in exI) simp
lemma op-norm-ge-0: 0 \leq ||A||_{op}
 using ex-norm-eq-1 norm-ge-zero norm-matrix-le-op-norm basic-trans-rules (23)
by blast
lemma norm-sgn-le-op-norm: ||A * v   sgn   x|| \le ||A||_{op}
 by (cases x=0, simp-all add: norm-sgn norm-matrix-le-op-norm op-norm-ge-0)
lemma norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm: ||A *v x|| \le (||A||_{op}) * (||x||)
proof-
 have ||A * v x|| = (||A * v sgn x||) * (||x||)
   \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq)
 also have ... \leq (\|A\|_{op}) * (\|x\|)
   using norm-sgn-le-op-norm[of A] by (simp add: mult-mono')
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma blin-norm-matrix: bounded-linear ((*v) A) for A::('a::real-normed-algebra-1) ^'n ^'m
 by (unfold-locales) (auto intro: norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm simp:
     mult.commute\ matrix-vector-right-distrib\ vector-scaleR-commute)
lemma op-norm-zero-iff: (\|A\|_{op} = 0) = (A = 0) for A::('a::real-normed-field) ^'n 'm
  unfolding onorm-eq-0[OF blin-norm-matrix] using matrix-axis-0[of 1 A] by
fast force
lemma op-norm-triangle: ||A + B||_{op} \le (||A||_{op}) + (||B||_{op})
 using onorm-triangle[OF blin-norm-matrix[of A] blin-norm-matrix[of B]]
   matrix-vector-mult-add-rdistrib[symmetric, of A - B] by simp
lemma op-norm-scaleR: ||c *_R A||_{op} = |c| * (||A||_{op})
  unfolding onorm-scaleR[OF blin-norm-matrix, symmetric] scaleR-vector-assoc
\mathbf{lemma} \ op\text{-}norm\text{-}matrix\text{-}matrix\text{-}mult\text{-}le\text{:}
 \mathbf{fixes}\ A{::}('a{::}real{-}normed{-}algebra{-}1) \ \hat{\ }'n \ \hat{\ }'m
 shows ||A| ** B||_{op} \le (||A||_{op}) * (||B||_{op})
proof(rule onorm-le)
 have \theta \leq (\|A\|_{op})
   \mathbf{by}(rule\ onorm\text{-}pos\text{-}le[OF\ blin\text{-}norm\text{-}matrix])
 fix x have ||A ** B *v x|| = ||A *v (B *v x)||
   by (simp add: matrix-vector-mul-assoc)
 also have ... \leq (\|A\|_{op}) * (\|B *v x\|)
   by (simp add: norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm[of - B * v x])
 also have ... \leq (\|A\|_{op}) * ((\|B\|_{op}) * (\|x\|))
   using norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm[of B x] \langle 0 \leq (\|A\|_{op}) \rangle mult-left-mono by
 finally show ||A ** B *v x|| \le (||A||_{op}) * (||B||_{op}) * (||x||)
   by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma norm-matrix-vec-mult-le-transpose:
 ||x|| = 1 \Longrightarrow (||A * v x||) \le sqrt (||transpose A * A||_{op}) * (||x||)  for A::real^n n
proof-
  assume ||x|| = 1
  have (\|A * v x\|)^2 = (A * v x) \cdot (A * v x)
   using dot-square-norm[of (A * v x)] by simp
  also have ... = x \cdot (transpose \ A * v \ (A * v \ x))
    using vec-mult-inner by blast
  also have ... \leq (\|x\|) * (\|transpose \ A * v \ (A * v \ x)\|)
   using norm-cauchy-schwarz by blast
  also have ... \leq (\|transpose\ A ** A\|_{op}) * (\|x\|)^2
   apply(subst matrix-vector-mul-assoc)
   using norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm[of\ transpose\ A\ **\ A\ x]
   by (simp add: \langle ||x|| = 1 \rangle)
  finally have ((\|A * v x\|)) \hat{2} \leq (\|transpose A * A\|_{op}) * (\|x\|) \hat{2}
   by linarith
  thus (||A *v x||) \leq sqrt ((||transpose A ** A||_{op})) * (||x||)
   by (simp\ add: \langle ||x|| = 1 \rangle\ real\text{-}le\text{-}rsqrt)
lemma op-norm-le-sum-column: ||A||_{op} \leq (\sum i \in UNIV. ||column \ i \ A||) for A::real \hat{\ }'n \hat{\ }'m
proof(unfold\ op\text{-}norm\text{-}def,\ rule\ cSup\text{-}least[OF\ op\text{-}norm\text{-}set\text{-}proptys(3)],\ clarsimp)
  fix x::real^n assume x-def:||x|| = 1
  by (simp add: norm-bound-component-le-cart)
  have (||A * v x||) = ||(\sum i \in UNIV. x \$ i * s column i A)||
   \mathbf{by}(\mathit{subst\ matrix-mult-sum}[\mathit{of}\ A],\ \mathit{simp})
  also have ... \leq (\sum i \in UNIV. ||x \$ i *s column i A||)
   by (simp add: sum-norm-le)
  also have ... = (\sum i \in UNIV. (||x \$ i||) * (||column i A||))
   by (simp add: mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq)
  also have ... \leq (\sum i \in UNIV . \| column \ i \ A \|)
   using x-hyp by (simp add: mult-left-le-one-le sum-mono)
  finally show ||A *v x|| \le (\sum i \in UNIV. ||column i A||).
qed
lemma op-norm-le-transpose: ||A||_{op} \leq ||transpose A||_{op} for A::real^'n^'n
proof-
 have obs: \forall x. \|x\| = 1 \longrightarrow (\|A * v x\|) \leq sqrt ((\|transpose A * * A\|_{op})) * (\|x\|)
   using norm-matrix-vec-mult-le-transpose by blast
  have (\|A\|_{op}) \leq sqrt \ ((\|transpose\ A ** A\|_{op}))
   \mathbf{using}\ obs\ \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{unfold}\ \mathit{op}\text{-}\mathit{norm}\text{-}\mathit{def})
   by (rule\ cSup\ least[OF\ op\ norm\ set\ -proptys(3)])\ clarsimp
  hence ((\|A\|_{op}))^2 \le (\|transpose\ A ** A\|_{op})
   using power-mono[of (||A||_{op}) - 2] op-norm-ge-0 by force
  also have ... \leq (\|transpose\ A\|_{op}) * (\|A\|_{op})
```

using op-norm-matrix-matrix-mult-le by blast

```
finally have ((\|A\|_{op}))^2 \le (\|transpose\ A\|_{op}) * (\|A\|_{op}) by tinarith
 thus (\|A\|_{op}) \leq (\|transpose\ A\|_{op})
   using sq-le-cancel [of (||A||_{op})] op-norm-ge-0 by blast
qed
1.2.2
          Matrix maximum norm
abbreviation max-norm (A::real^{\hat{}}'n^{\hat{}}'m) \equiv Max \ (abs \ (entries \ A))
notation max-norm ((1 \| - \|_{max})) [65] 61)
lemma max-norm-def: ||A||_{max} = Max \{|A \ \ i \ \ j||ij.\ i \in UNIV \land j \in UNIV\}
 by(simp add: image-def, rule arg-cong[of - - Max], blast)
lemma max-norm-set-proptys: finite {|A \ \ i \ \ j| | i \ j. \ i \in UNIV \land j \in UNIV}
(is finite ?X)
proof-
 have \bigwedge i. finite {|A \ \ i \ \ j| \ | \ j. \ j \in UNIV}
   using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat by fastforce
 hence finite (\bigcup i \in UNIV. \{|A \$ i \$ j| | j. j \in UNIV\}) (is finite ?Y)
   using finite-class.finite-UNIV by blast
 also have ?X \subseteq ?Y by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis
   using finite-subset by blast
qed
lemma max-norm-ge-\theta: \theta \leq ||A||_{max}
proof-
 have \bigwedge i j. |A \$ i \$ j| \ge 0 by simp
 also have \bigwedge i j. |A \$ i \$ j| \le ||A||_{max}
   unfolding max-norm-def using max-norm-set-proptys Max-ge max-norm-def
by blast
 finally show 0 \le ||A||_{max}.
qed
lemma op-norm-le-max-norm:
  fixes A::real^('n::finite)^('m::finite)
 shows ||A||_{op} \leq real \ CARD('m) * real \ CARD('n) * (||A||_{max})
 apply(rule onorm-le-matrix-component)
 unfolding max-norm-def by(rule Max-ge[OF max-norm-set-proptys]) force
```

1.3 Picard Lindeloef for linear systems

Now we prove our first objective. First we obtain the Lipschitz constant for linear systems of ODEs, and then we prove that IVPs arising from these satisfy the conditions for Picard-Lindeloef theorem (hence, they have a unique solution).

```
lemma matrix-lipschitz-constant:
  fixes A::real^'n^'n
  shows dist (A *v x) (A *v y) \leq (real CARD('n))^2 * (||A||_{max}) * dist x y
  unfolding dist-norm matrix-vector-mult-diff-distrib[symmetric]
\mathbf{proof}(subst\ mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq[symmetric])
  have ||A||_{op} \leq (||A||_{max}) * (real\ CARD('n) * real\ CARD('n))
   by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ Groups.mult-ac(2)\ op\text{-}norm\text{-}le\text{-}max\text{-}norm)
  then have (\|A\|_{op}) * (\|x - y\|) \le (real\ CARD('n))^2 * (\|A\|_{max}) * (\|x - y\|)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) mult.commute mult-right-mono norm-ge-zero power2-eq-square)
  also have (\|A * v  sgn (x - y)\|) * (\|x - y\|) \le (\|A\|_{op}) * (\|x - y\|)
   by (simp add: norm-sgn-le-op-norm mult-mono')
  ultimately show (\|A * v sgn (x - y)\|) * (\|x - y\|) \le (real CARD('n))^2 *
(||A||_{max}) * (||x - y||)
   using order-trans-rules (23) by blast
qed
lemma picard-lindeloef-linear-system:
  fixes A::real^'n^'n
  defines L \equiv (real\ CARD('n))^2 * (||A||_{max})
  shows picard-lindeloef (\lambda t s. A *v s) UNIV UNIV 0
  \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{unfold\text{-}locales}, \mathit{simp\text{-}all} \; \mathit{add} \colon \mathit{local\text{-}lipschitz\text{-}def} \; \mathit{lipschitz\text{-}on\text{-}def}, \; \mathit{clarsimp})
  apply(rule-tac \ x=1 \ in \ exI, \ clarsimp, \ rule-tac \ x=L \ in \ exI, \ safe)
 using max-norm-ge-\theta [of A] unfolding assms by force (rule matrix-lipschitz-constant)
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{picard-lindeloef-affine-system} :
  fixes A::real^'n^'n
  shows picard-lindeloef (\lambda t s. A * v s + b) UNIV UNIV 0
  apply(rule picard-lindeloef-add[OF picard-lindeloef-linear-system])
  using picard-lindeloef-constant by auto
```

1.4 Matrix Exponential

The general solution for linear systems of ODEs is an exponential function. Unfortunately, this operation is only available in Isabelle for the type class "banach". Hence, we define a type of squared matrices and prove that it is an instance of this class.

1.4.1 Squared matrices operations

```
typedef 'm sq-mtx = UNIV::(real^'m^'m) set
morphisms to-vec sq-mtx-chi by simp
declare sq-mtx-chi-inverse [simp]
and to-vec-inverse [simp]
setup-lifting type-definition-sq-mtx
```

```
lift-definition sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}ith::'m\ sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow (real `m')\ (infixl $$ 90) is vec-nth
lift-definition sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}vec\text{-}prod::'m \ sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow (real^{\prime}m) \Rightarrow (real^{\prime}m) \ (infixl *_{V}
90)
 is matrix-vector-mult.
lift-definition sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}column::'m \Rightarrow 'm \ sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow (real^{'}m)
  is \lambda i X. column i (to-vec X).
lift-definition vec\text{-}sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}prod::(real^{\prime}m) \Rightarrow 'm \ sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow (real^{\prime}m) is vector\text{-}matrix\text{-}mult
lift-definition sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}diag::real \Rightarrow ('m::finite) sq\text{-}mtx (diag) is mat.
lift-definition sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}transpose::('m::finite) sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow 'm sq\text{-}mtx (-^{\dagger}) is transpose
lift-definition sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}row::'m \Rightarrow ('m::finite) sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow real`'m (row) is row.
lift-definition sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}col::'m \Rightarrow ('m::finite) \ sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow real^{'}m \ (col) is column.
lift-definition sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}rows::('m::finite) sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow (real^{'}m) set is rows.
lift-definition sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}cols::('m::finite) \ sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow (real^{'}m) \ set \ is \ columns.
lemma to-vec-eq-ith[simp]: (to-vec A) \ i = A \ i
  by transfer simp
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\text{-}ith[simp]: (sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\ A) $$ i1 $ i2 = A $ i1 $ i2
  by transfer simp
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\text{-}vec\text{-}lambda\text{-}ith[simp]: }sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\ (\chi\ i\ j.\ x\ i\ j) $ $$ i1 $$ i2 = x\ i1$
  \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ sq-mtx-ith-def)
lemma sq-mtx-eq-iff:
  shows (\bigwedge i. \ A \$\$ \ i = B \$\$ \ i) \Longrightarrow A = B
    and (\bigwedge_i j. A \$\$ i \$ j = B \$\$ i \$ j) \Longrightarrow A = B
  \mathbf{by}(transfer, simp\ add:\ vec\text{-}eq\text{-}iff)+
lemma sq-mtx-vec-prod-eq: m *_V x = (\chi \ i. \ sum \ (\lambda j. \ ((m\$\$i)\$j) * (x\$j)) \ UNIV)
  \mathbf{by}(transfer, simp\ add:\ matrix-vector-mult-def)
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}transpose\text{-}transpose[simp]:}(A^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = A
  \mathbf{by}(transfer, simp)
lemma transpose-mult-vec-canon-row[simp]:(A^{\dagger}) *_{V} (e \ i) = \text{row } i \ A
  by transfer (simp add: row-def transpose-def axis-def matrix-vector-mult-def)
```

```
lemma row-ith[simp]:row i A = A $$ i
 by transfer (simp add: row-def)
lemma mtx-vec-prod-canon: A *_V (e i) = col i A
 by (transfer, simp add: matrix-vector-mult-basis)
1.4.2
          Squared matrices form Banach space
instantiation sq\text{-}mtx :: (finite) ring
begin
lift-definition plus-sq-mtx :: 'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow 'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow 'a sq-mtx is (+).
lift-definition zero-sq-mtx :: 'a sq-mtx is \theta.
lift-definition uminus-sq-mtx ::'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow 'a sq-mtx  is uminus .
lift-definition minus-sq-mtx :: 'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow 'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow 'a sq-mtx is (-).
lift-definition times-sq-mtx :: 'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow 'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow 'a sq-mtx is (**).
declare plus-sq-mtx.rep-eq [simp]
   and minus-sq-mtx.rep-eq [simp]
instance apply intro-classes
 \mathbf{by}(transfer, simp\ add: algebra-simps\ matrix-mul-assoc\ matrix-add-rdistrib\ matrix-add-ldistrib) +
end
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}plus\text{-}ith[simp]:(A + B) \$\$ i = A \$\$ i + B \$\$ i
 \mathbf{by}(unfold\ plus-sq-mtx-def,\ transfer,\ simp)
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}minus\text{-}ith[simp]:(A - B) \$\$ i = A \$\$ i - B \$\$ i
 \mathbf{by}(\mathit{unfold\ minus-sq-mtx-def}\,,\,\mathit{transfer},\,\mathit{simp})
lemma mtx-vec-prod-add-rdistr:(A + B) *_V x = A *_V x + B *_V x
 unfolding plus-sq-mtx-def apply(transfer)
 by (simp add: matrix-vector-mult-add-rdistrib)
lemma mtx-vec-prod-minus-rdistrib:(A - B) *_{V} x = A *_{V} x - B *_{V} x
 unfolding minus-sq-mtx-def by(transfer, simp add: matrix-vector-mult-diff-rdistrib)
lemma mtx-vec-prod-minus-ldistrib: A *_{V} (c - d) = A *_{V} c - A *_{V} d
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel diff-add-cancel
     matrix-vector-right-distrib sq-mtx-vec-prod.rep-eq)
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}times\text{-}vec\text{-}assoc: (A * B) *_V x0 = A *_V (B *_V x0)
 by (transfer, simp add: matrix-vector-mul-assoc)
```

```
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}vec\text{-}mult\text{-}sum\text{-}cols\text{:}A *_{V} x = sum \ (\lambda i. \ x \ \$ \ i *_{R} \text{ col } i \ A) \ UNIV
 by(transfer) (simp add: matrix-mult-sum scalar-mult-eq-scaleR)
instantiation sq-mtx :: (finite) real-normed-vector
begin
definition norm-sq-mtx :: 'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow real where ||A|| = ||to\text{-vec }A||_{op}
lift-definition scaleR-sg-mtx::real \Rightarrow 'a sg-mtx \Rightarrow 'a sg-mtx is scaleR.
definition sgn\text{-}sq\text{-}mtx :: 'a sq\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow 'a sq\text{-}mtx
  where sgn\text{-}sq\text{-}mtx \ A = (inverse \ (||A||)) *_R A
definition dist-sq-mtx :: 'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow 'a sq-mtx \Rightarrow real
  where dist-sq-mtx A B = ||A - B||
definition uniformity-sq-mtx :: ('a sq-mtx \times 'a sq-mtx) filter
  where uniformity-sq-mtx = (INF e: \{0 < ...\}). principal \{(x, y). dist x y < e\})
definition open-sq-mtx :: 'a sq-mtx set <math>\Rightarrow bool
 where open-sq-mtx U = (\forall x \in U. \ \forall_F (x', y) \ in \ uniformity. \ x' = x \longrightarrow y \in U)
instance apply intro-classes
  unfolding sgn-sq-mtx-def open-sq-mtx-def dist-sq-mtx-def uniformity-sq-mtx-def
 prefer 10 apply(transfer, simp add: norm-sq-mtx-def op-norm-triangle)
 prefer 9 apply(simp-all add: norm-sq-mtx-def zero-sq-mtx-def op-norm-zero-iff)
 by(transfer, simp add: norm-sq-mtx-def op-norm-scaleR algebra-simps)+
end
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}scaleR\text{-}ith[simp]: (c *_R A) $$ i = (c *_R (A $$ i))
 \mathbf{by}(unfold\ scaleR\text{-}sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}def,\ transfer,\ simp)
lemma le\text{-}mtx\text{-}norm: m \in \{\|A *_V x\| | x. \|x\| = 1\} \Longrightarrow m \leq \|A\|
 using cSup\text{-}upper[of - {||(to\text{-}vec \ A) *v \ x|| | x. ||x|| = 1}]
 by (simp add: op-norm-set-proptys(2) op-norm-def norm-sq-mtx-def sq-mtx-vec-prod.rep-eq)
lemma norm-vec-mult-le: ||A *_V x|| \le (||A||) * (||x||)
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm\ norm-sq-mtx-def\ sq-mtx-vec-prod.rep-eq)
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}norm\text{-}le\text{-}sum\text{-}col: ||A|| \leq (\sum i \in UNIV. ||col| i| A||)
  using op-norm-le-sum-column[of to-vec A] apply(simp add: norm-sq-mtx-def)
  by(transfer, simp add: op-norm-le-sum-column)
lemma norm-le-transpose: ||A|| \le ||A^{\dagger}||
  unfolding norm-sq-mtx-def by transfer (rule op-norm-le-transpose)
lemma norm-eq-norm-transpose[simp]: <math>||A^{\dagger}|| = ||A||
```

```
using norm-le-transpose [of A] and norm-le-transpose [of A^{\dagger}] by simp
lemma norm-column-le-norm: ||A \$\$ i|| \le ||A||
 using norm-vec-mult-le[of A^{\dagger} e i] by simp
instantiation sq-mtx :: (finite) real-normed-algebra-1
begin
lift-definition one-sq-mtx :: 'a sq-mtx is sq-mtx-chi (mat 1) .
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}one\text{-}idty: 1*A=AA*1=A for A::'a sq\text{-}mtx
 by(transfer, transfer, unfold\ mat-def\ matrix-matrix-mult-def, simp\ add:\ vec-eq-iff)+
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}norm\text{-}1: ||(1::'a \ sq\text{-}mtx)|| = 1
 unfolding one-sq-mtx-def norm-sq-mtx-def apply(simp add: op-norm-def)
 apply(subst\ cSup-eq[of-1])
 using ex-norm-eq-1 by auto
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}norm\text{-}times: ||A * B|| \le (||A||) * (||B||) for A::'a sq\text{-}mtx
 unfolding norm-sq-mtx-def times-sq-mtx-def by(simp add: op-norm-matrix-matrix-mult-le)
instance apply intro-classes
 apply(simp-all add: sq-mtx-one-idty sq-mtx-norm-1 sq-mtx-norm-times)
  apply(simp-all add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff one-sq-mtx-def zero-sq-mtx-def
 \mathbf{by}(transfer, simp\ add:\ scalar-matrix-assoc\ matrix-scalar-ac)+
end
lemma sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}one\text{-}vec[simp]: 1 *_V s = s
 by (auto simp: sq-mtx-vec-prod-def one-sq-mtx-def
     mat-def vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def)
lemma Cauchy-cols:
 fixes X :: nat \Rightarrow ('a::finite) \ sq\text{-}mtx
 assumes Cauchy X
 shows Cauchy (\lambda n. \text{ col } i (X n))
proof(unfold Cauchy-def dist-norm, clarsimp)
 fix \varepsilon::real assume \varepsilon > 0
 from this obtain M where M-def: \forall m \ge M. \forall n \ge M. ||X m - X n|| < \varepsilon
   using \langle Cauchy \ X \rangle unfolding Cauchy-def by (simp \ add: \ dist-sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}def) blast
 \{fix m \ n \ assume m \ge M \ and n \ge M \ 
   hence \varepsilon > \|X m - X n\|
     using M-def by blast
   moreover have ||X m - X n|| \ge ||(X m - X n)|| \le i||
     \mathbf{by}(rule\ le\text{-}mtx\text{-}norm[of\ -\ X\ m\ -\ X\ n],\ force)
   moreover have ||(X m - X n) *_{V} e i|| = ||X m *_{V} e i - X n *_{V} e i||
     by (simp add: mtx-vec-prod-minus-rdistrib)
   moreover have ... = \|\operatorname{col} i(X m) - \operatorname{col} i(X n)\|
```

```
by (simp add: mtx-vec-prod-minus-rdistrib mtx-vec-prod-canon)
    ultimately have \|\operatorname{col} i(X m) - \operatorname{col} i(X n)\| < \varepsilon
      by linarith}
  thus \exists M. \ \forall m \geq M. \ \forall n \geq M. \ \|\text{col}\ i\ (X\ m) - \text{col}\ i\ (X\ n)\| < \varepsilon
    by blast
qed
lemma col-convergent:
  assumes \forall i. (\lambda n. \text{ col } i (X n)) \longrightarrow L \$ i
  shows convergent X
  unfolding convergent-def proof(rule-tac x=sq-mtx-chi (transpose L) in exI)
  let ?L = sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi \ (transpose \ L)
  show X \longrightarrow ?L
  proof(unfold LIMSEQ-def dist-norm, clarsimp)
    fix \varepsilon::real assume \varepsilon > 0
    let ?a = CARD('a) fix \varepsilon::real assume \varepsilon > 0
    hence \varepsilon / ?a > 0
      by simp
    from this and assms have \forall i. \exists N. \forall n \geq N. \| \text{col } i (X n) - L \$ i \| < \varepsilon / ?a
      unfolding LIMSEQ-def dist-norm convergent-def by blast
    then obtain N where \forall i. \forall n \geq N. \| \text{col } i \ (X \ n) - L \ \| i \| < \varepsilon / ?a
      using finite-nat-minimal-witness[of \lambda i n. \|\operatorname{col} i(X n) - L \$ i\| < \varepsilon / ?a] by
blast
    also have \bigwedge i \ n \cdot (\operatorname{col} \ i \ (X \ n) - L \ \ i) = (\operatorname{col} \ i \ (X \ n - \ ?L))
       unfolding minus-sq-mtx-def by(transfer, simp add: transpose-def vec-eq-iff
column-def)
    ultimately have N-def:\forall i. \forall n \geq N. \|\text{col } i \ (X \ n - ?L)\| < \varepsilon / ?a
      by auto
    have \forall n > N. ||X n - ?L|| < \varepsilon
    \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{all}I,\ \mathit{rule}\ \mathit{imp}I)
      fix n::nat assume N \leq n
      hence \forall i. \| \text{col } i (X n - ?L) \| < \varepsilon / ?a
         using N-def by blast
      hence (\sum i \in UNIV. \|\text{col } i \ (X \ n - ?L)\|) < (\sum (i::'a) \in UNIV. \varepsilon/?a)
         using sum-strict-mono[of - \lambda i. \|\operatorname{col} i(X n - ?L)\|] by force
      moreover have ||X n - ?L|| \le (\sum i \in UNIV. ||col i (X n - ?L)||)
         using sq-mtx-norm-le-sum-col by blast
      moreover have (\sum (i::'a) \in UNIV. \ \varepsilon/?a) = \varepsilon
      ultimately show ||X n - ?L|| < \varepsilon
        by linarith
    thus \exists no. \ \forall n \geq no. \ ||X n - ?L|| < \varepsilon
      by blast
  qed
qed
instance sq\text{-}mtx :: (finite) \ banach
proof(standard)
```

```
fix X::nat \Rightarrow 'a \ sq\text{-}mtx
assume Cauchy \ X
have \bigwedge i. Cauchy \ (\lambda n. \ col \ i \ (X \ n))
using \langle Cauchy \ X \rangle Cauchy\text{-}cols by blast
hence obs: \forall i. \ \exists ! \ L. \ (\lambda n. \ col \ i \ (X \ n)) \longrightarrow L
using Cauchy\text{-}convergent convergent\text{-}def \ LIMSEQ\text{-}unique} by fastforce
define L where L = (\chi \ i. \ lim \ (\lambda n. \ col \ i \ (X \ n)))
from this and obs have \forall i. \ (\lambda n. \ col \ i \ (X \ n)) \longrightarrow L \ \$ \ i
using the I-unique [of \ \lambda L. \ (\lambda n. \ col \ - \ (X \ n)) \longrightarrow L \ \$ \ -] by (simp \ add: im\text{-}def)
thus convergent \ X
using col\text{-}convergent by blast
ged
```

1.5 Flow for squared matrix systems

Finally, we can use the *exp* operation to characterize the general solutions for linear systems of ODEs. We show that they all satisfy the *local-flow* locale.

```
lemma mtx-vec-prod-has-derivative-mtx-vec-prod:
 assumes \bigwedge i j. D (\lambda t. (A t) \$\$ i \$ j) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R (A't) \$\$ i \$ j) (at t within
s)
   and (\lambda \tau. \ \tau *_R (A' \ t) *_V x) = g'
  shows D(\lambda t. A t *_{V} x) \mapsto g' at t within s
  using assms(2) unfolding sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}vec\text{-}mult\text{-}sum\text{-}cols apply safe
 \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule-tac}\ f'1 = \lambda i\ \tau.\ \tau *_R\ (x\ \$\ i *_R\ \mathrm{col}\ i\ (A'\ t))\ \mathbf{in}\ \mathit{derivative-eq-intros}(9))
   apply(simp-all add: scaleR-right.sum)
 apply(rule-tac\ g'1=\lambda\tau.\ \tau*_R\ col\ i\ (A'\ t)\ in\ derivative-eq-intros(4),\ simp-all\ add:
mult.commute)
  using assms unfolding sq-mtx-col-def column-def apply(transfer, simp)
  apply(rule\ has-derivative-vec-lambda)
  \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ scaleR\text{-}vec\text{-}def)
lemma has-derivative-mtx-ith:
  assumes D A \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_R A' x) at x within s
  shows D(\lambda t. A t \$\$ i) \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_R A' x \$\$ i) at x within s
  unfolding has-derivative-def tendsto-iff dist-norm apply safe
   apply(force simp: bounded-linear-def bounded-linear-axioms-def)
proof(clarsimp)
  fix \varepsilon::real assume \theta < \varepsilon
 let ?x = net limit (at x within s) let ?\Delta y = y - ?x and ?\Delta A y = A y - A ?x
 let ?P \ e = \lambda y. inverse \ |?\Delta y| * (||?\Delta A y - ?\Delta y *_R A' x||) < e
 let Q = \lambda y. inverse |Q \Delta y| * (||A y \$\$ i - A R \$ i - ||A Y \$\$ i||)
  from assms have \forall e>0. eventually (?P e) (at x within s)
    unfolding has-derivative-def tendsto-iff by auto
  hence eventually (?P \varepsilon) (at x within s)
    using \langle \theta < \varepsilon \rangle by blast
```

```
thus eventually ?Q (at x within s)
  \operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(rule\text{-}tac\ P=?P\ \varepsilon\ \mathbf{in}\ eventually\text{-}mono,\ simp\text{-}all)
   let ?u\ y\ i = A\ y\$$ i-A\ ?x\$$ i-?\Delta\ y*_R\ A'\ x\$$ i
   fix y assume hyp: inverse |?\Delta y| * (||?\Delta A y - ?\Delta y *_R A' x||) < \varepsilon
   have \|?u \ y \ i\| = \|(?\Delta A \ y - ?\Delta \ y *_R A' \ x) \$\$ \ i\|
   also have ... \leq (\|?\Delta A y - ?\Delta y *_R A' x\|)
      using norm-column-le-norm by blast
   ultimately have \|?u\ y\ i\| \le \|?\Delta A\ y - ?\Delta\ y *_R A'\ x\|
    hence inverse |?\Delta y| * (||?u y i||) \le inverse |?\Delta y| * (||?\Delta A y - ?\Delta y *_R x_i)|
A'x\|
      by (simp add: mult-left-mono)
   thus inverse |?\Delta y| * (||?u y i||) < \varepsilon
      using hyp by linarith
 qed
qed
lemma exp-has-vderiv-on-linear:
 fixes A::(('a::finite) \ sq-mtx)
 shows D(\lambda t. exp((t-t\theta)*_R A)*_V x\theta) = (\lambda t. A*_V (exp((t-t\theta)*_R A)*_V x\theta))
x\theta)) on T
  unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def apply clarsimp
 \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule-tac}\ A' = \lambda t.\ A * \mathit{exp}\ ((t-t\theta) *_R A)\ \mathbf{in}\ \mathit{mtx-vec-prod-has-derivative-mtx-vec-prod})
  apply(rule has-derivative-vec-nth)
  apply(rule has-derivative-mtx-ith)
  apply(rule-tac\ f'=id\ in\ exp-scaleR-has-derivative-right)
   apply(rule-tac f'1=id and g'1=\lambda x. 0 in derivative-eq-intros(11))
      apply(rule derivative-eq-intros)
  \mathbf{by}(simp\text{-}all\ add:\ fun\text{-}eq\text{-}iff\ exp\text{-}times\text{-}scaleR\text{-}commute\ sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}times\text{-}vec\text{-}assoc})
{\bf lemma}\ picard{-}lindeloef{-}sq{-}mtx:
  fixes A::('n::finite) sq-mtx
 defines L \equiv (real\ CARD('n))^2 * (\|to\text{-}vec\ A\|_{max})
 shows picard-lindeloef (\lambda t s. A *_{V} s) UNIV UNIV t_0
 apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
  apply(rule-tac \ x=1 \ in \ exI, \ clarsimp, \ rule-tac \ x=L \ in \ exI, \ safe)
  using max-norm-ge-0[of to-vec A] unfolding assms apply force
  by transfer (rule matrix-lipschitz-constant)
lemma picard-lindeloef-sq-mtx-affine:
  fixes A::('n::finite) sq\text{-}mtx
 shows picard-lindeloef (\lambda t s. A *_{V} s + b) UNIV UNIV t_0
 apply(rule picard-lindeloef-add[OF picard-lindeloef-sq-mtx])
  using picard-lindeloef-constant by auto
lemma local-flow-exp:
  fixes A::('n::finite) sq-mtx
  shows local-flow ((*_V) A) UNIV UNIV (\lambda t \ s. \ exp \ (t *_R A) *_V s)
```

```
unfolding local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def apply safe using picard-lindeloef-sq-mtx apply blast using exp-has-vderiv-on-linear[of 0] by auto
```

end

1.6 Verification components for hybrid systems

A light-weight version of the verification components. We define the forward box operator to compute weakest liberal preconditions (wlps) of hybrid programs. Then we introduce three methods for verifying correctness specifications of the continuous dynamics of a HS.

```
theory hs\text{-}vc\text{-}spartan imports hs\text{-}prelims\text{-}dyn\text{-}sys
begin

type-synonym 'a pred = 'a \Rightarrow bool

no-notation Transitive\text{-}Closure.rtrancl\ ((-*)\ [1000]\ 999)

notation Union\ (\mu)
and g\text{-}orbital\ ((1x'=-\&-on--@-))

abbreviation skip \equiv (\lambda s.\ \{s\})
```

1.6.1 Verification of regular programs

First we add lemmas for computation of weakest liberal preconditions (wlps).

```
definition fbox :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow 'b \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \ (|-] - [61,81] \ 82)
where |F| \ P = (\lambda s. \ (\forall s'. \ s' \in F \ s \longrightarrow P \ s'))
```

```
lemma fbox-iso: P \leq Q \Longrightarrow |F| \ P \leq |F| \ Q unfolding fbox-def by auto
```

lemma fbox-invariants:

```
assumes I \leq |F| \ I and J \leq |F| \ J
shows (\lambda s. \ I \ s \wedge J \ s) \leq |F| \ (\lambda s. \ I \ s \wedge J \ s)
and (\lambda s. \ I \ s \vee J \ s) \leq |F| \ (\lambda s. \ I \ s \vee J \ s)
using assms unfolding fbox-def by auto
```

Now, we compute wlps for specific programs.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{fbox-eta}[\textit{simp}] \text{:} \ \textit{fbox} \ \textit{skip} \ P = P \\ \textbf{unfolding} \ \textit{fbox-def} \ \textbf{by} \ \textit{simp} \end{array}
```

Next, we introduce assignments and their wlps.

```
definition vec\text{-}upd :: 'a \hat{\ }'n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \hat{\ }'n
```

```
where vec-upd s i a = (\chi j. (((\$) s)(i := a)) j)
definition assign :: 'n \Rightarrow ('a \hat{\ }'n \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \hat{\ }'n \Rightarrow ('a \hat{\ }'n) set ((2 \cdot ::= -) [70, 65]
 where (x := e) = (\lambda s. \{vec\text{-}upd\ s\ x\ (e\ s)\})
lemma fbox-assign[simp]: |x := e| Q = (\lambda s. Q (\chi j. (((\$) s)(x := (e s))) j))
 unfolding vec-upd-def assign-def by (subst fbox-def) simp
The wlp of a (kleisli) composition is just the composition of the wlps.
definition kcomp :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'c \ set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c \ set) \ (infix1 ; 75)
where
 F ; G = \mu \circ \mathcal{P} G \circ F
lemma kcomp-eq: (f ; g) x = \bigcup \{g y | y. y \in fx\}
  unfolding kcomp-def image-def by auto
lemma fbox-kcomp[simp]: |G; F| P = |G| |F| P
 unfolding fbox-def kcomp-def by auto
lemma fbox-kcomp-ge:
  assumes P \leq |G| R R \leq |F| Q
 shows P \leq |G; F| Q
 apply(subst fbox-kcomp)
 by (rule order.trans[OF assms(1)]) (rule fbox-iso[OF assms(2)])
We also have an implementation of the conditional operator and its wlp.
definition if then else :: 'a pred \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b set)
  (IF - THEN - ELSE - [64, 64, 64] 63) where
  IF P THEN X ELSE Y \equiv (\lambda s. \text{ if } P \text{ s then } X \text{ s else } Y \text{ s})
lemma fbox-if-then-else[simp]:
 | IF T THEN X ELSE Y | Q = (\lambda s. (T s \longrightarrow (|X| Q) s) \land (\neg T s \longrightarrow (|Y| Q)
s))
 unfolding fbox-def ifthenelse-def by auto
lemma hoare-if-then-else:
  assumes (\lambda s. \ P \ s \land T \ s) \leq |X| \ Q
   and (\lambda s. \ P \ s \land \neg \ T \ s) \leq |Y| \ Q
 shows P \leq |IF \ T \ THEN \ X \ ELSE \ Y| \ Q
  using assms unfolding fbox-def ifthenelse-def by auto
The final wlp we add is that of the finite iteration.
definition kpower :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set)
  where kpower f n = (\lambda s. ((;) f \hat{n}) skip s)
lemma kpower-base:
  shows knower f \ \theta \ s = \{s\} and knower f \ (Suc \ \theta) \ s = f \ s
  unfolding kpower-def by(auto simp: kcomp-eq)
```

```
lemma kpower-simp: kpower f (Suc n) s = (f ; kpower f n) s
  unfolding kcomp-eq apply(induct \ n)
  unfolding knower-base apply(rule subset-antisym, clarsimp, force, clarsimp)
  unfolding knower-def kcomp-eq by simp
definition kleene-star :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \ ((-*) \ [1000] \ 999)
  where (f^*) s = \bigcup \{kpower f \ n \ s \mid n. \ n \in UNIV\}
lemma kpower-inv:
  fixes F :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ set
  assumes \forall s. \ I \ s \longrightarrow (\forall s'. \ s' \in F \ s \longrightarrow I \ s')
 shows \forall s. \ I \ s \longrightarrow (\forall s'. \ s' \in (kpower \ F \ n \ s) \longrightarrow I \ s')
  apply(clarsimp, induct n)
  unfolding kpower-base kpower-simp apply(simp-all add: kcomp-eq, clarsimp)
  apply(subgoal-tac\ I\ y,\ simp)
  using assms by blast
lemma kstar-inv: I \leq |F| I \Longrightarrow I \leq |F^*| I
  unfolding kleene-star-def fbox-def apply clarsimp
  \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{unfold}\ \mathit{le-fun-def},\ \mathit{subgoal-tac}\ \forall\,x.\ I\ x\longrightarrow (\forall\,s'.\ s'\in F\ x\longrightarrow I\ s'))
  using kpower-inv[of I F] by blast simp
lemma fbox-kstarI:
  assumes P \leq I and I \leq Q and I \leq |F| I
  shows P \leq |F^*| Q
proof-
  have I \leq |F^*| I
   using assms(3) kstar-inv by blast
  hence P \leq |F^*| I
   using assms(1) by auto
  also have |F^*| I \leq |F^*| Q
   by (rule\ fbox-iso[OF\ assms(2)])
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
definition loopi :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \ (LOOP - INV -
[64,64] 63
 where LOOP \ F \ INV \ I \equiv (F^*)
lemma fbox-loop I: P < I \Longrightarrow I < Q \Longrightarrow I < |F| I \Longrightarrow P < |LOOP F INV I| Q
  unfolding loopi-def using fbox-kstarI[of P] by simp
           Verification of hybrid programs
```

