

CPSVerification

CPSVerification

August 1, 2019



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Hybrid Systems Preliminaries</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Miscellaneous . . . . .	5
1.1.1	Functions . . . . .	5
1.1.2	Orders . . . . .	5
1.1.3	Real numbers . . . . .	6
1.2	Analysis . . . . .	8
1.2.1	Single variable derivatives . . . . .	8
1.2.2	Filters . . . . .	10
1.2.3	Multivariable derivatives . . . . .	11
<b>2</b>	<b>Linear Algebra for Hybrid Systems</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Vector operations . . . . .	15
2.2	Matrix norms . . . . .	17
2.2.1	Matrix operator norm . . . . .	17
2.2.2	Matrix maximum norm . . . . .	21
2.3	Picard Lindelof for linear systems . . . . .	21
2.4	Matrix Exponential . . . . .	22
2.4.1	Squared matrices operations . . . . .	22
2.4.2	Squared matrices form Banach space . . . . .	23
2.5	Flow for squared matrix systems . . . . .	28
2.6	Dynamical Systems . . . . .	29
2.6.1	Initial value problems and orbits . . . . .	29
2.6.2	Differential Invariants . . . . .	30
2.6.3	Picard-Lindelof . . . . .	33
2.6.4	Flows for ODEs . . . . .	35
<b>3</b>	<b>Hybrid System Verification</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1	Verification of regular programs . . . . .	43
3.2	Verification of hybrid programs . . . . .	45
3.2.1	Verification by providing solutions . . . . .	45
3.2.2	Verification with differential invariants . . . . .	46
3.2.3	Examples . . . . .	49

<b>4</b>	<b>Hybrid System Verification with relations</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Verification of regular programs . . . . .	61
4.2	Verification of hybrid programs . . . . .	63
4.2.1	Verification by providing solutions . . . . .	63
4.2.2	Verification with differential invariants . . . . .	64
4.2.3	Examples . . . . .	66
<b>5</b>	<b>Hybrid System Verification with relations</b>	<b>79</b>
5.1	Verification of regular programs . . . . .	79
5.2	Verification of hybrid programs . . . . .	80
5.2.1	Verification by providing solutions . . . . .	81
5.2.2	Verification with differential invariants . . . . .	82
5.2.3	Examples . . . . .	83
<b>6</b>	<b>Hybrid System Verification with nondeterministic functions</b>	<b>95</b>
6.1	Nondeterministic Functions . . . . .	95
6.2	Verification of regular programs . . . . .	98
6.3	Verification of hybrid programs . . . . .	100
6.3.1	Verification by providing solutions . . . . .	100
6.3.2	Verification with differential invariants . . . . .	102
6.3.3	Examples . . . . .	104
6.4	VC_diffKAD . . . . .	115
6.4.1	Stack Theories Preliminaries: VC_KAD and ODEs . . . . .	115
6.4.2	VC_diffKAD Preliminaries . . . . .	117
6.4.3	Phase Space Relational Semantics . . . . .	128
6.4.4	Derivation of Differential Dynamic Logic Rules . . . . .	130
6.4.5	Rules Testing . . . . .	147
<b>theory</b> <i>hs-prelims</i>		
<b>imports</b> <i>Ordinary-Differential-Equations.Picard-Lindelof-Qualitative</i>		
<b>begin</b>		

# Chapter 1

## Hybrid Systems Preliminaries

This chapter contains preliminary lemmas for verification of Hybrid Systems.

### 1.1 Miscellaneous

#### 1.1.1 Functions

**lemma** *case-of-fst[simp]*:  $(\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } (t, x) \Rightarrow f t) = (\lambda x. (f \circ \text{fst}) x)$   
**by** *auto*

**lemma** *case-of-snd[simp]*:  $(\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } (t, x) \Rightarrow f x) = (\lambda x. (f \circ \text{snd}) x)$   
**by** *auto*

#### 1.1.2 Orders

**lemma** *cSup-eq-linorder*:  
 **fixes** *c::'a::conditionally-complete-linorder*  
 **assumes**  $X \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\forall x \in X. x \leq c$   
 **and** *bdd-above*  $X$  **and**  $\forall y < c. \exists x \in X. y < x$   
 **shows**  $\text{Sup } X = c$   
 **apply**(*rule order-antisym*)  
 **using** *assms* **apply**(*simp add: cSup-least*)  
 **using** *assms* **by**(*subst le-cSup-iff*)

**lemma** *cSup-eq*:  
 **fixes** *c::'a::conditionally-complete-lattice*  
 **assumes**  $\forall x \in X. x \leq c$  **and**  $\exists x \in X. c \leq x$   
 **shows**  $\text{Sup } X = c$   
 **apply**(*rule order-antisym*)  
 **apply**(*rule cSup-least*)  
 **using** *assms* **apply**(*blast, blast*)  
 **using** *assms*(2) **apply** *safe*

**apply**(*subgoal-tac*  $x \leq \text{Sup } X$ , *simp*)  
**by** (*metis* *assms*(1) *cSup-eq-maximum* *eq-iff*)

**lemma** *bdd-above-ltimes*:  
**fixes**  $c :: 'a :: \text{linordered-ring-strict}$   
**assumes**  $c \geq 0$  **and** *bdd-above*  $X$   
**shows** *bdd-above*  $\{c * x \mid x. x \in X\}$   
**using** *assms* **unfolding** *bdd-above-def* **apply** *clarsimp*  
**apply**(*rule-tac*  $x=c * M$  **in** *exI*, *clarsimp*)  
**using** *mult-left-mono* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *finite-nat-minimal-witness*:  
**fixes**  $P :: ('a :: \text{finite}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**assumes**  $\forall i. \exists N :: \text{nat}. \forall n \geq N. P \ i \ n$   
**shows**  $\exists N. \forall i. \forall n \geq N. P \ i \ n$   
**proof**–  
**let**  $?bound \ i = (\text{LEAST } N. \forall n \geq N. P \ i \ n)$   
**let**  $?N = \text{Max } \{?bound \ i \mid i. i \in \text{UNIV}\}$   
**{fix**  $n :: \text{nat}$  **and**  $i :: 'a$   
**obtain**  $M$  **where**  $\forall n \geq M. P \ i \ n$   
**using** *assms* **by** *blast*  
**hence** *obs*:  $\forall m \geq ?bound \ i. P \ i \ m$   
**using** *LeastI*[*of*  $\lambda N. \forall n \geq N. P \ i \ n$ ] **by** *blast*  
**assume**  $n \geq ?N$   
**have** *finite*  $\{?bound \ i \mid i. i \in \text{UNIV}\}$   
**using** *finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat* **by** *fastforce*  
**hence**  $?N \geq ?bound \ i$   
**using** *Max-ge* **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $n \geq ?bound \ i$   
**using**  $\langle n \geq ?N \rangle$  **by** *linarith*  
**hence**  $P \ i \ n$   
**using** *obs* **by** *blast*}  
**thus**  $\exists N. \forall i \ n. N \leq n \longrightarrow P \ i \ n$   
**by** *blast*  
**qed**

### 1.1.3 Real numbers

**lemma** *sqrt-le-itself*:  $1 \leq x \implies \text{sqrt } x \leq x$   
**by** (*metis* *basic-trans-rules*(23) *monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square* *more-arith-simps*(6)

*mult-left-mono* *real-sqrt-le-iff'* *zero-le-one*)

**lemma** *sqrt-real-nat-le:sqrt* (*real*  $n$ )  $\leq \text{real } n$   
**by** (*metis* (*full-types*) *abs-of-nat* *le-square* *of-nat-mono* *of-nat-mult* *real-sqrt-abs2* *real-sqrt-le-iff*)

**lemma** *sq-le-cancel*:  
**shows**  $(a :: \text{real}) \geq 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies a^2 \leq b * a \implies a \leq b$

**and**  $(a::\text{real}) \geq 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies a^2 \leq a * b \implies a \leq b$   
**apply**(metis less-eq-real-def mult.commute mult-le-cancel-left semiring-normalization-rules(29))  
**by**(metis less-eq-real-def mult-le-cancel-left semiring-normalization-rules(29))

**lemma** *abs-le-eq*:

**shows**  $(r::\text{real}) > 0 \implies (|x| < r) = (-r < x \wedge x < r)$   
**and**  $(r::\text{real}) > 0 \implies (|x| \leq r) = (-r \leq x \wedge x \leq r)$   
**by** *linarith linarith*

**lemma** *real-ivl-eqs*:

**assumes**  $0 < r$

**shows**  $\text{ball } x \ r = \{x-r < \dots < x+r\}$  **and**  $\{x-r < \dots < x+r\} = \{x-r < \dots < x+r\}$

**and**  $\text{ball } (r / 2) \ (r / 2) = \{0 < \dots < r\}$  **and**  $\{0 < \dots < r\} = \{0 < \dots < r\}$   
**and**  $\text{ball } 0 \ r = \{-r < \dots < r\}$  **and**  $\{-r < \dots < r\} = \{-r < \dots < r\}$   
**and**  $\text{cball } x \ r = \{x-r \dots x+r\}$  **and**  $\{x-r \dots x+r\} = \{x-r \dots x+r\}$   
**and**  $\text{cball } (r / 2) \ (r / 2) = \{0 \dots r\}$  **and**  $\{0 \dots r\} = \{0 \dots r\}$   
**and**  $\text{cball } 0 \ r = \{-r \dots r\}$  **and**  $\{-r \dots r\} = \{-r \dots r\}$

**unfolding** *open-segment-eq-real-ivl closed-segment-eq-real-ivl*

**using** *assms* **apply**(*auto simp: cball-def ball-def dist-norm*)

**by**(*simp-all add: field-simps*)

**named-theorems** *trig-simps simplification rules for trigonometric identities*

**lemmas** *trig-identities = sin-squared-eq[THEN sym] cos-squared-eq[symmetric] cos-diff[symmetric]*  
*cos-double*

**declare** *sin-minus [trig-simps]*

**and** *cos-minus [trig-simps]*  
**and** *trig-identities(1,2) [trig-simps]*  
**and** *sin-cos-squared-add [trig-simps]*  
**and** *sin-cos-squared-add2 [trig-simps]*  
**and** *sin-cos-squared-add3 [trig-simps]*  
**and** *trig-identities(3) [trig-simps]*

**lemma** *sin-cos-squared-add4 [trig-simps]*:

**fixes**  $x :: 'a::\{\text{banach}, \text{real-normed-field}\}$

**shows**  $x * (\sin t)^2 + x * (\cos t)^2 = x$

**by** (*metis mult.right-neutral semiring-normalization-rules(34) sin-cos-squared-add*)

**lemma** [*trig-simps, simp*]:

**fixes**  $x :: 'a::\{\text{banach}, \text{real-normed-field}\}$

**shows**  $(x * \cos t - y * \sin t)^2 + (x * \sin t + y * \cos t)^2 = x^2 + y^2$

**proof**—

**have**  $(x * \cos t - y * \sin t)^2 = x^2 * (\cos t)^2 + y^2 * (\sin t)^2 - 2 * (x * \cos t) * (y * \sin t)$

**by**(*simp add: power2-diff power-mult-distrib*)

**also have**  $(x * \sin t + y * \cos t)^2 = y^2 * (\cos t)^2 + x^2 * (\sin t)^2 + 2 * (x * \cos t) * (y * \sin t)$

by (simp add: power2-sum power-mult-distrib)  
ultimately show  $(x * \cos t - y * \sin t)^2 + (x * \sin t + y * \cos t)^2 = x^2 + y^2$   
by (simp add: Groups.mult-ac(2) Groups.mult-ac(3) right-diff-distrib sin-squared-eq)  
qed  
thm trig-simps

## 1.2 Analysis

### 1.2.1 Single variable derivatives

**notation** *has-derivative*  $((1(D \mapsto (-)) / -) [65,65] 61)$

**notation** *has-vderiv-on*  $((1 D = (-) / \text{on } -) [65,65] 61)$

**notation** *norm*  $((1 || - ||) [65] 61)$

**lemma** *exp-scaleR-has-derivative-right* [derivative-intros]:

fixes  $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes  $D f \mapsto f'$  at  $x$  within  $s$  and  $(\lambda h. f' h *_R (\exp (f x *_R A) * A)) = g'$

shows  $D (\lambda x. \exp (f x *_R A)) \mapsto g'$  at  $x$  within  $s$

**proof** –

from *assms* have *bounded-linear*  $f'$  by *auto*

with *real-bounded-linear* obtain  $m$  where  $f': f' = (\lambda h. h * m)$  by *blast*

show ?thesis

using *vector-diff-chain-within* [OF - *exp-scaleR-has-vector-derivative-right*, of  $f$   
 $m x s A$ ] *assms*  $f'$

by (auto simp: *has-vector-derivative-def* *o-def*)

qed

**named-theorems** *poly-derivatives compilation of derivatives for kinematics and polynomials.*

**declare** *has-vderiv-on-const* [poly-derivatives]

and *has-vderiv-on-id* [poly-derivatives]

and *derivative-intros*(191) [poly-derivatives]

and *derivative-intros*(192) [poly-derivatives]

and *derivative-intros*(194) [poly-derivatives]

**lemma** *has-vector-derivative-mult-const* [derivative-intros]:

$((*) a \text{ has-vector-derivative } a) F$

by (auto intro: *derivative-eq-intros*)

**lemma** *has-derivative-mult-const* [derivative-intros]:  $D (*) a \mapsto (\lambda x. x *_R a) F$

using *has-vector-derivative-mult-const* **unfolding** *has-vector-derivative-def* by *simp*

**lemma** *has-vderiv-on-mult-const* [derivative-intros]:  $D (*) a = (\lambda x. a) \text{ on } T$



**using** *has-vector-derivative-mult-const* **unfolding** *has-vderiv-on-def* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *has-vderiv-on-power2* [*derivative-intros*]:  $D \text{ power2} = (*) \ 2 \text{ on } T$   
**unfolding** *has-vderiv-on-def* *has-vector-derivative-def* **apply** *clarify*  
**by**(*rule-tac*  $f'1 = \lambda t. t$  **in** *derivative-eq-intros*(15)) *auto*

**lemma** *has-vderiv-on-divide-cnst* [*derivative-intros*]:  $a \neq 0 \implies D (\lambda t. t/a) = (\lambda t. 1/a) \text{ on } T$   
**unfolding** *has-vderiv-on-def* *has-vector-derivative-def* **apply** *clarify*  
**apply**(*rule-tac*  $f'1 = \lambda t. t$  **and**  $g'1 = \lambda x. 0$  **in** *derivative-eq-intros*(18))  
**by**(*auto intro: derivative-eq-intros*)

**lemma** [*poly-derivatives*]:  $g = (*) \ 2 \implies D \text{ power2} = g \text{ on } T$   
**using** *has-vderiv-on-power2* **by** *auto*

**lemma** [*poly-derivatives*]:  $D f = f' \text{ on } T \implies g = (\lambda t. - f' t) \implies D (\lambda t. - f t) = g \text{ on } T$   
**using** *has-vderiv-on-uminus* **by** *auto*

**lemma** [*poly-derivatives*]:  $a \neq 0 \implies g = (\lambda t. 1/a) \implies D (\lambda t. t/a) = g \text{ on } T$   
**using** *has-vderiv-on-divide-cnst* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *has-vderiv-on-compose-eq*:  
**assumes**  $D f = f' \text{ on } g \text{ ' } T$   
**and**  $D g = g' \text{ on } T$   
**and**  $h = (\lambda x. g' x *_R f' (g x))$   
**shows**  $D (\lambda t. f (g t)) = h \text{ on } T$   
**apply**(*subst* *ssubst*[*of*  $h$ ], *simp*)  
**using** *assms has-vderiv-on-compose* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *vderiv-on-compose-add* [*derivative-intros*]:  
**assumes**  $D x = x' \text{ on } (\lambda \tau. \tau + t) \text{ ' } T$   
**shows**  $D (\lambda \tau. x (\tau + t)) = (\lambda \tau. x' (\tau + t)) \text{ on } T$   
**apply**(*rule* *has-vderiv-on-compose-eq*[*OF* *assms*])  
**by**(*auto intro: derivative-intros*)

**lemma** [*poly-derivatives*]:  
**assumes**  $(a::\text{real}) \neq 0$  **and**  $D f = f' \text{ on } T$  **and**  $g = (\lambda t. (f' t)/a)$   
**shows**  $D (\lambda t. (f t)/a) = g \text{ on } T$   
**apply**(*rule* *has-vderiv-on-compose-eq*[*of*  $\lambda t. t/a \ \lambda t. 1/a$ ])  
**using** *assms* **by**(*auto intro: poly-derivatives*)

**lemma** [*poly-derivatives*]:  
**fixes**  $f::\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$   
**assumes**  $D f = f' \text{ on } T$  **and**  $g = (\lambda t. 2 *_R (f t) * (f' t))$   
**shows**  $D (\lambda t. (f t) ^ 2) = g \text{ on } T$   
**apply**(*rule* *has-vderiv-on-compose-eq*[*of*  $\lambda t. t^2$ ])  
**using** *assms* **by**(*auto intro!: poly-derivatives*)

**lemma** *has-vderiv-on-cos*:  $D f = f' \text{ on } T \implies D (\lambda t. \cos (f t)) = (\lambda t. - \sin (f t)) *_R (f' t) \text{ on } T$   
**apply**(rule *has-vderiv-on-compose-eq*[of  $\lambda t. \cos t$ ])  
**unfolding** *has-vderiv-on-def* *has-vector-derivative-def* **apply** *clarify*  
**by**(auto intro!: *derivative-eq-intros* simp: *fun-eq-iff*)

**lemma** *has-vderiv-on-sin*:  $D f = f' \text{ on } T \implies D (\lambda t. \sin (f t)) = (\lambda t. \cos (f t)) *_R (f' t) \text{ on } T$   
**apply**(rule *has-vderiv-on-compose-eq*[of  $\lambda t. \sin t$ ])  
**unfolding** *has-vderiv-on-def* *has-vector-derivative-def* **apply** *clarify*  
**by**(auto intro!: *derivative-eq-intros* simp: *fun-eq-iff*)

**lemma** [*poly-derivatives*]:  
**assumes**  $D f = f' \text{ on } T$  **and**  $g = (\lambda t. - \sin (f t)) *_R (f' t)$   
**shows**  $D (\lambda t. \cos (f t)) = g \text{ on } T$   
**using** *assms* **and** *has-vderiv-on-cos* **by** *auto*

**lemma** [*poly-derivatives*]:  
**assumes**  $D f = f' \text{ on } T$  **and**  $g = (\lambda t. \cos (f t)) *_R (f' t)$   
**shows**  $D (\lambda t. \sin (f t)) = g \text{ on } T$   
**using** *assms* **and** *has-vderiv-on-sin* **by** *auto*

**lemma**  $D (\lambda t. a * t^2 / 2) = (*) a \text{ on } T$   
**by**(auto intro!: *poly-derivatives*)

**lemma**  $D (\lambda t. a * t^2 / 2 + v * t + x) = (\lambda t. a * t + v) \text{ on } T$   
**by**(auto intro!: *poly-derivatives*)

**lemma**  $D (\lambda r. a * r + v) = (\lambda t. a) \text{ on } T$   
**by**(auto intro!: *poly-derivatives*)

**lemma**  $D (\lambda t. v * t - a * t^2 / 2 + x) = (\lambda x. v - a * x) \text{ on } T$   
**by**(auto intro!: *poly-derivatives*)

**lemma**  $D (\lambda t. v - a * t) = (\lambda x. - a) \text{ on } T$   
**by**(auto intro!: *poly-derivatives*)

**thm** *poly-derivatives*

### 1.2.2 Filters

**lemma** *eventually-at-within-mono*:  
**assumes**  $t \in \text{interior } T$  **and**  $T \subseteq S$   
**and** *eventually*  $P$  (at  $t$  within  $T$ )  
**shows** *eventually*  $P$  (at  $t$  within  $S$ )  
**by** (*meson* *assms* *eventually-within-interior* *interior-mono* *subsetD*)

**lemma** *netlimit-at-within-mono*:  
**fixes**  $t::'a::\{\text{perfect-space}, \text{t2-space}\}$

**assumes**  $t \in \text{interior } T$  **and**  $T \subseteq S$   
**shows**  $\text{netlimit } (\text{at } t \text{ within } S) = t$   
**using**  $\text{assms}(1)$   $\text{interior-mono}[OF \langle T \subseteq S \rangle]$   $\text{netlimit-within-interior}$  **by**  $\text{auto}$

**lemma** *has-derivative-at-within-mono*:

**assumes**  $(t::\text{real}) \in \text{interior } T$  **and**  $T \subseteq S$   
**and**  $D f \mapsto f'$  **at**  $t$  **within**  $T$   
**shows**  $D f \mapsto f'$  **at**  $t$  **within**  $S$   
**using**  $\text{assms}(3)$  **apply**  $(\text{unfold has-derivative-def tendsto-iff}, \text{safe})$   
**unfolding**  $\text{netlimit-at-within-mono}[OF \text{assms}(1,2)]$   $\text{netlimit-within-interior}[OF \text{assms}(1)]$   
**by**  $(\text{rule eventually-at-within-mono}[OF \text{assms}(1,2)])$   $\text{simp}$

**lemma** *eventually-all-finite2*:

**fixes**  $P :: ('a::\text{finite}) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**assumes**  $h: \forall i. \text{eventually } (P i) F$   
**shows**  $\text{eventually } (\lambda x. \forall i. P i x) F$   
**proof**  $(\text{unfold eventually-def})$   
**let**  $?F = \text{Rep-filter } F$   
**have**  $\text{obs}: \forall i. ?F (P i)$   
**using**  $h$  **by**  $\text{auto}$   
**have**  $?F (\lambda x. \forall i \in \text{UNIV}. P i x)$   
**apply**  $(\text{rule finite-induct})$   
**by**  $(\text{auto intro: eventually-conj simp: obs } h)$   
**thus**  $?F (\lambda x. \forall i. P i x)$   
**by**  $\text{simp}$

**qed**

**lemma** *eventually-all-finite-mono*:

**fixes**  $P :: ('a::\text{finite}) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**assumes**  $h1: \forall i. \text{eventually } (P i) F$   
**and**  $h2: \forall x. (\forall i. (P i x)) \longrightarrow Q x$   
**shows**  $\text{eventually } Q F$

**proof**—

**have**  $\text{eventually } (\lambda x. \forall i. P i x) F$   
**using**  $h1$   $\text{eventually-all-finite2}$  **by**  $\text{blast}$   
**thus**  $\text{eventually } Q F$   
**unfolding**  $\text{eventually-def}$   
**using**  $h2$   $\text{eventually-mono}$  **by**  $\text{auto}$

**qed**

### 1.2.3 Multivariable derivatives

**lemma** *frechet-vec-lambda*:

**fixes**  $f::\text{real} \Rightarrow ('a::\text{banach})^{('m::\text{finite})}$  **and**  $x::\text{real}$  **and**  $T::\text{real set}$   
**defines**  $x_0 \equiv \text{netlimit } (\text{at } x \text{ within } T)$  **and**  $m \equiv \text{real CARD}('m)$   
**assumes**  $\forall i. ((\lambda y. (f y \$ i - f x_0 \$ i - (y - x_0) *_R f' x \$ i) /_R (\|y - x_0\|)) \longrightarrow 0) (\text{at } x \text{ within } T)$   
**shows**  $((\lambda y. (f y - f x_0 - (y - x_0) *_R f' x) /_R (\|y - x_0\|)) \longrightarrow 0) (\text{at } x \text{ within } T)$

*within T*  
**proof**(*simp add: tendsto-iff, clarify*)  
 fix  $\varepsilon::\text{real}$  **assume**  $0 < \varepsilon$   
 let  $? \Delta = \lambda y. y - x_0$  **and**  $? \Delta f = \lambda y. f y - f x_0$   
 let  $? P = \lambda i. \text{inverse } |? \Delta y| * (\|f y \$ i - f x_0 \$ i - ? \Delta y *_R f' x \$ i\|) < \varepsilon$   
**and**  $? Q = \lambda y. \text{inverse } |? \Delta y| * (\|? \Delta f y - ? \Delta y *_R f' x\|) < \varepsilon$   
 have  $0 < \varepsilon / \text{sqrt } m$   
**using**  $\langle 0 < \varepsilon \rangle$  **by** (*auto simp: assms*)  
 hence  $\forall i. \text{eventually } (\lambda y. ? P i (\varepsilon / \text{sqrt } m) y)$  (*at x within T*)  
**using** *assms unfolding tendsto-iff by simp*  
**thus** *eventually ?Q (at x within T)*  
**proof**(*rule eventually-all-finite-mono, simp add: norm-vec-def L2-set-def, clarify*)  
 fix  $t::\text{real}$   
 let  $? c = \text{inverse } |t - x_0|$  **and**  $? u t = \lambda i. f t \$ i - f x_0 \$ i - ? \Delta t *_R f' x \$ i$   
**assume** *hyp*:  $\forall i. ? c * (\|? u t i\|) < \varepsilon / \text{sqrt } m$   
 hence  $\forall i. (? c *_R (\|? u t i\|))^2 < (\varepsilon / \text{sqrt } m)^2$   
**by** (*simp add: power-strict-mono*)  
 hence  $\forall i. ? c^2 * ((\|? u t i\|)^2) < \varepsilon^2 / m$   
**by** (*simp add: power-mult-distrib power-divide assms*)  
 hence  $\forall i. ? c^2 * ((\|? u t i\|)^2) < \varepsilon^2 / m$   
**by** (*auto simp: assms*)  
 also have  $(\{::'m \text{ set}\} \neq \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{finite } (\text{UNIV} :: 'm \text{ set}))$   
**by** *simp*  
 ultimately have  $(\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} ? c^2 * ((\|? u t i\|)^2)) < (\sum_{(i::'m) \in \text{UNIV}} \varepsilon^2 / m)$   
**by** (*metis (lifting) sum-strict-mono*)  
 moreover have  $? c^2 * (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} (\|? u t i\|)^2) = (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} ? c^2 * (\|? u t i\|)^2)$   
**using** *sum-distrib-left by blast*  
 ultimately have  $? c^2 * (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} (\|? u t i\|)^2) < \varepsilon^2$   
**by** (*simp add: assms*)  
 hence  $\text{sqrt } (? c^2 * (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} (\|? u t i\|)^2)) < \text{sqrt } (\varepsilon^2)$   
**using** *real-sqrt-less-iff by blast*  
 also have  $\dots = \varepsilon$   
**using**  $\langle 0 < \varepsilon \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
 moreover have  $? c * \text{sqrt } (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} (\|? u t i\|)^2) = \text{sqrt } (? c^2 * (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} (\|? u t i\|)^2))$   
**by** (*simp add: real-sqrt-mult*)  
 ultimately show  $? c * \text{sqrt } (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} (\|? u t i\|)^2) < \varepsilon$   
**by** *simp*  
**qed**  
**qed**

**lemma** *has-derivative-vec-lambda:*

fixes  $f::\text{real} \Rightarrow ('a::\text{banach})^{('m::\text{finite})}$   
 assumes  $\forall i. D (\lambda t. f t \$ i) \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_R f' x \$ i)$  (*at x within T*)  
 shows  $D f \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_R f' x)$  *at x within T*  
**apply**(*unfold has-derivative-def, safe*)  
**apply**(*force simp: bounded-linear-def bounded-linear-axioms-def*)

**using** *assms* *frechet-vec-lambda*[*of x T*] **unfolding** *has-derivative-def* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda*:

**fixes**  $f :: ('a :: \text{banach}) \wedge ('n :: \text{finite}) \Rightarrow ('a \wedge 'n)$

**assumes**  $\forall i. D (\lambda t. x \ t \ \$ \ i) = (\lambda t. f \ (x \ t) \ \$ \ i) \text{ on } T$

**shows**  $D \ x = (\lambda t. f \ (x \ t)) \text{ on } T$

**using** *assms* **unfolding** *has-vderiv-on-def* *has-vector-derivative-def* **apply** *clarsimp*

**by**(*rule* *has-derivative-vec-lambda*, *simp*)

**lemma** *frechet-vec-nth*:

**fixes**  $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow ('a :: \text{real-normed-vector}) \wedge^m \text{ and } x :: \text{real} \text{ and } T :: \text{real set}$

**defines**  $x_0 \equiv \text{netlimit} \ (at \ x \ \text{within } T)$

**assumes**  $((\lambda y. (f \ y - f \ x_0 - (y - x_0) *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x) /_{\mathbb{R}} (\|y - x_0\|)) \longrightarrow 0) \ (at \ x \ \text{within } T)$

**shows**  $((\lambda y. (f \ y \ \$ \ i - f \ x_0 \ \$ \ i - (y - x_0) *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x \ \$ \ i) /_{\mathbb{R}} (\|y - x_0\|)) \longrightarrow 0) \ (at \ x \ \text{within } T)$

**proof**(*unfold* *tendsto-iff* *dist-norm*, *clarify*)

**let**  $? \Delta = \lambda y. y - x_0$  **and**  $? \Delta f = \lambda y. f \ y - f \ x_0$

**fix**  $\varepsilon :: \text{real}$  **assume**  $0 < \varepsilon$

**let**  $?P = \lambda y. \|(? \Delta f \ y - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x) /_{\mathbb{R}} (\|? \Delta \ y\|) - 0\| < \varepsilon$

**and**  $?Q = \lambda y. \|(f \ y \ \$ \ i - f \ x_0 \ \$ \ i - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x \ \$ \ i) /_{\mathbb{R}} (\|? \Delta \ y\|) - 0\| < \varepsilon$

**have** *eventually*  $?P \ (at \ x \ \text{within } T)$

**using**  $\langle 0 < \varepsilon \rangle$  *assms* **unfolding** *tendsto-iff* **by** *auto*

**thus** *eventually*  $?Q \ (at \ x \ \text{within } T)$

**proof**(*rule-tac*  $P = ?P$  **in** *eventually-mono*, *simp-all*)

**let**  $?u \ y \ i = f \ y \ \$ \ i - f \ x_0 \ \$ \ i - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x \ \$ \ i$

**fix**  $y$  **assume** *hyp*: *inverse*  $|? \Delta \ y| * (\|? \Delta f \ y - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x\|) < \varepsilon$

**have**  $\|(? \Delta f \ y - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x) \ \$ \ i\| \leq \|? \Delta f \ y - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x\|$

**using** *Finite-Cartesian-Product.norm-nth-le* **by** *blast*

**also** **have**  $\|?u \ y \ i\| = \|(? \Delta f \ y - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x) \ \$ \ i\|$

**by** *simp*

**ultimately** **have**  $\|?u \ y \ i\| \leq \|? \Delta f \ y - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x\|$

**by** *linarith*

**hence** *inverse*  $|? \Delta \ y| * (\|?u \ y \ i\|) \leq \text{inverse } |? \Delta \ y| * (\|? \Delta f \ y - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x\|)$

**by** (*simp* *add*: *mult-left-mono*)

**thus** *inverse*  $|? \Delta \ y| * (\|f \ y \ \$ \ i - f \ x_0 \ \$ \ i - ? \Delta \ y *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x \ \$ \ i\|) < \varepsilon$

**using** *hyp* **by** *linarith*

**qed**

**qed**

**lemma** *has-derivative-vec-nth*:

**assumes**  $D \ f \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x) \text{ at } x \ \text{within } T$

**shows**  $D \ (\lambda t. f \ t \ \$ \ i) \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_{\mathbb{R}} f' \ x \ \$ \ i) \text{ at } x \ \text{within } T$

**apply**(*unfold* *has-derivative-def*, *safe*)

**apply**(*force* *simp*: *bounded-linear-def* *bounded-linear-axioms-def*)

**using** *frechet-vec-nth*[*of x T f*] *assms* **unfolding** *has-derivative-def* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*:

```

fixes  $f::('a::\textit{banach})^{'n::\textit{finite}}) \Rightarrow ('a^{'n})$ 
assumes  $D\ x = (\lambda t. f\ (x\ t))\ \textit{on}\ T$ 
shows  $D\ (\lambda t. x\ t\ \$\ i) = (\lambda t. f\ (x\ t)\ \$\ i)\ \textit{on}\ T$ 
using assms unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def apply clarsimp
by(rule has-derivative-vec-nth, simp)

end
theory hs-prelims-matrices
  imports hs-prelims

begin

```

## Chapter 2

# Linear Algebra for Hybrid Systems

Linear systems of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) are those whose vector fields are a linear operator. That is, there is a matrix  $A$  such that the system  $x' t = f(x t)$  can be rewritten as  $x' t = A * v x t$ . The end goal of this section is to prove that every linear system of ODEs has a unique solution, and to obtain a characterization of said solution. For that we start by formalising various properties of vector spaces.

### 2.1 Vector operations

**abbreviation**  $e k \equiv axis k 1$

**abbreviation**  $entries (A :: 'a ^ 'n ^ 'm) \equiv \{A \$ i \$ j \mid i j. i \in UNIV \wedge j \in UNIV\}$

**abbreviation**  $kronecker\_delta :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('b :: zero) (\delta_K - - - [55, 55, 55]$

$55)$   
**where**  $\delta_K i j q \equiv (if i = j then q else 0)$

**lemma**  $finite\_sum\_univ\_singleton: (sum g UNIV) = sum g \{i\} + sum g (UNIV - \{i\})$  **for**  $i :: 'a :: finite$

**by**  $(metis add.commute finite-class.finite-UNIV sum.subset-diff top-greatest)$

**lemma**  $kronecker\_delta\_simps[simp]:$

**fixes**  $q :: ('a :: semiring-0)$  **and**  $i :: 'n :: finite$

**shows**  $(\sum j \in UNIV. f j * (\delta_K j i q)) = f i * q$

**and**  $(\sum j \in UNIV. f j * (\delta_K i j q)) = f i * q$

**and**  $(\sum j \in UNIV. (\delta_K i j q) * f j) = q * f i$

**and**  $(\sum j \in UNIV. (\delta_K j i q) * f j) = q * f i$

**by**  $(auto simp: finite\_sum\_univ\_singleton[of - i])$

**lemma**  $sum\_axis[simp]:$

**fixes**  $q :: ('a :: \text{semiring-0})$   
**shows**  $(\sum j \in \text{UNIV}. f\ j * \text{axis}\ i\ q\ \$\ j) = f\ i * q$   
**and**  $(\sum j \in \text{UNIV}. \text{axis}\ i\ q\ \$\ j * f\ j) = q * f\ i$   
**unfolding**  $\text{axis-def}$  **by**  $(\text{auto simp: vec-eq-iff})$

**lemma**  $\text{sum-scalar-nth-axis}$ :  $\text{sum } (\lambda i. (x\ \$\ i) * s\ e\ i)\ \text{UNIV} = x$  **for**  $x :: ('a :: \text{semiring-1})^{n'}$   
**unfolding**  $\text{vec-eq-iff}$   $\text{axis-def}$  **by**  $\text{simp}$

**lemma**  $\text{scalar-eq-scaleR[simp]}$ :  $c * s\ x = c *_{\text{R}}\ x$  **for**  $c :: \text{real}$   
**unfolding**  $\text{vec-eq-iff}$  **by**  $\text{simp}$

**lemma**  $\text{matrix-add-rdistrib}$ :  $((B + C) ** A) = (B ** A) + (C ** A)$   
**by**  $(\text{vector matrix-matrix-mult-def sum.distrib[symmetric] field-simps})$

**lemma**  $\text{vec-mult-inner}$ :  $(A * v\ v) \cdot w = v \cdot (\text{transpose}\ A * v\ w)$  **for**  $A :: \text{real}^{n' \times n'}$   
**unfolding**  $\text{matrix-vector-mult-def transpose-def inner-vec-def}$   
**apply**  $(\text{simp add: sum-distrib-right sum-distrib-left})$   
**apply**  $(\text{subst sum.swap})$   
**apply**  $(\text{subgoal-tac } \forall i\ j. A\ \$\ i\ \$\ j * v\ \$\ j * w\ \$\ i = v\ \$\ j * (A\ \$\ i\ \$\ j * w\ \$\ i))$   
**by**  $\text{presburger (simp)}$

**lemma**  $\text{uminus-axis-eq[simp]}$ :  $-\ \text{axis}\ i\ k = \text{axis}\ i\ (-k)$  **for**  $k :: 'a :: \text{ring}$   
**unfolding**  $\text{axis-def}$  **by**  $(\text{simp add: vec-eq-iff})$

**lemma**  $\text{norm-axis-eq[simp]}$ :  $\|\text{axis}\ i\ k\| = \|k\|$   
**proof**  $(\text{simp add: axis-def norm-vec-def L2-set-def})$   
**have**  $(\sum j \in \text{UNIV}. (\|(\delta_K\ j\ i\ k)\|)^2) = (\sum j \in \{i\}. (\|(\delta_K\ j\ i\ k)\|)^2) + (\sum j \in (\text{UNIV} - \{i\}). (\|(\delta_K\ j\ i\ k)\|)^2)$   
**using**  $\text{finite-sum-univ-singleton}$  **by**  $\text{blast}$   
**also have**  $\dots = (\|k\|)^2$  **by**  $\text{simp}$   
**finally show**  $\text{sqrt } (\sum j \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{norm } (\text{if } j = i \text{ then } k \text{ else } 0)))^2 = \text{norm } k$  **by**  
 $\text{simp}$   
**qed**

**lemma**  $\text{matrix-axis-0}$ :  
**fixes**  $A :: ('a :: \text{idom})^{n' \times m}$   
**assumes**  $k \neq 0$  **and**  $h : \forall i. (A * v\ (\text{axis}\ i\ k)) = 0$   
**shows**  $A = 0$   
**proof**–  
**{fix**  $i :: 'n$   
**have**  $0 = (\sum j \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{axis}\ i\ k)\ \$\ j * s\ \text{column}\ j\ A)$   
**using**  $h\ \text{matrix-mult-sum[of } A\ \text{axis}\ i\ k]$  **by**  $\text{simp}$   
**also have**  $\dots = k * s\ \text{column}\ i\ A$   
**by**  $(\text{simp add: axis-def vector-scalar-mult-def column-def vec-eq-iff mult.commute})$   
**finally have**  $k * s\ \text{column}\ i\ A = 0$   
**unfolding**  $\text{axis-def}$  **by**  $\text{simp}$   
**hence**  $\text{column}\ i\ A = 0$   
**using**  $\text{vector-mul-eq-0 } \langle k \neq 0 \rangle$  **by**  $\text{blast}$   
**thus**  $A = 0$



**unfolding** *column-def vec-eq-iff* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

**lemma** *scaleR-norm-sgn-eq*:  $(\|x\|) *_R \text{sgn } x = x$   
**by** (*metis divideR-right norm-eq-zero scale-eq-0-iff sgn-div-norm*)

**lemma** *vector-scaleR-commute*:  $A * v \ c *_R x = c *_R (A * v \ x)$  **for**  $x :: ('a::\text{real-normed-algebra-1})^{n'}$   
**unfolding** *scaleR-vec-def matrix-vector-mult-def* **by** (*auto simp: vec-eq-iff scaleR-right.sum*)

**lemma** *scaleR-vector-assoc*:  $c *_R (A * v \ x) = (c *_R A) * v \ x$  **for**  $x :: ('a::\text{real-normed-algebra-1})^{n'}$   
**unfolding** *matrix-vector-mult-def* **by** (*auto simp: vec-eq-iff scaleR-right.sum*)

**lemma** *mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq*:  
**fixes**  $x :: ('a::\text{real-normed-algebra-1})^{n'}$   
**shows**  $(\|A * v \ \text{sgn } x\|) * (\|x\|) = \|A * v \ x\|$   
**proof**–  
**have**  $\|A * v \ x\| = \|A * v \ ((\|x\|) *_R \text{sgn } x)\|$   
**by** (*simp add: scaleR-norm-sgn-eq*)  
**also have**  $\dots = (\|A * v \ \text{sgn } x\|) * (\|x\|)$   
**by** (*simp add: vector-scaleR-commute*)  
**finally show** ?thesis ..  
**qed**

## 2.2 Matrix norms

Here we develop the foundations for obtaining the Lipschitz constant for every linear system of ODEs  $x' \ t = A * v \ x \ t$ . For that we derive some properties of two matrix norms.

### 2.2.1 Matrix operator norm

**abbreviation** *op-norm* ::  $(\text{'a}::\text{real-normed-algebra-1})^{n' n} \Rightarrow \text{real } ((1 - \| \cdot \|_{op}) [65]$   
 $61)$

**where**  $\|A\|_{op} \equiv \text{onorm } (\lambda x. A * v \ x)$

**lemma** *norm-matrix-bound*:  
**fixes**  $A :: (\text{'a}::\text{real-normed-algebra-1})^{n' n}$   
**shows**  $\|x\| = 1 \implies \|A * v \ x\| \leq \|(\chi \ i \ j. \|A \$ i \$ j\|) * v \ 1\|$   
**proof**–  
**fix**  $x :: (\text{'a}, 'n) \text{ vec}$  **assume**  $\|x\| = 1$   
**hence**  $\text{xi-le1} : \bigwedge i. \|x \$ i\| \leq 1$   
**by** (*metis Finite-Cartesian-Product.norm-nth-le*)  
**{fix**  $j :: 'm$   
**have**  $\|(\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. A \$ j \$ i * x \$ i)\| \leq (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \|A \$ j \$ i * x \$ i\|)$   
**using** *norm-sum* **by** *blast*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. (\|A \$ j \$ i\|) * (\|x \$ i\|))$   
**by** (*simp add: norm-mult-ineq sum-mono*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. (\|A \$ j \$ i\|) * 1)$

using *xi-le1* by (*simp add: sum-mono mult-left-le*)  
 finally have  $\|(\sum_{i \in UNIV}. A \$ j \$ i * x \$ i)\| \leq (\sum_{i \in UNIV}. (\|A \$ j \$ i\|$   
 $* 1))$  by *simp*  
 hence  $\bigwedge j. \|A * v x \$ j\| \leq ((\chi \ i1 \ i2. \|A \$ i1 \$ i2\|) * v \ 1) \$ j$   
 unfolding *matrix-vector-mult-def* by *simp*  
 hence  $(\sum_{j \in UNIV}. (\|A * v x \$ j\|)^2) \leq (\sum_{j \in UNIV}. (\|((\chi \ i1 \ i2. \|A \$ i1 \$$   
 $i2\|) * v \ 1) \$ j\|)^2)$   
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) norm-ge-zero power2-abs power-mono real-norm-def*  
*sum-mono*)  
 thus  $\|A * v x\| \leq \|(\chi \ i \ j. \|A \$ i \$ j\|) * v \ 1\|$   
 unfolding *norm-vec-def L2-set-def* by *simp*  
 qed

lemma *onorm-set-proptys*:

fixes  $A :: ('a :: real-normed-algebra-1) ^n ^m$   
 shows *bounded* (*range* ( $\lambda x. (\|A * v x\|) / (\|x\|)$ ))  
 and *bdd-above* (*range* ( $\lambda x. (\|A * v x\|) / (\|x\|)$ ))  
 and (*range* ( $\lambda x. (\|A * v x\|) / (\|x\|)$ ))  $\neq \{\}$   
 unfolding *bounded-def bdd-above-def image-def dist-real-def* apply (*rule-tac x=0*  
 in *exI*)  
 apply (*rule-tac x=* $\|(\chi \ i \ j. \|A \$ i \$ j\|) * v \ 1\|$  in *exI*, *clarsimp*,  
*subst mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq[symmetric]*, *clarsimp*,  
*rule-tac x=sgn - in norm-matrix-bound, simp add: norm-sgn*) +  
 by *force*

lemma *op-norm-set-proptys*:

fixes  $A :: ('a :: real-normed-algebra-1) ^n ^m$   
 shows *bounded*  $\{\|A * v x\| \mid x. \|x\| = 1\}$   
 and *bdd-above*  $\{\|A * v x\| \mid x. \|x\| = 1\}$   
 and  $\{\|A * v x\| \mid x. \|x\| = 1\} \neq \{\}$   
 unfolding *bounded-def bdd-above-def* apply *safe*  
 apply (*rule-tac x=0* in *exI*, *rule-tac x= $\|(\chi \ i \ j. \|A \$ i \$ j\|) * v \ 1\|$  in *exI*)  
 apply (*force simp: norm-matrix-bound dist-real-def*)  
 apply (*rule-tac x= $\|(\chi \ i \ j. \|A \$ i \$ j\|) * v \ 1\|$  in *exI*, *force simp: norm-matrix-bound*)  
 using *ex-norm-eq-1* by *blast***

lemma *op-norm-def*:

fixes  $A :: ('a :: real-normed-algebra-1) ^n ^m$   
 shows  $\|A\|_{op} = \text{Sup } \{\|A * v x\| \mid x. \|x\| = 1\}$   
 apply (*rule antisym[OF onorm-le cSup-least[OF op-norm-set-proptys(3)]]*)  
 apply (*case-tac x = 0, simp*)  
 apply (*subst mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq[symmetric], simp*)  
 apply (*rule cSup-upper[OF - op-norm-set-proptys(2)]*)  
 apply (*force simp: norm-sgn*)  
 unfolding *onorm-def* apply (*rule cSup-upper[OF - onorm-set-proptys(2)]*)  
 by (*simp add: image-def, clarsimp*) (*metis div-by-1*)

lemma *norm-matrix-le-op-norm*:  $\|x\| = 1 \implies \|A * v x\| \leq \|A\|_{op}$

apply (*unfold onorm-def, rule cSup-upper[OF - onorm-set-proptys(2)]*)

**unfolding** *image-def* **by** (*clarsimp*, *rule-tac*  $x=x$  **in** *exI*) *simp*

**lemma** *op-norm-ge-0*:  $0 \leq \|A\|_{op}$

**using** *ex-norm-eq-1* *norm-ge-zero* *norm-matrix-le-op-norm* *basic-trans-rules*(23)  
**by** *blast*

**lemma** *norm-sgn-le-op-norm*:  $\|A * v \text{ sgn } x\| \leq \|A\|_{op}$

**by**(*cases*  $x=0$ , *simp-all* *add: norm-sgn norm-matrix-le-op-norm op-norm-ge-0*)

**lemma** *norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm*:  $\|A * v x\| \leq (\|A\|_{op}) * (\|x\|)$

**proof**—

**have**  $\|A * v x\| = (\|A * v \text{ sgn } x\|) * (\|x\|)$

**by**(*simp* *add: mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq*)

**also have**  $\dots \leq (\|A\|_{op}) * (\|x\|)$

**using** *norm-sgn-le-op-norm*[*of* *A*] **by** (*simp* *add: mult-mono*)

**finally show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

**qed**

**lemma** *blin-norm-matrix*: *bounded-linear*  $((*v) A)$  **for**  $A::('a::\text{real-normed-algebra-1})^{n \times m}$

**by** (*unfold-locales*) (*auto* *intro: norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm simp*:

*mult.commute matrix-vector-right-distrib vector-scaleR-commute*)

**lemma** *op-norm-zero-iff*:  $(\|A\|_{op} = 0) = (A = 0)$  **for**  $A::('a::\text{real-normed-field})^{n \times m}$

**unfolding** *onorm-eq-0*[*OF blin-norm-matrix*] **using** *matrix-axis-0*[*of* 1 *A*] **by**  
*fastforce*

**lemma** *op-norm-triangle*:  $\|A + B\|_{op} \leq (\|A\|_{op}) + (\|B\|_{op})$

**using** *onorm-triangle*[*OF blin-norm-matrix*[*of* *A*] *blin-norm-matrix*[*of* *B*]]

*matrix-vector-mult-add-rdistrib*[*symmetric*, *of*  $A - B$ ] **by** *simp*

**lemma** *op-norm-scaleR*:  $\|c *_R A\|_{op} = |c| * (\|A\|_{op})$

**unfolding** *onorm-scaleR*[*OF blin-norm-matrix*, *symmetric*] *scaleR-vector-assoc*

..