1.6.2

Verification by providing evolution

```
definition g-evol :: (('a::ord) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b \ set)
  where EVOL \varphi G T = (\lambda s. g-orbit (\lambda t. \varphi t s) G T)
```

```
lemma fbox-g-evol[simp]:
  fixes \varphi :: ('a::preorder) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
  shows |EVOL \varphi G T| Q = (\lambda s. \ (\forall t \in T. \ (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (\varphi \tau s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t)
  unfolding q-evol-def q-orbit-eq fbox-def by auto
Verification by providing solutions
lemma fbox-g-orbital: |x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| Q =
  (\lambda s. \ \forall X \in Sols \ (\lambda t. \ f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s. \ \forall \ t \in T. \ (\forall \ \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (X \ \tau)) \longrightarrow Q \ (X \ t))
  unfolding fbox-def g-orbital-eq by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)
context local-flow
begin
lemma fbox-g-ode: |x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ \theta| Q =
  (\lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. \ (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (\varphi \tau s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s))) \ (\mathbf{is} \ -= ?wlp)
  \mathbf{unfolding} \ \mathit{fbox-g-orbital} \ \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{ext}, \ \mathit{safe}, \ \mathit{clarsimp})
    apply(erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t s in ballE)
  using in-ivp-sols apply(force, force, force simp: init-time ivp-sols-def)
  apply(subgoal-tac \forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ X \ \tau = \varphi \ \tau \ s, \ simp-all, \ clarsimp)
  apply(subst eq-solution, simp-all add: ivp-sols-def)
  using init-time by auto
lemma fbox-g-ode-ivl: t \geq 0 \implies t \in T \implies |x'=f \& G \text{ on } \{0..t\} S @ 0| Q =
  (\lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall t \in \{0..t\}. \ (\forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s)))
  unfolding fbox-g-orbital apply(rule ext, clarsimp, safe)
    apply(erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t s in ballE, force)
  using in-ivp-sols-ivl apply(force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
  using in-ivp-sols-ivl apply(force simp: ivp-sols-def)
   apply(subgoal-tac \forall t \in \{0..t\}. (\forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. X \tau = \varphi \tau s), simp, clarsimp)
  apply(subst eq-solution-ivl, simp-all add: ivp-sols-def)
     apply(rule has-vderiv-on-subset, force, force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
    apply(force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
  using interval-time init-time apply (meson is-interval-1 order-trans)
  using init-time by force
lemma fbox-orbit: |\gamma^{\varphi}| Q = (\lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s)))
  unfolding orbit-def fbox-g-ode by simp
end
Verification with differential invariants
definition g\text{-}ode\text{-}inv :: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow real set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow
  real \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \ ((1x'=- \& - on - - @ - DINV - ))
  where (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0 DINV I) = (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0)
lemma fbox-g-orbital-guard:
  assumes H = (\lambda s. G s \wedge Q s)
```

```
shows |x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0| Q = |x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0| H
  unfolding fbox-g-orbital using assms by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fbox-g-orbital-inv}\colon
  assumes P \leq I and I \leq |x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0| I and I \leq Q
  shows P < |x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| Q
  using assms(1) apply(rule order.trans)
  using assms(2) apply(rule order.trans)
 by (rule\ fbox-iso[OF\ assms(3)])
lemma fbox-diff-inv[simp]:
  (I \leq |x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0| I) = diff\text{-invariant } If TS t_0 G
 by (auto simp: diff-invariant-def ivp-sols-def fbox-def g-orbital-eq)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{diff-inv-guard-ignore} :
  assumes I \leq |x' = f \& (\lambda s. True) \text{ on } T S @ t_0| I
 shows I \leq |x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| I
  using assms unfolding fbox-diff-inv diff-invariant-eq by auto
context local-flow
begin
lemma fbox-diff-inv-eq: diff-invariant I f T S 0 (\lambda s. True) =
  ((\lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow I \ s) = |x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ True) \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ \theta | \ (\lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow I \ s))
  unfolding fbox-diff-inv[symmetric] fbox-g-orbital le-fun-def fun-eq-iff
  using init-time apply(clarsimp simp: subset-eq ivp-sols-def)
  apply(safe, force, force)
  apply(subst\ ivp(2)[symmetric],\ simp)
  apply(erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t x in allE)
  using in-domain has-vderiv-on-domain ivp(2) init-time by auto
lemma diff-inv-eq-inv-set: diff-invariant I f T S 0 (\lambda s. True) = (\forall s.\ I\ s \longrightarrow \gamma^{\varphi}\ s
\subseteq \{s. \ I \ s\})
  unfolding diff-inv-eq-inv-set orbit-def by simp
end
lemma fbox-g-odei: P \leq I \Longrightarrow I \leq |x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| I \Longrightarrow (\lambda s. I s \land G)
s) \leq Q \Longrightarrow
  P \leq |x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0 \text{ DINV } I] Q
  unfolding g-ode-inv-def apply(rule-tac b=|x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| I \text{ in}
order.trans)
  apply(rule-tac\ I=I\ in\ fbox-g-orbital-inv,\ simp-all)
  apply(subst\ fbox-g-orbital-guard,\ simp)
  by (rule fbox-iso, force)
```

1.6.3 Derivation of the rules of dL

We derive domain specific rules of differential dynamic logic (dL). First we present a generalised version, then we show the rules as instances of the general ones.

```
lemma diff-solve-axiom:
  fixes c::'a::\{heine-borel, banach\}
  assumes \theta \in T and is-interval T open T
  shows |x'=(\lambda s. c) \& G \text{ on } T \text{ UNIV } @ \theta| Q =
  (\lambda s. \ \forall t \in T. \ (\mathcal{P} \ (\lambda \tau. \ s + \tau *_R c) \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}) \longrightarrow Q \ (s + t *_R c))
  apply(subst\ local-flow.fbox-g-ode[of\ \lambda s.\ c - - (\lambda t\ s.\ s + t *_R\ c)])
  using line-is-local-flow assms by auto
lemma diff-solve-rule:
  assumes local-flow f T UNIV \varphi
    and \forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ (\mathcal{P} \ (\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ s) \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s)
  shows P < |x' = f \& G \text{ on } T \text{ UNIV } @ \theta| Q
  using assms by(subst local-flow.fbox-g-ode) auto
lemma diff-weak-axiom: |x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0| Q = |x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @
t_0] (\lambda s. G s \longrightarrow Q s)
  unfolding fbox-g-orbital image-def by force
lemma diff-weak-rule: G \leq Q \Longrightarrow P \leq |x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| Q
  by(auto intro: g-orbitalD simp: le-fun-def g-orbital-eq fbox-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ fbox-g-orbital-eq-univD:
  assumes |x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| C = (\lambda s. True)
    and \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). x \ \tau \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ S @ \ t_0) \ s
  shows \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). C \ (x \ \tau)
proof
  fix \tau assume \tau \in (down \ T \ t)
  hence x \tau \in (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s
    using assms(2) by blast
  also have \forall s'. s' \in (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s \longrightarrow C s'
    using assms(1) unfolding fbox-def by meson
  ultimately show C(x \tau) by blast
qed
lemma diff-cut-axiom:
  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
    and |x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| C = (\lambda s. True)
  shows |x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0| Q = |x'=f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) \text{ on } TS @ t_0|
\operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(\operatorname{rule-tac} f = \lambda \ x. \ |x| \ Q \ \operatorname{\mathbf{in}} \ HOL.\operatorname{arg-cong}, \ \operatorname{rule} \ \operatorname{\mathit{ext}}, \ \operatorname{\mathit{rule}} \ \operatorname{\mathit{subset-antisym}})
  {fix s' assume s' \in (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s
    then obtain \tau::real and X where x-ivp: X \in Sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
```

```
and X \tau = s' and \tau \in T and guard-x:\mathcal{P} X (down \ T \tau) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}
      using g-orbitalD[of s' f G T S t_0 s] by blast
    have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ \mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}
      using guard-x by (force simp: image-def)
    also have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ t \in T
      using \langle \tau \in T \rangle Thyp closed-segment-subset-interval by auto
    ultimately have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). X \ t \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s
      using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
   hence \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). C(X \ t)
      using assms(3) unfolding fbox-def by meson
    hence s' \in (x' = f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) \ on \ T S @ t_0) \ s
      using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp \langle \tau \in T \rangle] guard-x \langle X \tau = s' \rangle by fastforce}
  thus (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s \subseteq (x' = f \& (\lambda s. G s \wedge C s) \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s
next show \bigwedge s. (x'=f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) on T S @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s)
S @ t_0) s
   by (auto simp: g-orbital-eq)
qed
lemma diff-cut-rule:
  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
   and fbox-C: P \leq |x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| C
    and fbox-Q: P \leq |x' = f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) \text{ on } T S @ t_0] Q
  shows P \leq |x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0| Q
proof(subst fbox-def, subst g-orbital-eq, clarsimp)
  fix t::real and X::real \Rightarrow 'a and s assume P s and t \in T
    and x-ivp:X \in Sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
    and guard-x: \forall \tau. \ \tau \in T \land \tau \leq t \longrightarrow G(X \ \tau)
  have \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). X \ \tau \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s
    using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] guard-x by auto
  hence \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). C \ (X \ \tau)
   using fbox-C \langle P s \rangle by (subst (asm) fbox-def, auto)
  hence X \ t \in (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s) \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ s
    using guard-x (t \in T) by (auto\ intro!:\ g-orbitalI\ x-ivp)
  thus Q(X t)
    using \langle P s \rangle fbox-Q by (subst (asm) fbox-def) auto
qed
The rules of dL
abbreviation q-qlobal-orbit ::(('a::banach)\Rightarrow'a)\Rightarrow'a pred \Rightarrow'a\Rightarrow'a set
  ((1x'=-\& -)) where (x'=f\& G) \equiv (x'=f\& G \text{ on } UNIV \text{ }UNIV @ 0)
abbreviation q-qlobal-ode-inv ::(('a::banach)\Rightarrow'a pred \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a
'a set
  ((1x'=-\&-DINV-)) where (x'=f\& GDINVI) \equiv (x'=f\& Gon\ UNIV
UNIV @ 0 DINV I)
lemma solve:
  assumes local-flow f UNIV UNIV \varphi
```

```
and \forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s))
 shows P \leq |x' = f \& G| Q
 apply(rule \ diff-solve-rule[OF \ assms(1)])
 using assms(2) by simp
lemma DS:
 fixes c::'a::\{heine-borel, banach\}
 shows |x' = (\lambda s. c) \& G| Q = (\lambda x. \forall t. (\forall \tau \leq t. G (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q (x + t)
 by (subst diff-solve-axiom[of UNIV]) auto
lemma DW: |x'=f \& G| Q = |x'=f \& G| (\lambda s. G s \longrightarrow Q s)
 by (rule diff-weak-axiom)
lemma dW: G \leq Q \Longrightarrow P \leq |x' = f \& G| Q
 by (rule diff-weak-rule)
lemma DC:
 assumes |x'=f \& G| C = (\lambda s. True)
 shows |x' = f \& G| Q = |x' = f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s)| Q
 by (rule diff-cut-axiom) (auto simp: assms)
lemma dC:
 assumes P \leq |x' = f \& G| C
   and P \leq |x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s)| \ Q
 shows P \leq |x' = f \& G| Q
 apply(rule diff-cut-rule)
 using assms by auto
lemma dI:
 assumes P \leq I and diff-invariant I f UNIV UNIV 0 G and I \leq Q
 shows P \leq |x' = f \& G| Q
 by (rule fbox-g-orbital-inv[OF assms(1) - assms(3)]) (simp \ add: \ assms(2))
end
```

1.6.4 Examples

We prove partial correctness specifications of some hybrid systems with our verification components.

```
theory hs-vc-examples imports hs-prelims-matrices hs-vc-spartan
```

begin

Preliminary preparation for the examples.

— Finite set of program variables.

```
typedef program-vars = \{''x'', ''y''\}
 morphisms to-str to-var
 apply(rule-tac \ x=''x'' \ in \ exI)
 by simp
notation to-var (\upharpoonright_V)
lemma number-of-program-vars: CARD(program-vars) = 2
 using type-definition.card type-definition-program-vars by fastforce
instance program-vars::finite
 apply(standard, subst bij-betw-finite[of to-str UNIV \{''x'',''y''\}])
  apply(rule bij-betwI')
    apply (simp add: to-str-inject)
 using to-str apply blast
  apply (metis to-var-inverse UNIV-I)
 by simp
lemma program-vars-univ-eq: (UNIV::program-vars\ set) = \{ \upharpoonright_V "x", \upharpoonright_V "y" \}
 apply auto by (metis to-str to-str-inverse insertE singletonD)
lemma program-vars-exhaust: x = \lceil_V "x" \lor x = \lceil_V "y"
 using program-vars-univ-eq by auto
abbreviation val-p :: real \hat{p}rogram - vars \Rightarrow string \Rightarrow real (infix) \mid_{V} 90)
 where store |_{V} var \equiv store |_{V} var
— Alternative to the finite set of program variables.
lemma CARD(2) = CARD(program-vars)
 unfolding number-of-program-vars by simp
lemma two-eq-zero: (2::2) = 0
 by simp
lemma UNIV-2: (UNIV::2 \ set) = \{0, 1\}
 apply safe using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by auto
lemma UNIV-3: (UNIV::3 \ set) = \{0, 1, 2\}
 apply safe using exhaust-3 three-eq-zero by auto
lemma sum-axis-UNIV-3[simp]: (\sum j \in (UNIV::3 \text{ set}). \text{ axis } i \text{ 1 } \text{\$ } j * f j) = (f::3)
\Rightarrow real) i
 unfolding axis-def UNIV-3 apply simp
 using exhaust-3 by force
```

Circular Motion

— Verified with differential invariants.

abbreviation circular-motion-vec-field :: real \hat{p} rogram-vars \Rightarrow real \hat{p} rogram-vars (C)

where circular-motion-vec-field $s \equiv (\chi \ i. \ if \ i= \lceil_V "x" \ then \ s \mid_V "y" \ else \ -s \mid_V "x")$

lemma circular-motion-invariants:

$$(\lambda s.\ r^2=(s{\mid_V}''x'')^2+(s{\mid_V}''y'')^2)\leq |x'=C\ \&\ G]\ (\lambda s.\ r^2=(s{\mid_V}''x'')^2+(s{\mid_V}''y'')^2)$$

by (auto intro!: diff-invariant-rules poly-derivatives simp: to-var-inject)

— Verified with the flow.

abbreviation circular-motion-flow :: real \Rightarrow real \hat{p} rogram-vars \Rightarrow real \hat{p} rogram-vars (φ_C)

where
$$\varphi_C$$
 $t s \equiv (\chi i. if i = | V''x'' then $s | V''x'' * cos t + s | V''y'' * sin t else - s | V''x'' * sin t + s | V''y'' * cos t)$$

lemma local-flow-circ-motion: local-flow C UNIV UNIV φ_C

 $\mathbf{apply}(unfold\text{-}locales, simp\text{-}all\ add:\ local\text{-}lipschitz\text{-}def\ lipschitz\text{-}on\text{-}def\ vec\text{-}eq\text{-}iff\ ,}\ clarsimp)$

 $apply(rule-tac \ x=1 \ in \ exI, \ clarsimp, \ rule-tac \ x=1 \ in \ exI)$

 $\mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ dist\text{-}norm\ norm\text{-}vec\text{-}def\ L2\text{-}set\text{-}def\ program\text{-}vars\text{-}univ\text{-}eq\ to\text{-}var\text{-}inject\ power2\text{-}commute})$

 $\mathbf{apply}(clarsimp, case\text{-}tac\ i = \upharpoonright_V "x")$

using program-vars-exhaust by (force intro!: poly-derivatives simp: to-var-inject)+

lemma circular-motion:

$$(\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s|_V''x'')^2 + (s|_V''y'')^2) \le |x' = C \& G] (\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s|_V''x'')^2 + (s|_V''y'')^2)$$

by (force simp: local-flow.fbox-g-ode[OF local-flow-circ-motion] to-var-inject)

— Verified by providing dynamics.

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circular-motion-dyn}\colon$

$$(\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s|_V''x'')^2 + (s|_V''y'')^2) \le |EVOL \ \varphi_C \ G \ T] \ (\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s|_V''x'')^2 + (s|_V''y'')^2)$$

by (force simp: to-var-inject)

no-notation circular-motion-vec-field (C) and circular-motion-flow (φ_C)

— Verified as a linear system (using uniqueness).

abbreviation circular-motion-sq-mtx ::
$$2 \text{ sq-mtx } (C)$$

where $C \equiv \text{sq-mtx-chi } (\chi \text{ i. if } i=0 \text{ then } -\text{ e. 1 else } e.0)$

```
abbreviation circular-motion-mtx-flow :: real \Rightarrow real^2 \Rightarrow real^2 (\varphi_C)

where \varphi_C t s \equiv (\chi i. if i = 0 then s$0 * cos t - s$1 * sin t else s$0 * sin t + s$1 * cos t)
```

```
lemma circular-motion-mtx-exp-eq: exp (t*_R C)*_V s = \varphi_C t s apply(rule local-flow.eq-solution[OF local-flow-exp, symmetric]) apply(rule ivp-solsI, simp add: sq-mtx-vec-prod-def matrix-vector-mult-def) apply(force intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def) using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (force simp: vec-eq-iff, auto) lemma circular-motion-sq-mtx: (\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2) \le fbox \ (x'=(*_V) \ C \& G) \ (\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2) unfolding local-flow.fbox-g-ode[OF local-flow-exp] circular-motion-mtx-exp-eq by auto no-notation circular-motion-sq-mtx (C) and circular-motion-mtx-flow (\varphi_C)
```

Bouncing Ball

— Verified with differential invariants.

named-theorems bb-real-arith real arithmetic properties for the bouncing ball.

```
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
 assumes 0 > g and inv: 2 * g * x - 2 * g * h = v * v
  shows (x::real) \leq h
proof-
  have v * v = 2 * g * x - 2 * g * h \land 0 > g
   using inv and \langle \theta > g \rangle by auto
  hence obs: v * v = 2 * g * (x - h) \land 0 > g \land v * v \ge 0
    using left-diff-distrib mult.commute by (metis zero-le-square)
  hence (v * v)/(2 * g) = (x - h)
   by auto
  also from obs have (v * v)/(2 * g) \leq \theta
   using divide-nonneg-neg by fastforce
  ultimately have h - x \ge \theta
   by linarith
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
abbreviation cnst-acc-vec-field :: real \Rightarrow real program-vars \Rightarrow real program-vars
  where K a s \equiv (\chi i. if i=(\lceil V''x'') then <math>s \mid V''y'' else a)
lemma bouncing-ball-invariants:
  shows g < \theta \Longrightarrow h \geq \theta \Longrightarrow
  (\lambda s. \ s |_V "x" = h \land s |_V "y" = 0) \le fbox
  (LOOP
    ((x'=K \ g \ \& \ (\lambda \ s. \ s|_V"x" \ge 0) \ DINV \ (\lambda s. \ 2*g*s|_V"x" - 2*g*h -
(s|_{V}''y'' * s|_{V}''y'') = 0));
   (\mathit{IF}\ (\lambda s.\ s |_V "x" = 0)\ \mathit{THEN}\ (\upharpoonright_V "y" ::= (\lambda s.\ -\ s |_V "y"))\ \mathit{ELSE}\ \mathit{skip}))
```

```
INV (\lambda s. \ s \mid_V "x" \geq 0 \land 2 * g * s \mid_V "x" - 2 * g * h - (s \mid_V "y" * s \mid_V "y") =
0))
 (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s |_V "x" \wedge s |_V "x" \le h)
 apply(rule fbox-loopI, simp-all)
   apply(force, force simp: bb-real-arith)
 by (rule fbox-q-odei) (auto intro!: poly-derivatives diff-invariant-rules simp: to-var-inject)
— Verified with the flow.
lemma picard-lindeloef-cnst-acc:
 fixes g::real
 shows picard-lindeloef (\lambda t. K g) UNIV UNIV 0
 apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
 apply(rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI)
 \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ dist-norm\ norm-vec-def\ L2-set-def\ program-vars-univ-eq\ to-var-inject)
abbreviation cnst-acc-flow :: real \Rightarrow real \hat{p}rogram-vars \Rightarrow real \hat{p}rogram-vars
(\varphi_K)
 where \varphi_K a t s \equiv (\chi i. if i = (\upharpoonright_V "x") then a * t ^2/2 + s <math>(\upharpoonright_V "y") * t + s
\$ (\upharpoonright_V "x")
       else a * t + s \$ (\upharpoonright_V "y")
lemma local-flow-cnst-acc: local-flow (K g) UNIV UNIV (\varphi_K g)
 apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
 apply(rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI)
 apply(simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def program-vars-univ-eq to-var-inject)
  \mathbf{apply}(clarsimp, case\text{-}tac\ i = \upharpoonright_V "x")
  using program-vars-exhaust by (auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: to-var-inject
vec-eq-iff)
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
 assumes invar: 2 * q * x = 2 * q * h + v * v
   and pos: g * \tau^2 / 2 + v * \tau + (x::real) = 0
 shows 2 * g * h + (g * \tau + v) * (g * \tau + v) = 0
proof-
  from pos have g * \tau^2 + 2 * v * \tau + 2 * x = 0 by auto
  then have g^2 * \tau^2 + 2 * g * v * \tau + 2 * g * x = 0
   by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) Groups.mult-ac(1,3) mult-zero-right
       monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square semiring-class.distrib-left)
 hence g^2 * \tau^2 + 2 * g * v * \tau + v^2 + 2 * g * h = 0
   using invar by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
 hence obs: (g * \tau + v)^2 + 2 * g * h = 0
   apply(subst\ power2\text{-}sum)\ by\ (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ hide\text{-}lams)\ Groups.add\text{-}ac(2,3)
       Groups.mult-ac(2, 3) monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square nat-distrib(2))
 thus 2 * g * h + (g * \tau + v) * (g * \tau + v) = 0
   by (simp add: add.commute distrib-right power2-eq-square)
qed
```

```
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
 assumes invar: 2 * g * x = 2 * g * h + v * v
 shows 2 * g * (g * \tau^2 / 2 + v * \tau + (x::real)) =
  2 * g * h + (g * \tau + v) * (g * \tau + v) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof-
  have ?lhs = q^2 * \tau^2 + 2 * q * v * \tau + 2 * q * x
     apply(subst\ Rat.sign-simps(18))+
     by(auto simp: semiring-normalization-rules(29))
   also have ... = g^2 * \tau^2 + 2 * g * v * \tau + 2 * g * h + v * v (is ... = ?middle)
     \mathbf{by}(subst\ invar,\ simp)
   finally have ?lhs = ?middle.
  moreover
  {have ?rhs = g * g * (\tau * \tau) + 2 * g * v * \tau + 2 * g * h + v * v
   by (simp\ add:\ Groups.mult-ac(2,3)\ semiring-class.distrib-left)
  also have \dots = ?middle
   by (simp add: semiring-normalization-rules(29))
  finally have ?rhs = ?middle.}
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma bouncing-ball: g < 0 \Longrightarrow h \ge 0 \Longrightarrow
  (\lambda s. \ s|_V"x" = h \land s|_V"y" = 0) \le fbox
  (LOOP
    ((x'=K g \& (\lambda s. s|_V"x" \ge 0));
    (IF (\lambda s. s|_V"x" = 0) THEN (|_V"y" ::= (\lambda s. - s|_V"y")) ELSE skip))
  INV (\lambda s. \ s |_{V}''x'' \ge 0 \land 2 * g * s |_{V}''x'' = 2 * g * h + (s |_{V}''y'' * s |_{V}''y'')))
  (\lambda s. \ \theta < s|_V"x" \wedge s|_V"x" < h)
 \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule\ fbox-loop}I, \mathit{simp-all\ add:\ local-flow.fbox-g-ode}[\mathit{OF\ local-flow-cnst-acc}])
 by (auto simp: bb-real-arith to-var-inject)
no-notation cnst-acc-vec-field (K)
       and cnst-acc-flow (\varphi_K)
       and to-var (\upharpoonright_V)
       and val-p (infixl |V| 90)
— Verified as a linear system (computing exponential).
abbreviation cnst-acc-sq-mtx :: 3 sq-mtx (K)
  where K \equiv sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi \ (\chi i::3. if i=0 then e 1 else if i=1 then e 2 else 0)
lemma const-acc-mtx-pow2: K^2 = sq\text{-mtx-chi} \ (\chi \ i. \ if \ i=0 \ then \ e \ 2 \ else \ 0)
  unfolding power2-eq-square times-sq-mtx-def
  \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\text{-}inject\ vec\text{-}eq\text{-}iff\ matrix\text{-}matrix\text{-}mult\text{-}}def)
lemma const-acc-mtx-powN: n > 2 \Longrightarrow (\tau *_R K) \hat{\ } n = 0
  apply(induct \ n, \ simp, \ case-tac \ n \leq 2)
  apply(simp only: le-less-Suc-eq power-Suc, simp)
  by(auto simp: const-acc-mtx-pow2 sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff
```

```
times-sq-mtx-def zero-sq-mtx-def matrix-matrix-mult-def)
lemma exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx: exp (\tau *_R K) = ((\tau *_R K)^2/_R 2) + (\tau *_R K) + 1
 unfolding exp-def apply(subst suminf-eq-sum[of 2])
 using const-acc-mtx-powN by (simp-all add: numeral-2-eq-2)
lemma exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps:
  exp (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 0 \$ 0 = 1 exp (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 0 \$ 1 = \tau exp (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 0 \$ 2
= \tau^2/2
  exp \ (\tau *_R K) \$\$ \ 1 \$ \ 0 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R K) \$\$ \ 1 \$ \ 1 = 1 \ exp \ (\tau *_R K) \$\$ \ 1 \$ \ 2
  exp \ (\tau *_R K) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 0 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R K) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 1 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R K) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 2
= 1
 unfolding exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx scaleR-power const-acc-mtx-pow2
 by (auto simp: plus-sq-mtx-def scaleR-sq-mtx-def one-sq-mtx-def
     mat-def scaleR-vec-def axis-def plus-vec-def)
lemma bouncing-ball-sq-mtx:
  (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s\$0 \land s\$0 = h \land s\$1 = 0 \land 0 > s\$2) \le fbox
  (LOOP\ ((x'=(*_{V})\ K\ \&\ (\lambda\ s.\ s\$0 \geq 0))\ ;
  (IF (\lambda s. s\$0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s\$1)) ELSE skip))
  INV \ (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s\$0 \ \land \ 0 > s\$2 \ \land \ 2 * s\$2 * s\$0 = 2 * s\$2 * h + (s\$1 * s\$1)))
 (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \$ 0 \land s \$ 0 \le h)
  apply(rule fbox-loopI[of - (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s\$0 \land 0 > s\$2 \land 2 * s\$2 * s\$0 = 2 * s\$2 *
h + (s\$1 * s\$1)))
   apply(simp-all add: local-flow.fbox-g-ode[OF local-flow-exp] sq-mtx-vec-prod-eq)
   apply(force, force simp: bb-real-arith)
 unfolding UNIV-3 apply(simp add: exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps, safe)
 using bb-real-arith(2)[of - - h] apply (force simp: field-simps)
 subgoal for s \tau using bb-real-arith(3)[of s$2] by(simp \ add: field-simps)
 done
no-notation cnst-acc-sq-mtx (K)
Thermostat
typedef thermostat-vars = \{''t'', ''T'', ''on'', ''TT''\}
 morphisms to-str to-var
 apply(rule-tac\ x="t"\ in\ exI)
 by simp
notation to-var (\upharpoonright_V)
lemma number-of-thermostat-vars: CARD(thermostat-vars) = 4
  using type-definition.card type-definition-thermostat-vars by fastforce
instance \ thermostat	ext{-}vars::finite
 apply(standard)
```

apply(subst bij-betw-finite[of to-str UNIV {"t","T","on","TT"}])

```
apply(rule bij-betwI')
     apply (simp add: to-str-inject)
  using to-str apply blast
  apply (metis to-var-inverse UNIV-I)
  by simp
lemma thermostat-vars-univ-eq:
  (UNIV::thermostat-vars\ set) = \{ \upharpoonright_V "t", \upharpoonright_V "T", \upharpoonright_V "on", \upharpoonright_V "TT" \}
  apply auto by (metis to-str to-str-inverse insertE singletonD)
lemma thermostat-vars-exhaust: x = \lceil_V "t" \lor x = \lceil_V "T" \lor x = \lceil_V "on" \lor x = \lceil_V "TT"
  using thermostat-vars-univ-eq by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ thermostat\text{-}vars\text{-}sum:
  fixes f :: thermostat-vars \Rightarrow ('a::banach)
  shows (\sum (i::thermostat-vars) \in UNIV. f i) =
 f\left(\lceil_V"t"\right) + f\left(\lceil_V"T"\right) + f\left(\lceil_V"on"\right) + f\left(\lceil_V"TT"\right)
  unfolding thermostat-vars-univ-eq by (simp add: to-var-inject)
abbreviation val-T :: real thermostat-vars \Rightarrow string \Rightarrow real (infix) |V| 90)
  where store |_{V} var \equiv store |_{V} var
lemma thermostat-vars-allI:
  P(\upharpoonright_V"t") \Longrightarrow P(\upharpoonright_V"T") \Longrightarrow P(\upharpoonright_V"on") \Longrightarrow P(\upharpoonright_V"TT") \Longrightarrow \forall i. Pi
 using thermostat-vars-exhaust by metis
abbreviation temp-vec-field:: real \Rightarrow real *thermostat-vars \Rightarrow real *thermostat-vars
(f_T)
 where f_T a L s \equiv (\chi i. if i= \lceil_V"t" then 1 else (if <math>i= \lceil_V"T" then - a * (s \rceil_V"T")
-L) else \theta))
abbreviation temp-flow :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real \hat{} thermostat-vars \Rightarrow real \hat{} thermostat-vars
  where \varphi_T a L t s \equiv (\chi i. if i = |V''T''| then - exp(-a * t) * (L - s|V''T''|) +
L else
  (if i=\upharpoonright_V"t" then t+s \upharpoonright_V"t" else
  (if i= \upharpoonright_V"on" then s \upharpoonright_V"on" else s \upharpoonright_V"TT")))
lemma norm-diff-temp-dyn: 0 < a \Longrightarrow ||f_T \ a \ L \ s_1 - f_T \ a \ L \ s_2|| = |a| * |s_1|_V "T"
- s_2|_{V}''T''|
proof(simp add: norm-vec-def L2-set-def thermostat-vars-sum to-var-inject)
  assume a1: 0 < a
  have f2: \bigwedge r \ ra. \ |(r::real) + - \ ra| = |ra + - \ r|
    by (metis abs-minus-commute minus-real-def)
  have \bigwedge r \ ra \ rb. \ (r::real) * ra + - (r * rb) = r * (ra + - rb)
   by (metis minus-real-def right-diff-distrib)
 hence |a * (s_1|_V''T'' + - L) + - (a * (s_2|_V''T'' + - L))| = a * |s_1|_V''T'' +
-s_2|_V''T''|
    using a1 by (simp add: abs-mult)
```

```
thus |a * (s_2|_V''T'' - L) - a * (s_1|_V''T'' - L)| = a * |s_1|_V''T'' - s_2|_V''T''|
   using f2 minus-real-def by presburger
qed
lemma local-lipschitz-temp-dyn:
 assumes \theta < (a::real)
 shows local-lipschitz UNIV UNIV (\lambda t::real. f_T a L)
 apply(unfold local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def dist-norm)
 apply(clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=a in exI)
 using assms apply(simp add: norm-diff-temp-dyn)
 apply(simp add: norm-vec-def L2-set-def)
 apply(unfold thermostat-vars-univ-eq, simp add: to-var-inject, clarsimp)
 unfolding real-sqrt-abs[symmetric] by (rule real-le-lsqrt) auto
lemma local-flow-temp-up: a > 0 \Longrightarrow local-flow (f_T \ a \ L) \ UNIV \ UNIV \ (\varphi_T \ a \ L)
 apply(unfold-locales, simp-all)
 using local-lipschitz-temp-dyn apply blast
  apply(rule thermostat-vars-allI, simp-all add: to-var-inject)
  using thermostat-vars-exhaust by (auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff
to-var-inject)
lemma temp-dyn-down-real-arith:
 assumes a > 0 and Thyps: 0 < Tmin \ Tmin \le T \ T \le Tmax
   and thyps: 0 \le (t::real) \ \forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. \ \tau \le -(\ln(Tmin / T) / a)
 shows Tmin \le exp(-a * t) * T and exp(-a * t) * T \le Tmax
proof-
 have 0 \le t \land t \le -(\ln (Tmin / T) / a)
   using thyps by auto
 hence ln(Tmin / T) < -a * t \land -a * t < 0
   using assms(1) divide-le-cancel by fastforce
 also have Tmin / T > 0
   using Thyps by auto
 ultimately have obs: Tmin / T \le exp (-a * t) exp (-a * t) \le 1
   using exp-ln exp-le-one-iff by (metis exp-less-cancel-iff not-less, simp)
 thus Tmin \le exp(-a * t) * T
   using Thyps by (simp add: pos-divide-le-eq)
 show exp(-a * t) * T \leq Tmax
   using Thyps mult-left-le-one-le[OF - exp-ge-zero \ obs(2), \ of \ T]
     less-eq\mbox{-}real\mbox{-}def\ order\mbox{-}trans\mbox{-}rules(23)\ {f by}\ blast
qed
lemma temp-dyn-up-real-arith:
 assumes a > 0 and Thyps: Tmin \leq T T \leq Tmax \ Tmax < (L::real)
   and thyps: 0 \le t \ \forall \tau \in \{0..t\}.\ \tau \le -(\ln((L-Tmax)/(L-T))/a)
 shows L - Tmax \le exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
   and L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T) \leq Tmax
   and Tmin \leq L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
proof-
 have 0 \le t \land t \le -(\ln((L - Tmax) / (L - T)) / a)
```

```
using thyps by auto
 hence ln((L-Tmax)/(L-T)) \leq -a*t \wedge -a*t \leq 0
   using assms(1) divide-le-cancel by fastforce
 also have (L - Tmax) / (L - T) > 0
   using Thyps by auto
 ultimately have (L-Tmax) / (L-T) \le exp(-a*t) \land exp(-a*t) \le 1
   using exp-ln exp-le-one-iff by (metis exp-less-cancel-iff not-less)
 moreover have L-T>0
   using Thyps by auto
 ultimately have obs: (L-Tmax) \le exp(-a*t)*(L-T) \land exp(-a*t)
* (L - T) \le (L - T)
   by (simp add: pos-divide-le-eq)
 thus (L - Tmax) \le exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
   by auto
 thus L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T) \leq Tmax
   by auto
 show Tmin \leq L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
   using Thyps and obs by auto
qed
lemmas wlp-temp-dyn = local-flow.fbox-g-ode-ivl[OF local-flow-temp-up - UNIV-I]
lemma thermostat:
 assumes a > 0 and 0 \le t and 0 < Tmin and Tmax < L
 shows (\lambda s. \ Tmin \leq s|_V"T" \wedge s|_V"T" \leq Tmax \wedge s|_V"on" = 0) \leq
 |LOOP|
   — control
   (((\upharpoonright_V"t")::=(\lambda s.\theta));((\upharpoonright_V"TT")::=(\lambda s.\ s \downharpoonright_V"T"));
    (IF (\lambda s. \ s|_V"on"=0 \land s|_V"TT" < Tmin + 1) THEN (\upharpoonright_V"on" ::= (\lambda s.1))
    (IF (\lambda s. \ s \mid_V "on" = 1 \land s \mid_V "TT" \ge Tmax - 1) THEN (\mid_V "on" ::= (\lambda s. \theta))
ELSE\ skip));

    dynamics

  (IF (\lambda s. s|_{V}"on"=0) THEN (x'=(f_T a 0) & (\lambda s. s|_{V}"t" \leq -(ln (Tmin/s|_{V}"TT"))/a)
on \{\theta..t\} UNIV @ \theta)
    ELSE (x'=(f_T \ a \ L) \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ s|_V"t" \le - (ln \ ((L-Tmax)/(L-s|_V"TT")))/a)
on \{0..t\}\ UNIV @ 0))
 \overrightarrow{INV} ($\lambda s. Tmin \leq s \big|_V "T" \lambda s \big|_V "T" \leq Tmax \lambda (s \big|_V "on" = 0 \lor s \big|_V "on" = 1))]
 (\lambda s. \ Tmin \leq s | V''T'' \wedge s | V''T'' \leq Tmax)
  apply(rule\ fbox-loopI,\ simp-all\ add:\ wlp-temp-dyn[OF\ assms(1,2)]\ le-fun-def
to-var-inject, safe)
 using temp-dyn-up-real-arith[OF\ assms(1)\ -\ -\ assms(4),\ of\ Tmin]
   and temp-dyn-down-real-arith[OF\ assms(1,3),\ of\ -\ Tmax] by auto
no-notation thermostat-vars.to-var (\upharpoonright_V)
       and val-T (infixl |V| 90)
       and temp-vec-field (f_T)
       and temp-flow (\varphi_T)
```

end

1.7 Verification components with predicate transformers

We use the categorical forward box operator $fb_{\mathcal{F}}$ to compute weakest liberal preconditions (wlps) of hybrid programs. Then we repeat the three methods for verifying correctness specifications of the continuous dynamics of a HS.

```
{\bf theory}\ cat 2 func set\\ {\bf imports}\ ../hs-prelims-dyn-sys\ Transformer-Semantics. Kleisli-Quantale\\ {\bf begin}
```

— We start by deleting some notation and introducing some new.

```
no-notation bres (infixr \rightarrow 60)

and dagger (-† [101] 100)

and Relation.relcomp (infixl; 75)

and eta (\eta)

and kcomp (infixl \circ_K 75)

type-synonym 'a pred = 'a \Rightarrow bool

notation eta (skip)

and kcomp (infixl; 75)

and g-orbital ((1x'=-& - on - - @ -))
```

1.7.1 Verification of regular programs

Properties of the forward box operator.

```
lemma fb_{\mathcal{F}} F S = \{s. F s \subseteq S\}

unfolding ffb-def map-dual-def klift-def kop-def dual-set-def

by (auto simp: Compl-eq-Diff-UNIV fun-eq-iff f2r-def converse-def r2f-def)

lemma ffb-eq: fb_{\mathcal{F}} F S = \{s. \forall s'. s' \in F s \longrightarrow s' \in S\}

unfolding ffb-def apply (simp add: kop-def klift-def map-dual-def)

unfolding dual-set-def f2r-def r2f-def by auto

lemma ffb-iso: P \leq Q \Longrightarrow fb_{\mathcal{F}} F P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} F Q

unfolding ffb-eq by auto

lemma ffb-invariants:

assumes \{s. I s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} F \{s. I s\} and \{s. J s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} F \{s. J s\}

shows \{s. I s \land J s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} F \{s. I s \land J s\}

and \{s. I s \lor J s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} F \{s. I s \lor J s\}

using assms unfolding ffb-eq by auto
```

```
The weakest liberal precondition (wlp) of the "skip" program is the identity. lemma ffb-skip[simp]: fb_{\mathcal{F}} skip S = S unfolding ffb-def by (simp \ add: kop-def \ klift-def \ map-dual-def)
```

Next, we introduce assignments and their wlps.

```
definition vec\text{-}upd :: ('a \hat{\ }'n) \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \hat{\ }'n

where vec\text{-}upd \ s \ i \ a = (\chi \ j. (((\$) \ s)(i := a)) \ j)
```

definition assign ::
$$'n \Rightarrow ('a \hat{\ }'n \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \hat{\ }'n) \Rightarrow ('a \hat{\ }'n)$$
 set $((2 \cdot := -) [70, 65] 61)$
where $(x := e) = (\lambda s. \{vec \cdot upd \ s \ x \ (e \ s)\})$

lemma ffb-assign[simp]: fb_F (
$$x := e$$
) $Q = \{s. (\chi j. (((\$) s)(x := (e s))) j) \in Q\}$ unfolding vec-upd-def assign-def by (subst ffb-eq) simp

The wlp of program composition is just the composition of the wlps.

```
lemma ffb-kcomp[simp]: fb_{\mathcal{F}}(G; F) P = fb_{\mathcal{F}} G (fb_{\mathcal{F}} F P)
unfolding ffb-def apply(simp add: kop-def klift-def map-dual-def)
unfolding dual-set-def f2r-def r2f-def by(auto simp: kcomp-def)
```

lemma hoare-kcomp:

```
assumes P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} F R R \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} G Q
shows P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (F; G) Q
apply(subst ffb-kcomp)
by (rule order.trans[OF assms(1)]) (rule ffb-iso[OF assms(2)])
```

We also have an implementation of the conditional operator and its wlp.

```
definition if the nelse :: 'a pred \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b set) (IF - THEN - ELSE - [64,64,64] 63) where IF P THEN X ELSE Y = (\lambda x. if P x then X x else Y x)
```

lemma *ffb-if-then-else*[*simp*]:

```
fb_{\mathcal{F}} (IF T THEN X ELSE Y) Q = \{s. \ T \ s \longrightarrow s \in fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ X \ Q\} \cap \{s. \ \neg \ T \ s \longrightarrow s \in fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ Y \ Q\} unfolding ffb-eq ifthenelse-def by auto
```

lemma hoare-if-then-else:

```
assumes P \cap \{s. \ T \ s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ X \ Q
and P \cap \{s. \ \neg \ T \ s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ Y \ Q
shows P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (IF \ T \ THEN \ X \ ELSE \ Y) \ Q
using assms apply(subst ffb-eq)
apply(subst (asm) ffb-eq)+
unfolding ifthenelse-def by auto
```