**lemma** *op-norm-matrix-matrix-mult-le*:

**fixes**  $A::('a::\text{real-normed-algebra-1})^{n \times m}$

**shows**  $\|A ** B\|_{op} \leq (\|A\|_{op}) * (\|B\|_{op})$

**proof**(*rule onorm-le*)

**have**  $0 \leq (\|A\|_{op})$

**by**(*rule onorm-pos-le*[*OF blin-norm-matrix*])

**fix** *x* **have**  $\|A ** B * v x\| = \|A * v (B * v x)\|$

**by** (*simp* *add: matrix-vector-mul-assoc*)

**also have**  $\dots \leq (\|A\|_{op}) * (\|B * v x\|)$

**by** (*simp* *add: norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm*[*of*  $B * v x$ ])

**also have**  $\dots \leq (\|A\|_{op}) * ((\|B\|_{op}) * (\|x\|))$

**using** *norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm*[*of* *B* *x*]  $\langle 0 \leq (\|A\|_{op}) \rangle$  *mult-left-mono* **by**

*blast*

**finally show**  $\|A ** B * v x\| \leq (\|A\|_{op}) * (\|B\|_{op}) * (\|x\|)$

**by** *simp*

qed

**lemma** *norm-matrix-vec-mult-le-transpose*:

$\|x\| = 1 \implies (\|A * v x\|) \leq \text{sqrt} (\| \text{transpose } A ** A \|_{op}) * (\|x\|)$  **for**  $A :: \text{real}^{n \times n}$

**proof**–

**assume**  $\|x\| = 1$   
**have**  $(\|A * v x\|)^2 = (A * v x) \cdot (A * v x)$   
**using** *dot-square-norm*[*of*  $(A * v x)$ ] **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots = x \cdot (\text{transpose } A * v (A * v x))$   
**using** *vec-mult-inner* **by** *blast*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq (\|x\|) * (\| \text{transpose } A * v (A * v x) \|)$   
**using** *norm-cauchy-schwarz* **by** *blast*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq (\| \text{transpose } A ** A \|_{op}) * (\|x\|)^2$   
**apply**(*subst matrix-vector-mul-assoc*)  
**using** *norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm*[*of*  $\text{transpose } A ** A$ ]  
**by** (*simp add*:  $\langle \|x\| = 1 \rangle$ )  
**finally have**  $(\|A * v x\|)^2 \leq (\| \text{transpose } A ** A \|_{op}) * (\|x\|)^2$   
**by** *linarith*  
**thus**  $(\|A * v x\|) \leq \text{sqrt} ((\| \text{transpose } A ** A \|_{op})) * (\|x\|)$   
**by** (*simp add*:  $\langle \|x\| = 1 \rangle$  *real-le-rsqrt*)

qed

**lemma** *op-norm-le-sum-column*:  $\|A\|_{op} \leq (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} \| \text{column } i A \|)$  **for**  $A :: \text{real}^{n \times m}$

**proof**(*unfold op-norm-def*, *rule cSup-least[OF op-norm-set-proptys(3)]*, *clarsimp*)

**fix**  $x :: \text{real}^n$  **assume**  $x\text{-def}: \|x\| = 1$   
**hence**  $x\text{-hyp}: \bigwedge i. \|x \$ i\| \leq 1$   
**by** (*simp add*: *norm-bound-component-le-cart*)  
**have**  $(\|A * v x\|) = \|(\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} x \$ i * \text{column } i A)\|$   
**by**(*subst matrix-mult-sum*[*of*  $A$ ], *simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} \|x \$ i * \text{column } i A\|)$   
**by** (*simp add*: *sum-norm-le*)  
**also have**  $\dots = (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} (\|x \$ i\|) * (\| \text{column } i A \|))$   
**by** (*simp add*: *mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} \| \text{column } i A \|)$   
**using**  $x\text{-hyp}$  **by** (*simp add*: *mult-left-le-one-le sum-mono*)  
**finally show**  $\|A * v x\| \leq (\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} \| \text{column } i A \|)$  .

qed

**lemma** *op-norm-le-transpose*:  $\|A\|_{op} \leq \| \text{transpose } A \|_{op}$  **for**  $A :: \text{real}^{n \times n}$

**proof**–

**have**  $\text{obs}: \forall x. \|x\| = 1 \longrightarrow (\|A * v x\|) \leq \text{sqrt} ((\| \text{transpose } A ** A \|_{op})) * (\|x\|)$   
**using** *norm-matrix-vec-mult-le-transpose* **by** *blast*  
**have**  $(\|A\|_{op}) \leq \text{sqrt} ((\| \text{transpose } A ** A \|_{op}))$   
**using**  $\text{obs}$  **apply**(*unfold op-norm-def*)  
**by** (*rule cSup-least[OF op-norm-set-proptys(3)]*) *clarsimp*  
**hence**  $((\|A\|_{op}))^2 \leq (\| \text{transpose } A ** A \|_{op})$   
**using** *power-mono*[*of*  $(\|A\|_{op}) - 2$ ] *op-norm-ge-0* **by** *force*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq (\| \text{transpose } A \|_{op}) * (\|A\|_{op})$

```

    using op-norm-matrix-matrix-mult-le by blast
    finally have  $((\|A\|_{op}))^2 \leq (\|transpose\ A\|_{op}) * (\|A\|_{op})$  by linarith
    thus  $\|A\|_{op} \leq (\|transpose\ A\|_{op})$ 
    using sq-le-cancel[of  $(\|A\|_{op})$ ] op-norm-ge-0 by blast
qed

```

### 2.2.2 Matrix maximum norm

**abbreviation**  $max\text{-}norm\ (A::real^{n \times m}) \equiv Max\ (abs\ ' (entries\ A))$

**notation**  $max\text{-}norm\ ((1\|-)\|_{max})\ [65]\ 61)$

**lemma**  $max\text{-}norm\text{-}def$ :  $\|A\|_{max} = Max\ \{|A\ \$\ i\ \$\ j| \mid i\ j. i \in UNIV \wedge j \in UNIV\}$   
**by** (*simp add: image-def, rule arg-cong[of - - Max], blast*)

**lemma**  $max\text{-}norm\text{-}set\text{-}proptys$ :  $finite\ \{|A\ \$\ i\ \$\ j| \mid i\ j. i \in UNIV \wedge j \in UNIV\}$   
**(is finite ?X)**

**proof**–

```

    have  $\bigwedge i. finite\ \{|A\ \$\ i\ \$\ j| \mid j. j \in UNIV\}$ 
    using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat by fastforce
    hence  $finite\ (\bigcup i \in UNIV. \{|A\ \$\ i\ \$\ j| \mid j. j \in UNIV\})$  (is finite ?Y)
    using finite-class.finite-UNIV by blast
    also have  $?X \subseteq ?Y$  by auto
    ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
    using finite-subset by blast

```

qed

**lemma**  $max\text{-}norm\text{-}ge\text{-}0$ :  $0 \leq \|A\|_{max}$

**proof**–

```

    have  $\bigwedge i\ j. |A\ \$\ i\ \$\ j| \geq 0$  by simp
    also have  $\bigwedge i\ j. |A\ \$\ i\ \$\ j| \leq \|A\|_{max}$ 
    unfolding  $max\text{-}norm\text{-}def$  using  $max\text{-}norm\text{-}set\text{-}proptys\ Max\text{-}ge\ max\text{-}norm\text{-}def$ 
    by blast
    finally show  $0 \leq \|A\|_{max}$  .

```

qed

**lemma**  $op\text{-}norm\text{-}le\text{-}max\text{-}norm$ :

```

    fixes  $A::real^{(n::finite) \times (m::finite)}$ 
    shows  $\|A\|_{op} \leq real\ CARD(m) * real\ CARD(n) * (\|A\|_{max})$ 
    apply (rule onorm-le-matrix-component)
    unfolding  $max\text{-}norm\text{-}def$  by (rule  $Max\text{-}ge[OF\ max\text{-}norm\text{-}set\text{-}proptys]$ ) force

```

## 2.3 Picard Lindeloef for linear systems

Now we prove our first objective. First we obtain the Lipschitz constant for linear systems of ODEs, and then we prove that IVPs arising from these satisfy the conditions for Picard-Lindelof theorem (hence, they have a unique solution).

```

lemma matrix-lipschitz-constant:
  fixes A::real^'n^'n
  shows dist (A *v x) (A *v y) ≤ (real CARD('n))^2 * (||A||max) * dist x y
  unfolding dist-norm matrix-vector-mult-diff-distrib[symmetric]
proof(subst mult-norm-matrix-sgn-eq[symmetric])
  have ||A||op ≤ (||A||max) * (real CARD('n) * real CARD('n))
  by (metis (no-types) Groups.mult-ac(2) op-norm-le-max-norm)
  then have (||A||op) * (||x - y||) ≤ (real CARD('n))^2 * (||A||max) * (||x - y||)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) mult.commute mult-right-mono norm-ge-zero power2-eq-square)
  also have (||A *v sgn (x - y)||) * (||x - y||) ≤ (||A||op) * (||x - y||)
  by (simp add: norm-sgn-le-op-norm mult-mono')
  ultimately show (||A *v sgn (x - y)||) * (||x - y||) ≤ (real CARD('n))^2 *
  (||A||max) * (||x - y||)
  using order-trans-rules(23) by blast
qed

```

## 2.4 Matrix Exponential

The general solution for linear systems of ODEs is an exponential function. Unfortunately, this operation is only available in Isabelle for Banach spaces which are formalised as a class. Hence we need to prove that a specific type is an instance of this class. We define the type and build towards this instantiation in this section.

### 2.4.1 Squared matrices operations

```

typedef 'm sqrd-matrix = UNIV::(real^'m^'m) set
  morphisms to-vec sq-mtx-chi by simp

```

```

declare sq-mtx-chi-inverse [simp]
  and to-vec-inverse [simp]

```

```

setup-lifting type-definition-sqrd-matrix

```

```

lift-definition sq-mtx-ith::'m sqrd-matrix ⇒ 'm ⇒ (real^'m) (infixl $$ 90) is
vec-nth .

```

```

lift-definition sq-mtx-vec-prod::'m sqrd-matrix ⇒ (real^'m) ⇒ (real^'m) (infixl
*_V 90)
  is matrix-vector-mult .

```

```

lift-definition sq-mtx-column::'m ⇒ 'm sqrd-matrix ⇒ (real^'m)
  is λi X. column i (to-vec X) .

```

```

lift-definition vec-sq-mtx-prod::(real^'m) ⇒ 'm sqrd-matrix ⇒ (real^'m) is vector-matrix-mult
.

```

```

lift-definition sq-mtx-diag::real ⇒ ('m::finite) sqrd-matrix (diag) is mat .

```

**lift-definition**  $sq\text{-mtx-transpose}::('m::finite) \text{ sqrd-matrix} \Rightarrow 'm \text{ sqrd-matrix } (-^\dagger) \text{ is transpose .}$

**lift-definition**  $sq\text{-mtx-row}::'m \Rightarrow ('m::finite) \text{ sqrd-matrix} \Rightarrow \text{real}^{'m} \text{ (row) is row .}$

**lift-definition**  $sq\text{-mtx-col}::'m \Rightarrow ('m::finite) \text{ sqrd-matrix} \Rightarrow \text{real}^{'m} \text{ (col) is column .}$

**lift-definition**  $sq\text{-mtx-rows}::('m::finite) \text{ sqrd-matrix} \Rightarrow (\text{real}^{'m}) \text{ set is rows .}$

**lift-definition**  $sq\text{-mtx-cols}::('m::finite) \text{ sqrd-matrix} \Rightarrow (\text{real}^{'m}) \text{ set is columns .}$

**lemma**  $to\text{-vec-eq-ith}[simp]: (to\text{-vec } A) \$ i = A \$\$ i$   
**by**  $transfer \text{ simp}$

**lemma**  $sq\text{-mtx-chi-ith}[simp]: (sq\text{-mtx-chi } A) \$\$ i1 \$ i2 = A \$ i1 \$ i2$   
**by**  $transfer \text{ simp}$

**lemma**  $sq\text{-mtx-chi-vec-lambda-ith}[simp]: sq\text{-mtx-chi } (\chi \ i \ j. x \ i \ j) \$\$ i1 \$ i2 = x \ i1 \ i2$   
**by**  $(simp \text{ add: } sq\text{-mtx-ith-def})$

**lemma**  $sq\text{-mtx-eq-iff}$ :  
**shows**  $(\bigwedge i. A \$\$ i = B \$\$ i) \Longrightarrow A = B$   
**and**  $(\bigwedge i \ j. A \$\$ i \$ j = B \$\$ i \$ j) \Longrightarrow A = B$   
**by**  $(transfer, \text{ simp add: } vec\text{-eq-iff})+$

**lemma**  $sq\text{-mtx-vec-prod-eq}: m *_V x = (\chi \ i. \text{sum } (\lambda j. ((m \$\$ i) \$ j) * (x \$ j)) \text{ UNIV})$   
**by**  $(transfer, \text{ simp add: } matrix\text{-vector-mult-def})$

**lemma**  $sq\text{-mtx-transpose-transpose}[simp]: (A^\dagger)^\dagger = A$   
**by**  $(transfer, \text{ simp})$

**lemma**  $transpose\text{-mult-vec-canon-row}[simp]: (A^\dagger) *_V (e \ i) = \text{row } i \ A$   
**by**  $transfer \text{ (simp add: row-def transpose-def axis-def matrix-vector-mult-def)}$

**lemma**  $\text{row-ith}[simp]: \text{row } i \ A = A \$\$ i$   
**by**  $transfer \text{ (simp add: row-def)}$

**lemma**  $\text{mtx-vec-prod-canon}: A *_V (e \ i) = \text{col } i \ A$   
**by**  $(transfer, \text{ simp add: matrix-vector-mult-basis})$

## 2.4.2 Squared matrices form Banach space

**instantiation**  $\text{sqrd-matrix} :: (finite) \text{ ring}$   
**begin**

**lift-definition** *plus-sqrd-matrix* :: 'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix  
is (+) .

**lift-definition** *zero-sqrd-matrix* :: 'a sqrd-matrix is 0 .

**lift-definition** *uminus-sqrd-matrix* :: 'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix is uminus .

**lift-definition** *minus-sqrd-matrix* :: 'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix  
is (-) .

**lift-definition** *times-sqrd-matrix* :: 'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix  
is (\*\*) .

**declare** *plus-sqrd-matrix.rep-eq* [simp]  
and *minus-sqrd-matrix.rep-eq* [simp]

**instance** apply intro-classes

by (transfer, simp add: algebra-simps matrix-mul-assoc matrix-add-rdistrib matrix-add-ldistrib) +

**end**

**lemma** *sq-mtx-plus-ith*[simp]:  $(A + B) \text{ \$\$ } i = A \text{ \$\$ } i + B \text{ \$\$ } i$   
by (unfold plus-sqrd-matrix-def, transfer, simp)

**lemma** *sq-mtx-minus-ith*[simp]:  $(A - B) \text{ \$\$ } i = A \text{ \$\$ } i - B \text{ \$\$ } i$   
by (unfold minus-sqrd-matrix-def, transfer, simp)

**lemma** *mtx-vec-prod-add-rdistr*:  $(A + B) *_{\mathcal{V}} x = A *_{\mathcal{V}} x + B *_{\mathcal{V}} x$   
unfolding plus-sqrd-matrix-def apply (transfer)  
by (simp add: matrix-vector-mult-add-rdistrib)

**lemma** *mtx-vec-prod-minus-rdistrib*:  $(A - B) *_{\mathcal{V}} x = A *_{\mathcal{V}} x - B *_{\mathcal{V}} x$   
unfolding minus-sqrd-matrix-def by (transfer, simp add: matrix-vector-mult-diff-rdistrib)

**lemma** *sq-mtx-times-vec-assoc*:  $(A * B) *_{\mathcal{V}} x0 = A *_{\mathcal{V}} (B *_{\mathcal{V}} x0)$   
by (transfer, simp add: matrix-vector-mult-assoc)

**lemma** *sq-mtx-vec-mult-sum-cols*:  $A *_{\mathcal{V}} x = \text{sum } (\lambda i. x \text{ \$ } i *_{\mathcal{R}} \text{col } i \text{ } A) \text{ UNIV}$   
by (transfer) (simp add: matrix-mult-sum scalar-mult-eq-scaleR)

**instantiation** *sqrd-matrix* :: (finite) real-normed-vector  
begin

**definition** *norm-sqrd-matrix* :: 'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  real **where**  $\|A\| = \|\text{to-vec } A\|_{op}$

**lift-definition** *scaleR-sqrd-matrix* :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix is scaleR  
.

**definition** *sgn-sqrd-matrix* :: 'a sqrd-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  'a sqrd-matrix



where  $\text{sgn-sqrd-matrix } A = (\text{inverse } (\|A\|)) *_{\mathcal{R}} A$

**definition**  $\text{dist-sqrd-matrix} :: 'a \text{ sqrd-matrix} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ sqrd-matrix} \Rightarrow \text{real}$   
 where  $\text{dist-sqrd-matrix } A \ B = \|A - B\|$

**definition**  $\text{uniformity-sqrd-matrix} :: ('a \text{ sqrd-matrix} \times 'a \text{ sqrd-matrix}) \text{ filter}$   
 where  $\text{uniformity-sqrd-matrix} = (\text{INF } e:\{0 < ..\}. \text{principal } \{(x, y). \text{dist } x \ y < e\})$

**definition**  $\text{open-sqrd-matrix} :: 'a \text{ sqrd-matrix set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
 where  $\text{open-sqrd-matrix } U = (\forall x \in U. \forall_F (x', y) \text{ in uniformity. } x' = x \longrightarrow y \in U)$

**instance** **apply** *intro-classes*

**unfolding**  $\text{sgn-sqrd-matrix-def open-sqrd-matrix-def dist-sqrd-matrix-def uniformity-sqrd-matrix-def}$   
**prefer** 10 **apply**(*transfer, simp add: norm-sqrd-matrix-def op-norm-triangle*)  
**prefer** 9 **apply**(*simp-all add: norm-sqrd-matrix-def zero-sqrd-matrix-def op-norm-zero-iff*)  
**by**(*transfer, simp add: norm-sqrd-matrix-def op-norm-scaleR algebra-simps*) +

**end**

**lemma**  $\text{sq-mtx-scaleR-ith}[simp]: (c *_{\mathcal{R}} A) \$\$ i = (c *_{\mathcal{R}} (A \$\$ i))$   
**by**(*unfold scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def, transfer, simp*)

**lemma**  $\text{le-mtx-norm}: m \in \{\|A *_{\mathcal{V}} x\| \mid x. \|x\| = 1\} \implies m \leq \|A\|$   
**using**  $c\text{Sup-upper}[of - \{\|(to\text{-vec } A) *_{\mathcal{V}} x\| \mid x. \|x\| = 1\}]$   
**by** (*simp add: op-norm-set-proptys(2) op-norm-def norm-sqrd-matrix-def sq-mtx-vec-prod.rep-eq*)

**lemma**  $\text{norm-vec-mult-le}: \|A *_{\mathcal{V}} x\| \leq (\|A\|) * (\|x\|)$   
**by** (*simp add: norm-matrix-le-mult-op-norm norm-sqrd-matrix-def sq-mtx-vec-prod.rep-eq*)

**lemma**  $\text{sq-mtx-norm-le-sum-col}: \|A\| \leq (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \|\text{col } i \ A\|)$   
**using**  $\text{op-norm-le-sum-column}[of to\text{-vec } A]$  **apply**(*simp add: norm-sqrd-matrix-def*)  
**by**(*transfer, simp add: op-norm-le-sum-column*)

**lemma**  $\text{norm-le-transpose}: \|A\| \leq \|A^\dagger\|$   
**unfolding**  $\text{norm-sqrd-matrix-def}$  **by** *transfer (rule op-norm-le-transpose)*

**lemma**  $\text{norm-eq-norm-transpose}[simp]: \|A^\dagger\| = \|A\|$   
**using**  $\text{norm-le-transpose}[of A]$  **and**  $\text{norm-le-transpose}[of A^\dagger]$  **by** *simp*

**lemma**  $\text{norm-column-le-norm}: \|A \$\$ i\| \leq \|A\|$   
**using**  $\text{norm-vec-mult-le}[of A^\dagger \ e \ i]$  **by** *simp*

**instantiation**  $\text{sqrd-matrix} :: (\text{finite}) \text{ real-normed-algebra-1}$   
**begin**

**lift-definition**  $\text{one-sqrd-matrix} :: 'a \text{ sqrd-matrix}$  **is**  $\text{sq-mtx-chi } (\text{mat } 1)$  .

**lemma**  $\text{sq-mtx-one-idty}: 1 * A = A \ A * 1 = A$  **for**  $A :: 'a \text{ sqrd-matrix}$

```

by (transfer, transfer, unfold mat-def matrix-matrix-mult-def, simp add: vec-eq-iff)+

lemma sq-mtx-norm-1:  $\|(1::'a \text{ sgrd-matrix})\| = 1$ 
  unfolding one-sgrd-matrix-def norm-sgrd-matrix-def apply (simp add: op-norm-def)
  apply (subst cSup-eq[of - 1])
  using ex-norm-eq-1 by auto

lemma sq-mtx-norm-times:  $\|A * B\| \leq (\|A\|) * (\|B\|)$  for  $A::'a \text{ sgrd-matrix}$ 
  unfolding norm-sgrd-matrix-def times-sgrd-matrix-def by (simp add: op-norm-matrix-matrix-mult-le)

instance apply intro-classes
  apply (simp-all add: sq-mtx-one-idty sq-mtx-norm-1 sq-mtx-norm-times)
  apply (simp-all add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff one-sgrd-matrix-def zero-sgrd-matrix-def
    mat-def)
  by (transfer, simp add: scalar-matrix-assoc matrix-scalar-ac)+

end

lemma sq-mtx-one-vec:  $1 *_V s = s$ 
  by (auto simp: sq-mtx-vec-prod-def one-sgrd-matrix-def
    mat-def vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def)

lemma Cauchy-cols:
  fixes  $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a::\text{finite}) \text{ sgrd-matrix}$ 
  assumes Cauchy  $X$ 
  shows Cauchy  $(\lambda n. \text{col } i (X n))$ 
proof (unfold Cauchy-def dist-norm, clarsimp)
  fix  $\varepsilon::\text{real}$  assume  $\varepsilon > 0$ 
  from this obtain  $M$  where  $M\text{-def}:\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M. \|X m - X n\| < \varepsilon$ 
  using  $\langle \text{Cauchy } X \rangle$  unfolding Cauchy-def by (simp add: dist-sgrd-matrix-def)
blast
  {fix  $m n$  assume  $m \geq M$  and  $n \geq M$ 
    hence  $\varepsilon > \|X m - X n\|$ 
    using  $M\text{-def}$  by blast
    moreover have  $\|X m - X n\| \geq \|(X m - X n) *_V e i\|$ 
    by (rule le-mtx-norm[of -  $X m - X n$ ], force)
    moreover have  $\|(X m - X n) *_V e i\| = \|X m *_V e i - X n *_V e i\|$ 
    by (simp add: mtx-vec-prod-minus-rdistrib)
    moreover have  $\dots = \|\text{col } i (X m) - \text{col } i (X n)\|$ 
    by (simp add: mtx-vec-prod-minus-rdistrib mtx-vec-prod-canon)
    ultimately have  $\|\text{col } i (X m) - \text{col } i (X n)\| < \varepsilon$ 
    by linarith}
  thus  $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M. \|\text{col } i (X m) - \text{col } i (X n)\| < \varepsilon$ 
  by blast
qed

lemma col-convergent:
  assumes  $\forall i. (\lambda n. \text{col } i (X n)) \longrightarrow L \$ i$ 
  shows convergent  $X$ 

```

```

unfolding convergent-def proof(rule-tac x=sq-mtx-chi (transpose L) in exI)
let ?L = sq-mtx-chi (transpose L)
show  $X \longrightarrow ?L$ 
proof(unfold LIMSEQ-def dist-norm, clarsimp)
  fix  $\varepsilon::\text{real}$  assume  $\varepsilon > 0$ 
  let ?a = CARD('a) fix  $\varepsilon::\text{real}$  assume  $\varepsilon > 0$ 
  hence  $\varepsilon / ?a > 0$ 
  by simp
  from this and assms have  $\forall i. \exists N. \forall n \geq N. \|\text{col } i (X n) - L \$ i\| < \varepsilon / ?a$ 
  unfolding LIMSEQ-def dist-norm convergent-def by blast
  then obtain N where  $\forall i. \forall n \geq N. \|\text{col } i (X n) - L \$ i\| < \varepsilon / ?a$ 
  using finite-nat-minimal-witness[of  $\lambda i n. \|\text{col } i (X n) - L \$ i\| < \varepsilon / ?a$ ] by
blast
  also have  $\bigwedge i n. (\text{col } i (X n) - L \$ i) = (\text{col } i (X n - ?L))$ 
  unfolding minus-sqrd-matrix-def by(transfer, simp add: transpose-def vec-eq-iff)
column-def)
  ultimately have N-def: $\forall i. \forall n \geq N. \|\text{col } i (X n - ?L)\| < \varepsilon / ?a$ 
  by auto
  have  $\forall n \geq N. \|X n - ?L\| < \varepsilon$ 
  proof(rule allI, rule impI)
    fix  $n::\text{nat}$  assume  $N \leq n$ 
    hence  $\forall i. \|\text{col } i (X n - ?L)\| < \varepsilon / ?a$ 
    using N-def by blast
    hence  $(\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \|\text{col } i (X n - ?L)\|) < (\sum (i::'a) \in \text{UNIV}. \varepsilon / ?a)$ 
    using sum-strict-mono[of  $\lambda i. \|\text{col } i (X n - ?L)\|$ ] by force
    moreover have  $\|X n - ?L\| \leq (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \|\text{col } i (X n - ?L)\|)$ 
    using sq-mtx-norm-le-sum-col by blast
    moreover have  $(\sum (i::'a) \in \text{UNIV}. \varepsilon / ?a) = \varepsilon$ 
    by force
    ultimately show  $\|X n - ?L\| < \varepsilon$ 
    by linarith
  qed
  thus  $\exists n_0. \forall n \geq n_0. \|X n - ?L\| < \varepsilon$ 
  by blast
qed
qed

instance sqrd-matrix :: (finite) banach
proof(standard)
  fix  $X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$  sqrd-matrix
  assume Cauchy X
  have  $\bigwedge i. \text{Cauchy } (\lambda n. \text{col } i (X n))$ 
  using  $\langle \text{Cauchy } X \rangle$  Cauchy-cols by blast
  hence obs: $\forall i. \exists ! L. (\lambda n. \text{col } i (X n)) \longrightarrow L$ 
  using Cauchy-convergent convergent-def LIMSEQ-unique by fastforce
  define L where  $L = (\chi i. \lim (\lambda n. \text{col } i (X n)))$ 
  from this and obs have  $\forall i. (\lambda n. \text{col } i (X n)) \longrightarrow L \$ i$ 
  using theI-unique[of  $\lambda L. (\lambda n. \text{col } i (X n)) \longrightarrow L \$ i$ ] by (simp add:
lim-def)

```

thus *convergent*  $X$   
 using *col-convergent* by *blast*  
 qed

## 2.5 Flow for squared matrix systems

Finally, we can use the *exp* operation to characterize the general solutions for linear systems of ODEs. After this, we show that IVPs with these systems have a unique solution (using the Picard Lindelof locale) and explicitly write it via the local flow locale.

**lemma** *mtx-vec-prod-has-derivative-mtx-vec-prod:*

assumes  $\bigwedge i j. D (\lambda t. (A \ t) \ \$\$ i \ \$ j) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R (A' \ t) \ \$\$ i \ \$ j)$  (at  $t$  within  $s$ )  
 and  $(\lambda \tau. \tau *_R (A' \ t) *_V x) = g'$   
 shows  $D (\lambda t. A \ t *_V x) \mapsto g'$  at  $t$  within  $s$   
 using *assms*(2) **unfolding** *sq-mtx-vec-mult-sum-cols* **apply** *safe*  
**apply**(*rule-tac*  $f'1 = \lambda i \tau. \tau *_R (x \ \$ i *_R \text{col } i \ (A' \ t))$  in *derivative-eq-intros*(9))  
**apply**(*simp-all* add: *scaleR-right.sum*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac*  $g'1 = \lambda \tau. \tau *_R \text{col } i \ (A' \ t)$  in *derivative-eq-intros*(4), *simp-all* add: *mult.commute*)  
 using *assms* **unfolding** *sq-mtx-col-def* *column-def* **apply**(*transfer*, *simp*)  
**apply**(*rule* *has-derivative-vec-lambda*)  
**by**(*simp* add: *scaleR-vec-def*)

**lemma** *has-derivative-mtx-ith:*

assumes  $D \ A \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_R A' \ x)$  at  $x$  within  $s$   
 shows  $D (\lambda t. A \ t \ \$\$ i) \mapsto (\lambda h. h *_R A' \ x \ \$\$ i)$  at  $x$  within  $s$   
**unfolding** *has-derivative-def* *tendsto-iff* *dist-norm* **apply** *safe*  
**apply**(*force* *simp*: *bounded-linear-def* *bounded-linear-axioms-def*)  
**proof**(*clarsimp*)  
 fix  $\varepsilon :: \text{real}$  **assume**  $0 < \varepsilon$   
 let  $?x = \text{netlimit}$  (at  $x$  within  $s$ ) let  $? \Delta y = y - ?x$  **and**  $? \Delta A y = A \ y - A \ ?x$   
 let  $?P \ e = \lambda y. \text{inverse } |? \Delta y| * (\|? \Delta A \ y - ? \Delta y *_R A' \ x\|) < e$   
 let  $?Q = \lambda y. \text{inverse } |? \Delta y| * (\|A \ y \ \$\$ i - A \ ?x \ \$\$ i - ? \Delta y *_R A' \ x \ \$\$ i\|)$   
 $< \varepsilon$   
**from** *assms* **have**  $\forall e > 0. \text{eventually } (?P \ e)$  (at  $x$  within  $s$ )  
**unfolding** *has-derivative-def* *tendsto-iff* **by** *auto*  
**hence** *eventually*  $(?P \ \varepsilon)$  (at  $x$  within  $s$ )  
**using**  $\langle 0 < \varepsilon \rangle$  **by** *blast*  
**thus** *eventually*  $?Q$  (at  $x$  within  $s$ )  
**proof**(*rule-tac*  $P = ?P \ \varepsilon$  in *eventually-mono*, *simp-all*)  
 let  $?u \ y \ i = A \ y \ \$\$ i - A \ ?x \ \$\$ i - ? \Delta y *_R A' \ x \ \$\$ i$   
**fix**  $y$  **assume** *hyp*:  $\text{inverse } |? \Delta y| * (\|? \Delta A \ y - ? \Delta y *_R A' \ x\|) < \varepsilon$   
**have**  $\|?u \ y \ i\| = \|(? \Delta A \ y - ? \Delta y *_R A' \ x) \ \$\$ i\|$   
**by** *simp*  
**also** **have**  $\dots \leq (\|? \Delta A \ y - ? \Delta y *_R A' \ x\|)$   
**using** *norm-column-le-norm* **by** *blast*  
**ultimately** **have**  $\|?u \ y \ i\| \leq \|? \Delta A \ y - ? \Delta y *_R A' \ x\|$

```

    by linarith
  hence inverse |?Δ y| * (||?u y i||) ≤ inverse |?Δ y| * (||?Δ A y - ?Δ y *_R
A' x||)
    by (simp add: mult-left-mono)
  thus inverse |?Δ y| * (||?u y i||) < ε
    using hyp by linarith
qed
qed

lemma exp-has-vderiv-on-linear:
  fixes A::('a::finite) sqrd-matrix
  shows D (λt. exp ((t - t0) *_R A) *_V x0) = (λt. A *_V (exp ((t - t0) *_R A) *_V
x0)) on T
  unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def apply clarsimp
  apply (rule-tac A'=λt. A * exp ((t - t0) *_R A) in mtx-vec-prod-has-derivative-mtx-vec-prod)
  apply (rule has-derivative-vec-nth)
  apply (rule has-derivative-mtx-ith)
  apply (rule-tac f'=id in exp-scaleR-has-derivative-right)
  apply (rule-tac f'1=id and g'1=λx. 0 in derivative-eq-intros(11))
  apply (rule derivative-eq-intros)
  by (simp-all add: fun-eq-iff exp-times-scaleR-commute sq-mtx-times-vec-assoc)

end
theory hs-prelims-dyn-sys
  imports hs-prelims

begin

```

## 2.6 Dynamical Systems

### 2.6.1 Initial value problems and orbits

**definition**  $ivp\text{-}sols\ f\ T\ S\ t_0\ s = \{X \mid X. (D\ X = (\lambda t. f\ t\ (X\ t))\ on\ T) \wedge X\ t_0 = s \wedge X \in T \rightarrow S\}$

**lemma**  $ivp\text{-}solsI$ :  
 assumes  $D\ X = (\lambda t. f\ t\ (X\ t))\ on\ T\ X\ t_0 = s\ X \in T \rightarrow S$   
 shows  $X \in ivp\text{-}sols\ f\ T\ S\ t_0\ s$   
 using *assms* **unfolding**  $ivp\text{-}sols\text{-}def$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $ivp\text{-}solsD$ :  
 assumes  $X \in ivp\text{-}sols\ f\ T\ S\ t_0\ s$   
 shows  $D\ X = (\lambda t. f\ t\ (X\ t))\ on\ T$   
     and  $X\ t_0 = s$  and  $X \in T \rightarrow S$   
 using *assms* **unfolding**  $ivp\text{-}sols\text{-}def$  **by** *auto*

**abbreviation**  $guards :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (real \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (real\ set) \Rightarrow bool\ (-\triangleright\ -\ -\ [70,\ 65]\ 61)$   
 where  $G \triangleright X\ T \equiv \forall \tau \in T. G\ (X\ \tau)$

**abbreviation**  $\text{down } T \ t \equiv \{t' \in T. t' \leq t\}$

**definition**  $g\text{-orbital} :: (('a::\text{real-normed-vector}) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{real set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$   
**where**  $g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s = \bigcup \{\{x \ t | t. t \in T \wedge G \triangleright x \ (\text{down } T \ t)\} | x. x \in \text{ivp-sols } (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s\}$

**lemma**  $g\text{-orbital-eq}$ :  $g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s =$   
 $\{x \ t | t \ x. t \in T \wedge (D \ x = (f \circ x) \text{ on } T) \wedge x \ t_0 = s \wedge x \in T \rightarrow S \wedge G \triangleright x$   
 $(\text{down } T \ t)\}$   
**unfolding**  $g\text{-orbital-def ivp-sols-def by auto}$

**lemma**  $g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s = (\bigcup_{x \in \text{ivp-sols } (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s. \{x \ t | t. t \in T \wedge G \triangleright x \ (\text{down } T \ t)\}})$   
**unfolding**  $g\text{-orbital-def ivp-sols-def by auto}$

**lemma**  $g\text{-orbitalI}$ :  
**assumes**  $D \ x = (f \circ x) \text{ on } T \ x \ t_0 = s \ x \in T \rightarrow S$   
**and**  $t \in T$  **and**  $G \triangleright x \ (\text{down } T \ t)$   
**shows**  $x \ t \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**using** *assms* **unfolding**  $g\text{-orbital-eq by auto}$

**lemma**  $g\text{-orbitalE}$ :  
**assumes**  $s' \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**shows**  $\exists \ x \ t. x \in \text{ivp-sols } (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s \wedge x \ t = s' \wedge t \in T \wedge G \triangleright x \ (\text{down } T \ t)$   
**using** *assms* **unfolding**  $g\text{-orbital-def ivp-sols-def by auto}$

**lemma**  $g\text{-orbitalD}$ :  
**assumes**  $s' \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**obtains**  $x$  **and**  $t$  **where**  $x \in \text{ivp-sols } (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**and**  $D \ x = (f \circ x) \text{ on } T \ x \ t_0 = s \ x \in T \rightarrow S$   
**and**  $x \ t = s'$  **and**  $t \in T$  **and**  $G \triangleright x \ (\text{down } T \ t)$   
**using** *assms* **unfolding**  $g\text{-orbital-def ivp-sols-def by auto}$

## 2.6.2 Differential Invariants

**definition**  $\text{diff-invariant} :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (('a::\text{real-normed-vector}) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{real set} \Rightarrow$   
 $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ ((-)/ \text{ is'-diff'-invariant'-of } (-)/ \text{ along } (-) / \text{ from } (-)$   
 $[70,65]61)$   
**where**  $I \text{ is-diff-invariant-of } f \text{ along } T \ S \text{ from } t_0 \equiv$   
 $(\forall s \in S. I \ s \longrightarrow (\forall x. x \in \text{ivp-sols } (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. I \ (x \ t))))$

**lemma**  $\text{invariant-to-set}$ :  $(I \text{ is-diff-invariant-of } f \text{ along } T \ S \text{ from } t_0) =$   
 $(\forall s \in S. I \ s \longrightarrow (g\text{-orbital } f \ (\lambda s. \text{True}) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s) \subseteq \{s. I \ s\})$   
**unfolding**  $\text{diff-invariant-def ivp-sols-def } g\text{-orbital-eq apply safe}$

```

apply(erule-tac x=xa t0 in ballE)
apply(drule mp, simp-all)
apply(erule-tac x=X t0 in ballE)
apply(drule mp, simp-all add: subset-eq)
apply(erule-tac x=X t in allE)
by(drule mp, auto)

```

Finally, we obtain some conditions to prove specific instances of differential invariants.

**named-theorems** *diff-invariant-rules compilation of rules for differential invariants.*

**lemma** [diff-invariant-rules]:

```

fixes  $\vartheta::'a::\text{banach} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
assumes Thyp: is-interval  $T\ t_0 \in T$ 
and  $\forall x. (D\ x = (\lambda\tau. f\ (x\ \tau))\ \text{on}\ T) \longrightarrow (D\ (\lambda\tau. \vartheta\ (x\ \tau) - \nu\ (x\ \tau)) = ((*_R\ 0)\ \text{on}\ T))$ 
shows  $(\lambda s. \vartheta\ s = \nu\ s)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $f$  along  $T\ S$  from  $t_0$ 
proof(simp add: diff-invariant-def ivp-sols-def, clarsimp)
fix  $x\ \tau$  assume tHyp:  $\tau \in T$  and x-ivp:  $D\ x = (\lambda\tau. f\ (x\ \tau))\ \text{on}\ T$   $\vartheta\ (x\ t_0) = \nu\ (x\ t_0)$ 
hence obs1:  $\forall t \in T. D\ (\lambda\tau. \vartheta\ (x\ \tau) - \nu\ (x\ \tau)) \mapsto (\lambda\tau. \tau *_R\ 0)$  at  $t$  within  $T$ 
using assms by (auto simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
have obs2:  $\{t_0--\tau\} \subseteq T$ 
using closed-segment-subset-interval tHyp Thyp by blast
hence  $D\ (\lambda\tau. \vartheta\ (x\ \tau) - \nu\ (x\ \tau)) = (\lambda\tau. \tau *_R\ 0)$  on  $\{t_0--\tau\}$ 
using obs1 x-ivp by (auto intro!: has-derivative-subset[OF - obs2]
  simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def)
then obtain  $t$  where  $t \in \{t_0--\tau\}$  and  $\vartheta\ (x\ \tau) - \nu\ (x\ \tau) - (\vartheta\ (x\ t_0) - \nu\ (x\ t_0)) = (\tau - t_0) *_R\ 0$ 
using mvt-very-simple-closed-segmentE by blast
thus  $\vartheta\ (x\ \tau) = \nu\ (x\ \tau)$ 
by (simp add: x-ivp(2))
qed

```

**lemma** [diff-invariant-rules]:

```

fixes  $\vartheta::'a::\text{banach} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
assumes Thyp: is-interval  $T\ t_0 \in T$ 
and  $\forall x. (D\ x = (\lambda\tau. f\ (x\ \tau))\ \text{on}\ T) \longrightarrow (\forall \tau \in T. (\tau > t_0 \longrightarrow \vartheta'\ (x\ \tau) \geq \nu'\ (x\ \tau)) \wedge$ 
 $(\tau < t_0 \longrightarrow \vartheta'\ (x\ \tau) \leq \nu'\ (x\ \tau))) \wedge (D\ (\lambda\tau. \vartheta\ (x\ \tau) - \nu\ (x\ \tau)) = (\lambda\tau. \vartheta'\ (x\ \tau) - \nu'\ (x\ \tau))\ \text{on}\ T))$ 
shows  $(\lambda s. \nu\ s \leq \vartheta\ s)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $f$  along  $T\ S$  from  $t_0$ 
proof(simp add: diff-invariant-def ivp-sols-def, clarsimp)
fix  $x\ \tau$  assume  $\tau \in T$  and x-ivp:  $D\ x = (\lambda\tau. f\ (x\ \tau))\ \text{on}\ T$   $\nu\ (x\ t_0) \leq \vartheta\ (x\ t_0)$ 
{assume  $\tau \neq t_0$ 
hence primed:  $\bigwedge \tau. \tau \in T \Longrightarrow \tau > t_0 \Longrightarrow \vartheta'\ (x\ \tau) \geq \nu'\ (x\ \tau)$ 
 $\bigwedge \tau. \tau \in T \Longrightarrow \tau < t_0 \Longrightarrow \vartheta'\ (x\ \tau) \leq \nu'\ (x\ \tau)$ 
using x-ivp assms by auto

```

**have** *obs1*:  $\forall t \in T. D (\lambda \tau. \vartheta (x \tau) - \nu (x \tau)) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R (\vartheta' (x t) - \nu' (x t)))$   
*at t within T*  
**using** *assms x-ivp* **by** (*auto simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def*)  
**have** *obs2*:  $\{t_0 < \tau < \tau\} \subseteq T \{t_0 < \tau\} \subseteq T$   
**using**  $\langle \tau \in T \rangle$  *Thyp*  $\langle \tau \neq t_0 \rangle$  **by** (*auto simp: convex-contains-open-segment is-interval-convex-1 closed-segment-subset-interval*)  
**hence**  $D (\lambda \tau. \vartheta (x \tau) - \nu (x \tau)) = (\lambda \tau. \vartheta' (x \tau) - \nu' (x \tau))$  *on*  $\{t_0 < \tau\}$   
**using** *obs1 x-ivp* **by** (*auto intro!: has-derivative-subset[OF - obs2(2)] simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def*)  
**then obtain t where**  $t \in \{t_0 < \tau\}$  **and**  
 $(\vartheta (x \tau) - \nu (x \tau)) - (\vartheta (x t_0) - \nu (x t_0)) = (\lambda \tau. \tau * (\vartheta' (x t) - \nu' (x t)))$   
 $(\tau - t_0)$   
**using** *mt-simple-closed-segmentE*  $\langle \tau \neq t_0 \rangle$  **by** *blast*  
**hence** *mt*:  $\vartheta (x \tau) - \nu (x \tau) = (\tau - t_0) * (\vartheta' (x t) - \nu' (x t)) + (\vartheta (x t_0) - \nu (x t_0))$   
*by force*  
**have**  $\tau > t_0 \implies t > t_0 \wedge t_0 \leq \tau \implies t < t_0 \wedge t \in T$   
**using**  $\langle t \in \{t_0 < \tau\} \rangle$  *obs2* **unfolding** *open-segment-eq-real-ivl* **by** *auto*  
**moreover have**  $t > t_0 \implies (\vartheta' (x t) - \nu' (x t)) \geq 0 \wedge t < t_0 \implies (\vartheta' (x t) - \nu' (x t)) \leq 0$   
**using** *primed(1,2)[OF t ∈ T]* **by** *auto*  
**ultimately have**  $(\tau - t_0) * (\vartheta' (x t) - \nu' (x t)) \geq 0$   
**apply** (*case-tac*  $\tau \geq t_0$ ) **by** (*force, auto simp: split-mult-pos-le*)  
**hence**  $(\tau - t_0) * (\vartheta' (x t) - \nu' (x t)) + (\vartheta (x t_0) - \nu (x t_0)) \geq 0$   
**using** *x-ivp(2)* **by** *auto*  
**hence**  $\nu (x \tau) \leq \vartheta (x \tau)$   
**using** *mt* **by** *simp*  
**thus**  $\nu (x \tau) \leq \vartheta (x \tau)$   
**using** *x-ivp* **by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** [*diff-invariant-rules*]:

**fixes**  $\vartheta :: 'a :: \text{banach} \Rightarrow \text{real}$   
**assumes** *Thyp*: *is-interval*  $T \ t_0 \in T$   
**and**  $\forall x. (D x = (\lambda \tau. f (x \tau))) \text{ on } T \longrightarrow (\forall \tau \in T. (\tau > t_0 \longrightarrow \vartheta' (x \tau) \geq \nu' (x \tau)) \wedge$   
 $(\tau < t_0 \longrightarrow \vartheta' (x \tau) \leq \nu' (x \tau))) \wedge (D (\lambda \tau. \vartheta (x \tau) - \nu (x \tau)) = (\lambda \tau. \vartheta' (x \tau) - \nu' (x \tau))) \text{ on } T$   
**shows**  $(\lambda s. \nu s < \vartheta s)$  *is-diff-invariant-of f along T S from t<sub>0</sub>*  
**proof** (*simp add: diff-invariant-def ivp-sols-def, clarsimp*)  
**fix**  $x \ \tau$  **assume**  $\tau \in T$  **and** *x-ivp*:  $D x = (\lambda \tau. f (x \tau)) \text{ on } T$   $\nu (x t_0) < \vartheta (x t_0)$   
**{assume**  $\tau \neq t_0$   
**hence** *primed*:  $\bigwedge \tau. \tau \in T \implies \tau > t_0 \implies \vartheta' (x \tau) \geq \nu' (x \tau)$   
 $\bigwedge \tau. \tau \in T \implies \tau < t_0 \implies \vartheta' (x \tau) \leq \nu' (x \tau)$   
**using** *x-ivp* *assms* **by** *auto*  
**have** *obs1*:  $\forall t \in T. D (\lambda \tau. \vartheta (x \tau) - \nu (x \tau)) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R (\vartheta' (x t) - \nu' (x t)))$   
*at t within T*  
**using** *assms x-ivp* **by** (*auto simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def*)  
**have** *obs2*:  $\{t_0 < \tau < \tau\} \subseteq T \{t_0 < \tau\} \subseteq T$



**using**  $\langle \tau \in T \rangle$  *Thyp*  $\langle \tau \neq t_0 \rangle$  **by** (*auto simp: convex-contains-open-segment*  
*is-interval-convex-1 closed-segment-subset-interval*)  
**hence**  $D(\lambda \tau. \vartheta(x \tau) - \nu(x \tau)) = (\lambda \tau. \vartheta'(x \tau) - \nu'(x \tau))$  *on*  $\{t_0 \dots \tau\}$   
**using** *obs1 x-ivp* **by** (*auto intro!: has-derivative-subset[OF - obs2(2)]*  
*simp: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def*)  
**then obtain**  $t$  **where**  $t \in \{t_0 < \dots < \tau\}$  **and**  
 $(\vartheta(x \tau) - \nu(x \tau)) - (\vartheta(x t_0) - \nu(x t_0)) = (\lambda \tau. \tau * (\vartheta'(x t) - \nu'(x t)))$   
 $(\tau - t_0)$   
**using** *mvt-simple-closed-segmentE*  $\langle \tau \neq t_0 \rangle$  **by** *blast*  
**hence** *mvt*:  $\vartheta(x \tau) - \nu(x \tau) = (\tau - t_0) * (\vartheta'(x t) - \nu'(x t)) + (\vartheta(x t_0) - \nu(x t_0))$   
**by** *force*  
**have**  $\tau > t_0 \implies t > t_0 \neg t_0 \leq \tau \implies t < t_0 \ t \in T$   
**using**  $\langle t \in \{t_0 < \dots < \tau\} \rangle$  *obs2* **unfolding** *open-segment-eq-real-ivl* **by** *auto*  
**moreover have**  $t > t_0 \implies (\vartheta'(x t) - \nu'(x t)) \geq 0 \ t < t_0 \implies (\vartheta'(x t) - \nu'(x t)) \leq 0$   
**using** *primed(1,2)[OF t ∈ T]* **by** *auto*  
**ultimately have**  $(\tau - t_0) * (\vartheta'(x t) - \nu'(x t)) \geq 0$   
**apply** (*case-tac*  $\tau \geq t_0$ ) **by** (*force, auto simp: split-mult-pos-le*)  
**hence**  $(\tau - t_0) * (\vartheta'(x t) - \nu'(x t)) + (\vartheta(x t_0) - \nu(x t_0)) > 0$   
**using** *x-ivp(2)* **by** *auto*  
**hence**  $\nu(x \tau) < \vartheta(x \tau)$   
**using** *mvt* **by** *simp*  
**thus**  $\nu(x \tau) < \vartheta(x \tau)$   
**using** *x-ivp* **by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** [*diff-invariant-rules*]:  
**assumes**  $I_1$  *is-diff-invariant-of*  $f$  *along*  $T \ S$  *from*  $t_0$   
**and**  $I_2$  *is-diff-invariant-of*  $f$  *along*  $T \ S$  *from*  $t_0$   
**shows**  $(\lambda s. I_1 \ s \wedge I_2 \ s)$  *is-diff-invariant-of*  $f$  *along*  $T \ S$  *from*  $t_0$   
**using** *assms* **unfolding** *diff-invariant-def* **by** *auto*

**lemma** [*diff-invariant-rules*]:  
**assumes**  $I_1$  *is-diff-invariant-of*  $f$  *along*  $T \ S$  *from*  $t_0$   
**and**  $I_2$  *is-diff-invariant-of*  $f$  *along*  $T \ S$  *from*  $t_0$   
**shows**  $(\lambda s. I_1 \ s \vee I_2 \ s)$  *is-diff-invariant-of*  $f$  *along*  $T \ S$  *from*  $t_0$   
**using** *assms* **unfolding** *diff-invariant-def* **by** *auto*

### 2.6.3 Picard-Lindelof

The next locale makes explicit the conditions for applying the Picard-Lindelof theorem. This guarantees a unique solution for every initial value problem represented with a vector field  $f$  and an initial time  $t_0$ . It is mostly a simplified reformulation of the approach taken by the people who created the Ordinary Differential Equations entry in the AFP.

**locale** *picard-lindelof* =  
**fixes**  $f::\text{real} \Rightarrow ('a::\{\text{heine-borel}, \text{banach}\}) \Rightarrow 'a$  **and**  $T::\text{real set}$  **and**  $S::'a \text{ set}$

```

and  $t_0::real$ 
  assumes init-time:  $t_0 \in T$ 
    and cont-vec-field:  $\forall s \in S. \text{continuous-on } T (\lambda t. f\ t\ s)$ 
    and lipschitz-vec-field: local-lipschitz  $T\ S\ f$ 
    and interval-time: is-interval  $T$ 
    and open-domain: open  $T\ \text{open } S$ 
begin

sublocale ll-on-open-it  $T\ f\ S\ t_0$ 
  by (unfold-locales) (auto simp: cont-vec-field lipschitz-vec-field interval-time open-domain)

lemmas subintervalI = closed-segment-subset-domain

lemma subintervalD:
  assumes  $\{t_1--t_2\} \subseteq T$ 
  shows  $t_1 \in T$  and  $t_2 \in T$ 
  using assms by auto

lemma csols-eq:  $csols\ t_0\ s = \{(X, t). t \in T \wedge X \in ivp\text{-sols}\ f\ \{t_0--t\}\ S\ t_0\ s\}$ 
  unfolding ivp-sols-def csols-def solves-ode-def using subintervalI[OF init-time]
by auto

abbreviation ex-ivl  $s \equiv \text{existence-ivl}\ t_0\ s$ 

lemma unique-solution:
  assumes xivp:  $D\ X = (\lambda t. f\ t\ (X\ t))$  on  $\{t_0--t\}$   $X\ t_0 = s$   $X \in \{t_0--t\} \rightarrow S$ 
and  $t \in T$ 
    and yivp:  $D\ Y = (\lambda t. f\ t\ (Y\ t))$  on  $\{t_0--t\}$   $Y\ t_0 = s$   $Y \in \{t_0--t\} \rightarrow S$  and
     $s \in S$ 
  shows  $X\ t = Y\ t$ 
proof–
  have  $(X, t) \in csols\ t_0\ s$ 
    using xivp  $\langle t \in T \rangle$  unfolding csols-eq ivp-sols-def by auto
  hence ivl-fact:  $\{t_0--t\} \subseteq \text{ex-ivl}\ s$ 
    unfolding existence-ivl-def by auto
  have obs:  $\bigwedge z\ T'. t_0 \in T' \wedge \text{is-interval } T' \wedge T' \subseteq \text{ex-ivl}\ s \wedge (z\ \text{solves-ode}\ f)\ T'$ 
 $S \implies$ 
     $z\ t_0 = \text{flow}\ t_0\ s\ t_0 \implies (\forall t \in T'. z\ t = \text{flow}\ t_0\ s\ t)$ 
    using flow-usolves-ode[OF init-time  $\langle s \in S \rangle$ ] unfolding usolves-ode-from-def
by blast
  have  $\forall \tau \in \{t_0--t\}. X\ \tau = \text{flow}\ t_0\ s\ \tau$ 
    using obs  $[of\ \{t_0--t\}\ X]$  xivp ivl-fact flow-initial-time  $[OF\ \text{init-time}\ \langle s \in S \rangle]$ 
    unfolding solves-ode-def by simp
  also have  $\forall \tau \in \{t_0--t\}. Y\ \tau = \text{flow}\ t_0\ s\ \tau$ 
    using obs  $[of\ \{t_0--t\}\ Y]$  yivp ivl-fact flow-initial-time  $[OF\ \text{init-time}\ \langle s \in S \rangle]$ 
    unfolding solves-ode-def by simp
  ultimately show  $X\ t = Y\ t$ 
    by auto

```

qed

**lemma** *solution-eq-flow*:

**assumes** *xivp*:  $D\ X = (\lambda t. f\ t\ (X\ t))$  on *ex-ivl*  $s\ X\ t_0 = s\ X \in \text{ex-ivl}\ s \rightarrow S$   
**and**  $t \in \text{ex-ivl}\ s$  **and**  $s \in S$   
**shows**  $X\ t = \text{flow}\ t_0\ s\ t$

**proof**–

**have** *obs*:  $\bigwedge z\ T'.\ t_0 \in T' \wedge \text{is-interval}\ T' \wedge T' \subseteq \text{ex-ivl}\ s \wedge (z\ \text{solves-ode}\ f)\ T'\ S \implies$

$z\ t_0 = \text{flow}\ t_0\ s\ t_0 \implies (\forall t \in T'.\ z\ t = \text{flow}\ t_0\ s\ t)$

**using** *flow-usolves-ode*[*OF init-time*  $\langle s \in S \rangle$ ] **unfolding** *usolves-ode-from-def*

**by** *blast*

**have**  $\forall \tau \in \text{ex-ivl}\ s.\ X\ \tau = \text{flow}\ t_0\ s\ \tau$

**using** *obs*[*of ex-ivl*  $s\ X$ ] *existence-ivl-initial-time*[*OF init-time*  $\langle s \in S \rangle$ ]

*xivp flow-initial-time*[*OF init-time*  $\langle s \in S \rangle$ ] **unfolding** *solves-ode-def* **by** *simp*

**thus**  $X\ t = \text{flow}\ t_0\ s\ t$

**by** (*auto simp*:  $\langle t \in \text{ex-ivl}\ s \rangle$ )

qed

end

#### 2.6.4 Flows for ODEs

This locale is a particular case of the previous one. It makes the unique solution for initial value problems explicit, it restricts the vector field to reflect autonomous systems (those that do not depend explicitly on time), and it sets the initial time equal to 0. This is the first step towards formalizing the flow of a differential equation, i.e. the function that maps every point to the unique trajectory tangent to the vector field.

**locale** *local-flow* = *picard-lindelof*  $(\lambda t. f)\ T\ S\ 0$

**for**  $f :: ('a :: \{\text{heine-borel}, \text{banach}\}) \Rightarrow 'a$  **and**  $T\ S\ L$

**fixes**  $\varphi :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$

**assumes** *ivp*:  $\bigwedge t\ s.\ t \in T \implies s \in S \implies (D\ (\lambda t. \varphi\ t\ s) = (\lambda t. f\ (\varphi\ t\ s)))$  on  $\{0 \dots t\}$

$\bigwedge s.\ s \in S \implies \varphi\ 0\ s = s$

$\bigwedge t\ s.\ t \in T \implies s \in S \implies (\lambda t. \varphi\ t\ s) \in \{0 \dots t\} \rightarrow S$

**begin**

**abbreviation** *g-orbit* ::  $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set}\ (\gamma^\varphi_{\text{Guard}})$

**where**  $\gamma^\varphi_{\text{Guard}}\ G\ s \equiv \text{g-orbital}\ f\ G\ T\ S\ 0\ s$

**definition** *orbit*  $s = \gamma^\varphi_{\text{Guard}}\ (\lambda s. \text{True})\ s$

**notation** *orbit*  $(\gamma^\varphi)$

**lemma** *in-ivp-sols-ivl*:

**assumes**  $t \in T\ s \in S$

**shows**  $(\lambda t. \varphi\ t\ s) \in \text{ivp-sols}\ (\lambda t. f)\ \{0 \dots t\}\ S\ 0\ s$

**apply**(*rule ivp-solsI*)  
**using** *ivp-assms* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *ex-ivl-eq*:  
**assumes**  $s \in S$   
**shows**  $\text{ex-ivl } s = T$   
**using** *existence-ivl-subset*[*of s*] **apply** *safe*  
**unfolding** *existence-ivl-def csols-eq*  
**using** *in-ivp-sols-ivl*[*OF - assms*] **by** *blast*

**lemma** *in-domain*:  
**assumes**  $s \in S$   
**shows**  $(\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) \in T \rightarrow S$   
**unfolding** *ex-ivl-eq*[*symmetric*] *existence-ivl-def*  
**using** *local.mem-existence-ivl-subset ivp(3)*[*OF - assms*] **by** *blast*

**lemma** *has-derivative-on-open1*:  
**assumes**  $t > 0 \ t \in T \ s \in S$   
**obtains**  $B$  **where**  $t \in B$  **and** *open*  $B$  **and**  $B \subseteq T$   
**and**  $D \ (\lambda \tau. \varphi \ \tau \ s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_{\mathbb{R}} f \ (\varphi \ t \ s))$  *at*  $t$  *within*  $B$   
**proof**–  
**obtain**  $r :: \text{real}$  **where** *rHyp*:  $r > 0 \ \text{ball } t \ r \subseteq T$   
**using** *open-contains-ball-eq open-domain(1)*  $\langle t \in T \rangle$  **by** *blast*  
**moreover** **have**  $t + r/2 > 0$   
**using**  $\langle r > 0 \rangle \ \langle t > 0 \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
**moreover** **have**  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t\} \subseteq T$   
**using** *subintervalI*[*OF init-time*]  $\langle t \in T \rangle$  .  
**ultimately** **have** *subs*:  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t + r/2\} \subseteq T$   
**unfolding** *abs-le-eq abs-le-eq real-ivl-eqs*[*OF*  $\langle t > 0 \rangle$ ] *real-ivl-eqs*[*OF*  $\langle t + r/2 > 0 \rangle$ ]  
**by** *clarify* (*case-tac*  $t < x$ , *simp-all* *add*: *cball-def ball-def dist-norm subset-eq field-simps*)  
**have**  $t + r/2 \in T$   
**using** *rHyp* **unfolding** *real-ivl-eqs*[*OF rHyp(1)*] **by** (*simp add*: *subset-eq*)  
**hence**  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t + r/2\} \subseteq T$   
**using** *subintervalI*[*OF init-time*] **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $(D \ (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)))$  *on*  $\{0 \dashv\dashv (t + r/2)\}$   
**using** *ivp(1)*[*OF -*  $\langle s \in S \rangle$ ] **by** *auto*  
**hence** *vderiv*:  $(D \ (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)))$  *on*  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t + r/2\}$   
**apply**(*rule has-vderiv-on-subset*)  
**unfolding** *real-ivl-eqs*[*OF*  $\langle t + r/2 > 0 \rangle$ ] **by** *auto*  
**have**  $t \in \{0 \dashv\dashv t + r/2\}$   
**unfolding** *real-ivl-eqs*[*OF*  $\langle t + r/2 > 0 \rangle$ ] **using** *rHyp*  $\langle t > 0 \rangle$  **by** *simp*  
**moreover** **have**  $D \ (\lambda \tau. \varphi \ \tau \ s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_{\mathbb{R}} f \ (\varphi \ t \ s))$  (*at*  $t$  *within*  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t + r/2\}$ )  
**using** *vderiv calculation* **unfolding** *has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def*  
**by** *blast*  
**moreover** **have** *open*  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t + r/2\}$   
**unfolding** *real-ivl-eqs*[*OF*  $\langle t + r/2 > 0 \rangle$ ] **by** *simp*

ultimately show ?thesis  
 using subs that by blast  
 qed

lemma has-derivative-on-open2:

assumes  $t < 0$   $t \in T$   $s \in S$   
 obtains  $B$  where  $t \in B$  and open  $B$  and  $B \subseteq T$   
 and  $D (\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f (\varphi t s))$  at  $t$  within  $B$   
 proof—  
 obtain  $r :: \text{real}$  where  $rHyp: r > 0$  ball  $t r \subseteq T$   
 using open-contains-ball-eq open-domain(1)  $\langle t \in T \rangle$  by blast  
 moreover have  $t - r/2 < 0$   
 using  $\langle r > 0 \rangle \langle t < 0 \rangle$  by auto  
 moreover have  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t\} \subseteq T$   
 using subintervalI[OF init-time  $\langle t \in T \rangle$ ] .  
 ultimately have subs:  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t - r/2\} \subseteq T$   
 unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl closed-segment-eq-real-ivl  
 real-ivl-eqs[OF  $rHyp(1)$ ] by (auto simp: subset-eq)  
 have  $t - r/2 \in T$   
 using  $rHyp$  unfolding real-ivl-eqs by (simp add: subset-eq)  
 hence  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t - r/2\} \subseteq T$   
 using subintervalI[OF init-time] by blast  
 hence  $(D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)))$  on  $\{0 \dashv\dashv (t - r/2)\}$   
 using ivp(1)[OF -  $\langle s \in S \rangle$ ] by auto  
 hence  $vderiv: (D (\lambda t. \varphi t s) = (\lambda t. f (\varphi t s)))$  on  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t - r/2\}$   
 apply(rule has-vderiv-on-subset)  
 unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl closed-segment-eq-real-ivl by auto  
 have  $t \in \{0 \dashv\dashv t - r/2\}$   
 unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl using  $rHyp \langle t < 0 \rangle$  by simp  
 moreover have  $D (\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f (\varphi t s))$  (at  $t$  within  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t - r/2\}$ )  
 using vderiv calculation unfolding has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def  
 by blast  
 moreover have open  $\{0 \dashv\dashv t - r/2\}$   
 unfolding open-segment-eq-real-ivl by simp  
 ultimately show ?thesis  
 using subs that by blast  
 qed

lemma has-derivative-on-open3:

assumes  $s \in S$   
 obtains  $B$  where  $0 \in B$  and open  $B$  and  $B \subseteq T$   
 and  $D (\lambda \tau. \varphi \tau s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f (\varphi 0 s))$  at  $0$  within  $B$   
 proof—  
 obtain  $r :: \text{real}$  where  $rHyp: r > 0$  ball  $0 r \subseteq T$   
 using open-contains-ball-eq open-domain(1) init-time by blast  
 hence  $r/2 \in T$   $-r/2 \in T$   $r/2 > 0$   
 unfolding real-ivl-eqs by auto  
 hence subs:  $\{0 \dashv\dashv r/2\} \subseteq T$   $\{0 \dashv\dashv (-r/2)\} \subseteq T$

using *subintervalI*[*OF init-time*] **by** *auto*  
 hence  $(D (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \text{ on } \{0--r/2\})$   
 $(D (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \text{ on } \{0--(-r/2)\})$   
 using *ivp(1)*[*OF -  $\langle s \in S \rangle$* ] **by** *auto*  
 also have  $\{0--r/2\} = \{0--r/2\} \cup \text{closure } \{0--r/2\} \cap \text{closure } \{0--(-r/2)\}$   
 $\{0--(-r/2)\} = \{0--(-r/2)\} \cup \text{closure } \{0--r/2\} \cap \text{closure } \{0--(-r/2)\}$   
 unfolding *closed-segment-eq-real-ivl*  $\langle r/2 > 0 \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
 ultimately have *vderivs*:  
 $(D (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \text{ on } \{0--r/2\} \cup \text{closure } \{0--r/2\} \cap \text{closure } \{0--(-r/2)\})$   
 $(D (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \text{ on } \{0--(-r/2)\} \cup \text{closure } \{0--r/2\} \cap \text{closure } \{0--(-r/2)\})$   
 unfolding *closed-segment-eq-real-ivl*  $\langle r/2 > 0 \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
 have *obs*:  $0 \in \{-r/2 <--< r/2\}$   
 unfolding *open-segment-eq-real-ivl* using  $\langle r/2 > 0 \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
 have *union*:  $\{-r/2--r/2\} = \{0--r/2\} \cup \{0--(-r/2)\}$   
 unfolding *closed-segment-eq-real-ivl* **by** *auto*  
 hence  $(D (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \text{ on } \{-r/2--r/2\})$   
 using *has-vderiv-on-union*[*OF vderivs*] **by** *simp*  
 hence  $(D (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \text{ on } \{-r/2 <--< r/2\})$   
 using *has-vderiv-on-subset*[*OF - segment-open-subset-closed*[*of*  $-r/2 \ r/2$ ]] **by** *auto*  
 hence  $D (\lambda \tau. \varphi \ \tau \ s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f \ (\varphi \ 0 \ s)) \text{ (at } 0 \text{ within } \{-r/2 <--< r/2\})$   
 unfolding *has-vderiv-on-def* *has-vector-derivative-def* using *obs* **by** *blast*  
 moreover have *open*  $\{-r/2 <--< r/2\}$   
 unfolding *open-segment-eq-real-ivl* **by** *simp*  
 moreover have  $\{-r/2 <--< r/2\} \subseteq T$   
 using *subs union segment-open-subset-closed* **by** *blast*  
 ultimately show *?thesis*  
 using *obs that* **by** *blast*  
 qed

lemma *has-derivative-on-open*:

assumes  $t \in T \ s \in S$   
 obtains *B* where  $t \in B$  and *open* *B* and  $B \subseteq T$   
 and  $D (\lambda \tau. \varphi \ \tau \ s) \mapsto (\lambda \tau. \tau *_R f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \text{ at } t \text{ within } B$   
 apply(*subgoal-tac*  $t < 0 \vee t = 0 \vee t > 0$ )  
 using *has-derivative-on-open1*[*OF - assms*] *has-derivative-on-open2*[*OF - assms*]  
*has-derivative-on-open3*[*OF  $\langle s \in S \rangle$* ] **by** *blast force*

lemma *has-vderiv-on-domain*:

assumes  $s \in S$   
 shows  $D (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) = (\lambda t. f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \text{ on } T$   
 proof(*unfold has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def, clarsimp*)  
 fix *t* assume  $t \in T$   
 then obtain *B* where  $t \in B$  and *open* *B* and  $B \subseteq T$   
 and *Dhyp*:  $D (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) \mapsto (\lambda x a. x a *_R f \ (\varphi \ t \ s)) \text{ at } t \text{ within } B$   
 using *assms has-derivative-on-open*[*OF  $\langle t \in T \rangle$* ] **by** *blast*  
 hence *t* *in interior* *B*

using *interior-eq* by *auto*  
 thus  $D (\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) \mapsto (\lambda xa. xa \ *_R \ f \ (\varphi \ t \ s))$  at  $t$  within  $T$   
 using *has-derivative-at-within-mono*[ $OF \ - \ \langle B \subseteq T \rangle \ Dhyp$ ] by *blast*  
 qed

lemma *eq-solution*:

assumes  $X \in (ivp\text{-}sols \ (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ 0 \ s)$  and  $t \in T$  and  $s \in S$   
 shows  $X \ t = \varphi \ t \ s$

proof—

have  $D \ X = (\lambda t. f \ (X \ t))$  on  $(ex\text{-}ivl \ s)$  and  $X \ 0 = s$  and  $X \in (ex\text{-}ivl \ s) \rightarrow S$   
 using *ivp-solsD*[ $OF \ assms(1)$ ] unfolding *ex-ivl-eq*[ $OF \ \langle s \in S \rangle$ ] by *auto*

note *solution-eq-flow*[ $OF \ this$ ]

hence  $X \ t = flow \ 0 \ s \ t$

unfolding *ex-ivl-eq*[ $OF \ \langle s \in S \rangle$ ] using *assms* by *blast*

also have  $\varphi \ t \ s = flow \ 0 \ s \ t$

apply(*rule solution-eq-flow ivp*)

apply(*simp-all add: assms(2,3) ivp(2)*[ $OF \ \langle s \in S \rangle$ ])

unfolding *ex-ivl-eq*[ $OF \ \langle s \in S \rangle$ ] by (*auto simp: has-vderiv-on-domain assms*

*in-domain*)

ultimately show  $X \ t = \varphi \ t \ s$

by *simp*

qed

lemma *in-ivp-sols*:

assumes  $s \in S$

shows  $(\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s) \in ivp\text{-}sols \ (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ 0 \ s$

using *has-vderiv-on-domain ivp(2) in-domain* apply(*rule ivp-solsI*)

using *assms* by *auto*

lemma *eq-solution-ivl*:

assumes *xivp*:  $D \ X = (\lambda t. f \ (X \ t))$  on  $\{0 \dashrightarrow t\}$   $X \ 0 = s$   $X \in \{0 \dashrightarrow t\} \rightarrow S$

and *indom*:  $t \in T$   $s \in S$

shows  $X \ t = \varphi \ t \ s$

apply(*rule unique-solution*[ $OF \ xivp \ \langle t \in T \rangle$ ])

using  $\langle s \in S \rangle$  *ivp indom* by *auto*

lemma *additive-in-ivp-sols*:

assumes  $s \in S$  and  $(\lambda \tau. \tau + t) \text{ ' } T \subseteq T$

shows  $(\lambda \tau. \varphi \ (\tau + t) \ s) \in ivp\text{-}sols \ (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ 0 \ (\varphi \ (0 + t) \ s)$

apply(*rule ivp-solsI, rule vderiv-on-compose-add*)

using *has-vderiv-on-domain has-vderiv-on-subset assms* apply *blast*

using *in-domain assms* by *auto*

lemma *is-monoid-action*:

assumes *indom*:  $t_1 \in T$   $t_2 \in T$   $s \in S$

and  $(\lambda \tau. \tau + t_2) \text{ ' } T \subseteq T$

shows  $\varphi \ 0 \ s = s$

and  $\varphi \ (t_1 + t_2) \ s = \varphi \ t_1 \ (\varphi \ t_2 \ s)$

proof—

```

show  $\varphi \ 0 \ s = s$ 
  using ivp indom by simp
have  $\varphi \ (0 + t_2) \ s = \varphi \ t_2 \ s$ 
  by simp
also have  $\varphi \ t_2 \ s \in S$ 
  using in-domain indom by auto
finally show  $\varphi \ (t_1 + t_2) \ s = \varphi \ t_1 \ (\varphi \ t_2 \ s)$ 
  using eq-solution[OF additive-in-ivp-sols] assms by auto
qed

```

```

lemma orbit-eq[simp]:
  assumes  $s \in S$ 
  shows  $\gamma^\varphi \ s = \{\varphi \ t \ s \mid t. t \in T\}$ 
  using eq-solution assms unfolding orbit-def g-orbital-eq ivp-sols-def
  by(auto intro!: has-vderiv-on-domain ivp(2) in-domain)

```

```

lemma g-orbit-eq:
  assumes  $s \in S$ 
  shows  $\gamma^\varphi_{Guard} \ G \ s = \{\varphi \ t \ s \mid t. t \in T \wedge G \triangleright (\lambda r. \varphi \ r \ s) \ (down \ T \ t)\}$  (is - =
  ?gorbit)
proof(rule subset-antisym, simp-all only: subset-eq)
  {fix  $s'$  assume  $s' \in \gamma^\varphi_{Guard} \ G \ s$ 
   then obtain  $x$  and  $t$  where  $x-ivp: x \in ivp-sols \ (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ 0 \ s$ 
     and  $x \ t = s'$  and  $t \in T$  and guard:  $G \triangleright x \ (down \ T \ t)$ 
     unfolding g-orbital-def by auto
   hence obs:  $\forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). x \ \tau = \varphi \ \tau \ s$ 
     using eq-solution[OF x-ivp - assms] init-time
     by blast
   hence  $G \triangleright (\lambda r. \varphi \ r \ s) \ (down \ T \ t)$ 
     using guard
     by auto
   also have  $\varphi \ t \ s = x \ t$ 
     using eq-solution[OF x-ivp (t ∈ T) assms] by simp
   ultimately have  $s' \in ?gorbit$ 
     using  $\langle x \ t = s' \rangle \langle t \in T \rangle$  by auto}
  thus  $\forall s' \in \gamma^\varphi_{Guard} \ G \ s. s' \in ?gorbit$ 
    by blast
next
  {fix  $s'$  assume  $s' \in ?gorbit$ 
   then obtain  $t$  where  $G \triangleright (\lambda r. \varphi \ r \ s) \ (down \ T \ t)$  and  $t \in T$  and  $\varphi \ t \ s = s'$ 
     by blast
   hence  $s' \in \gamma^\varphi_{Guard} \ G \ s$ 
     using assms by(auto intro!: g-orbitalI in-domain ivp(2)
       has-vderiv-on-domain simp: init-time)}
  thus  $\forall s' \in ?gorbit. s' \in \gamma^\varphi_{Guard} \ G \ s$ 
    by blast
qed

```

```

lemma ivp-sols-collapse:

```



```

assumes  $S = \text{UNIV } T = \text{UNIV}$ 
shows  $\text{ivp-sols } (\lambda t. f) \ T \ S \ 0 \ s = \{(\lambda t. \varphi \ t \ s)\}$ 
using in-ivp-sols eq-solution unfolding assms by auto

lemma diff-invariant-eq-invariant-set:
   $(I \text{ is-diff-invariant-of } f \text{ along } T \ S \text{ from } 0) = (\forall s \in S. \forall t \in T. I \ s \longrightarrow I \ (\varphi \ t \ s))$ 
apply(subst invariant-to-set, safe)
apply(erule-tac x=s in ballE)
unfolding g-orbit-eq by auto

end

end
theory cat2funcset
imports ../hs-prelims-dyn-sys Transformer-Semantics.Kleisli-Quantale

begin

```



## Chapter 3

# Hybrid System Verification

— We start by deleting some conflicting notation and introducing some new.

**type-synonym** *'a pred* = *'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool*

**no-notation** *bres* (**infixr**  $\rightarrow$  60)

### 3.1 Verification of regular programs

First we add lemmas for computation of weakest liberal preconditions (wlps).

**lemma** *fb<sub>F</sub> F S = {s. F s  $\subseteq$  S}*  
  **unfolding** *ffb-def map-dual-def klift-def kop-def dual-set-def*  
  **by** (*auto simp: Compl-eq-Diff-UNIV fun-eq-iff f2r-def converse-def r2f-def*)

**lemma** *ffb-eta[simp]: fb<sub>F</sub>  $\eta$  X = X*  
  **unfolding** *ffb-def* **by** (*simp add: kop-def klift-def map-dual-def*)

**lemma** *ffb-eq: fb<sub>F</sub> F X = {s.  $\forall y. y \in F s \longrightarrow y \in X$ }*  
  **unfolding** *ffb-def* **apply** (*simp add: kop-def klift-def map-dual-def*)  
  **unfolding** *dual-set-def f2r-def r2f-def* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *ffb-mono-ge:*  
  **assumes** *P  $\leq$  fb<sub>F</sub> F R and R  $\leq$  Q*  
  **shows** *P  $\leq$  fb<sub>F</sub> F Q*  
  **using** *assms* **unfolding** *ffb-eq* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *ffb-eq-univD: fb<sub>F</sub> F P = UNIV  $\Longrightarrow$  ( $\forall y. y \in (F x) \longrightarrow y \in P$ )*  
**proof**

**fix** *y* **assume** *fb<sub>F</sub> F P = UNIV*  
  **hence** *UNIV = {s.  $\forall y. y \in (F s) \longrightarrow y \in P$ }*  
    **by** (*subst ffb-eq[symmetric], simp*)  
  **hence**  *$\bigwedge x. \{x\} = \{s. s = x \wedge (\forall y. y \in (F s) \longrightarrow y \in P)\}$*   
    **by** *auto*  
  **then show** *s2p (F x) y  $\longrightarrow$  y  $\in$  P*  
    **by** *auto*  
**qed**

Next, we introduce assignments and their wpls.

**abbreviation**  $vec\text{-}upd :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'b$   
**where**  $vec\text{-}upd\ x\ i\ a \equiv \chi\ j. (((\$)\ x)(i := a))\ j$

**abbreviation**  $assign :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a \wedge 'b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \wedge 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \wedge 'b)\ set\ ((2\text{-} ::= -)\ [70, 65]\ 61)$   
**where**  $(x ::= e) \equiv (\lambda s. \{vec\text{-}upd\ s\ x\ (e\ s)\})$

**lemma**  $ffb\text{-}assign[simp]: fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (x ::= e)\ Q = \{s. (vec\text{-}upd\ s\ x\ (e\ s)) \in Q\}$   
**by**  $(subst\ ffb\text{-}eq)\ simp$

The wlp of a (kleisli) composition is just the composition of the wpls.

**lemma**  $ffb\text{-}kcomp: fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (G \circ_K F)\ P = fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ G\ (fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ F\ P)$   
**unfolding**  $ffb\text{-}def$  **apply**  $(simp\ add: kop\text{-}def\ klift\text{-}def\ map\text{-}dual\text{-}def)$   
**unfolding**  $dual\text{-}set\text{-}def\ f2r\text{-}def\ r2f\text{-}def$  **by**  $(auto\ simp: kcomp\text{-}def)$

**lemma**  $ffb\text{-}kcomp\text{-}ge$ :  
**assumes**  $P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ F\ R\ R \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ G\ Q$   
**shows**  $P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (F \circ_K G)\ Q$   
**by**  $(subst\ ffb\text{-}kcomp)\ (rule\ ffb\text{-}mono\text{-}ge[OF\ assms])$

We also have an implementation of the conditional operator and its wlp.

**definition**  $ifthenelse :: 'a\ pred \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b\ set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b\ set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b\ set)$   
 $(IF\ -\ THEN\ -\ ELSE\ -\ FI\ [64, 64, 64]\ 63)$  **where**  
 $IF\ P\ THEN\ X\ ELSE\ Y\ FI \equiv (\lambda x. if\ P\ x\ then\ X\ x\ else\ Y\ x)$

**lemma**  $ffb\text{-}if\text{-}then\text{-}else$ :  
 $fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (IF\ T\ THEN\ X\ ELSE\ Y\ FI)\ Q = \{s. T\ s \longrightarrow s \in fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ X\ Q\} \cap \{s. \neg T\ s \longrightarrow s \in fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ Y\ Q\}$   
**unfolding**  $ffb\text{-}eq\ ifthenelse\text{-}def$  **by**  $auto$

**lemma**  $ffb\text{-}if\text{-}then\text{-}else\text{-}ge$ :  
**assumes**  $P \cap \{s. T\ s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ X\ Q$   
**and**  $P \cap \{s. \neg T\ s\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ Y\ Q$   
**shows**  $P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (IF\ T\ THEN\ X\ ELSE\ Y\ FI)\ Q$   
**using**  $assms$  **apply**  $(subst\ ffb\text{-}eq)$   
**apply**  $(subst\ (asm)\ ffb\text{-}eq) +$   
**unfolding**  $ifthenelse\text{-}def$  **by**  $auto$

**lemma**  $ffb\text{-}if\text{-}then\text{-}elseI$ :  
**assumes**  $T\ s \longrightarrow s \in fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ X\ Q$   
**and**  $\neg T\ s \longrightarrow s \in fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ Y\ Q$   
**shows**  $s \in fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (IF\ T\ THEN\ X\ ELSE\ Y\ FI)\ Q$   
**using**  $assms$  **apply**  $(subst\ ffb\text{-}eq)$   
**apply**  $(subst\ (asm)\ ffb\text{-}eq) +$   
**unfolding**  $ifthenelse\text{-}def$  **by**  $auto$

The final wlp we add is that of the finite iteration.

**lemma** *kstar-inv*:  $I \leq \{s. \forall y. y \in F s \longrightarrow y \in I\} \Longrightarrow I \leq \{s. \forall y. y \in (kpower\ F\ n\ s) \longrightarrow y \in I\}$   
**apply** (*induct n, simp*)  
**by** (*auto simp: kcomp-prop*)

**lemma** *ffb-star-induct-self*:  $I \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ F\ I \Longrightarrow I \subseteq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (kstar\ F)\ I$   
**apply** (*subst ffb-eq, subst (asm) ffb-eq*)  
**unfolding** *kstar-def* **apply** *clarsimp*  
**using** *kstar-inv* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *ffb-kstarI*:  
**assumes**  $P \leq I$  **and**  $I \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ F\ I$  **and**  $I \leq Q$   
**shows**  $P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (kstar\ F)\ Q$   
**proof**–  
**have**  $I \subseteq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (kstar\ F)\ I$   
**using** *assms(2) ffb-star-induct-self* **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (kstar\ F)\ I$   
**using** *assms(1)* **by** *auto*  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**using** *assms(3) ffb-mono-ge* **by** *blast*  
**qed**

## 3.2 Verification of hybrid programs

**notation** *g-orbital*  $((1x' = - \ \& \ - \text{ on } - \text{ } @ \ -))$

**abbreviation** *g-evol*  $:: (('a::banach) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a\ pred \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ set$   
 $((1x' = - \ \& \ -)) \text{ where } (x' = f \ \& \ G)\ s \equiv (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } UNIV\ UNIV\ @ \ 0)\ s$

### 3.2.1 Verification by providing solutions

**lemma** *ffb-g-orbital*:  $fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ Q =$   
 $\{s. \forall x \in \text{ivp-sols } (\lambda t. f)\ T\ S\ t_0\ s. \forall t \in T. (G \triangleright x\ (\text{down } T\ t)) \longrightarrow (x\ t) \in Q\}$   
**unfolding** *g-orbital-eq ffb-eq ivp-sols-def* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *ffb-guard-eq*:  
**assumes**  $R = (\lambda s. G\ s \wedge Q\ s)$   
**shows**  $fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ \{s. R\ s\} = fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ (g\text{-orbital } f\ G\ T\ S\ t_0)\ \{s. Q\ s\}$   
**unfolding** *ffb-g-orbital* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

**context** *local-flow*  
**begin**

**lemma** *ffb-orbit*:  
**assumes**  $S = UNIV$   
**shows**  $fb_{\mathcal{F}}\ \gamma^{\varphi}\ Q = \{s. \forall t \in T. \varphi\ t\ s \in Q\}$   
**using** *orbit-eq* **unfolding** *assms ffb-eq* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *ffb-g-orbit*:  
**assumes**  $S = UNIV$   
**shows**  $fb_{\mathcal{F}} (\gamma^{\varphi}_{Guard} G) Q = \{s. \forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda r. \varphi \ r \ s) (down \ T \ t)) \longrightarrow (\varphi \ t \ s) \in Q\}$   
**using** *g-orbit-eq unfolding assms ffb-eq by auto*

**lemma** *invariant-set-eq-dl-invariant*:  
**assumes**  $S = UNIV$   
**shows**  $(\forall s \in S. \forall t \in T. I \ s \longrightarrow I (\varphi \ t \ s)) = (\{s. I \ s\} = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (orbit) \{s. I \ s\})$   
**apply**(*safe, simp-all add: ffb-orbit[OF assms]*)  
**apply**(*erule-tac x=x in ballE, simp-all add: assms*)  
**apply**(*erule-tac x=0 in ballE, erule-tac x=x in allE*)  
**by**(*auto simp: ivp(2) init-time assms*)

**end**

The previous lemma allows us to compute wlp for known systems of ODEs. We can also implement a version of it as an inference rule. A simple computation of a wlp is shown immediately after.

**lemma** *dSolution*:  
**assumes** *local-flow f T UNIV  $\varphi$*   
**and**  $\forall s. s \in P \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda \tau. \varphi \ \tau \ s) (down \ T \ t)) \longrightarrow (\varphi \ t \ s) \in Q)$   
**shows**  $P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = f \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ UNIV \ @ \ 0) Q$   
**using** *assms by(subst local-flow.ffib-g-orbit) auto*

**lemma** *line-is-local-flow*:  
 $0 \in T \implies is\_interval \ T \implies open \ T \implies local\_flow \ (\lambda \ s. \ c) \ T \ UNIV \ (\lambda \ t \ s. \ s + t *_{\mathcal{R}} c)$   
**apply**(*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, simp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac f'1= $\lambda \ s. \ 0$  and g'1= $\lambda \ s. \ c$  in derivative-intros(191)*)  
**apply**(*rule derivative-intros, simp*)  
**by** *simp-all*

**lemma** *ffb-line*:  
**fixes** *c::'a::{heine-borel, banach}*  
**assumes**  $0 \in T$  **and** *is-interval T open T*  
**shows**  $fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = (\lambda s. c) \ \& \ G \ on \ T \ UNIV \ @ \ 0) Q =$   
 $\{x. \forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda \tau. x + \tau *_{\mathcal{R}} c) (down \ T \ t)) \longrightarrow (x + t *_{\mathcal{R}} c) \in Q\}$   
**apply**(*subst local-flow.ffib-g-orbit[of  $\lambda s. c - (\lambda t x. x + t *_{\mathcal{R}} c)$ ]*)  
**using** *line-is-local-flow assms by auto*

### 3.2.2 Verification with differential invariants

We derive domain specific rules of differential dynamic logic (dL). In each subsubsection, we first derive the dL axioms (named below with two capital letters and “D” being the first one). This is done mainly to prove that there are minimal requirements in Isabelle to get the dL calculus. Then we prove

the inference rules which are used in our verification proofs.