We also deal with finite iteration.

```
lemma kpower-inv: I \leq \{s. \ \forall y. \ y \in F \ s \longrightarrow y \in I\} \Longrightarrow I \leq \{s. \ \forall y. \ y \in (kpower F \ n \ s) \longrightarrow y \in I\}
apply(induct n, simp)
```

```
apply simp
    \mathbf{by}(auto\ simp:\ kcomp-prop)
lemma kstar-inv: I \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ F \ I \Longrightarrow I \subseteq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (kstar \ F) \ I
    unfolding kstar-def ffb-eq apply clarsimp
    using knower-inv by blast
lemma ffb-kstarI:
    assumes P \leq I and I \leq Q and I \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} FI
    shows P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (kstar F) Q
proof-
    have I \subseteq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (kstar F) I
        using assms(3) kstar-inv by blast
    hence P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (kstar \ F) \ I
        using assms(1) by auto
    also have fb_{\mathcal{F}} (kstar F) I \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (kstar F) Q
        by (rule\ ffb-iso[OF\ assms(2)])
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
definition loopi :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \ (LOOP - INV 
[64,64] 63
    where LOOP F INV I \equiv (kstar F)
lemma ffb-loopI: P \leq \{s. \ I \ s\} \implies \{s. \ I \ s\} \leq Q \implies \{s. \ I \ s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ F \ \{s. \ I \ s\}
\implies P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (LOOP \ F \ INV \ I) \ Q
    unfolding loopi-def using ffb-kstarI[of P] by simp
1.7.2
                        Verification of hybrid programs
Verification by providing evolution
definition q\text{-}evol :: (('a::ord) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ pred} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set})
(EVOL)
    where EVOL \varphi G T = (\lambda s. g\text{-}orbit (\lambda t. \varphi t s) G T)
lemma fbox-g-evol[simp]:
    fixes \varphi :: ('a::preorder) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
    shows fb_{\mathcal{F}} (EVOL \varphi G T) Q = \{s. \ (\forall t \in T. \ (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow (\varphi \ t) \}
t(s) \in Q
    unfolding g-evol-def g-orbit-eq ffb-eq by auto
Verification by providing solutions
lemma ffb-g-orbital: fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'= f & G on T S @ t_0) Q =
    \{s. \ \forall \ X \in Sols \ (\lambda t. \ f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s. \ \forall \ t \in T. \ (\forall \ \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (X \ \tau)) \longrightarrow (X \ t) \in Q\}
    unfolding ffb-eq g-orbital-eq subset-eq by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)
lemma ffb-g-orbital-eq: fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) Q =
    \{s. \ \forall X \in Sols \ (\lambda t. \ f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s. \ \forall \ t \in T. \ (\mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \ X
(down\ T\ t)\subseteq Q
```

```
unfolding ffb-g-orbital image-le-pred
  apply(subgoal-tac \forall X \ t. \ (P \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq Q) = (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ (X \ \tau) \in Q))
  by (auto simp: image-def)
context local-flow
begin
lemma ffb-g-ode: fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ \theta) Q =
   \{s.\ s\in S\longrightarrow (\forall\,t\in T.\ (\forall\,\tau\in down\ T\ t.\ G\ (\varphi\ \tau\ s))\longrightarrow (\varphi\ t\ s)\in Q)\}\ (\mathbf{is}\ -=
?wlp)
  unfolding ffb-g-orbital apply(safe, clarsimp)
    apply(erule-tac \ x=\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ x \ in \ ball E)
  using in-ivp-sols apply(force, force, force simp: init-time ivp-sols-def)
  apply(subgoal\text{-}tac \ \forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ X \ \tau = \varphi \ \tau \ x, \ simp\text{-}all, \ clarsimp)
  apply(subst eq-solution, simp-all add: ivp-sols-def)
  using init-time by auto
lemma ffb-g-ode-ivl: t \geq 0 \implies t \in T \implies fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ \{0..t\} \ S @ 0) \ Q
  \{s.\ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall t \in \{0..t\}.\ (\forall \tau \in \{0..t\}.\ G\ (\varphi\ \tau\ s)) \longrightarrow (\varphi\ t\ s) \in Q)\}
  unfolding ffb-g-orbital apply(clarsimp, safe)
    apply(erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t x in ballE, force)
  using in-ivp-sols-ivl apply(force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
  using in-ivp-sols-ivl apply(force simp: ivp-sols-def)
   apply(subgoal-tac \forall t \in \{0..t\}. (\forall \tau \in \{0..t\}). X \tau = \varphi \tau x), simp, clarsimp)
  apply(subst eq-solution-ivl, simp-all add: ivp-sols-def)
      \mathbf{apply}(\textit{rule has-vderiv-on-subset}, \textit{force}, \textit{force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl})
    apply(force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
  using interval-time init-time apply (meson is-interval-1 order-trans)
  using init-time by force
lemma ffb-orbit: fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ \gamma^{\varphi} \ Q = \{s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ \varphi \ t \ s \in Q)\}
  unfolding orbit-def ffb-g-ode by simp
end
Verification with differential invariants
definition g-ode-inv :: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow real \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow
  real \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \ ((1x'=-\& -on --@ -DINV -))
  where (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0 DINV I) = (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0)
lemma ffb-g-orbital-guard:
  assumes H = (\lambda s. G s \wedge Q s)
  shows fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) \{s. Q s\} = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) \{s. Q s\} = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) \{s. Q s\} = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) \{s. Q s\} = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) \{s. Q s\} = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) \{s. Q s\} = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) \}
t_0) {s. H s}
  unfolding ffb-g-orbital using assms by auto
lemma ffb-g-orbital-inv:
  assumes P \leq I and I \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) I and I \leq Q
```

```
shows P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) Q
 using assms(1) apply(rule order.trans)
  using assms(2) apply(rule order.trans)
  by (rule\ ffb-iso[OF\ assms(3)])
lemma ffb-diff-inv[simp]:
 (\{s.\ I\ s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (x'=f\ \&\ G\ on\ T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ \{s.\ I\ s\}) = diff-invariant\ I\ f\ T\ S\ t_0\ G
 by (auto simp: diff-invariant-def ivp-sols-def ffb-eq g-orbital-eq)
lemma diff-invariant If T S t_0 G = (((g\text{-}orbital f G T S t_0)^{\dagger}) \{s. I s\} \subseteq \{s. I s\})
  unfolding klift-def diff-invariant-def by simp
lemma bdf-diff-inv:
  diff-invariant If\ T\ S\ t_0\ G = (bd_{\mathcal{F}}\ (x'=f\ \&\ G\ on\ T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ \{s.\ I\ s\} \le \{s.\ I\ s\})
  unfolding ffb-fbd-galois-var by (auto simp: diff-invariant-def ivp-sols-def ffb-eq
g-orbital-eq)
lemma diff-inv-quard-ignore:
 assumes \{s.\ I\ s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (x'=f\ \&\ (\lambda s.\ True)\ on\ T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ \{s.\ I\ s\}
 shows \{s. \ I \ s\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x' = f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ \{s. \ I \ s\}
 using assms unfolding ffb-diff-inv diff-invariant-eq by auto
context local-flow
begin
lemma ffb-diff-inv-eq: diff-invariant I f T S \theta (\lambda s. True) =
  (\{s.\ s \in S \longrightarrow I\ s\} = fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (x'=f\ \&\ (\lambda s.\ True)\ on\ T\ S\ @\ 0)\ \{s.\ s \in S \longrightarrow I\ s\}
s
 unfolding ffb-diff-inv[symmetric] ffb-q-orbital
  using init-time apply(auto simp: subset-eq ivp-sols-def)
 apply(subst\ ivp(2)[symmetric],\ simp)
 apply(erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t x in allE)
  using in-domain has-vderiv-on-domain ivp(2) init-time by force
\mathbf{lemma} \mathit{diff-inv-eq-inv-set}:
  diff-invariant If\ T\ S\ \theta\ (\lambda s.\ True) = (\forall s.\ Is \longrightarrow \gamma^{\varphi}\ s \subseteq \{s.\ Is\})
  unfolding diff-inv-eq-inv-set orbit-def by simp
end
lemma ffb-g-odei: P \leq \{s. \ I \ s\} \Longrightarrow \{s. \ I \ s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ \{s. \ fb\} 
  \{s.\ I\ s \land G\ s\} \leq Q \Longrightarrow P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (x'=f\ \&\ G\ on\ T\ S\ @\ t_0\ DINV\ I)\ Q
 unfolding g-ode-inv-def apply(rule-tac b=fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) {s. I
s} in order.trans)
  apply(rule-tac\ I=\{s.\ I\ s\}\ in\ ffb-g-orbital-inv,\ simp-all)
  apply(subst\ ffb-g-orbital-guard,\ simp)
  by (rule ffb-iso, force)
```

1.7.3 Derivation of the rules of dL

We derive domain specific rules of differential dynamic logic (dL). First we present a generalised version, then we show the rules as instances of the general ones.

```
lemma diff-solve-axiom:
  fixes c::'a::\{heine-borel, banach\}
  assumes \theta \in T and is-interval T open T
  shows fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=(\lambda s. c) & G on T UNIV @ 0) Q =
  \{s. \ \forall t \in T. \ (\mathcal{P} \ (\lambda \tau. \ s + \tau *_R c) \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}) \longrightarrow (s + t *_R c) \in Q\}
  apply(subst local-flow.ffb-g-ode[of \lambda s.\ c - (\lambda t\ s.\ s + t *_R c)])
  using line-is-local-flow assms by auto
lemma diff-solve-rule:
  assumes local-flow f T UNIV \varphi
    and \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ (\mathcal{P} \ (\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ s) \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}) \longrightarrow (\varphi \ t \ s)
  shows P < fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T \text{ UNIV } @ \theta) Q
  using assms by(subst local-flow.ffb-g-ode) auto
lemma diff-weak-axiom: fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'= f & G on T S @ t_0) Q = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'= f & G on
T S @ t_0) \{s. G s \longrightarrow s \in Q\}
  unfolding ffb-g-orbital image-def by force
lemma diff-weak-rule: \{s.\ G\ s\} \leq Q \Longrightarrow P \leq fb_F\ (x'=f\ \&\ G\ on\ T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ Q
  by(auto intro: g-orbitalD simp: le-fun-def g-orbital-eq ffb-eq)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ffb-g-orbital-eq-univ}D:
  assumes fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \{s. C s\} = UNIV
    and \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). x \ \tau \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s
  shows \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). C \ (x \ \tau)
proof
  fix \tau assume \tau \in (down \ T \ t)
  hence x \tau \in (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s
    using assms(2) by blast
  also have \forall y. y \in (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s \longrightarrow C y
    using assms(1) unfolding ffb-eq by fastforce
  ultimately show C(x \tau) by blast
qed
lemma diff-cut-axiom:
  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
    and fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \{s. C s\} = UNIV
  shows fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) Q = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& (\lambda s. G s \wedge C s) \text{ on } T
S @ t_0) Q
\operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(rule\text{-}tac\ f = \lambda\ x.\ fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ x\ Q\ \mathbf{in}\ HOL.arg\text{-}cong,\ rule\ ext,\ rule\ subset\text{-}antisym)
  {fix s' assume s' \in (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s
    then obtain \tau::real and X where x-ivp: X \in Sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
```

```
and X \tau = s' and \tau \in T and guard-x:\mathcal{P} X (down \ T \tau) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}
           using g-orbitalD[of s' f G T S t_0 s] by blast
       have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ \mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}
           using guard-x by (force simp: image-def)
       also have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ t \in T
           using \langle \tau \in T \rangle Thyp closed-segment-subset-interval by auto
       ultimately have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). X \ t \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s
           using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
       hence \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). C(X \ t)
           using assms unfolding ffb-eq by fastforce
       hence s' \in (x' = f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s
           using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp \langle \tau \in T \rangle] guard-x \langle X \tau = s' \rangle by fastforce}
    thus (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s \subseteq (x' = f \& (\lambda s. G s \wedge C s) \text{ on } T S @ t_0) s
       by blast
next show \bigwedge s. (x'=f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) on T S @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T S @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s = (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s = (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s = (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s = (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s = (x'=f \& G on T s) on T s @ t_0) s = (x'=f \& G on T s) s = (x'=f \& G on T s) s @ t_0) s = (x'=f \& G on T s) s = (x'
S @ t_0) s
       by (auto simp: g-orbital-eq)
qed
lemma diff-cut-rule:
   assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
       and ffb-C: P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \{s. C s\}
       and ffb-Q: P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) on T S @ t_0) Q
    shows P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ Q
proof(subst ffb-eq, subst g-orbital-eq, clarsimp)
    fix t::real and X::real \Rightarrow 'a and s assume s \in P and t \in T
       and x-ivp:X \in Sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
       and guard-x: \forall \tau. s2p \ T \ \tau \land \tau \leq t \longrightarrow G \ (X \ \tau)
    have \forall r \in (down \ T \ t). X \ r \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s
       using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] guard-x by auto
    hence \forall t \in (down \ T \ t). C \ (X \ t)
       using ffb-C \langle s \in P \rangle by (subst (asm) ffb-eq, auto)
    hence X \ t \in (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s) \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ s
       using guard-x \langle t \in T \rangle by (auto\ intro!:\ g-orbitalI\ x-ivp)
    thus (X t) \in Q
       using \langle s \in P \rangle ffb-Q by (subst (asm) ffb-eq) auto
qed
The rules of dL
abbreviation q-qlobal-orbit ::(('a::banach)\Rightarrow'a) \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set
    ((1x'=-\& -)) where (x'=f\& G) \equiv (x'=f\& G \text{ on } UNIV \text{ }UNIV @ 0)
abbreviation q-qlobal-ode-inv ::(('a::banach)\Rightarrow'a pred \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a
'a set
     ((1x'=-\&-DINV-)) where (x'=f\& GDINVI) \equiv (x'=f\& Gon\ UNIV
 UNIV @ 0 DINV I)
lemma solve:
    assumes local-flow f UNIV UNIV \varphi
```

```
and \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (\forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow (\varphi \ t \ s) \in Q)
  shows P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ Q
  apply(rule \ diff-solve-rule[OF \ assms(1)])
  using assms(2) by simp
lemma DS:
  fixes c::'a::{heine-borel, banach}
  shows fb_{\mathcal{F}}(x'=(\lambda s.\ c)\ \&\ G)\ Q=\{x.\ \forall\ t.\ (\forall\ \tau\leq t.\ G\ (x+\tau*_R\ c))\longrightarrow (x+t\}
*_R c) \in Q
  by (subst diff-solve-axiom[of UNIV]) auto
lemma DW: fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G) Q = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G) \{s. G s \longrightarrow s \in Q\}
  by (rule diff-weak-axiom)
lemma dW: \{s. \ G \ s\} \leq Q \Longrightarrow P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \ \& \ G) \ Q
  by (rule diff-weak-rule)
lemma DC:
  assumes fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G) \{s. C s\} = UNIV
  shows fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& G) Q=fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s)) Q
  by (rule diff-cut-axiom) (auto simp: assms)
lemma dC:
  assumes P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ C \ s\}
    and P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s)) \ Q
  shows P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ Q
  apply(rule diff-cut-rule)
  using assms by auto
lemma dI:
 assumes P \leq \{s. \ I \ s\} and diff-invariant I \ f \ UNIV \ UNIV \ 0 \ G and \{s. \ I \ s\} \leq Q
 shows P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ Q
  by (rule\ ffb-g-orbital-inv[OF\ assms(1)\ -\ assms(3)]) <math>(simp\ add:\ assms(2))
```

end

1.7.4 Examples

We prove partial correctness specifications of some hybrid systems with our recently described verification components.

```
theory cat2funcset-examples imports ../hs-prelims-matrices cat2funcset
```

begin

Preliminary lemmas for the examples.

```
lemma two-eq-zero: (2::2) = 0 by simp
```

```
lemma four-eq-zero: (4::4) = 0
by simp
lemma UNIV-2: (UNIV::2\ set) = \{0,\ 1\}
apply safe using exhaust-2\ two-eq-zero by auto
lemma UNIV-3: (UNIV::3\ set) = \{0,\ 1,\ 2\}
apply safe using exhaust-3\ three-eq-zero by auto
lemma UNIV-4: (UNIV::4\ set) = \{0,\ 1,\ 2,\ 3\}
apply safe using exhaust-4\ four-eq-zero by auto
```

Pendulum

The ODEs x' t = y t and text "y' t = -x t" describe the circular motion of a mass attached to a string looked from above. We use s\$0 to represent the x-coordinate and s\$1 for the y-coordinate. We prove that this motion remains circular.

— Verified with differential invariants.

```
abbreviation fpend :: real^2 \Rightarrow real^2 (f)
     where f s \equiv (\chi i. if i=0 then s$1 else -s$0)
lemma pendulum-invariants: \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2 + (
r^2 = (s\$\theta)^2 + (s\$1)^2
     by (auto intro!: diff-invariant-rules poly-derivatives)
— Verified with the flow.
abbreviation pend-flow :: real \Rightarrow real ^2 \Rightarrow real ^2 (\varphi)
     where \varphi t s \equiv (\chi i. if i = 0 then <math>s\$0 \cdot cos t + s\$1 \cdot sin t else - s\$0 \cdot sin t +
s$1 · cos t)
lemma local-flow-pend: local-flow f UNIV UNIV \varphi
      apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def vec-eq-iff,
clarsimp)
           apply(rule-tac \ x=1 \ in \ exI, \ clarsimp, \ rule-tac \ x=1 \ in \ exI)
      apply(simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def power2-commute UNIV-2)
        apply(clarsimp, case-tac \ i = 0, simp)
      using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (force intro!: poly-derivatives derivative-intros)+
lemma pendulum: \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=f \& G) \ \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2\}
+ (s\$1)^2
     by (force simp: local-flow.ffb-g-ode[OF local-flow-pend])
```

— Verified by providing the dynamics

```
lemma pendulum-dyn: \{s.\ r^2=(s\$\theta)^2+(s\$1)^2\}\leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (EVOL\ \varphi\ G\ T)\ \{s.\ r^2=(s\$\theta)^2+(s\$1)^2\}
= (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\}
 by force
— Verified as a linear system (using uniqueness).
abbreviation pend-sq-mtx :: 2 sq-mtx (A)
  where A \equiv sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi \ (\chi \ i. \ if \ i=0 \ then \ e \ 1 \ else \ - \ e \ \theta)
lemma pend-sq-mtx-exp-eq-flow: exp (t *_R A) *_V s = \varphi t s
  apply(rule local-flow.eq-solution[OF local-flow-exp, symmetric])
    apply(rule ivp-solsI, clarsimp)
  unfolding sq-mtx-vec-prod-def matrix-vector-mult-def apply simp
      apply(force intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def)
  using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (force simp: vec-eq-iff, auto)
lemma pendulum-sq-mtx: \{s. \ r^2 = (s\$0)^2 + (s\$1)^2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x'=(*_V) \ A \& G)
\{s. \ r^2 = (s\$\theta)^2 + (s\$1)^2\}
 \mathbf{unfolding}\ local\text{-}flow.ffb\text{-}g\text{-}ode[\mathit{OF}\ local\text{-}flow\text{-}exp]\ pend\text{-}sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}exp\text{-}eq\text{-}flow\ \mathbf{by}\ auto}
no-notation fpend (f)
        and pend-sq-mtx (A)
        and pend-flow (\varphi)
```

Bouncing Ball

A ball is dropped from rest at an initial height h. The motion is described with the free-fall equations x' t = v t and v' t = g where g is the constant acceleration due to gravity. The bounce is modelled with a variable assigntment that flips the velocity, thus it is a completely elastic collision with the ground. We use s\$0 to ball's height and s\$1 for its velocity. We prove that the ball remains above ground and below its initial resting position.

— Verified with differential invariants.

named-theorems bb-real-arith real arithmetic properties for the bouncing ball.

```
lemma [bb-real-arith]: assumes 0 > g and inv: 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h = v \cdot v shows (x::real) \le h proof—
have v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h \wedge 0 > g using inv and (0 > g) by auto hence obs: v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot (x - h) \wedge 0 > g \wedge v \cdot v \ge 0 using left-diff-distrib mult.commute by (metis\ zero-le-square) hence (v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) = (x - h) by auto also from obs\ have\ (v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) \le 0 using divide-nonneg-neg by fastforce
```

```
ultimately have h - x \ge \theta
   by linarith
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
abbreviation fball :: real \Rightarrow real^2 \Rightarrow real^2 (f)
 where f g s \equiv (\chi i. if i=0 then s$1 else g)
lemma bouncing-ball-invariants: g < 0 \implies h \ge 0 \implies
  \{s. \ s\$0 = h \land s\$1 = 0\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}}
  (LOOP (
   (x'=(f g) \& (\lambda s. s\$0 \ge 0) DINV (\lambda s. 2 \cdot g \cdot s\$0 - 2 \cdot g \cdot h - s\$1 \cdot s\$1 =
\theta));
   (IF (\lambda s. s\$0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s\$1)) ELSE skip))
 INV (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s\$0 \land 2 \cdot g \cdot s\$0 - 2 \cdot g \cdot h - s\$1 \cdot s\$1 = 0))
  \{s. \ 0 \le s \$ 0 \land s \$ 0 \le h\}
 apply(rule ffb-loopI, simp-all)
   apply(force, force simp: bb-real-arith)
 apply(rule ffb-g-odei)
 by (auto intro!: diff-invariant-rules poly-derivatives simp: bb-real-arith)

    Verified with the flow.

abbreviation ball-flow :: real \Rightarrow real ^2 \Rightarrow real ^2 \Rightarrow real ^2
 where \varphi g t s \equiv (\chi i. if i=0 then <math>g \cdot t \hat{\ } 2/2 + s\$1 \cdot t + s\$0 else g \cdot t + s\$1)
lemma local-flow-ball: local-flow (f g) UNIV UNIV (\varphi g)
 apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
   apply(rule-tac \ x=1/2 \ in \ exI, \ clarsimp, \ rule-tac \ x=1 \ in \ exI)
   apply(simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def UNIV-2)
 apply(clarsimp, case-tac \ i = 0)
  using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff)
force
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
 assumes invar: 2 * g * x = 2 * g * h + v * v
   and pos: g * \tau^2 / 2 + v * \tau + (x::real) = 0
 shows 2 * g * h + (g * \tau * (g * \tau + v) + v * (g * \tau + v)) = 0
proof-
  from pos have g * \tau^2 + 2 * v * \tau + 2 * x = 0 by auto
 then have g^2 * \tau^2 + 2 * g * v * \tau + 2 * g * x = 0
   by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) Groups.mult-ac(1,3) mult-zero-right
       monoid-mult-class.power 2-eq\text{-}square \ semiring-class.distrib-left)
 hence g^2 * \tau^2 + 2 * g * v * \tau + v^2 + 2 * g * h = 0
   using invar by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
 hence obs: (g * \tau + v)^2 + 2 * g * h = 0
   apply(subst\ power2\text{-}sum)\ by\ (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ hide-lams)\ Groups.add-ac(2,3)
       Groups.mult-ac(2, 3) monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square nat-distrib(2))
```

```
thus 2 * g * h + (g * \tau * (g * \tau + v) + v * (g * \tau + v)) = 0
   by (simp add: add.commute distrib-right power2-eq-square)
qed
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
 assumes invar: 2 \cdot q \cdot x = 2 \cdot q \cdot h + v \cdot v
 shows 2 \cdot g \cdot (g \cdot \tau^{2} / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real)) =
  2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof-
  have ?lhs = q^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot q \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot q \cdot x
      apply(subst\ Rat.sign-simps(18))+
      \mathbf{by}(\textit{auto simp: semiring-normalization-rules}(\textit{29}))
    also have ... = g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v (is ... = ?middle)
      \mathbf{by}(subst\ invar,\ simp)
   finally have ?lhs = ?middle.
  moreover
  {have ?rhs = g \cdot g \cdot (\tau \cdot \tau) + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v
   by (simp\ add:\ Groups.mult-ac(2,3)\ semiring-class.distrib-left)
  also have \dots = ?middle
   by (simp\ add:\ semiring-normalization-rules(29))
  finally have ?rhs = ?middle.}
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma bouncing-ball: g < 0 \Longrightarrow h \ge 0 \Longrightarrow
  \{s. \ s\$0 = h \land s\$1 = 0\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}}
  (LOOP (
    (x'=(f g) \& (\lambda s. s\$0 \ge 0));
    (IF (\lambda s. s\$0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s\$1)) ELSE skip))
  INV (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s\$0 \land 2 \cdot g \cdot s\$0 = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + s\$1 \cdot s\$1)
  \{s. \ 0 \le s \$ 0 \land s \$ 0 \le h\}
 \mathbf{by} \; (\textit{rule ffb-loopI}) \; (\textit{auto simp: bb-real-arith local-flow.ffb-g-ode}[\textit{OF local-flow-ball}])
— Verified by providing the dynamics
lemma bouncing-ball-dyn: g < 0 \implies h \ge 0 \implies
  \{s. \ s\$0 = h \land s\$1 = 0\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}}
  (LOOP (
    (EVOL \ (\varphi \ g) \ (\lambda \ s. \ s\$\theta \ge \theta) \ T) \ ;
    (IF (\lambda s. s\$0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s\$1)) ELSE skip))
  INV (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s\$0 \land 2 \cdot g \cdot s\$0 = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + s\$1 \cdot s\$1)
  \{s. \ 0 \le s \$ 0 \land s \$ 0 \le h\}
  by (rule ffb-loopI) (auto simp: bb-real-arith)
— Verified as a linear system (computing exponential).
abbreviation ball-sq-mtx :: 3 sq-mtx (A)
 where ball-sq-mtx \equiv sq-mtx-chi (\chi i. if i=0 then e 1 else if i=1 then e 2 else 0)
```

```
lemma ball-sq-mtx-pow2: A^2 = sq\text{-mtx-chi} (\chi i. if i=0 then e 2 else 0)
 unfolding power2-eq-square times-sq-mtx-def
  by(simp add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff matrix-matrix-mult-def)
lemma ball-sq-mtx-powN: n > 2 \Longrightarrow (\tau *_R A) \hat{n} = 0
 apply(induct n, simp, case-tac n < 2)
  apply(simp only: le-less-Suc-eq power-Suc, simp)
  by(auto simp: ball-sq-mtx-pow2 sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff
     times-sq-mtx-def zero-sq-mtx-def matrix-matrix-mult-def)
lemma exp-ball-sq-mtx: exp (\tau *_R A) = ((\tau *_R A)^2/_R 2) + (\tau *_R A) + 1
  unfolding exp\text{-}def apply (subst\ suminf\text{-}eq\text{-}sum[of\ 2])
  using ball-sq-mtx-powN by (simp-all add: numeral-2-eq-2)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{exp-ball-sq-mtx-simps}\colon
  exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 0 \$ \ 0 = 1 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 0 \$ \ 1 = \tau \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 0 \$ \ 2
  exp(\tau *_R A) \$\$ 1 \$ 0 = 0 exp(\tau *_R A) \$\$ 1 \$ 1 = 1 exp(\tau *_R A) \$\$ 1 \$ 2
  exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 0 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 1 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 2
 unfolding exp-ball-sq-mtx scaleR-power ball-sq-mtx-pow2
 by (auto simp: plus-sq-mtx-def scaleR-sq-mtx-def one-sq-mtx-def
     mat-def scaleR-vec-def axis-def plus-vec-def)
lemma bouncing-ball-sq-mtx:
  \{s. \ 0 \le s \$0 \land s \$0 = h \land s \$1 = 0 \land 0 > s \$2\} \le fb_{\mathcal{F}}
  (LOOP\ ((x'=(*_{V})\ A\ \&\ (\lambda\ s.\ s\$\theta \geq \theta))\ ;
  (IF \ (\lambda \ s. \ s\$0 = 0) \ THEN \ (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s\$1)) \ ELSE \ skip))
  INV \ (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s\$0 \ \land \ 0 > s\$2 \ \land \ 2 \ \cdot s\$2 \ \cdot s\$0 = 2 \ \cdot s\$2 \ \cdot h \ + (s\$1 \ \cdot s\$1)))
  \{s. \ 0 \le s \$ 0 \land s \$ 0 \le h\}
 \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule\ ffb-loop}I, \mathit{simp-all\ add:\ local-flow.ffb-g-ode}[\mathit{OF\ local-flow-exp}]\ \mathit{sq-mtx-vec-prod-eq})
   apply(clarsimp, force simp: bb-real-arith)
  unfolding UNIV-3 apply(simp add: exp-ball-sq-mtx-simps, safe)
  using bb-real-arith(2) apply(force simp: add.commute mult.commute)
  using bb-real-arith(3) by (force simp: add.commute mult.commute)
no-notation fball(f)
       and ball-flow (\varphi)
       and ball-sq-mtx (A)
```

Thermostat

A thermostat has a chronometer, a thermometer and a switch to turn on and off a heater. At most every t minutes, it sets its chronometer to θ , it registers the room temperature, and it turns the heater on (or off) based on this reading. The temperature follows the ODE T' = -a * (T - U) where U is $L \geq \theta$ when the heater is on, and θ when it is off. We use θ to

denote the room's temperature, 1 is time as measured by the thermostat's chronometer, 2 is the temperature detected by the thermometer, and 3 states whether the heater is on (s\$3=1) or off (s\$3=0). We prove that the thermostat keeps the room's temperature between Tmin and Tmax.

```
abbreviation temp-vec-field :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real \mathring{4} \Rightarrow real \mathring{4} (f)
      where f \ a \ L \ s \equiv (\chi \ i. \ if \ i = 1 \ then \ 1 \ else \ (if \ i = 0 \ then \ - \ a * (s\$0 \ - \ L) \ else
\theta))
abbreviation temp-flow :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real ^{2}4 \Rightarrow real
      where \varphi a L t s \equiv (\chi i. if i = 0 then -exp(-a * t) * (L - s\$0) + L else
      (if \ i = 1 \ then \ t + s\$1 \ else \ (if \ i = 2 \ then \ s\$2 \ else \ s\$3)))
— Verified with the flow.
lemma norm-diff-temp-dyn: 0 < a \Longrightarrow \|f \ a \ L \ s_1 - f \ a \ L \ s_2\| = |a| * |s_1 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \$ \theta - s_2 \| = |a| * |s_2 \| = |a| * |
s_2 \$ \theta |
proof(simp add: norm-vec-def L2-set-def, unfold UNIV-4, simp)
      assume a1: 0 < a
      have f2: \bigwedge r \ ra. \ |(r::real) + - \ ra| = |ra + - \ r|
            by (metis abs-minus-commute minus-real-def)
      have \bigwedge r \ ra \ rb. \ (r::real) * ra + - (r * rb) = r * (ra + - rb)
            by (metis minus-real-def right-diff-distrib)
      hence |a * (s_1\$0 + - L) + - (a * (s_2\$0 + - L))| = a * |s_1\$0 + - s_2\$0|
            using a1 by (simp add: abs-mult)
      thus |a * (s_2 \$0 - L) - a * (s_1 \$0 - L)| = a * |s_1 \$0 - s_2 \$0|
             using f2 minus-real-def by presburger
qed
lemma local-lipschitz-temp-dyn:
      assumes \theta < (a::real)
      shows local-lipschitz UNIV UNIV (\lambda t::real. f a L)
      apply(unfold local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def dist-norm)
      apply(clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=a in exI)
      using assms apply(simp-all add: norm-diff-temp-dyn)
      apply(simp add: norm-vec-def L2-set-def, unfold UNIV-4, clarsimp)
      unfolding real-sqrt-abs[symmetric] by (rule real-le-lsqrt) auto
lemma local-flow-temp: a > 0 \Longrightarrow local-flow (f a L) UNIV UNIV (\varphi a L)
      by (unfold-locales, auto intro!: poly-derivatives local-lipschitz-temp-dyn
                   simp: forall-4 vec-eq-iff four-eq-zero)
lemma temp-dyn-down-real-arith:
      assumes a > 0 and Thyps: 0 < Tmin\ Tmin \le T\ T \le Tmax
            and thyps: 0 \le (t::real) \ \forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. \ \tau \le -(\ln(Tmin/T)/a)
      shows Tmin \le exp (-a * t) * T and exp (-a * t) * T \le Tmax
proof-
      have 0 \le t \land t \le -(\ln(Tmin / T) / a)
            using thyps by auto
      hence ln (Tmin / T) \leq -a * t \wedge -a * t \leq 0
```

```
using assms(1) divide-le-cancel by fastforce
 also have Tmin / T > 0
   using Thyps by auto
 ultimately have obs: Tmin / T \le exp (-a * t) exp (-a * t) \le 1
   using exp-ln exp-le-one-iff by (metis exp-less-cancel-iff not-less, simp)
 thus Tmin < exp(-a * t) * T
   using Thyps by (simp add: pos-divide-le-eq)
 show exp(-a * t) * T \leq Tmax
   using Thyps mult-left-le-one-le[OF - exp-ge-zero \ obs(2), \ of \ T]
     less-eq-real-def order-trans-rules (23) by blast
qed
lemma temp-dyn-up-real-arith:
 assumes a > 0 and Thyps: Tmin \leq T T \leq Tmax Tmax < (L::real)
   and thyps: 0 \le t \ \forall \tau \in \{0..t\}.\ \tau \le -(\ln((L-Tmax)/(L-T))/a)
 shows L - Tmax \le exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
   and L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T) \leq Tmax
   and Tmin \leq L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
proof-
 have 0 \le t \land t \le -(\ln((L - Tmax) / (L - T)) / a)
   using thyps by auto
 hence ln((L-Tmax)/(L-T)) \leq -a*t \wedge -a*t \leq 0
   using assms(1) divide-le-cancel by fastforce
 also have (L - Tmax) / (L - T) > 0
   using Thyps by auto
 ultimately have (L-Tmax)/(L-T) \leq exp(-a*t) \wedge exp(-a*t) \leq 1
   using exp-ln exp-le-one-iff by (metis exp-less-cancel-iff not-less)
 moreover have L-T>0
   using Thyps by auto
 ultimately have obs: (L - Tmax) \le exp(-a * t) * (L - T) \land exp(-a * t)
* (L - T) \le (L - T)
   by (simp add: pos-divide-le-eq)
 thus (L - Tmax) \le exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
   by auto
 thus L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T) \leq Tmax
   by auto
 show Tmin \leq L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
   using Thyps and obs by auto
qed
lemmas ffb-temp-dyn = local-flow.ffb-q-ode-ivl[OF\ local-flow-temp - UNIV-I]
lemma thermostat:
 assumes a > \theta and \theta \le t and \theta < Tmin and Tmax < L
 shows \{s. Tmin \leq s\$0 \land s\$0 \leq Tmax \land s\$3 = 0\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}
 (LOOP
    – control
   ((1 ::= (\lambda s. \theta)); (2 ::= (\lambda s. s \theta));
   (IF (\lambda s. s\$3 = 0 \land s\$2 \le Tmin + 1) THEN (3 ::= (\lambda s.1)) ELSE
```

```
(IF\ (\lambda s.\ s\$3=1\ \land\ s\$2\geq Tmax-1)\ THEN\ (3::=(\lambda s.0))\ ELSE\ skip)); \\ --\text{dynamics} \\ (IF\ (\lambda s.\ s\$3=0)\ THEN\ (x'=(f\ a\ 0)\ \&\ (\lambda s.\ s\$1\leq -\ (\ln\ (Tmin/s\$2))/a) \\ on\ \{0..t\}\ UNIV\ @\ 0) \\ ELSE\ (x'=(f\ a\ L)\ \&\ (\lambda s.\ s\$1\leq -\ (\ln\ ((L-Tmax)/(L-s\$2)))/a)\ on\ \{0..t\}\ UNIV\ @\ 0))) \\ INV\ (\lambda s.\ Tmin\ \leq s\$0\ \land\ s\$0\leq Tmax\ \land\ (s\$3=0\ \lor\ s\$3=1))) \\ \{s.\ Tmin\ \leq s\$0\ \land\ s\$0\leq Tmax\} \\ \textbf{apply}(rule\ ffb-loop\ I,\ simp-all\ add:\ ffb-temp-dyn[OF\ assms(1,2)]\ le-fun-def,\ safe) \\ \textbf{using}\ temp-dyn-up-real-arith[OF\ assms(1)\ -\ -\ assms(4),\ of\ Tmin] \\ \textbf{and}\ temp-dyn-down-real-arith[OF\ assms(1,3),\ of\ -\ Tmax]\ \textbf{by}\ auto} \\ \textbf{no-notation}\ temp-vec-field\ (f) \\ \textbf{and}\ temp-flow\ (\varphi)
```

end

1.8 Verification components with relational MKA

We show that relations form an antidomain Kleene algebra (hence a modal Kleene algebra). We use its forward box operator to derive rules in the algebra for weakest liberal preconditions (wlps) of hybrid programs. Finally, we derive our three methods for verifying correctness specifications for the continuous dynamics of HS in this setting.

```
theory mka2rel
imports ../hs-prelims-dyn-sys KAD.Modal-Kleene-Algebra
begin
```

1.8.1 Modal Kleene algebra preparation

```
context dioid\text{-}one\text{-}zero begin

lemma power\text{-}inductl: z + x \cdot y \leq y \Longrightarrow (x \hat{\ } n) \cdot z \leq y by (induct \ n, \ auto, \ metis \ mult. assoc \ mult-isol \ order\text{-}trans)

lemma power\text{-}inductr: z + y \cdot x \leq y \Longrightarrow z \cdot (x \hat{\ } n) \leq y proof (induct \ n) case \theta show ?case using \theta. prems by auto case Suc {
    fix n assume z + y \cdot x \leq y \Longrightarrow z \cdot x \hat{\ } n \leq y and z + y \cdot x \leq y hence z \cdot x \hat{\ } n \leq y by auto
```

```
also have z \cdot x \hat{\ } Suc \ n = z \cdot x \cdot x \hat{\ } n
      by (metis mult.assoc power-Suc)
    moreover have ... = (z \cdot x \hat{n}) \cdot x
      by (metis mult.assoc power-commutes)
    moreover have \dots \leq y \cdot x
      by (metis calculation(1) mult-isor)
    moreover have \dots \leq y
      \mathbf{using} \,\, \langle z \, + \, y \, \cdot \, x \, \leq \, y \rangle \,\, \mathbf{by} \,\, \mathit{auto}
    ultimately have z \cdot x \hat{\ } Suc \ n \leq y by auto
  thus ?case
    by (metis Suc)
qed
end
context antidomain-kleene-algebra
begin
lemma fbox-frame: d p \cdot x \leq x \cdot d p \Longrightarrow d q \leq |x| t \Longrightarrow d p \cdot d q \leq |x| (d p \cdot d)
  using dual.mult-isol-var fbox-add1 fbox-demodalisation3 fbox-simp by auto
lemma plus-inv: i \leq |x| i \Longrightarrow j \leq |x| j \Longrightarrow (i+j) \leq |x| (i+j)
 by (metis ads-d-def dka.dsr5 fbox-simp fbox-subdist join.sup-mono order-trans)
lemma mult-inv: d \ i \leq |x| \ d \ i \Longrightarrow d \ j \leq |x| \ d \ j \Longrightarrow (d \ i \cdot d \ j) \leq |x| \ (d \ i \cdot d \ j)
  using fbox-demodalisation3 fbox-frame fbox-simp by auto
lemma fbox-export1: ad p + |x| q = |d p \cdot x| q
  using a-d-add-closure addual.ars-r-def fbox-def fbox-mult by auto
lemma fbox-stari: d p \leq d i \Longrightarrow d i \leq |x| i \Longrightarrow d i \leq d q \Longrightarrow d p \leq |x^*| q
 by (meson dual-order.trans fbox-iso fbox-star-induct-var)
declare fbox-mult [simp]
definition cond :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ (if - then - else - fi } [64,64,64] \text{ } 63)
  where if p then x else y fi = d p \cdot x + ad p \cdot y
lemma fbox-cond-var [simp]: |if p then x else y fi| q = (ad p + |x| q) \cdot (d p + |y|)
q)
  using cond-def a-closure' ads-d-def ans-d-def fbox-add2 fbox-export1 by auto
definition loopi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (loop - inv - [64,64] 63)
 where loop x inv i = x^*
lemma fbox-loopi: d p \le d i \Longrightarrow d i \le |x| i \Longrightarrow d i \le d q \Longrightarrow d p \le |loop| x inv
i \mid q
```

unfolding loopi-def using fbox-stari by blast

end

1.8.2 Relational model

```
interpretation rel-dioid: dioid-one-zero (\cup) (O) Id \{\} (\subseteq) (\subset)
  by (unfold-locales, auto)
lemma power-is-relpow: rel-dioid.power X n = X \hat{ } n
proof (induct n)
  case \theta show ?case
   by (metis\ rel-dioid.power-0\ relpow.simps(1))
  case Suc thus ?case
   by (metis\ rel-dioid.power-Suc2\ relpow.simps(2))
qed
lemma rel-star-def: X^* = (| n. rel-dioid.power X n)
  by (simp add: power-is-relpow rtrancl-is-UN-relpow)
lemma rel-star-contl: X O Y^* = (\bigcup n. X O rel-dioid.power Y n)
by (metis rel-star-def relcomp-UNION-distrib)
lemma rel-star-contr: X \cdot * O Y = (\bigcup n. (rel-dioid.power X n) O Y)
by (metis rel-star-def relcomp-UNION-distrib2)
interpretation rel-ka: kleene-algebra (\cup) (O) Id \{\} (\subseteq) (\subset) rtrancl
proof
  fix x y z :: 'a rel
 \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{Id}\ \cup\ x\ \mathit{O}\ x^*\subseteq x^*
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{order-refl}\ \mathit{r-comp-rtrancl-eq}\ \mathit{rtrancl-unfold})
next
  fix x y z :: 'a rel
 assume z \cup x \ O \ y \subseteq y
 thus x^* O z \subseteq y
   by (simp only: rel-star-contr, metis (lifting) SUP-le-iff rel-dioid.power-inductl)
next
 \mathbf{fix}\ x\ y\ z\ ::\ 'a\ rel
 assume z \cup y \ O \ x \subseteq y
 thus z O x^* \subseteq y
   by (simp only: rel-star-contl, metis (lifting) SUP-le-iff rel-dioid.power-inductr)
qed
definition rel-ad :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel where
  rel-ad\ R = \{(x,x) \mid x. \neg (\exists y. (x,y) \in R)\}\
interpretation rel-aka: antidomain-kleene-algebra rel-ad (\cup) (O) Id \{\} (\subseteq)
rtrancl
 by unfold-locales (auto simp: rel-ad-def)
```