### Differential Weakening

**lemma** *DW*:  $fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ Q = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \{s. \ G \ s \longrightarrow s \in Q\}$   
**by** (*auto intro: g-orbitalD simp: ffb-eq*)

**lemma** *dWeakening*:

**assumes**  $\{s. \ G \ s\} \leq Q$   
**shows**  $P \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ Q$   
**using** *assms* **by** (*auto intro: g-orbitalD simp: le-fun-def g-orbital-eq ffb-eq*)

### Differential Cut

**lemma** *ffb-g-orbital-eq-univD*:

**assumes**  $fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \{s. \ C \ s\} = UNIV$   
**and**  $\forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). \ x \ \tau \in (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s$   
**shows**  $\forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). \ C \ (x \ \tau)$

**proof**

**fix**  $\tau$  **assume**  $\tau \in (down \ T \ t)$   
**hence**  $x \ \tau \in (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s$   
**using** *assms(2)* **by** *blast*  
**also have**  $\forall y. \ y \in (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s \longrightarrow C \ y$   
**using** *assms(1)* *ffb-eq-univD* **by** *fastforce*  
**ultimately show**  $C \ (x \ \tau)$  **by** *blast*

**qed**

**lemma** *DC*:

**assumes** *Thyp*: *is-interval*  $T \ t_0 \in T$   
**and**  $fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \{s. \ C \ s\} = UNIV$   
**shows**  $fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ Q = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (x'=f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \wedge C \ s) \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ Q$

**proof** (*rule-tac*  $f=\lambda \ x. \ fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ x \ Q$  **in** *HOL.arg-cong*, *rule ext*, *rule subset-antisym*)

**fix**  $s$

**{fix**  $s'$  **assume**  $s' \in (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s$   
**then obtain**  $\tau::real$  **and**  $x$  **where**  $x\text{-ivp}$ :  $D \ x = (f \circ x) \text{ on } T \ x \ t_0 = s$   
 $x \in T \rightarrow S$  **and**  $guard\text{-}x$ :  $G \triangleright x \ (down \ T \ \tau)$  **and**  $s' = x \ \tau \ \tau \in T$   
**using** *g-orbitalD[of s' f G T S t\_0 s]* **by** *clarsimp metis*  
**hence**  $\forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \forall \tau \in (down \ T \ t). \ G \ (x \ \tau)$   
**by** (*simp add: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl*)  
**also have**  $\forall \tau \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ \tau \in T$   
**using**  $\langle \tau \in T \rangle$  *Thyp* *closed-segment-subset-interval* **by** *auto*  
**ultimately have**  $\forall t \in (down \ T \ \tau). \ x \ t \in (x'=f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s$   
**using** *g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp]* **by** *meson*  
**hence**  $C \triangleright x \ (down \ T \ \tau)$   
**using** *assms* **by** (*meson ffb-eq-univD mem-Collect-eq*)  
**hence**  $s' \in (x'=f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \wedge C \ s) \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s$   
**using** *g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp <τ ∈ T>]* *guard-x*  $\langle s' = x \ \tau \rangle$  **by** *fastforce*}

thus  $(x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s \subseteq (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \wedge \ C \ s) \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s$   
 by *blast*  
 next show  $\bigwedge s. (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \wedge \ C \ s) \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s \subseteq (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s$   
 by (*auto simp: g-orbital-eq*)  
 qed

lemma *dCut*:

assumes *Thyp: is-interval*  $T \ t_0 \in T$   
 and *ffb-C*:  $P \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ \{s. \ C \ s\}$   
 and *ffb-Q*:  $P \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \wedge \ C \ s) \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ Q$   
 shows  $P \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ Q$   
 proof(*subst ffb-eq, subst g-orbital-eq, clarsimp*)  
 fix  $\tau :: \text{real}$  and  $x :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a$  assume  $(x \ t_0) \in P$  and  $\tau \in T$   
 and *x-solves*:  $D \ x = (\lambda t. \ f \ (x \ t)) \text{ on } T \ x \in T \rightarrow S$   
 and *guard-x*:  $\forall t. \ s2p \ T \ t \wedge t \leq \tau \longrightarrow G \ (x \ t)$   
 hence  $\forall r \in (\text{down } T \ \tau). \ x \ r \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ (x \ t_0)$   
 by (*auto intro!: g-orbitalI x-solves*)  
 hence  $\forall t \in (\text{down } T \ \tau). \ C \ (x \ t)$   
 using *ffb-C*  $\langle (x \ t_0) \in P \rangle$  by (*subst (asm) ffb-eq, auto*)  
 hence  $x \ \tau \in (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \ G \ s \wedge \ C \ s) \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ (x \ t_0)$   
 using *guard-x*  $\langle \tau \in T \rangle$  by (*auto intro!: g-orbitalI x-solves*)  
 thus  $(x \ \tau) \in Q$   
 using  $\langle (x \ t_0) \in P \rangle$  *ffb-Q* by (*subst (asm) ffb-eq auto*)  
 qed

## Differential Invariant

lemma *DI-sufficiency*:

assumes  $\forall s. \ \exists x. \ x \in \text{ivp-sols} \ (\lambda t. \ f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
 and  $t_0 \in T$  and  $\forall s. \ \forall x \in \text{ivp-sols} \ (\lambda t. \ f) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s. \ \forall \tau. \ s2p \ T \ \tau \wedge \tau \leq t_0$   
 $\longrightarrow G \ (x \ \tau)$   
 shows  $\text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ Q \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (\lambda x. \ \{s. \ s = x \wedge \ G \ s\}) \ Q$   
 unfolding *ffb-g-orbital* using *assms(1)* unfolding *ffb-eq* apply *clarsimp*  
 apply(*rename-tac s, erule-tac x=s in allE, clarsimp*)  
 apply(*erule-tac x=x in ballE, erule-tac x=t\_0 in ballE, erule impE*)  
 using *assms(3)* by (*simp-all add: \langle t\_0 \in T \rangle ivp-solsD(2)*)

lemma (*in local-flow*) *DI-necessity*:

assumes  $S = \text{UNIV } T = \text{UNIV}$   
 shows  $\text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (\lambda x. \ \{s. \ s = x \wedge \ G \ s\}) \ Q \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ 0) \ Q$   
 apply(*subst ffb-g-orbit, simp add: assms, subst ffb-eq, clarsimp*)  
 oops

lemma *dInvariant*:

assumes *I is-diff-invariant-of f along*  $T \ S$  from  $t_0$  and  $I_S = \{s \in S. \ I \ s\}$   
 shows  $I_S \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ I_S$   
 using *assms* by(*auto simp: diff-invariant-def ivp-sols-def ffb-eq g-orbital-eq*)



**lemma** *dInvariant-converse*:

**assumes**  $\{s \in S. I\ s\} \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}}(x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \text{True}) \text{ on } T\ S \ @ \ t_0) \ \{s \in S. I\ s\}$   
**shows** *I is-diff-invariant-of f along T S from t<sub>0</sub>*  
**using** *assms unfolding invariant-to-set ffb-eq by auto*

**lemma** *ffb-g-orbital-le-requires*:

**assumes**  $\forall s. \exists x. x \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @ \ t_0) \ s \ \forall t \in T. t_0 \leq t \ t_0 \in T$   
**shows**  $\text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}}(x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @ \ t_0) \ \{s. I\ s\} \leq \{s. I\ s\}$   
**using** *assms unfolding ffb-eq apply clarsimp*  
**apply**(*erule-tac x=x in allE, erule exE*)  
**apply**(*drule g-orbitalE, clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*frule ivp-solsD(2)*)  
**apply**(*erule-tac x=xb t<sub>0</sub> in allE*)  
**by**(*auto intro!: g-orbitalI dest: ivp-solsD*)

**lemma** *dI*:

**assumes** *Thyp: is-interval T t<sub>0</sub> ∈ T*  
**and**  $P \leq I \text{ and } I \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}}(x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @ \ t_0) \ I \text{ and } I \leq Q$   
**shows**  $P \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}}(x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @ \ t_0) \ Q$   
**apply**(*rule-tac C=λs. s ∈ I in dCut[OF Thyp]*)  
**using** *assms apply force*  
**apply**(*rule dWeakening*)  
**using** *assms by auto*

**end**

**theory** *cat2funcset-examples*

**imports** *../hs-prelims-matrices cat2funcset*

**begin**

### 3.2.3 Examples

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-linear-system*:

**fixes**  $A::\text{real}^{'n} \times 'n$   
**defines**  $L \equiv (\text{real } \text{CARD}('n))^2 * (\|A\|_{\max})$   
**shows** *picard-lindelof*  $(\lambda \ t \ s. A * v \ s) \ \text{UNIV} \ \text{UNIV} \ 0$   
**apply**(*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=L in exI, safe*)  
**using** *max-norm-ge-0[of A] unfolding assms by force (rule matrix-lipschitz-constant)*

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-sq-mtx*:

**fixes**  $A::('n::\text{finite}) \ \text{sqr-d-matrix}$   
**defines**  $L \equiv (\text{real } \text{CARD}('n))^2 * (\| \text{to-vec } A \|_{\max})$   
**shows** *picard-lindelof*  $(\lambda \ t \ s. A *_{\text{V}} \ s) \ \text{UNIV} \ \text{UNIV} \ 0$   
**apply**(*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=L in exI, safe*)  
**using** *max-norm-ge-0[of to-vec A] unfolding assms apply force*  
**by** *transfer (rule matrix-lipschitz-constant)*

```

lemma local-flow-exp:
  fixes A::('n::finite) sqrd-matrix
  shows local-flow ((*_V) A) UNIV UNIV (λt s. exp (t *_R A) *_V s)
  unfolding local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def apply safe
  using picard-lindelof-sq-mtx apply blast
  using exp-has-vderiv-on-linear[of 0] apply force
  by(auto simp: sq-mtx-one-vec)

```

The examples in this subsection show different approaches for the verification of hybrid systems. however, the general approach can be outlined as follows: First, we select a finite type to model program variables  $'n$ . We use this to define a vector field  $f$  of type  $('a, 'n) \text{vec} \Rightarrow ('a, 'n) \text{vec}$  to model the dynamics of our system. Then we show a partial correctness specification involving the evolution command  $x' = f \ \& \ S$  either by finding a flow for the vector field or through differential invariants.

### Single constantly accelerated evolution

The main characteristics distinguishing this example from the rest are:

1. We define the finite type of program variables with 2 Isabelle strings which make the final verification easier to parse.
2. We define the vector field (named  $K$ ) to model a constantly accelerated object.
3. We define a local flow ( $\varphi_K$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for this vector field.
4. The verification is only done on a single evolution command (not operated with any other hybrid program).

```

typedef program-vars = {"x", "v"}
morphisms to-str to-var
apply(rule-tac x="x" in exI)
by simp

```

**notation** *to-var* ( $\downarrow_V$ )

```

lemma number-of-program-vars: CARD(program-vars) = 2
using type-definition.card type-definition-program-vars by fastforce

```

```

instance program-vars::finite
apply(standard, subst bij-betw-finite[of to-str UNIV {"x", "v"}])
apply(rule bij-betwI')
apply (simp add: to-str-inject)
using to-str apply blast
apply (metis to-var-inverse UNIV-I)

```

by *simp*

**lemma** *program-vars-univD*:  $(UNIV::\text{program-vars set}) = \{\downarrow_V ''x'', \downarrow_V ''v''\}$   
**apply** *auto* **by** (*metis to-str to-str-inverse insertE singletonD*)

**lemma** *program-vars-exhaust*:  $x = \downarrow_V ''x'' \vee x = \downarrow_V ''v''$   
**using** *program-vars-univD* **by** *auto*

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics*  $g\ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi\ i.\ \text{if } i = (\downarrow_V ''x'') \text{ then } s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''v'') \text{ else } g)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics*  $(K)$

**lemma** *cnst-acc-continuous*:  
**fixes**  $X::(\text{real}^\wedge \text{program-vars})\ \text{set}$   
**shows** *continuous-on*  $X\ (K\ g)$   
**apply**(*rule continuous-on-vec-lambda*)  
**unfolding** *continuous-on-def* **apply** *clarsimp*  
**by**(*intro tendsto-intros*)

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-cnst-acc*:  
**fixes**  $g::\text{real}$   
**shows** *picard-lindelof*  $(\lambda t.\ K\ g)\ UNIV\ UNIV\ 0$   
**apply**(*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI*)  
**by**(*simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def program-vars-univD to-var-inject*)

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow*  $g\ t\ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi\ i.\ \text{if } i = (\downarrow_V ''x'') \text{ then } g \cdot t \wedge 2/2 + s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''v'') \cdot t + s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'')$   
 $\text{else } g \cdot t + s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''v''))$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow*  $(\varphi_K)$

**lemma** *local-flow-cnst-acc*: *local-flow*  $(K\ g)\ UNIV\ UNIV\ (\varphi_K\ g)$   
**unfolding** *local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def* **apply** *safe*  
**using** *picard-lindelof-cnst-acc* **apply** *blast*  
**apply**(*rule has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda, clarify*)  
**apply**(*case-tac i = \downarrow\_V ''x''*)  
**using** *program-vars-exhaust* **by**(*auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: to-var-inject*  
*vec-eq-iff*)

**lemma** *single-evolution-ball*:  
**fixes**  $h::\text{real}$  **assumes**  $g < 0$  **and**  $h \geq 0$   
**shows**  $\{s.\ s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'') = h \wedge s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''v'') = 0\}$   
 $\leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}}\ (x'=K\ g \ \&\ (\lambda s.\ s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'') \geq 0))$   
 $\{s.\ 0 \leq s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'') \wedge s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'') \leq h\}$   
**apply**(*subst local-flow.ffb-g-orbit[OF local-flow-cnst-acc], simp*)  
**apply**(*simp add: subset-eq, safe*)  
**using** *assms less-eq-real-def mult-nonneg-nonpos2 zero-le-power2* **by** *blast*

**no-notation** *to-var* ( $\downarrow_V$ )

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics* ( $K$ )

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow* ( $\varphi_K$ )

### Single evolution revisited.

We list again the characteristics that distinguish this example:

1. We employ an existing finite type of size 3 to model program variables.
2. We define a  $3 \times 3$  matrix (named  $K$ ) to denote the linear operator that models the constantly accelerated motion.
3. We define a local flow ( $\varphi_K$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for this linear operator.
4. The verification is done equivalently to the above example.

**term**  $x::2$  — It turns out that there is already a 2-element type:

**lemma**  $CARD(program\text{-}vars) = CARD(2)$   
**unfolding** *number-of-program-vars* **by** *simp*

In fact, for each natural number  $n$  there is already a corresponding  $n$ -element type in Isabelle. however, there are still lemmas to prove about them in order to do verification of hybrid systems in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean spaces.

**lemma** *exhaust-5*: — The analogs for 1,2 and 3 have already been proven in Analysis.

**fixes**  $x::5$   
**shows**  $x=1 \vee x=2 \vee x=3 \vee x=4 \vee x=5$   
**proof** (*induct x*)  
**case** (*of-int z*)  
**then have**  $0 \leq z$  **and**  $z < 5$  **by** *simp-all*  
**then have**  $z = 0 \vee z = 1 \vee z = 2 \vee z = 3 \vee z = 4$  **by** *arith*  
**then show** *?case* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *UNIV-3*: ( $UNIV::3\ set$ ) =  $\{0, 1, 2\}$   
**apply** *safe using exhaust-3 three-eq-zero* **by** (*blast, auto*)

**lemma** *sum-axis-UNIV-3[simp]*: ( $\sum j \in (UNIV::3\ set). axis\ i\ 1\ \$\ j \cdot f\ j$ ) = ( $f::3 \Rightarrow real$ )  $i$   
**unfolding** *axis-def UNIV-3* **apply** *simp*  
**using** *exhaust-3* **by** *force*

We can rewrite the original constant acceleration kinematics as a linear operator applied to a 3-dimensional vector. For that we take advantage of the following fact:

**lemma**  $e\ 1 = (\chi\ j::3. \text{ if } j = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } j = 1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$   
**unfolding** *axis-def* **by** (*rule Cart-lambda-cong*, *simp*)

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*  $\equiv$   
 $(\chi\ i::3. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } e\ 1 \text{ else if } i=1 \text{ then } e\ 2 \text{ else } (0::\text{real}^3))$

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow*  $t\ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi\ i::3. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } s\ \$\ 2 \cdot t^2/2 + s\ \$\ 1 \cdot t + s\ \$\ 0$   
 $\text{ else if } i=1 \text{ then } s\ \$\ 2 \cdot t + s\ \$\ 1 \text{ else } s\ \$\ 2)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*  $(A)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow*  $(\varphi_A)$

With these 2 definitions and the proof that linear systems of ODEs are Picard-Lindelof, we can show that they form a pair of vector-field and its flow.

**lemma** *entries-cnst-acc-matrix*: *entries*  $A = \{0, 1\}$   
**apply** (*simp-all add: axis-def*, *safe*)  
**by** (*rule-tac*  $x=1$  **in** *exI*, *simp*)**+**

**lemma** *local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix*: *local-flow*  $((*v)\ A)\ UNIV\ UNIV\ \varphi_A$   
**unfolding** *local-flow-def* *local-flow-axioms-def* **apply** *safe*  
**apply** (*rule picard-lindelof-linear-system* [**where**  $A=A$ ], *simp-all add: vec-eq-iff*)  
**apply** (*rule has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda*)  
**apply** (*auto intro!*: *poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def vec-eq-iff*)  
**using** *exhaust-3* **by** *force*

Finally, we compute the wlp and use it to verify the single-evolution ball again.

**lemma** *single-evolution-ball-matrix*:  
 $\{s. 0 \leq s\ \$\ 0 \wedge s\ \$\ 0 = h \wedge s\ \$\ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s\ \$\ 2\}$   
 $\leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = (*v)\ A \ \& \ (\lambda\ s. s\ \$\ 0 \geq 0))$   
 $\{s. 0 \leq s\ \$\ 0 \wedge s\ \$\ 0 \leq h\}$   
**apply** (*subst local-flow.ffb-g-orbit* [*of*  $(*v)\ A$ ])  
**using** *local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix* **apply** *force*  
**by** (*auto simp: mult-nonneg-nonpos2*)

## Circular Motion

The characteristics that distinguish this example are:

1. We employ an existing finite type of size 2 to model program variables.
2. We define a  $2 \times 2$  matrix (named  $C$ ) to denote the linear operator that models circular motion.

3. We show that the circle equation is a differential invariant for the linear operator.
4. We prove the partial correctness specification corresponding to the previous point.
5. For completeness, we define a local flow ( $\varphi_C$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for the linear operator and the specification is proven again with this flow.

**lemma** *two-eq-zero*:  $(2::2) = 0$   
**by** *simp*

**lemma** [*simp*]:  $i \neq (0::2) \longrightarrow i = 1$   
**using** *exhaust-2* **by** *fastforce*

**lemma** *UNIV-2*:  $(UNIV::2 \text{ set}) = \{0, 1\}$   
**apply** *safe* **using** *exhaust-2 two-eq-zero* **by** *auto*

**abbreviation** *circular-motion-matrix* ::  $\text{real}^2 \times \text{real}^2$   
**where** *circular-motion-matrix*  $\equiv (\chi \ i. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } -e \ 1 \text{ else } e \ 0)$

**notation** *circular-motion-matrix* ( $C$ )

**lemma** *circle-invariant*:  
 $(\lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2)$  *is-diff-invariant-of*  $(*v) \ C$  *along* *UNIV UNIV*  
*from*  $0$   
**apply**(*rule-tac diff-invariant-rules, clarsimp, simp, clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*frule-tac i=0 in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth, drule-tac i=1 in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac S=UNIV in has-vderiv-on-subset*)  
**by**(*auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def*)

**lemma** *circular-motion-invariants*:  
 $\{s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2\} \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}} (x' = (*v) \ C \ \& \ G) \{s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2\}$   
**apply**(*rule-tac I= $\lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2$  in dInvariant*)  
**using** *circle-invariant* **by** *auto*

— Proof of the same specification by providing solutions:

**lemma** *entries-circ-matrix*: *entries*  $C = \{0, -1, 1\}$   
**apply** (*simp-all add: axis-def, safe*)  
**subgoal** **by**(*rule-tac x=0 in exI, simp*) +  
**subgoal** **by**(*rule-tac x=0 in exI, simp*) +  
**by**(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, simp*) +

**abbreviation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow*  $t \ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi \ i. \text{ if } i = (0::2) \text{ then } s\$0 \cdot \cos t - s\$1 \cdot \sin t \text{ else } s\$0 \cdot \sin t + s\$1 \cdot \cos t)$

**notation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow* ( $\varphi_C$ )

**lemma** *local-flow-circ-matrix*: *local-flow*  $((*v) \ C) \ UNIV \ UNIV \ \varphi_C$   
**unfolding** *local-flow-def* *local-flow-axioms-def* **apply** *safe*  
**apply**(*rule* *picard-lindelof-linear-system*[**where**  $A=C$ ], *simp-all* *add: vec-eq-iff*)  
**apply**(*rule* *has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda*)  
**apply**(*force* *intro!*: *poly-derivatives* *simp: matrix-vector-mult-def*)  
**using** *exhaust-2* *two-eq-zero* **by**(*force* *simp: vec-eq-iff*)

**lemma** *circular-motion*:

$\{s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}} \ (x' = (*v) \ C \ \& \ G) \ \{s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2\}$   
**by**(*subst* *local-flow.ffb-g-orbit*[*OF* *local-flow-circ-matrix*]) *auto*

**no-notation** *circular-motion-matrix* ( $C$ )

**no-notation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow* ( $\varphi_C$ )

### Bouncing Ball with solution

We revisit the previous dynamics for a constantly accelerated object modelled with the matrix  $K$ . We compose the corresponding evolution command with an if-statement, and iterate this hybrid program to model a (completely elastic) “bouncing ball”. Using the previously defined flow for this dynamics, proving a specification for this hybrid program is merely an exercise of real arithmetic.

**named-theorems** *bb-real-arith* *real arithmetic properties for the bouncing ball.*

**lemma** [*bb-real-arith*]:

**assumes**  $0 > g$  **and** *inv*:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h = v \cdot v$   
**shows**  $(x::real) \leq h$

**proof**–

**have**  $v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h \wedge 0 > g$   
**using** *inv* **and**  $\langle 0 > g \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
**hence** *obs*:  $v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot (x - h) \wedge 0 > g \wedge v \cdot v \geq 0$   
**using** *left-diff-distrib* *mult.commute* **by** (*metis* *zero-le-square*)  
**hence**  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) = (x - h)$   
**by** *auto*  
**also from** *obs* **have**  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) \leq 0$   
**using** *divide-nonneg-neg* **by** *fastforce*  
**ultimately have**  $h - x \geq 0$   
**by** *linarith*  
**thus** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** [*bb-real-arith*]:

**assumes** *invar*:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$   
**and** *pos*:  $g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real) = 0$

shows  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0$   
 and  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0$   
**proof**–  
 from *pos* have  $g \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot x = 0$  **by** *auto*  
 then have  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 0$   
 by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *hide-lams*) *Groups.mult-ac*(1,3) *mult-zero-right*  
*monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square* *semiring-class.distrib-left*)  
 hence  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + v^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0$   
 using *invar* **by** (*simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square*)  
 hence *obs*:  $(g \cdot \tau + v)^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0$   
 apply(*subst power2-sum*) **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *Groups.add-ac*(2, 3)  
  
*Groups.mult-ac*(2, 3) *monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square* *nat-distrib*(2))  
 thus  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0$   
 by (*simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square*)  
 have  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- ((g \cdot \tau) + v))^2 = 0$   
 using *obs* **by** (*metis* *Groups.add-ac*(2) *power2-minus*)  
 thus  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0$   
 by (*simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square*)  
**qed**

**lemma** [*bb-real-arith*]:  
 assumes *invar*:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$   
 shows  $2 \cdot g \cdot (g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real)) =$   
 $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v))$  (*is* ?*lhs* = ?*rhs*)  
**proof**–  
 have ?*lhs* =  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x$   
 apply(*subst Rat.sign-simps*(18))+  
 by(*auto simp: semiring-normalization-rules*(29))  
 also have ... =  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$  (*is* ... = ?*middle*)  
 by(*subst invar, simp*)  
 finally have ?*lhs* = ?*middle*.  
**moreover**  
 {have ?*rhs* =  $g \cdot g \cdot (\tau \cdot \tau) + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$   
 by (*simp add: Groups.mult-ac*(2,3) *semiring-class.distrib-left*)  
 also have ... = ?*middle*  
 by (*simp add: semiring-normalization-rules*(29))  
 finally have ?*rhs* = ?*middle*.}  
 ultimately show ?*thesis* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *bouncing-ball*:  
 $\{s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ 2\} \leq$   
 $fb_{\mathcal{F}} (kstar ((x' = (*v) \ A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 \geq 0)) \circ_K$   
 $(IF (\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 = 0) \ THEN \ (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \ \$ 1)) \ ELSE \ \eta \ FI)))$   
 $\{s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 \leq h\}$   
 apply(*rule ffb-kstarI*[*of* -  $\{s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ 2 \wedge 2 \cdot s \ \$ 2 \cdot s \ \$ 0 = 2 \cdot s \ \$ 2 \cdot$   
 $h + (s \ \$ 1 \cdot s \ \$ 1)\}$ ])  
 apply(*clarsimp, simp only: ffb-kcomp*)



```

apply(subst local-flow.ffb-g-orbit[OF local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix])
unfolding ffb-if-then-else
by(auto simp: bb-real-arith)

```

### Bouncing Ball with invariants

We prove again the bouncing ball but this time with differential invariants.

**lemma** *gravity-invariant*:  $(\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 2 < 0)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $(*v)$   $A$  along UNIV UNIV from 0

```

apply(rule-tac  $\vartheta' = \lambda s. 0$  and  $\nu' = \lambda s. 0$  in diff-invariant-rules(3), clarsimp, simp,
clarsimp)

```

```

apply(drule-tac  $i=2$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(rule-tac  $S=UNIV$  in has-vderiv-on-subset)
by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def)

```

**lemma** *energy-conservation-invariant*:

$(\lambda s. 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 0 - 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot h - s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot s \ \$ \ 1 = 0)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $(*v)$   $A$  along UNIV UNIV from 0

```

apply(rule diff-invariant-rules, simp, simp, clarify)
apply(frule-tac  $i=2$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(frule-tac  $i=1$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(drule-tac  $i=0$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(rule-tac  $S=UNIV$  in has-vderiv-on-subset)
by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def)

```

**lemma** *bouncing-ball-invariants*:

```

fixes h::real
defines dinv:  $I \equiv \lambda s::real^3. s \ \$ \ 2 < 0 \wedge 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 0 - 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot h - (s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot$ 
 $s \ \$ \ 1) = 0$ 
shows  $\{s \in UNIV. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ \ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ \ 2\} \leq fb_{\mathcal{F}}$ 
 $(kstar ((x' = (*v) A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 \geq 0)) \text{ on } UNIV \ UNIV \ @ \ 0) \circ_K$ 
 $(IF (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \ \$ \ 1)) ELSE \eta FI)))$ 
 $\{s \in UNIV. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 \leq h\}$ 
apply(rule-tac  $I = \{s \in UNIV. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge I \ s\}$  in ffb-kstarI)
apply(force simp: dinv, simp only: ffb-kcomp)
apply(rule-tac  $I = \{s \in UNIV. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge I \ s\}$  in dI)
apply(simp-all, subst ffb-guard-eq, simp)
apply(rule-tac  $y = \{s. I \ s\}$  in H-iso-cond1, force)
apply(rule dInvariant[of I], unfold dinv)
apply(intro diff-invariant-rules(4))
using gravity-invariant apply force
using energy-conservation-invariant apply (force, force)
apply(subst ffb-if-then-else)
unfolding dinv by(auto simp: bb-real-arith le-fun-def)

```

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*  $(A)$

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow*  $(\varphi_A)$

### Bouncing Ball with exponential solution

In our final example, we prove again the bouncing ball specification but this time we do it with the general solution for linear systems.

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx*  $\equiv$   
*sq-mtx-chi constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx* ( $K$ )

**lemma** *max-norm-cnst-acc-sq-mtx*:  $\|to\text{-}vec\ K\|_{max} = 1$

**proof**–

have  $\{to\text{-}vec\ K\ \$\ i\ \$\ j\ |\ i\ j. i \in UNIV \wedge j \in UNIV\} = \{0, 1\}$

apply (*simp-all add: axis-def, safe*)

by(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, simp*)+

thus ?thesis

by auto

qed

**lemma** *const-acc-mtx-pow2*:  $(\tau *_R K)^2 = sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\ (\chi\ i. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } \tau^2 *_R e\ 2 \text{ else } 0)$

unfolding *power2-eq-square* apply(*simp add: scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def*)

unfolding *times-sqrd-matrix-def* apply(*simp add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff*)

apply(*simp add: matrix-matrix-mult-def*)

unfolding *UNIV-3* by(*auto simp: axis-def*)

**lemma** *const-acc-mtx-powN*:  $n > 2 \implies (\tau *_R K)^n = 0$

**proof**(*induct n*)

case 0

thus ?case by *simp*

next

case (*Suc n*)

assume *IH*:  $2 < n \implies (\tau *_R K)^n = 0$  and  $2 < Suc\ n$

then show ?case

**proof**(*cases n ≤ 2*)

case *True*

hence  $n = 2$

using  $\langle 2 < Suc\ n \rangle\ le\text{-}less\text{-}Suc\text{-}eq$  by *blast*

hence  $(\tau *_R K)^{(Suc\ n)} = (\tau *_R K)^3$

by *simp*

also have  $\dots = (\tau *_R K) \cdot (\tau *_R K)^2$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨n = 2⟩ calculation power-Suc*)

also have  $\dots = (\tau *_R K) \cdot sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\ (\chi\ i. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } \tau^2 *_R e\ 2 \text{ else } 0)$

by (*subst const-acc-mtx-pow2*) *simp*

also have  $\dots = 0$

unfolding *times-sqrd-matrix-def zero-sqrd-matrix-def*

apply(*simp add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def*)

apply(*simp add: matrix-matrix-mult-def*)

unfolding *UNIV-3* by(*auto simp: axis-def*)

finally show ?thesis .

```

next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
    using IH by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma suminf-eq-sum:
  fixes  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a::\text{real-normed-vector})$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. n > m \implies f\ n = 0$ 
  shows  $(\sum n. f\ n) = (\sum n \leq m. f\ n)$ 
  using assms by (meson atMost-iff finite-atMost not-le suminf-finite)

```

```

lemma exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx:  $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) = ((\tau *_R K)^2 /_R 2) + (\tau *_R K) + 1$ 
  unfolding exp-def apply(subst suminf-eq-sum[of 2])
  using const-acc-mtx-powN by (simp-all add: numeral-2-eq-2)

```

```

lemma exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps:
   $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 0 \$ 0 = 1$   $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 0 \$ 1 = \tau$   $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 0 \$ 2 = \tau^2/2$ 
   $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 1 \$ 0 = 0$   $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 1 \$ 1 = 1$   $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 1 \$ 2 = \tau$ 
   $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 2 \$ 0 = 0$   $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 2 \$ 1 = 0$   $\text{exp } (\tau *_R K) \$\$ 2 \$ 2 = 1$ 
  unfolding exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx const-acc-mtx-pow2
  by(auto simp: plus-sqrd-matrix-def scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def one-sqrd-matrix-def mat-def scaleR-vec-def axis-def plus-vec-def)

```

```

lemma bouncing-ball-K:
   $\{s. 0 \leq s \$ 0 \wedge s \$ 0 = h \wedge s \$ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \$ 2\} \leq \text{fb}_{\mathcal{F}}$ 
  (kstar (( $x' = (*_V) K \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \$ 0 \geq 0)$ )  $\circ_K$ 
    (IF ( $\lambda s. s \$ 0 = 0$ ) THEN ( $1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \$ 1)$ ) ELSE  $\eta FI$ )))
   $\{s. 0 \leq s \$ 0 \wedge s \$ 0 \leq h\}$ 
  apply(rule ffb-kstarI[of -  $\{s. 0 \leq s \$ (0::3) \wedge 0 > s \$ 2 \wedge 2 \cdot s \$ 2 \cdot s \$ 0 = 2 \cdot s \$ 2 \cdot h + (s \$ 1 \cdot s \$ 1)\}$ ])
    apply(clarsimp, simp only: ffb-kcomp)
    apply(subst local-flow.ffbg-orbit[OF local-flow-exp], simp, clarify)
    apply(rule ffb-if-then-elseI, clarsimp)
    apply(simp-all add: sq-mtx-vec-prod-eq)
  unfolding UNIV-3 apply(simp-all add: exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps)
  subgoal for  $x$  using bb-real-arith(3)[of  $x \$ 2$ ]
    by (simp add: add commute mult commute)
  subgoal for  $x \tau$  using bb-real-arith(4)[where  $g = x \$ 2$  and  $v = x \$ 1$ ]
    by(simp add: add commute mult commute)
  by (force simp: bb-real-arith)

```

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx* ( $K$ )

```
end
theory cat2rel
  imports
    ../hs-prelims-dyn-sys
    ../../afpModified/VC-KAD
begin
```

## Chapter 4

# Hybrid System Verification with relations

— We start by deleting some conflicting notation.

**no-notation** *Archimedean-Field.ceiling* ( $\lceil \_ \rceil$ )  
**and** *Archimedean-Field.floor-ceiling-class.floor* ( $\lfloor \_ \rfloor$ )  
**and** *Range-Semiring.antirange-semiring-class.ars-r* ( $r$ )  
**and** *Relation.Domain* ( $r2s$ )  
**and** *VC-KAD.gets* ( $\_ ::= \_ [70, 65]$  61)

### 4.1 Verification of regular programs

Below we explore the behavior of the forward box operator from the antidomain kleene algebra with the lifting ( $\lceil \_ \rceil^*$ ) operator from predicates to relations  $\lceil P \rceil = \{(s, s) \mid s. P\ s\}$  and its dropping counterpart  $\lfloor R \rfloor = (\lambda x. x \in \text{Domain } R)$ .

**lemma** *wp-rel*:  $wp\ R\ \lceil P \rceil = \lceil \lambda x. \forall y. (x, y) \in R \longrightarrow P\ y \rceil$

**proof**—

**have**  $\lfloor wp\ R\ \lceil P \rceil \rfloor = \lfloor \lceil \lambda x. \forall y. (x, y) \in R \longrightarrow P\ y \rceil \rfloor$

**by** (*simp add: wp-trafo pointfree-idE*)

**thus**  $wp\ R\ \lceil P \rceil = \lceil \lambda x. \forall y. (x, y) \in R \longrightarrow P\ y \rceil$

**by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) wp-simp d-p2r pointfree-idE prp*)

**qed**

**lemma** *p2r-r2p-wp*:  $\lfloor \lceil wp\ R\ P \rceil \rfloor = wp\ R\ P$

**apply** (*subst d-p2r[symmetric]*)

**using** *wp-simp[symmetric, of R P]* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *p2r-r2p-simps*:

$\lfloor \lceil P \sqcap Q \rceil \rfloor = (\lambda s. \lfloor \lceil P \rceil \rfloor\ s \wedge \lfloor \lceil Q \rceil \rfloor\ s)$

$\lfloor \lceil P \sqcup Q \rceil \rfloor = (\lambda s. \lfloor \lceil P \rceil \rfloor\ s \vee \lfloor \lceil Q \rceil \rfloor\ s)$

$\lfloor \lceil P \rceil \rfloor = P$

**unfolding** *p2r-def r2p-def* **by** (*auto simp: fun-eq-iff*)

Next, we introduce assignments and compute their  $wp$ .

**abbreviation**  $vec\text{-}upd :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$   
**where**  $vec\text{-}upd\ x\ i\ a \equiv vec\text{-}lambda\ ((vec\text{-}nth\ x)(i := a))$

**abbreviation**  $assign :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ rel } ((2\text{-} ::= -) [70, 65] 61)$   
**where**  $(x ::= e) \equiv \{(s, vec\text{-}upd\ s\ x\ (e\ s)) \mid s. True\}$

**lemma**  $wp\text{-}assign\ [simp]: wp\ (x ::= e) \ [Q] = [\lambda s. Q\ (vec\text{-}upd\ s\ x\ (e\ s))]$   
**by**  $(auto\ simp: rel\text{-}antidomain\text{-}kleene\text{-}algebra.fbox\text{-}def\ rel\text{-}ad\text{-}def\ p2r\text{-}def)$

**lemma**  $wp\text{-}assign\text{-}var\ [simp]: [wp\ (x ::= e) \ [Q]] = (\lambda s. Q\ (vec\text{-}upd\ s\ x\ (e\ s)))$   
**by**  $(subst\ wp\text{-}assign, simp\ add: pointfree\text{-}idE)$

The  $wp$  of the composition was already obtained in `KAD.Antidomain.Semiring`:  
 $|x \cdot y| z = |x| \ |y| z.$

There is also already an implementation of the conditional operator *if p then x else y fi* =  $d\ p \cdot x + ad\ p \cdot y$  and its  $wp$ :  $|if\ p\ then\ x\ else\ y\ fi| q = d\ p \cdot |x| q + ad\ p \cdot |y| q.$

Finally, we add a  $wp$ -rule for a simple finite iteration.

**lemma**  $(in\ antidomain\text{-}kleene\text{-}algebra)\ fbox\text{-}starI:$   
**assumes**  $d\ p \leq d\ i$  **and**  $d\ i \leq |x| i$  **and**  $d\ i \leq d\ q$   
**shows**  $d\ p \leq |x^*| q$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $d\ i \leq |x| (d\ i)$   
**using**  $\langle d\ i \leq |x| i \rangle local.fbox\text{-}simp$  **by**  $auto$   
**hence**  $|1| p \leq |x^*| i$   
**using**  $\langle d\ p \leq d\ i \rangle$  **by**  $(metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ dual\text{-}order.trans\ fbox\text{-}one\ fbox\text{-}simp\ fbox\text{-}star\text{-}induct\text{-}var)$   
**thus**  $?thesis$   
**using**  $\langle d\ i \leq d\ q \rangle$  **by**  $(metis\ (full\text{-}types)\ fbox\text{-}mult\ fbox\text{-}one\ fbox\text{-}seq\text{-}var\ fbox\text{-}simp)$   
**qed**

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}ad\text{-}mka\text{-}starI:$   
**assumes**  $P \subseteq I$  **and**  $I \subseteq wp\ R\ I$  **and**  $I \subseteq Q$   
**shows**  $P \subseteq wp\ (R^*)\ Q$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $wp\ R\ I \subseteq Id$   
**by**  $(simp\ add: rel\text{-}antidomain\text{-}kleene\text{-}algebra.a\text{-}subid\ rel\text{-}antidomain\text{-}kleene\text{-}algebra.fbox\text{-}def)$   
**hence**  $P \subseteq Id$   
**using**  $assms(1,2)$  **by**  $blast$   
**hence**  $rdom\ P = P$   
**by**  $(metis\ d\text{-}p2r\ p2r\text{-}surj)$   
**also have**  $rdom\ P \subseteq wp\ (R^*)\ Q$   
**by**  $(metis\ \langle wp\ R\ I \subseteq Id \rangle assms\ d\text{-}p2r\ p2r\text{-}surj\ rel\text{-}antidomain\text{-}kleene\text{-}algebra.dka.dom\text{-}iso\ rel\text{-}antidomain\text{-}kleene\text{-}algebra.fbox\text{-}starI)$

ultimately show *?thesis*  
 by *blast*  
 qed

## 4.2 Verification of hybrid programs

**abbreviation** *g-evolution* :: (('a::banach) ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a pred ⇒ real set ⇒ 'a set ⇒  
 real ⇒ 'a rel ((1x'=- & - on - - @ -))  
**where** (x'=f & G on T S @ t<sub>0</sub>) ≡ {(s,s') | s s'. s' ∈ g-orbital f G T S t<sub>0</sub> s}

**abbreviation** *g-evol* :: (('a::banach) ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a pred ⇒ 'a rel ((1x'=- & -))  
**where** (x'=f & G) ≡ (x'=f & G on UNIV UNIV @ 0)

### 4.2.1 Verification by providing solutions

**lemma** *wp-g-evolution*: wp (x'=f & G on T S @ t<sub>0</sub>) [Q] =  
 [λ s. ∀ x ∈ ivp-sols (λ t. f) T S t<sub>0</sub> s. ∀ t ∈ T. (G ▷ x (down T t)) → Q (x t)]  
**unfolding** *g-orbital-eq wp-rel ivp-sols-def* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *wp-guard-eq*:  
**assumes** R = (λ s. G s ∧ Q s)  
**shows** wp (x'=f & G on T S @ t<sub>0</sub>) [R] = wp (x'=f & G on T S @ t<sub>0</sub>) [Q]  
**unfolding** *wp-g-evolution* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

**context** *local-flow*  
**begin**

**lemma** *wp-orbit*:  
**assumes** S = UNIV  
**shows** wp ({(s,s') | s s'. s' ∈ γ<sup>φ</sup> s}) [Q] = [λ s. ∀ t ∈ T. Q (φ t s)]  
**using** *orbit-eq unfolding assms* **by** (*auto simp: wp-rel*)

**lemma** *wp-g-orbit*:  
**assumes** S = UNIV  
**shows** wp (x'=f & G on T S @ 0) [Q] =  
 [λ s. ∀ t ∈ T. (G ▷ (λ t. φ t s) (down T t)) → Q (φ t s)]  
**using** *g-orbit-eq unfolding assms* **by** (*auto simp: wp-rel*)

**lemma** *invariant-set-eq-dl-invariant*:  
**assumes** S = UNIV  
**shows** (∀ s ∈ S. ∀ t ∈ T. I s → I (φ t s)) = ([I] = wp ({(s,s') | s s'. s' ∈ γ<sup>φ</sup> s})  
 [I])  
**unfolding** *wp-orbit[OF assms]* **apply** *simp*  
**using** *ivp(2) unfolding assms* **apply** *simp*  
**using** *init-time* **by** *auto*

**end**

The previous theorem allows us to compute wlp for known systems of ODEs.

We can also implement a version of it as an inference rule. A simple computation of a wlp is shown immediately after.

**lemma** *dSolution*:

**assumes** *local-flow* *f* *T* *UNIV*  $\varphi$   
**and**  $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda \tau. \varphi\ \tau\ s)\ (\text{down } T\ t)) \longrightarrow Q\ (\varphi\ t\ s))$   
**shows**  $\lceil P \rceil \leq \text{wp}\ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ \text{UNIV} \ @\ 0) \lceil Q \rceil$   
**using** *assms* **by** (*subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit*, *auto*)

**lemma** *line-is-local-flow*:

$0 \in T \implies \text{is-interval } T \implies \text{open } T \implies \text{local-flow } (\lambda s. c)\ T\ \text{UNIV } (\lambda t\ s. s + t *_R c)$   
**apply** (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all* *add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def*, *clarsimp*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac* *x=1* **in** *exI*, *clarsimp*, *rule-tac* *x=1/2* **in** *exI*, *simp*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac* *f'1*  $= \lambda s. 0$  **and** *g'1*  $= \lambda s. c$  **in** *derivative-intros*(191))  
**apply** (*rule derivative-intros*, *simp*) +  
**by** *simp-all*

**lemma** *line-DS*: **fixes** *c*::'a::{heine-borel, banach}

**assumes**  $0 \in T$  **and** *is-interval* *T* *open* *T*  
**shows**  $\text{wp}\ (x' = (\lambda s. c) \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ \text{UNIV} \ @\ 0) \lceil Q \rceil =$   
 $\lceil \lambda x. \forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda \tau. x + \tau *_R c)\ (\text{down } T\ t)) \longrightarrow Q\ (x + t *_R c) \rceil$   
**apply** (*subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit* [**where** *f*  $= \lambda s. c$  **and**  $\varphi = (\lambda t\ x. x + t *_R c)$ ])  
**using** *line-is-local-flow* *assms* **by** *auto*

## 4.2.2 Verification with differential invariants

We derive the domain specific rules of differential dynamic logic (dL). In each subsubsection, we first derive the dL axioms (named below with two capital letters and “D” being the first one). This is done mainly to prove that there are minimal requirements in Isabelle to get the dL calculus. Then we prove the inference rules which are used in verification proofs.

### Differential Weakening

**lemma** *DW*:  $\text{wp}\ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @\ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil = \text{wp}\ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @\ t_0)$   
 $\lceil \lambda s. G\ s \longrightarrow Q\ s \rceil$   
**by** (*auto intro: g-orbitalD simp: wp-rel*)

**lemma** *dWeakening*:

**assumes**  $\lceil G \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil$   
**shows**  $\lceil P \rceil \leq \text{wp}\ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @\ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil$   
**using** *assms* **apply** (*subst wp-rel*)  
**by** (*auto simp: g-orbital-eq*)

### Differential Cut

**lemma** *wp-g-orbit-IdD*:

**assumes**  $\text{wp}\ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @\ t_0) \lceil C \rceil = \text{Id}$



**and**  $\forall \tau \in (\text{down } T \ t). (s, x \ \tau) \in (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0)$   
**shows**  $\forall \tau \in (\text{down } T \ t). C \ (x \ \tau)$   
**proof**  
**fix**  $\tau$  **assume**  $\tau \in (\text{down } T \ t)$   
**hence**  $x \ \tau \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**using** *assms(2)* **by** *blast*  
**also have**  $\forall y. y \in (g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s) \longrightarrow C \ y$   
**using** *assms(1)* **unfolding** *wp-rel* **by** (*auto simp: p2r-def*)  
**ultimately show**  $C \ (x \ \tau)$   
**by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** *DC*:  
**assumes** *Thyp: is-interval*  $T \ t_0 \in T$   
**and**  $\text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ [C] = Id$   
**shows**  $\text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ [Q] = \text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. G \ s \ \wedge \ C \ s) \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ [Q]$   
**proof** (*rule-tac*  $f = \lambda x. \text{wp } x \ [Q]$  **in** *HOL.arg-cong, clarsimp, rule subset-antisym, safe*)  
**{fix**  $s$  **and**  $s'$  **assume**  $s' \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**then obtain**  $\tau :: \text{real}$  **and**  $x$  **where**  $x\text{-ivp}: D \ x = (f \circ x) \text{ on } T \ x \ t_0 = s$   
 $x \in T \rightarrow S$  **and**  $\text{guard-}x: G \triangleright x \ (\text{down } T \ \tau)$  **and**  $s' = x \ \tau \ \tau \in T$   
**using**  $g\text{-orbital}D[\text{of } s' \ f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s]$  **by** *clarsimp metis*  
**hence**  $\forall t \in (\text{down } T \ \tau). \forall \tau \in (\text{down } T \ t). G \ (x \ \tau)$   
**by** (*simp add: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl*)  
**also have**  $\forall \tau \in (\text{down } T \ \tau). \tau \in T$   
**using**  $\langle \tau \in T \rangle$  *Thyp closed-segment-subset-interval* **by** *auto*  
**ultimately have**  $\forall t \in (\text{down } T \ \tau). x \ t \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**using**  $g\text{-orbital}I[OF \ x\text{-ivp}]$  **by** *meson*  
**hence**  $C \triangleright x \ (\text{down } T \ \tau)$   
**using**  $\text{wp-g-orbit-IdD}[OF \ \text{assms}(3)]$  **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $s' \in g\text{-orbital } f \ (\lambda s. G \ s \ \wedge \ C \ s) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**using**  $g\text{-orbital}I[OF \ x\text{-ivp } \langle \tau \in T \rangle]$   $\text{guard-}x \ \langle s' = x \ \tau \rangle$  **by** *fastforce*  
**thus**  $\bigwedge s \ s'. s' \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s \implies s' \in g\text{-orbital } f \ (\lambda s. G \ s \ \wedge \ C \ s) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**by** *blast*  
**next show**  $\bigwedge s \ s'. s' \in g\text{-orbital } f \ (\lambda s. G \ s \ \wedge \ C \ s) \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s \implies s' \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s$   
**by** (*auto simp: g-orbital-def*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *dCut*:

**assumes** *Thyp: is-interval*  $T \ t_0 \in T$   
**and**  $\text{wp-}C: [P] \leq \text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ [C]$   
**and**  $\text{wp-}Q: [P] \subseteq \text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. G \ s \ \wedge \ C \ s) \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ [Q]$   
**shows**  $[P] \subseteq \text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \ [Q]$   
**proof** (*subst wp-rel, simp add: g-orbital-eq p2r-def, clarsimp*)  
**fix**  $\tau :: \text{real}$  **and**  $x :: \text{real}$  **assume**  $P \ (x \ t_0)$  **and**  $\tau \in T$   
**and**  $x\text{-solves}: D \ x = (\lambda t. f \ (x \ t)) \text{ on } T \ x \in T \rightarrow S$

```

    and guard-x:  $\forall t. t \in T \wedge t \leq \tau \longrightarrow G(x\ t)$ 
  hence  $\forall r \in (\text{down } T\ \tau). x\ r \in (g\text{-orbital } f\ G\ T\ S\ t_0)\ (x\ t_0)$ 
    by (auto intro!: g-orbitalI x-solves  $\langle \tau \in T \rangle$ )
  hence  $\forall t \in (\text{down } T\ \tau). C(x\ t)$ 
    using wp-C  $\langle P(x\ t_0) \rangle$  by (subst (asm) wp-rel, auto)
  hence  $x\ \tau \in (g\text{-orbital } f\ (\lambda s. G\ s \wedge C\ s)\ T\ S\ t_0)\ (x\ t_0)$ 
    apply(simp) apply(rule g-orbitalI)
    using guard-x by (auto intro!: x-solves  $\langle \tau \in T \rangle$ )
  thus  $Q(x\ \tau)$ 
    using  $\langle P(x\ t_0) \rangle$  wp-Q by (subst (asm) wp-rel) auto
qed

```

### Differential Invariant

**lemma** *dInvariant*:

```

  assumes I is-diff-invariant-of f along T S from t0 and  $I_S = (\lambda s. s \in S \wedge I\ s)$ 
  shows  $\lceil I_S \rceil \leq \text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @\ t_0) \lceil I_S \rceil$ 
  using assms(1) unfolding diff-invariant-def wp-g-evolution
  by(auto simp: p2r-def assms(2) ivp-sols-def)

```

**lemma** *dInvariant-converse*:

```

  assumes  $\lceil \lambda s. s \in S \wedge I\ s \rceil \leq \text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \text{True}) \text{ on } T\ S \ @\ t_0) \lceil \lambda s. s \in S \wedge I\ s \rceil$ 
  shows I is-diff-invariant-of f along T S from t0
  using assms unfolding invariant-to-set wp-rel by(auto simp: p2r-def)

```

**lemma** *dI*:

```

  assumes Thyp: is-interval T t0 ∈ T
    and  $\lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil I \rceil$  and  $\lceil I \rceil \leq \text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @\ t_0) \lceil I \rceil$  and  $\lceil I \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil$ 
  shows  $\lceil P \rceil \leq \text{wp } (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @\ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil$ 
  apply(rule-tac C=I in dCut[OF Thyp])
  using order.trans[OF assms(3,4)] apply assumption
  apply(rule dWeakening)
  using assms by auto

```

**end**

**theory** *cat2rel-examples*

```

  imports ../hs-prelims-matrices cat2rel

```

**begin**

### 4.2.3 Examples

**no-notation** *Archimedean-Field.ceiling* ( $\lceil - \rceil$ )

and *Archimedean-Field.floor-ceiling-class.floor* ( $\lfloor - \rfloor$ )

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-linear-system*:

```

  fixes  $A :: \text{real}^n \times \text{real}^n$ 
  defines  $L \equiv (\text{real } \text{CARD}(n))^2 * (\|A\|_{\text{max}})$ 
  shows picard-lindelof  $(\lambda t\ s. A * v\ s) \text{ UNIV UNIV } 0$ 

```

```

apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
apply(rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=L in exI, safe)
using max-norm-ge-0[of A] unfolding assms by force (rule matrix-lipschitz-constant)

```

```

lemma picard-lindelof-sq-mtx:
  fixes A::('n::finite) sqrd-matrix
  defines L  $\equiv$  (real CARD('n))2 * (||to-vec A||max)
  shows picard-lindelof ( $\lambda t s. A *_{\mathbf{V}} s$ ) UNIV UNIV 0
  apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
  apply(rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=L in exI, safe)
  using max-norm-ge-0[of to-vec A] unfolding assms apply force
  by transfer (rule matrix-lipschitz-constant)

```

```

lemma local-flow-exp:
  fixes A::('n::finite) sqrd-matrix
  shows local-flow ((*V) A) UNIV UNIV ( $\lambda t s. \exp(t *_{\mathbf{R}} A) *_{\mathbf{V}} s$ )
  unfolding local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def apply safe
  using picard-lindelof-sq-mtx apply blast
  using exp-has-vderiv-on-linear[of 0] apply force
  by(auto simp: sq-mtx-one-vec)

```

The examples in this subsection show different approaches for the verification of hybrid systems. however, the general approach can be outlined as follows: First, we select a finite type to model program variables  $'n$ . We use this to define a vector field  $f$  of type  $('a, 'n) \text{vec} \Rightarrow ('a, 'n) \text{vec}$  to model the dynamics of our system. Then we show a partial correctness specification involving the evolution command  $x' = f \ \& \ S$  either by finding a flow for the vector field or through differential invariants.

### Single constantly accelerated evolution

The main characteristics distinguishing this example from the rest are:

1. We define the finite type of program variables with 2 Isabelle strings which make the final verification easier to parse.
2. We define the vector field (named  $K$ ) to model a constantly accelerated object.
3. We define a local flow ( $\varphi_K$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for this vector field.
4. The verification is only done on a single evolution command (not operated with any other hybrid program).

```

typedef program-vars = {"x", "v"}
morphisms to-str to-var
apply(rule-tac x="x" in exI)

```

by *simp*

**notation** *to-var* ( $\downarrow_V$ )

**lemma** *number-of-program-vars*:  $CARD(program\text{-}vars) = 2$   
 using *type-definition.card type-definition-program-vars* by *fastforce*

**instance** *program-vars::finite*  
 apply(*standard, subst bij-betw-finite*[of *to-str UNIV* {"x","v"}])  
 apply(*rule bij-betwI'*)  
 apply (*simp add: to-str-inject*)  
 using *to-str* apply *blast*  
 apply (*metis to-var-inverse UNIV-I*)  
 by *simp*

**lemma** *program-vars-univD*:  $(UNIV::program\text{-}vars\ set) = \{\downarrow_V\ "x", \downarrow_V\ "v"\}$   
 apply *auto* by (*metis to-str to-str-inverse insertE singletonD*)

**lemma** *program-vars-exhaust*:  $x = \downarrow_V\ "x" \vee x = \downarrow_V\ "v"$   
 using *program-vars-univD* by *auto*

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics*  $g\ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi\ i.\ \text{if } i = (\downarrow_V\ "x") \text{ then } s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V\ "v") \text{ else } g)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics* ( $K$ )

**lemma** *cnst-acc-continuous*:  
 fixes  $X::(\text{real}^{\text{program-vars}})\ set$   
 shows *continuous-on*  $X\ (K\ g)$   
 apply(*rule continuous-on-vec-lambda*)  
 unfolding *continuous-on-def* apply *clarsimp*  
 by(*intro tendsto-intros*)

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-cnst-acc*:  
 fixes  $g::\text{real}$   
 shows *picard-lindelof*  $(\lambda t.\ K\ g)\ UNIV\ UNIV\ 0$   
 apply(*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp*)  
 apply(*rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI*)  
 by(*simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def program-vars-univD to-var-inject*)

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow*  $g\ t\ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi\ i.\ \text{if } i = (\downarrow_V\ "x") \text{ then } g \cdot t^2/2 + s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V\ "v") \cdot t + s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V\ "x")$   
 $\text{else } g \cdot t + s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V\ "v"))$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow* ( $\varphi_K$ )

**lemma** *local-flow-cnst-acc*: *local-flow*  $(K\ g)\ UNIV\ UNIV\ (\varphi_K\ g)$   
 unfolding *local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def* apply *safe*  
 using *picard-lindelof-cnst-acc* apply *blast*

```

apply(rule has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda, clarify)
apply(case-tac i =  $\downarrow_V$  "x'")
using program-vars-exhaust by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: to-var-inject
vec-eq-iff)

```

**lemma** *single-evolution-ball*:

```

fixes h::real assumes  $g < 0$  and  $h \geq 0$ 
shows  $\lceil \lambda s. s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \text{"x''}) = h \wedge s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \text{"v''}) = 0 \rceil$ 
 $\leq wp \ (x' = K \ g \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \text{"x''}) \geq 0))$ 
 $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \text{"x''}) \wedge s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \text{"x''}) \leq h \rceil$ 
apply(subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit[OF local-flow-cnst-acc], simp-all)
using assms by(auto simp: mult-nonneg-nonpos2)

```

**no-notation** *to-var* ( $\downarrow_V$ )

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics* ( $K$ )

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow* ( $\varphi_K$ )

### Single evolution revisited.

We list again the characteristics that distinguish this example:

1. We employ an existing finite type of size 3 to model program variables.
2. We define a  $3 \times 3$  matrix (named  $K$ ) to denote the linear operator that models the constantly accelerated motion.
3. We define a local flow ( $\varphi_K$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for this linear operator.
4. The verification is done equivalently to the above example.

**term**  $x::2$  — It turns out that there is already a 2-element type:

```

lemma  $CARD(program-vars) = CARD(2)$ 
unfolding number-of-program-vars by simp

```

In fact, for each natural number  $n$  there is already a corresponding  $n$ -element type in Isabelle. however, there are still lemmas to prove about them in order to do verification of hybrid systems in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean spaces.

**lemma** *exhaust-5*: — The analogs for 1,2 and 3 have already been proven in Analysis.

```

fixes x::5
shows  $x=1 \vee x=2 \vee x=3 \vee x=4 \vee x=5$ 
proof (induct x)
case (of-int z)
then have  $0 \leq z$  and  $z < 5$  by simp-all
then have  $z = 0 \vee z = 1 \vee z = 2 \vee z = 3 \vee z = 4$  by arith

```

**then show** *?case by auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *UNIV-3*:  $(UNIV::3 \text{ set}) = \{0, 1, 2\}$   
**apply** *safe using exhaust-3 three-eq-zero by(blast, auto)*

**lemma** *sum-axis-UNIV-3[simp]*:  $(\sum_{j \in (UNIV::3 \text{ set})}. \text{axis } i \ 1 \ \$ j \cdot f j) = (f::3 \Rightarrow \text{real}) \ i$   
**unfolding** *axis-def UNIV-3* **apply** *simp*  
**using** *exhaust-3 by force*

We can rewrite the original constant acceleration kinematics as a linear operator applied to a 3-dimensional vector. For that we take advantage of the following fact:

**lemma** *e 1* =  $(\chi \ j::3. \text{if } j=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } j=1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$   
**unfolding** *axis-def* **by**(*rule Cart-lambda-cong, simp*)

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*  $\equiv$   
 $(\chi \ i::3. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } e \ 1 \text{ else if } i=1 \text{ then } e \ 2 \text{ else } (0::\text{real}^3))$

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow*  $t \ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi \ i::3. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot t^2/2 + s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot t + s \ \$ \ 0$   
 $\text{else if } i=1 \text{ then } s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot t + s \ \$ \ 1 \text{ else } s \ \$ \ 2)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*  $(A)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow*  $(\varphi_A)$

With these 2 definitions and the proof that linear systems of ODEs are Picard-Lindelof, we can show that they form a pair of vector-field and its flow.

**lemma** *entries-cnst-acc-matrix*: *entries*  $A = \{0, 1\}$   
**apply** (*simp-all add: axis-def, safe*)  
**by**(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, simp*)+

**lemma** *local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix*: *local-flow*  $((\ast v) \ A) \ UNIV \ UNIV \ \varphi_A$   
**unfolding** *local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def* **apply** *safe*  
**apply**(*rule picard-lindelof-linear-system[where A=A], simp-all add: vec-eq-iff*)  
**apply**(*rule has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda*)  
**apply**(*auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def vec-eq-iff*)  
**using** *exhaust-3 by force*

Finally, we compute the wlp and use it to verify the single-evolution ball again.

**lemma** *single-evolution-ball-K*:  

$$\begin{aligned} & [\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ \ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ \ 2] \\ & \leq wp \ (x' = (\ast v) \ A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 \geq 0)) \\ & [\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 \leq h] \end{aligned}$$

```

apply(subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit[of (*v) A])
using local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix apply force
by(auto simp: mult-nonneg-nonpos2)

```

### Circular Motion

The characteristics that distinguish this example are:

1. We employ an existing finite type of size 2 to model program variables.
2. We define a  $2 \times 2$  matrix (named  $C$ ) to denote the linear operator that models circular motion.
3. We show that the circle equation is a differential invariant for the linear operator.
4. We prove the partial correctness specification corresponding to the previous point.
5. For completeness, we define a local flow ( $\varphi_C$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for the linear operator and the specification is proven again with this flow.

```

lemma two-eq-zero: (2::2) = 0
by simp

```

```

lemma [simp]: i ≠ (0::2) ⟶ i = 1
using exhaust-2 by fastforce

```

```

lemma UNIV-2: (UNIV::2 set) = {0, 1}
apply safe using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by auto

```

```

abbreviation circular-motion-matrix :: real^2^2
where circular-motion-matrix ≡ (χ i. if i=0 then - e 1 else e 0)

```

```

notation circular-motion-matrix (C)

```

```

lemma circle-invariant:
  (λs. r^2 = (s $ 0)^2 + (s $ 1)^2) is-diff-invariant-of (*v) C along UNIV UNIV
  from 0
  apply(rule-tac diff-invariant-rules, clarsimp, simp, clarsimp)
  apply(frule-tac i=0 in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth, drule-tac i=1 in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
  apply(rule-tac S=UNIV in has-vderiv-on-subset)
  by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def)

```

```

lemma circular-motion-invariants:
  [λs. r^2 = (s $ 0)^2 + (s $ 1)^2] ≤ wp (x'=(*v) C & G) [λs. r^2 = (s $ 0)^2 + (s
  $ 1)^2]
  apply(rule-tac I=λs. r^2 = (s $ 0)^2 + (s $ 1)^2 in dInvariant)

```

**using** *circle-invariant* **by** *auto*

— Proof of the same specification by providing solutions:

**lemma** *entries-circ-matrix*: *entries*  $C = \{0, -1, 1\}$   
**apply** (*simp-all* *add: axis-def*, *safe*)  
**subgoal** **by**(*rule-tac*  $x=0$  **in** *exI*, *simp*) +  
**subgoal** **by**(*rule-tac*  $x=0$  **in** *exI*, *simp*) +  
**by**(*rule-tac*  $x=1$  **in** *exI*, *simp*) +

**abbreviation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow*  $t\ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi\ i.\ \text{if } i = (0::2)\ \text{then } s\$0 \cdot \cos t - s\$1 \cdot \sin t\ \text{else } s\$0 \cdot \sin t + s\$1 \cdot \cos t)$

**notation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow*  $(\varphi_C)$

**lemma** *local-flow-circ-matrix*: *local-flow*  $((*v)\ C)\ UNIV\ UNIV\ \varphi_C$   
**unfolding** *local-flow-def* *local-flow-axioms-def* **apply** *safe*  
**apply**(*rule* *picard-lindelof-linear-system*[**where**  $A=C$ ], *simp-all* *add: vec-eq-iff*)  
**apply**(*rule* *has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda*)  
**apply**(*force* *intro!*: *poly-derivatives* *simp: matrix-vector-mult-def*)  
**using** *exhaust-2* *two-eq-zero* **by**(*force* *simp: vec-eq-iff*)

**lemma** *circular-motion*:  
 $\lceil \lambda s.\ r^2 = (s\ \$\ 0)^2 + (s\ \$\ 1)^2 \rceil \leq wp\ (x' = (*v)\ C \ \&\ G)\ \lceil \lambda s.\ r^2 = (s\ \$\ 0)^2 + (s\ \$\ 1)^2 \rceil$   
**by**(*subst* *local-flow.wp-g-orbit*[*OF* *local-flow-circ-matrix*]) *auto*

**no-notation** *circular-motion-matrix*  $(C)$

**no-notation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow*  $(\varphi_C)$

## Bouncing Ball with solution

We revisit the previous dynamics for a constantly accelerated object modelled with the matrix  $K$ . We compose the corresponding evolution command with an if-statement, and iterate this hybrid program to model a (completely elastic) “bouncing ball”. Using the previously defined flow for this dynamics, proving a specification for this hybrid program is merely an exercise of real arithmetic.

**named-theorems** *bb-real-arith* *real arithmetic properties for the bouncing ball.*

**lemma** [*bb-real-arith*]:  
**assumes**  $0 > g$  **and** *inv*:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h = v \cdot v$   
**shows**  $(x::\text{real}) \leq h$   
**proof**—  
**have**  $v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h \wedge 0 > g$   
**using** *inv* **and**  $\langle 0 > g \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
**hence** *obs*:  $v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot (x - h) \wedge 0 > g \wedge v \cdot v \geq 0$



```

    using left-diff-distrib mult.commute by (metis zero-le-square)
  hence  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) = (x - h)$ 
    by auto
  also from obs have  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) \leq 0$ 
    using divide-nonneg-neg by fastforce
  ultimately have  $h - x \geq 0$ 
    by linarith
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma [bb-real-arith]:

```

  assumes invar:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$ 
    and pos:  $g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real) = 0$ 
  shows  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0$ 
    and  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0$ 
  proof-
    from pos have  $g \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot x = 0$  by auto
    then have  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 0$ 
      by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) Groups.mult-ac(1,3) mult-zero-right
        monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square semiring-class.distrib-left)
    hence  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + v^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0$ 
      using invar by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
    hence obs:  $(g \cdot \tau + v)^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0$ 
      apply (subst power2-sum) by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Groups.add-ac(2, 3)
        Groups.mult-ac(2, 3) monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square nat-distrib(2))
    thus  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0$ 
      by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
    have  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- ((g \cdot \tau) + v))^2 = 0$ 
      using obs by (metis Groups.add-ac(2) power2-minus)
    thus  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0$ 
      by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
  qed

```

lemma [bb-real-arith]:

```

  assumes invar:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$ 
  shows  $2 \cdot g \cdot (g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real)) =$ 
     $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v))$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof-
    have ?lhs =  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x$ 
      apply (subst Rat.sign-simps(18))+
      by (auto simp: semiring-normalization-rules(29))
    also have ... =  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$  (is ... = ?middle)
      by (subst invar, simp)
    finally have ?lhs = ?middle.
  moreover
  {have ?rhs =  $g \cdot g \cdot (\tau \cdot \tau) + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$ 
    by (simp add: Groups.mult-ac(2,3) semiring-class.distrib-left)
    also have ... = ?middle

```

by (simp add: semiring-normalization-rules(29))  
 finally have ?rhs = ?middle.  
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto  
 qed

**lemma** *bouncing-ball*:

$\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ \ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ \ 2 \rceil \subseteq$   
 $wp \ (((x' = (*v) \ A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 \geq 0)));$   
 $(IF \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 = 0) \ THEN \ (1 ::= (\lambda s. - \ s \ \$ \ 1)) \ ELSE \ Id \ FI))^*)$   
 $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 \leq h \rceil$   
**apply**(rule-tac  $I = \lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ \ 2 \wedge$   
 $2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 0 = 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot h + (s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot s \ \$ \ 1) \rceil$  **in** *rel-ad-mka-starI*)  
**apply**(simp, simp only: *rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-seq*)  
**apply**(subst *p2r-r2p-wp[symmetric, of (IF (\lambda s. s \ \\$ \ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s.*  
 $- \ s \ \$ \ 1)) \ ELSE \ Id \ FI))$ )  
**apply**(subst *local-flow.wp-g-orbit[OF local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix]*, simp)  
**apply**(subst *wp-trafo*) **unfolding** *rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.cond-def*  
*rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.ads-d-def* **by**(auto simp: *p2r-def rel-ad-def bb-real-arith*)

## Bouncing Ball with invariants

We prove again the bouncing ball but this time with differential invariants.

**lemma** *gravity-invariant*:  $(\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 2 < 0)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $(*v) \ A$  along UNIV UNIV from 0

**apply**(rule-tac  $\vartheta' = \lambda s. 0$  **and**  $\nu' = \lambda s. 0$  **in** *diff-invariant-rules(3)*, *clarsimp*, *simp*,  
*clarsimp*)  
**apply**(drule-tac  $i = 2$  **in** *has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*)  
**apply**(rule-tac  $S = UNIV$  **in** *has-vderiv-on-subset*)  
**by**(auto intro!: *poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def*)

**lemma** *energy-conservation-invariant*:

$(\lambda s. 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 0 - 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot h - s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot s \ \$ \ 1 = 0)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $(*v) \ A$   
 along UNIV UNIV from 0

**apply**(rule *diff-invariant-rules*, *simp*, *simp*, *clarify*)  
**apply**(rule-tac  $i = 2$  **in** *has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*)  
**apply**(rule-tac  $i = 1$  **in** *has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*)  
**apply**(drule-tac  $i = 0$  **in** *has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*)  
**apply**(rule-tac  $S = UNIV$  **in** *has-vderiv-on-subset*)  
**by**(auto intro!: *poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def*)

**lemma** *bouncing-ball-invariants*:

**fixes**  $h :: \text{real}$   
**defines**  $dinv: I \equiv \lambda s :: \text{real}^3. s \ \$ \ 2 < 0 \wedge 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 0 - 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot h - (s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot$   
 $s \ \$ \ 1) = 0$   
**shows**  $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ \ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ \ 2 \rceil \subseteq$   
 $wp \ (((x' = (*v) \ A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 \geq 0)));$   
 $(IF \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 = 0) \ THEN \ (1 ::= (\lambda s. - \ s \ \$ \ 1)) \ ELSE \ Id \ FI))^*)$   
 $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 \leq h \rceil$   
**apply**(rule-tac  $I = \lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge I \ s \rceil$  **in** *rel-ad-mka-starI*)

```

  apply(simp add: dinv, simp only: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-seq)
  apply(subst p2r-r2p-wp[symmetric, of (IF (λs. s $ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (λs.
- s $ 1)) ELSE Id FI))])
  apply(rule-tac I=λs. 0 ≤ s$0 ∧ I s in dI, simp, simp, simp)
  apply(subst wp-guard-eq, simp)
  apply(rule order.trans[where b=[I]], simp)
  apply(rule dInvariant[of I], unfold dinv)
  apply(intro diff-invariant-rules(4))
using gravity-invariant apply force
using energy-conservation-invariant apply(force, force)
  apply(subst wp-trafo) unfolding rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.cond-def
  rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.ads-d-def by(auto simp: p2r-def rel-ad-def bb-real-arith)

```

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix* ( $A$ )

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow* ( $\varphi_A$ )

### Bouncing Ball with exponential solution

In our final example, we prove again the bouncing ball specification but this time we do it with the general solution for linear systems.

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx*  $\equiv$   
*sq-mtx-chi constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx* ( $K$ )

**lemma** *max-norm-cnst-acc-sq-mtx*:  $\|to\text{-}vec\ K\|_{max} = 1$

**proof**–

```

  have {to-vec K $ i $ j | i j. i ∈ UNIV ∧ j ∈ UNIV} = {0, 1}
    apply (simp-all add: axis-def, safe)
    by(rule-tac x=1 in exI, simp)+
  thus ?thesis
    by auto

```

**qed**

**lemma** *const-acc-mtx-pow2*:  $(\tau *_R K)^2 = sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\ (\chi\ i.\ if\ i=0\ then\ \tau^2 *_R e\ 2\ else\ 0)$

```

  unfolding monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square apply(simp add: scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def)
  unfolding times-sqrd-matrix-def apply(simp add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff)
  apply(simp add: matrix-matrix-mult-def)
  unfolding UNIV-3 by(auto simp: axis-def)

```

**lemma** *const-acc-mtx-powN*:  $n > 2 \implies (\tau *_R K)^n = 0$

**proof**(*induct n*)

case 0

thus ?case by simp

**next**

case (*Suc n*)

assume *IH*:  $2 < n \implies (\tau *_R K)^n = 0$  and  $2 < Suc\ n$

```

then show ?case
proof(cases n ≤ 2)
  case True
    hence n = 2
    using ⟨2 < Suc n⟩ le-less-Suc-eq by blast
    hence (τ *R K) ^ (Suc n) = (τ *R K) ^ 3
    by simp
    also have ... = (τ *R K) · (τ *R K) ^ 2
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨n = 2⟩ calculation power-class.power.power-Suc)
    also have ... = (τ *R K) · sq-mtx-chi (χ i. if i=0 then τ2 *R e 2 else 0)
    by (subst const-acc-mtx-pow2) simp
    also have ... = 0
    unfolding times-sqrd-matrix-def zero-sqrd-matrix-def
    apply (simp add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def)
    apply (simp add: matrix-matrix-mult-def)
    unfolding UNIV-3 by (auto simp: axis-def)
    finally show ?thesis .
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
    using IH by auto
qed
qed

```

**lemma** *suminf-eq-sum*:

```

fixes f :: nat ⇒ ('a::real-normed-vector)
assumes ⋀n. n > m ⇒ f n = 0
shows (∑ n. f n) = (∑ n ≤ m. f n)
using assms by (meson atMost-iff finite-atMost not-le suminf-finite)

```

**lemma** *exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx*:  $\exp(\tau *_{\mathbf{R}} K) = ((\tau *_{\mathbf{R}} K)^2 /_{\mathbf{R}} 2) + (\tau *_{\mathbf{R}} K) + 1$

```

unfolding exp-def apply (subst suminf-eq-sum[of 2])
using const-acc-mtx-powN by (simp-all add: numeral-2-eq-2)

```

**lemma** *exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps*:

```

exp (τ *R K) $$ 0 $ 0 = 1 exp (τ *R K) $$ 0 $ 1 = τ exp (τ *R K) $$ 0 $ 2
= τ ^ 2 / 2
exp (τ *R K) $$ 1 $ 0 = 0 exp (τ *R K) $$ 1 $ 1 = 1 exp (τ *R K) $$ 1 $ 2
= τ
exp (τ *R K) $$ 2 $ 0 = 0 exp (τ *R K) $$ 2 $ 1 = 0 exp (τ *R K) $$ 2 $ 2
= 1
unfolding exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx const-acc-mtx-pow2
by (auto simp: plus-sqrd-matrix-def scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def one-sqrd-matrix-def
mat-def
scaleR-vec-def axis-def plus-vec-def)

```

**lemma** *bouncing-ball-K*:

```

[λ s. 0 ≤ s $ 0 ∧ s $ 0 = h ∧ s $ 1 = 0 ∧ 0 > s $ 2] ⊆
wp (((x' = (*V) K) & (λ s. s $ 0 ≥ 0));

```

```

(IF (λ s. s $ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (λ s. - s $ 1)) ELSE Id FI))*
[λ s. 0 ≤ s $ 0 ∧ s $ 0 ≤ h]
apply(rule-tac I=[λ s. 0 ≤ s$0 ∧ 0 > s$2 ∧
2 · s$2 · s$0 = 2 · s$2 · h + (s$1 · s$1)] in rel-ad-mka-starI)
  apply(simp, simp only: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-seq)
  apply(subst p2r-r2p-wp[symmetric, of (IF (λ s. s $ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (λ s.
- s $ 1)) ELSE Id FI))])
  apply(subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit[OF local-flow-exp], simp)
  apply(subst rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-cond-var)
  apply(simp add: wp-rel sq-mtx-vec-prod-eq)
  apply(simp add: p2r-r2p-simps)
unfolding UNIV-3 apply(simp add: exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps, safe)
subgoal for x using bb-real-arith(3)[of x $ 2]
  by (simp add: add commute mult commute)
subgoal for x τ using bb-real-arith(4)[where g=x $ 2 and v=x $ 1]
  by(simp add: add commute mult commute)
by (force simp: bb-real-arith p2r-def)

no-notation constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx (K)

end
theory kat2rel
  imports
    ../hs-prelims-dyn-sys
    ../../afpModified/VC-KAT

begin

```



## Chapter 5

# Hybrid System Verification with relations

— We start by deleting some conflicting notation.

**no-notation** *Archimedean-Field.ceiling* ( $\lceil - \rceil$ )  
**and** *Archimedean-Field.floor-ceiling-class.floor* ( $\lfloor - \rfloor$ )  
**and** *Relation.Domain* ( $r2s$ )  
**and** *VC-KAT.gets* ( $- ::= - [70, 65] 61$ )  
**and** *tau* ( $\tau$ )

### 5.1 Verification of regular programs

Below we explore the behavior of the forward box operator from the antidomain kleene algebra with the lifting ( $\lceil - \rceil^*$ ) operator from predicates to relations  $\lceil P \rceil = \{(s, s) \mid s. P\ s\}$  and its dropping counterpart  $r2p\ R = (\lambda x. x \in \text{Domain } R)$ .

**thm** *sH-H*

**lemma** *sH-weaken-pre*:  $\text{rel-kat.H } \lceil P2 \rceil\ R\ \lceil Q \rceil \implies \lceil P1 \rceil \subseteq \lceil P2 \rceil \implies \text{rel-kat.H } \lceil P1 \rceil\ R\ \lceil Q \rceil$   
**unfolding** *sH-H* **by** *auto*

Next, we introduce assignments and compute their Hoare triple.

**abbreviation** *vec-upd*  $:: ('a \wedge 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'b$   
**where** *vec-upd*  $x\ i\ a \equiv \text{vec-lambda } ((\text{vec-nth } x)(i := a))$

**abbreviation** *assign*  $:: 'b \Rightarrow ('a \wedge 'b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \wedge 'b)\ \text{rel } ((\lambda - ::= -) [70, 65] 61)$   
**where**  $(x ::= e) \equiv \{(s, \text{vec-upd } s\ x\ (e\ s)) \mid s. \text{True}\}$

**lemma** *sH-assign-iff* [*simp*]:  $\text{rel-kat.H } \lceil P \rceil\ (x ::= e)\ \lceil Q \rceil \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow Q\ (\text{vec-upd } s\ x\ (e\ s)))$   
**unfolding** *sH-H* **by** *simp*

Next, the Hoare triple of the composition:

**lemma** *sH-relcomp*:  $rel\text{-}kat.H \ [P] \ X \ [R] \Longrightarrow rel\text{-}kat.H \ [R] \ Y \ [Q] \Longrightarrow rel\text{-}kat.H \ [P] \ (X ; Y) \ [Q]$   
**using** *rel-kat.H-seq-swap* **by** *force*

**lemma** *rel-kat.H [P] (X ; Y) [Q] = rel-kat.H [P] (X) {(s,s') | s s'. (s,s') ∈ Y → Q s' }*  
**unfolding** *rel-kat.H-def* **apply**(*auto simp: subset-eq p2r-def Int-def*)  
**oops**

There is also already an implementation of the conditional operator *if p then x else y fi* =  $t \ p \cdot x + !p \cdot y$  and its Hoare triple rule:  $\llbracket PRE \ P \sqcap \ T \ X \ POST \ Q; \ PRE \ P \sqcap \ - \ T \ Y \ POST \ Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow PRE \ P \ (IF \ T \ THEN \ X \ ELSE \ Y \ FI) \ POST \ Q$ .

Finally, we add a Hoare triple rule for a simple finite iteration.

**lemma** (*in kat*) *H-star-self*:  $H \ (t \ i) \ x \ i \Longrightarrow H \ (t \ i) \ (x^*) \ i$   
**unfolding** *H-def* **by** (*simp add: local.star-sim2*)

**lemma** (*in kat*) *H-star*:  
**assumes**  $t \ p \leq t \ i$  **and**  $H \ (t \ i) \ x \ i$  **and**  $t \ i \leq t \ q$   
**shows**  $H \ (t \ p) \ (x^*) \ q$   
**proof**–  
**have**  $H \ (t \ i) \ (x^*) \ i$   
**using** *assms(2) H-star-self* **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $H \ (t \ p) \ (x^*) \ i$   
**apply**(*simp add: H-def*)  
**using** *assms(1) local.phl-cons1* **by** *blast*  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**unfolding** *H-def* **using** *assms(3) local.phl-cons2* **by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** *sH-star*:  
**assumes**  $\lceil P \rceil \subseteq \lceil I \rceil$  **and**  $rel\text{-}kat.H \ \lceil I \rceil \ R \ \lceil I \rceil$  **and**  $\lceil I \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil$   
**shows**  $rel\text{-}kat.H \ \lceil P \rceil \ (R^*) \ \lceil Q \rceil$   
**using** *rel-kat.H-star[of [P] [I] R [Q]]* *assms* **by** *auto*

## 5.2 Verification of hybrid programs

**abbreviation** *g-evolution* ::  $((a::\text{banach}) \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow a \text{ pred} \Rightarrow \text{real set} \Rightarrow a \text{ set} \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{real} \Rightarrow a \text{ rel } ((1x' = - \ \& \ - \text{ on } - \ @ \ -))$   
**where**  $(x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S \ @ \ t_0) \equiv \{(s, s') \mid s \ s'. \ s' \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T \ S \ t_0 \ s\}$

**abbreviation** *g-evol* ::  $((a::\text{banach}) \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow a \text{ pred} \Rightarrow a \text{ rel } ((1x' = - \ \& \ -))$   
**where**  $(x' = f \ \& \ G) \equiv (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } UNIV \ UNIV \ @ \ 0)$



### 5.2.1 Verification by providing solutions

**lemma** *sH-g-evolution*:

**assumes**  $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{ivp-sols}\ (\lambda t. f)\ T\ S\ t_0\ s. \forall t \in T. (G \triangleright x\ (\text{down } T\ t)) \longrightarrow Q\ (x\ t))$   
**shows**  $\text{rel-kat.H}\ [P]\ (x' = f \ \&\ G\ \text{on } T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ [Q]$   
**using** *assms unfolding g-orbital-eq sH-H ivp-sols-def by auto*

**lemma** *sH-guard-rule*:

**assumes**  $R = (\lambda s. G\ s \wedge Q\ s)$  **and**  $\text{rel-kat.H}\ [P]\ (x' = f \ \&\ G\ \text{on } T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ [Q]$   
**shows**  $\text{rel-kat.H}\ [P]\ (x' = f \ \&\ G\ \text{on } T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ [R]$   
**using** *assms unfolding g-orbital-eq sH-H ivp-sols-def by auto*

**context** *local-flow*

**begin**

**lemma** *sH-orbit*:

**assumes**  $S = \text{UNIV}$  **and**  $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. Q\ (\varphi\ t\ s))$   
**shows**  $\text{rel-kat.H}\ [P]\ (\{(s, s') \mid s\ s'.\ s' \in \gamma^\varphi\ s\})\ [Q]$   
**using** *orbit-eq assms(2) unfolding assms(1) sH-H by auto*

**lemma** *sH-g-orbit*:

**assumes**  $S = \text{UNIV}$  **and**  $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda t. \varphi\ t\ s)\ (\text{down } T\ t)) \longrightarrow Q\ (\varphi\ t\ s))$   
**shows**  $\text{rel-kat.H}\ [P]\ (x' = f \ \&\ G\ \text{on } T\ S\ @\ 0)\ [Q]$   
**using** *g-orbit-eq assms(2) unfolding assms(1) by (auto simp: sH-H)*

**lemma** *invariant-set-eq-dl-invariant*:

**assumes**  $S = \text{UNIV}$   
**shows**  $(\forall s. \forall t \in T. I\ s \longrightarrow I\ (\varphi\ t\ s)) = (\text{rel-kat.H}\ [I]\ (\{(s, s') \mid s\ s'.\ s' \in \gamma^\varphi\ s\})\ [I])$   
**using** *orbit-eq unfolding assms(1) sH-H apply(safe, clarsimp, clarsimp)*  
**by** *(erule-tac x=s in allE, simp, erule-tac x=φ t s in allE) force*

**end**

The previous theorem allows us to compute wlp for known systems of ODEs. We can also implement a version of it as an inference rule. A simple computation of a wlp is shown immediately after.

**lemma** *dSolution*:

**assumes** *local-flow*  $f\ T\ \text{UNIV}\ \varphi$   
**and**  $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda \tau. \varphi\ \tau\ s)\ (\text{down } T\ t)) \longrightarrow Q\ (\varphi\ t\ s))$   
**shows**  $\text{rel-kat.H}\ [P]\ (x' = f \ \&\ G\ \text{on } T\ \text{UNIV}\ @\ 0)\ [Q]$   
**using** *assms by(subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit, auto)*

**lemma** *line-is-local-flow*:

$0 \in T \implies \text{is-interval } T \implies \text{open } T \implies \text{local-flow } (\lambda s. c)\ T\ \text{UNIV } (\lambda t\ s. s + t *_{\text{R}} c)$

```

apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
apply(rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, simp)
apply(rule-tac f'1=λ s. 0 and g'1=λ s. c in derivative-intros(191))
apply(rule derivative-intros, simp) +
by simp-all

```

```

lemma line-DS: fixes c::'a::{heine-borel, banach}
assumes 0 ∈ T and is-interval T open T
and  $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda \tau. s + \tau *_R c) \text{ (down } T\ t)) \longrightarrow Q\ (s + t *_R c))$ 
shows rel-kat.H  $[P] \ (x' = (\lambda s. c) \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \text{ UNIV } @\ 0) \ [Q]$ 
apply(subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit [where f=λs. c and φ=(λ t x. x + t *_R c)])
using line-is-local-flow assms by auto

```

### 5.2.2 Verification with differential invariants

We derive the domain specific rules of differential dynamic logic (dL). In each subsubsection, we first derive the dL axioms (named below with two capital letters and “D” being the first one). This is done mainly to prove that there are minimal requirements in Isabelle to get the dL calculus. Then we prove the inference rules which are used in verification proofs.

#### Differential Weakening

```

lemma dWeakening:
assumes  $[G] \leq [Q]$ 
shows rel-kat.H  $[P] \ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S @\ t_0) \ [Q]$ 
using assms unfolding g-orbital-eq sH-H ivp-sols-def by auto

```

#### Differential Cut

```

theorem dCut:
assumes Thyp: is-interval T t0 ∈ T
and wp-C:rel-kat.H  $[P] \ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S @\ t_0) \ [C]$ 
and wp-Q:rel-kat.H  $[P] \ (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. G\ s \wedge C\ s) \text{ on } T \ S @\ t_0) \ [Q]$ 
shows rel-kat.H  $[P] \ (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T \ S @\ t_0) \ [Q]$ 
proof(subst sH-H, simp add: g-orbital-eq p2r-def, clarsimp)
fix τ'::real and x::real  $\Rightarrow 'a$ 
assume guard-x:  $\forall \tau. \tau \in T \wedge \tau \leq \tau' \longrightarrow G\ (x\ \tau)$  and  $\tau' \in T$ 
and x-solves:D  $x = (\lambda t. f\ (x\ t)) \text{ on } T \ x \in T \rightarrow S$  and  $P\ (x\ t_0)$ 
hence  $\forall r \in (\text{down } T\ \tau'). x\ r \in (g\text{-orbital } f\ G\ T\ S\ t_0)\ (x\ t_0)$ 
by (auto intro!: g-orbitalI x-solves  $\langle \tau' \in T \rangle$ )
hence  $\forall t \in (\text{down } T\ \tau'). C\ (x\ t)$ 
using wp-C  $\langle P\ (x\ t_0) \rangle$  by (subst (asm) sH-H, auto)
hence  $x\ \tau' \in (g\text{-orbital } f\ (\lambda s. G\ s \wedge C\ s)\ T\ S\ t_0)\ (x\ t_0)$ 
apply(simp) apply(rule g-orbitalI)
using guard-x by (auto intro!: x-solves  $\langle \tau' \in T \rangle$ )
thus  $Q\ (x\ \tau')$ 
using  $\langle P\ (x\ t_0) \rangle$  wp-Q by (subst (asm) sH-H) auto

```

qed

### Differential Invariant

**lemma** *dInvariant*:

**assumes** *I* is-diff-invariant-of *f* along *T S* from *t*<sub>0</sub> **and**  $I_S = (\lambda s. s \in S \wedge I\ s)$   
**shows** *rel-kat.H*  $\lceil I_S \rceil (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @ \ t_0) \lceil I_S \rceil$   
**apply**(rule *sH-g-evolution*) **using** *assms*(1)  
**by** (auto simp: diff-invariant-def ivp-sols-def *assms*(2))

**lemma** *dInvariant-converse*:

**assumes** *rel-kat.H*  $\lceil \lambda s. s \in S \wedge I\ s \rceil (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \text{True}) \text{ on } T\ S \ @ \ t_0) \lceil \lambda s. s \in S \wedge I\ s \rceil$   
**shows** *I* is-diff-invariant-of *f* along *T S* from *t*<sub>0</sub>  
**using** *assms* **unfolding** invariant-to-set *sH-H* **apply** safe  
**by**(erule-tac *x=s* **in** *allE*, erule-tac *x=x* **in** *allE*, simp)

**lemma** *dI*:

**assumes** *Thyp*: is-interval *T t*<sub>0</sub>  $\in T$   
**and**  $\lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil I \rceil$  **and** *rel-kat.H*  $\lceil I \rceil (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @ \ t_0) \lceil I \rceil$  **and**  $\lceil I \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil$   
**shows** *rel-kat.H*  $\lceil P \rceil (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T\ S \ @ \ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil$   
**apply**(rule-tac *C=I* **in** *dCut[OF Thyp]*)  
**using** *assms*(3,4) **apply** (simp add: *sH-cons-1*)  
**apply**(rule *dWeakening*)  
**using** *assms* **by** auto

end

**theory** *kat2rel-examples*

**imports** ../hs-prelims-matrices *kat2rel*

begin

### 5.2.3 Examples

**no-notation** *Archimedean-Field.ceiling* ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ )

**and** *Archimedean-Field.floor-ceiling-class.floor* ( $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ )

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-linear-system*:

**fixes** *A*::real<sup>'n</sup><sup>'n</sup>  
**defines**  $L \equiv (\text{real } \text{CARD}('n))^2 * (\|A\|_{\max})$   
**shows** *picard-lindelof*  $(\lambda t\ s. A * v\ s) \text{ UNIV UNIV } 0$   
**apply**(*unfold-locales*, simp-all add: *local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def*, *clarsimp*)  
**apply**(rule-tac *x=1* **in** *exI*, *clarsimp*, rule-tac *x=L* **in** *exI*, safe)  
**using** *max-norm-ge-0[of A]* **unfolding** *assms* **by** force (rule *matrix-lipschitz-constant*)

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-sq-mtx*:

**fixes** *A*::('n::finite) sqrd-matrix  
**defines**  $L \equiv (\text{real } \text{CARD}('n))^2 * (\|to\text{-vec } A\|_{\max})$   
**shows** *picard-lindelof*  $(\lambda t\ s. A *_V s) \text{ UNIV UNIV } 0$

```

apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
apply(rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=L in exI, safe)
using max-norm-ge-0[of to-vec A] unfolding assms apply force
by transfer (rule matrix-lipschitz-constant)

```

```

lemma local-flow-exp:
  fixes A::('n::finite) sqrd-matrix
  shows local-flow ((*_V) A) UNIV UNIV (λt s. exp (t *_R A) *_V s)
  unfolding local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def apply safe
  using picard-lindelof-sq-mtx apply blast
  using exp-has-vderiv-on-linear[of 0] apply force
  by(auto simp: sq-mtx-one-vec)

```

The examples in this subsection show different approaches for the verification of hybrid systems. however, the general approach can be outlined as follows: First, we select a finite type to model program variables  $'n$ . We use this to define a vector field  $f$  of type  $('a, 'n) \text{vec} \Rightarrow ('a, 'n) \text{vec}$  to model the dynamics of our system. Then we show a partial correctness specification involving the evolution command  $x' = f \ \& \ S$  either by finding a flow for the vector field or through differential invariants.

### Single constantly accelerated evolution

The main characteristics distinguishing this example from the rest are:

1. We define the finite type of program variables with 2 Isabelle strings which make the final verification easier to parse.
2. We define the vector field (named  $K$ ) to model a constantly accelerated object.
3. We define a local flow ( $\varphi_K$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for this vector field.
4. The verification is only done on a single evolution command (not operated with any other hybrid program).

```

typedef program-vars = {"x", "v"}
morphisms to-str to-var
apply(rule-tac x="x" in exI)
by simp

```

```

notation to-var ( $\downarrow_V$ )

```

```

lemma number-of-program-vars:  $CARD(\text{program-vars}) = 2$ 
  using type-definition.card type-definition-program-vars by fastforce

```

```

instance program-vars::finite

```

```

apply(standard, subst bij-betw-finite[of to-str UNIV {"x","v"}])
apply(rule bij-betwI)
  apply (simp add: to-str-inject)
using to-str apply blast
apply (metis to-var-inverse UNIV-I)
by simp

```

```

lemma program-vars-univD: (UNIV::program-vars set) = { $\downarrow_V$  "x",  $\downarrow_V$  "v"}
apply auto by (metis to-str to-str-inverse insertE singletonD)

```

```

lemma program-vars-exhaust:  $x = \downarrow_V$  "x"  $\vee x = \downarrow_V$  "v"
using program-vars-univD by auto

```

```

abbreviation constant-acceleration-kinematics g s  $\equiv$ 
( $\chi$  i. if  $i = (\downarrow_V$  "x") then  $s \ \$ (\downarrow_V$  "v") else  $g$ )

```

```

notation constant-acceleration-kinematics (K)

```

```

lemma cnst-acc-continuous:
fixes X::(real^program-vars) set
shows continuous-on X (K g)
apply(rule continuous-on-vec-lambda)
unfolding continuous-on-def apply clarsimp
by(intro tendsto-intros)

```

```

lemma picard-lindelof-cnst-acc:
fixes g::real
shows picard-lindelof ( $\lambda t. K g$ ) UNIV UNIV 0
apply(unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp)
apply(rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI)
by(simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def program-vars-univD to-var-inject)

```

```

abbreviation constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow g  $\tau$  s  $\equiv$ 
( $\chi$  i. if  $i = (\downarrow_V$  "x") then  $g \cdot \tau \wedge 2/2 + s \ \$ (\downarrow_V$  "v")  $\cdot \tau + s \ \$ (\downarrow_V$  "x")
```

$$\text{else } g \cdot \tau + s \ \$ (\downarrow_V \text{ "v"})$$

```

notation constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow ( $\varphi_K$ )

```

```

lemma local-flow-cnst-acc: local-flow (K g) UNIV UNIV ( $\varphi_K g$ )
unfolding local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def apply safe
using picard-lindelof-cnst-acc apply blast
apply(rule has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda, clarify)
apply(case-tac i =  $\downarrow_V$  "x")
using program-vars-exhaust by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: to-var-inject
vec-eq-iff)

```

```

lemma single-evolution-ball:
fixes h::real assumes  $g < 0$  and  $h \geq 0$ 

```

```

shows rel-kat.H

$$\begin{aligned} & [\lambda s. s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \ "x'') = h \wedge s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \ "v'') = 0] \\ & (x' = K \ g \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \ "x'') \geq 0)) \\ & [\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \ "x'') \wedge s \ \$ \ (\downarrow_V \ "x'') \leq h] \\ & \textbf{apply}(subst \ local-flow.sH-g-orbit[OF \ local-flow-cnst-acc], \ simp-all) \\ & \textbf{using} \ assms \textbf{by}(auto \ simp: mult-nonneg-nonpos2)
\end{aligned}$$

```

**no-notation** *to-var* ( $\downarrow_V$ )

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics* ( $K$ )

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow* ( $\varphi_K$ )

### Single evolution revisited.

We list again the characteristics that distinguish this example:

1. We employ an existing finite type of size 3 to model program variables.
2. We define a  $3 \times 3$  matrix (named  $K$ ) to denote the linear operator that models the constantly accelerated motion.
3. We define a local flow ( $\varphi_K$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for this linear operator.
4. The verification is done equivalently to the above example.

**term**  $x::2$  — It turns out that there is already a 2-element type:

```

lemma CARD(program-vars) = CARD(2)
unfolding number-of-program-vars by simp

```

In fact, for each natural number  $n$  there is already a corresponding  $n$ -element type in Isabelle. however, there are still lemmas to prove about them in order to do verification of hybrid systems in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean spaces.

**lemma** *exhaust-5*: — The analogs for 1,2 and 3 have already been proven in Analysis.