1.8.3 Store and weakest preconditions

```
type-synonym 'a pred = 'a \Rightarrow bool
no-notation Archimedean-Field.ceiling ([-])
        and Range-Semiring.antirange-semiring-class.ars-r(r)
        and antidomain-semiringl.ads-d (d)
notation Id (skip)
     and relcomp (infixl; 70)
     and zero-class.zero (0)
     and rel-aka.fbox (wp)
definition p2r :: 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ rel ((1[-])) where
  \lceil P \rceil = \{(s,s) \mid s. P \mid s\}
lemma p2r-simps[simp]:
  \lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil = (\forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow Q \ s)
  (\lceil P \rceil = \lceil Q \rceil) = (\forall s. \ P \ s = Q \ s)
  (\lceil P \rceil ; \lceil Q \rceil) = \lceil \lambda \ s. \ P \ s \land Q \ s \rceil
  (\lceil P \rceil \cup \lceil Q \rceil) = \lceil \lambda \ s. \ P \ s \lor Q \ s \rceil
  rel-ad [P] = [\lambda s. \neg P s]
  rel-aka.ads-d \lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil
  unfolding p2r-def rel-ad-def rel-aka.ads-d-def by auto
lemma wp-rel: wp R [P] = [\lambda x. \forall y. (x,y) \in R \longrightarrow P y]
  unfolding rel-aka.fbox-def p2r-def rel-ad-def by auto
definition vec\text{-}upd :: ('a^{\hat{}}b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a^{\hat{}}b
  where vec-upd s i a = (\chi j. (((\$) s)(i := a)) j)
definition assign :: b \Rightarrow (a^b \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow (a^b \Rightarrow b) rel ((2- ::= -) [70, 65] 61)
  where (x := e) = \{(s, vec\text{-}upd \ s \ x \ (e \ s)) | \ s. \ True\}
lemma wp-assign [simp]: wp (x := e) [Q] = [\lambda s. Q (\chi j. (((\$) s)(x := (e s)))]
j)
  unfolding wp-rel vec-upd-def assign-def by (auto simp: fun-upd-def)
abbreviation cond-sugar :: 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel (IF - THEN -
ELSE - [64,64] 63)
  where IF P THEN X ELSE Y \equiv rel-aka.cond [P] X Y
abbreviation loopi-sugar :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel (LOOP - INV - [64,64]
63)
  where LOOP R INV I \equiv rel-aka.loopi R [I]
lemma wp\text{-}loopI: \lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil I \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil I \rceil \leq wp \ R \ \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil P \rceil \leq wp
(LOOP \ R \ INV \ I) \ \lceil Q \rceil
  using rel-aka.fbox-loopi[of [P]] by auto
```

 $Q (\varphi t s)$

1.8.4 Verification of hybrid programs

```
Verification by providing evolution
definition q\text{-}evol :: (('a::ord) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ rel \ (EVOL)
     where EVOL \varphi \ G \ T = \{(s,s') \mid s \ s'. \ s' \in g\text{-}orbit \ (\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ s) \ G \ T\}
lemma wp-g-dyn[simp]:
     fixes \varphi :: ('a::preorder) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
     shows wp (EVOL \varphi G T) [Q] = [\lambda s. \forall t \in T. (\forall \tau \in down T t. G (\varphi \tau s)) \longrightarrow
 Q (\varphi t s)
     unfolding wp-rel g-evol-def g-orbit-eq by auto
Verification by providing solutions
definition q-ode :: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow real \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow real \ set \Rightarrow
     'a rel ((1x'=-\& -on - -@ -))
     where (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) = \{(s,s') \mid s \text{ s'. } s' \in g\text{-}orbital f G T S t_0 s\}
lemma wp-g-orbital: wp (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [Q] =
     [\lambda \ s. \ \forall \ X \in Sols \ (\lambda t. \ f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s. \ \forall \ t \in T. \ (\forall \ \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (X \ \tau)) \longrightarrow Q \ (X \ t)]
     unfolding g-orbital-eq wp-rel ivp-sols-def g-ode-def by auto
context local-flow
begin
lemma wp-g-ode: wp (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ \theta) [Q] =
     [\lambda \ s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ (\forall \ \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s))]
     unfolding wp-g-orbital apply(clarsimp, safe)
          apply(erule-tac \ x=\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ s \ in \ ball E)
     using in-ivp-sols apply(force, force, force simp: init-time ivp-sols-def)
     apply(subgoal\text{-}tac \ \forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ X \ \tau = \varphi \ \tau \ s, \ simp\text{-}all, \ clarsimp)
     apply(subst eq-solution, simp-all add: ivp-sols-def)
     using init-time by auto
lemma fbox-g-ode-ivl: t \geq 0 \implies t \in T \implies wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ \{0..t\} \ S @ 0) \ [Q]
     [\lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall t \in \{0..t\}. \ (\forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s))]
     unfolding wp-g-orbital apply(clarsimp, safe)
         apply(erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t s in ballE, force)
     using in-ivp-sols-ivl apply(force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
     using in-ivp-sols-ivl apply(force simp: ivp-sols-def)
       apply(subgoal-tac \forall t \in \{0..t\}. (\forall \tau \in \{0..t\}). X \tau = \varphi \tau s), simp, clarsimp)
     apply(subst eq-solution-ivl, simp-all add: ivp-sols-def)
            apply(rule has-vderiv-on-subset, force, force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
          apply(force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
     using interval-time init-time apply (meson is-interval-1 order-trans)
     using init-time by force
lemma wp-orbit: wp (\{(s,s') \mid s \ s'. \ s' \in \gamma^{\varphi} \ s\}) \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda \ s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T.
```

unfolding orbit-def wp-g-ode g-ode-def[symmetric] by auto

```
end
```

```
Verification with differential invariants
```

```
definition g-ode-inv :: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow real \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow
 real \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \ ((1x'=- \& - on - - @ - DINV - ))
 where (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0 DINV I) = (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0)
lemma wp-g-orbital-guard:
  assumes H = (\lambda s. G s \wedge Q s)
 shows wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil = wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T S @ t_0) \lceil H \rceil
 unfolding wp-g-orbital using assms by auto
lemma wp-g-orbital-inv:
  assumes \lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil I \rceil and \lceil I \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ \ t_0) \ \lceil I \rceil and \lceil I \rceil \leq
\lceil Q \rceil
 shows \lceil P \rceil < wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil
 using assms(1) apply(rule order.trans)
 using assms(2) apply(rule order.trans)
 apply(rule rel-aka.fbox-iso)
 using assms(3) by auto
lemma wp-diff-inv[simp]: ([I] \le wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ TS @ t_0) \ [I]) = diff-invariant
If T S t_0 G
 unfolding diff-invariant-eq wp-g-orbital by(auto simp: p2r-def)
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{diff-inv-guard-ignore} :
  assumes [I] \leq wp \ (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ True) \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [I]
 shows [I] \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [I]
 using assms unfolding wp-diff-inv diff-invariant-eq by auto
context local-flow
begin
lemma wp-diff-inv-eq: diff-invariant I f T S \theta (\lambda s. True) =
 (\lceil \lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow I \ s \rceil = wp \ (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ True) \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ \theta) \ \lceil \lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow I
s
 unfolding wp-diff-inv[symmetric] wp-g-orbital
 using init-time apply(clarsimp simp: ivp-sols-def)
 apply(safe, force, force)
 apply(subst\ ivp(2)[symmetric],\ simp)
 apply(erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t s in allE)
 using in-domain has-vderiv-on-domain ivp(2) init-time by auto
\mathbf{lemma} \mathit{diff-inv-eq-inv-set}:
  diff-invariant I f T S 0 (\lambda s. True) = (\forall s. I s \longrightarrow \gamma^{\varphi} s \subseteq \{s. I s\})
  unfolding diff-inv-eq-inv-set orbit-def by (auto simp: p2r-def)
```

end

```
lemma wp\text{-}g\text{-}odei: \lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil I \rceil \leq wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ \ t_0) \ \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil \lambda s. \ I \ s \wedge G \ s \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ \ t_0 \ DINV \ I) \ \lceil Q \rceil unfolding g\text{-}ode\text{-}inv\text{-}def apply(rule\text{-}tac \ b=wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ \ t_0) \ \lceil I \rceil in order.trans) apply(rule\text{-}tac \ I=I \ in \ wp\text{-}g\text{-}orbital\text{-}inv, \ simp\text{-}all) apply(subst \ wp\text{-}g\text{-}orbital\text{-}guard, \ simp) by (rule \ rel\text{-}aka.fbox\text{-}iso, \ simp)
```

1.8.5 Derivation of the rules of dL

We derive domain specific rules of differential dynamic logic (dL). First we present a generalised version, then we show the rules as instances of the general ones.

```
general ones.
lemma diff-solve-axiom:
  fixes c::'a::{heine-borel, banach}
  assumes \theta \in T and is-interval T open T
  shows wp (x'=(\lambda s. c) \& G \text{ on } T \text{ UNIV } @ \theta) \lceil Q \rceil =
  [\lambda s. \ \forall t \in T. \ (\mathcal{P} \ (\lambda t. \ s + t *_R c) \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}) \longrightarrow Q \ (s + t *_R c)]
  apply(subst local-flow.wp-g-ode[where f = \lambda s. c and \varphi = (\lambda t x. x + t *_R c)])
  using line-is-local-flow assms by auto
lemma diff-solve-rule:
  assumes local-flow f T UNIV \varphi
    and \forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ (\mathcal{P} \ (\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ s) \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s)
  shows \lceil P \rceil < wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ UNIV @ \theta) \lceil Q \rceil
  using assms by (subst local-flow.wp-g-ode, auto)
lemma diff-weak-axiom:
  wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ \lceil Q \rceil = wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ \lceil \lambda \ s. \ G \ s
 \rightarrow Q s
 unfolding wp-g-orbital image-def by force
lemma diff-weak-rule:
  assumes \lceil G \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil
  shows \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil
  using assms apply(subst wp-rel)
  by(auto simp: g-orbital-eq g-ode-def)
lemma wp-g-evol-IdD:
  assumes wp (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \lceil C \rceil = Id
    and \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). (s, x \ \tau) \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0)
  shows \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). C \ (x \ \tau)
proof
  fix \tau assume \tau \in (down \ T \ t)
  hence x \tau \in g-orbital f G T S t_0 s
```

```
using assms(2) unfolding g-ode-def by blast
  also have \forall y. y \in (g\text{-}orbital \ f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s) \longrightarrow C \ y
    using assms(1) unfolding wp-rel g-ode-def by (auto simp: p2r-def)
  ultimately show C(x \tau)
    by blast
qed
lemma diff-cut-axiom:
  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
    and wp (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \lceil C \rceil = Id
  shows wp (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil = wp \ (x'=f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s) \ on
T S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil
\operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(rule\text{-}tac\ f = \lambda\ x.\ wp\ x\ \lceil Q]\ \operatorname{\mathbf{in}}\ HOL.arg\text{-}cong,\ rule\ subset\text{-}antisym)
  show (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \subseteq (x'=f \& \lambda s. G s \land C s \text{ on } T S @ t_0)
 proof(clarsimp simp: g-ode-def)
    fix s and s' assume s' \in g-orbital f G T S t_0 s
    then obtain \tau::real and X where x-ivp: X \in Sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
      and X \tau = s' and \tau \in T and guard-x:(\mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ \tau) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\})
      using g-orbitalD[of s' f G T S t_0 s] by blast
    have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ \mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}
      using guard-x by (force simp: image-def)
    also have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ t \in T
      using \langle \tau \in T \rangle Thyp by auto
    ultimately have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). X \ t \in g-orbital f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s
      using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
    hence \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). C(X \ t)
      using wp-g-evol-IdD[OF\ assms(3)] unfolding g-ode-def\ by\ blast
    thus s' \in g-orbital f(\lambda s. G s \wedge C s) T S t_0 s
      using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp \langle \tau \in T \rangle] guard-x \langle X \tau = s' \rangle by fastforce
 qed
next show (x'=f \& \lambda s. G s \land C s on T S @ t_0) \subseteq (x'=f \& G on T S @ t_0)
    by (auto simp: g-orbital-eq g-ode-def)
qed
lemma diff-cut-rule:
 assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
    and wp-C: [P] \leq wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [C]
    and wp-Q: [P] \subseteq wp \ (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s) \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [Q]
  shows [P] \subseteq wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [Q]
proof(subst wp-rel, simp add: g-orbital-eq p2r-def g-ode-def, clarsimp)
  fix t::real and X::real \Rightarrow 'a and s assume P s and t \in T
    and x-ivp:X \in Sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
    and guard-x: \forall x. \ x \in T \land x \leq t \longrightarrow G(Xx)
  have \forall t \in (down \ T \ t). X \ t \in g-orbital f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s
    using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] guard-x by auto
  hence \forall t \in (down \ T \ t). C \ (X \ t)
    using wp-C \langle P s \rangle by (subst (asm) wp-rel, auto simp: g-ode-def)
  hence X \ t \in g-orbital f \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s
    using guard-x \langle t \in T \rangle by (auto intro!: g-orbital x-ivp)
```

```
thus Q(X t)
         using \langle P s \rangle wp-Q by (subst (asm) wp-rel) (auto simp: g-ode-def)
qed
The rules of dL
abbreviation q-qlobal-ode ::(('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel ((1x'=- \& -))
     where (x' = f \& G) \equiv (x' = f \& G \text{ on } UNIV \text{ } UNIV @ \theta)
abbreviation q-qlobal-ode-inv :: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ rel
     ((1x'=-\& -DINV -)) where (x'=f\& GDINV I) \equiv (x'=f\& Gon\ UNIV
 UNIV @ 0 DINV I)
lemma DS:
     fixes c::'a::\{heine-borel, banach\}
    shows wp \ (x' = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau 
+ t *_R c)
    by (subst diff-solve-axiom[of UNIV]) auto
lemma solve:
     assumes local-flow f UNIV UNIV \varphi
         and \forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s))
    shows \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \lceil Q \rceil
     apply(rule \ diff-solve-rule[OF \ assms(1)])
     using assms(2) by simp
lemma DW: wp \ (x'=f \& G) \ \lceil Q \rceil = wp \ (x'=f \& G) \ \lceil \lambda s. \ G \ s \longrightarrow Q \ s \rceil
    by (rule diff-weak-axiom)
lemma dW: \lceil G \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \lceil Q \rceil
    by (rule diff-weak-rule)
lemma DC:
     assumes wp (x' = f \& G) [C] = Id
    shows wp \ (x' = f \& G) \ [Q] = wp \ (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s)) \ [Q]
    apply (rule diff-cut-axiom)
    using assms by auto
lemma dC:
     assumes [P] \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \ [C]
         and [P] \leq wp \ (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s)) \ [Q]
    shows \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \lceil Q \rceil
    apply(rule diff-cut-rule)
     using assms by auto
lemma dI:
     assumes [P] \leq [I] and diff-invariant I f UNIV UNIV 0 G and [I] \leq [Q]
     shows [P] \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \ [Q]
     apply(rule\ wp-g-orbital-inv[OF\ assms(1)\ -\ assms(3)])
     unfolding wp-diff-inv using assms(2).
```

end

theory mka2ndfun imports

../hs-prelims-dyn-sys

 $Transformer ext{-}Semantics ext{.}Kleisli ext{-}Quantale$

1.9 Verification components with MKA and nondeterministic functions

We show that non-deterministic endofunctions form an antidomain Kleene algebra (hence a modal Kleene algebra). We use MKA's forward box operator to derive rules for weakest liberal preconditions (wlps) of hybrid programs. Finally, we derive our three methods for verifying correctness specifications for the continuous dynamics of HS.

```
KAD.Modal	ext{-}Kleene	ext{-}Algebra
begin
1.9.1
           Modal Kleene algebra preparation
context antidomain-kleene-algebra
begin
lemma fbox-frame: d p \cdot x \leq x \cdot d p \Longrightarrow d q \leq |x| t \Longrightarrow d p \cdot d q \leq |x| (d p \cdot d)
  using dual.mult-isol-var fbox-add1 fbox-demodalisation3 fbox-simp by auto
lemma fbox-export1: ad p + |x| q = |d p \cdot x| q
  using a-d-add-closure addual.ars-r-def fbox-def fbox-mult by auto
lemma plus-inv: i \leq |x| i \Longrightarrow j \leq |x| j \Longrightarrow (i + j) \leq |x| (i + j)
  by (metis ads-d-def dka.dsr5 fbox-simp fbox-subdist join.sup-mono order-trans)
lemma mult-inv: d \ i \le |x| \ d \ i \Longrightarrow d \ j \le |x| \ d \ j \Longrightarrow (d \ i \cdot d \ j) \le |x| \ (d \ i \cdot d \ j)
  using fbox-demodalisation3 fbox-frame fbox-simp by auto
lemma fbox-stari: d p < d i \Longrightarrow d i < |x| i \Longrightarrow d i < d q \Longrightarrow d p < |x^*| q
 by (meson dual-order.trans fbox-iso fbox-star-induct-var)
declare fbox-mult [simp]
definition cond :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (if - then - else - ft [64,64,64] 63)
 where if p then x else y fi = d p \cdot x + ad p \cdot y
lemma fbox-cond-var [simp]: |if p then x else y fi| q = (ad p + |x| q) \cdot (d p + |y|
q)
```

using cond-def a-closure' ads-d-def ans-d-def fbox-add2 fbox-export1 by auto

```
definition loopi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ (loop - inv - [64,64] \ 63)
where loop \ x \ inv \ i = x^*
```

lemma fbox-loopi: $d \ p \le d \ i \Longrightarrow d \ i \le |x| \ i \Longrightarrow d \ i \le d \ q \Longrightarrow d \ p \le |loop \ x \ inv \ i| \ q$ unfolding loopi-def using fbox-stari by blast

end

1.9.2 Non-deterministic functions

Our semantics now corresponds to nondeterministic functions 'a nd-fun. Below we prove some auxiliary lemmas for them and show that they form an antidomain kleene algebra. The proof just extends the results on the Transformer_Semantics.Kleisli_Quantale theory.

```
notation Abs-nd-fun (-\bullet [101] 100)
and Rep-nd-fun (-\bullet [101] 100)
and fbox (wp)
```

declare Abs-nd-fun-inverse [simp]

```
lemma nd-fun-ext: (\bigwedge x. (f_{\bullet}) x = (g_{\bullet}) x) \Longrightarrow f = g apply(subgoal-tac Rep-nd-fun f = Rep-nd-fun g) using Rep-nd-fun-inject apply blast by (rule \ ext, \ simp)
```

```
lemma nd-fun-eq-iff: (f=g)=(\forall\,x.\;(f_{\bullet})\;x=(g_{\bullet})\;x) by (auto simp: nd-fun-ext)
```

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{instantiation} & \textit{nd-fun} :: (type) & \textit{antidomain-kleene-algebra} \\ \textbf{begin} \\ \end{tabular}$

```
definition ad f = (\lambda x. \ if \ ((f_{\bullet}) \ x = \{\}) \ then \ \{x\} \ else \ \{\})^{\bullet}
```

definition $\theta = \zeta^{\bullet}$

definition star-nd-fun f = qstar f for f::'a nd-fun

```
definition f + g = ((f_{\bullet}) \sqcup (g_{\bullet}))^{\bullet}
```

 ${f named-theorems}$ nd-fun-aka antidomain kleene algebra properties for nondeterministic functions.

```
lemma nd-fun-plus-assoc[nd-fun-aka]: <math>x + y + z = x + (y + z)
and nd-fun-plus-comm[nd-fun-aka]: <math>x + y = y + x
and nd-fun-plus-idem[nd-fun-aka]: <math>x + x = x for x::'a nd-fun
```

```
unfolding plus-nd-fun-def by (simp add: ksup-assoc, simp-all add: ksup-comm)
lemma nd-fun-distr[nd-fun-aka]: <math>(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z
 and nd-fun-distl[nd-fun-aka]: x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z for x::'a nd-fun
 unfolding plus-nd-fun-def times-nd-fun-def by (simp-all add: kcomp-distr kcomp-distl)
lemma nd-fun-plus-zerol[nd-fun-aka]: <math>0 + x = x
 and nd-fun-mult-zerol[nd-fun-aka]: \theta \cdot x = \theta
 and nd-fun-mult-zeror[nd-fun-aka]: x \cdot \theta = \theta for x::'a nd-fun
 unfolding plus-nd-fun-def zero-nd-fun-def times-nd-fun-def by auto
lemma nd-fun-leq[nd-fun-aka]: <math>(x \le y) = (x + y = y)
 and nd-fun-less [nd-fun-aka]: (x < y) = (x + y = y \land x \neq y)
 and nd-fun-leq-add [nd-fun-aka]: z \cdot x \leq z \cdot (x + y) for x::'a nd-fun
 unfolding less-eq-nd-fun-def less-nd-fun-def plus-nd-fun-def times-nd-fun-def sup-fun-def
 by (unfold nd-fun-eq-iff le-fun-def, auto simp: kcomp-def)
lemma nd-fun-ad-zero[nd-fun-aka]: ad x \cdot x = 0
 and nd-fun-ad[nd-fun-aka]: ad(x \cdot y) + ad(x \cdot ad(ady)) = ad(x \cdot ad(ady))
 and nd-fun-ad-one [nd-fun-aka]: ad(adx) + adx = 1 for x::'a nd-fun
 unfolding antidomain-op-nd-fun-def times-nd-fun-def plus-nd-fun-def zero-nd-fun-def
 by (auto simp: nd-fun-eq-iff kcomp-def one-nd-fun-def)
lemma nd-star-one[nd-fun-aka]: <math>1 + x \cdot x^* \leq x^*
 and nd-star-unfoldl[nd-fun-aka]: z + x \cdot y \leq y \Longrightarrow x^* \cdot z \leq y
 and nd-star-unfoldr[nd-fun-aka]: z + y \cdot x \leq y \implies z \cdot x^* \leq y for x:'a nd-fun
 unfolding plus-nd-fun-def star-nd-fun-def
   apply(simp-all add: fun-star-inductl sup-nd-fun.rep-eq fun-star-inductr)
 by (metis order-refl sup-nd-fun.rep-eq uwqlka.conway.dagger-unfoldl-eq)
instance
 apply intro-classes
 using nd-fun-aka by simp-all
end
1.9.3
         Store and weakest preconditions
Now that we know that nondeterministic functions form an Antidomain
Kleene Algebra, we give a lifting operation from predicates to 'a nd-fun and
use it to compute weakest liberal preconditions.
— We start by deleting some notation and introducing some new.
```

type-synonym 'a pred = 'a \Rightarrow bool

no-notation Archimedean-Field.ceiling ([-])

term bqtran

```
and Archimedean-Field.floor (|-|)
        and bqtran(|-|)
        and Relation.relcomp (infix1; 75)
        and Range-Semiring.antirange-semiring-class.ars-r(r)
        and antidomain-semiringl.ads-d (d)
abbreviation p2ndf :: 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ nd-fun \ ((1 \lceil - \rceil))
  where [Q] \equiv (\lambda x :: 'a. \{s :: 'a. s = x \land Q s\})^{\bullet}
lemma p2ndf-simps[simp]:
  \lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil = (\forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow Q \ s)
  (\lceil P \rceil = \lceil Q \rceil) = (\forall s. \ P \ s = Q \ s)
  (\lceil P \rceil \cdot \lceil Q \rceil) = \lceil \lambda \ s. \ P \ s \land Q \ s \rceil
  (\lceil P \rceil + \lceil Q \rceil) = \lceil \lambda \ s. \ P \ s \lor Q \ s \rceil
  ad [P] = [\lambda s. \neg P s]
  d \lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil \lceil P \rceil \le \eta^{\bullet}
  unfolding less-eq-nd-fun-def times-nd-fun-def plus-nd-fun-def ads-d-def
  by (auto simp: nd-fun-eq-iff kcomp-def le-fun-def antidomain-op-nd-fun-def)
lemma wp-nd-fun: wp F [P] = [\lambda s. \forall s'. s' \in ((F_{\bullet}) s) \longrightarrow P s']
  apply(simp add: fbox-def antidomain-op-nd-fun-def)
  by(rule nd-fun-ext, auto simp: Rep-comp-hom kcomp-prop)
lemma wp-nd-fun2: wp (F^{\bullet}) [P] = [\lambda s. \forall s'. s' \in (F s) \longrightarrow P s']
  by (subst\ wp-nd-fun,\ simp)
abbreviation ndf2p :: 'a nd-fun \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool((1 | - |))
  where |f| \equiv (\lambda x. \ x \in Domain \ (\mathcal{R} \ (f_{\bullet})))
lemma p2ndf-ndf2p-id: F \leq \eta^{\bullet} \Longrightarrow \lceil |F| \rceil = F
  unfolding f2r-def apply(rule nd-fun-ext)
  apply(subgoal-tac \forall x. (F•) x \subseteq \{x\}, simp)
  by(blast, simp add: le-fun-def less-eq-nd-fun.rep-eq)
lemma p2ndf-ndf2p-wp: \lceil |wp R P| \rceil = wp R P
  apply(rule p2ndf-ndf2p-id)
  by (simp add: a-subid fbox-def one-nd-fun.transfer)
lemma ndf2p-wpD: |wp F [Q]| s = (\forall s'. s' \in (F_{\bullet}) s \longrightarrow Q s')
  \operatorname{apply}(\operatorname{subgoal-tac} F = (F_{\bullet})^{\bullet})
  apply(rule\ ssubst[of\ F\ (F_{\bullet})^{\bullet}],\ simp)
  apply(subst wp-nd-fun)
  \mathbf{by}(simp\text{-}all\ add:\ f2r\text{-}def)
We check that wp coincides with our other definition of the forward box
operator fb_{\mathcal{F}} = \partial_F \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ op_K.
lemma ffb-is-wp: fb_{\mathcal{F}}(F_{\bullet})\{x.\ P\ x\} = \{s.\ |wp\ F\ [P]|\ s\}
  unfolding ffb-def unfolding map-dual-def klift-def kop-def fbox-def
```

unfolding r2f-def f2r-def apply clarsimp

```
unfolding antidomain-op-nd-fun-def unfolding dual-set-def
  unfolding times-nd-fun-def kcomp-def by force
lemma wp-is-ffb: wp FP = (\lambda x. \{x\} \cap fb_{\mathcal{F}} (F_{\bullet}) \{s. [P] s\})^{\bullet}
  apply(rule nd-fun-ext, simp)
  unfolding ffb-def unfolding map-dual-def klift-def kop-def fbox-def
  unfolding r2f-def f2r-def apply clarsimp
  unfolding antidomain-op-nd-fun-def unfolding dual-set-def
  unfolding times-nd-fun-def apply auto
  unfolding kcomp-prop by auto
definition vec\text{-}upd :: ('a^{\circ}b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a^{\circ}b
  where vec-upd s i a = (\chi j. (((\$) s)(i := a)) j)
definition assign :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a \hat{\ }'b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \hat{\ }'b) nd-fun ((2- ::= -) [70, 65] 61)
  where (x := e) = (\lambda s. \{ vec - upd \ s \ x \ (e \ s) \})^{\bullet}
abbreviation seq-comp :: 'a nd-fun \Rightarrow 'a nd-fun \Rightarrow 'a nd-fun (infix1; 75)
  where f ; g \equiv f \cdot g
lemma wp-assign[simp]: wp (x := e) \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. \ Q \ (\chi \ j. (((\$) \ s)(x := (e \ s))) \ j) \rceil
  unfolding wp-nd-fun nd-fun-eq-iff vec-upd-def assign-def by auto
abbreviation skip :: 'a nd-fun
  where skip \equiv 1
abbreviation cond-sugar :: 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a nd-fun \Rightarrow 'a nd-fun \Rightarrow 'a nd-fun (IF -
THEN - ELSE - [64,64] 63)
  where IF P THEN X ELSE Y \equiv cond [P] X Y
abbreviation loopi-sugar :: 'a nd-fun \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a nd-fun (LOOP - INV -
[64,64] 63
  where LOOP R INV I \equiv loopi R [I]
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{wp-loopI}\colon \lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil I \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil I \rceil \leq \textit{wp}\ \textit{R}\ \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil P \rceil \leq \textit{wp}
(LOOP \ R \ INV \ I) \ \lceil Q \rceil
  using fbox-loopi[of [P]] by auto
1.9.4
            Verification of hybrid programs
Verification by providing evolution
definition g-evol :: (('a::ord) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ nd-fun (EVOL)
  where EVOL \varphi G T = (\lambda s. \text{ g-orbit } (\lambda t. \varphi t s) \text{ G T})^{\bullet}
lemma wp-g-dyn[simp]:
  fixes \varphi :: ('a::preorder) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
  shows wp (EVOL \varphi G T) [Q] = [\lambda s. \ \forall t \in T. \ (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow
Q (\varphi t s)
  unfolding wp-nd-fun g-evol-def g-orbit-eq by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)
```

```
Verification by providing solutions
definition g\text{-}ode ::(('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow real \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow
  real \Rightarrow 'a \ nd\text{-}fun \ ((1x'=-\& -on --@ -))
  where (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \equiv (\lambda \text{ s. g-orbital } f G T S t_0 \text{ s})^{\bullet}
lemma wp-g-orbital: wp (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil =
   [\lambda \ s. \ \forall \ X \in ivp\text{-sols} \ (\lambda t. \ f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s. \ \forall \ t \in T. \ (\forall \ \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (X \ \tau)) \longrightarrow Q \ (X \ \tau) ] ) 
t)
  unfolding g-orbital-eq(1) wp-nd-fun g-ode-def by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)
context local-flow
begin
lemma wp-q-ode: wp (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ \theta) [Q] =
  [\lambda \ s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ (\forall \ \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s))]
  unfolding wp-g-orbital apply(clarsimp, safe)
    apply(erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t s in ballE)
  using in-ivp-sols apply(force, force, force simp: init-time ivp-sols-def)
  apply(subgoal-tac \ \forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ X \ \tau = \varphi \ \tau \ s, simp-all, clarsimp)
  apply(subst eq-solution, simp-all add: ivp-sols-def)
  using init-time by auto
lemma fbox-g-ode-ivl: t \geq 0 \Longrightarrow t \in T \Longrightarrow wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ \{0..t\} \ S @ 0) \ [Q]
  [\lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall t \in \{0..t\}. \ (\forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s))]
  unfolding wp-g-orbital apply(clarsimp, safe)
    apply(erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t s in ballE, force)
  using in-ivp-sols-ivl apply(force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
  using in-ivp-sols-ivl apply(force simp: ivp-sols-def)
   apply(subgoal-tac \forall t \in \{0..t\}. (\forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. X \tau = \varphi \tau s), simp, clarsimp)
  apply(subst eq-solution-ivl, simp-all add: ivp-sols-def)
     apply(rule has-vderiv-on-subset, force, force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
    apply(force simp: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
  using interval-time init-time apply (meson is-interval-1 order-trans)
  using init-time by force
lemma wp-orbit: wp (\gamma^{\varphi \bullet}) [Q] = [\lambda \ s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s))]
  unfolding orbit-def wp-g-ode g-ode-def[symmetric] by auto
end
Verification with differential invariants
definition g\text{-}ode\text{-}inv :: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow real set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow
  real \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ nd-fun ((1x'=-\& -on --@ -DINV -))
  where (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0 DINV I) = (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0)
lemma wp-g-orbital-guard:
  assumes H = (\lambda s. G s \wedge Q s)
 shows wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T S @ t_0) \ \lceil Q \rceil = wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T S @ t_0) \ \lceil H \rceil
```

```
unfolding wp-g-orbital using assms by auto
lemma wp-q-orbital-inv:
  assumes [P] \leq [I] and [I] \leq wp (x' = f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [I] and [I] \leq
 shows \lceil P \rceil < wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil
 using assms(1) apply(rule order.trans)
 using assms(2) apply(rule order.trans)
 apply(rule fbox-iso)
 using assms(3) by auto
lemma wp-diff-inv[simp]: ([I] \le wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ TS @ t_0) \ [I]) = diff-invariant
If T S t_0 G
 unfolding diff-invariant-eq wp-g-orbital by(auto simp: fun-eq-iff)
lemma diff-inv-guard-ignore:
 assumes [I] \leq wp \ (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ True) \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [I]
 shows [I] \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [I]
 using assms unfolding wp-diff-inv diff-invariant-eq by auto
context local-flow
begin
lemma wp-diff-inv-eq: diff-invariant I f T S \theta (\lambda s. True) =
  (\lceil \lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow I \ s \rceil = wp \ (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ True) \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ \theta) \ \lceil \lambda s. \ s \in S \longrightarrow I
s
 unfolding wp-diff-inv[symmetric] wp-g-orbital
 using init-time apply(clarsimp simp: ivp-sols-def)
 apply(safe, force, force)
 apply(subst\ ivp(2)[symmetric],\ simp)
 apply(erule-tac \ x=\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ s \ in \ all E)
  using in-domain has-vderiv-on-domain ivp(2) init-time by auto
lemma diff-inv-eq-inv-set:
  diff-invariant If\ T\ S\ \theta\ (\lambda s.\ True) = (\forall s.\ Is \longrightarrow \gamma^{\varphi}\ s \subseteq \{s.\ Is\})
  unfolding diff-inv-eq-inv-set orbit-def by auto
end
lemma wp-g-odei: \lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil I \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow
[\lambda s. \ I \ s \land G \ s] \leq [Q] \Longrightarrow
  \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0 \ DINV \ I) \ \lceil Q \rceil
 unfolding g-ode-inv-def apply(rule-tac b=wp (x'= f & G on T S @ t_0) \lceil I \rceil in
order.trans)
  apply(rule-tac\ I=I\ in\ wp-g-orbital-inv,\ simp-all)
```

 $apply(subst\ wp-g-orbital-guard,\ simp)$

by (rule fbox-iso, simp)

1.9.5 Derivation of the rules of dL

We derive domain specific rules of differential dynamic logic (dL). First we present a generalised version, then we show the rules as instances of the general ones.

```
lemma diff-solve-axiom:
  fixes c::'a::\{heine-borel, banach\}
  assumes \theta \in T and is-interval T open T
  shows wp (x'=(\lambda s. c) \& G \text{ on } T \text{ UNIV } @ \theta) [Q] =
  [\lambda s. \forall t \in T. (\mathcal{P} (\lambda t. s + t *_{R} c) (down T t) \subseteq \{s. G s\}) \longrightarrow Q (s + t *_{R} c)]
  apply(subst local-flow.wp-g-ode[where f=\lambda s. c and \varphi=(\lambda t s. s + t *_R c)])
  using line-is-local-flow[OF assms] by auto
lemma diff-solve-rule:
  assumes local-flow f T UNIV \varphi
    \mathbf{and}\ \forall\,s.\ P\ s\longrightarrow (\forall\ t\in T.\ (\mathcal{P}\ (\lambda t.\ \varphi\ t\ s)\ (\mathit{down}\ T\ t)\subseteq \{s.\ G\ s\})\longrightarrow Q\ (\varphi\ t)
  shows \lceil P \rceil < wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ UNIV @ \theta) \lceil Q \rceil
  using assms by(subst local-flow.wp-g-ode, auto)
lemma diff-weak-axiom:
  wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ \lceil Q \rceil = wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ \lceil \lambda \ s. \ G \ s
\longrightarrow Q s
  unfolding wp-g-orbital image-def by force
lemma diff-weak-rule: [G] \leq [Q] \Longrightarrow [P] \leq wp \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [Q]
  by (subst wp-g-orbital) (auto simp: g-ode-def)
lemma wp-q-orbit-IdD:
  assumes wp (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) \lceil C \rceil = \eta^{\bullet}
    and \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). x \ \tau \in g-orbital f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s
  shows \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). C \ (x \ \tau)
proof
  fix \tau assume \tau \in (down \ T \ t)
  hence x \tau \in g-orbital f G T S t_0 s
    using assms(2) by blast
  also have \forall y. y \in (g\text{-}orbital \ f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s) \longrightarrow C \ y
    using assms(1) unfolding wp-nd-fun g-ode-def
    by (subst (asm) nd-fun-eq-iff) auto
  ultimately show C(x \tau)
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma diff-cut-axiom:
  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
    and wp (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \lceil C \rceil = \eta^{\bullet}
  shows wp (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [Q] = wp (x'=f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) \text{ on }
TS @ t_0 \lceil Q \rceil
\mathbf{proof}(rule\text{-}tac\ f = \lambda\ x.\ wp\ x\ \lceil\ Q\ \rceil\ \mathbf{in}\ HOL.arg\text{-}cong,\ rule\ nd\text{-}fun\text{-}ext,\ rule\ subset\text{-}antisym)
```

```
fix s show ((x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0)_{\bullet}) s \subseteq ((x'=f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) \text{ on } T
S @ t_0)_{\bullet} s
  proof(clarsimp simp: g-ode-def)
    fix s' assume s' \in g-orbital f G T S t_0 s
    then obtain \tau::real and X where x-ivp: X \in ivp-sols (\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
      and X \tau = s' and \tau \in T and quard-x:(\mathcal{P} X (down \ T \ \tau) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\})
      using g-orbitalD[of s' f G T S t_0 s] by blast
    have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ \mathcal{P} \ X \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}
      using guard-x by (force simp: image-def)
    also have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ t \in T
      \mathbf{using} \ \langle \tau \in \mathit{T} \rangle \ \mathit{Thyp} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
    ultimately have \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). X \ t \in g-orbital f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s
      using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
    hence \forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). C(X \ t)
      using wp-g-orbit-IdD[OF\ assms(3)] by blast
    thus s' \in g-orbital f(\lambda s. G s \wedge C s) T S t_0 s
      using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp \langle \tau \in T \rangle] guard-x \langle X \tau = s' \rangle by fastforce
  qed
next
  fix s show ((x'=f \& \lambda s. G s \land C s on T S @ t_0)_{\bullet}) s \subseteq ((x'=f \& G on T S @ t_0)_{\bullet})
(0,t_0)_{\bullet}) s
    by (auto simp: g-orbital-eq g-ode-def)
qed
lemma diff-cut-rule:
  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
    and wp-C: [P] \le wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [C]
    and wp-Q: [P] \leq wp \ (x'=f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s) \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) [Q]
  shows [P] < wp \ (x' = f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [Q]
\mathbf{proof}(simp\ add\colon wp\text{-}nd\text{-}fun\ g\text{-}orbital\text{-}eq\ g\text{-}ode\text{-}def,\ clarsimp)
  fix t::real and X::real \Rightarrow 'a and s assume P s and t \in T
    and x-ivp:X \in ivp-sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
    and guard-x: \forall x. \ x \in T \land x \leq t \longrightarrow G(Xx)
  have \forall t \in (down \ T \ t). X \ t \in g-orbital f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s
    using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] guard-x by auto
  hence \forall t \in (down \ T \ t). C \ (X \ t)
    using wp-C \langle P s \rangle by (subst (asm) wp-nd-fun, auto simp: g-ode-def)
  hence X \ t \in g-orbital f \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s
    using guard-x \langle t \in T \rangle by (auto intro!: g-orbitall x-ivp)
  thus Q(X|t)
    using \langle P s \rangle wp-Q by (subst (asm) wp-nd-fun) (auto simp: q-ode-def)
qed
The rules of dL
abbreviation q-qlobal-ode ::(('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a nd-fun ((1x'=- \& a) + b)
-))
  where (x' = f \& G) \equiv (x' = f \& G \text{ on } UNIV \text{ } UNIV @ \theta)
abbreviation g-global-ode-inv :: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a
```

```
nd-fun
     ((1x'=-\& -DINV -)) where (x'=f\& GDINV I) \equiv (x'=f\& Gon\ UNIV
 UNIV @ 0 DINV I)
lemma DS:
    fixes c::'a::{heine-borel, banach}
    shows wp \ (x' = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ \forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (x + \tau *_R c)) \longrightarrow Q \ (x = (\lambda s. \ c) \& G) \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + \tau *_R c)] \ [Q] = [\lambda x. \ (x + 
      by (subst diff-solve-axiom[of UNIV]) (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)
lemma solve:
      assumes local-flow f UNIV UNIV \varphi
          and \forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t. \ (\forall \tau \leq t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s))
      shows \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \lceil Q \rceil
      apply(rule \ diff-solve-rule[OF \ assms(1)])
      using assms(2) by simp
lemma DW: wp \ (x'=f \& G) \ [Q] = wp \ (x'=f \& G) \ [\lambda s. \ G \ s \longrightarrow Q \ s]
     by (rule diff-weak-axiom)
lemma dW: \lceil G \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \lceil Q \rceil
     by (rule diff-weak-rule)
lemma DC:
      assumes wp \ (x' = f \& G) \ \lceil C \rceil = \eta^{\bullet}
     shows wp \ (x' = f \& G) \ [Q] = wp \ (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s)) \ [Q]
     apply (rule diff-cut-axiom)
     using assms by auto
lemma dC:
      assumes \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \ \lceil C \rceil
          and \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s)) \ \lceil Q \rceil
      shows \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \lceil Q \rceil
      apply(rule diff-cut-rule)
      using assms by auto
lemma dI:
      assumes [P] \leq [I] and diff-invariant I f UNIV UNIV 0 G and [I] \leq [Q]
     shows \lceil P \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \lceil Q \rceil
     apply(rule \ wp-g-orbital-inv[OF \ assms(1) - assms(3)])
      unfolding wp-diff-inv using assms(2).
```

Examples

end

1.9.6

We prove partial correctness specifications of some hybrid systems with our recently described verification components.

theory mka-examples

```
\mathbf{imports}\ ../hs\text{-}prelims\text{-}matrices\ mka2rel
```

begin

```
Preliminary preparation for the examples.
```

```
no-notation Archimedean-Field.ceiling ([-]) and Archimedean-Field.floor-ceiling-class.floor ([-])

lemma two-eq-zero: (2::2) = 0
by simp

lemma four-eq-zero: (4::4) = 0
by simp

lemma UNIV-2: (UNIV::2\ set) = \{0, 1\}
apply safe using exhaust-2\ two-eq-zero by auto

lemma UNIV-3: (UNIV::3\ set) = \{0, 1, 2\}
apply safe using exhaust-3\ three-eq-zero by auto

lemma UNIV-4: (UNIV::4\ set) = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}
apply safe using exhaust-4\ four-eq-zero by auto

lemma sum-axis-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ-univ
```

Pendulum

The ODEs x' t=y t and text "y' t=-x t" describe the circular motion of a mass attached to a string looked from above. We use s\$0 to represent the x-coordinate and s\$1 for the y-coordinate. We prove that this motion remains circular.

— Verified with differential invariants.

using exhaust-3 by force

```
abbreviation fpend :: real^2 \Rightarrow real^2 (f)
where f s \equiv (\chi \ i. \ if \ i=0 \ then \ s\$1 \ else -s \$0)
lemma pendulum-inv:
\lceil \lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ 0)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2 \rceil \leq wp \ (x' = f \& G) \ \lceil \lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ 0)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2 \rceil
by (auto intro!: poly-derivatives diff-invariant-rules)
— Verified with the flow.
abbreviation pend-flow :: real \Rightarrow real^2 \Rightarrow real^2 (\varphi)
```

where φ t $s \equiv (\chi i. if i = 0 then <math>s \$ 0 \cdot cos t + s \$ 1 \cdot sin t$

```
else - s \$ \theta \cdot sin t + s \$ 1 \cdot cos t
lemma local-flow-pend: local-flow f UNIV UNIV \varphi
  apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def vec-eq-iff,
clarsimp)
  apply(rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI)
  apply(simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def power2-commute UNIV-2)
  apply(clarify, case-tac \ i = 0, simp)
  using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (force intro!: poly-derivatives)+
lemma pendulum-flow:
  \lceil \lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ \theta)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2 \rceil \le wp \ (x' = f \& G) \ \lceil \lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ \theta)^2 + (s \$ \theta)^2 \rceil
1)^{2}
 by (simp add: local-flow.wp-g-ode[OF local-flow-pend])
— Verified by providing dynamics.
lemma pendulum-dyn:
 [\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ \theta)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2] \le wp \ (EVOL \ \varphi \ G \ T) \ [\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ \theta)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2]
— Verified as a linear system (using uniqueness).
abbreviation pend-sq-mtx :: 2 sq-mtx (A)
  where A \equiv sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi \ (\chi \ i. \ if \ i=0 \ then \ e \ 1 \ else \ - e \ 0)
lemma pend-sq-mtx-exp-eq-flow: exp (t *_R A) *_V s = \varphi t s
  apply(rule local-flow.eq-solution[OF local-flow-exp, symmetric])
   apply(rule ivp-solsI, simp add: sq-mtx-vec-prod-def matrix-vector-mult-def)
     apply(force intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def)
  using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (force simp: vec-eq-iff, auto)
lemma pendulum-sq-mtx:
  \lceil \lambda s. \ r^2 = (s\$\theta)^2 + (s\$1)^2 \rceil \le wp \ (x'=((*_V)\ A) \& G) \ \lceil \lambda s. \ r^2 = (s\$\theta)^2 + (s\$\theta)^2 + (s\$\theta)^2 \rceil
 unfolding local-flow.wp-q-ode[OF local-flow-exp] pend-sq-mtx-exp-eq-flow by auto
no-notation fpend (f)
       and pend-sq-mtx (A)
       and pend-flow (\varphi)
```

Bouncing Ball

A ball is dropped from rest at an initial height h. The motion is described with the free-fall equations x' t = v t and v' t = g where g is the constant acceleration due to gravity. The bounce is modelled with a variable assigntment that flips the velocity, thus it is a completely elastic collision with the ground. We use s\$0 to ball's height and s\$1 for its velocity. We prove that

1.9. VERIFICATION COMPONENTS WITH MKA AND NON-DETERMINISTIC FUNCTIONS95

the ball remains above ground and below its initial resting position.

— Verified with differential invariants.

named-theorems bb-real-arith real arithmetic properties for the bouncing ball.

```
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
 assumes 0 > g and inv: 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h = v \cdot v
 shows (x::real) \leq h
proof-
  have v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h \wedge 0 > g
    using inv and \langle \theta > g \rangle by auto
 hence obs: v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot (x - h) \wedge 0 > g \wedge v \cdot v \geq 0
    using left-diff-distrib mult.commute by (metis zero-le-square)
  hence (v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) = (x - h)
    by auto
 also from obs have (v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) \leq \theta
    using divide-nonneg-neg by fastforce
 ultimately have h - x > 0
    by linarith
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
abbreviation fball :: real \Rightarrow real^2 \Rightarrow real^2 (f)
 where f g s \equiv (\chi i. if i=(0) then s \$ 1 else g)
lemma bouncing-ball-inv:
  fixes h::real
 shows g < 0 \Longrightarrow h \ge 0 \Longrightarrow \lceil \lambda s. \ s \ \theta = h \land s \ 1 = 0 \rceil \le
  wp
    (LOOP
      ((x'=f\ g\ \&\ (\lambda\ s.\ s\ \$\ \theta\geq\theta)\ DINV\ (\lambda s.\ 2\cdot g\cdot s\ \$\ \theta-2\cdot g\cdot h-s\ \$\ 1\cdot
s \$ 1 = 0):
       (IF (\lambda s. s \$ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \$ 1)) ELSE skip))
    INV (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \$ \ 0 \land 2 \cdot g \cdot s \$ \ 0 - 2 \cdot g \cdot h - s \$ \ 1 \cdot s \$ \ 1 = 0)
  ) \lceil \lambda s. \ \theta \leq s \$ \ \theta \land s \$ \ \theta \leq h \rceil
 apply(rule\ wp-loopI,\ simp-all)
  apply(force simp: bb-real-arith)
 apply(rule wp-g-odei)
 \mathbf{by}(auto\ intro!:\ poly-derivatives\ diff-invariant-rules)
— Verified with the flow.
abbreviation ball-flow :: real \Rightarrow real ^2 \Rightarrow real ^2 \Rightarrow real ^2
 where \varphi g t s \equiv (\chi i. if i=0 then g \cdot t \hat{\ } 2/2 + s \$ 1 \cdot t + s \$ 0 else g \cdot t + s
$ 1)
lemma local-flow-ball: local-flow (f g) UNIV UNIV (\varphi g)
  apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def vec-eq-iff,
clarsimp)
```

```
apply(rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI)
  apply(simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def UNIV-2)
  apply(clarsimp, case-tac \ i = 0)
  using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (auto intro!: poly-derivatives) force
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
  assumes invar: 2 \cdot q \cdot x = 2 \cdot q \cdot h + v \cdot v
   and pos: g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real) = 0
 shows 2 \cdot g \cdot h + (-(g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (-(g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0
   and 2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0
proof-
  from pos have g \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot x = 0 by auto
  then have g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 0
   by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) Groups.mult-ac(1,3) mult-zero-right
        monoid-mult-class.power 2-eq\text{-}square \ semiring-class.distrib-left)
  hence g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + v^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0
   using invar by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
  hence obs: (g \cdot \tau + v)^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0
   apply(subst\ power2\text{-}sum)\ by\ (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ hide-lams)\ Groups.add-ac(2,3)
        Groups.mult-ac(2, 3) monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square nat-distrib(2))
  thus 2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0
   by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
  have 2 \cdot g \cdot h + (-((g \cdot \tau) + v))^2 = 0
   using obs by (metis Groups.add-ac(2) power2-minus)
  thus 2 \cdot g \cdot h + (-(g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (-(g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0
   by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
qed
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
 assumes invar: 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v
 shows 2 \cdot g \cdot (g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real)) =
  2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof-
  have ?lhs = g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x
     apply(subst\ Rat.sign-simps(18))+
      \mathbf{by}(auto\ simp:\ semiring-normalization-rules(29))
   also have ... = g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v (is ... = ?middle)
      \mathbf{by}(subst\ invar,\ simp)
   finally have ?lhs = ?middle.
  moreover
  {have ?rhs = g \cdot g \cdot (\tau \cdot \tau) + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v
   by (simp add: Groups.mult-ac(2,3) semiring-class.distrib-left)
  also have \dots = ?middle
   by (simp\ add:\ semiring-normalization-rules(29))
  finally have ?rhs = ?middle.}
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

```
lemma bouncing-ball:
 fixes h::real
 assumes g < \theta and h \ge \theta
 shows g < \theta \Longrightarrow h \ge \theta \Longrightarrow
  [\lambda s. s \$ \theta = h \land s \$ 1 = \theta] \le wp
    (LOOP
      ((x'=f g \& (\lambda s. s \$ \theta \ge \theta));
      (IF (\lambda s. s \$ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \$ 1)) ELSE skip))
    INV (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \$ \ 0 \land 2 \cdot g \cdot s \$ \ 0 = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + s \$ \ 1 \cdot s \$ \ 1))
  [\lambda s. \ 0 < s \ \ 0 \land s \ \ 0 < h]
  \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule\ wp\text{-}loopI},\ \mathit{simp\text{-}all\ add}:\ \mathit{local\text{-}flow}.\mathit{wp\text{-}g\text{-}ode}[\mathit{OF\ local\text{-}flow\text{-}ball}])
  by (auto simp: bb-real-arith)
— Verified by providing dynamics.
lemma bouncing-ball-dyn:
  fixes h::real
 assumes g < \theta and h \ge \theta
 shows g < \theta \Longrightarrow h \ge \theta \Longrightarrow
  [\lambda s. s \$ \theta = h \land s \$ 1 = \theta] \le wp
    (LOOP
      ((EVOL (\varphi g) (\lambda s. \theta \leq s \$ \theta) T);
      (IF (\lambda s. s \$ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \$ 1)) ELSE skip))
    INV \ (\lambda s. \ 0 \leq s \ \$ \ \theta \land \mathcal{2} \cdot g \cdot s \ \$ \ \theta = \mathcal{2} \cdot g \cdot h + s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot s \ \$ \ 1))
  [\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \ \$ \ 0 \land s \ \$ \ 0 \le h]
  by (rule wp-loopI) (auto simp: bb-real-arith)
— Verified as a linear system (computing exponential).
abbreviation ball-sq-mtx :: 3 sq-mtx (A)
 where ball-sq-mtx \equiv sq-mtx-chi (\chi i. if i=0 then e 1 else if i=1 then e 2 else 0)
lemma ball-sq-mtx-pow2: A^2 = sq-mtx-chi (\chi i. if i=0 then e 2 else 0)
  {\bf unfolding}\ monoid-mult-class.power 2-eq-square\ times-sq-mtx-def
  by (simp add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff matrix-matrix-mult-def)
lemma ball-sq-mtx-powN: n > 2 \Longrightarrow (\tau *_R A) \hat{n} = 0
  apply(induct n, simp, case-tac n \leq 2)
  apply(simp\ only:\ le-less-Suc-eq\ power-class.power.simps(2),\ simp)
  by (auto simp: ball-sq-mtx-pow2 sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff
      times-sq-mtx-def zero-sq-mtx-def matrix-matrix-mult-def)
lemma exp-ball-sq-mtx: exp (\tau *_R A) = ((\tau *_R A)^2/_R 2) + (\tau *_R A) + 1
  unfolding exp-def apply(subst\ suminf-eq-sum[of\ 2])
  using ball-sq-mtx-powN by (simp-all add: numeral-2-eq-2)
lemma exp-ball-sq-mtx-simps:
  exp(\tau *_{R} A) \$\$ 0 \$ 0 = 1 exp(\tau *_{R} A) \$\$ 0 \$ 1 = \tau exp(\tau *_{R} A) \$\$ 0 \$ 2
= \tau^2/2
```

```
exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 1 \$ \ 0 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 1 \$ \ 1 = 1 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 1 \$ \ 2
 exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 0 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 1 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 2
 unfolding exp-ball-sq-mtx scaleR-power ball-sq-mtx-pow2
 by (auto simp: plus-sq-mtx-def scaleR-sq-mtx-def one-sq-mtx-def
     mat-def scaleR-vec-def axis-def plus-vec-def)
lemma bouncing-ball-sq-mtx:
  [\lambda s. \ 0 < s \ \ 0 \land s \ \ 0 = h \land s \ \ 1 = 0 \land 0 > s \ \ \ 2] < wp
   (LOOP
     ((x'=(*_V)A \& (\lambda s. s \$ 0 \ge 0));
     (IF (\lambda s. s \$ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \$ 1)) ELSE skip))
   INV \ (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s\$0 \land 0 > s\$2 \land 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot s\$0 = 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot h + (s\$1 \cdot s\$1)))
 [\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \ \$ \ 0 \land s \ \$ \ 0 \le h]
 apply(rule wp-loopI, simp-all add: local-flow.wp-g-ode[OF local-flow-exp])
  apply(force simp: bb-real-arith)
 apply(simp add: sq-mtx-vec-prod-eq)
 unfolding UNIV-3 apply(simp add: exp-ball-sq-mtx-simps, safe)
 using bb-real-arith(3) apply(force simp: add.commute mult.commute)
 using bb-real-arith(4) by (force simp: add.commute mult.commute)
no-notation fball(f)
       and ball-flow (\varphi)
       and ball-sq-mtx (A)
```

Thermostat

A thermostat has a chronometer, a thermometer and a switch to turn on and off a heater. At most every t minutes, it sets its chronometer to θ , it registers the room temperature, and it turns the heater on (or off) based on this reading. The temperature follows the ODE T' = -a * (T - U) where U is $L \geq \theta$ when the heater is on, and θ when it is off. We use θ to denote the room's temperature, 1 is time as measured by the thermostat's chronometer, 2 is the temperature detected by the thermometer, and 3 states whether the heater is on (s\$3 = 1) or off $(s\$3 = \theta)$. We prove that the thermostat keeps the room's temperature between Tmin and Tmax.

```
abbreviation temp-vec-field :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real ^{2}4 \Rightarrow real ^{2}4 (f) where f a L s \equiv (\chi i. if i=1 then 1 else (if i=0 then -a*(s\$0-L) else 0))

abbreviation temp-flow :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real ^{2}4 \Rightarrow real ^{2}4 (\varphi) where \varphi a L t s \equiv (\chi i. if i=0 then -\exp(-a*t)*(L-s\$0)+L else (if i=1 then t+s\$1 else (if i=2 then s\$2 else s\$3)))

— Verified with the flow.
```

lemma norm-diff-temp-dyn: $0 < a \Longrightarrow ||f \ a \ L \ s_1 - f \ a \ L \ s_2|| = |a| * |s_1 \$ 0 - s_2||$

```
s_2 \$ \theta
proof(simp add: norm-vec-def L2-set-def, unfold UNIV-4, simp)
 assume a1: 0 < a
 have f2: \land r \ ra. \ |(r::real) + - \ ra| = |ra + - \ r|
   by (metis abs-minus-commute minus-real-def)
 have \bigwedge r \ ra \ rb. \ (r::real) * ra + - (r * rb) = r * (ra + - rb)
   by (metis minus-real-def right-diff-distrib)
 hence |a * (s_1 \$ \theta + - L) + - (a * (s_2 \$ \theta + - L))| = a * |s_1 \$ \theta + - s_2 \$ \theta|
   using a1 by (simp add: abs-mult)
 thus |a * (s_2 \$0 - L) - a * (s_1 \$0 - L)| = a * |s_1 \$0 - s_2 \$0|
   using f2 minus-real-def by presburger
\mathbf{qed}
lemma local-lipschitz-temp-dyn:
 assumes \theta < (a::real)
 shows local-lipschitz UNIV UNIV (\lambda t::real. f a L)
 apply(unfold local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def dist-norm)
 apply(clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=a in exI)
 using assms apply(simp-all add: norm-diff-temp-dyn)
 apply(simp add: norm-vec-def L2-set-def, unfold UNIV-4, clarsimp)
 unfolding real-sqrt-abs[symmetric] by (rule real-le-lsqrt) auto
lemma local-flow-temp: a > 0 \Longrightarrow local-flow (f \ a \ L) \ UNIV \ UNIV \ (\varphi \ a \ L)
 by (unfold-locales, auto intro!: poly-derivatives local-lipschitz-temp-dyn
     simp: forall-4 vec-eq-iff four-eq-zero)
lemma temp-dyn-down-real-arith:
 assumes a > 0 and Thyps: 0 < Tmin \ Tmin < T \ T < Tmax
   and thyps: 0 \le (t::real) \ \forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. \ \tau \le -(ln \ (Tmin \ / \ T) \ / \ a)
 shows Tmin \le exp(-a * t) * T and exp(-a * t) * T \le Tmax
proof-
 have 0 \le t \land t \le -(\ln(Tmin / T) / a)
   using thyps by auto
 hence ln (Tmin / T) \le -a * t \land -a * t \le 0
   using assms(1) divide-le-cancel by fastforce
 also have Tmin / T > 0
   using Thyps by auto
 ultimately have obs: Tmin / T \le exp (-a * t) exp (-a * t) \le 1
   using exp-ln exp-le-one-iff by (metis exp-less-cancel-iff not-less, simp)
 thus Tmin \leq exp(-a * t) * T
   using Thyps by (simp add: pos-divide-le-eq)
 show exp(-a * t) * T \leq Tmax
   using Thyps mult-left-le-one-le[OF - exp-ge-zero \ obs(2), \ of \ T]
     less-eq-real-def order-trans-rules (23) by blast
qed
lemma temp-dyn-up-real-arith:
 assumes a > 0 and Thyps: Tmin \leq T T \leq Tmax \ Tmax < (L::real)
```

```
and thyps: 0 \le t \ \forall \tau \in \{0..t\}.\ \tau \le -(\ln((L-Tmax)/(L-T))/a)
 shows L - Tmax \le exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
   and L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T) \leq Tmax
   and Tmin \leq L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
proof-
 have 0 < t \land t < -(\ln((L - Tmax) / (L - T)) / a)
   using thyps by auto
 hence ln\left((L-Tmax)/(L-T)\right) \leq -a*t \wedge -a*t \leq 0
   using assms(1) divide-le-cancel by fastforce
 also have (L - Tmax) / (L - T) > 0
   using Thyps by auto
 ultimately have (L-Tmax) / (L-T) \le exp (-a*t) \land exp (-a*t) \le 1
   using exp-ln exp-le-one-iff by (metis exp-less-cancel-iff not-less)
 moreover have L-T>0
   using Thyps by auto
 ultimately have obs: (L - Tmax) \le exp(-a * t) * (L - T) \land exp(-a * t)
*(L-T) \leq (L-T)
   by (simp add: pos-divide-le-eq)
 thus (L - Tmax) \le exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
   by auto
 thus L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T) \leq Tmax
   by auto
 show Tmin \leq L - exp(-(a * t)) * (L - T)
   using Thyps and obs by auto
lemmas fbox-temp-dyn = local-flow.fbox-q-ode-ivl[OF local-flow-temp - UNIV-I]
lemma thermostat:
 assumes a > \theta and \theta \le t and \theta < Tmin and Tmax < L
 shows [\lambda s. Tmin \leq s\$0 \land s\$0 \leq Tmax \land s\$3 = 0] \leq wp
 (LOOP
    — control
   ((1 ::= (\lambda s. \ \theta)); (2 ::= (\lambda s. \ s\$\theta));
   (IF (\lambda s. s\$3 = 0 \land s\$2 \le Tmin + 1) THEN (3 ::= (\lambda s.1)) ELSE
   (IF (\lambda s. s\$3 = 1 \land s\$2 \ge Tmax - 1) THEN (3 ::= (\lambda s.0)) ELSE skip);
   — dynamics
   (IF (\lambda s. s\$3 = 0) THEN (x'=(f \ a \ 0) \& (\lambda s. s\$1 \le -(ln \ (Tmin/s\$2))/a)
on \{0..t\} UNIV @ 0)
    ELSE (x'=(f \ a \ L) \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ s\$1 \le - (\ln \ ((L-Tmax)/(L-s\$2)))/a) \ on \ \{0..t\}
UNIV @ \theta)) )
 INV (\lambda s. \ Tmin \le s\$0 \land s\$0 \le Tmax \land (s\$3 = 0 \lor s\$3 = 1)))
 [\lambda s. \ Tmin \leq s\$0 \land s\$0 \leq Tmax]
 apply(rule\ wp\text{-}loopI,\ simp\text{-}all\ add:\ fbox-temp\text{-}dyn[OF\ assms(1,2)])
 using temp-dyn-up-real-arith[OF\ assms(1)\ -\ -\ assms(4),\ of\ Tmin]
   and temp-dyn-down-real-arith[OF assms(1,3), of - Tmax] by auto
no-notation temp\text{-}vec\text{-}field (f)
```

and temp-flow (φ)

$1.9.\ \ VERIFICATION\ COMPONENTS\ WITH\ MKA\ AND\ NON-DETERMINISTIC\ FUNCTIONS 101$

end theory kat2rel imports .../hs-prelims-dyn-sys .../../afpModified/VC-KAT

begin

Chapter 2

Hybrid System Verification with relations

```
— We start by deleting some conflicting notation.

no-notation Archimedean-Field.ceiling ([-])

and Archimedean-Field.floor-ceiling-class.floor ([-])

and Relation.Domain (r2s)

and VC-KAT.gets (- ::= - [70, 65] 61)

and tau (τ)

and if-then-else-sugar (IF - THEN - ELSE - FI [64,64,64] 63)

notation Id (skip)

and if-then-else-sugar (IF - THEN - ELSE - [64,64,64] 63)
```

2.1 Verification of regular programs

Below we explore the behavior of the forward box operator from the antidomain kleene algebra with the lifting ($\lceil - \rceil^*$) operator from predicates to relations $\lceil P \rceil = \{(s, s) \mid s. P s\}$ and its dropping counterpart $r2p R = (\lambda x. x \in Domain R)$.

thm sH-H

```
lemma sH-weaken-pre: rel-kat.H \lceil P2 \rceil R \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil P1 \rceil \subseteq \lceil P2 \rceil \Longrightarrow rel-kat.H \lceil P1 \rceil R \lceil Q \rceil unfolding sH-H by auto
```

Next, we introduce assignments and compute their Hoare triple.

```
definition vec\text{-}upd :: ('a^{'}b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a^{'}b

where vec\text{-}upd \ s \ i \ a \equiv (\chi \ j. (((\$) \ s)(i := a)) \ j)

definition assign :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a^{'}b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a^{'}b) \ rel ((2-::= -) \ [70, 65] \ 61)

where (x ::= e) \equiv \{(s, vec\text{-}upd \ s \ x \ (e \ s))| \ s. \ True\}
```

```
lemma sH-assign-iff [simp]: rel-kat.H \lceil P \rceil (x ::= e) \lceil Q \rceil \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow Q \ (\chi ) )
j. (((\$) \ s)(x := (e \ s))) \ j))
  unfolding sH-H vec-upd-def assign-def by (auto simp: fun-upd-def)
Next, the Hoare rule of the composition:
lemma sH-relcomp: rel-kat.H \lceil P \rceil X \lceil R \rceil \Longrightarrow rel-kat.H \lceil R \rceil Y \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow rel-kat.H
\lceil P \rceil (X ; Y) \lceil Q \rceil
 using rel-kat.H-seq-swap by force
There is also already an implementation of the conditional operator if p
then x else y fi = t p · x + !p · y and its Hoare triple rule: [PRE \ P \ \sqcap \ T \ X]
POST Q; PRE P \sqcap - T Y POST Q \implies PRE P (IF T THEN X ELSE)
Y) POST Q.
Finally, we add a Hoare triple rule for a simple finite iteration.
context kat
begin
lemma H-star-induct: H(t i) x i \Longrightarrow H(t i) (x^*) i
  unfolding H-def by (simp add: local.star-sim2)
lemma H-stari:
  assumes t p \le t i and H(t i) x i and t i \le t q
 shows H(t p)(x^*) q
proof-
  have H(t i)(x^*)i
   using assms(2) H-star-induct by blast
  hence H(t|p)(x^*)i
   apply(simp add: H-def)
   using assms(1) local.phl-cons1 by blast
  thus ?thesis
   unfolding H-def using assms(3) local.phl-cons2 by blast
qed
definition loopi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ (loop - inv - [64,64] \ 63)
  where loop x inv i = x^*
lemma sH-loopi: t p \leq t i \Longrightarrow H (t i) \ x i \Longrightarrow t i \leq t q \Longrightarrow H (t p) (loop \ x inv
  unfolding loopi-def using H-stari by blast
end
abbreviation loopi-sugar :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel (LOOP - INV - [64,64]
  where LOOP R INV I \equiv rel\text{-}kat.loopi R [I]
\mathbf{lemma} \ sH\text{-}loopI: \lceil P \rceil \subseteq \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow \lceil I \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow rel\text{-}kat.H \ \lceil I \rceil \ R \ \lceil I \rceil \Longrightarrow rel\text{-}kat.H
[P] (LOOP R INV I) [Q]
```

using rel-kat.sH-loopi[of [P] [I] R [Q]] by auto

2.2 Verification of hybrid programs

2.2.1 Verification by providing evolution

```
definition g\text{-}evol :: (('a::ord) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ rel \ (EVOL)
  where EVOL \varphi G T = \{(s,s') \mid s \ s'. \ s' \in g\text{-}orbit \ (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) \ G \ T\}
lemma sH-g-dyn[simp]:
  \mathbf{fixes}\ \varphi :: ('a :: preorder) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
  shows rel-kat.H [P] (EVOL \varphi G T) [Q] = (\forall s. P s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. (\forall \tau \in down T \in T)))
t. G (\varphi \tau s)) \longrightarrow Q (\varphi t s))
  unfolding sH-H g-evol-def g-orbit-eq by auto
             Verification by providing solutions
2.2.2
definition g-ode :: (('a::banach)\Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow real \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow
  'a rel ((1x'=-\& -on - -@ -))
  where (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) = \{(s,s') | s s'. s' \in g\text{-}orbital f G T S t_0 s\}
lemma sH-g-orbital:
  rel-kat.H \ [P] \ (x'=f \& G \ on \ T \ S @ t_0) \ [Q] =
  (\forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall X \in ivp\text{-sols} \ (\lambda t. \ f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s. \ \forall t \in T. \ (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (X \ \tau))
\longrightarrow Q((X t))
  unfolding g-orbital-eq g-ode-def image-le-pred sH-H by auto
context local-flow
begin
lemma sH-g-orbit: rel-kat.H \lceil P \rceil (x'=f & G on T S @ 0) \lceil Q \rceil =
  (\forall s \in S. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. \ (\forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ G \ (\varphi \ \tau \ s)) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s)))
  unfolding sH-g-orbital apply(clarsimp, safe)
   apply(erule-tac x=s in all E, simp, erule-tac x=\lambda t. \varphi t s in ball E)
  using in-ivp-sols apply(force, force)
  apply(erule-tac \ x=s \ in \ ballE, simp)
  \mathbf{apply}(subgoal\text{-}tac \ \forall \tau \in down \ T \ t. \ X \ \tau = \varphi \ \tau \ s)
   apply(simp-all, clarsimp)
  apply(subst eq-solution, simp-all add: ivp-sols-def)
  using init-time by auto
lemma sH-orbit:
  \mathit{rel-kat}.H \ \lceil P \rceil \ (\{(s,s') \mid s \ s'. \ s' \in \gamma^\varphi \ s\}) \ \lceil Q \rceil = (\forall \, s \in S. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ Q) \}
(\varphi \ t \ s)))
  using sH-g-orbit unfolding orbit-def g-ode-def by auto
```

 $\quad \mathbf{end} \quad$

2.2.3 Verification with differential invariants

```
definition q-ode-inv :: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow real \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow
  real \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \ ((1x'=-\& -on --@ -DINV -))
  where (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0 DINV I) = (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0)
lemma sH-g-orbital-guard:
  assumes R = (\lambda s. G s \wedge Q s)
  shows rel-kat.H \lceil P \rceil (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil = rel-kat.H \lceil P \rceil (x'=f \& f \otimes t_0)
G \ on \ T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ [R]
  using assms unfolding g-orbital-eq sH-H ivp-sols-def g-ode-def by auto
lemma sH-g-orbital-inv:
  assumes [P] \leq [I] and rel-kat. H[I] (x'=f & G on T S @ t_0) [I] and [I]
\leq \lceil Q \rceil
  shows rel-kat.H [P] (x'=f \& G \text{ on } TS @ t_0) [Q]
  using assms(1) apply(rule-tac\ p'=\lceil I \rceil in rel-kat.H-cons-1, simp)
  using assms(3) apply(rule-tac q' = \lceil I \rceil in rel-kat.H-cons-2, simp)
  using assms(2) by simp
lemma sH-diff-inv[simp]: rel-kat.H [I] (x'=f \& G on TS @ t_0) [I] = diff-invariant
If T S t_0 G
  \  \, \textbf{unfolding} \ \textit{diff-invariant-eq sH-H g-orbital-eq image-le-pred g-ode-def by} \ \textit{auto} \\
lemma sH-g-odei: \lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil I \rceil \implies rel\text{-kat.}H \lceil I \rceil \ (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \lceil I \rceil
\implies \lceil \lambda s. \ I \ s \ \land \ G \ s \rceil \le \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow
 rel-kat.H [P] (x'=f \& G on TS @ t_0 DINV I) [Q]
 unfolding g-ode-inv-def apply(rule-tac q' = [\lambda s. \ I \ s \land G \ s] in rel-kat.H-cons-2,
  apply(subst\ sH-g-orbital-guard[symmetric],\ force)
 by (rule-tac\ I=I\ in\ sH-g-orbital-inv,\ simp-all)
```

2.2.4 Derivation of the rules of dL

We derive domain specific rules of differential dynamic logic (dL). In each subsubsection, we first derive the dL axioms (named below with two capital letters and "D" being the first one). This is done mainly to prove that there are minimal requirements in Isabelle to get the dL calculus.

```
lemma diff-solve-axiom: fixes c::'a::\{heine-borel, banach\} assumes 0 \in T and is-interval T open T and \forall s. P s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. (\mathcal{P} (\lambda t. s + t *_R c) (down <math>T t) \subseteq \{s. G s\}) \longrightarrow Q (s + t *_R c)) shows rel-kat.H [P] (x'=(\lambda s. c) \& G \text{ on } T \text{ UNIV } @ 0) [Q] apply(subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit[where f=\lambda s. c and \varphi=(\lambda t x. x + t *_R c)]) using line-is-local-flow assms unfolding image-le-pred by auto lemma diff-solve-rule: assumes local-flow f T \text{ UNIV } \varphi
```

```
and \forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \in T. \ (\mathcal{P} \ (\lambda t. \ \varphi \ t \ s) \ (down \ T \ t) \subseteq \{s. \ G \ s\}) \longrightarrow Q \ (\varphi \ t \ s)
s))
 shows rel-kat.H [P] (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T \text{ UNIV } @ \theta) [Q]
 using assms by(subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit, auto)
lemma diff-weak-rule:
  assumes \lceil G \rceil < \lceil Q \rceil
 shows rel-kat.H [P] (x'=f \& G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [Q]
 using assms unfolding g-orbital-eq sH-H ivp-sols-def g-ode-def by auto
lemma diff-cut-rule:
  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t_0 \in T
   and wp-C:rel-kat.H [P] (x'=f & G on T S @ t_0) [C]
   and wp-Q:rel-kat.H \lceil P \rceil (x'=f \& (\lambda s. G s \land C s) on <math>T S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil
 shows rel-kat.H \lceil P \rceil (x'=f & G on T S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil
proof(subst sH-H, simp add: g-orbital-eq p2r-def image-le-pred g-ode-def, clar-
  fix t::real and X::real \Rightarrow 'a and s assume P s and t \in T
   and x-ivp:X \in ivp-sols(\lambda t. f) T S t_0 s
   and guard-x: \forall x. \ x \in T \land x \leq t \longrightarrow G(Xx)
  have \forall t \in (down \ T \ t). X \ t \in g-orbital f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s
   using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] guard-x unfolding image-le-pred by auto
 hence \forall t \in (down \ T \ t). C \ (X \ t)
   using wp-C \langle P s \rangle by (subst (asm) sH-H, auto simp: g-ode-def)
  hence X \ t \in g-orbital f \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \wedge C \ s) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s
    using guard-x \langle t \in T \rangle by (auto intro!: g-orbitall x-ivp)
  thus Q(X t)
   using \langle P s \rangle wp-Q by (subst (asm) sH-H) (auto simp: g-ode-def)
qed
abbreviation g-global-ode ::(('a::banach)\Rightarrow'a)\Rightarrow'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \ ((1x'=-\&-))
  where (x' = f \& G) \equiv (x' = f \& G \text{ on } UNIV \text{ } UNIV @ \theta)
abbreviation q-qlobal-ode-inv :: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ rel
  ((1x'=-\&-DINV-)) where (x'=f\& GDINVI) \equiv (x'=f\& G on UNIV
UNIV @ 0 DINV I)
end
theory kat2rel-examples
 imports ../hs-prelims-matrices kat2rel
begin
2.2.5
           Examples
Preliminary preparation for the examples.
no-notation Archimedean-Field.ceiling ([-])
```

and Archimedean-Field.floor-ceiling-class.floor (|-|)

```
lemma [simp]: i \neq (0::2) \longrightarrow i = 1
 using exhaust-2 by fastforce
lemma two-eq-zero: (2::2) = 0
 by simp
lemma UNIV-2: (UNIV::2 \ set) = \{0, 1\}
 apply safe using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by auto
lemma UNIV-3: (UNIV::3 \ set) = \{0, 1, 2\}
 apply safe using exhaust-3 three-eq-zero by auto
lemma sum-axis-UNIV-3[simp]: (\sum j \in (UNIV::3 \text{ set}). \text{ axis } i \ 1 \ \$ \ j \cdot f \ j) = (f::3)
 unfolding axis-def UNIV-3 apply simp
 using exhaust-3 by force
Pendulum
— Verified with differential invariants.
abbreviation fpend :: real^2 \Rightarrow real^2 (f)
 where f s \equiv (\chi i. if i=0 then s$1 else -s $0)
lemma pendulum-invariants: rel-kat.H
 [\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ \theta)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2] \ (x'=f \& G) \ [\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ \theta)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2]
 by (auto intro!: diff-invariant-rules poly-derivatives)
— Verified with the flow.
abbreviation pend-flow :: real \Rightarrow real^2 \Rightarrow real^2 (\varphi)
 where \varphi \tau s \equiv (\chi i. if i = 0 then s \$ 0 \cdot cos \tau + s \$ 1 \cdot sin \tau
 else - s \$ \theta \cdot sin \tau + s \$ 1 \cdot cos \tau)
lemma local-flow-pend: local-flow f UNIV UNIV \varphi
  apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def vec-eq-iff,
clarsimp)
 apply(rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI)
 apply(simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def power2-commute UNIV-2)
  apply(clarify, case-tac\ i = 0, simp)
 using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (force intro!: poly-derivatives)+
lemma pendulum: rel-kat.H
 [\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ 0)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2] \ (x'=f \& G) \ [\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ 0)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2]
 by (simp only: local-flow.sH-g-orbit[OF local-flow-pend], simp)
— Verified by providing dynamics.
```

lemma pendulum-dyn: rel-kat.H

```
[\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ \theta)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2] \ (EVOL \varphi \ G \ T) \ [\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \$ \theta)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2]
— Verified as a linear system (using uniqueness).
abbreviation pend-sq-mtx :: 2 sq-mtx (A)
 where A \equiv sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi \ (\chi \ i. \ if \ i=0 \ then \ e \ 1 \ else \ - \ e \ \theta)
lemma pend-sq-mtx-exp-eq-flow: exp (\tau *_R A) *_V s = \varphi \tau s
 apply(rule local-flow.eq-solution[OF local-flow-exp, symmetric])
   apply(rule ivp-solsI, clarsimp)
  unfolding sq-mtx-vec-prod-def matrix-vector-mult-def apply simp
     apply(force intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def)
 using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (force simp: vec-eq-iff, auto)
lemma pendulum-sq-mtx: rel-kat.H
 [\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2] \ (x' = ((*_V) \ A) \ \& \ G) \ [\lambda s. \ r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2]
 apply(subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit[OF local-flow-exp])
 unfolding pend-sq-mtx-exp-eq-flow by auto
no-notation fpend (f)
       and pend-sq-mtx (A)
       and pend-flow (\varphi)
```

Bouncing Ball

— Verified with differential invariants.

named-theorems bb-real-arith real arithmetic properties for the bouncing ball.