```

fixes  $x::5$ 
shows  $x=1 \vee x=2 \vee x=3 \vee x=4 \vee x=5$ 
proof (induct x)
case (of-int z)
then have  $0 \leq z$  and  $z < 5$  by simp-all
then have  $z = 0 \vee z = 1 \vee z = 2 \vee z = 3 \vee z = 4$  by arith
then show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma UNIV-3: ( $UNIV::3 \ set$ ) =  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ 
apply safe using exhaust-3 three-eq-zero by(blast, auto)

```

**lemma** *sum-axis-UNIV-3*[simp]:  $(\sum j \in (UNIV::3 \text{ set}). \text{axis } i \ 1 \ \$ j \cdot f j) = (f::3 \Rightarrow \text{real}) \ i$   
**unfolding** *axis-def UNIV-3* **apply** *simp*  
**using** *exhaust-3* **by** *force*

We can rewrite the original constant acceleration kinematics as a linear operator applied to a 3-dimensional vector. For that we take advantage of the following fact:

**lemma**  $e \ 1 = (\chi \ j::3. \text{if } j = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } j = 1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$   
**unfolding** *axis-def* **by**(*rule Cart-lambda-cong, simp*)

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*  $\equiv$   
 $(\chi \ i::3. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } e \ 1 \text{ else if } i=1 \text{ then } e \ 2 \text{ else } (0::\text{real}^3))$

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow*  $\tau \ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi \ i::3. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } s \ \$ 2 \cdot \tau \wedge 2/2 + s \ \$ 1 \cdot \tau + s \ \$ 0$   
 $\text{else if } i=1 \text{ then } s \ \$ 2 \cdot \tau + s \ \$ 1 \text{ else } s \ \$ 2)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*  $(A)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow*  $(\varphi_A)$

With these 2 definitions and the proof that linear systems of ODEs are Picard-Lindelof, we can show that they form a pair of vector-field and its flow.

**lemma** *entries-cnst-acc-matrix*: *entries*  $A = \{0, 1\}$   
**apply** (*simp-all add: axis-def, safe*)  
**by**(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, simp*)+

**lemma** *local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix*: *local-flow*  $((*v) \ A) \ UNIV \ UNIV \ \varphi_A$   
**unfolding** *local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def* **apply** *safe*  
**apply**(*rule picard-lindelof-linear-system[where A=A], simp-all add: vec-eq-iff*)  
**apply**(*rule has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda*)  
**apply**(*auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def vec-eq-iff*)  
**using** *exhaust-3* **by** *force*

Finally, we compute the wlp and use it to verify the single-evolution ball again.

**lemma** *single-evolution-ball-K*: *rel-kat.H*  
 $[\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ 2]$   
 $(x' = (*v) \ A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 \geq 0))$   
 $[\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 \leq h]$   
**apply**(*subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit[OF local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix], simp-all*)  
**by**(*auto simp: mult-nonneg-nonpos2*)

## Circular Motion

The characteristics that distinguish this example are:

1. We employ an existing finite type of size 2 to model program variables.
2. We define a  $2 \times 2$  matrix (named  $C$ ) to denote the linear operator that models circular motion.
3. We show that the circle equation is a differential invariant for the linear operator.
4. We prove the partial correctness specification corresponding to the previous point.
5. For completeness, we define a local flow ( $\varphi_C$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for the linear operator and the specification is proven again with this flow.

**lemma** *two-eq-zero*:  $(2::2) = 0$   
**by** *simp*

**lemma** [*simp*]:  $i \neq (0::2) \longrightarrow i = 1$   
**using** *exhaust-2* **by** *fastforce*

**lemma** *UNIV-2*:  $(UNIV::2 \text{ set}) = \{0, 1\}$   
**apply** *safe* **using** *exhaust-2* *two-eq-zero* **by** *auto*

**abbreviation** *circular-motion-matrix* ::  $\text{real}^2 \times \text{real}^2$   
**where** *circular-motion-matrix*  $\equiv (\lambda i. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } -e_1 \text{ else } e_0)$

**notation** *circular-motion-matrix* ( $C$ )

**lemma** *circle-invariant*:  
 $(\lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2)$  *is-diff-invariant-of*  $(\ast v) \ C$  *along* *UNIV UNIV*  
*from*  $0$   
**apply**(*rule-tac* *diff-invariant-rules*, *clarsimp*, *simp*, *clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac*  $i=0$  **in** *has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*, *rule-tac*  $i=1$  **in** *has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac*  $S=UNIV$  **in** *has-vderiv-on-subset*)  
**by**(*auto* *intro!*: *poly-derivatives* *simp*: *matrix-vector-mult-def*)

**lemma** *circular-motion-invariants*: *rel-kat.H*  
 $[\lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2] (x'=(\ast v) \ C \ \& \ G) [\lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2]$   
**apply**(*rule-tac*  $I=\lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2$  **in** *dInvariant*)  
**using** *circle-invariant* **by** *auto*

— Proof of the same specification by providing solutions:

**lemma** *entries-circ-matrix*: *entries*  $C = \{0, -1, 1\}$   
**apply** (*simp-all* *add*: *axis-def*, *safe*)  
**subgoal** **by**(*rule-tac*  $x=0$  **in** *exI*, *simp*) +  
**subgoal** **by**(*rule-tac*  $x=0$  **in** *exI*, *simp*) +  
**by**(*rule-tac*  $x=1$  **in** *exI*, *simp*) +



**abbreviation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow*  $\tau$   $s \equiv$   
 $(\chi \text{ i. if } i = (0::2) \text{ then } s\$0 \cdot \cos \tau - s\$1 \cdot \sin \tau \text{ else } s\$0 \cdot \sin \tau + s\$1 \cdot \cos \tau)$

**notation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow*  $(\varphi_C)$

**lemma** *local-flow-circ-matrix*: *local-flow*  $((*v) \ C) \ UNIV \ UNIV \ \varphi_C$   
**unfolding** *local-flow-def* *local-flow-axioms-def* **apply** *safe*  
**apply**(*rule* *picard-lindelof-linear-system*[**where**  $A=C$ ], *simp-all* *add: vec-eq-iff*)  
**apply**(*rule* *has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda*)  
**apply**(*force* *intro!*: *poly-derivatives* *simp: matrix-vector-mult-def*)  
**using** *exhaust-2* *two-eq-zero* **by**(*force* *simp: vec-eq-iff*)

**lemma** *circular-motion:rel-kat.H*  
 $\lceil \lambda s. r^2 = (s \$ 0)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2 \rceil (x' = (*v) \ C \ \& \ G) \lceil \lambda s. r^2 = (s \$ 0)^2 + (s \$ 1)^2 \rceil$   
**by** (*subst* *local-flow.sH-g-orbit*[*OF* *local-flow-circ-matrix*]) *simp-all*

**no-notation** *circular-motion-matrix*  $(C)$

**no-notation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow*  $(\varphi_C)$

### Bouncing Ball with solution

We revisit the previous dynamics for a constantly accelerated object modelled with the matrix  $K$ . We compose the corresponding evolution command with an if-statement, and iterate this hybrid program to model a (completely elastic) “bouncing ball”. Using the previously defined flow for this dynamics, proving a specification for this hybrid program is merely an exercise of real arithmetic.

**named-theorems** *bb-real-arith* *real arithmetic properties for the bouncing ball.*

**lemma** [*bb-real-arith*]:  
**assumes**  $0 > g$  **and** *inv*:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h = v \cdot v$   
**shows**  $(x::\text{real}) \leq h$   
**proof**–  
**have**  $v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h \wedge 0 > g$   
**using** *inv* **and**  $\langle 0 > g \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
**hence** *obs*:  $v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot (x - h) \wedge 0 > g \wedge v \cdot v \geq 0$   
**using** *left-diff-distrib* *mult.commute* **by** (*metis* *zero-le-square*)  
**hence**  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) = (x - h)$   
**by** *auto*  
**also from** *obs* **have**  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) \leq 0$   
**using** *divide-nonneg-neg* **by** *fastforce*  
**ultimately have**  $h - x \geq 0$   
**by** *linarith*  
**thus** *?thesis* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

```

lemma [bb-real-arith]:
  assumes invar:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$ 
    and pos:  $g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real) = 0$ 
  shows  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0$ 
    and  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0$ 
proof-
  from pos have  $g \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot x = 0$  by auto
  then have  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 0$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) Groups.mult-ac(1,3) mult-zero-right
      monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square semiring-class.distrib-left)
  hence  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + v^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0$ 
    using invar by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
  hence obs:  $(g \cdot \tau + v)^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0$ 
    apply (subst power2-sum) by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Groups.add-ac(2, 3)
      Groups.mult-ac(2, 3) monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square nat-distrib(2))
  thus  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0$ 
    by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
  have  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- ((g \cdot \tau) + v))^2 = 0$ 
    using obs by (metis Groups.add-ac(2) power2-minus)
  thus  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0$ 
    by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
qed

```

```

lemma [bb-real-arith]:
  assumes invar:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$ 
  shows  $2 \cdot g \cdot (g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real)) =$ 
     $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v))$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof-
  have ?lhs =  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x$ 
    apply (subst Rat.sign-simps(18)) +
    by (auto simp: semiring-normalization-rules(29))
  also have ... =  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$  (is ... = ?middle)
    by (subst invar, simp)
  finally have ?lhs = ?middle.
moreover
  {have ?rhs =  $g \cdot g \cdot (\tau \cdot \tau) + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$ 
    by (simp add: Groups.mult-ac(2,3) semiring-class.distrib-left)
  also have ... = ?middle
    by (simp add: semiring-normalization-rules(29))
  finally have ?rhs = ?middle.}
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma bouncing-ball: rel-kat.H
  [ $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ 2$ ]
  ((( $x' = (*v) \ A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 \geq 0)$ );
  (IF ( $\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 = 0$ ) THEN ( $1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \ \$ 1)$ ) ELSE Id FI))* )
  [ $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 \leq h$ ]

```

```

apply(rule sH-star[of -  $\lambda s. 0 \leq s\$0 \wedge 0 > s\$2 \wedge 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot s\$0 = 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot h + (s\$1 \cdot s\$1)$ ], simp)
apply(rule sH-relcomp[where  $R = \lambda s. 0 \leq s\$0 \wedge 0 > s\$2 \wedge 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot s\$0 = 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot h + (s\$1 \cdot s\$1)$ ])
apply(subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit[OF local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix], simp, simp)
apply(force simp: bb-real-arith, simp)
apply(rule sH-cond, subst sH-assign-iff)
by(auto simp: sH-H bb-real-arith)

```

### Bouncing Ball with invariants

We prove again the bouncing ball but this time with differential invariants.

**lemma** gravity-invariant:  $(\lambda s. s \$ 2 < 0)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $(*v)$   $A$  along UNIV UNIV from 0

```

apply(rule-tac  $\vartheta' = \lambda s. 0$  and  $\nu' = \lambda s. 0$  in diff-invariant-rules(3), clarsimp, simp,
clarisimp)
apply(drule-tac  $i=2$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(rule-tac  $S = \text{UNIV}$  in has-vderiv-on-subset)
by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def)

```

**lemma** energy-conservation-invariant:

$(\lambda s. 2 \cdot s \$ 2 \cdot s \$ 0 - 2 \cdot s \$ 2 \cdot h - s \$ 1 \cdot s \$ 1 = 0)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $(*v)$   $A$  along UNIV UNIV from 0

```

apply(rule diff-invariant-rules, simp, simp, clarify)
apply(frule-tac  $i=2$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(frule-tac  $i=1$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(drule-tac  $i=0$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(rule-tac  $S = \text{UNIV}$  in has-vderiv-on-subset)
by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def)

```

**lemma** bouncing-ball-invariants: rel-kat.H

```


$$[\lambda s. 0 \leq s \$ 0 \wedge s \$ 0 = h \wedge s \$ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \$ 2]$$


$$(((x' = (*v) A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \$ 0 \geq 0));$$


$$(IF (\lambda s. s \$ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \$ 1)) ELSE Id FI))^*)$$


$$[\lambda s. 0 \leq s \$ 0 \wedge s \$ 0 \leq h]$$

apply(rule sH-star [of -  $\lambda s. 0 \leq s\$0 \wedge 0 > s\$2 \wedge 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot s\$0 = 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot h + (s\$1 \cdot s\$1)$ ], simp)
apply(rule sH-relcomp[where  $R = \lambda s. 0 \leq s\$0 \wedge 0 > s\$2 \wedge 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot s\$0 = 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot h + (s\$1 \cdot s\$1)$ ])
apply(rule-tac  $I = \lambda s. 0 \leq s\$0 \wedge 0 > s\$2 \wedge 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot s\$0 = 2 \cdot s\$2 \cdot h + (s\$1 \cdot s\$1)$  in dI, simp, simp, simp)
apply(rule sH-guard-rule, simp)
apply(rule sH-weaken-pre)
apply(rule dInvariant[of  $\lambda s. 0 > s\$2 \wedge 2 \cdot s \$ 2 \cdot s \$ 0 - 2 \cdot s \$ 2 \cdot h - s \$ 1 \cdot s \$ 1 = 0$ ])
apply(intro diff-invariant-rules(4))
using gravity-invariant apply force
using energy-conservation-invariant apply(force, force, force simp: p2r-def, simp)

```

**apply**(rule *sH-cond*, subst *sH-assign-iff*, force simp: *bb-real-arith*)  
**by**(subst *sH-H*, simp-all, force simp: *bb-real-arith*)

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix* (*A*)

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow* ( $\varphi_A$ )

### Bouncing Ball with exponential solution

In our final example, we prove again the bouncing ball specification but this time we do it with the general solution for linear systems.

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx*  $\equiv$   
*sq-mtx-chi* *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx* (*K*)

**lemma** *max-norm-cnst-acc-sq-mtx*:  $\|to\_vec\ K\|_{max} = 1$

**proof**–

**have**  $\{to\_vec\ K\ \$\ i\ \$\ j\ |\ i\ j. i \in UNIV \wedge j \in UNIV\} = \{0, 1\}$

**apply** (simp-all add: *axis-def*, safe)

**by**(rule-tac *x=1 in exI*, simp)+

**thus** ?thesis

**by** auto

**qed**

**lemma** *const-acc-mtx-pow2*:  $(\tau *_R K)^2 = sq\_mtx\_chi\ (\chi\ i. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } \tau^2 *_R e\ 2 \text{ else } 0)$

**unfolding** *monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square* **apply**(simp add: *scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def*)

**unfolding** *times-sqrd-matrix-def* **apply**(simp add: *sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff*)

**apply**(simp add: *matrix-matrix-mult-def*)

**unfolding** *UNIV-3* **by**(auto simp: *axis-def*)

**lemma** *const-acc-mtx-powN*:  $m > 2 \implies (\tau *_R K)^m = 0$

**proof**(induct *m*)

**case** 0

**thus** ?case **by** simp

**next**

**case** (*Suc m*)

**assume** *IH*:  $2 < m \implies (\tau *_R K)^m = 0$  **and**  $2 < Suc\ m$

**then show** ?case

**proof**(cases  $m \leq 2$ )

**case** *True*

**hence**  $m = 2$

**using**  $\langle 2 < Suc\ m \rangle$  *le-less-Suc-eq* **by** blast

**hence**  $(\tau *_R K)^{(Suc\ m)} = (\tau *_R K)^3$

**by** simp

**also have**  $\dots = (\tau *_R K) \cdot (\tau *_R K)^2$

**by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*)  $\langle m = 2 \rangle$  *calculation power-class.power.power-Suc*)

**also have**  $\dots = (\tau *_R K) \cdot sq\_mtx\_chi\ (\chi\ i. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } \tau^2 *_R e\ 2 \text{ else } 0)$

```

    by (subst const-acc-mtx-pow2) simp
  also have ... = 0
    unfolding times-sqrd-matrix-def zero-sqrd-matrix-def
    apply (simp add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def)
    apply (simp add: matrix-matrix-mult-def)
    unfolding UNIV-3 by (auto simp: axis-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
thus ?thesis
  using IH by auto
qed
qed

```

**lemma** *suminf-eq-sum*:

```

  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::real-normed-vector)
  assumes  $\bigwedge m. m > l \implies f\ m = 0$ 
  shows  $(\sum m. f\ m) = (\sum m \leq l. f\ m)$ 
  using assms by (meson atMost-iff finite-atMost not-le suminf-finite)

```

**lemma** *exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx*:  $\exp(\tau *_R K) = ((\tau *_R K)^2 /_R 2) + (\tau *_R K) + 1$

```

  unfolding exp-def apply (subst suminf-eq-sum[of 2])
  using const-acc-mtx-powN by (simp-all add: numeral-2-eq-2)

```

**lemma** *exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps*:

```

  exp (τ *_R K) $$ 0 $ 0 = 1 exp (τ *_R K) $$ 0 $ 1 = τ exp (τ *_R K) $$ 0 $ 2
  = τ ^2 / 2
  exp (τ *_R K) $$ 1 $ 0 = 0 exp (τ *_R K) $$ 1 $ 1 = 1 exp (τ *_R K) $$ 1 $ 2
  = τ
  exp (τ *_R K) $$ 2 $ 0 = 0 exp (τ *_R K) $$ 2 $ 1 = 0 exp (τ *_R K) $$ 2 $ 2
  = 1
  unfolding exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx const-acc-mtx-pow2
  by (auto simp: plus-sqrd-matrix-def scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def one-sqrd-matrix-def
    mat-def
    scaleR-vec-def axis-def plus-vec-def)

```

**lemma** *bouncing-ball-K*: *rel-kat.H*

```

  [λs. 0 ≤ s $ 0 ∧ s $ 0 = h ∧ s $ 1 = 0 ∧ 0 > s $ 2]
  (((x' = (*_V) K & (λ s. s $ 0 ≥ 0));
  (IF (λ s. s $ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::= (λ s. - s $ 1)) ELSE Id FI))*)
  [λs. 0 ≤ s $ 0 ∧ s $ 0 ≤ h]
  apply (rule sH-star [of - λs. 0 ≤ s $ 0 ∧ 0 > s $ 2 ∧ 2 · s $ 2 · s $ 0 = 2 · s $ 2 · h
  + (s $ 1 · s $ 1)], simp)
  apply (rule sH-relcomp[where R = λs. 0 ≤ s $ 0 ∧ 0 > s $ 2 ∧ 2 · s $ 2 · s $ 0 =
  2 · s $ 2 · h + (s $ 1 · s $ 1)])
  apply (subst local-flow.sH-g-orbit[OF local-flow-exp], simp-all add: sq-mtx-vec-prod-eq)
  unfolding UNIV-3 apply (simp add: exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps field-simps monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
  by (auto simp: bb-real-arith sH-H)

```

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx* ( $K$ )

**end**

**theory** *cat2ndfun*

**imports** *../hs-prelims-dyn-sys Transformer-Semantics.Kleisli-Quantale KAD.Modal-Kleene-Algebra*

**begin**

## Chapter 6

# Hybrid System Verification with nondeterministic functions

— We start by deleting some conflicting notation and introducing some new.

```
no-notation Archimedean-Field.ceiling ( $\lceil \_ \rceil$ )  
  and Archimedean-Field.floor-ceiling-class.floor ( $\lfloor \_ \rfloor$ )  
  and Range-Semiring.antirange-semiring-class.ars-r ( $r$ )  
  and Isotone-Transformers.bqtran ( $\lfloor \_ \rfloor$ )  
  and bres (infixr  $\rightarrow 60$ )
```

```
type-synonym 'a pred = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

```
notation Abs-nd-fun ( $\cdot$  [101] 100) and Rep-nd-fun ( $\cdot$  [101] 100)
```

### 6.1 Nondeterministic Functions

Our semantics correspond now to nondeterministic functions 'a *nd-fun*. Below we prove some auxiliary lemmas for them and show that they form an antidomain kleene algebra. The proof just extends the results on the *Transformer\_Semantics.Kleisli.Quantale* theory.

```
declare Abs-nd-fun-inverse [simp]
```

— Analog of already existing  $(\bigwedge x. f\ x = g\ x) \Longrightarrow f = g$ .

```
lemma nd-fun-ext:  $(\bigwedge x. (f\bullet) x = (g\bullet) x) \Longrightarrow f = g$   
  apply (subgoal-tac Rep-nd-fun  $f = \text{Rep-nd-fun } g$ )  
  using Rep-nd-fun-inject apply blast  
  by (rule ext, simp)
```

```
lemma nd-fun-eq-iff:  $(\forall x. (f\bullet) x = (g\bullet) x) = (f = g)$   
  by (auto simp: nd-fun-ext)
```

**instantiation** *nd-fun* :: (type) *antidomain-kleene-algebra*  
**begin**

**lift-definition** *antidomain-op-nd-fun* :: 'a *nd-fun*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *nd-fun*  
**is**  $\lambda f. (\lambda x. \text{if } ((f \bullet) x = \{\}) \text{ then } \{x\} \text{ else } \{\})^\bullet$ .

**lift-definition** *zero-nd-fun* :: 'a *nd-fun*  
**is**  $\zeta^\bullet$ .

**lift-definition** *star-nd-fun* :: 'a *nd-fun*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *nd-fun*  
**is**  $\lambda(f::'a \text{ nd-fun}). \text{qstar } f$ .

**lift-definition** *plus-nd-fun* :: 'a *nd-fun*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *nd-fun*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *nd-fun*  
**is**  $\lambda f g. ((f \bullet) \sqcup (g \bullet))^\bullet$ .

**named-theorems** *nd-fun-aka* *antidomain kleene algebra properties for nondeterministic functions*.

**lemma** *nd-fun-assoc*[*nd-fun-aka*]: ( $a::'a \text{ nd-fun}$ ) +  $b + c = a + (b + c)$   
**by**(*transfer*, *simp add: ksup-assoc*)

**lemma** *nd-fun-comm*[*nd-fun-aka*]: ( $a::'a \text{ nd-fun}$ ) +  $b = b + a$   
**by**(*transfer*, *simp add: ksup-comm*)

**lemma** *nd-fun-distr*[*nd-fun-aka*]: ( $(x::'a \text{ nd-fun}) + y$ )  $\cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$   
**and** *nd-fun-distl*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$   
**by**(*transfer*, *simp add: kcomp-distr*, *transfer*, *simp add: kcomp-distl*)

**lemma** *nd-fun-zero-sum*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $0 + (x::'a \text{ nd-fun}) = x$   
**and** *nd-fun-zero-dot*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $0 \cdot x = 0$   
**by**(*transfer*, *simp*, *transfer*, *auto*)

**lemma** *nd-fun-leq*[*nd-fun-aka*]: ( $(x::'a \text{ nd-fun}) \leq y$ ) = ( $x + y = y$ )  
**and** *nd-fun-leq-add*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $z \cdot x \leq z \cdot (x + y)$   
**apply**(*transfer*)  
**apply**(*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *less-eq-nd-fun.transfer sup.absorb-iff2 sup-nd-fun.transfer*)  
**by**(*transfer*, *simp add: kcomp-isol*)

**lemma** *nd-fun-ad-zero*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $\text{ad } (x::'a \text{ nd-fun}) \cdot x = 0$   
**and** *nd-fun-ad*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $\text{ad } (x \cdot y) + \text{ad } (x \cdot \text{ad } (\text{ad } y)) = \text{ad } (x \cdot \text{ad } (\text{ad } y))$   
**and** *nd-fun-ad-one*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $\text{ad } (\text{ad } x) + \text{ad } x = 1$   
**apply**(*transfer*, *rule nd-fun-ext*, *simp add: kcomp-def*)  
**apply**(*transfer*, *rule nd-fun-ext*, *simp*, *simp add: kcomp-def*)  
**by**(*transfer*, *simp*, *rule nd-fun-ext*, *simp add: kcomp-def*)

**lemma** *nd-star-one*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $1 + (x::'a \text{ nd-fun}) \cdot x^\star \leq x^\star$   
**and** *nd-star-unfoldl*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $z + x \cdot y \leq y \Longrightarrow x^\star \cdot z \leq y$   
**and** *nd-star-unfoldr*[*nd-fun-aka*]:  $z + y \cdot x \leq y \Longrightarrow z \cdot x^\star \leq y$



```

apply(transfer, metis Abs-nd-fun-inverse Rep-comp-hom UNIV-I fun-star-unfoldr

  le-sup-iff less-eq-nd-fun.abs-eq mem-Collect-eq one-nd-fun.abs-eq qstar-comm)
apply(transfer, metis (no-types, lifting) Abs-comp-hom Rep-nd-fun-inverse
  fun-star-inductl less-eq-nd-fun.transfer sup-nd-fun.transfer)
by(transfer, metis qstar-inductr Rep-comp-hom Rep-nd-fun-inverse
  less-eq-nd-fun.abs-eq sup-nd-fun.transfer)

instance
  apply intro-classes apply auto
  using nd-fun-aka apply simp-all
  by(transfer; auto)+

end

```

Now that we know that nondeterministic functions form an Antidomain Kleene Algebra, we give a lifting operation from predicates to  $'a$  *nd-fun* and prove some useful results for them. Then we add an operation that does the opposite and prove the relationship between both of these.

```

abbreviation p2ndf :: 'a pred  $\Rightarrow$  'a nd-fun ((1[-]))
  where  $\lceil Q \rceil \equiv (\lambda x :: 'a. \{s :: 'a. s = x \wedge Q\ s\})^\bullet$ 

lemma le-p2ndf-iff[simp]:  $\lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil = (\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow Q\ s)$ 
  by(transfer, auto simp: le-fun-def)

lemma eq-p2ndf-iff[simp]:  $(\lceil P \rceil = \lceil Q \rceil) = (P = Q)$ 
  by(subst eq-iff, auto simp: fun-eq-iff)

lemma p2ndf-le-eta[simp]:  $\lceil P \rceil \leq \eta^\bullet$ 
  by(transfer, simp add: le-fun-def, clarify)

lemma ads-d-p2ndf[simp]:  $d\ \lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil$ 
  unfolding ads-d-def antidomain-op-nd-fun-def by(rule nd-fun-ext, auto)

lemma ad-p2ndf[simp]:  $ad\ \lceil P \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. \neg P\ s \rceil$ 
  unfolding antidomain-op-nd-fun-def by(rule nd-fun-ext, auto)

abbreviation ndf2p :: 'a nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool ((1[-]))
  where  $\lfloor f \rfloor \equiv (\lambda x. x \in Domain\ (\mathcal{R}\ (f\bullet)))$ 

lemma p2ndf-ndf2p-id:  $F \leq \eta^\bullet \Longrightarrow \lfloor \lceil F \rceil \rfloor = F$ 
  unfolding f2r-def apply(rule nd-fun-ext)
  apply(subgoal-tac  $\forall x. (F\bullet)\ x \subseteq \{x\}$ , simp)
  by(blast, simp add: le-fun-def less-eq-nd-fun.rep-eq)

```

## 6.2 Verification of regular programs

As expected, the weakest precondition is just the forward box operator from the KAD. Below we explore its behavior with the previously defined lifting  $(\lceil - \rceil^*)$  and dropping  $(\lfloor - \rfloor^*)$  operators

**abbreviation**  $wp\ f \equiv fbox\ (f::'a\ nd\ fun)$

**lemma**  $wp\text{-}eta[simp]$ :  $wp\ (\eta^\bullet) \lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil$   
**apply**( $simp\ add: fbox\text{-}def, transfer, simp$ )  
**by**( $rule\ nd\text{-}fun\text{-}ext, auto\ simp: kcomp\text{-}def$ )

**lemma**  $wp\text{-}nd\text{-}fun$ :  $wp\ (F^\bullet) \lceil P \rceil = \lceil \lambda x. \forall y. y \in (F\ x) \longrightarrow P\ y \rceil$   
**apply**( $simp\ add: fbox\text{-}def, transfer, simp$ )  
**by**( $rule\ nd\text{-}fun\text{-}ext, auto\ simp: kcomp\text{-}def$ )

**lemma**  $wp\text{-}nd\text{-}fun2$ :  $wp\ F \lceil P \rceil = \lceil \lambda x. \forall y. y \in ((F\bullet) x) \longrightarrow P\ y \rceil$   
**apply**( $simp\ add: fbox\text{-}def\ antidomain\text{-}op\text{-}nd\text{-}fun\text{-}def$ )  
**by**( $rule\ nd\text{-}fun\text{-}ext, auto\ simp: Rep\text{-}comp\text{-}hom\ kcomp\text{-}prop$ )

**lemma**  $wp\text{-}nd\text{-}fun\text{-}etaD$ :  $wp\ (F^\bullet) \lceil P \rceil = \eta^\bullet \implies (\forall y. y \in (F\ x) \longrightarrow P\ y)$   
**proof**

**fix**  $y$  **assume**  $wp\ (F^\bullet) \lceil P \rceil = (\eta^\bullet)$   
**from**  $this$  **have**  $\eta^\bullet = \lceil \lambda s. \forall y. s2p\ (F\ s)\ y \longrightarrow P\ y \rceil$   
**by**( $subst\ wp\text{-}nd\text{-}fun[THEN\ sym], simp$ )  
**hence**  $\bigwedge x. \{x\} = \{s. s = x \wedge (\forall y. s2p\ (F\ s)\ y \longrightarrow P\ y)\}$   
**apply**( $subst\ (asm)\ Abs\text{-}nd\text{-}fun\text{-}inject, simp\text{-}all$ )  
**by**( $drule\text{-}tac\ x=x\ in\ fun\text{-}cong, simp$ )  
**then** **show**  $s2p\ (F\ x)\ y \longrightarrow P\ y$  **by**  $auto$

**qed**

**lemma**  $p2ndf\text{-}ndf2p\text{-}wp$ :  $\lceil wp\ R\ P \rceil = wp\ R\ P$   
**apply**( $rule\ p2ndf\text{-}ndf2p\text{-}id$ )  
**by**( $simp\ add: a\text{-}subid\ fbox\text{-}def\ one\text{-}nd\text{-}fun.\text{transfer}$ )

**lemma**  $ndf2p\text{-}wpD$ :  $\lceil wp\ F \lceil Q \rceil \rceil\ s = (\forall s'. s' \in (F\bullet) s \longrightarrow Q\ s')$   
**apply**( $subgoal\text{-}tac\ F = (F\bullet)^\bullet$ )  
**apply**( $rule\ ssubst[of\ F\ (F\bullet)^\bullet], simp$ )  
**apply**( $subst\ wp\text{-}nd\text{-}fun$ )  
**by**( $simp\text{-}all\ add: f2r\text{-}def$ )

We can verify that our introduction of  $wp$  coincides with another definition of the forward box operator  $fbox_{\mathcal{F}} = \partial_F \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ op_K$  with the following characterization lemmas.

**lemma**  $ffb\text{-}is\text{-}wp$ :  $fbox_{\mathcal{F}}\ (F\bullet) \{x. P\ x\} = \{s. \lceil wp\ F \lceil P \rceil \rceil\ s\}$   
**unfolding**  $ffb\text{-}def$  **unfolding**  $map\text{-}dual\text{-}def\ klift\text{-}def\ kop\text{-}def\ fbox\text{-}def$   
**unfolding**  $r2f\text{-}def\ f2r\text{-}def$  **apply**  $clarsimp$   
**unfolding**  $antidomain\text{-}op\text{-}nd\text{-}fun\text{-}def$  **unfolding**  $dual\text{-}set\text{-}def$   
**unfolding**  $times\text{-}nd\text{-}fun\text{-}def\ kcomp\text{-}def$  **by**  $force$

**lemma** *wp-is-ffb*:  $wp\ F\ P = (\lambda x. \{x\} \cap fb_{\mathcal{F}}(F \bullet) \{s. \lfloor P \rfloor\ s\})^\bullet$   
**apply** (*rule nd-fun-ext*, *simp*)  
**unfolding** *ffb-def* **unfolding** *map-dual-def* *klift-def* *kop-def* *fbox-def*  
**unfolding** *r2f-def* *f2r-def* **apply** *clarsimp*  
**unfolding** *antidomain-op-nd-fun-def* **unfolding** *dual-set-def*  
**unfolding** *times-nd-fun-def* **apply** *auto*  
**unfolding** *kcomp-prop* **by** *auto*

Next, we introduce assignments and compute their *wp*.

**abbreviation** *vec-upd* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$   
**where** *vec-upd*  $x\ i\ a \equiv vec\_lambda\ ((vec\_nth\ x)(i := a))$

**abbreviation** *assign* ::  $'b \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)\ nd\_fun\ ((\lambda s. s := e)\ [70, 65])$   
**where**  $(x ::= e) \equiv (\lambda s. \{vec\_upd\ s\ x\ (e\ s)\})^\bullet$

**lemma** *wp-assign[simp]*:  $wp\ (x ::= e)\ \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. Q\ (vec\_upd\ s\ x\ (e\ s)) \rceil$   
**by** (*subst wp-nd-fun*, *rule nd-fun-ext*, *simp*)

The *wp* of the composition was already obtained in *KAD.Antidomain.Semiring*:  
 $|x \cdot y| z = |x| |y| z.$

We also have an implementation of the conditional operator and its *wp*.

**definition** (*in* *antidomain-kleene-algebra*) *cond* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$   
 $(if\ p\ then\ x\ else\ y\ fi\ [64, 64, 64]\ 63)$  **where**  $if\ p\ then\ x\ else\ y\ fi = d\ p \cdot x + ad\ p \cdot y$

**lemma** *fbox-export1*:  $ad\ p + |x| q = |d\ p \cdot x| q$   
**using** *a-d-add-closure* *fbox-def* *fbox-mult*  
**by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *a-de-morgan* *ads-d-def*)

**lemma** *fbox-cond-var[simp]*:  $|if\ p\ then\ x\ else\ y\ fi| q = (ad\ p + |x| q) \cdot (d\ p + |y| q)$   
**using** *cond-def* *a-closure'* *ads-d-def* *ans-d-def* *fbox-add2* *fbox-export1* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))

**abbreviation** *cond-sugar* ::  $'a\ pred \Rightarrow 'a\ nd\_fun \Rightarrow 'a\ nd\_fun \Rightarrow 'a\ nd\_fun$   
 $(IF\ -\ THEN\ -\ ELSE\ -\ FI\ [64, 64, 64]\ 63)$  **where**  $IF\ P\ THEN\ X\ ELSE\ Y\ FI \equiv cond\ \lceil P \rceil\ X\ Y$

**lemma** *wp-if-then-else*:  
**assumes**  $\lceil \lambda s. P\ s \wedge T\ s \rceil \leq wp\ X\ \lceil Q \rceil$   
**and**  $\lceil \lambda s. P\ s \wedge \neg T\ s \rceil \leq wp\ Y\ \lceil Q \rceil$   
**shows**  $\lceil P \rceil \leq wp\ (IF\ T\ THEN\ X\ ELSE\ Y\ FI)\ \lceil Q \rceil$   
**using** *assms* **apply** (*subst wp-nd-fun2*)  
**apply** (*subst* (*asm*) *wp-nd-fun2*) +  
**unfolding** *cond-def* **apply** (*clarsimp*, *transfer*)  
**by** (*auto simp: kcomp-prop*)

Finally we also deal with finite iteration.

**lemma** (in *antidomain-kleene-algebra*) *fbox-starI*:  
**assumes**  $d\ p \leq d\ i$  **and**  $d\ i \leq |x|\ i$  **and**  $d\ i \leq d\ q$   
**shows**  $d\ p \leq |x^*|\ q$   
**by** (meson *assms local.dual-order.trans local.fbox-iso local.fbox-star-induct-var*)

**lemma** *ads-d-mono*:  $x \leq y \implies d\ x \leq d\ y$   
**by** (metis *ads-d-def fbox-antitone-var fbox-dom*)

**lemma** *nd-fun-top-ads-d*:  $(x::'a\ nd\ fun) \leq 1 \implies d\ x = x$   
**apply**(simp *add: ads-d-def, transfer, simp*)  
**apply**(rule *nd-fun-ext, simp*)  
**apply**(subst (*asm*) *le-fun-def*)  
**by** *auto*

**lemma** *wp-starI*:  
**assumes**  $P \leq I$  **and**  $I \leq wp\ F\ I$  **and**  $I \leq Q$   
**shows**  $P \leq wp\ (qstar\ F)\ Q$   
**proof**–  
**have**  $P \leq 1$   
**using** *assms(1,2)* **by** (metis *a-subid basic-trans-rules(23) fbox-def*)  
**hence**  $d\ P = P$  **using** *nd-fun-top-ads-d* **by** *blast*  
**have**  $\bigwedge x\ y. d\ (wp\ x\ y) = wp\ x\ y$   
**by**(metis *ds.ddual.mult-oner fbox-mult fbox-one*)  
**hence**  $d\ P \leq d\ I \wedge d\ I \leq wp\ F\ I \wedge d\ I \leq d\ Q$   
**using** *assms* **by** (metis (*no-types*) *ads-d-mono assms*)  
**hence**  $d\ P \leq wp\ (F^*)\ Q$   
**by**(simp *add: fbox-starI[of - I]*)  
**thus**  $P \leq wp\ (qstar\ F)\ Q$   
**using**  $\langle d\ P = P \rangle$  **by** (*transfer, simp*)  
**qed**

## 6.3 Verification of hybrid programs

**abbreviation** *g-evolution* ::  $((\text{'a}::\text{banach}) \Rightarrow \text{'a}) \Rightarrow \text{'a}\ \text{pred} \Rightarrow \text{real}\ \text{set} \Rightarrow \text{'a}\ \text{set} \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{'a}\ nd\ fun\ ((1x' = - \ \&\ -\ \text{on}\ -\ -\ @\ -))$   
**where**  $(x' = f \ \&\ G\ \text{on}\ T\ S\ @\ t_0) \equiv (\lambda\ s. g\text{-orbital}\ f\ G\ T\ S\ t_0\ s)^\bullet$

**abbreviation** *g-evol* ::  $((\text{'a}::\text{banach}) \Rightarrow \text{'a}) \Rightarrow \text{'a}\ \text{pred} \Rightarrow \text{'a}\ nd\ fun\ ((1x' = - \ \&\ -))$   
**where**  $(x' = f \ \&\ G) \equiv (x' = f \ \&\ G\ \text{on}\ UNIV\ UNIV\ @\ 0)$

### 6.3.1 Verification by providing solutions

**lemma** *wp-g-evolution*:  $wp\ (x' = f \ \&\ G\ \text{on}\ T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ [Q] =$   
 $[\lambda\ s. \forall x \in \text{ivp-sols}\ (\lambda t. f)\ T\ S\ t_0\ s. \forall t \in T. (G \triangleright x\ (\text{down}\ T\ t)) \longrightarrow Q\ (x\ t)]$   
**unfolding** *g-orbital-eq wp-nd-fun ivp-sols-def* **by** (*auto simp: fun-eq-iff*)  
*(erule-tac x=X\ t in allE, erule impE, rule-tac x=t in exI, rule-tac x=X in exI,*  
*simp-all)*

**lemma** *wp-guard-eq*:  
**assumes**  $R = (\lambda s. G\ s \wedge Q\ s)$   
**shows**  $wp\ (x'=f \ \&\ G\ on\ T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ [R] = wp\ (x'=f \ \&\ G\ on\ T\ S\ @\ t_0)\ [Q]$   
**unfolding** *wp-g-evolution* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

**context** *local-flow*  
**begin**

**lemma** *wp-orbit*:  
**assumes**  $S = UNIV$   
**shows**  $wp\ (\gamma^\varphi \bullet) [Q] = [\lambda s. \forall t \in T. Q\ (\varphi\ t\ s)]$   
**using** *orbit-eq* **unfolding** *assms* **by** (*auto simp: wp-nd-fun*)

**lemma** *wp-g-orbit*:  
**assumes**  $S = UNIV$   
**shows**  $wp\ (x'=f \ \&\ G\ on\ T\ S\ @\ 0)\ [Q] =$   
 $[\lambda s. \forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda t. \varphi\ t\ s)\ (down\ T\ t)) \longrightarrow Q\ (\varphi\ t\ s)]$   
**using** *g-orbit-eq* **unfolding** *assms* **by** (*auto simp: wp-nd-fun fun-eq-iff*)

**lemma** *invariant-set-eq-dl-invariant*:  
**assumes**  $S = UNIV$   
**shows**  $(\forall s \in S. \forall t \in T. I\ s \longrightarrow I\ (\varphi\ t\ s)) = ([I] = wp\ (\gamma^\varphi \bullet) [I])$   
**unfolding** *wp-orbit* [*OF assms*] **apply** *simp*  
**using** *inv(2)* **unfolding** *assms* **apply** *simp*  
**using** *init-time* **by** (*auto simp: fun-eq-iff*)

**end**

The previous theorem allows us to compute wlp for known systems of ODEs. We can also implement a version of it as an inference rule. A simple computation of a wlp is shown immediately after.

**lemma** *dSolution*:  
**assumes** *local-flow*  $f\ T\ UNIV\ \varphi$   
**and**  $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda \tau. \varphi\ \tau\ s)\ (down\ T\ t)) \longrightarrow Q\ (\varphi\ t\ s))$   
**shows**  $[P] \leq wp\ (x'=f \ \&\ G\ on\ T\ UNIV\ @\ 0)\ [Q]$   
**using** *assms* **by** (*subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit, auto*)

**lemma** *line-is-local-flow*:  
 $0 \in T \Longrightarrow is\_interval\ T \Longrightarrow open\ T \Longrightarrow local\_flow\ (\lambda s. c)\ T\ UNIV\ (\lambda t\ s. s + t *_R c)$   
**apply** (*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, simp*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac f'1=\lambda s. 0 and g'1=\lambda s. c in derivative-intros(191)*)  
**apply** (*rule derivative-intros, simp*)  
**by** *simp-all*

**lemma** *line-DS*: **fixes**  $c::'a::\{heine-borel, banach\}$   
**assumes**  $0 \in T$  **and** *is-interval*  $T$  *open*  $T$   
**shows**  $wp\ (x'=(\lambda s. c) \ \&\ G\ on\ T\ UNIV\ @\ 0)\ [Q] =$

$$[\lambda x. \forall t \in T. (G \triangleright (\lambda \tau. x + \tau *_R c) (\text{down } T t)) \longrightarrow Q (x + t *_R c)]$$
  
**apply**(*subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit*[**where**  $f = \lambda s. c$  **and**  $\varphi = (\lambda t x. x + t *_R c)$ ])  
**using** *line-is-local-flow assms* **by** *auto*

### 6.3.2 Verification with differential invariants

We derive the domain specific rules of differential dynamic logic (dL). In each subsubsection, we first derive the dL axioms (named below with two capital letters and “D” being the first one). This is done mainly to prove that there are minimal requirements in Isabelle to get the dL calculus. Then we prove the inference rules which are used in verification proofs.

#### Differential Weakening

**lemma** *DW*:  $wp (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [Q] = wp (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [\lambda s. G s \longrightarrow Q s]$   
**by** (*auto intro: g-orbitalD simp: wp-nd-fun fun-eq-iff*)

**lemma** *dWeakening*:  
**assumes**  $[G] \leq [Q]$   
**shows**  $[P] \leq wp (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [Q]$   
**using** *assms* **apply**(*subst wp-nd-fun*)  
**by**(*auto simp: g-orbital-eq*)

#### Differential Cut

**lemma** *wp-g-orbit-IdD*:  
**assumes**  $wp (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [C] = \eta^\bullet$   
**and**  $\forall \tau \in (\text{down } T t). x \tau \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T S t_0 s$   
**shows**  $\forall \tau \in (\text{down } T t). C (x \tau)$   
**proof**  
**fix**  $\tau$  **assume**  $\tau \in (\text{down } T t)$   
**hence**  $x \tau \in g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T S t_0 s$   
**using** *assms*(2) **by** *blast*  
**also have**  $\forall y. y \in (g\text{-orbital } f \ G \ T S t_0 s) \longrightarrow C y$   
**using** *assms*(1) **unfolding** *wp-nd-fun* **by** (*subst (asm) nd-fun-eq-iff[symmetric]*)  
*auto*  
**ultimately show**  $C (x \tau)$   
**by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** *DC*:  
**assumes** *Thyp*:  $is\text{-interval } T t_0 \in T$   
**and**  $wp (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [C] = \eta^\bullet$   
**shows**  $wp (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [Q] = wp (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. G s \wedge C s) \text{ on } T S @ t_0) [Q]$   
**proof**(*rule-tac f = \lambda x. wp x [Q] in HOL.arg-cong, rule nd-fun-ext, rule subset-antisym, simp-all*)  
**fix**  $s$

```

{fix s' assume s' ∈ g-orbital f G T S t0 s
 then obtain τ::real and x where x-ivp: D x = (f ∘ x) on T x t0 = s
   x ∈ T → S and guard-x: G ▷ x (down T τ) and s' = x τ τ ∈ T
   using g-orbitalD[of s' f G T S t0 s] by clarsimp metis
 hence ∀ t ∈ (down T τ). ∀ τ ∈ (down T t). G (x τ)
   by (simp add: closed-segment-eq-real-ivl)
 also have ∀ τ ∈ (down T τ). τ ∈ T
   using ⟨τ ∈ T⟩ Thyp closed-segment-subset-interval by auto
 ultimately have ∀ t ∈ (down T τ). x t ∈ g-orbital f G T S t0 s
   using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp] by meson
 hence C ▷ x (down T τ)
   using wp-g-orbit-IdD[OF assms(3)] by blast
 hence s' ∈ g-orbital f (λs. G s ∧ C s) T S t0 s
   using g-orbitalI[OF x-ivp ⟨τ ∈ T⟩] guard-x ⟨s' = x τ⟩ by fastforce}
 thus g-orbital f G T S t0 s ⊆ g-orbital f (λs. G s ∧ C s) T S t0 s
   by blast
next
fix s
show g-orbital f (λs. G s ∧ C s) T S t0 s ⊆ g-orbital f G T S t0 s
  by (auto simp: g-orbital-eq)
qed

```

lemma dCut:

```

assumes Thyp: is-interval T t0 ∈ T
 and wp-C: [P] ≤ wp (x' = f & G on T S @ t0) [C]
 and wp-Q: [P] ≤ wp (x' = f & (λs. G s ∧ C s) on T S @ t0) [Q]
 shows [P] ≤ wp (x' = f & G on T S @ t0) [Q]
proof (simp add: wp-nd-fun g-orbital-eq, clarsimp)
fix τ::real and x::real ⇒ 'a assume P (x t0) and τ ∈ T
 and x-solves: D x = (λt. f (x t)) on T x ∈ T → S
 and guard-x: ∀ t. t ∈ T ∧ t ≤ τ ⟶ G (x t)
 hence ∀ r ∈ (down T τ). x r ∈ (g-orbital f G T S t0) (x t0)
   by (auto intro!: g-orbitalI x-solves ⟨τ ∈ T⟩)
 hence ∀ t ∈ (down T τ). C (x t)
   using wp-C ⟨P (x t0)⟩ by (subst (asm) wp-nd-fun, auto)
 hence x τ ∈ (g-orbital f (λs. G s ∧ C s) T S t0) (x t0)
   apply (simp) apply (rule g-orbitalI)
   using guard-x by (auto intro!: x-solves ⟨τ ∈ T⟩)
 thus Q (x τ)
   using ⟨P (x t0)⟩ wp-Q by (subst (asm) wp-nd-fun) auto
qed

```

## Differential Invariant

lemma dInvariant:

```

assumes I is-diff-invariant-of f along T S from t0 and IS = (λs. s ∈ S ∧ I s)
 shows [IS] ≤ wp (x' = f & G on T S @ t0) [IS]
 using assms(1) unfolding diff-invariant-def wp-g-evolution
 by (auto simp: assms(2) ivp-sols-def)

```

**lemma** *dInvariant-converse*:

**assumes**  $\lceil \lambda s. s \in S \wedge I s \rceil \leq wp (x' = f \ \& \ (\lambda s. \text{True}) \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \lceil \lambda s. s \in S \wedge I s \rceil$   
**shows** *I is-diff-invariant-of f along T S from t<sub>0</sub>*  
**using** *assms unfolding invariant-to-set wp-nd-fun*  
**apply**(*subst (asm) le-p2ndf-iff*)  
**by** *clarify (erule-tac x=s in allE, simp)*

**lemma** *dI*:

**assumes** *Thyp: is-interval T t<sub>0</sub> ∈ T*  
**and**  $\lceil P \rceil \leq \lceil I \rceil$  **and**  $\lceil I \rceil \leq wp (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \lceil I \rceil$  **and**  $\lceil I \rceil \leq \lceil Q \rceil$   
**shows**  $\lceil P \rceil \leq wp (x' = f \ \& \ G \text{ on } T S @ t_0) \lceil Q \rceil$   
**apply**(*rule-tac C=I in dCut[OF Thyp]*)  
**using** *order.trans[OF assms(3,4)] apply assumption*  
**apply**(*rule dWeakening*)  
**using** *assms by auto*

**end**

**theory** *cat2ndfun-examples*

**imports** *../hs-prelims-matrices cat2ndfun*

**begin**

### 6.3.3 Examples

**no-notation** *Archimedean-Field.ceiling* ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ )  
**and** *Archimedean-Field.floor-ceiling-class.floor* ( $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ )

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-linear-system*:

**fixes** *A::real<sup>'n</sup> ^ 'n*  
**defines**  $L \equiv (\text{real CARD}('n))^2 * (\|A\|_{\max})$   
**shows** *picard-lindelof* ( $\lambda t s. A * v s$ ) *UNIV UNIV 0*  
**apply**(*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=L in exI, safe*)  
**using** *max-norm-ge-0[of A] unfolding assms by force (rule matrix-lipschitz-constant)*

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-sq-mtx*:

**fixes** *A::('n::finite) sqrd-matrix*  
**defines**  $L \equiv (\text{real CARD}('n))^2 * (\|to\text{-vec } A\|_{\max})$   
**shows** *picard-lindelof* ( $\lambda t s. A *_{\text{V}} s$ ) *UNIV UNIV 0*  
**apply**(*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=L in exI, safe*)  
**using** *max-norm-ge-0[of to-vec A] unfolding assms apply force*  
**by** *transfer (rule matrix-lipschitz-constant)*

**lemma** *local-flow-exp*:

**fixes** *A::('n::finite) sqrd-matrix*  
**shows** *local-flow* ( $((*_V) A)$ ) *UNIV UNIV* ( $\lambda t s. \text{exp } (t *_R A) *_V s$ )



```

unfolding local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def apply safe
using picard-lindeloeef-sq-mtx apply blast
using exp-has-vderiv-on-linear[of 0] apply force
by(auto simp: sq-mtx-one-vec)

```

The examples in this subsection show different approaches for the verification of hybrid systems. however, the general approach can be outlined as follows: First, we select a finite type to model program variables  $'n$ . We use this to define a vector field  $f$  of type  $('a, 'n) \text{ vec} \Rightarrow ('a, 'n) \text{ vec}$  to model the dynamics of our system. Then we show a partial correctness specification involving the evolution command  $x' = f \ \& \ S$  either by finding a flow for the vector field or through differential invariants.

### Single constantly accelerated evolution

The main characteristics distinguishing this example from the rest are:

1. We define the finite type of program variables with 2 Isabelle strings which make the final verification easier to parse.
2. We define the vector field (named  $K$ ) to model a constantly accelerated object.
3. We define a local flow ( $\varphi_K$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for this vector field.
4. The verification is only done on a single evolution command (not operated with any other hybrid program).

```

typedef program-vars = {"x", "v"}
morphisms to-str to-var
apply(rule-tac x="x" in exI)
by simp

```

```

notation to-var ( $\downarrow_V$ )

```

```

lemma number-of-program-vars: CARD(program-vars) = 2
using type-definition.card type-definition-program-vars by fastforce

```

```

instance program-vars::finite
apply(standard, subst bij-betw-finite[of to-str UNIV {"x", "v"}])
apply(rule bij-betwI')
apply (simp add: to-str-inject)
using to-str apply blast
apply (metis to-var-inverse UNIV-I)
by simp

```

```

lemma program-vars-univD: (UNIV::program-vars set) = { $\downarrow_V$  "x",  $\downarrow_V$  "v"}

```

**apply** *auto* **by** (*metis to-str to-str-inverse insertE singletonD*)

**lemma** *program-vars-exhaust*:  $x = \downarrow_V ''x'' \vee x = \downarrow_V ''v''$   
**using** *program-vars-univD* **by** *auto*

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics*  $g\ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi\ i.\ \text{if } i = (\downarrow_V ''x'') \text{ then } s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''v'') \text{ else } g)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics*  $(K)$

**lemma** *cnst-acc-continuous*:  
**fixes**  $X::(\text{real}^{\text{program-vars}})\ \text{set}$   
**shows** *continuous-on*  $X\ (K\ g)$   
**apply**(*rule continuous-on-vec-lambda*)  
**unfolding** *continuous-on-def* **apply** *clarsimp*  
**by**(*intro tendsto-intros*)

**lemma** *picard-lindelof-cnst-acc*:  
**fixes**  $g::\text{real}$   
**shows** *picard-lindelof*  $(\lambda t.\ K\ g)\ \text{UNIV}\ \text{UNIV}\ 0$   
**apply**(*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local-lipschitz-def lipschitz-on-def, clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=1/2 in exI, clarsimp, rule-tac x=1 in exI*)  
**by**(*simp add: dist-norm norm-vec-def L2-set-def program-vars-univD to-var-inject*)

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow*  $g\ t\ s \equiv$   
 $(\chi\ i.\ \text{if } i = (\downarrow_V ''x'') \text{ then } g \cdot t \wedge 2/2 + s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''v'') \cdot t + s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'')$   
 $\text{else } g \cdot t + s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''v''))$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow*  $(\varphi_K)$

**lemma** *local-flow-cnst-acc*: *local-flow*  $(K\ g)\ \text{UNIV}\ \text{UNIV}\ (\varphi_K\ g)$   
**unfolding** *local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def* **apply** *safe*  
**using** *picard-lindelof-cnst-acc* **apply** *blast*  
**apply**(*rule has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda, clarify*)  
**apply**(*case-tac i = \downarrow\_V ''x''*)  
**using** *program-vars-exhaust* **by**(*auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: to-var-inject*  
*vec-eq-iff*)

**lemma** *single-evolution-ball*:  
**fixes**  $h::\text{real}$  **assumes**  $g < 0$  **and**  $h \geq 0$   
**shows**  $\lceil \lambda s.\ s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'') = h \wedge s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''v'') = 0 \rceil$   
 $\leq \text{wp}\ (x' = K\ g\ \&\ (\lambda s.\ s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'') \geq 0))$   
 $\lceil \lambda s.\ 0 \leq s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'') \wedge s\ \$\ (\downarrow_V ''x'') \leq h \rceil$   
**apply**(*subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit[OF local-flow-cnst-acc], simp-all*)  
**using** *assms* **by**(*auto simp: mult-nonneg-nonpos2*)

**no-notation** *to-var*  $(\downarrow_V)$

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics*  $(K)$

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-flow* ( $\varphi_K$ )

### Single evolution revisited.

We list again the characteristics that distinguish this example:

1. We employ an existing finite type of size 3 to model program variables.
2. We define a  $3 \times 3$  matrix (named  $K$ ) to denote the linear operator that models the constantly accelerated motion.
3. We define a local flow ( $\varphi_K$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for this linear operator.
4. The verification is done equivalently to the above example.

**term**  $x::2$  — It turns out that there is already a 2-element type:

**lemma**  $CARD(program\text{-}vars) = CARD(2)$   
**unfolding** *number-of-program-vars* **by** *simp*

In fact, for each natural number  $n$  there is already a corresponding  $n$ -element type in Isabelle. however, there are still lemmas to prove about them in order to do verification of hybrid systems in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean spaces.

**lemma** *exhaust-5*: — The analogs for 1, 2 and 3 have already been proven in Analysis.

**fixes**  $x::5$   
**shows**  $x=1 \vee x=2 \vee x=3 \vee x=4 \vee x=5$   
**proof** (*induct x*)  
**case** (*of-int z*)  
**then have**  $0 \leq z$  **and**  $z < 5$  **by** *simp-all*  
**then have**  $z = 0 \vee z = 1 \vee z = 2 \vee z = 3 \vee z = 4$  **by** *arith*  
**then show** *?case* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *UNIV-3*:  $(UNIV::3 \text{ set}) = \{0, 1, 2\}$   
**apply** *safe* **using** *exhaust-3 three-eq-zero* **by** (*blast, auto*)

**lemma** *sum-axis-UNIV-3[simp]*:  $(\sum j \in (UNIV::3 \text{ set}). \text{axis } i \ 1 \ \$ j \cdot f \ j) = (f::3 \Rightarrow \text{real}) \ i$   
**unfolding** *axis-def UNIV-3* **apply** *simp*  
**using** *exhaust-3* **by** *force*

We can rewrite the original constant acceleration kinematics as a linear operator applied to a 3-dimensional vector. For that we take advantage of the following fact:

**lemma**  $e \ 1 = (\chi \ j::3. \text{if } j = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } j = 1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

**unfolding** *axis-def* **by**(*rule Cart-lambda-cong, simp*)

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*  $\equiv$   
 $(\chi \text{ } i::3. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } e \text{ } 1 \text{ else if } i=1 \text{ then } e \text{ } 2 \text{ else } (0::\text{real}^3))$

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow*  $t \text{ } s \equiv$   
 $(\chi \text{ } i::3. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 2 \cdot t^2/2 + s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 1 \cdot t + s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 0$   
 $\text{ else if } i=1 \text{ then } s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 2 \cdot t + s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 1 \text{ else } s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 2)$

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix* ( $A$ )

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow* ( $\varphi_A$ )

With these 2 definitions and the proof that linear systems of ODEs are Picard-Lindelof, we can show that they form a pair of vector-field and its flow.

**lemma** *entries-cnst-acc-matrix*: *entries*  $A = \{0, 1\}$   
**apply** (*simp-all add: axis-def, safe*)  
**by**(*rule-tac x=1 in exI, simp*)+

**lemma** *local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix*: *local-flow*  $((*v) \text{ } A) \text{ } UNIV \text{ } UNIV \text{ } \varphi_A$   
**unfolding** *local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def* **apply** *safe*  
**apply**(*rule picard-lindelof-linear-system[where A=A], simp-all add: vec-eq-iff*)  
**apply**(*rule has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda*)  
**apply**(*auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def vec-eq-iff*)  
**using** *exhaust-3* **by** *force*

Finally, we compute the wlp and use it to verify the single-evolution ball again.

**lemma** *single-evolution-ball-K*:  
 $[\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 0 \wedge s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 0 = h \wedge s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 2]$   
 $\leq wp \text{ } (x' = (*v) \text{ } A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 0 \geq 0))$   
 $[\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 0 \wedge s \text{ } \$ \text{ } 0 \leq h]$   
**apply**(*subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit[of (\*v) A]*)  
**using** *local-flow-cnst-acc-matrix* **apply** *force*  
**by**(*auto simp: mult-nonneg-nonpos2*)

## Circular Motion

The characteristics that distinguish this example are:

1. We employ an existing finite type of size 2 to model program variables.
2. We define a  $2 \times 2$  matrix (named  $C$ ) to denote the linear operator that models circular motion.
3. We show that the circle equation is a differential invariant for the linear operator.

4. We prove the partial correctness specification corresponding to the previous point.
5. For completeness, we define a local flow ( $\varphi_C$ ) and use it to compute the wlp for the linear operator and the specification is proven again with this flow.

**lemma** *two-eq-zero*:  $(2::2) = 0$   
**by** *simp*

**lemma** [*simp*]:  $i \neq (0::2) \longrightarrow i = 1$   
**using** *exhaust-2* **by** *fastforce*

**lemma** *UNIV-2*:  $(UNIV::2 \text{ set}) = \{0, 1\}$   
**apply** *safe* **using** *exhaust-2* *two-eq-zero* **by** *auto*

**abbreviation** *circular-motion-matrix* ::  $\text{real}^2 \times \text{real}^2$   
**where** *circular-motion-matrix*  $\equiv (\chi \ i. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } -e \ 1 \text{ else } e \ 0)$

**notation** *circular-motion-matrix* (*C*)

**lemma** *circle-invariant*:  
 $(\lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2)$  *is-diff-invariant-of*  $(*v)$  *C* *along* *UNIV* *UNIV*  
*from* *0*  
**apply**(*rule-tac* *diff-invariant-rules*, *clarsimp*, *simp*, *clarsimp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac* *i=0* **in** *has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*, *rule-tac* *i=1* **in** *has-vderiv-on-vec-nth*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac* *S=UNIV* **in** *has-vderiv-on-subset*)  
**by**(*auto* *intro!*: *poly-derivatives* *simp*: *matrix-vector-mult-def*)

**lemma** *circular-motion-invariants*:  
 $\lceil \lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2 \rceil \leq \text{wp } (x' = (*v) \ C \ \& \ G) \lceil \lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2 \rceil$   
**apply**(*rule-tac* *I=λs. r² = (s \$ 0)² + (s \$ 1)²* **in** *dInvariant*)  
**using** *circle-invariant* **by** *auto*

— Proof of the same specification by providing solutions:

**lemma** *entries-circ-matrix*: *entries* *C* =  $\{0, -1, 1\}$   
**apply** (*simp-all* *add*: *axis-def*, *safe*)  
**subgoal** **by**(*rule-tac* *x=0* **in** *exI*, *simp*)  
**subgoal** **by**(*rule-tac* *x=0* **in** *exI*, *simp*)  
**by**(*rule-tac* *x=1* **in** *exI*, *simp*)

**abbreviation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow* *t s*  $\equiv$   
 $(\chi \ i. \text{ if } i = (0::2) \text{ then } s\$0 \cdot \cos t - s\$1 \cdot \sin t \text{ else } s\$0 \cdot \sin t + s\$1 \cdot \cos t)$

**notation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow* ( $\varphi_C$ )

**lemma** *local-flow-circ-matrix*: *local-flow*  $((*v) \ C)$  *UNIV* *UNIV*  $\varphi_C$

```

unfolding local-flow-def local-flow-axioms-def apply safe
apply(rule picard-lindelof-linear-system[where  $A=C$ ], simp-all add: vec-eq-iff)
apply(rule has-vderiv-on-vec-lambda)
apply(force intro!: poly-derivatives simp: matrix-vector-mult-def)
using exhaust-2 two-eq-zero by(force simp: vec-eq-iff)

```

**lemma** *circular-motion*:

```


$$\llbracket \lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2 \rrbracket \leq wp \ (x' = (*v) \ C \ \& \ G) \llbracket \lambda s. r^2 = (s \ \$ \ 0)^2 + (s \ \$ \ 1)^2 \rrbracket$$

by(subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit[OF local-flow-circ-matrix]) auto

```

**no-notation** *circular-motion-matrix* ( $C$ )

**no-notation** *circular-motion-matrix-flow* ( $\varphi_C$ )

### Bouncing Ball with solution

We revisit the previous dynamics for a constantly accelerated object modelled with the matrix  $K$ . We compose the corresponding evolution command with an if-statement, and iterate this hybrid program to model a (completely elastic) “bouncing ball”. Using the previously defined flow for this dynamics, proving a specification for this hybrid program is merely an exercise of real arithmetic.

**named-theorems** *bb-real-arith* *real arithmetic properties for the bouncing ball.*

**lemma** [*bb-real-arith*]:

```

assumes  $0 > g$  and inv:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h = v \cdot v$ 
shows  $(x::real) \leq h$ 

```

**proof**–

```

have  $v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot x - 2 \cdot g \cdot h \wedge 0 > g$ 
using inv and  $\langle 0 > g \rangle$  by auto
hence obs:  $v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot (x - h) \wedge 0 > g \wedge v \cdot v \geq 0$ 
using left-diff-distrib mult.commute by (metis zero-le-square)
hence  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) = (x - h)$ 
by auto
also from obs have  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) \leq 0$ 
using divide-nonneg-neg by fastforce
ultimately have  $h - x \geq 0$ 
by linarith
thus ?thesis by auto

```

**qed**

**lemma** [*bb-real-arith*]:

```

assumes invar:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$ 
and pos:  $g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real) = 0$ 
shows  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0$ 
and  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0$ 

```

**proof**–

```

from pos have  $g \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot x = 0$  by auto
then have  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 0$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) Groups.mult-ac(1,3) mult-zero-right
    monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square semiring-class.distrib-left)
hence  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + v^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0$ 
  using invar by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
hence obs:  $(g \cdot \tau + v)^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot h = 0$ 
  apply(subst power2-sum) by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Groups.add-ac(2, 3)

    Groups.mult-ac(2, 3) monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square nat-distrib(2))
thus  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v)) = 0$ 
  by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
have  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (-((g \cdot \tau) + v))^2 = 0$ 
  using obs by (metis Groups.add-ac(2) power2-minus)
thus  $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) \cdot (- (g \cdot \tau) - v) = 0$ 
  by (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
qed

```

```

lemma [bb-real-arith]:
  assumes invar:  $2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$ 
  shows  $2 \cdot g \cdot (g \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + (x::real)) =$ 
     $2 \cdot g \cdot h + (g \cdot \tau \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v) + v \cdot (g \cdot \tau + v))$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof–
  have ?lhs =  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot x$ 
    apply(subst Rat.sign-simps(18))+
    by(auto simp: semiring-normalization-rules(29))
  also have ... =  $g^2 \cdot \tau^2 + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$  (is ... = ?middle)
    by(subst invar, simp)
  finally have ?lhs = ?middle.
moreover
  {have ?rhs =  $g \cdot g \cdot (\tau \cdot \tau) + 2 \cdot g \cdot v \cdot \tau + 2 \cdot g \cdot h + v \cdot v$ 
    by (simp add: Groups.mult-ac(2,3) semiring-class.distrib-left)
    also have ... = ?middle
    by (simp add: semiring-normalization-rules(29))
    finally have ?rhs = ?middle.}
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma bouncing-ball:
   $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ 2 \rceil \leq$ 
   $wp \ (((x' = (*v) \ A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 \geq 0)) \cdot$ 
   $(IF \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 = 0) \ THEN \ (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \ \$ 1)) \ ELSE \ \eta^\bullet \ FI)))^*$ 
   $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 \leq h \rceil$ 
  apply(subst star-nd-fun.abs-eq)
  apply(rule-tac  $I = \lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ 2 \wedge$ 
     $2 \cdot s \ \$ 2 \cdot s \ \$ 0 = 2 \cdot s \ \$ 2 \cdot h + (s \ \$ 1 \cdot s \ \$ 1) \rceil$  in wp-starI)
  apply(simp, simp only: fbox-mult)
  apply(subst p2ndf-ndf2p-wp[symmetric, of (IF (\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 = 0) THEN (1 ::=
     $(\lambda s. - s \ \$ 1)) \ ELSE \ \eta^\bullet \ FI)])$ )

```

```

apply(subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit[OF local-flow.cnst-acc-matrix], simp, subst
ndf2p-wpD)
unfolding cond-def apply clarsimp
by (transfer, simp add: kcomp-def) (auto simp: bb-real-arith)

```

### Bouncing Ball with invariants

We prove again the bouncing ball but this time with differential invariants.

**lemma** gravity-invariant:  $(\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 2 < 0)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $(*v)$   $A$  along UNIV UNIV from 0

```

apply(rule-tac  $\vartheta' = \lambda s. 0$  and  $\nu' = \lambda s. 0$  in diff-invariant-rules(3), clarsimp, simp,
clarsimp)

```

```

apply(drule-tac  $i=2$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(rule-tac  $S=UNIV$  in has-vderiv-on-subset)
by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def)

```

**lemma** energy-conservation-invariant:

$(\lambda s. 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 0 - 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot h - s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot s \ \$ \ 1 = 0)$  is-diff-invariant-of  $(*v)$   $A$  along UNIV UNIV from 0

```

apply(rule diff-invariant-rules, simp, simp, clarify)
apply(frule-tac  $i=2$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(frule-tac  $i=1$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(drule-tac  $i=0$  in has-vderiv-on-vec-nth)
apply(rule-tac  $S=UNIV$  in has-vderiv-on-subset)
by(auto intro!: poly-derivatives simp: vec-eq-iff matrix-vector-mult-def)

```

**lemma** bouncing-ball-invariants:

```

fixes h::real
defines dinv:  $I \equiv \lambda s::real. s \ \$ \ 2 < 0 \wedge 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 0 - 2 \cdot s \ \$ \ 2 \cdot h - (s \ \$ \ 1 \cdot$ 
 $s \ \$ \ 1) = 0$ 
shows  $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ \ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ \ 2 \rceil \leq$ 
 $wp \ (((x' = (*v)) A \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 \geq 0)) \cdot$ 
 $(IF \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 = 0) \ THEN \ (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \ \$ \ 1)) \ ELSE \ \eta^\bullet FI))^*$ 
 $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge s \ \$ \ 0 \leq h \rceil$ 
apply(subst star-nd-fun.abs-eq)
apply(rule-tac  $I = \lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge I \ s \rceil$  in wp-starI)
apply(simp add: dinv, simp only: fbox-mult)
apply(subst p2ndf-ndf2p-wp[symmetric, of  $(IF \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ \ 0 = 0) \ THEN \ (1 ::=$ 
 $(\lambda s. - s \ \$ \ 1)) \ ELSE \ \eta^\bullet FI))$ )
apply(rule-tac  $I = \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ \ 0 \wedge I \ s$  in dI, simp, simp, simp)
apply(subst wp-guard-eq, simp)
apply(rule order.trans[where  $b = \lceil I \rceil$ ], simp)
apply(rule dInvariant[of I], unfold dinv)
apply(intro diff-invariant-rules(4))
using gravity-invariant apply force
using energy-conservation-invariant apply(force, force)
apply(simp only: p2ndf-ndf2p-wp)
apply(rule wp-if-then-else)
by(auto simp: bb-real-arith le-fun-def)

```



**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix* ( $A$ )

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix-flow* ( $\varphi_A$ )

### Bouncing Ball with exponential solution

In our final example, we prove again the bouncing ball specification but this time we do it with the general solution for linear systems.

**abbreviation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx*  $\equiv$   
*sq-mtx-chi* *constant-acceleration-kinematics-matrix*

**notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx* ( $K$ )

**lemma** *max-norm-cnst-acc-sq-mtx*:  $\|to\text{-}vec\ K\|_{max} = 1$

**proof**–

have  $\{to\text{-}vec\ K\ \$\ i\ \$\ j\ |\ i\ j. i \in UNIV \wedge j \in UNIV\} = \{0, 1\}$   
 apply (*simp-all* add: *axis-def*, *safe*)  
 by(*rule-tac*  $x=1$  in *exI*, *simp*) +  
 thus ?thesis  
 by *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** *const-acc-mtx-pow2*:  $(\tau *_R K)^2 = sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\ (\chi\ i. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } \tau^2 *_R e\ 2 \text{ else } 0)$

**unfolding** *monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square* **apply**(*simp* add: *scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def*)  
**unfolding** *times-sqrd-matrix-def* **apply**(*simp* add: *sq-mtx-chi-inject* *vec-eq-iff*)  
**apply**(*simp* add: *matrix-matrix-mult-def*)  
**unfolding** *UNIV-3* **by**(*auto* *simp*: *axis-def*)

**lemma** *const-acc-mtx-powN*:  $n > 2 \implies (\tau *_R K)^n = 0$

**proof**(*induct*  $n$ )

case 0

thus ?case **by** *simp*

**next**

case (*Suc*  $n$ )

assume *IH*:  $2 < n \implies (\tau *_R K)^n = 0$  and  $2 < \text{Suc } n$

then show ?case

**proof**(*cases*  $n \leq 2$ )

case *True*

hence  $n = 2$

using  $2 < \text{Suc } n$  *le-less-Suc-eq* **by** *blast*

hence  $(\tau *_R K)^{\text{Suc } n} = (\tau *_R K)^3$

**by** *simp*

also have  $\dots = (\tau *_R K) \cdot (\tau *_R K)^2$

**by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*)  $\langle n = 2 \rangle$  *calculation* *power-class.power.power-Suc*)

also have  $\dots = (\tau *_R K) \cdot sq\text{-}mtx\text{-}chi\ (\chi\ i. \text{if } i=0 \text{ then } \tau^2 *_R e\ 2 \text{ else } 0)$

**by** (*subst* *const-acc-mtx-pow2*) *simp*

also have  $\dots = 0$

```

    unfolding times-sqrd-matrix-def zero-sqrd-matrix-def
    apply (simp add: sq-mtx-chi-inject vec-eq-iff scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def)
    apply (simp add: matrix-matrix-mult-def)
    unfolding UNIV-3 by (auto simp: axis-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
    using IH by auto
qed
qed

lemma suminf-eq-sum:
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ ('a::real-normed-vector)
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. n > m \implies f\ n = 0$ 
  shows  $(\sum n. f\ n) = (\sum n \leq m. f\ n)$ 
  using assms by (meson atMost-iff finite-atMost not-le suminf-finite)

lemma exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx:  $\exp(\tau *_R K) = ((\tau *_R K)^2 /_R 2) + (\tau *_R K) + 1$ 
  unfolding exp-def apply (subst suminf-eq-sum[of 2])
  using const-acc-mtx-powN by (simp-all add: numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps:
   $\exp(\tau *_R K) \ \$\$ 0 \ \$ 0 = 1 \ \exp(\tau *_R K) \ \$\$ 0 \ \$ 1 = \tau \ \exp(\tau *_R K) \ \$\$ 0 \ \$ 2$ 
 $= \tau^2 / 2$ 
   $\exp(\tau *_R K) \ \$\$ 1 \ \$ 0 = 0 \ \exp(\tau *_R K) \ \$\$ 1 \ \$ 1 = 1 \ \exp(\tau *_R K) \ \$\$ 1 \ \$ 2$ 
 $= \tau$ 
   $\exp(\tau *_R K) \ \$\$ 2 \ \$ 0 = 0 \ \exp(\tau *_R K) \ \$\$ 2 \ \$ 1 = 0 \ \exp(\tau *_R K) \ \$\$ 2 \ \$ 2$ 
 $= 1$ 
  unfolding exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx const-acc-mtx-pow2
  by (auto simp: plus-sqrd-matrix-def scaleR-sqrd-matrix-def one-sqrd-matrix-def
    mat-def
    scaleR-vec-def axis-def plus-vec-def)

lemma bouncing-ball-K:
   $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 = h \wedge s \ \$ 1 = 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ 2 \rceil \leq$ 
 $wp\ (((x' = (*_V) K) \ \& \ (\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 \geq 0)) \cdot$ 
 $(IF\ (\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 = 0)\ THEN\ (1 ::= (\lambda s. - s \ \$ 1))\ ELSE\ \eta^\bullet FI))^*$ 
 $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge s \ \$ 0 \leq h \rceil$ 
  apply (subst star-nd-fun.abs-eq)
  apply (rule-tac I =  $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \$ 0 \wedge 0 > s \ \$ 2 \wedge$ 
 $2 \cdot s \ \$ 2 \cdot s \ \$ 0 = 2 \cdot s \ \$ 2 \cdot h + (s \ \$ 1 \cdot s \ \$ 1) \rceil$  in wp-starI)
  apply (simp, simp only: fbox-mult)
  apply (subst p2ndf-ndf2p-wp[symmetric, of (IF ( $\lambda s. s \ \$ 0 = 0$ ) THEN (1 ::=
 $(\lambda s. - s \ \$ 1))$  ELSE  $\eta^\bullet FI$ ))])
  apply (subst local-flow.wp-g-orbit[OF local-flow-exp], simp)
  unfolding wp-nd-fun2 apply (simp add: f2r-def cond-def plus-nd-fun-def
    times-nd-fun-def kcomp-def sq-mtx-vec-prod-eq)
  unfolding UNIV-3 apply (simp add: exp-cnst-acc-sq-mtx-simps, safe)

```

```

subgoal for x using bb-real-arith(3)[of x $ 2]
  by (simp add: add commute mult commute)
subgoal for x  $\tau$  using bb-real-arith(4)[where g=x $ 2 and v=x $ 1]
  by (simp add: add commute mult commute)
  by (force simp: bb-real-arith)

```

**no-notation** *constant-acceleration-kinematics-sq-mtx* ( $K$ )

end

## 6.4 VC\_diffKAD

```

theory VC-diffKAD-auxiliarities
imports
  Main
  ../afpModified/VC-KAD
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

```

begin

### 6.4.1 Stack Theories Preliminaries: VC\_KAD and ODEs

To make our notation less code-like and more mathematical we declare:

```

no-notation Archimedean-Field.ceiling ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ )
  and Archimedean-Field.floor ( $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ )
  and Set.image (  $'$  )
  and Range-Semiring.antirange-semiring-class.ars-r ( $r$ )

```

```

notation  $p2r$  ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ )
  and  $r2p$  ( $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ )
  and Set.image ( $-\lceil \cdot \rceil$ )
  and Product-Type.prod.fst ( $\pi_1$ )
  and Product-Type.prod.snd ( $\pi_2$ )
  and List.zip (infixl  $\otimes$  63)
  and rel-ad ( $\Delta^c_1$ )

```

This and more notation is explained by the following lemmata.

```

lemma shows  $\lceil P \rceil = \{(s, s) \mid s. P\ s\}$ 
  and  $\lfloor R \rfloor = (\lambda x. x \in r2s\ R)$ 
  and  $r2s\ R = \{x \mid x. \exists y. (x, y) \in R\}$ 
  and  $\pi_1\ (x, y) = x \wedge \pi_2\ (x, y) = y$ 
  and  $\Delta^c_1\ R = \{(x, x) \mid x. \nexists y. (x, y) \in R\}$ 
  and  $wp\ R\ Q = \Delta^c_1\ (R ; \Delta^c_1\ Q)$ 
  and  $[x1, x2, x3, x4] \otimes [y1, y2] = [(x1, y1), (x2, y2)]$ 
  and  $\{a..b\} = \{x. a \leq x \wedge x \leq b\}$ 
  and  $\{a<.. $b\} = \{x. a < x \wedge x < b\}$ 
  and  $(x\ solves\ ode\ f)\ \{0..t\}\ R = ((x\ has\ vderiv\ on\ (\lambda t. f\ t\ (x\ t)))\ \{0..t\} \wedge x \in \{0..t\} \rightarrow R)$$ 
```

**and**  $f \in A \rightarrow B = (f \in \{f. \forall x. x \in A \rightarrow (f x) \in B\})$   
**and**  $(x \text{ has-vderiv-on } x')\{0..t\} =$   
 $(\forall r \in \{0..t\}. (x \text{ has-vector-derivative } x' r) \text{ (at } r \text{ within } \{0..t\}))$   
**and**  $(x \text{ has-vector-derivative } x' r) \text{ (at } r \text{ within } \{0..t\}) =$   
 $(x \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x. x *_R x' r)) \text{ (at } r \text{ within } \{0..t\})$   
**apply**(*simp-all add: p2r-def r2p-def rel-ad-def rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def*  
*solves-ode-def has-vderiv-on-def*)  
**apply**(*blast, fastforce, fastforce*)  
**using** *has-vector-derivative-def* **by** *auto*

Observe also, the following consequences and facts:

**proposition**  $\pi_1(\llbracket R \rrbracket) = r2s R$   
**by** (*simp add: fst-eq-Domain*)

**proposition**  $\Delta^c_1 R = Id - \{(s, s) \mid s. s \in (\pi_1(\llbracket R \rrbracket))\}$   
**by**(*simp add: image-def rel-ad-def, fastforce*)

**proposition**  $P \subseteq Q \implies wp R P \subseteq wp R Q$   
**by**(*simp add: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.dka.dom-iso rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-iso*)

**proposition** *boxProgrPred-IsProp*:  $wp R \llbracket P \rrbracket \subseteq Id$   
**by**(*simp add: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.a-subid' rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.bbox-def*)

**proposition** *rdom-p2r-contents*:  $(a, b) \in rdom \llbracket P \rrbracket = ((a = b) \wedge P a)$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $(a, b) \in rdom \llbracket P \rrbracket = ((a = b) \wedge (a, a) \in rdom \llbracket P \rrbracket)$  **using** *p2r-subid* **by**  
*fastforce*  
**also have**  $\dots = ((a = b) \wedge (a, a) \in \llbracket P \rrbracket)$  **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots = ((a = b) \wedge P a)$  **by** (*simp add: p2r-def*)  
**ultimately show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

*//Should not add these complement rule's to simp//*

**proposition** *rel-ad-rule1*:  $(x, x) \notin \Delta^c_1 \llbracket P \rrbracket \implies P x$   
**by**(*auto simp: rel-ad-def p2r-subid p2r-def*)

**proposition** *rel-ad-rule2*:  $(x, x) \in \Delta^c_1 \llbracket P \rrbracket \implies \neg P x$   
**by**(*metis ComplD VC-KAD.p2r-neg-hom rel-ad-rule1 empty-iff mem-Collect-eq p2s-neg-hom*

*rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.a-one rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.am1 relcomp.relcompI*)

**proposition** *rel-ad-rule3*:  $R \subseteq Id \implies (x, x) \notin R \implies (x, x) \in \Delta^c_1 R$   
**by**(*metis IdI Un-iff d-p2r rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars3*  
*rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars-r-def rpr*)

**proposition** *rel-ad-rule4*:  $(x, x) \in R \implies (x, x) \notin \Delta^c_1 R$   
**by**(*metis empty-iff rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars1 relcomp.relcompI*)

**proposition** *boxProgrPred-chrctrzn*:  $(x, x) \in wp\ R\ [P] = (\forall\ y. (x, y) \in R \longrightarrow P\ y)$

**by**(metis *boxProgrPred-IsProp* *rel-ad-rule1* *rel-ad-rule2* *rel-ad-rule3* *rel-ad-rule4* *d-p2r* *wp-simp* *wp-trafo*)

**lemma** (in *antidomain-kleene-algebra*) *fbox-starI*:

**assumes**  $d\ p \leq d\ i$  **and**  $d\ i \leq |x|$  *i* **and**  $d\ i \leq d\ q$

**shows**  $d\ p \leq |x^*|$  *q*

**proof**–

**from**  $\langle d\ i \leq |x| \ i \rangle$  **have**  $d\ i \leq |x|$  (*d i*)

**using** *local.fbox-simp* **by** *auto*

**hence**  $|1| \ p \leq |x^*| \ i$  **using**  $\langle d\ p \leq d\ i \rangle$  **by** (metis (*no-types*)

*local.dual-order.trans* *local.fbox-one* *local.fbox-simp* *local.fbox-star-induct-var*)

**thus** *?thesis* **using**  $\langle d\ i \leq d\ q \rangle$  **by** (metis (*full-types*)

*local.fbox-mult* *local.fbox-one* *local.fbox-seq-var* *local.fbox-simp*)

**qed**

**proposition** *cons-eq-zipE*:

$(x, y) \# \text{tail} = xList \otimes yList \implies \exists xTail\ yTail. x \# xTail = xList \wedge y \# yTail = yList$

**by**(*induction* *xList*, *simp-all*, *induction* *yList*, *simp-all*)

**proposition** *set-zip-left-rightD*:

$(x, y) \in \text{set}\ (xList \otimes yList) \implies x \in \text{set}\ xList \wedge y \in \text{set}\ yList$

**apply**(*rule conjI*)

**apply**(*rule-tac* *y=y* **and** *ys=yList* **in** *set-zip-leftD*, *simp*)

**apply**(*rule-tac* *x=x* **and** *xs=xList* **in** *set-zip-rightD*, *simp*)

**done**

**declare** *zip-map-fst-snd* [*simp*]

## 6.4.2 VC\_diffKAD Preliminaries

In dL, the set of possible program variables is split in two, the set of variables  $V$  and their primed counterparts  $V'$ . To implement this, we use Isabelle's string-type and define a function that primes a given string. We then define the set of primed-strings based on it.

**definition** *vdiff* :: *string*  $\Rightarrow$  *string* ( $\partial$  - [55] 70) **where**  
 $(\partial\ x) = "d["@x@"$

**definition** *varDiffs* :: *string set* **where**  
 $\text{varDiffs} = \{y. \exists\ x. y = \partial\ x\}$

**proposition** *vdiff-inj*:  $(\partial\ x) = (\partial\ y) \implies x = y$   
**by**(*simp* *add: vdiff-def*)

**proposition** *vdiff-noFixPoints*:  $x \neq (\partial\ x)$   
**by**(*simp* *add: vdiff-def*)

**lemma**  $varDiffsI: x = (\partial z) \implies x \in varDiffs$   
**by** (*simp add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def*)

**lemma**  $varDiffsE$ :  
**assumes**  $x \in varDiffs$   
**obtains**  $y$  **where**  $x = "d["@y@"]"$   
**using** *assms unfolding varDiffs-def vdiff-def* **by** *auto*

**proposition**  $vdiff-invarDiffs: (\partial x) \in varDiffs$   
**by** (*simp add: varDiffsI*)

### (primed) dSolve preliminaries

This subsection is to define a function that takes a system of ODEs (expressed as a list  $xfList$ ), a presumed solution  $uInput = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$ , a state  $s$  and a time  $t$ , and outputs the induced flow  $sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t$ .

**abbreviation**  $varDiffs-to-zero :: real\ store \Rightarrow real\ store\ (sol)$  **where**  
 $sol\ a \equiv (override-on\ a\ (\lambda\ x.\ 0)\ varDiffs)$

**proposition**  $varDiffs-to-zero-vdiff[simp]: (sol\ s)\ (\partial\ x) = 0$   
**apply** (*simp add: override-on-def varDiffs-def*)  
**by** *auto*

**proposition**  $varDiffs-to-zero-beginning[simp]: take\ 2\ x \neq "d[" \implies (sol\ s)\ x = s$   
 $x$   
**apply** (*simp add: varDiffs-def override-on-def vdiff-def*)  
**by** *fastforce*

— Next, for each entry of the input-list, we update the state using said entry.