```
lemma [bb\text{-}real\text{-}arith]:
 assumes 0 > g and inv: 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h = v \cdot v
 shows (x::real) \leq h
proof-
  have v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h \wedge 0 > g
    using inv and \langle \theta > g \rangle by auto
 hence obs: v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot (x - h) \wedge 0 > g \wedge v \cdot v \geq 0
    using left-diff-distrib mult.commute by (metis zero-le-square)
 hence (v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot q) = (x - h)
 also from obs have (v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) \leq \theta
    using divide-nonneg-neg by fastforce
  ultimately have h - x \ge \theta
    by linarith
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
abbreviation fball :: real \Rightarrow real^2 \Rightarrow real^2 (f)
```

```
where f g s \equiv (\chi i. if i=0 then s \$ 1 else g)
lemma fball-invariant:
  fixes g h :: real
  defines dinv: I \equiv (\lambda s. \ 2 \cdot g \cdot s \ \$ \ 0 - 2 \cdot g \cdot h - (s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot s \ \$ \ 1) = 0)
  shows diff-invariant I (f q) UNIV UNIV \theta G
  unfolding dinv apply(rule diff-invariant-rules, simp, simp, clarify)
  by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives)
lemma bouncing-ball-invariants:
  fixes h g::real
  defines diff-inv: I \equiv (\lambda s :: real^2 2 \cdot g \cdot s \$ 0 - 2 \cdot g \cdot h - s \$ 1 \cdot s \$ 1 = 0)
  shows g < 0 \Longrightarrow h \ge 0 \Longrightarrow rel\text{-}kat.H
  [\lambda s. s \$ \theta = h \land s \$ 1 = \theta]
  (LOOP
      ((x'=f g \& (\lambda s. s \$ \theta \ge \theta)) DINV (\lambda s. 2 \cdot g \cdot s \$ \theta - 2 \cdot g \cdot h - s \$ 1 \cdot \theta)
s \$ 1 = 0);
       (IF (\lambda s. s \$ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \$ 1)) ELSE skip))
    INV \ (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \$ \ 0 \land 2 \cdot g \cdot s \$ \ 0 - 2 \cdot g \cdot h - s \$ \ 1 \cdot s \$ \ 1 = 0)
  ) \lceil \lambda s. \ \theta \leq s \$ \ \theta \land s \$ \ \theta \leq h \rceil
  apply(rule sH-loopI, simp-all, force simp: bb-real-arith)
  apply(rule sH-relcomp[where R=\lambda s. 0 \le s \ 0 \land I \ s])
  apply(rule sH-g-odei, simp-all add: diff-inv)
  apply(force intro!: poly-derivatives diff-invariant-rules)
  by (auto simp: bb-real-arith diff-inv sH-H)
— Verified with the flow.
abbreviation ball-flow :: real \Rightarrow real ^2 \Rightarrow real ^2 \Rightarrow real ^2 (\varphi)
 where \varphi \ q \ \tau \ s \equiv (\chi \ i. \ if \ i=0 \ then \ q \cdot \tau \ \hat{\ } 2/2 + s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot \tau + s \ \$ \ 0 \ else \ q \cdot \tau +
s $ 1)
lemma local-flow-ball: local-flow (f g) UNIV UNIV (\varphi g)
  apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def vec-eq-iff,
clarsimp)
  apply(rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI)
  apply(simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def UNIV-2)
  apply(clarsimp, case-tac \ i = 0)
  using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by (auto intro!: poly-derivatives) force
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
  assumes invar: 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v
   and pos: g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real) = 0
 shows 2 \cdot g \cdot h + (-(g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (-(g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0
   and 2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0
proof-
  from pos have g \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot x = 0 by auto
  then have q^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot q \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot q \cdot x = 0
    by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) Groups.mult-ac(1,3) mult-zero-right
```

```
monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square semiring-class.distrib-left)
  hence g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + v^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0
    using invar by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
  hence obs: (g \cdot \tau + v)^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0
   apply(subst\ power2\text{-}sum)\ by\ (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ hide\text{-}lams)\ Groups.add\text{-}ac(2,3)
        Groups.mult-ac(2, 3) monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square nat-distrib(2))
  thus 2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0
    by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
  have 2 \cdot q \cdot h + (-((q \cdot \tau) + v))^2 = 0
    using obs by (metis Groups.add-ac(2) power2-minus)
  thus 2 \cdot g \cdot h + (-(g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (-(g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0
    by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
qed
lemma [bb-real-arith]:
 assumes invar: 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v
 shows 2 \cdot g \cdot (g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real)) =
  2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof-
 have ?lhs = g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x
      apply(subst\ Rat.sign-simps(18))+
      \mathbf{by}(auto\ simp:\ semiring-normalization-rules(29))
    also have ... = g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v (is ... = ?middle)
      \mathbf{by}(subst\ invar,\ simp)
    finally have ?lhs = ?middle.
  moreover
   \{ \mathbf{have} \ ?rhs = g \cdot g \cdot (\tau \cdot \tau) + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v \} 
    by (simp add: Groups.mult-ac(2,3) semiring-class.distrib-left)
 also have \dots = ?middle
    by (simp add: semiring-normalization-rules (29))
  finally have ?rhs = ?middle.}
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
lemma bouncing-ball: g < 0 \Longrightarrow h \ge 0 \Longrightarrow rel\text{-kat}.H
  [\lambda s. s \$ \theta = h \land s \$ 1 = \theta]
  (LOOP
      ((x'=f g \& (\lambda s. s \$ \theta \ge \theta));
       (IF (\lambda s. s \$ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \$ 1)) ELSE skip))
    INV (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \$ \ 0 \land 2 \cdot g \cdot s \$ \ 0 = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + s \$ \ 1 \cdot s \$ \ 1)
  ) [\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \$ \ 0 \land s \$ \ 0 \le h]
  apply(rule\ sH-loopI,\ simp-all)
  apply(force\ simp:\ bb-real-arith)
 apply(rule sH-relcomp[where R=\lambda s. 0 \le s \$ 0 \land 2 \cdot g \cdot s \$ 0 = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + s
$1 \cdot s $1]
  apply(subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit[OF local-flow-ball], clarsimp)
   apply(force simp: bb-real-arith, simp)
  \mathbf{by}(auto\ simp:\ sH-H\ bb-real-arith)
```

```
— Verified as a linear system (computing exponential).
abbreviation ball-sq-mtx :: 3 sq-mtx (A)
 where ball-sq-mtx \equiv sq-mtx-chi (\chi i. if i=0 then e 1 else if i=1 then e 2 else 0)
lemma ball-sq-mtx-pow2: A^2 = sq-mtx-chi (\chi i. if i=0 then e 2 else 0)
  \mathbf{unfolding}\ monoid\text{-}mult\text{-}class.power2\text{-}eq\text{-}square\ times\text{-}sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}def
  by (simp add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff matrix-matrix-mult-def)
lemma ball-sq-mtx-powN: m > 2 \Longrightarrow (\tau *_R A) \hat{m} = 0
  apply(induct \ m, \ simp, \ case-tac \ m \leq 2)
  apply(simp\ only:\ le-less-Suc-eq\ power-class.power.simps(2),\ simp)
  by (auto simp: ball-sq-mtx-pow2 sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff
      times-sq-mtx-def zero-sq-mtx-def matrix-mult-def)
lemma exp-ball-sq-mtx: exp (\tau *_R A) = ((\tau *_R A)^2/_R 2) + (\tau *_R A) + 1
  unfolding exp-def apply(subst\ suminf-eq-sum[of\ 2])
  using ball-sq-mtx-powN by (simp-all add: numeral-2-eq-2)
lemma exp-ball-sq-mtx-simps:
  exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 0 \$ \ 0 = 1 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 0 \$ \ 1 = \tau \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 0 \$ \ 2
= \tau^2/2
  exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 1 \$ \ 0 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 1 \$ \ 1 = 1 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 1 \$ \ 2
  exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 0 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 1 = 0 \ exp \ (\tau *_R A) \$\$ \ 2 \$ \ 2
  unfolding exp-ball-sq-mtx scaleR-power ball-sq-mtx-pow2
  by (auto simp: plus-sq-mtx-def scaleR-sq-mtx-def one-sq-mtx-def
     mat-def scaleR-vec-def axis-def plus-vec-def)
lemma bouncing-ball-K: rel-kat.H
  [\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \$ \ 0 \land s \$ \ 0 = h \land s \$ \ 1 = 0 \land 0 > s \$ \ 2]
   (LOOP
     ((x'=(*_V) A \& (\lambda s. s \$ \theta \ge \theta));
     (IF (\lambda s. s \$ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \$ 1)) ELSE skip))
   INV (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s\$0 \land 0 > s\$2 \land 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot s\$0 = 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot h + (s\$1 \cdot s\$1))
  [\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \ \$ \ 0 \land s \ \$ \ 0 \le h]
  apply(rule sH-loopI, simp-all, force simp: bb-real-arith)
  apply(rule sH-relcomp[where R=\lambda s. 0 \le s\$0 \land 0 > s\$2 \land 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot s\$0 = 2
\cdot s$2 \cdot h + (s$1 \cdot s$1)
  apply(subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit[OF local-flow-exp], simp-all add: sq-mtx-vec-prod-eq)
  unfolding UNIV-3 image-le-pred
  apply(simp\ add:\ exp-ball-sq-mtx-simps\ field-simps\ monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
  by (auto simp: bb-real-arith sH-H)
no-notation fpend (f)
       and pend-flow (\varphi)
       and ball-sq-mtx (A)
```

end

2.3 VC_diffKAD

```
\begin{tabular}{l} \textbf{theory} & \textit{VC-diffKAD-auxiliarities} \\ \textbf{imports} \\ Main \\ ../afpModified/\textit{VC-KAD} \\ Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis \\ \end{tabular}
```

begin

2.3.1 Stack Theories Preliminaries: VC_KAD and ODEs

To make our notation less code-like and more mathematical we declare:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{no-notation} \ Archimedean\text{-}Field.ceiling} \ (\lceil \text{-} \rceil) \\ \textbf{and} \ Archimedean\text{-}Field.floor} \ (\lfloor \text{-} \rfloor) \\ \textbf{and} \ Set.image} \ (\ \ '\ ) \\ \textbf{and} \ Range\text{-}Semiring.antirange\text{-}semiring\text{-}class.ars\text{-}r} \ (r) \\ \textbf{notation} \ p2r \ (\lceil \text{-} \rceil) \\ \textbf{and} \ r2p \ (\lfloor \text{-} \rfloor) \\ \textbf{and} \ Set.image} \ (\text{-} (\lceil \text{-} \rceil)) \\ \textbf{and} \ Set.image} \ (\text{-} (\lceil \text{-} \rceil)) \\ \textbf{and} \ Product\text{-}Type.prod.fst} \ (\pi_1) \\ \textbf{and} \ Product\text{-}Type.prod.snd} \ (\pi_2) \\ \textbf{and} \ List.zip \ (\textbf{infixl} \otimes 63) \\ \textbf{and} \ rel\text{-}ad \ (\Delta^c_1) \\ \end{array}
```

This and more notation is explained by the following lemmata.

```
lemma shows [P] = \{(s, s) | s. P s\}
    and |R| = (\lambda x. \ x \in r2s \ R)
    and r2s R = \{x \mid x. \exists y. (x,y) \in R\}
    and \pi_1(x,y) = x \wedge \pi_2(x,y) = y
    and \Delta^{c_1} R = \{(x, x) | x. \not\exists y. (x, y) \in R\}
    and wp R Q = \Delta^{c}_{1} (R ; \Delta^{c}_{1} Q)
    and [x1, x2, x3, x4] \otimes [y1, y2] = [(x1, y1), (x2, y2)]
    and \{a..b\} = \{x. \ a \le x \land x \le b\}
    and \{a < ... < b\} = \{x. \ a < x \land x < b\}
    and (x \text{ solves-ode } f) \{0..t\} R = ((x \text{ has-vderiv-on } (\lambda t. f t (x t))) \{0..t\} \land x \in
\{\theta..t\} \rightarrow R
    and f \in A \to B = (f \in \{f. \ \forall \ x. \ x \in A \longrightarrow (f \ x) \in B\})
    and (x has-vderiv-on x')\{0..t\} =
      (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. (x \text{ has-vector-derivative } x' r) (at r \text{ within } \{0..t\}))
    and (x has-vector-derivative x' r) (at r within \{0..t\}) =
      (x \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x. \ x *_R x' r)) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\})
apply(simp-all add: p2r-def r2p-def rel-ad-def rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def
```

114CHAPTER 2. HYBRID SYSTEM VERIFICATION WITH RELATIONS

```
solves-ode-def has-vderiv-on-def)
apply(blast, fastforce, fastforce)
using has-vector-derivative-def by auto
Observe also, the following consequences and facts:
proposition \pi_1(|R|) = r2s R
by (simp add: fst-eq-Domain)
proposition \Delta^{c_1} R = Id - \{(s, s) \mid s. s \in (\pi_1(|R|))\}
by(simp add: image-def rel-ad-def, fastforce)
proposition P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow wp R P \subseteq wp R Q
by(simp\ add:\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.dka.dom-iso\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-iso)
proposition boxProgrPred-IsProp: wp R \lceil P \rceil \subseteq Id
\mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra\ .a-subid'\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra\ .addual\ .bbox-def)
proposition rdom\text{-}p2r\text{-}contents:(a, b) \in rdom \lceil P \rceil = ((a = b) \land P \ a)
proof-
have (a, b) \in rdom [P] = ((a = b) \land (a, a) \in rdom [P]) using p2r-subid by
fast force
also have ... = ((a = b) \land (a, a) \in [P]) by simp
also have ... = ((a = b) \land P \ a) by (simp \ add: p2r-def)
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
//.SVh.b/hJ.d/.hl.ot/.b/d.d/.hhb.e.s/e/.dørh/b/Ve/ra.k/hJ.f/h/k//s/Vø/.shhhb//.
proposition rel-ad-rule1: (x,x) \notin \Delta^{c_1} [P] \Longrightarrow P x
by(auto simp: rel-ad-def p2r-subid p2r-def)
proposition rel-ad-rule2: (x,x) \in \Delta^{c_1} \lceil P \rceil \Longrightarrow \neg P x
by (metis ComplD VC-KAD.p2r-neg-hom rel-ad-rule1 empty-iff mem-Collect-eq p2s-neg-hom
rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.a-one\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.am1\ relcomp.relcompI)
proposition rel-ad-rule3: R \subseteq Id \Longrightarrow (x,x) \notin R \Longrightarrow (x,x) \in \Delta^{c_1} R
by (metis IdI Un-iff d-p2r rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars3
rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars-r-def rpr)
proposition rel-ad-rule4: (x,x) \in R \Longrightarrow (x,x) \notin \Delta^{c_1} R
by(metis empty-iff rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars1 relcomp.relcompI)
proposition boxProgrPred-chrctrztn:(x,x) \in wp \ R \ [P] = (\forall \ y. \ (x,y) \in R \longrightarrow P
by (metis boxProgrPred-IsProp rel-ad-rule1 rel-ad-rule2 rel-ad-rule3
rel-ad-rule4 d-p2r wp-simp wp-trafo)
lemma (in antidomain-kleene-algebra) fbox-starI:
assumes d p \leq d i and d i \leq |x| i and d i \leq d q
```

```
shows d p \leq |x^{\star}| q
proof-
from \langle d | i \leq |x| | i \rangle have d | i \leq |x| | (d | i)
 using local.fbox-simp by auto
hence |1| p \le |x^*| i using \langle d | p \le d \rangle by (metis (no-types)
  local.dual-order.trans local.fbox-one local.fbox-simp local.fbox-star-induct-var)
thus ?thesis using \langle d | i < d | q \rangle by (metis (full-types)
  local.fbox-mult local.fbox-one local.fbox-seq-var local.fbox-simp)
qed
proposition cons-eq-zipE:
(x, y) \# tail = xList \otimes yList \Longrightarrow \exists xTail \ yTail. \ x \# xTail = xList \wedge y \# yTail
= yList
by(induction xList, simp-all, induction yList, simp-all)
proposition set-zip-left-rightD:
(x, y) \in set (xList \otimes yList) \Longrightarrow x \in set xList \wedge y \in set yList
apply(rule\ conjI)
apply(rule-tac\ y=y\ and\ ys=yList\ in\ set-zip-leftD,\ simp)
apply(rule-tac \ x=x \ and \ xs=xList \ in \ set-zip-rightD, \ simp)
done
declare zip-map-fst-snd [simp]
```

2.3.2 VC_diffKAD Preliminaries

In dL, the set of possible program variables is split in two, the set of variables V and their primed counterparts V'. To implement this, we use Isabelle's string-type and define a function that primes a given string. We then define the set of primed-strings based on it.

```
definition vdiff ::string \Rightarrow string \ (\partial - [55] \ 70) where (\partial x) = "d["@x@"]"

definition varDiffs :: string \ set where varDiffs = \{y. \exists \ x. \ y = \partial \ x\}

proposition vdiff\text{-}inj\text{:}(\partial \ x) = (\partial \ y) \Longrightarrow x = y

by (simp \ add: \ vdiff\text{-}def)

proposition vdiff\text{-}noFixPoints:x \neq (\partial \ x)

by (simp \ add: \ vdiff\text{-}def)

lemma varDiffsI:x = (\partial \ z) \Longrightarrow x \in varDiffs

by (simp \ add: \ varDiffs\text{-}def \ vdiff\text{-}def)

lemma varDiffsE:

assumes x \in varDiffs

obtains y where x = "d["@y@"]"
```

```
using assms unfolding varDiffs-def vdiff-def by auto
proposition vdiff-invarDiffs:(\partial x) \in varDiffs
by (simp add: varDiffsI)
(primed) dSolve preliminaries
This subsubsection is to define a function that takes a system of ODEs
(expressed as a list xfList), a presumed solution uInput = [u_1, \ldots, u_n], a
state s and a time t, and outputs the induced flow sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]t.
abbreviation varDiffs-to-zero ::real store \Rightarrow real store (sol) where
sol \ a \equiv (override-on \ a \ (\lambda \ x. \ 0) \ varDiffs)
proposition varDiffs-to-zero-vdiff[simp]: (sol s) (\partial x) = 0
apply(simp add: override-on-def varDiffs-def)
by auto
proposition varDiffs-to-zero-beginning[simp]: take 2x \neq "d" \Longrightarrow (sol \ s) \ x = s
apply(simp add: varDiffs-def override-on-def vdiff-def)
by fastforce
— Next, for each entry of the input-list, we update the state using said entry.
definition vderiv-of f S = (SOME f'. (f has-vderiv-on f') S)
primrec state-list-upd :: ((real \Rightarrow real \ store \Rightarrow real) \times string \times (real \ store \Rightarrow real) \times string \times (real \ store \Rightarrow real)
real)) list \Rightarrow
real \Rightarrow real \ store \Rightarrow real \ store \ \mathbf{where}
state-list-upd [] t s = s]
state-list-upd (uxf # tail) t s = (state-list-upd tail t s)
      (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) := (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ t \ s,
    \partial (\pi_1 (\pi_2 uxf)) := (if t = 0 then (\pi_2 (\pi_2 uxf)) s
else vderiv-of (\lambda \ r. \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ r \ s) \ \{0 < .. < (2 *_R t)\} \ t))
abbreviation state-list-cross-upd ::real store \Rightarrow (string \times (real store \Rightarrow real)) list
(real \Rightarrow real \ store \Rightarrow real) \ list \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow (char \ list \Rightarrow real) \ (-[-\leftarrow -] - [64,64,64])
63) where
s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t \equiv state-list-upd \ (uInput \otimes xfList) \ t \ s
proposition state-list-cross-upd-empty[simp]: (s[[] \leftarrow list] \ t) = s
\mathbf{by}(induction\ list,\ simp-all)
\mathbf{lemma}\ inductive\text{-}state\text{-}list\text{-}cross\text{-}upd\text{-}its\text{-}vars:
assumes distHyp:distinct\ (map\ \pi_1\ ((y,\ g)\ \#\ xftail))
and varHyp: \forall xf \in set((y, g) \# xftail). \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and indHyp:(u, x, f) \in set \ (utail \otimes xftail) \Longrightarrow (s[xftail \leftarrow utail] \ t) \ x = u \ t \ s
and disjHyp:(u, x, f) = (v, y, g) \lor (u, x, f) \in set (utail \otimes xftail)
```

```
shows (s[(y, g) \# xftail \leftarrow v \# utail] t) x = u t s
using disjHyp proof
 assume (u, x, f) = (v, y, g)
 hence (s[(y, g) \# xftail \leftarrow v \# utail] t) x = ((s[xftail \leftarrow utail] t)(x := u t s,
  \partial x := if \ t = 0 \ then \ f \ s \ else \ vderiv-of \ (\lambda \ r. \ u \ r. s) \ \{0 < .. < (2 *_R t)\} \ t)) \ x \ by
  also have ... = u t s by (simp add: vdiff-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume yTailHyp:(u, x, f) \in set (utail \otimes xftail)
  from this and indHyp have 3:(s[xftail \leftarrow utail]\ t)\ x=u\ t\ s by fastforce
  from yTailHyp and distHyp have 2:y \neq x using set-zip-left-rightD by force
  from yTailHyp and varHyp have 1:x \neq \partial y
  using set-zip-left-rightD vdiff-invarDiffs by fastforce
  from 1 and 2 have (s[(y, g) \# xftail \leftarrow v \# utail] t) x = (s[xftail \leftarrow utail] t) x
by simp
 thus ?thesis using 3 by simp
qed
theorem state-list-cross-upd-its-vars:
assumes distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and its-var: (u,x,f) \in set (uInput \otimes xfList)
shows (s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ x = u \ t \ s
using assms apply(induct xfList uInput arbitrary: x rule: list-induct2', simp,
simp, simp)
\mathbf{by}(clarify, rule\ inductive\text{-}state\text{-}list\text{-}cross\text{-}upd\text{-}its\text{-}vars,\ simp\text{-}all)
lemma override-on-upd:x \in X \Longrightarrow (override-on f \ g \ X)(x := z) = (override-on f \ g \ X)(x := z)
(g(x := z)) X
by (rule ext, simp add: override-on-def)
lemma\ inductive-state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars:
assumes \exists g. (s[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ \theta) = override-on \ s \ g \ varDiffs
and \forall xf \in set (xf \# xfTail). \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and \forall uxf \in set (u \# uTail \otimes xf \# xfTail). \pi_1 uxf 0 s = s (\pi_1 (\pi_2 uxf))
shows \exists g. (s[xf \# xfTail \leftarrow u \# uTail] \theta) = override-on s g varDiffs
proof-
let ?gLHS = (s[(xf \# xfTail) \leftarrow (u \# uTail)] \theta)
have observ:\partial (\pi_1 \ xf) \in varDiffs by (auto \ simp: varDiffs-def)
from assms(1) obtain g where (s[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ \theta) = override-on \ s \ g \ varDiffs
by force
then have ?gLHS = (override-on\ s\ g\ varDiffs)(\pi_1\ xf := u\ 0\ s,\ \partial\ (\pi_1\ xf) := \pi_2
xf s) by simp
also have ... = (override-on \ s \ g \ varDiffs)(\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf) := \pi_2 \ xf \ s)
using override-on-def varDiffs-def assms by auto
also have ... = (override-on s (q(\partial (\pi_1 xf) := \pi_2 xf s)) varDiffs)
using observ and override-on-upd by force
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
{\bf theorem}\ state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars:
assumes lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 uxf) \ 0 \ s = s \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf))
shows \exists g. (s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \theta) = (override-on s g varDiffs)
using assms proof(induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2')
 have (s[[]\leftarrow[]] \ \theta) = override-on \ s \ s \ varDiffs
 unfolding override-on-def by simp
 thus ?case by metis
next
 case (2 xf xfTail)
 have (s[(xf \# xfTail) \leftarrow []] \ \theta) = override-on \ s \ varDiffs
 unfolding override-on-def by simp
 thus ?case by metis
next
 case (3 u utail)
 have (s[[]\leftarrow utail] \ \theta) = override-on \ s \ varDiffs
 unfolding override-on-def by simp
 thus ?case by force
next
 case (4 xf xfTail u uTail)
 then have \exists g. (s[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ \theta) = override-on \ s \ g \ varDiffs \ by \ simp
 thus ?case using inductive-state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars 4.prems by blast
qed
lemma vderiv-unique-within-open-interval:
assumes (f has-vderiv-on f') \{0 < ... < t\} and t > 0
   and (f has-vderiv-on f'')\{0<...< t\} and tauHyp:\tau \in \{0<...< t\}
shows f' \tau = f'' \tau
using assms apply(simp add: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
using frechet-derivative-unique-within-open-interval by (metis box-real(1) scaleR-one
tauHyp)
lemma has-vderiv-on-cong-open-interval:
assumes gHyp: \forall \tau > 0. f \tau = g \tau and tHyp: t>0
and fHyp:(f has-vderiv-on f') \{0 < .. < t\}
shows (g \text{ has-vderiv-on } f') \{0 < .. < t\}
proof-
from gHyp have \land \tau. \tau \in \{\theta < ... < t\} \Longrightarrow f \ \tau = g \ \tau  using tHyp by force
hence eqDs:(f has - vderiv - on f') \{0 < ... < t\} = (g has - vderiv - on f') \{0 < ... < t\}
apply(rule-tac has-vderiv-on-cong) by auto
thus (g \text{ has-vderiv-on } f') \{ \theta < ... < t \} using eqDs fHyp  by simp
```

lemma closed-vderiv-on-cong-to-open-vderiv:

```
assumes gHyp: \forall \tau > 0. f \tau = g \tau
and fHyp: \forall t \geq 0. (f has-vderiv-on f') \{0..t\}
and tHyp: t>0 and cHyp: c>1
shows vderiv-of g \{ 0 < ... < (c *_R t) \} t = f' t
proof-
have ctHyp:c \cdot t > 0 using tHyp and cHyp by auto
from fHyp have (f has-vderiv-on f') \{0 < ... < c \cdot t\} using has-vderiv-on-subset
by (metis greaterThanLessThan-subseteq-atLeastAtMost-iff less-eq-real-def)
then have derivHyp:(g\ has-vderiv-on\ f')\ \{0<...< c\cdot t\}
using qHyp ctHyp and has-vderiv-on-conq-open-interval by blast
hence f'Hyp: \forall f''. (g \text{ has-vderiv-on } f'') \{\theta < ... < c \cdot t\} \longrightarrow (\forall \tau \in \{\theta < ... < c \cdot t\}.
f' \tau = f'' \tau
using vderiv-unique-within-open-interval ctHyp by blast
also have (g \text{ has-vderiv-on } (v \text{deriv-of } g \{0 < ... < (c *_R t)\})) \{0 < ... < c \cdot t\}
by(simp add: vderiv-of-def, metis derivHyp someI-ex)
ultimately show vderiv-of g \{0 < ... < c *_R t\} t = f' t \text{ using } tHyp \ cHyp \text{ by } force
ged
lemma vderiv-of-to-sol-its-vars:
assumes distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp2: \forall t \geq 0. ((\lambda \tau. (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \tau) x)
has-vderiv-on (\lambda \tau. f (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \tau))) \{0..t\}
and tHyp: t>0 and uxfHyp:(u, x, f) \in set (uInput \otimes xfList)
shows vderiv-of (\lambda \tau. \ u \ \tau \ (sol\ s)) \ \{0 < .. < (2 *_R t)\} \ t = f \ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]
apply(rule-tac\ f = (\lambda \tau.\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \tau)\ x)\ in\ closed-vderiv-on-conq-to-open-vderiv)
subgoal using assms and state-list-cross-upd-its-vars by metis
by(simp-all add: solHyp2 tHyp)
lemma inductive-to-sol-zero-its-dvars:
assumes eqFuncs:\forall s. \forall g. \forall xf \in set ((x, f) \# xfs). \pi_2 xf (override-on s g varDiffs)
=\pi_2 xf s
and eqLengths:length ((x, f) \# xfs) = length (u \# us)
and distinct: distinct (map \pi_1 ((x, f) # xfs))
and vars: \forall xf \in set ((x, f) \# xfs). \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set ((u \# us) \otimes ((x, f) \# xfs)). \pi_1 uxf \theta (sol s) = sol s (\pi_1)
(\pi_2 \ uxf)
and disjHyp:(y, g) = (x, f) \lor (y, g) \in set xfs
and indHyp:(y, g) \in set \ xfs \Longrightarrow (sol \ s[xfs \leftarrow us] \ \theta) \ (\partial \ y) = g \ (sol \ s[xfs \leftarrow us] \ \theta)
shows (sol\ s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us]\ \theta)\ (\partial\ y) = g\ (sol\ s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us]\ \theta)
proof-
from assms obtain h1 where h1Def:(sol s[((x, f) # xfs)\leftarrow(u # us)] 0) =
(override-on\ (sol\ s)\ h1\ varDiffs)\ \mathbf{using}\ state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars\ \mathbf{by}\ blast
from disjHyp show (sol\ s[(x,\ f)\ \#\ xfs\leftarrow u\ \#\ us]\ \theta)\ (\partial\ y)=g\ (sol\ s[(x,\ f)\ \#\ xfs\leftarrow u\ \#\ us])
xfs \leftarrow u \# us \mid \theta)
proof
 assume eqHeads:(y, g) = (x, f)
```

```
then have g(sol s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \theta) = f(sol s) using h1Def eqFuncs
by simp
   also have ... = (sol\ s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us]\ \theta)\ (\partial\ y) using eqHeads by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis by linarith
next
   assume tailHyp:(y, q) \in set xfs
   then have y \neq x using distinct set-zip-left-right by force
   hence \partial x \neq \partial y by(simp add: vdiff-def)
   have x \neq \partial y using vars vdiff-invarDiffs by auto
   obtain h2 where h2Def:(sol\ s[xfs\leftarrow us]\ 0) = override-on\ (sol\ s)\ h2\ varDiffs
   using state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars eqLengths distinct vars and solHyp1 by force
   have (sol\ s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us]\ \theta)\ (\partial\ y) = g\ (sol\ s[xfs \leftarrow us]\ \theta)
   using tailHyp indHyp \langle x \neq \partial y \rangle and \langle \partial x \neq \partial y \rangle by simp
   also have ... = g (override-on (sol s) h2 varDiffs) using h2Def by simp
   also have \dots = g \ (sol \ s) using eqFuncs and tailHyp by force
   also have ... = g (sol s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \theta)
   using eqFuncs h1Def tailHyp and eq-snd-iff by fastforce
   ultimately show ?thesis by simp
   qed
qed
lemma to-sol-zero-its-dvars:
assumes funcsHyp:\forall s. \forall g. \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_2 xf (override-on s g varDiffs)
=\pi_2 xf s
and distinctHyp:distinct\ (map\ \pi_1\ xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 uxf) \ 0 \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) (sol
uxf))
and ygHyp:(y, g) \in set xfList
shows (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \theta)(\partial\ y) = g\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \theta)
using assms apply(induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2', simp, simp, simp, clar-
ify
\mathbf{by}(rule\ inductive-to-sol-zero-its-dvars,\ simp-all)
\mathbf{lemma}\ inductive-to-sol-greater-than-zero-its-dvars:
assumes lengthHyp:length((y, g) \# xfs) = length(v \# vs)
and distHyp:distinct\ (map\ \pi_1\ ((y,\ g)\ \#\ xfs))
and varHyp: \forall xf \in set ((y, g) \# xfs). \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and indHyp:(u,x,f) \in set\ (vs \otimes xfs) \Longrightarrow (s[xfs \leftarrow vs]t)(\partial\ x) = vderiv-of\ (\lambda r.\ u\ r
s) \{0 < ... < 2 *_{B} t\} t
and disjHyp:(v, y, g) = (u, x, f) \lor (u, x, f) \in set (vs \otimes xfs) and tHyp:t > 0
shows (s[(y, g) \# xfs \leftarrow v \# vs] t) (\partial x) = vderiv-of (\lambda r. u r s) \{0 < ... < 2 *_R t\} t
proof-
let ?lhs = ((s[xfs \leftarrow vs] \ t)(y := v \ t \ s, \partial \ y := vderiv - of \ (\lambda \ r. \ v \ r \ s) \ \{0 < .. < (2 \cdot t)\}
t)) (\partial x)
let ?rhs = vderiv-of (\lambda r. u r s) \{0 < .. < (2 \cdot t)\} t
have (s[(y, q) \# xfs \leftarrow v \# vs] t) (\partial x) = ?lhs using tHyp by simp
also have vderiv-of (\lambda r. u r s) \{0 < ... < 2 *_R t\} t = ?rhs by simp
```

```
ultimately have obs:?thesis = (?lhs = ?rhs) by simp
from disjHyp have ?lhs = ?rhs
proof
  assume uxfEq:(v, y, g) = (u, x, f)
  then have ?lhs = vderiv - of (\lambda r. u r s) \{0 < ... < (2 \cdot t)\} t by simp
  also have vderiv-of (\lambda r. urs) \{0 < .. < (2 \cdot t)\} t = ?rhs using uxfEq by simp
  ultimately show ?lhs = ?rhs by simp
\mathbf{next}
  assume sygTail:(u, x, f) \in set (vs \otimes xfs)
  from this have y \neq x using distHyp set-zip-left-rightD by force
  hence \partial x \neq \partial y by(simp add: vdiff-def)
  have y \neq \partial x using varHyp using vdiff-invarDiffs by auto
  then have ?lhs = (s[xfs \leftarrow vs] \ t) \ (\partial x) \ using \ (y \neq \partial x) \ and \ (\partial x \neq \partial y) \ by \ simp
  also have (s[xfs \leftarrow vs] \ t) \ (\partial \ x) = ?rhs  using indHyp \ sygTail by simp
  ultimately show ?lhs = ?rhs by simp
qed
from this and obs show ?thesis by simp
ged
{f lemma}\ to	ext{-}sol	ext{-}greater	ext{-}than	ext{-}zero	ext{-}its	ext{-}dvars:
assumes distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and uxfHyp:(u, x, f) \in set (uInput \otimes xfList) and tHyp:t > 0
shows (s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ (\partial \ x) = vderiv-of \ (\lambda \ r. \ u \ r. s) \ \{0 < ... < (2 *_R t)\} \ t
using assms apply(induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2', simp, simp, simp, clar-
\mathbf{by}(\mathit{rule-tac}\ f = f \ in \ \mathit{inductive-to-sol-greater-than-zero-its-dvars}, \ \mathit{auto})
dInv preliminaries
Here, we introduce syntactic notation to talk about differential invariants.
no-notation Antidomain-Semiring.antidomain-left-monoid-class.am-add-op (infix)
\oplus 65)
no-notation Dioid.times-class.opp-mult (infixl \odot 70)
no-notation Lattices.inf-class.inf (infixl \sqcap 70)
no-notation Lattices.sup-class.sup (infixl \sqcup 65)
datatype trms = Const \ real \ (t_C - [54] \ 70) \ | \ Var \ string \ (t_V - [54] \ 70) \ |
                 Mns\ trms\ (\ominus - [54]\ 65) \mid Sum\ trms\ trms\ (\mathbf{infixl} \oplus 65) \mid
                 Mult trms trms (infixl ⊙ 68)
primrec tval ::trms \Rightarrow (real \ store \Rightarrow real) \ ((1 \llbracket - \rrbracket_t)) \ \mathbf{where}
[\![t_C \ r]\!]_t = (\lambda \ s. \ r)|
[\![t_V \ x]\!]_t = (\lambda \ s. \ s \ x)|
\llbracket \ominus \vartheta \rrbracket_t = (\lambda \ s. - (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s) |
\llbracket \vartheta \oplus \eta \rrbracket_t = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s + (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s)|
\llbracket \vartheta \odot \eta \rrbracket_t = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s \cdot (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s)
```

```
datatype props = Eq \ trms \ trms \ (infixr = 60) \mid Less \ trms \ trms \ (infixr < 62) \mid
                          Leq trms trms (infixr \leq 61) | And props props (infixl \cap 63) |
                          Or props props (infixl \sqcup 64)
primrec pval :: props \Rightarrow (real \ store \Rightarrow bool) ((1 \llbracket - \rrbracket_P)) where
\llbracket \vartheta \doteq \eta \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s) 
\llbracket \vartheta \prec \eta \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s < (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s)|
\llbracket \vartheta \preceq \eta \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s \le (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s) |
\llbracket \varphi \sqcap \psi \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) \ s \wedge (\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_P) \ s) |
\llbracket \varphi \sqcup \psi \rrbracket_P = (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) \ s \lor (\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_P) \ s)
primrec tdiff :: trms \Rightarrow trms (\partial_t - [54] 70) where
(\partial_t t_C r) = t_C \theta
(\partial_t t_V x) = t_V (\partial x)
(\partial_t \ominus \vartheta) = \ominus (\partial_t \vartheta)
(\partial_t (\vartheta \oplus \eta)) = (\partial_t \vartheta) \oplus (\partial_t \eta)
(\partial_t (\vartheta \odot \eta)) = ((\partial_t \vartheta) \odot \eta) \oplus (\vartheta \odot (\partial_t \eta))
primrec pdiff :: props \Rightarrow props (\partial_P - [54] 70) where
(\partial_P (\vartheta \doteq \eta)) = ((\partial_t \vartheta) \doteq (\partial_t \eta))
(\partial_P (\vartheta \prec \eta)) = ((\partial_t \vartheta) \preceq (\partial_t \eta))
(\partial_P (\vartheta \leq \eta)) = ((\partial_t \vartheta) \leq (\partial_t \eta))|
(\partial_P (\varphi \sqcap \psi)) = (\partial_P \varphi) \sqcap (\partial_P \psi)
(\partial_P (\varphi \sqcup \psi)) = (\partial_P \varphi) \sqcap (\partial_P \psi)
primrec trmVars :: trms \Rightarrow string set where
trmVars\ (t_C\ r) = \{\}
trmVars\ (t_V\ x) = \{x\}
trm Vars \ (\ominus \ \vartheta) = trm Vars \ \vartheta
trm Vars (\vartheta \oplus \eta) = trm Vars \vartheta \cup trm Vars \eta
trm Vars (\vartheta \odot \eta) = trm Vars \vartheta \cup trm Vars \eta
fun substList :: (string \times trms) \ list \Rightarrow trms \Rightarrow trms \ (-\langle - \rangle \ [54] \ 80) where
xtList\langle t_C \ r \rangle = t_C \ r
\left| \left| \left\langle t_V \ x \right\rangle \right| = t_V \ x \right|
((y,\xi) \# xtTail)\langle Var x \rangle = (if x = y then \xi else xtTail\langle Var x \rangle)|
xtList\langle \ominus \vartheta \rangle = \ominus (xtList\langle \vartheta \rangle)
xtList\langle\vartheta\oplus\eta\rangle = (xtList\langle\vartheta\rangle)\oplus (xtList\langle\eta\rangle)
xtList\langle\vartheta\odot\eta\rangle = (xtList\langle\vartheta\rangle)\odot(xtList\langle\eta\rangle)
proposition substList-on-compl-of-varDiffs:
assumes trmVars \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and set (map \ \pi_1 \ xtList) \subseteq varDiffs
shows xtList\langle \eta \rangle = \eta
using assms apply(induction \eta, simp-all add: varDiffs-def)
by(induction xtList, auto)
lemma substList-help1:set (map \pi_1 ((map (vdiff \circ \pi_1) xfList) \otimes uInput)) \subset
varDiffs
```

```
\mathbf{apply}(\mathit{induct}\ \mathit{xfList}\ \mathit{uInput}\ \mathit{rule}\colon \mathit{list-induct2'},\ \mathit{simp-all}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{varDiffs-def})
by auto
lemma substList-help2:
\mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{trmVars}\ \eta\subseteq(\mathit{UNIV}\ -\ \mathit{varDiffs})
shows ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput)\langle\eta\rangle=\eta
using assms substList-help1 substList-on-compl-of-varDiffs by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ substList-cross-vdiff-on-non-ocurring-var:
assumes x \notin set \ list1
shows ((map \ vdiff \ list1) \otimes list2)\langle t_V \ (\partial \ x)\rangle = t_V \ (\partial \ x)
using assms apply(induct list1 list2 rule: list-induct2', simp, simp, clarsimp)
\mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ vdiff\text{-}def)
primrec prop Vars :: props \Rightarrow string set where
prop Vars \ (\vartheta \doteq \eta) = trm Vars \ \vartheta \cup trm Vars \ \eta
prop Vars (\vartheta \prec \eta) = trm Vars \vartheta \cup trm Vars \eta
prop Vars (\vartheta \leq \eta) = trm Vars \vartheta \cup trm Vars \eta
prop Vars \ (\varphi \sqcap \psi) = prop Vars \ \varphi \cup prop Vars \ \psi
prop Vars \ (\varphi \sqcup \psi) = prop Vars \ \varphi \cup prop Vars \ \psi
primrec subspList :: (string \times trms) \ list \Rightarrow props \Rightarrow props (-\uparrow-\uparrow [54] \ 80) where
xtList \upharpoonright \vartheta \doteq \eta \upharpoonright = ((xtList \langle \vartheta \rangle) \doteq (xtList \langle \eta \rangle))
xtList \upharpoonright \vartheta \prec \eta \upharpoonright = ((xtList \langle \vartheta \rangle) \prec (xtList \langle \eta \rangle))
xtList \upharpoonright \vartheta \leq \eta \upharpoonright = ((xtList \langle \vartheta \rangle) \leq (xtList \langle \eta \rangle))
xtList \upharpoonright \varphi \sqcap \psi \upharpoonright = ((xtList \upharpoonright \varphi \upharpoonright) \sqcap (xtList \upharpoonright \psi \urcorner))
xtList \upharpoonright \varphi \sqcup \psi \upharpoonright = ((xtList \upharpoonright \varphi \upharpoonright) \sqcup (xtList \upharpoonright \psi \urcorner))
```

ODE Extras

For exemplification purposes, we compile some concrete derivatives used commonly in classical mechanics. A more general approach should be taken that generates this theorems as instantiations.

named-theorems ubc-definitions definitions used in the locale unique-on-bounded-closed

```
declare unique-on-bounded-closed-def [ubc-definitions]
and unique-on-bounded-closed-axioms-def [ubc-definitions]
and unique-on-closed-def [ubc-definitions]
and compact-interval-def [ubc-definitions]
and compact-interval-axioms-def [ubc-definitions]
and self-mapping-def [ubc-definitions]
and self-mapping-axioms-def [ubc-definitions]
and continuous-rhs-def [ubc-definitions]
and closed-domain-def [ubc-definitions]
and global-lipschitz-def [ubc-definitions]
and interval-def [ubc-definitions]
and nonempty-set-def [ubc-definitions]
and lipschitz-on-def [ubc-definitions]
```

124CHAPTER 2. HYBRID SYSTEM VERIFICATION WITH RELATIONS

```
named-theorems poly-deriv temporal compilation of derivatives representing galilean
transformations
named-theorems galilean-transform temporal compilation of vderivs representing
galilean\ transformations
named-theorems qalilean-transform-eq the equational version of qalilean-transform
lemma vector-derivative-line-at-origin: ((\cdot) \ a \ has-vector-derivative \ a) (at x within
T
by (auto intro: derivative-eq-intros)
lemma [poly-deriv]:((·) a has-derivative (\lambda x. x *_R a)) (at x within T)
using vector-derivative-line-at-origin unfolding has-vector-derivative-def by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{quadratic}\text{-}\mathit{monomial}\text{-}\mathit{derivative}\colon
((\lambda t :: real. \ a \cdot t^2) \ has\text{-}derivative} \ (\lambda t. \ a \cdot (2 \cdot x \cdot t))) \ (at \ x \ within \ T)
apply(rule-tac g'1 = \lambda t. 2 \cdot x \cdot t in derivative-eq-intros(6))
apply(rule-tac f'1=\lambda t. t in derivative-eq-intros(15))
by (auto intro: derivative-eq-intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{quadratic-monomial-derivative2}\colon
((\lambda t::real.\ a\cdot t^2\ /\ 2)\ has-derivative\ (\lambda t.\ a\cdot x\cdot t))\ (at\ x\ within\ T)
apply(rule-tac f'1=\lambda t. a\cdot(2\cdot x\cdot t) and g'1=\lambda x. \theta in derivative-eq-intros(18))
using quadratic-monomial-derivative by auto
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{quadratic-monomial-vderiv}[\textit{poly-deriv}] : ((\lambda t. \ a \ \cdot \ t^2 \ / \ 2) \ \textit{has-vderiv-on} \ (\cdot)
a) T
apply(simp add: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def, clarify)
using quadratic-monomial-derivative2 by (simp add: mult-commute-abs)
lemma galilean-position[galilean-transform]:
((\lambda t. \ a \cdot t^2 \ / \ 2 + v \cdot t + x) \ has-vderiv-on \ (\lambda t. \ a \cdot t + v)) \ T
apply(rule-tac f'=\lambda x. \ a \cdot x + v \text{ and } g'1=\lambda x. \ 0 \text{ in } derivative-intros(191))
apply(rule-tac f'1=\lambda x. a \cdot x and g'1=\lambda x. v in derivative-intros(191))
using poly-deriv(2) by (auto intro: derivative-intros)
lemma [poly-deriv]:
t \in T \Longrightarrow ((\lambda \tau. \ a \cdot \tau^2 \ / \ 2 + v \cdot \tau + x) \ has-derivative \ (\lambda x. \ x *_R (a \cdot t + v)))
(at t within T)
using galilean-position unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def by
simp
lemma [galilean-transform-eq]:
t > 0 \implies vderiv-of(\lambda t. \ a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} \ t = a \cdot t + v
proof-
let ?f = vderiv - of(\lambda t. \ a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}
assume t > 0 hence t \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} by auto
have \exists f. ((\lambda t. \ a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \ has-vderiv-on f) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
using galilean-position by blast
hence ((\lambda t. \ a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \ has-vderiv-on ?f) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
```

```
unfolding vderiv-of-def by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) someI-ex)
using galilean-position by simp
ultimately show (vderiv-of (\lambda t. \ a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) {0 < ... < 2 \cdot t}) t = a \cdot t
apply(rule-tac f' = ?f and \tau = t and t = 2 \cdot t in vderiv-unique-within-open-interval)
using \langle t \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} \rangle by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ t>0 \Longrightarrow vderiv\text{-}of\ (\lambda t.\ a\cdot t\hat{\ }2\ /\ 2\ +\ v\cdot t\ +\ x)\ \{\theta<..<2\cdot t\}\ t=a\cdot t
+ v
unfolding vderiv-of-def apply(subst\ some1-equality[of - (\lambda t.\ a\cdot t + v)])
apply(rule-tac a=\lambda t. \ a \cdot t + v \ \textbf{in} \ ex11)
apply(simp-all add: galilean-position)
apply(rule ext, rename-tac f \tau)
\operatorname{apply}(\operatorname{rule-tac} f = \lambda t.\ a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x \text{ and } t = 2 \cdot t \text{ and } f' = f \text{ in } vderiv\text{-unique-within-open-interval})
apply(simp-all add: galilean-position)
oops
lemma galilean-velocity[galilean-transform]:((\lambda r. a \cdot r + v) has-vderiv-on (\lambda t. a))
apply(rule-tac f'1=\lambda x. a and g'1=\lambda x. 0 in derivative-intros(191))
unfolding has-vderiv-on-def by(auto intro: derivative-eq-intros)
lemma [qalilean-transform-eq]:
t > 0 \Longrightarrow vderiv-of(\lambda r. \ a \cdot r + v) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\} \ t = a
proof-
let ?f = vderiv - of(\lambda r. a \cdot r + v) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
assume t > \theta hence t \in \{\theta < ... < 2 \cdot t\} by auto
have \exists f. ((\lambda r. \ a \cdot r + v) \ has-vderiv-on f) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
using galilean-velocity by blast
hence ((\lambda r. \ a \cdot r + v) \ has-vderiv-on ?f) \ \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
unfolding vderiv-of-def by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) someI-ex)
also have ((\lambda r. \ a \cdot r + v) \ has-vderiv-on \ (\lambda t. \ a)) \ \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
using galilean-velocity by simp
ultimately show (vderiv-of (\lambda r.\ a\cdot r + v) {0 < ... < 2 \cdot t}) t = a
apply(rule-tac f' = ?f and \tau = t and t = 2 \cdot t in vderiv-unique-within-open-interval)
using \langle t \in \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} \rangle by auto
qed
lemma [galilean-transform]:
((\lambda t. \ v \cdot t - a \cdot t^2 \ / \ 2 + x) \ has-vderiv-on \ (\lambda x. \ v - a \cdot x)) \ \{0..t\}
apply(subgoal-tac ((\lambda t. - a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) has-vderiv-on (\lambda x. - a \cdot x + x)
v)) \{\theta..t\}, simp)
by(rule galilean-transform)
lemma [galilean-transform-eq]: t > 0 \implies vderiv-of (\lambda t. \ v \cdot t - a \cdot t^2 / 2 + x)
```

```
\{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} \ t = v - a \cdot t
apply(subgoal-tac vderiv-of (\lambda t. - a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} t = -a
\cdot t + v, simp)
by(rule galilean-transform-eq)
lemma [qalilean-transform]:
((\lambda t. \ v - a \cdot t) \ has-vderiv-on \ (\lambda x. - a)) \ \{0..t\}
apply(subgoal-tac ((\lambda t. - a \cdot t + v) has-vderiv-on (\lambda x. - a)) {0..t}, simp)
\mathbf{by}(rule\ galilean-transform)
lemma [galilean-transform-eq]:t > 0 \Longrightarrow vderiv-of (\lambda r. \ v - a \cdot r) \ \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\}
t = -a
\mathbf{apply}(\textit{subgoal-tac vderiv-of } (\lambda t. - a \cdot t + v) \{0 < ... < 2 \cdot t\} \ t = -a, \ simp)
by(rule galilean-transform-eq)
lemma [simp]:(\lambda x. \ case \ x \ of \ (t, \ x) \Rightarrow f \ t) = (\lambda \ x. \ (f \circ \pi_1) \ x)
by auto
end
theory VC-diffKAD
imports VC-diffKAD-auxiliarities
begin
            Phase Space Relational Semantics
2.3.3
definition solvesStoreIVP :: (real \Rightarrow real store) \Rightarrow (string \times (real store \Rightarrow real))
list \Rightarrow
real\ store \Rightarrow bool
((- solvesTheStoreIVP - withInitState - ) [70, 70, 70] 68) where
solvesStoreIVP \ \varphi_S \ xfList \ s \equiv
— F sends vdiffs-in-list to derivs.
(\forall t \geq 0. (\forall xf \in set xfList. \varphi_S t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (\varphi_S t)) \land
— F preserves the rest of the variables and F sends derive of constants to 0.
(\forall y. (y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \longrightarrow \varphi_S \ t \ y = s \ y) \land 
       (y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \longrightarrow \varphi_S \ t \ (\partial \ y) = \theta)) \land
— F solves the induced IVP.
(\forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. \varphi_S t (\pi_1 xf)) solves-ode (\lambda t.\lambda r.(\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t))) \{0..t\}
UNIV \wedge
\varphi_S \ \theta \ (\pi_1 \ xf) = s(\pi_1 \ xf))
\mathbf{lemma}\ solves\text{-}store\text{-}ivpI:
assumes \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. (\varphi_S t (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t)
 and \forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \longrightarrow \varphi_S \ t \ y = s \ y
 and \forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \longrightarrow \varphi_S t (\partial y) = 0
  and \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. \varphi_S t (\pi_1 xf)) solves-ode (\lambda t.\lambda r.(\pi_2 xf))
(\varphi_S t))) \{\theta..t\} UNIV
  and \forall xf \in set xfList. \varphi_S \ \theta \ (\pi_1 xf) = s(\pi_1 xf)
shows \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s
```

```
apply(simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def, safe)
using assms apply simp-all
\mathbf{by}(force, force, force)
named-theorems solves-store-ivpE elimination rules for solvesStoreIVP
lemma [solves-store-ivpE]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s
shows \forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \longrightarrow \varphi_S t y = s y
 and \forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \longrightarrow \varphi_S t (\partial y) = 0
 and \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. (\varphi_S t (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t)
 and \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda t. \varphi_S t (\pi_1 xf)) solves-ode (\lambda t.\lambda r.(\pi_2 xf))
(\varphi_S t))) \{\theta..t\} UNIV
 and \forall xf \in set xfList. \varphi_S \ \theta \ (\pi_1 xf) = s(\pi_1 xf)
using assms solvesStoreIVP-def by auto
lemma [solves-store-ivpE]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s
shows \forall y. y \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow \varphi_S \ 0 \ y = s \ y
\mathbf{proof}(clarify, rename-tac\ x)
fix x assume x \notin varDiffs
from assms and solves-store-ivpE(5) have x \in (\pi_1(set xfList)) \Longrightarrow \varphi_S \ \theta \ x = s
x by fastforce
also have x \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs \Longrightarrow \varphi_S \ \theta \ x = s \ x
using assms and solves-store-ivpE(1) by simp
ultimately show \varphi_S \theta x = s x using \langle x \notin varDiffs \rangle by auto
qed
named-theorems solves-store-ivpD computation rules for solvesStoreIVP
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s
 and t \geq \theta
 and y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs
shows \varphi_S t y = s y
using assms solves-store-ivpE(1) by simp
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s
 and t \geq \theta
 and y \notin (\pi_1(set xfList))
shows \varphi_S t (\partial y) = 0
using assms solves-store-ivpE(2) by simp
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s
 and t \geq \theta
 and xf \in set xfList
shows (\varphi_S \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) = (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_S \ t)
```

```
using assms solves-store-ivpE(3) by simp
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s
 and t \geq \theta
 and xf \in set xfList
shows ((\lambda \ t. \ \varphi_S \ t \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ solves-ode \ (\lambda \ t.\lambda \ r.(\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_S \ t))) \ \{0..t\} \ UNIV
using assms solves-store-ivpE(4) by simp
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s
 and (x,f) \in set xfList
shows \varphi_S \ \theta \ x = s \ x
using assms solves-store-ivpE(5) by fastforce
lemma [solves-store-ivpD]:
assumes \varphi_S solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s
 and y \notin varDiffs
shows \varphi_S \ \theta \ y = s \ y
using assms solves-store-ivpE(6) by simp
definition guarDiffEqtn :: (string \times (real store \Rightarrow real)) list \Rightarrow (real store pred)
real store rel (ODEsystem - with - [70, 70] 61) where
ODEsystem xfList with G = \{(s, \varphi_S \ t) \mid s \ t \ \varphi_S. \ t \geq 0 \ \land \ (\forall \ r \in \{0..t\}. \ G \ (\varphi_S \ r))\}
\land solvesStoreIVP \varphi_S xfList s
          Derivation of Differential Dynamic Logic Rules
2.3.4
"Differential Weakening"
lemma wlp\text{-}evol\text{-}guard:Id \subseteq wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \ [G]
by(simp add: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def rel-ad-def guarDiffEqtn-def p2r-def,
force)
theorem dWeakening:
assumes guardImpliesPost: \lceil G \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
using assms and wlp-evol-guard by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) d-p2r
order-trans p2r-subid rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-iso)
theorem dW: wp (ODEsystem xfList with G) [Q] = wp (ODEsystem xfList with
G) [\lambda s. G s \longrightarrow Q s]
{\bf unfolding}\ rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra. fbox-def\ rel-ad-def\ guar Diff Eqtn-def
by(simp add: relcomp.simps p2r-def, fastforce)
"Differential Cut"
lemma all-interval-guar DiffEqtn:
```

assumes solvesStoreIVP φ_S xfList $s \land (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G (\varphi_S r)) \land \theta \leq t$

```
shows \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (s, \varphi_S r) \in (ODE system xfList with G)
unfolding guarDiffEqtn-def using atLeastAtMost-iff apply clarsimp
apply(rule-tac x=r in exI, rule-tac x=\varphi_S in exI) using assms by simp
lemma condA fter Evol-remains Along Evol:
assumes boxDiffC:(s, s) \in wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \ [C]
and FisSol:solvesStoreIVP \varphi_S xfList s \land (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G(\varphi_S r)) \land 0 \le t
shows \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ G(\varphi_S r) \land C(\varphi_S r)
proof-
from boxDiffC have \forall c. (s,c) \in (ODEsystem xfList with G) \longrightarrow Cc
 by (simp add: boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
also from FisSol have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (s, \varphi_S r) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G)
 using all-interval-guarDiffEqtn by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
 using FisSol atLeastAtMost-iff guarDiffEqtn-def by fastforce
qed
theorem dCut:
assumes pBoxDiffCut:(PRE\ P\ (ODEsystem\ xfList\ with\ G)\ POST\ C)
assumes pBoxCutQ:(PRE\ P\ (ODEsystem\ xfList\ with\ (\lambda\ s.\ G\ s \land C\ s))\ POST\ Q)
shows PRE\ P\ (ODEsystem\ xfList\ with\ G)\ POST\ Q
apply(clarify, subgoal-tac\ a = b)\ defer
proof(metis\ d-p2r\ rdom-p2r-contents,\ simp,\ subst\ boxProgrPred-chrctrztn,\ clarify)
fix b y assume (b, b) \in [P] and (b, y) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
then obtain \varphi_S t where *:solvesStoreIVP \varphi_S xfList b \land (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G (\varphi_S))
r)) \wedge \theta \leq t \wedge \varphi_S t = y
 using guarDiffEqtn-def by auto
hence \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (b, \varphi_S r) \in (ODE system xfList with G)
 using all-interval-quarDiffEqtn by blast
from this and pBoxDiffCut have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. C(\varphi_S r)
 using boxProgrPred-chrctrztn \langle (b, b) \in [P] \rangle by (metis (no-types, lifting) d-p2r
subsetCE)
then have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (b, \varphi_S r) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \land C \ s))
 using * all-interval-guarDiffEqtn by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
from this and pBoxCutQ have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. Q(\varphi_S r)
 using boxProgrPred-chrctrztn ((b, b) \in [P]) by (metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ d-p2r
subsetCE)
thus Q y using * by auto
qed
theorem dC:
assumes Id \subseteq wp (ODEsystem xfList with G) [C]
shows wp (ODEsystem xfList with G) Q = wp (ODEsystem xfList with (\lambda s.
G s \wedge C s) [Q]
\operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(rule\text{-}tac\ f = \lambda\ x.\ wp\ x\ [Q]\ \mathbf{in}\ HOL.arg\text{-}cong,\ safe)
 fix a b assume (a, b) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
 then obtain \varphi_S t where *:solvesStoreIVP \varphi_S xfList a \land (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G (\varphi_S))
r)) \wedge \theta \leq t \wedge \varphi_S t = b
   using guarDiffEqtn-def by auto
```

```
hence 1:\forall r \in \{0..t\}. (a, \varphi_S r) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
   by (meson \ all-interval-guar Diff Eqtn)
  from this have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. C(\varphi_S r) using assms boxProgrPred-chrctrztn
   by (metis IdI boxProgrPred-IsProp subset-antisym)
  thus (a, b) \in ODEsystem xfList with (\lambda s. G s \wedge C s)
   using * quarDiffEqtn-def by blast
next
  fix a b assume (a, b) \in ODEsystem xfList with (\lambda s. G s \land C s)
 then show (a, b) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
 unfolding guarDiffEqtn-def by (clarsimp, rule-tac x = t in exI, rule-tac x = \varphi_S in
exI, simp)
qed
Solve Differential Equation
lemma prelim-dSolve:
assumes solHyp:(\lambda t.\ sol\ s[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ t) solvesTheStoreIVP\ xfList\ withInit-
State s
and uniqHyp: \forall X. \ solvesStoreIVP \ X \ xfList \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. \ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput])
t) = X t
and diffAssgn: \forall t \geq 0. G(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) \longrightarrow Q(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)
shows \forall c. (s,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow Q \ c
\mathbf{proof}(clarify)
fix c assume (s,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G)
from this obtain t::real and \varphi_S::real \Rightarrow real store
where FHyp:t\geq 0 \land \varphi_S t=c \land solvesStoreIVP \varphi_S xfList s \land (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G
(\varphi_S r)
using guarDiffEqtn-def by auto
from this and uniqHyp have (sol s[xfList\leftarrowuInput] t) = \varphi_S t by blast
then have cHyp:c = (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) using FHyp\ by simp\ 
from this have G (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) using FHyp by force
then show Q c using diffAssgn FHyp cHyp by auto
ged
theorem dS:
assumes solHyp: \forall s. solvesStoreIVP (\lambda t. sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) xfList s
and uniqHyp: \forall s \ X. \ solvesStoreIVP \ X \ xfList \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. \ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]
t) = X t
shows wp (ODEsystem xfList with G) [Q] =
 [\lambda s. \forall t > 0. (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G(sols[xfList \leftarrow uInput]r)) \longrightarrow Q(sols[xfList \leftarrow uInput]r)]
t)
apply(simp add: p2r-def, rule subset-antisym)
unfolding quarDiffEqtn-def rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def rel-ad-def
using solHyp apply(simp add: relcomp.simps) apply clarify
apply(rule-tac \ x=x \ in \ exI, \ clarsimp)
apply(erule-tac \ x=sol \ x[xfList\leftarrow uInput] \ t \ in \ all E, \ erule \ disjE)
apply(erule-tac \ x=x \ in \ all E, \ erule-tac \ x=t \ in \ all E)
apply(erule\ impE,\ simp,\ erule-tac\ x=\lambda t.\ sol\ x[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ t\ in\ allE)
apply(simp-all, clarify, rule-tac x=s in exI, simp add: relcomp.simps)
```

t)

using uniqHyp by fastforce theorem dSolve: assumes $solHyp: \forall s. \ solvesStoreIVP \ (\lambda t. \ sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ xfList \ s$ and $uniqHyp: \forall s. \forall X. solvesStoreIVP \ XxfList \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0.(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]))$ and $diffAssgn: \forall s. Ps \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. G(sols[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) \longrightarrow Q(sols[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t)$ shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q $apply(clarsimp, subgoal-tac\ a=b)$ apply(clarify, subst boxProgrPred-chrctrztn) $apply(simp-all \ add: \ p2r-def)$ $apply(rule-tac\ uInput=uInput\ in\ prelim-dSolve)$ **apply**(simp add: solHyp, simp add: uniqHyp) **by** (metis (no-types, lifting) diffAssgn) — We proceed to refine the previous rule by finding the necessary restrictions on varFunList and uInput so that the solution to the store-IVP is guaranteed. **lemma** conds4vdiffs-prelim: **assumes** funcsHyp: $\forall s \ g. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (override-on \ s \ g \ varDiffs) = \pi_2 \ xf$ and $distinctHyp:distinct (map \pi_1 xfList)$ and $varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs$ and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInputand $solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 uxf) \ \theta (sol s) = (sol s) (\pi_1 (\pi_2 uxf)) = (sol s) (\pi_2 uxf) = (sol s) (\pi_2$ uxf)and $solHyp2: \forall t \geq 0$. $((\lambda \tau. (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \tau) x)$ has-vderiv-on $(\lambda \tau. f (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \tau))) \{0..t\}$ and $xfHyp:(x, f) \in set xfList$ and $tHyp:t \geq 0$ **shows** (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (∂ x) = f (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) prooffrom xfHyp obtain u where xfuHyp: $(u,x,f) \in set (uInput \otimes xfList)$ **by** (metis in-set-impl-in-set-zip2 lengthHyp) **show** $(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\partial\ x) = f\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)$ $\mathbf{proof}(cases\ t=0)$ ${\bf case}\ {\it True}$ **have** $(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \theta)\ (\partial\ x) = f\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \theta)$ using assms and to-sol-zero-its-dvars by blast then show ?thesis using True by blast next case False from this have t > 0 using tHyp by simphence $(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\partial\ x) = vderiv - of\ (\lambda\ r.\ u\ r\ (sol\ s))\ \{0 < .. < (2)\}$ $*_R t)$ } tusing xfuHyp assms to-sol-greater-than-zero-its-dvars by blast also have vderiv-of $(\lambda r.\ u\ r\ (sol\ s))$ $\{0<..<(2*_Rt)\}\ t=f\ (sol\ s[xfList\leftarrow uInput]$

using assms $xfuHyp \langle t > 0 \rangle$ and vderiv-of-to-sol-its-vars by blast

```
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
     qed
qed
lemma conds4vdiffs:
assumes funcsHyp:\forall s \ q. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (override-on \ s \ q \ varDiffs) = \pi_2 \ xf
and distinctHyp:distinct\ (map\ \pi_1\ xfList)
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 uxf) \ 0 \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: (\pi_2 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: (\pi_2 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: (\pi_3 \ uxf) \ solHyp1: (\pi_3
uxf))
and solHyp2: \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall \ xf \in set \ xfList. \ ((\lambda \tau. \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau) \ (\pi_1 \ xf))
has-vderiv-on (\lambda \tau. (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \tau))) \ \{0..t\}
shows \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = (\pi_2 \ xf)
(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)
apply(rule allI, rule impI, rule ballI, rule conds4vdiffs-prelim)
using assms by simp-all
lemma conds4Consts:
assumes varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
shows \forall x. x \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \longrightarrow (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\partial x) = 0
using varsHyp apply(induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2')
apply(simp-all add: override-on-def varDiffs-def vdiff-def)
by clarsimp
\mathbf{lemma}\ conds \not 4 In it State:
assumes distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 uxf) \ 0 \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \cup s)) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s
uxf)
and xfHyp:(x, f) \in set xfList
shows (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \theta)\ x = s\ x
proof-
from xfHyp obtain u where uxfHyp:(u, x, f) \in set (uInput \otimes xfList)
by (metis in-set-impl-in-set-zip2 lengthHyp)
from varsHyp have toZeroHyp:(sol\ s)\ x = s\ x using override-on-def\ xfHyp by
auto
from uxfHyp and solHyp1 have u \ 0 \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ x by fastforce
also have (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \theta)\ x = u\ \theta\ (sol\ s)
using state-list-cross-upd-its-vars uxfHyp and assms by blast
ultimately show (sol s[xfList\leftarrowuInput] 0) x = s x using toZeroHyp by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ conds 4 Rest Of Strings:
assumes x \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs
shows (sol s[xfList\leftarrowuInput] t) x = s x
using assms apply(induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2')
```

fixes f::real store \Rightarrow real assumes $tHyp:t \geq 0$

 $\mathbf{by}(auto\ simp:\ varDiffs-def)$ **lemma** conds4storeIVP-on-toSol: **assumes** funcsHyp: $\forall s \ g. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (override-on \ s \ g \ varDiffs) = \pi_2 \ xf$ and $distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)$ and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInputand $varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs$ and $solHyp1: \forall uxf \in set \ (uInput \otimes xfList). \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ \theta \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf)) \ \theta \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (sol \ s) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (sol \ s) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (sol \ s) \ (sol \ s) = (sol \ s) \ (sol \ s) \ (sol \ s) = (sol$ and $solHyp2: \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set xfList.$ $((\lambda t. (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\pi_1 xf)) has-vderiv-on (\lambda t. \pi_2 xf (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]))))$ $t))) \{0..t\}$ **shows** solvesStoreIVP (λ t. (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t)) xfList s $apply(rule\ solves-store-ivpI)$ subgoal using conds4vdiffs assms by blast subgoal using conds4RestOfStrings by blast subgoal using conds4Consts varsHyp by blast **subgoal apply**(rule allI, rule impI, rule ballI, rule solves-odeI) using solHyp2 by simp-all subgoal using conds4InitState and assms by force done theorem dSolve-toSolve: **assumes** funcsHyp: $\forall s \ g. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (override-on \ s \ g \ varDiffs) = \pi_2 \ xf$ and $distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)$ and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInputand $varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs$ and $solHyp1: \forall s. \forall uxf \in set (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 uxf) \ \theta \ (sol s) = (sol s) (\pi_1 (\pi_2 \cup set)) (\pi_1 \cup set) (\pi_2 \cup set)$ uxf))and $solHyp2: \forall s. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList.$ $((\lambda t. (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) (\pi_1 xf)) has-vderiv-on (\lambda t. \pi_2 xf (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]))))$ $t))) \{0...t\}$ and $uniqHyp: \forall s. \forall X. solvesStoreIVP X xfList s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]))$ t) = X tand $postCondHyp: \forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. \ Q \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))$ shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q **apply**(rule-tac uInput=uInput **in** dSolve) subgoal using assms and conds/storeIVP-on-toSol by simp **subgoal by** (simp add: uniqHyp) **using** postCondHyp postCondHyp **by** simp — As before, we keep refining the rule dSolve. This time we find the necessary restrictions to attain uniqueness. **lemma** conds4UniqSol:

```
and contHyp:continuous-on (\{0..t\} \times UNIV) (\lambda(t, (r::real)). f(\varphi_s t))
shows unique-on-bounded-closed 0 \{0..t\} \tau (\lambda t r. f(\varphi_s t)) UNIV (if t = 0 then
1 else 1/(t+1)
apply(simp add: ubc-definitions, rule conjI)
subgoal using contHyp continuous-rhs-def by fastforce
subgoal using assms continuous-rhs-def by fastforce
done
lemma solves-store-ivp-at-beginning-overrides:
assumes solvesStoreIVP \varphi_s xfList a
shows \varphi_s \ \theta = override \text{-} on \ a \ (\varphi_s \ \theta) \ varDiffs
apply(rule\ ext,\ subgoal-tac\ x\notin varDiffs\longrightarrow \varphi_s\ 0\ x=a\ x)
subgoal by (simp add: override-on-def)
using assms and solves-store-ivpD(6) by simp
lemma \ ubcStoreUniqueSol:
assumes tHyp:t \geq 0
assumes contHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. continuous-on ({0..t} \times UNIV)
(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 xf) (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t))
and eqDerivs: \forall xf \in set xfList. \ \forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. \ (\pi_2 xf) \ (\varphi_s \ \tau) = (\pi_2 xf) \ (sol
s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \tau
and Fsolves:solvesStoreIVP \varphi_s xfList s
and solHyp:solvesStoreIVP (\lambda \tau. (sol\ s[xfList\leftarrow uInput]\ \tau)) xfList\ s
shows (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) = \varphi_s\ t
  fix x::string show (sol s[xfList\leftarrowuInput] t) x = \varphi_s t x
  \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{cases}\ x \in (\pi_1(\mathit{set}\ \mathit{xfList})) \cup \mathit{varDiffs})
  case False
   then have notInVars:x \notin (\pi_1(set xfList)) \cup varDiffs by simp
   from solHyp have (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ x = s\ x
   using tHyp \ notInVars \ solves-store-ivpD(1) by blast
  also from Fsolves have \varphi_s t x = s x using tHyp notInVars solves-store-ivpD(1)
by blast
    ultimately show (sol s[xfList\leftarrowuInput] t) x = \varphi_s t x by simp
  next case True
    then have x \in (\pi_1(set xfList)) \lor x \in varDiffs by simp
    from this show ?thesis
    proof
      assume x \in (\pi_1(set xfList))
      from this obtain f where xfHyp:(x, f) \in set xfList by fastforce
      then have expand1: \forall xf \in set xfList.((\lambda \tau. \varphi_s \tau (\pi_1 xf)) solves-ode)
      (\lambda \tau \ r. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_s \ \tau)))\{0..t\} \ UNIV \land \varphi_s \ 0 \ (\pi_1 \ xf) = s \ (\pi_1 \ xf)
      using Fsolves tHyp by (simp add:solvesStoreIVP-def)
      hence expand2: \forall xf \in set xfList. \ \forall \tau \in \{0..t\}. \ ((\lambda r. \varphi_s \ r \ (\pi_1 \ xf)))
      has-vector-derivative (\lambda r. (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau)) \ \tau) \ (at \ \tau \ within
\{\theta..t\}
      using eqDerivs by (simp add: solves-ode-def has-vderiv-on-def)
```

theorem dSolveUBC:

```
then have \forall xf \in set xfList. ((\lambda \tau. \varphi_s \tau (\pi_1 xf)) solves-ode
       (\lambda \tau \ r. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau)))\{0..t\} \ UNIV \land \varphi_s \ \theta \ (\pi_1 \ xf) = s
(\pi_1 xf)
      by (simp add: has-vderiv-on-def solves-ode-def expand1 expand2)
     then have 1:((\lambda \tau. \varphi_s \tau x) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda \tau r. f (\text{sol s}[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \tau))) \{0..t\}
      \varphi_s \ \theta \ x = s \ x \ \text{using } xfHyp \ \text{by } fastforce
      from solHyp and xfHyp have 2:((\lambda \tau. (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \tau) x) solves-ode
      (\lambda \tau \ r. \ f \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau))) \ \{\theta..t\} \ UNIV \land (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \theta)
x = s x
      using solvesStoreIVP-def tHyp by fastforce
      \textbf{from} \ \textit{tHyp} \ \textbf{and} \ \textit{contHyp} \ \textbf{have} \ \forall \ \textit{xf} \in \textit{set xfList. unique-on-bounded-closed} \ \textit{0}
\{0..t\}\ (s\ (\pi_1\ xf))
     (\lambda \tau \ r. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \tau))\ UNIV\ (if\ t=0\ then\ 1\ else\ 1/(t+1))
      apply(clarify) apply(rule conds4UniqSol) by(auto)
        from this have 3:unique-on-bounded-closed 0 \{0..t\} (s x) (\lambda \tau r. f (sol
s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \tau)
      UNIV (if t = 0 then 1 else 1/(t+1)) using xfHyp by fastforce
      from 1 2 and 3 show (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t) x = \varphi_s t x
     using unique-on-bounded-closed.unique-solution using real-Icc-closed-segment
tHyp by blast
    next
      assume x \in varDiffs
      then obtain y where xDef: x = \partial y by (auto simp: varDiffs-def)
      show (sol s[xfList\leftarrowuInput] t) x = \varphi_s t x
      \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{cases}\ y \in \mathit{set}\ (\mathit{map}\ \pi_1\ \mathit{xfList}))
      case True
        then obtain f where xfHyp:(y, f) \in set xfList by fastforce
        from tHyp and Fsolves have \varphi_s t x = f(\varphi_s t)
        using solves-store-ivpD(3) xfHyp xDef by force
        also have (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ x = f\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)
        using solves-store-ivpD(3) xfHyp xDef solHyp tHyp by force
        ultimately show ?thesis using eqDerivs xfHyp tHyp by auto
      \mathbf{next} \mathbf{case} \mathit{False}
        then have \varphi_s t x = \theta
        using xDef solves-store-ivpD(2) Fsolves tHyp by simp
        also have (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ x = 0
        using False solHyp tHyp solves-store-ivpD(2) xDef by fastforce
        ultimately show ?thesis by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
```

```
assumes contHyp:\forall s. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. continuous-on (<math>\{0..t\} \times UNIV)
(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 xf) (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t))
and solHyp: \forall s. \ solvesStoreIVP \ (\lambda \ t. \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)) \ xfList \ s
and uniqHyp: \forall s. \forall \varphi_s. \varphi_s  solvesTheStoreIVP xfList withInitState s \longrightarrow
(\forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_s \ r) = (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]
r))
and diffAssgn: \forall s. \ Ps \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. \ G(sols[xfList \leftarrow uInput]t) \longrightarrow Q(sols[xfList \leftarrow uInput]t)
t))
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
apply(rule-tac\ uInput=uInput\ in\ dSolve)
prefer 2 subgoal proof(clarify)
fix s::real store and \varphi_s::real \Rightarrow real store and t::real
assume isSol:solvesStoreIVP \varphi_s xfList s and sHyp:0 \le t
from this and uniqHyp have \forall xf \in set xfList. \forall t \in \{0..t\}.
(\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_s \ t) = (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) by auto
also have \forall xf \in set xfList. continuous-on (\{0..t\} \times UNIV)
(\lambda(t, (r::real)), (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)) using contHyp\ sHyp by blast
ultimately show (sol s[xfList\leftarrow uInput] t) = \varphi_s t
using sHyp isSol ubcStoreUniqueSol solHyp by simp
qed using assms by simp-all
theorem dSolve-toSolveUBC:
assumes funcsHyp:\forall s \ g. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (override-on \ s \ g \ varDiffs) = \pi_2 \ xf
and distinctHyp:distinct (map <math>\pi_1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length\ xfList = length\ uInput
and varsHyp: \forall xf \in set xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin varDiffs
and solHyp1: \forall s. \ \forall uxf \in set \ (uInput \otimes xfList). \ \pi_1 \ uxf \ \theta \ (sol \ s) = sol \ s \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxfList)) 
uxf)
and solHyp2: \forall s. \ \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ ((\lambda t. \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ (\pi_1 \ xf))
has-vderiv-on
(\lambda t. \pi_2 \ xf \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))) \ \{0..t\}
and contHyp: \forall s. \ \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set xfList. \ continuous-on (\{0..t\} \times UNIV)
(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 xf) (sol s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] t))
and uniqHyp: \forall s. \ \forall \varphi_s. \ \varphi_s \ solvesTheStoreIVP \ xfList \ withInitState \ s \longrightarrow
(\forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_s \ r) = (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]
r))
and postCondHyp: \forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall \ t \ge 0. \ Q \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
apply(rule-tac uInput=uInput in dSolveUBC)
using contHyp apply simp
apply(rule allI, rule-tac uInput=uInput in conds4storeIVP-on-toSol)
using assms by auto
```

"Differential Invariant."

lemma solvesStoreIVP-couldBeModified: fixes F:: $real \Rightarrow real store$

```
assumes vars: \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall xf \in set \ xfList. \ ((\lambda t. \ Ft \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ solves-ode \ (\lambda t \ r. \ \pi_2 \ xf \ (Ft))
t))) \{\theta..t\} UNIV
and dvars: \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in set xfList. (F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (F t)
shows \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ \forall xf \in set xfList.
((\lambda \ t. \ F \ t \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ has-vector-derivative \ F \ r \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\})
proof(clarify, rename-tac\ t\ r\ x\ f)
fix x f and t r :: real
assume tHyp:0 \le t and xfHyp:(x, f) \in set xfList and rHyp:r \in \{0..t\}
from this and vars have ((\lambda t. F t x) solves-ode (\lambda t r. f (F t))) \{0..t\} UNIV
using tHyp by fastforce
hence *:\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda t. Ftx) has-vector-derivative <math>(\lambda t. f(Ft)) r) (at r within
\{\theta..t\}
by (simp add: solves-ode-def has-vderiv-on-def tHyp)
have \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ \forall xf \in set xfList. (F r (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (F r)
using assms by auto
from this rHyp and xfHyp have (F r (\partial x)) = f (F r) by force
then show ((\lambda t. \ F \ t \ (\pi_1 \ (x, f))) \ has-vector-derivative \ F \ r \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ (x, f)))) \ (at \ r
within \{0..t\}
using * rHyp by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ derivation Lemma-base Case:
fixes F::real \Rightarrow real store
assumes solves:solvesStoreIVP F xfList a
shows \forall x \in (UNIV - varDiffs). \forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}.
((\lambda \ t. \ F \ t \ x) \ has-vector-derivative \ F \ r \ (\partial \ x)) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\})
proof
\mathbf{fix} \ x
assume x \in UNIV - varDiffs
then have notVarDiff: \forall z. x \neq \partial z using varDiffs-def by fastforce
 show \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ ((\lambda t. \ Ft \ x) \ has-vector-derivative \ Fr \ (\partial \ x)) \ (at \ r \ within
\{\theta..t\}
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ x \in set\ (map\ \pi_1\ xfList))
    case True
    from this and solves have \forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \forall xf \in set xfList.
    ((\lambda \ t. \ F \ t \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ has-vector-derivative \ F \ r \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\})
   apply(rule-tac solvesStoreIVP-couldBeModified) using solves solves-store-ivpD
by auto
    from this show ?thesis using True by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case False
    from this not VarDiff and solves have const: \forall t \geq 0. F t x = a x
    using solves-store-ivpD(1) by (simp \ add: varDiffs-def)
     have constD: \forall t \geq 0. \ \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ ((\lambda r. \ a \ x) \ has-vector-derivative \ 0) \ (at \ r. \ a \ x)
within \{0..t\})
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto\ intro:\ derivative-eq\text{-}intros})
    \{fix t r:: real \}
      assume t \ge \theta and r \in \{\theta...t\}
      hence ((\lambda \ s. \ a \ x) \ has\text{-}vector\text{-}derivative \ \theta) (at \ r \ within \ \{\theta...t\}) by (simp \ add:
```

```
constD)
      moreover have \bigwedge s. \ s \in \{0..t\} \Longrightarrow (\lambda \ r. \ F \ r \ x) \ s = (\lambda \ r. \ a \ x) \ s
      using const by (simp add: \langle \theta \leq t \rangle)
      ultimately have ((\lambda \ s. \ F \ s \ x) \ has-vector-derivative \ \theta) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{\theta..t\})
      using has-vector-derivative-transform by (metis \langle r \in \{0..t\}\rangle \rangle)
    hence isZero: \forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda t. F t x) has-vector-derivative 0) (at r within
\{0..t\})by blast
    from False solves and notVarDiff have \forall t \geq 0. F t (\partial x) = 0
    using solves-store-ivpD(2) by simp
    then show ?thesis using isZero by simp
  qed
qed
lemma derivationLemma:
assumes solvesStoreIVP F xfList a
and tHyp:t \geq 0
and termVarsHyp: \forall x \in trmVars \ \eta. \ x \in (UNIV - varDiffs)
shows \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (Fs)) has-vector-derivative \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (Fr)) (at r within
\{\theta..t\}
using term Vars Hyp proof (induction \eta)
  case (Const r)
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Var\ y)
  then have yHyp:y \in UNIV - varDiffs by auto
  from this tHyp and assms(1) show ?case
  using derivationLemma-baseCase by auto
next
  case (Mns \eta)
  then show ?case
  apply(clarsimp)
  \mathbf{by}(rule\ derivative\text{-}intros,\ simp)
next
  case (Sum \eta 1 \eta 2)
  then show ?case
  apply(clarsimp)
  \mathbf{by}(rule\ derivative\text{-}intros,\ simp\text{-}all)
next
  case (Mult \eta 1 \eta 2)
  then show ?case
  apply(clarsimp)
  apply(subgoal-tac ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta 1 \rrbracket_t (F s) *_R \llbracket \eta 2 \rrbracket_t (F s)) has-vector-derivative
   [\![\partial_t \ \eta 1]\!]_t \ (F \ r) \cdot [\![\eta 2]\!]_t \ (F \ r) + [\![\eta 1]\!]_t \ (F \ r) \cdot [\![\partial_t \ \eta 2]\!]_t \ (F \ r)) \ (at \ r \ within)
\{0..t\}, simp
 apply(rule-tac f'1 = [\partial_t \eta 1]_t (Fr) and g'1 = [\partial_t \eta 2]_t (Fr) in derivative-eq-intros(25))
 by (simp-all add: has-field-derivative-iff-has-vector-derivative)
qed
```

lemma diff-subst-prprty-4terms:

```
assumes solves: \forall xf \in set xfList. F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F t)
and tHyp:(t::real) \geq 0
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and term Vars Hyp:trm Vars \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
shows [\![\partial_t \ \eta]\!]_t (F t) = [\![(map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t \ \eta \rangle]\!]_t (F t)
using termVarsHyp apply(induction \eta) apply(simp-all \ add: \ substList-help2)
using listsHyp and solves apply(induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2', simp,
simp, simp)
proof(clarify, rename-tac y g xfTail \vartheta trmTail x)
fix x y :: string and \vartheta :: trms and q and xfTail :: ((string \times (real store \Rightarrow real)) list)
and trm Tail
assume IH: \Lambda x. \ x \notin varDiffs \Longrightarrow map \ \pi_2 \ xfTail = map \ tval \ trmTail \Longrightarrow
\forall xf \in set \ xfTail. \ F \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (F \ t) \Longrightarrow
F \ t \ (\partial \ x) = \llbracket (map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfTail \otimes trmTail) \langle t_V \ (\partial \ x) \rangle \rrbracket_t \ (F \ t)
and 1:x \notin varDiffs and 2:map \ \pi_2 \ ((y, g) \# xfTail) = map \ tval \ (\vartheta \# trmTail)
and 3: \forall xf \in set ((y, g) \# xfTail). F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F t)
hence *: \llbracket (map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfTail \otimes trmTail) \langle Var \ (\partial \ x) \rangle \rrbracket_t \ (F \ t) = F \ t \ (\partial \ x)
using tHyp by auto
show F \ t \ (\partial \ x) = \llbracket ((map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ ((y, g) \ \# \ xfTail)) \otimes (\vartheta \ \# \ trmTail)) \ \langle t_V \ \rangle
(\partial x)\|_t (F t)
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ x \in set\ (map\ \pi_1\ ((y,\ g)\ \#\ xfTail)))
    then have x = y \lor (x \neq y \land x \in set (map \pi_1 xfTail)) by auto
    moreover
    {assume x = y
       from this have ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ ((y,\ g)\ \#\ xfTail))\otimes (\vartheta\ \#\ trmTail))\langle t_V
(\partial x) = \vartheta  by simp
      also from 3 tHyp have F t (\partial y) = g (F t) by simp
      moreover from 2 have [\![\vartheta]\!]_t (F t) = q (F t) by simp
      ultimately have ?thesis by (simp add: \langle x = y \rangle)
    moreover
    {assume x \neq y \land x \in set (map \ \pi_1 \ xfTail)}
      then have \partial x \neq \partial y using vdiff-inj by auto
      from this have ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ ((y, g) \# xfTail)) \otimes (\vartheta \# trmTail)) \langle t_V \rangle
(\partial x)\rangle =
      ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfTail)\ \otimes\ trmTail)\ \langle t_V\ (\partial\ x)\rangle\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
      hence ?thesis using * by simp}
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case False
    then have ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ ((y,\ g)\ \#\ xfTail))\otimes (\vartheta\ \#\ trmTail))\ \langle t_V\ (\partial\ x)\rangle
= t_V (\partial x)
   using substList-cross-vdiff-on-non-ocurring-var by(metis(no-types, lifting) List.map.compositionality)
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma eqInVars-impl-eqInTrms:
assumes termVarsHyp:trmVars \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
```

```
and initHyp: \forall x. \ x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow b \ x = a \ x
shows [\![\eta]\!]_t a = [\![\eta]\!]_t b
using assms by (induction \eta, simp-all)
lemma non-empty-funList-implies-non-empty-trmList:
shows \forall list.(x,f) \in set list \land map \pi_2 list = map tval tList \longrightarrow (\exists \vartheta. \llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t = f \land
\vartheta \in set\ tList
\mathbf{by}(induction\ tList,\ auto)
lemma dInvForTrms-prelim:
assumes substHyp:
\forall \ st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall \ str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput)\ \langle\partial_t\ \eta\rangle \rrbracket_t\ st=0
and termVarsHyp:trmVars \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
shows [\![\eta]\!]_t a = 0 \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem xfList with G) \longrightarrow [\![\eta]\!]_t c = 0)
proof(clarify)
fix c assume aHyp: [\![\eta]\!]_t \ a = 0 and cHyp: (a, c) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
from this obtain t::real and F::real \Rightarrow real store
where tcHyp:t\geq 0 \land F \ t = c \land solvesStoreIVP \ F \ xfList \ a \land (\forall \ r\in \{0..t\}. \ G \ (F \ r))
using quarDiffEqtn-def by auto
then have \forall x. \ x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow F \ 0 \ x = a \ x \ using \ solves-store-ivpD(6) by blast
from this have [\![\eta]\!]_t a = [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \ \theta) using term Vars Hyp \ eqIn Vars-impl-eqIn Trms
hence obs1: [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \theta) = \theta using aHyp by simp
from tcHyp have obs2: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F s)) has-vector-derivative
[\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t (F r) (at r within \{0..t\}) using derivationLemma termVarsHyp by blast
have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \ \forall xf \in set xfList. \ F \ r \ (\partial (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (F \ r)
using tcHyp\ solves-store-ivpD(3) by fastforce
hence \forall r \in \{0..t\}. [\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t (Fr) = [\![(map (vdiff \circ \pi_1) xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t \eta \rangle]\!]_t
(F r)
using tcHyp diff-subst-prprty-4terms termVarsHyp listsHyp by fastforce
also from substHyp have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. [(map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t
\eta \rangle |_t (F r) = 0
using solves-store-ivpD(2) tcHyp by fastforce
ultimately have \forall r \in \{0...t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F s)) \text{ has-vector-derivative } \theta) (at r \text{ within }
\{0..t\}
using obs2 by auto
from this and tcHyp have \forall s \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda x. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F x)) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x. x *_R x)
(at s within \{0..t\}) by (metis has-vector-derivative-def)
hence [\![\eta]\!]_t (F t) - [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \theta) = (\lambda x. \ x *_R \theta) (t - \theta)
using mvt-very-simple and tcHyp by fastforce
then show [\![\eta]\!]_t \ c = \theta using obs1 tcHyp by auto
\mathbf{qed}
theorem dInvForTrms:
```

assumes $\forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow$

```
\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t\ \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t\ st=0
and term Vars Hyp:trm Vars \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and eta-f:f = [\![\eta]\!]_t
shows PRE (\lambda s. fs = 0) (ODE system xfList with G) POST (\lambda s. fs = 0)
using eta-f proof(clarsimp)
\mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
assume (a, b) \in [\lambda s. [\![\eta]\!]_t \ s = \theta] and f = [\![\eta]\!]_t
from this have aHyp: a = b \wedge \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t \ a = 0 by (metis (full-types) \ d-p2r \ rdom-p2r-contents)
have [\![\eta]\!]_t \ a = \emptyset \longrightarrow (\forall \ c. \ (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow [\![\eta]\!]_t \ c = \emptyset)
using assms dInvForTrms-prelim by metis
from this and aHyp have \forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow [\![\eta]\!]_t \ c =
0 by blast
thus (a, b) \in wp (ODEsystem xfList with G) [\lambda s. [\![\eta]\!]_t s = 0]
using aHyp by (simp add: boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
qed
lemma diff-subst-prprty-4props:
assumes solves: \forall xf \in set xfList. F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F t)
and tHyp:t \geq 0
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and prop VarsHyp:prop Vars \varphi \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
shows [\![\partial_P \varphi]\!]_P (F t) = [\![(map (vdiff \circ \pi_1) xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P \varphi \upharpoonright]\!]_P (F t)
\mathbf{using} \ \mathit{propVarsHyp} \ \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{induction} \ \varphi, \ \mathit{simp-all})
using assms diff-subst-prprty-4terms apply fastforce
using assms diff-subst-prprty-4terms apply fastforce
using assms diff-subst-prprty-4terms by fastforce
lemma dInvForProps-prelim:
assumes substHyp:
\forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t\ \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t\ st \geq 0
and termVarsHyp:trmVars \eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
shows [\![\eta]\!]_t \ a > 0 \longrightarrow (\forall \ c. \ (a,c) \in (\textit{ODEsystem xfList with } G) \longrightarrow [\![\eta]\!]_t \ c > 0)
and [\![\eta]\!]_t \ a \geq \theta \longrightarrow (\forall \ c. \ (a,c) \in (\textit{ODEsystem xfList with } G) \longrightarrow [\![\eta]\!]_t \ c \geq \theta)
proof(clarify)
fix c assume aHyp: [\![\eta]\!]_t \ a > 0 and cHyp: (a, c) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
from this obtain t::real and F::real \Rightarrow real store
where tcHyp:t\geq 0 \land F \ t = c \land solvesStoreIVP \ F \ xfList \ a \land (\forall r\in \{0..t\}. \ G \ (F \ r))
using guarDiffEqtn-def by auto
then have \forall x. \ x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow F \ 0 \ x = a \ x \ using \ solves-store-ivpD(6) by blast
from this have [\![\eta]\!]_t a = [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \ \theta) using term Vars Hyp \ eqIn Vars-impl-eqIn Trms
hence obs1: [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \theta) > \theta using aHyp \ tcHyp by simp
from tcHyp have obs2: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F s)) has-vector-derivative
[\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t (F r) (at r within \{0..t\}) using derivationLemma term VarsHyp by blast
have (\forall t \geq 0. \ \forall \ xf \in set \ xfList. \ F \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (F \ t))
```

```
using tcHyp\ solves-store-ivpD(3) by blast
hence \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (F r) = \llbracket ((map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList) \otimes uInput) \ \langle \partial_t \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t
using diff-subst-prprty-4terms term VarsHyp tcHyp listsHyp by fastforce
also from substHyp have \forall r \in \{0...t\}. [((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t
\eta \rangle \|_t (F r) > 0
using solves-store-ivpD(2) tcHyp by (metis atLeastAtMost-iff)
ultimately have *: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. [\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t (F r) \geq 0 by (simp)
from obs2 and tcHyp have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F s)) has-derivative
(\lambda x. \ x *_R (\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (Fr)))) (at \ r \ within \{0..t\}) by (simp \ add: has-vector-derivative-def)
hence \exists r \in \{0..t\}. [\![\eta]\!]_t (F t) - [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \theta) = t \cdot ([\![(\partial_t \eta)]\!]_t) (F r)
using mvt-very-simple and tcHyp by fastforce
then obtain r where [\![\partial_t \ \eta]\!]_t (F r) \geq 0 \wedge 0 \leq r \wedge r \leq t \wedge [\![\partial_t \ \eta]\!]_t (F t) \geq 0
\wedge \ [\![\eta]\!]_t \ (F \ t) - [\![\eta]\!]_t \ (F \ \theta) = t \cdot ([\![\partial_t \ \eta]\!]_t \ (F \ r))
using * tcHyp by (meson atLeastAtMost-iff order-refl)
thus [\![\eta]\!]_t \ c > 0
using obs1 tcHyp by (metis cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-qe-0-iff-qe
diff-strict-mono linorder-neqE-linorder-d-dom linorder-d-field-c-lass.sign-simps (45)
not-le)
next
show 0 \leq [\![\eta]\!]_t \ a \longrightarrow (\forall \ c. \ (a, \ c) \in ODE system \ xfList \ with \ G \longrightarrow 0 \leq [\![\eta]\!]_t \ c)
\mathbf{proof}(clarify)
fix c assume aHyp: [\![\eta]\!]_t \ a \geq 0 and cHyp: (a, c) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
from this obtain t::real and F::real \Rightarrow real store
where tcHyp:t\geq 0 \land F t=c \land solvesStoreIVP F xfList a \land (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G (F r))
using quarDiffEqtn-def by auto
then have \forall x. \ x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow F \ \theta \ x = a \ x \ using \ solves-store-ivpD(6) by blast
from this have [\![\eta]\!]_t a = [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \ \theta) using term Vars Hyp \ eq In Vars-impl-eq In Trms
by blast
hence obs1: [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \theta) \geq \theta using aHyp \ tcHyp by simp
from tcHyp have obs2: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F s)) has-vector-derivative
[\![\partial_t \ \eta]\!]_t \ (F \ r)) \ (at \ r \ within \ \{0..t\}) \ \mathbf{using} \ derivationLemma \ termVarsHyp \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
have (\forall t \ge 0. \ \forall \ xf \in set \ xfList. \ F \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (F \ t))
using tcHyp\ solves-store-ivpD(3) by blast
from this and tcHyp have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. [\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t (F r) =
[((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput)\ \langle\partial_t\ \eta\rangle]_t\ (F\ r)
using diff-subst-prprty-4terms termVarsHyp listsHyp by fastforce
also from substHyp have \forall r \in \{0...t\}. [((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t
\eta \rangle |_t (F r) \geq 0
using solves-store-ivpD(2) tcHyp by (metis atLeastAtMost-iff)
ultimately have *: \forall r \in \{0..t\}. [\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t (Fr) \geq 0 by (simp)
from obs2 and tcHyp have \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F s)) has-derivative
(\lambda x. \ x *_R (\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (Fr)))) (at \ r \ within \{0..t\})  by (simp \ add: has-vector-derivative-def)
hence \exists r \in \{0..t\}. [\![\eta]\!]_t (F t) - [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \theta) = t \cdot ([\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t (F r))
using mvt-very-simple and tcHyp by fastforce
```

```
then obtain r where [\![\partial_t \ \eta]\!]_t (F r) \geq 0 \wedge 0 \leq r \wedge r \leq t \wedge [\![\partial_t \ \eta]\!]_t (F t) \geq 0
\wedge [\![\eta]\!]_t (F t) - [\![\eta]\!]_t (F \theta) = t \cdot ([\![\partial_t \eta]\!]_t (F r))
using * tcHyp by (meson atLeastAtMost-iff order-refl)
thus [\![\eta]\!]_t \ c \geq \theta
using obs1 tcHyp by (metis cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-qe-0-iff-qe
diff-strict-mono linorder-neqE-linordered-idom linordered-field-class.siqn-simps (45)
not-le)
\mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma less-pval-to-tval:
assumes \llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P\ (\vartheta \prec \eta) \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P\ st
shows \llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rangle \rrbracket_t\ st \geq \theta
using assms by (auto)
lemma leq-pval-to-tval:
assumes \llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P\ (\vartheta \leq \eta) \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P\ st
shows [(map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rangle]_t\ st \geq 0
using assms by (auto)
lemma dInv-prelim:
assumes substHyp: \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) =
\theta) \longrightarrow
\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P\ \varphi \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P\ st
and prop VarsHyp:prop Vars \varphi \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and listsHyp:map \ \pi_2 \ xfList = map \ tval \ uInput
shows \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P \ a \longrightarrow (\forall \ c. \ (a,c) \in (ODE system \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P \ c)
proof(clarify)
fix c assume aHyp: \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P a and cHyp: (a, c) \in ODEsystem xfList with G
from this obtain t::real and F::real \Rightarrow real store
where tcHyp:t\geq 0 \land F \ t=c \land solvesStoreIVP \ F \ xfList \ a \ using \ quarDiffEqtn-def
by auto
from aHyp prop VarsHyp and substHyp show \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P c
\mathbf{proof}(induction \ \varphi)
case (Eq \vartheta \eta)
hence hyp: \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = \theta) \longrightarrow
\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P\ (\vartheta \doteq \eta) \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P\ st\ \mathbf{by}\ blast
then have \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList))) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t\ (\vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta)) \rangle \rrbracket_t\ st = 0\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
also have trmVars\ (\vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta)) \subseteq \mathit{UNIV} - \mathit{varDiffs}\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{Eq.prems}(2) by \mathit{simp}
moreover have [\![\vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta)]\!]_t a = \theta using Eq.prems(1) by simp
ultimately have (\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G \longrightarrow [\![\vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta)]\!]_t \ c =
using dInvForTrms-prelim listsHyp by blast
hence [\![\vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta)]\!]_t (F t) = \theta using tcHyp \ cHyp by simp
from this have [\![\vartheta]\!]_t (F\ t) = [\![\eta]\!]_t (F\ t) by simp
also have (\llbracket \vartheta \doteq \eta \rrbracket_P) c = (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t (F t) = \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F t)) using tcHyp by simp
ultimately show ?case by simp
```

```
next
case (Less \vartheta \eta)
hence \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
0 \leq (\llbracket (map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t \ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ st
using less-pval-to-tval by metis
also from Less.prems(2)have trmVars\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \subset UNIV - varDiffs\ by\ simp
moreover have [\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)]_t a > \theta using Less.prems(1) by simp
ultimately have (\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G \longrightarrow [\![ \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) ]\!]_t \ c >
\theta
using dInvForProps-prelim(1) listsHyp by blast
hence [\![ \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) ]\!]_t (F t) > 0 using tcHyp \ cHyp by simp
from this have [\![\eta]\!]_t (F\ t) > [\![\vartheta]\!]_t (F\ t) by simp
also have [\![\vartheta \prec \eta]\!]_P c = ([\![\vartheta]\!]_t (Ft) < [\![\eta]\!]_t (Ft)) using tcHyp by simp
ultimately show ?case by simp
next
case (Leq \vartheta \eta)
hence \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList)) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
0 \leq (\llbracket (map \ (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \ xfList \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t \ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rangle \rrbracket_t) \ st \ using \ leq-pval-to-tval
by metis
also from Leq.prems(2) have trmVars\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \subseteq UNIV - varDiffs\ by\ simp
moreover have [\![ \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) ]\!]_t a \geq \theta using Leq.prems(1) by simp
ultimately have (\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G \longrightarrow [\![ \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) ]\!]_t \ c \geq
using dInvForProps-prelim(2) listsHyp by blast
hence [\![ \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) ]\!]_t (F t) \ge \theta using tcHyp \ cHyp by simp
from this have (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t \ (F \ t) \geq \llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t \ (F \ t)) by simp
also have [\![\vartheta \preceq \eta]\!]_P c = ([\![\vartheta]\!]_t (Ft) \leq [\![\eta]\!]_t (Ft)) using tcHyp by simp
ultimately show ?case by simp
next
case (And \varphi 1 \varphi 2)
then show ?case by (simp)
next
case (Or \varphi 1 \varphi 2)
from this show ?case by auto
qed
qed
theorem dInv:
assumes \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList))) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P\ \varphi \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P\ st
and termVarsHyp:propVars \varphi \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and phi-p:P = [\![\varphi]\!]_P
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST P
\mathbf{proof}(clarsimp)
\mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
assume (a, b) \in [P]
from this have aHyp:a = b \land P a by (metis (full-types) d-p2r rdom-p2r-contents)
have P \ a \longrightarrow (\forall \ c. \ (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow P \ c)
```

```
using assms dInv-prelim by metis
from this and a Hyp have \forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G) \longrightarrow P \ c by
thus (a, b) \in wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ with \ G \ ) \ [P]
using aHyp by (simp add: boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
theorem dInvFinal:
assumes \forall st. \ G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. \ str \notin (\pi_1(set \ xfList))) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow
\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList)\otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P\ \varphi \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P\ st
and term Vars Hyp: prop Vars \varphi \subseteq (UNIV - var Diffs)
and listsHyp:map \pi_2 xfList = map tval uInput
and impls: \lceil P \rceil \subseteq \lceil F \rceil \land \lceil F \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil
and phi-f:F = [\![\varphi]\!]_P
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
\operatorname{apply}(rule\text{-}tac\ C=\llbracket\varphi\rrbracket_P\ \mathbf{in}\ dCut)
apply(subgoal-tac [F] \subseteq wp (ODEsystem xfList with G) [F], simp)
using impls and phi-f apply blast
apply(subgoal-tac PRE F (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST F, simp)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = \varphi and uInput = uInput in dInv)
prefer 5 apply(subgoal-tac PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with (\lambda s. G s \wedge F s))
POST Q, simp add: phi-f)
apply(rule\ dWeakening)
using impls apply simp
using assms by simp-all
\mathbf{end}
theory VC-diffKAD-examples
imports VC-diffKAD
begin
```

2.3.5 Rules Testing

In this section we test the recently developed rules with simple dynamical systems.

— Example of hybrid program verified with the rule dSolve and a single differential equation: x' = v.

```
lemma motion-with-constant-velocity: PRE\ (\lambda\ s.\ s\ ''y'' < s\ ''x''\ \land\ s\ ''v''>0)\\ (ODE system\ [(''x'',(\lambda\ s.\ s\ ''v''))]\ with\ (\lambda\ s.\ True))\\ POST\ (\lambda\ s.\ (s\ ''y'' < s\ ''x'')) apply(rule-tac uInput=[\lambda\ t\ s.\ s\ ''v''\ t\ +\ s\ ''x'']\ in\ dSolve-toSolveUBC) prefer\ 9\ subgoal\ by(simp\ add:\ wp-trafo\ vdiff-def\ add-strict-increasing2)\ apply(simp\ add:\ vdiff-def\ varDiffs-def) prefer\ 2\ apply(simp\ add:\ solvesStoreIVP-def\ vdiff-def\ varDiffs-def)\ apply(clarify,\ rule-tac\ f'1=\lambda\ x.\ s\ ''v''\ and\ g'1=\lambda\ x.\ 0\ in\ derivative-intros(191))\ apply(rule-tac\ f'1=\lambda\ x.\ 0\ and\ g'1=\lambda\ x.\ 1\ in\ derivative-intros(194))\ by(auto\ intro:\ derivative-intros)
```

Same hybrid program verified with dSolve and the system of ODEs: x' =v, v' = a. The uniqueness part of the proof requires a preliminary lemma. **lemma** *flow-vel-is-galilean-vel*: assumes $solHyp:\varphi_s$ solvesTheStoreIVP $[(x, \lambda s.\ s.\ v), (v, \lambda s.\ s.\ a)]$ $withInitState\ s$ and $tHyp:r \leq t$ and $rHyp:0 \leq r$ and $distinct:x \neq v \land v \neq a \land x \neq a \land a \notin s$ varDiffs shows $\varphi_s \ r \ v = s \ a \cdot r + s \ v$ prooffrom assms have $1:((\lambda t. \varphi_s t v) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda t r. \varphi_s t a)) \{0..t\} UNIV \wedge \varphi_s \theta$ v = s vby (simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def) from assms have obs: $\forall r \in \{0..t\}$. $\varphi_s r a = s a$ **by**(auto simp: solvesStoreIVP-def varDiffs-def) **have** $2:((\lambda t. \ s \ a \cdot t + s \ v) \ solves-ode \ (\lambda t \ r. \ \varphi_s \ t \ a)) \ \{0..t\} \ UNIV$ **unfolding** solves-ode-def **apply**(subgoal-tac $((\lambda x. \ s \ a \cdot x + s \ v)$ has-vderiv-on $(\lambda x. \ s \ a)) \{\theta..t\}$ using obs apply (simp add: has-vderiv-on-def) by(rule galilean-transform) have 3:unique-on-bounded-closed 0 $\{0..t\}$ $(s\ v)$ $(\lambda t\ r.\ \varphi_s\ t\ a)$ UNIV $(if\ t=0\ then$ 1 else 1/(t+1)**apply**(simp add: ubc-definitions del: comp-apply, rule conjI) using rHyp tHyp obs apply(simp-all del: comp-apply) apply(clarify, rule continuous-intros) prefer 3 apply safe **apply**(rule continuous-intros) **apply**(auto intro: continuous-intros) by (metis continuous-on-const continuous-on-eq) thus $\varphi_s r v = s a \cdot r + s v$ $\mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule-tac\ unique-on-bounded-closed.unique-solution}[\mathit{of}\ 0\ \{0..t\}\ s\ v$ $(\lambda t \ r. \ \varphi_s \ t \ a) \ UNIV \ (if \ t = 0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 1 \ / \ (t + 1)) \ (\lambda t. \ \varphi_s \ t \ v)])$ using rHyp tHyp 1 2 and 3 by auto qed **lemma** motion-with-constant-acceleration: $PRE (\lambda s. s "y" < s "x" \land s "v" \ge 0 \land s "a" > 0)$ $(ODE system \ [("x", (\lambda s. s "v")), ("v", (\lambda s. s "a"))] \ with \ (\lambda s. True))$ $POST (\lambda s. (s "y" < s "x"))$ apply(rule-tac uInput= $[\lambda \ t \ s. \ s \ ''a'' \cdot t \ \hat{2}/2 + s \ ''v'' \cdot t + s \ ''x'',$ $\lambda t s. s "a" \cdot t + s "v"$ in dSolve-toSolveUBC**prefer** 9 **subgoal by**(simp add: wp-trafo vdiff-def add-strict-increasing2) prefer θ subgoal apply(simp add: vdiff-def, clarify, rule conjI) **by**(rule qalilean-transform)+ prefer θ subgoal **apply**(simp add: vdiff-def, safe) $\mathbf{by}(rule\ continuous\text{-}intros)+$ prefer θ subgoal **apply**(simp add: vdiff-def, safe)

subgoal for $s \varphi_s t r$ apply(rule flow-vel-is-galilean-vel[of φ_s "x" - - - - t])

apply(simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def vdiff-def varDiffs-def) done

by(simp-all add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)

by(auto simp: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)

 $apply(rule\ galilean-transform-eq,\ simp)+$

apply(rule galilean-transform)+Uniqueness of the flow.

Example of a hybrid system with two modes verified with the equality dS. We also need to provide a previous (similar) lemma. $\mathbf{lemma}\ flow-vel-is-galilean-vel 2:$ assumes $solHyp:\varphi_s$ solvesTheStoreIVP $[(x, \lambda s. s. v), (v, \lambda s. - s. a)]$ withInitStateand $tHyp:r \le t$ and $rHyp:0 \le r$ and $distinct:x \ne v \land v \ne a \land x \ne a \land a \notin$ varDiffs shows $\varphi_s r v = s v - s a \cdot r$ prooffrom assms have 1:(($\lambda t. \varphi_s t v$) solves-ode ($\lambda t r. - \varphi_s t a$)) {0..t} UNIV $\wedge \varphi_s$ **by** (simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def) **from** assms have obs: $\forall r \in \{0..t\}$. $\varphi_s r a = s a$ **by**(auto simp: solvesStoreIVP-def varDiffs-def) have $2:((\lambda t. - s \ a \cdot t + s \ v) \ solves-ode \ (\lambda t \ r. - \varphi_s \ t \ a)) \ \{0..t\} \ UNIV$ unfolding solves-ode-def apply(subgoal-tac $((\lambda x. - s \ a \cdot x + s \ v))$ has-vderiv-on $(\lambda x. - s \ a)) \{0..t\}$ using obs apply (simp add: has-vderiv-on-def) by(rule galilean-transform) have 3:unique-on-bounded-closed 0 $\{0..t\}$ $(s\ v)\ (\lambda t\ r. - \varphi_s\ t\ a)\ UNIV\ (if\ t=0)$ then 1 else 1/(t+1)**apply**(simp add: ubc-definitions del: comp-apply, rule conjI) using rHyp tHyp obs apply(simp-all del: comp-apply) apply(clarify, rule continuous-intros) prefer 3 apply safe $apply(rule\ continuous\text{-}intros)+$ **apply**(auto intro: continuous-intros) by (metis continuous-on-const continuous-on-eq) thus $\varphi_s r v = s v - s a \cdot r$ $apply(rule-tac\ unique-on-bounded-closed.unique-solution[of\ 0\ \{0..t\}\ s\ v$ $(\lambda t \ r. - \varphi_s \ t \ a) \ UNIV \ (if \ t = 0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 1 \ / \ (t + 1)) \ (\lambda t. \ \varphi_s \ t \ v)])$ using $rHyp \ tHyp \ 1 \ 2$ and 3 by autoqed lemma single-hop-ball: $PRE \ (\lambda \ s. \ 0 \le s \ ''x'' \land s \ ''x'' = H \land s \ ''v'' = 0 \land s \ ''g'' > 0 \land 1 \ge c \land c$ $(((ODEsystem [("x", \lambda s. s "v"), ("v", \lambda s. - s "g")] with (\lambda s. 0 \le s "x")));$ (IF $(\lambda s. s "x" = 0)$ THEN $("v" := (\lambda s. - c \cdot s "v"))$ ELSE $("v" := (\lambda s. - c \cdot s "v"))$ s. s "v") FI) $POST \ (\lambda \ s. \ 0 < s \ "x" \wedge s \ "x" < H)$ apply(simp, $subst\ dS[of\ [\lambda\ t\ s.\ -\ s\ ''g''\cdot t\ \widehat{\ 2/2}\ +\ s\ ''v''\cdot t\ +\ s\ ''x''.\ \lambda\ t$ $s. - s "g" \cdot t + s "v"]])$ — Given solution is actually a solution. apply(simp add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def solvesStoreIVP-def solves-ode-def has-vderiv-on-singleton, safe)

```
apply(rule\ ubcStore\ UniqueSol,\ simp)
           apply(simp add: vdiff-def del: comp-apply)
           apply(auto intro: continuous-intros del: comp-apply)[1]
           apply(rule\ continuous-intros)+
           apply(simp add: vdiff-def, safe)
           apply(clarsimp) subgoal for s X t \tau
           apply(rule\ flow-vel-is-galilean-vel2[of\ X\ ''x''])
           by(simp-all add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)
           apply(simp add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def solvesStoreIVP-def)
           apply(simp add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def solvesStoreIVP-def solves-ode-def
               has-vderiv-on-singleton galilean-transform-eq galilean-transform)
            — Relation Between the guard and the postcondition.
           by(auto\ simp:\ vdiff-def\ p2r-def)
— Example of hybrid program verified with differential weakening.
\mathbf{lemma}\ system\text{-}where\text{-}the\text{-}guard\text{-}implies\text{-}the\text{-}postcondition}:
           PRE(\lambda s. s''x'' = 0)
           (ODE system [("x",(\lambda's. s"x" + 1))] with (\lambda s. s"x" \geq 0))
POST (\lambda s. s"x" \geq 0)
using dWeakening by blast
lemma system-where-the-quard-implies-the-postcondition 2:
           PRE (\lambda s. s "x" = 0)
           (ODEsystem [("x",(\lambda s. s"x" + 1))] with (\lambda s. s"x" \geq 0))
           POST \ (\lambda \ s. \ s \ "x" \ge 0)
apply(clarify, simp add: p2r-def)
apply(simp add: rel-ad-def rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars-r-def)
apply(simp add: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def)
apply(simp add: relcomp-def rel-ad-def quarDiffEqtn-def solvesStoreIVP-def)
by auto
— Example of system proved with a differential invariant.
lemma circular-motion:
           PRE \ (\lambda \ s. \ (s \ ''x'') \cdot (s \ ''x'') + (s \ ''y'') \cdot (s \ ''y'') - (s \ ''r'') \cdot (s \ ''r'') = \theta)
           (ODE system \ [("x", (\lambda s. s "y")), ("y", (\lambda s. - s "x"))] \ with \ G)
           POST(\lambda \ s. \ (s \ "x") \cdot (s \ "x") + (s \ "y") \cdot (s \ "y") - (s \ "r") \cdot (s \ "r") = 0)
\mathbf{apply}(\textit{rule-tac}\ \eta = (t_V \ ''x'') \odot (t_V \ ''x'') \oplus (t_V \ ''y'') \odot (t_V \ ''y'') \oplus (\ominus (t_V \ ''r'') \odot (t_V \ ''y'')) \oplus (c_V \ ''y'') 
   and uInput=[t_V "y", \ominus (t_V "x")] in dInvForTrms)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
apply(clarsimp, erule-tac x=''r'' in allE)
by simp
— Example of systems proved with differential invariants, cuts and weakenings.
declare d-p2r [simp del]
{\bf lemma}\ motion\hbox{-}with\hbox{-}constant\hbox{-}velocity\hbox{-}and\hbox{-}invariants:
           PRE (\lambda s. s "x" > s "y" \wedge s "v" > 0)
           (ODEsystem [("x", \lambda s. s "v")] with (\lambda s. True))
            POST(\lambda s. s''x''> s''y'')
```

```
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s \ ''v'' > 0 \ in \ dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_C \ \theta) \prec (t_V \ "v") and uInput = [t_V \ "v"]in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all\ add:\ vdiff-def\ varDiffs-def,\ clarify,\ erule-tac\ x="v"\ in\ all E,\ simp)
\mathbf{apply}(\textit{rule-tac } C = \lambda \textit{ s. } s \textit{ "x"} > s \textit{ "y"} \mathbf{in } \textit{ dCut})
apply(rule-tac \varphi=(t_V "y") \prec (t_V "x") and uInput=[t_V "v"] and
  F=\lambda s. s''x'' > s''y'' in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all\ add:\ vdiff-def\ varDiffs-def,\ clarify,\ erule-tac\ x=''y''\ in\ all E,\ simp)
using dWeakening by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ motion\text{-}with\text{-}constant\text{-}acceleration\text{-}and\text{-}invariants:}
      PRE (\lambda s. s "y" < s "x" \land s "v" \ge 0 \land s "a" > 0)
      (ODE system [("x", (\lambda s. s "v")), ("v", (\lambda s. s "a"))] with (\lambda s. True))
      POST (\lambda s. (s "y" < s "x"))
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s''a'' > 0 \ in \ dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_C \ \theta) \prec (t_V \ ''a'') and uInput = [t_V \ ''v'', t_V \ ''a'']in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def, clarify, erule-tac x=''a'' in all E, simp)
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s \ "v" \ge 0 \ in \ dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_C \ \theta) \leq (t_V \ ''v'') and uInput=[t_V \ ''v'', t_V \ ''a''] in dInvFi-
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
\mathbf{apply}(\textit{rule-tac } C = \lambda \textit{ s. } s \textit{ "x"} > s \textit{ "y"} \textbf{ in } dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_V "y") \prec (t_V "x") and uInput = [t_V "v", t_V "a"]in dInv
apply(simp-all\ add:\ varDiffs-def\ vdiff-def,\ clarify,\ erule-tac\ x=''y''\ in\ all E,\ simp)
using dWeakening by simp
— We revisit the two modes example from before, and prove it with invariants.
{f lemma}\ single-hop-ball-and-invariants:
      PRE(\lambda s. 0 \le s "x" \land s "x" = H \land s "v" = 0 \land s "q" > 0 \land 1 > c \land c
\geq 0
     (((ODEsystem [("x", \lambda s. s"v"), ("v", \lambda s. - s"g")] with (\lambda s. 0 \le s "x")));
     (IF (\lambda s. s "x" = 0) THEN ("v" := (\lambda s. - c \cdot s "v")) ELSE ("v" := (\lambda s. - c \cdot s "v"))
s. \ s \ ''v'')) \ FI))
      POST \ (\lambda \ s. \ 0 \le s \ ''x'' \land s \ ''x'' \le H)
      apply(simp add: d-p2r, subgoal-tac rdom \lceil \lambda s. \ 0 \le s \ ''x'' \land s \ ''x'' = H \land s
"v" = 0 \land 0 < s "g" \land c \le 1 \land 0 \le c
   \subseteq wp \ (ODEsystem \ [("x", \lambda s. \ s "v"), ("v", \lambda s. - s "g")] \ with \ (\lambda s. \ 0 \le s "x")
        "x" = 0 (\lambda s. \ 0 < s \ "x" \wedge s \ "x" < H))])
      apply(simp add: d-p2r, rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s \ ''g'' > \theta \ in \ dCut)
      apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_C \ \theta) \prec (t_V \ ''g'') and uInput = [t_V \ ''v'', \ominus t_V \ ''g'']in
dInvFinal)
      apply(simp-all\ add:\ vdiff-def\ varDiffs-def,\ clarify,\ erule-tac\ x=''g''\ in\ all E,
simp)
      apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s \ ''v'' \le \theta \ in \ dCut)
      apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_V "v") \preceq (t_C \ \theta) and uInput = [t_V "v", \ominus t_V "g"] in
dInvFinal)
      apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
```

```
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s''x'' \le H \ in \ dCut)
      apply(rule-tac \varphi = (t_V "x") \leq (t_C H) and uInput = [t_V "v", \ominus t_V "g"]in
dInvFinal)
      apply(simp-all add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)
      using dWeakening by simp
— Finally, we add a well known example in the hybrid systems community, the
bouncing ball.
lemma bouncing-ball-invariant:0 < x \Longrightarrow 0 < q \Longrightarrow 2 \cdot q \cdot x = 2 \cdot q \cdot H - v
v \Longrightarrow (x::real) < H
proof-
assume 0 \le x and 0 < g and 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot H - v \cdot v
then have v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot H - 2 \cdot g \cdot x \wedge \theta < g by auto
hence *:v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot (H - x) \wedge 0 < g \wedge v \cdot v \geq 0
 using left-diff-distrib mult.commute by (metis zero-le-square)
from this have (v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) = (H - x) by auto
also from * have (v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) \geq 0
by (meson divide-nonneq-pos linordered-field-class.sign-simps(44) zero-less-numeral)
ultimately have H - x \ge \theta by linarith
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma bouncing-ball:
PRE (\lambda s. \theta \le s "x" \land s "x" = H \land s "v" = \theta \land s "g" > \theta)
((ODE system~[(''x'',~\lambda~s.~s~''v''),(''v'',\lambda~s.~-~s~''g'')]~with~(\lambda~s.~\theta~\leq~s~''x''));
(IF \ (\lambda \ s. \ s \ "x" = 0) \ THEN \ ("v" ::= (\lambda \ s. - s \ "v")) \ ELSE \ (Id) \ FI))^*
POST \ (\lambda \ s. \ 0 \le s \ "x" \land s \ "x" \le H)
apply(rule rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-starI[of - [\lambda s. \ 0 < s \ ''x'' \land \ 0 < s ]
2 \cdot s ''g'' \cdot s ''x'' = 2 \cdot s ''g'' \cdot H - (s ''v'' \cdot s ''v'')
\mathbf{apply}(simp, simp \ add: \ d\text{-}p2r)
apply(subgoal-tac
  rdom \ [\lambda s. \ 0 \le s \ ''x'' \land 0 < s \ ''g'' \land 2 \cdot s \ ''g'' \cdot s \ ''x'' = 2 \cdot s \ ''g'' \cdot H - s
"v" \cdot s "v"
 \subseteq \textit{wp (ODEsystem [(''x'', \, \lambda s. \, s \, \, ''v''), \, (''v'', \, \lambda s. \, - \, s \, \, ''g'')] \textit{ with } (\lambda s. \, \theta \, \leq \, s \, \, ''x'')}
 \lceil \inf \left( \sup \left( - (\lambda s. \ s \ ''x'' = \theta) \right) \right) \left( \lambda s. \ \theta \le s \ ''x'' \land \theta < s \ ''g'' \land 2 \cdot s \ ''g'' \cdot s \ ''x'' \right) \right)
           2 \cdot s ''q'' \cdot H - s ''v'' \cdot s ''v'')
        (\sup (\lambda s.\ s.\ ''x''=0)\ (\lambda s.\ 0 \le s.\ ''x'' \land\ 0 < s.\ ''g'' \land\ 2 \cdot s.\ ''g'' \cdot s.\ ''x''=2 \cdot s.\ ''g'' \cdot H - s.\ ''v'' \cdot s.\ ''v'')])
apply(simp\ add:\ d-p2r)
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda \ s. \ s \ ''g'' > \theta \ in \ dCut)
apply(rule-tac \varphi = ((t_C \ \theta) \prec (t_V \ ''g'')) and uInput=[t_V \ ''v'', \ominus t_V \ ''g'']in
dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all\ add:\ vdiff-def\ varDiffs-def,\ clarify,\ erule-tac\ x=''g''\ in\ allE,\ simp)
apply(rule-tac C = \lambda s. 2 \cdot s "q" \cdot s "x" = 2 \cdot s "q" \cdot H - s "v" \cdot s "v" in
dCut
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule-tac}\ \varphi = (t_C\ 2)\ \odot\ (t_V\ ''g'')\ \odot\ (t_C\ H)\ \oplus\ (\ominus\ ((t_V\ ''v'')\ \odot\ (t_V\ ''v''))) \\ \dot{=}\ (t_C\ 2)\ \odot\ (t_V\ ''g'')\ \odot\ (t_V\ ''x'')\ \mathbf{and}\ \mathit{uInput} = [t_V\ ''v'',\ \ominus\ t_V\ ''g'']\mathbf{in}\ \mathit{dInvFinal}) \\ \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{simp-all}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{vdiff-def}\ \mathit{varDiffs-def},\ \mathit{clarify},\ \mathit{erule-tac}\ x = "g''\ \mathbf{in}\ \mathit{allE},\ \mathit{simp}) \\ \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{dWeakening},\ \mathit{clarsimp}) \\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{bouncing-ball-invariant}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto} \end{array}
```

declare d-p2r [simp]

 \mathbf{end}