**definition**  $vderiv-of\ f\ S = (SOME\ f'.\ (f\ has-vderiv-on\ f')\ S)$

**primrec**  $state-list-upd :: ((real \Rightarrow real\ store \Rightarrow real) \times string \times (real\ store \Rightarrow real))\ list \Rightarrow$   
 $real \Rightarrow real\ store \Rightarrow real\ store$  **where**  
 $state-list-upd\ []\ t\ s = s$   
 $state-list-upd\ (uxf\ \# tail)\ t\ s = (state-list-upd\ tail\ t\ s)$   
 $(\quad (\pi_1\ (\pi_2\ uxf)) := (\pi_1\ uxf)\ t\ s,$   
 $\quad \partial\ (\pi_1\ (\pi_2\ uxf)) := (if\ t = 0\ then\ (\pi_2\ (\pi_2\ uxf))\ s$   
 $else\ vderiv-of\ (\lambda\ r.\ (\pi_1\ uxf)\ r\ s)\ \{0 <..< (\mathcal{Q} *_{\mathcal{R}} t)\}\ t))$

**abbreviation**  $state-list-cross-upd :: real\ store \Rightarrow (string \times (real\ store \Rightarrow real))\ list$   
 $\Rightarrow$   
 $(real \Rightarrow real\ store \Rightarrow real)\ list \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow (char\ list \Rightarrow real)\ (-[\leftarrow] - [64, 64, 64]$   
 $63)$  **where**  
 $s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t \equiv state-list-upd\ (uInput \otimes xfList)\ t\ s$

**proposition**  $state-list-cross-upd-empty[simp]: (s[\leftarrow list]\ t) = s$

by(induction list, simp-all)

**lemma** inductive-state-list-cross-upd-its-vars:

**assumes** *distHyp*:distinct (map  $\pi_1$  ((*y*, *g*) # *xftail*))  
**and** *varHyp*: $\forall xf \in \text{set}((y, g) \# xftail). \pi_1 xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**and** *indHyp*: $(u, x, f) \in \text{set}(utail \otimes xftail) \implies (s[xftail \leftarrow utail] \ t) \ x = u \ t \ s$   
**and** *disjHyp*: $(u, x, f) = (v, y, g) \vee (u, x, f) \in \text{set}(utail \otimes xftail)$   
**shows**  $(s[(y, g) \# xftail \leftarrow v \# utail] \ t) \ x = u \ t \ s$   
**using** *disjHyp* **proof**  
  **assume**  $(u, x, f) = (v, y, g)$   
  **hence**  $(s[(y, g) \# xftail \leftarrow v \# utail] \ t) \ x = ((s[xftail \leftarrow utail] \ t)(x := u \ t \ s,$   
   $\partial \ x := \text{if } t = 0 \text{ then } f \ s \text{ else } v \text{deriv-of } (\lambda r. u \ r \ s) \ \{0 <..< (2 *_{\mathcal{R}} t)\} \ t)) \ x$  **by**  
*simp*  
  **also have**  $\dots = u \ t \ s$  **by** (*simp add: vdiff-def*)  
  **ultimately show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

**next**

**assume** *yTailHyp*: $(u, x, f) \in \text{set}(utail \otimes xftail)$   
**from** *this* **and** *indHyp* **have**  $3:(s[xftail \leftarrow utail] \ t) \ x = u \ t \ s$  **by** *fastforce*  
**from** *yTailHyp* **and** *distHyp* **have**  $2:y \neq x$  **using** *set-clip-left-rightD* **by** *force*  
**from** *yTailHyp* **and** *varHyp* **have**  $1:x \neq \partial \ y$   
**using** *set-clip-left-rightD* *vdiff-invarDiffs* **by** *fastforce*  
**from** *1* **and** *2* **have**  $(s[(y, g) \# xftail \leftarrow v \# utail] \ t) \ x = (s[xftail \leftarrow utail] \ t) \ x$   
**by** *simp*  
  **thus** *?thesis* **using** *3* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

**theorem** state-list-cross-upd-its-vars:

**assumes** *distinctHyp*:distinct (map  $\pi_1$  *xfList*)  
**and** *lengthHyp*:length *xfList* = length *uInput*  
**and** *varsHyp*: $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**and** *its-var*: $(u, x, f) \in \text{set}(uInput \otimes xfList)$   
**shows**  $(s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ x = u \ t \ s$   
**using** *assms* **apply**(*induct* *xfList* *uInput* *arbitrary*: *x* *rule*: *list-induct2'*, *simp*,  
*simp*, *simp*)  
**by**(*clarify*, *rule* *inductive-state-list-cross-upd-its-vars*, *simp-all*)

**lemma** *override-on-upd*: $x \in X \implies (\text{override-on } f \ g \ X)(x := z) = (\text{override-on } f$   
 $(g(x := z)) \ X)$   
**by** (*rule ext*, *simp add: override-on-def*)

**lemma** inductive-state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars:

**assumes**  $\exists g. (s[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ 0) = \text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs}$   
**and**  $\forall xf \in \text{set}(xf \# xfTail). \pi_1 xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**and**  $\forall uxf \in \text{set}(u \# uTail \otimes xf \# xfTail). \pi_1 uxf \ 0 \ s = s(\pi_1(\pi_2 \ uxf))$   
**shows**  $\exists g. (s[xf \# xfTail \leftarrow u \# uTail] \ 0) = \text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs}$   
**proof** –  
**let** *gLHS* =  $(s[(xf \# xfTail) \leftarrow (u \# uTail)] \ 0)$   
**have** *observ*: $\partial(\pi_1 \ xf) \in \text{varDiffs}$  **by** (*auto simp: varDiffs-def*)  
**from** *assms*(*1*) **obtain** *g* **where**  $(s[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ 0) = \text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs}$

**by** *force*  
**then have**  $?gLHS = (\text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs})(\pi_1 \ xf := u \ 0 \ s, \ \partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf) := \pi_2 \ xf \ s)$   
**by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots = (\text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs})(\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf) := \pi_2 \ xf \ s)$   
**using** *override-on-def varDiffs-def assms* **by** *auto*  
**also have**  $\dots = (\text{override-on } s \ (g(\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf) := \pi_2 \ xf \ s)) \ \text{varDiffs})$   
**using** *observ and override-on-upd* **by** *force*  
**ultimately show**  $?thesis$  **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**theorem** *state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars*:  
**assumes**  $\text{lengthHyp}:\text{length } xfList = \text{length } uInput$   
**and**  $\text{varsHyp}:\forall \ xf \in \text{set } xfList. \ \pi_1 \ xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**and**  $\text{solHyp1}:\forall \ uxf \in \text{set } (uInput \otimes xfList). \ (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ s = s \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf))$   
**shows**  $\exists \ g. \ (s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ 0) = (\text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs})$   
**using** *assms* **proof**(*induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2'*)  
**case** 1  
  **have**  $(s[\square \leftarrow \square] \ 0) = \text{override-on } s \ s \ \text{varDiffs}$   
  **unfolding** *override-on-def* **by** *simp*  
  **thus**  $?case$  **by** *metis*  
**next**  
  **case** (2  $xf \ xfTail$ )  
  **have**  $(s[(xf \ \# \ xfTail) \leftarrow \square] \ 0) = \text{override-on } s \ s \ \text{varDiffs}$   
  **unfolding** *override-on-def* **by** *simp*  
  **thus**  $?case$  **by** *metis*  
**next**  
  **case** (3  $u \ utail$ )  
  **have**  $(s[\square \leftarrow utail] \ 0) = \text{override-on } s \ s \ \text{varDiffs}$   
  **unfolding** *override-on-def* **by** *simp*  
  **thus**  $?case$  **by** *force*  
**next**  
  **case** (4  $xf \ xfTail \ u \ uTail$ )  
  **then have**  $\exists \ g. \ (s[xfTail \leftarrow uTail] \ 0) = \text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs}$  **by** *simp*  
  **thus**  $?case$  **using** *inductive-state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars 4.prem*s **by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** *vderiv-unique-within-open-interval*:  
**assumes**  $(f \ \text{has-vderiv-on } f') \ \{0 < .. < t\}$  **and**  $t > 0$   
  **and**  $(f \ \text{has-vderiv-on } f'') \ \{0 < .. < t\}$  **and**  $\text{tauHyp}:\tau \in \{0 < .. < t\}$   
**shows**  $f' \ \tau = f'' \ \tau$   
**using** *assms* **apply**(*simp add: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def*)  
**using** *frechet-derivative-unique-within-open-interval* **by** (*metis box-real(1) scaleR-one tauHyp*)

**lemma** *has-vderiv-on-cong-open-interval*:  
**assumes**  $gHyp:\forall \ \tau > 0. \ f \ \tau = g \ \tau$  **and**  $tHyp: t > 0$   
**and**  $fHyp:(f \ \text{has-vderiv-on } f') \ \{0 < .. < t\}$   
**shows**  $(g \ \text{has-vderiv-on } f') \ \{0 < .. < t\}$   
**proof** –



from  $gHyp$  have  $\bigwedge \tau. \tau \in \{0 < .. < t\} \implies f \tau = g \tau$  using  $tHyp$  by force  
 hence  $eqDs:(f \text{ has-vderiv-on } f') \{0 < .. < t\} = (g \text{ has-vderiv-on } f') \{0 < .. < t\}$   
 apply( $rule\text{-}tac \text{ has-vderiv-on-cong}$ ) by auto  
 thus  $(g \text{ has-vderiv-on } f') \{0 < .. < t\}$  using  $eqDs \ fHyp$  by simp  
 qed

lemma *closed-vderiv-on-cong-to-open-vderiv*:  
 assumes  $gHyp:\forall \tau > 0. f \tau = g \tau$   
 and  $fHyp:\forall t \geq 0. (f \text{ has-vderiv-on } f') \{0 .. t\}$   
 and  $tHyp: t > 0$  and  $cHyp: c > 1$   
 shows  $vderiv\text{-}of \ g \ \{0 < .. < (c *_{\mathbb{R}} t)\} \ t = f' \ t$   
 proof—  
 have  $ctHyp:c \cdot t > 0$  using  $tHyp$  and  $cHyp$  by auto  
 from  $fHyp$  have  $(f \text{ has-vderiv-on } f') \{0 < .. < c \cdot t\}$  using  $\text{has-vderiv-on-subset}$   
 by ( $metis \text{ greaterThanLessThan-subseteq-atLeastAtMost-iff less-eq-real-def}$ )  
 then have  $derivHyp:(g \text{ has-vderiv-on } f') \{0 < .. < c \cdot t\}$   
 using  $gHyp \ ctHyp$  and  $\text{has-vderiv-on-cong-open-interval}$  by blast  
 hence  $f'Hyp:\forall f''. (g \text{ has-vderiv-on } f'') \{0 < .. < c \cdot t\} \longrightarrow (\forall \tau \in \{0 < .. < c \cdot t\}. f' \tau = f'' \tau)$   
 using  $vderiv\text{-}unique\text{-}within\text{-}open\text{-}interval \ ctHyp$  by blast  
 also have  $(g \text{ has-vderiv-on } (vderiv\text{-}of \ g \ \{0 < .. < (c *_{\mathbb{R}} t)\})) \{0 < .. < c \cdot t\}$   
 by( $simp \ add: vderiv\text{-}of\text{-}def, \ metis \ derivHyp \ someI\text{-}ex$ )  
 ultimately show  $vderiv\text{-}of \ g \ \{0 < .. < c *_{\mathbb{R}} t\} \ t = f' \ t$  using  $tHyp \ cHyp$  by force  
 qed

lemma *vderiv-of-to-sol-its-vars*:  
 assumes  $distinctHyp:distinct \ (\text{map } \pi_1 \ xfList)$   
 and  $lengthHyp:length \ xfList = length \ uInput$   
 and  $varsHyp:\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_1 \ xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
 and  $solHyp2:\forall t \geq 0. ((\lambda \tau. (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau) \ x) \text{ has-vderiv-on } (\lambda \tau. f \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau))) \{0 .. t\}$   
 and  $tHyp: t > 0$  and  $uxfHyp:(u, x, f) \in \text{set } (uInput \otimes xfList)$   
 shows  $vderiv\text{-}of \ (\lambda \tau. u \ \tau \ (sol \ s)) \ \{0 < .. < (2 *_{\mathbb{R}} t)\} \ t = f \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)$   
 apply( $rule\text{-}tac \ f=(\lambda \tau. (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau) \ x)$  in  $\text{closed-vderiv-on-cong-to-open-vderiv}$ )  
 subgoal using  $assms$  and  $\text{state-list-cross-upd-its-vars}$  by  $metis$   
 by( $simp\text{-}all \ add: solHyp2 \ tHyp$ )

lemma *inductive-to-sol-zero-its-dvars*:  
 assumes  $eqFuncs:\forall s. \forall g. \forall xf \in \text{set } ((x, f) \# xfs). \pi_2 \ xf \ (\text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs}) = \pi_2 \ xf \ s$   
 and  $eqLengths:length \ ((x, f) \# xfs) = length \ (u \# us)$   
 and  $distinct:distinct \ (\text{map } \pi_1 \ ((x, f) \# xfs))$   
 and  $vars:\forall xf \in \text{set } ((x, f) \# xfs). \pi_1 \ xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
 and  $solHyp1:\forall uxf \in \text{set } ((u \# us) \otimes ((x, f) \# xfs)). \pi_1 \ uxf \ 0 \ (sol \ s) = sol \ s \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf))$   
 and  $disjHyp:(y, g) = (x, f) \vee (y, g) \in \text{set } xfs$   
 and  $indHyp:(y, g) \in \text{set } xfs \implies (sol \ s[xfs \leftarrow us] \ 0) \ (\partial \ y) = g \ (sol \ s[xfs \leftarrow us] \ 0)$   
 shows  $(sol \ s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \ 0) \ (\partial \ y) = g \ (sol \ s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \ 0)$

**proof**–  
**from** *assms* **obtain** *h1* **where** *h1Def*: $(\text{sol } s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow (u \# us)] \ 0) =$   
 $(\text{override-on } (\text{sol } s) \ h1 \ \text{varDiffs})$  **using** *state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars* **by** *blast*  
**from** *disjHyp* **show**  $(\text{sol } s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \ 0) \ (\partial \ y) = g \ (\text{sol } s[(x, f) \#$   
 $xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \ 0)$   
**proof**  
**assume** *eqHeads*: $(y, g) = (x, f)$   
**then have**  $g \ (\text{sol } s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \ 0) = f \ (\text{sol } s)$  **using** *h1Def eqFuncs*  
**by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots = (\text{sol } s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \ 0) \ (\partial \ y)$  **using** *eqHeads* **by** *auto*  
**ultimately show** *?thesis* **by** *linarith*  
**next**  
**assume** *tailHyp*: $(y, g) \in \text{set } xfs$   
**then have**  $y \neq x$  **using** *distinct set-zip-left-rightD* **by** *force*  
**hence**  $\partial \ x \neq \partial \ y$  **by** (*simp add: vdiff-def*)  
**have**  $x \neq \partial \ y$  **using** *vars vdiff-invarDiffs* **by** *auto*  
**obtain** *h2* **where** *h2Def*: $(\text{sol } s[xfs \leftarrow us] \ 0) = \text{override-on } (\text{sol } s) \ h2 \ \text{varDiffs}$   
**using** *state-list-cross-upd-its-dvars eqLengths distinct vars and solHyp1* **by** *force*  
**have**  $(\text{sol } s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \ 0) \ (\partial \ y) = g \ (\text{sol } s[xfs \leftarrow us] \ 0)$   
**using** *tailHyp indHyp*  $\langle x \neq \partial \ y \rangle$  **and**  $\langle \partial \ x \neq \partial \ y \rangle$  **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots = g \ (\text{override-on } (\text{sol } s) \ h2 \ \text{varDiffs})$  **using** *h2Def* **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots = g \ (\text{sol } s)$  **using** *eqFuncs and tailHyp* **by** *force*  
**also have**  $\dots = g \ (\text{sol } s[(x, f) \# xfs \leftarrow u \# us] \ 0)$   
**using** *eqFuncs h1Def tailHyp and eq-snd-iff* **by** *fastforce*  
**ultimately show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*  
**qed**  
**qed**

**lemma** *to-sol-zero-its-dvars*:  
**assumes** *funcsHyp*: $\forall \ s. \forall \ g. \forall \ xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_2 \ xf \ (\text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs})$   
 $= \pi_2 \ xf \ s$   
**and** *distinctHyp*:*distinct*  $(\text{map } \pi_1 \ xfList)$   
**and** *lengthHyp*:*length* *xfList* = *length* *uInput*  
**and** *varsHyp*: $\forall \ xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_1 \ xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**and** *solHyp1*: $\forall \ uxf \in \text{set } (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ (\text{sol } s) = (\text{sol } s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2$   
 $uxf))$   
**and** *ygHyp*: $(y, g) \in \text{set } xfList$   
**shows**  $(\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ 0) \ (\partial \ y) = g \ (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ 0)$   
**using** *assms apply* (*induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2', simp, simp, simp, clarify*)  
**by** (*rule inductive-to-sol-zero-its-dvars, simp-all*)

**lemma** *inductive-to-sol-greater-than-zero-its-dvars*:  
**assumes** *lengthHyp*:*length*  $((y, g) \# xfs) = \text{length } (v \# vs)$   
**and** *distHyp*:*distinct*  $(\text{map } \pi_1 \ ((y, g) \# xfs))$   
**and** *varHyp*: $\forall \ xf \in \text{set } ((y, g) \# xfs). \pi_1 \ xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**and** *indHyp*: $(u, x, f) \in \text{set } (vs \otimes xfs) \implies (s[xfs \leftarrow vs]t) \ (\partial \ x) = v\text{deriv-of } (\lambda r. u \ r$   
 $s) \ \{0 < .. < 2 * R t\} \ t$   
**and** *disjHyp*: $(v, y, g) = (u, x, f) \vee (u, x, f) \in \text{set } (vs \otimes xfs)$  **and** *tHyp*: $t > 0$

```

shows (s[(y, g) # xfs←v # vs] t) (∂ x) = vderiv-of (λr. u r s) {0<..2 *R t} t
proof–
let ?lhs = ((s[xfs←vs] t)(y := v t s, ∂ y := vderiv-of (λ r. v r s) {0<..2 (2 · t)} t)) (∂ x)
let ?rhs = vderiv-of (λr. u r s) {0<..2 (2 · t)} t
have (s[(y, g) # xfs←v # vs] t) (∂ x) = ?lhs using tHyp by simp
also have vderiv-of (λr. u r s) {0<..2 *R t} t = ?rhs by simp
ultimately have obs:?thesis = (?lhs = ?rhs) by simp
from disjHyp have ?lhs = ?rhs
proof
  assume uxfEq:(v, y, g) = (u, x, f)
  then have ?lhs = vderiv-of (λ r. u r s) {0<..2 (2 · t)} t by simp
  also have vderiv-of (λ r. u r s) {0<..2 (2 · t)} t = ?rhs using uxfEq by simp
  ultimately show ?lhs = ?rhs by simp
next
  assume sygTail:(u, x, f) ∈ set (vs ⊗ xfs)
  from this have y ≠ x using distHyp set-zip-left-rightD by force
  hence ∂ x ≠ ∂ y by (simp add: vdiff-def)
  have y ≠ ∂ x using varHyp using vdiff-invarDiffs by auto
  then have ?lhs = (s[xfs←vs] t) (∂ x) using ⟨y ≠ ∂ x⟩ and ⟨∂ x ≠ ∂ y⟩ by simp
  also have (s[xfs←vs] t) (∂ x) = ?rhs using indHyp sygTail by simp
  ultimately show ?lhs = ?rhs by simp
qed
from this and obs show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma to-sol-greater-than-zero-its-dvars:
assumes distinctHyp:distinct (map π1 xfList)
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and varsHyp:∀ xf ∈ set xfList. π1 xf ∉ varDiffs
and uxfHyp:(u, x, f) ∈ set (uInput ⊗ xfList) and tHyp:t > 0
shows (s[xfList←uInput] t) (∂ x) = vderiv-of (λ r. u r s) {0<..2 *R t} t
using assms apply (induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2', simp, simp, simp, clarify)
by (rule-tac f=f in inductive-to-sol-greater-than-zero-its-dvars, auto)

```

## dInv preliminaries

Here, we introduce syntactic notation to talk about differential invariants.

**no-notation** *Antidomain-Semiring*.*antidomain-left-monoid-class*.*am-add-op* (**infixl** ⊕ 65)

**no-notation** *Dioid.times-class*.*opp-mult* (**infixl** ⊙ 70)

**no-notation** *Lattices.inf-class*.*inf* (**infixl** ⊓ 70)

**no-notation** *Lattices.sup-class*.*sup* (**infixl** ⊔ 65)

```

datatype trms = Const real (tC - [54] 70) | Var string (tV - [54] 70) |
  Mns trms (⊖ - [54] 65) | Sum trms trms (infixl ⊕ 65) |
  Mult trms trms (infixl ⊙ 68)

```

**primrec** *tval* :: *trms*  $\Rightarrow$  (*real store*  $\Rightarrow$  *real*) ( $(1 \llbracket - \rrbracket_t)$ ) **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket t_C \ r \rrbracket_t &= (\lambda \ s. \ r)| \\ \llbracket t_V \ x \rrbracket_t &= (\lambda \ s. \ s \ x)| \\ \llbracket \ominus \ \vartheta \rrbracket_t &= (\lambda \ s. \ - (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s)| \\ \llbracket \vartheta \oplus \eta \rrbracket_t &= (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s + (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s)| \\ \llbracket \vartheta \odot \eta \rrbracket_t &= (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s \cdot (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s) \end{aligned}$$

**datatype** *props* = *Eq trms trms* (**infixr**  $\doteq$  60) | *Less trms trms* (**infixr**  $\prec$  62) |  
*Leq trms trms* (**infixr**  $\preceq$  61) | *And props props* (**infixl**  $\sqcap$  63) |  
*Or props props* (**infixl**  $\sqcup$  64)

**primrec** *pval* :: *props*  $\Rightarrow$  (*real store*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*) ( $(1 \llbracket - \rrbracket_P)$ ) **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \vartheta \doteq \eta \rrbracket_P &= (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s = (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s)| \\ \llbracket \vartheta \prec \eta \rrbracket_P &= (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s < (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s)| \\ \llbracket \vartheta \preceq \eta \rrbracket_P &= (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t) \ s \leq (\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t) \ s)| \\ \llbracket \varphi \sqcap \psi \rrbracket_P &= (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) \ s \wedge (\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_P) \ s)| \\ \llbracket \varphi \sqcup \psi \rrbracket_P &= (\lambda \ s. \ (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P) \ s \vee (\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_P) \ s) \end{aligned}$$

**primrec** *tdiff* :: *trms*  $\Rightarrow$  *trms* ( $\partial_t - [54] \ 70$ ) **where**

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \ t_C \ r) &= t_C \ 0| \\ (\partial_t \ t_V \ x) &= t_V \ (\partial \ x)| \\ (\partial_t \ \ominus \ \vartheta) &= \ominus (\partial_t \ \vartheta)| \\ (\partial_t \ (\vartheta \oplus \eta)) &= (\partial_t \ \vartheta) \oplus (\partial_t \ \eta)| \\ (\partial_t \ (\vartheta \odot \eta)) &= ((\partial_t \ \vartheta) \odot \eta) \oplus (\vartheta \odot (\partial_t \ \eta)) \end{aligned}$$

**primrec** *pdiff* :: *props*  $\Rightarrow$  *props* ( $\partial_P - [54] \ 70$ ) **where**

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_P \ (\vartheta \doteq \eta)) &= ((\partial_t \ \vartheta) \doteq (\partial_t \ \eta))| \\ (\partial_P \ (\vartheta \prec \eta)) &= ((\partial_t \ \vartheta) \preceq (\partial_t \ \eta))| \\ (\partial_P \ (\vartheta \preceq \eta)) &= ((\partial_t \ \vartheta) \preceq (\partial_t \ \eta))| \\ (\partial_P \ (\varphi \sqcap \psi)) &= (\partial_P \ \varphi) \sqcap (\partial_P \ \psi)| \\ (\partial_P \ (\varphi \sqcup \psi)) &= (\partial_P \ \varphi) \sqcap (\partial_P \ \psi) \end{aligned}$$

**primrec** *trmVars* :: *trms*  $\Rightarrow$  *string set* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trmVars} \ (t_C \ r) &= \{\} | \\ \text{trmVars} \ (t_V \ x) &= \{x\} | \\ \text{trmVars} \ (\ominus \ \vartheta) &= \text{trmVars} \ \vartheta | \\ \text{trmVars} \ (\vartheta \oplus \eta) &= \text{trmVars} \ \vartheta \cup \text{trmVars} \ \eta | \\ \text{trmVars} \ (\vartheta \odot \eta) &= \text{trmVars} \ \vartheta \cup \text{trmVars} \ \eta \end{aligned}$$

**fun** *substList* :: (*string*  $\times$  *trms*) *list*  $\Rightarrow$  *trms*  $\Rightarrow$  *trms* ( $(-\langle - \rangle) [54] \ 80$ ) **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{xtList} \langle t_C \ r \rangle &= t_C \ r | \\ \llbracket \langle t_V \ x \rangle \rrbracket &= t_V \ x | \\ ((y, \xi) \# \text{xtTail} \langle \text{Var} \ x \rangle) &= (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } \xi \text{ else } \text{xtTail} \langle \text{Var} \ x \rangle) | \\ \text{xtList} \langle \ominus \ \vartheta \rangle &= \ominus (\text{xtList} \langle \vartheta \rangle) | \\ \text{xtList} \langle \vartheta \oplus \eta \rangle &= (\text{xtList} \langle \vartheta \rangle) \oplus (\text{xtList} \langle \eta \rangle) | \\ \text{xtList} \langle \vartheta \odot \eta \rangle &= (\text{xtList} \langle \vartheta \rangle) \odot (\text{xtList} \langle \eta \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

**proposition** *substList-on-compl-of-varDiffs*:

**assumes** *trmVars*  $\eta \subseteq (\text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs})$

```

and set (map  $\pi_1$  xtList)  $\subseteq$  varDiffs
shows xtList $\langle\eta\rangle = \eta$ 
using assms apply(induction  $\eta$ , simp-all add: varDiffs-def)
by(induction xtList, auto)

```

```

lemma substList-help1:set (map  $\pi_1$  ((map (vdiff  $\circ$   $\pi_1$ ) xfList)  $\otimes$  uInput))  $\subseteq$ 
varDiffs
apply(induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2', simp-all add: varDiffs-def)
by auto

```

```

lemma substList-help2:
assumes trmVars  $\eta \subseteq (UNIV - \text{varDiffs})$ 
shows ((map (vdiff  $\circ$   $\pi_1$ ) xfList)  $\otimes$  uInput) $\langle\eta\rangle = \eta$ 
using assms substList-help1 substList-on-compl-of-varDiffs by blast

```

```

lemma substList-cross-vdiff-on-non-occurring-var:
assumes  $x \notin \text{set list1}$ 
shows ((map vdiff list1)  $\otimes$  list2) $\langle t_V (\partial x) \rangle = t_V (\partial x)$ 
using assms apply(induct list1 list2 rule: list-induct2', simp, simp, clarsimp)
by(simp add: vdiff-def)

```

```

primrec propVars :: props  $\Rightarrow$  string set where
propVars ( $\vartheta \doteq \eta$ ) = trmVars  $\vartheta \cup \text{trmVars } \eta$ 
propVars ( $\vartheta \prec \eta$ ) = trmVars  $\vartheta \cup \text{trmVars } \eta$ 
propVars ( $\vartheta \preceq \eta$ ) = trmVars  $\vartheta \cup \text{trmVars } \eta$ 
propVars ( $\varphi \sqcap \psi$ ) = propVars  $\varphi \cup \text{propVars } \psi$ 
propVars ( $\varphi \sqcup \psi$ ) = propVars  $\varphi \cup \text{propVars } \psi$ 

```

```

primrec subspList :: (string  $\times$  trms) list  $\Rightarrow$  props  $\Rightarrow$  props (- $\vdash$ - [54] 80) where
xtList $\vdash \vartheta \doteq \eta \vdash = ((\text{xtList}\langle\vartheta\rangle) \doteq (\text{xtList}\langle\eta\rangle))$ 
xtList $\vdash \vartheta \prec \eta \vdash = ((\text{xtList}\langle\vartheta\rangle) \prec (\text{xtList}\langle\eta\rangle))$ 
xtList $\vdash \vartheta \preceq \eta \vdash = ((\text{xtList}\langle\vartheta\rangle) \preceq (\text{xtList}\langle\eta\rangle))$ 
xtList $\vdash \varphi \sqcap \psi \vdash = ((\text{xtList}\vdash \varphi \vdash) \sqcap (\text{xtList}\vdash \psi \vdash))$ 
xtList $\vdash \varphi \sqcup \psi \vdash = ((\text{xtList}\vdash \varphi \vdash) \sqcup (\text{xtList}\vdash \psi \vdash))$ 

```

## ODE Extras

For exemplification purposes, we compile some concrete derivatives used commonly in classical mechanics. A more general approach should be taken that generates this theorems as instantiations.

**named-theorems** *ubc-definitions definitions used in the locale unique-on-bounded-closed*

```

declare unique-on-bounded-closed-def [ubc-definitions]
and unique-on-bounded-closed-axioms-def [ubc-definitions]
and unique-on-closed-def [ubc-definitions]
and compact-interval-def [ubc-definitions]
and compact-interval-axioms-def [ubc-definitions]
and self-mapping-def [ubc-definitions]
and self-mapping-axioms-def [ubc-definitions]

```

**and** *continuous-rhs-def* [ubc-definitions]  
**and** *closed-domain-def* [ubc-definitions]  
**and** *global-lipschitz-def* [ubc-definitions]  
**and** *interval-def* [ubc-definitions]  
**and** *nonempty-set-def* [ubc-definitions]  
**and** *lipschitz-on-def* [ubc-definitions]

**named-theorems** *poly-deriv* temporal compilation of derivatives representing galilean transformations

**named-theorems** *galilean-transform* temporal compilation of vderivs representing galilean transformations

**named-theorems** *galilean-transform-eq* the equational version of galilean-transform

**lemma** *vector-derivative-line-at-origin*: $((\cdot) \ a \ \text{has-vector-derivative} \ a) \ (\text{at } x \ \text{within } T)$

**by** (*auto intro: derivative-eq-intros*)

**lemma** [*poly-deriv*]: $((\cdot) \ a \ \text{has-derivative} \ (\lambda x. x *_{\mathbb{R}} a)) \ (\text{at } x \ \text{within } T)$

**using** *vector-derivative-line-at-origin* **unfolding** *has-vector-derivative-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *quadratic-monomial-derivative*:

$((\lambda t::\text{real}. a \cdot t^2) \ \text{has-derivative} \ (\lambda t. a \cdot (2 \cdot x \cdot t))) \ (\text{at } x \ \text{within } T)$

**apply**(*rule-tac*  $g'1 = \lambda t. 2 \cdot x \cdot t$  **in** *derivative-eq-intros*(6))

**apply**(*rule-tac*  $f'1 = \lambda t. t$  **in** *derivative-eq-intros*(15))

**by** (*auto intro: derivative-eq-intros*)

**lemma** *quadratic-monomial-derivative2*:

$((\lambda t::\text{real}. a \cdot t^2 / 2) \ \text{has-derivative} \ (\lambda t. a \cdot x \cdot t)) \ (\text{at } x \ \text{within } T)$

**apply**(*rule-tac*  $f'1 = \lambda t. a \cdot (2 \cdot x \cdot t)$  **and**  $g'1 = \lambda x. 0$  **in** *derivative-eq-intros*(18))

**using** *quadratic-monomial-derivative* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *quadratic-monomial-vderiv*[*poly-deriv*]: $((\lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2) \ \text{has-vderiv-on} \ (\cdot) \ a) \ T$

**apply**(*simp add: has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def, clarify*)

**using** *quadratic-monomial-derivative2* **by** (*simp add: mult-commute-abs*)

**lemma** *galilean-position*[*galilean-transform*]:

$((\lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \ \text{has-vderiv-on} \ (\lambda t. a \cdot t + v)) \ T$

**apply**(*rule-tac*  $f' = \lambda x. a \cdot x + v$  **and**  $g'1 = \lambda x. 0$  **in** *derivative-intros*(191))

**apply**(*rule-tac*  $f'1 = \lambda x. a \cdot x$  **and**  $g'1 = \lambda x. v$  **in** *derivative-intros*(191))

**using** *poly-deriv*(2) **by**(*auto intro: derivative-intros*)

**lemma** [*poly-deriv*]:

$t \in T \implies ((\lambda \tau. a \cdot \tau^2 / 2 + v \cdot \tau + x) \ \text{has-derivative} \ (\lambda x. x *_{\mathbb{R}} (a \cdot t + v))) \ (\text{at } t \ \text{within } T)$

**using** *galilean-position* **unfolding** *has-vderiv-on-def has-vector-derivative-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** [*galilean-transform-eq*]:

$t > 0 \implies \text{vderiv-of } (\lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\} t = a \cdot t + v$   
**proof**–  
**let**  $?f = \text{vderiv-of } (\lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$   
**assume**  $t > 0$  **hence**  $t \in \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$  **by** *auto*  
**have**  $\exists f. ((\lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \text{ has-vderiv-on } f) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$   
**using** *galilean-position* **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $((\lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \text{ has-vderiv-on } ?f) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$   
**unfolding** *vderiv-of-def* **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *someI-ex*)  
**also have**  $((\lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \text{ has-vderiv-on } (\lambda t. a \cdot t + v)) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$   
**using** *galilean-position* **by** *simp*  
**ultimately show**  $(\text{vderiv-of } (\lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}) t = a \cdot t + v$   
**apply** (*rule-tac*  $f' = ?f$  **and**  $\tau = t$  **and**  $t = 2 \cdot t$  **in** *vderiv-unique-within-open-interval*)  
**using**  $\langle t \in \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\} \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma**  $t > 0 \implies \text{vderiv-of } (\lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\} t = a \cdot t + v$   
**unfolding** *vderiv-of-def* **apply** (*subst* *someI-equality* [*of* -  $(\lambda t. a \cdot t + v)$ ])  
**apply** (*rule-tac*  $a = \lambda t. a \cdot t + v$  **in** *exII*)  
**apply** (*simp-all* *add: galilean-position*)  
**apply** (*rule* *ext*, *rename-tac*  $f \ \tau$ )  
**apply** (*rule-tac*  $f = \lambda t. a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x$  **and**  $t = 2 \cdot t$  **and**  $f' = f$  **in** *vderiv-unique-within-open-interval*)  
**apply** (*simp-all* *add: galilean-position*)  
**oops**

**lemma** *galilean-velocity* [*galilean-transform*]:  $((\lambda r. a \cdot r + v) \text{ has-vderiv-on } (\lambda t. a))$   
 $T$   
**apply** (*rule-tac*  $f'1 = \lambda x. a$  **and**  $g'1 = \lambda x. 0$  **in** *derivative-intros* (191))  
**unfolding** *has-vderiv-on-def* **by** (*auto* *intro: derivative-eq-intros*)

**lemma** [*galilean-transform-eq*]:  
 $t > 0 \implies \text{vderiv-of } (\lambda r. a \cdot r + v) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\} t = a$   
**proof**–  
**let**  $?f = \text{vderiv-of } (\lambda r. a \cdot r + v) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$   
**assume**  $t > 0$  **hence**  $t \in \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$  **by** *auto*  
**have**  $\exists f. ((\lambda r. a \cdot r + v) \text{ has-vderiv-on } f) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$   
**using** *galilean-velocity* **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $((\lambda r. a \cdot r + v) \text{ has-vderiv-on } ?f) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$   
**unfolding** *vderiv-of-def* **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *someI-ex*)  
**also have**  $((\lambda r. a \cdot r + v) \text{ has-vderiv-on } (\lambda t. a)) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}$   
**using** *galilean-velocity* **by** *simp*  
**ultimately show**  $(\text{vderiv-of } (\lambda r. a \cdot r + v) \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\}) t = a$   
**apply** (*rule-tac*  $f' = ?f$  **and**  $\tau = t$  **and**  $t = 2 \cdot t$  **in** *vderiv-unique-within-open-interval*)  
**using**  $\langle t \in \{0 < .. < 2 \cdot t\} \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
**qed**

```

lemma [galilean-transform]:
  (( $\lambda t. v \cdot t - a \cdot t^2 / 2 + x$ ) has-vderiv-on ( $\lambda x. v - a \cdot x$ )) {0..t}
apply(subgoal-tac (( $\lambda t. - a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x$ ) has-vderiv-on ( $\lambda x. - a \cdot x + v$ )) {0..t}, simp)
by(rule galilean-transform)

lemma [galilean-transform-eq]:  $t > 0 \implies \text{vderiv-of } (\lambda t. v \cdot t - a \cdot t^2 / 2 + x)$ 
 $\{0 <..< 2 \cdot t\} \ t = v - a \cdot t$ 
apply(subgoal-tac vderiv-of ( $\lambda t. - a \cdot t^2 / 2 + v \cdot t + x$ )  $\{0 <..< 2 \cdot t\} \ t = - a \cdot t + v$ , simp)
by(rule galilean-transform-eq)

lemma [galilean-transform]:
  (( $\lambda t. v - a \cdot t$ ) has-vderiv-on ( $\lambda x. - a$ )) {0..t}
apply(subgoal-tac (( $\lambda t. - a \cdot t + v$ ) has-vderiv-on ( $\lambda x. - a$ )) {0..t}, simp)
by(rule galilean-transform)

lemma [galilean-transform-eq]:  $t > 0 \implies \text{vderiv-of } (\lambda r. v - a \cdot r) \{0 <..< 2 \cdot t\}$ 
 $t = - a$ 
apply(subgoal-tac vderiv-of ( $\lambda t. - a \cdot t + v$ )  $\{0 <..< 2 \cdot t\} \ t = - a$ , simp)
by(rule galilean-transform-eq)

lemma [simp]: ( $\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } (t, x) \Rightarrow f \ t$ ) = ( $\lambda x. (f \circ \pi_1) \ x$ )
by auto

end
theory VC-diffKAD
imports VC-diffKAD-auxiliarities

begin

```

### 6.4.3 Phase Space Relational Semantics

```

definition solvesStoreIVP :: (real  $\Rightarrow$  real store)  $\Rightarrow$  (string  $\times$  (real store  $\Rightarrow$  real))
list  $\Rightarrow$ 
real store  $\Rightarrow$  bool
((- solvesTheStoreIVP - withInitState -) [70, 70, 70] 68) where
solvesStoreIVP  $\varphi_S$  xfList s  $\equiv$ 
— F sends vdiffs-in-list to derivs.
( $\forall \ t \geq 0. (\forall \ xf \in \text{set } xfList. \varphi_S \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) = \pi_2 \ xf \ (\varphi_S \ t)) \wedge$ 
— F preserves the rest of the variables and F sends derivs of constants to 0.
( $\forall \ y. (y \notin (\pi_1 \ (\text{set } xfList)) \cup \text{varDiffs} \longrightarrow \varphi_S \ t \ y = s \ y) \wedge$ 
( $y \notin (\pi_1 \ (\text{set } xfList)) \longrightarrow \varphi_S \ t \ (\partial \ y) = 0$ ))  $\wedge$ 
— F solves the induced IVP.
( $\forall \ xf \in \text{set } xfList. ((\lambda \ t. \varphi_S \ t \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda \ t. \lambda \ r. (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_S \ t))) \{0..t\}$ 
UNIV  $\wedge$ 
 $\varphi_S \ 0 \ (\pi_1 \ xf) = s(\pi_1 \ xf)))$ 

lemma solves-store-ivpI:

```



**assumes**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. (\varphi_S t (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t)$   
**and**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \cup \text{varDiffs} \longrightarrow \varphi_S t y = s y$   
**and**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \longrightarrow \varphi_S t (\partial y) = 0$   
**and**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. ((\lambda t. \varphi_S t (\pi_1 xf)) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda t. \lambda r. (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t))) \{0..t\} \text{ UNIV}$   
**and**  $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \varphi_S 0 (\pi_1 xf) = s(\pi_1 xf)$   
**shows**  $\varphi_S \text{ solvesTheStoreIVP } xfList \text{ withInitState } s$   
**apply**(simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def, safe)  
**using** assms **apply** simp-all  
**by**(force,force,force)

**named-theorems** solves-store-ivpE elimination rules for solvesStoreIVP

**lemma** [solves-store-ivpE]:  
**assumes**  $\varphi_S \text{ solvesTheStoreIVP } xfList \text{ withInitState } s$   
**shows**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \cup \text{varDiffs} \longrightarrow \varphi_S t y = s y$   
**and**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall y. y \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \longrightarrow \varphi_S t (\partial y) = 0$   
**and**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. (\varphi_S t (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t)$   
**and**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. ((\lambda t. \varphi_S t (\pi_1 xf)) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda t. \lambda r. (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_S t))) \{0..t\} \text{ UNIV}$   
**and**  $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \varphi_S 0 (\pi_1 xf) = s(\pi_1 xf)$   
**using** assms solvesStoreIVP-def **by** auto

**lemma** [solves-store-ivpE]:  
**assumes**  $\varphi_S \text{ solvesTheStoreIVP } xfList \text{ withInitState } s$   
**shows**  $\forall y. y \notin \text{varDiffs} \longrightarrow \varphi_S 0 y = s y$   
**proof**(clarify, rename-tac x)  
**fix** x **assume**  $x \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**from** assms **and** solves-store-ivpE(5) **have**  $x \in (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \implies \varphi_S 0 x = s x$   
**x by** fastforce  
**also have**  $x \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \cup \text{varDiffs} \implies \varphi_S 0 x = s x$   
**using** assms **and** solves-store-ivpE(1) **by** simp  
**ultimately show**  $\varphi_S 0 x = s x$  **using**  $\langle x \notin \text{varDiffs} \rangle$  **by** auto  
**qed**

**named-theorems** solves-store-ivpD computation rules for solvesStoreIVP

**lemma** [solves-store-ivpD]:  
**assumes**  $\varphi_S \text{ solvesTheStoreIVP } xfList \text{ withInitState } s$   
**and**  $t \geq 0$   
**and**  $y \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \cup \text{varDiffs}$   
**shows**  $\varphi_S t y = s y$   
**using** assms solves-store-ivpE(1) **by** simp

**lemma** [solves-store-ivpD]:  
**assumes**  $\varphi_S \text{ solvesTheStoreIVP } xfList \text{ withInitState } s$   
**and**  $t \geq 0$   
**and**  $y \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList))$   
**shows**  $\varphi_S t (\partial y) = 0$

**using** *assms solves-store-ivpE(2)* **by** *simp*

**lemma** [*solves-store-ivpD*]:

**assumes**  $\varphi_S$  *solvesTheStoreIVP* *xfList* *withInitState* *s*  
**and**  $t \geq 0$

**and**  $xf \in \text{set } xfList$

**shows**  $(\varphi_S \ t \ (\partial \ (\pi_1 \ xf))) = (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_S \ t)$

**using** *assms solves-store-ivpE(3)* **by** *simp*

**lemma** [*solves-store-ivpD*]:

**assumes**  $\varphi_S$  *solvesTheStoreIVP* *xfList* *withInitState* *s*  
**and**  $t \geq 0$

**and**  $xf \in \text{set } xfList$

**shows**  $((\lambda \ t. \ \varphi_S \ t \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda \ t. \lambda \ r. (\pi_2 \ xf) \ (\varphi_S \ t))) \ \{0..t\} \text{ UNIV}$

**using** *assms solves-store-ivpE(4)* **by** *simp*

**lemma** [*solves-store-ivpD*]:

**assumes**  $\varphi_S$  *solvesTheStoreIVP* *xfList* *withInitState* *s*  
**and**  $(x, f) \in \text{set } xfList$

**shows**  $\varphi_S \ 0 \ x = s \ x$

**using** *assms solves-store-ivpE(5)* **by** *fastforce*

**lemma** [*solves-store-ivpD*]:

**assumes**  $\varphi_S$  *solvesTheStoreIVP* *xfList* *withInitState* *s*  
**and**  $y \notin \text{varDiffs}$

**shows**  $\varphi_S \ 0 \ y = s \ y$

**using** *assms solves-store-ivpE(6)* **by** *simp*

**definition** *guarDiffEqtn* ::  $(\text{string} \times (\text{real store} \Rightarrow \text{real})) \text{ list} \Rightarrow (\text{real store} \text{ pred})$   
 $\Rightarrow$

*real store rel* (*ODEsystem* - *with* - [70, 70] 61) **where**

*ODEsystem* *xfList* *with*  $G = \{(s, \varphi_S \ t) \mid s \ t \ \varphi_S. \ t \geq 0 \wedge (\forall \ r \in \{0..t\}. \ G \ (\varphi_S \ r))$   
 $\wedge \text{ solvesStoreIVP } \varphi_S \ xfList \ s\}$

#### 6.4.4 Derivation of Differential Dynamic Logic Rules

##### ”Differential Weakening”

**lemma** *wlp-evol-guard*:  $\text{Id} \subseteq \text{wp} \ (\text{ODEsystem } xfList \text{ with } G) \ \lceil G \rceil$

**by** (*simp add: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def rel-ad-def guarDiffEqtn-def p2r-def, force*)

**theorem** *dWeakening*:

**assumes** *guardImpliesPost*:  $\lceil G \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil$

**shows** *PRE* *P* (*ODEsystem* *xfList* *with* *G*) *POST* *Q*

**using** *assms and wlp-evol-guard* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *d-p2r*  
*order-trans p2r-subid rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-iso*)

**theorem** *dW*:  $\text{wp} \ (\text{ODEsystem } xfList \text{ with } G) \ \lceil Q \rceil = \text{wp} \ (\text{ODEsystem } xfList \text{ with } G) \ \lceil \lambda s. \ G \ s \longrightarrow Q \ s \rceil$

**unfolding** *rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def rel-ad-def guarDiffEqtn-def*  
**by**(*simp add: relcomp.simps p2r-def, fastforce*)

### ”Differential Cut”

**lemma** *all-interval-guarDiffEqtn*:  
**assumes** *solvesStoreIVP*  $\varphi_S$  *xfList*  $s \wedge (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G(\varphi_S r)) \wedge 0 \leq t$   
**shows**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. (s, \varphi_S r) \in (\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G)$   
**unfolding** *guarDiffEqtn-def* **using** *atLeastAtMost-iff* **apply** *clarsimp*  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=r in exI, rule-tac x= $\varphi_S$  in exI*) **using** *assms* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *condAfterEvol-remainsAlongEvol*:  
**assumes** *boxDiffC*:  $(s, s) \in wp(\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \lceil C \rceil$   
**and** *FisSol*: *solvesStoreIVP*  $\varphi_S$  *xfList*  $s \wedge (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G(\varphi_S r)) \wedge 0 \leq t$   
**shows**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G(\varphi_S r) \wedge C(\varphi_S r)$   
**proof**—  
**from** *boxDiffC* **have**  $\forall c. (s, c) \in (\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \longrightarrow C c$   
**by** (*simp add: boxProgrPred-chrcrzn*)  
**also from** *FisSol* **have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. (s, \varphi_S r) \in (\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G)$   
**using** *all-interval-guarDiffEqtn* **by** *blast*  
**ultimately show** *?thesis*  
**using** *FisSol atLeastAtMost-iff guarDiffEqtn-def* **by** *fastforce*  
**qed**

**theorem** *dCut*:  
**assumes** *pBoxDiffCut*:  $(PRE P(\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) POST C)$   
**assumes** *pBoxCutQ*:  $(PRE P(\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } (\lambda s. G s \wedge C s)) POST Q)$   
**shows**  $PRE P(\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) POST Q$   
**apply**(*clarify, subgoal-tac a = b*) **defer**  
**proof**(*metis d-p2r rdom-p2r-contents, simp, subst boxProgrPred-chrcrzn, clarify*)  
**fix**  $b y$  **assume**  $(b, b) \in \lceil P \rceil$  **and**  $(b, y) \in \text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G$   
**then obtain**  $\varphi_S t$  **where**  $\ast : \text{solvesStoreIVP } \varphi_S \text{ xfList } b \wedge (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G(\varphi_S r)) \wedge 0 \leq t \wedge \varphi_S t = y$   
**using** *guarDiffEqtn-def* **by** *auto*  
**hence**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. (b, \varphi_S r) \in (\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G)$   
**using** *all-interval-guarDiffEqtn* **by** *blast*  
**from this and** *pBoxDiffCut* **have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. C(\varphi_S r)$   
**using** *boxProgrPred-chrcrzn*  $\langle (b, b) \in \lceil P \rceil \rangle$  **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) d-p2r subsetCE*)  
**then have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. (b, \varphi_S r) \in (\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } (\lambda s. G s \wedge C s))$   
**using**  $\ast$  *all-interval-guarDiffEqtn* **by** (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)  
**from this and** *pBoxCutQ* **have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. Q(\varphi_S r)$   
**using** *boxProgrPred-chrcrzn*  $\langle (b, b) \in \lceil P \rceil \rangle$  **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) d-p2r subsetCE*)  
**thus**  $Q y$  **using**  $\ast$  **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**theorem** *dC*:  
**assumes**  $Id \subseteq wp(\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \lceil C \rceil$

**shows**  $wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ G) \ [Q] = wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ (\lambda s. G \ s \wedge C \ s)) \ [Q]$   
**proof**(*rule-tac*  $f=\lambda x. wp \ x \ [Q]$  **in** *HOL.arg-cong, safe*)  
  **fix**  $a \ b$  **assume**  $(a, b) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ G$   
  **then obtain**  $\varphi_S \ t$  **where**  $*:solvesStoreIVP \ \varphi_S \ xfList \ a \wedge (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G \ (\varphi_S \ r)) \wedge 0 \leq t \wedge \varphi_S \ t = b$   
  **using** *guarDiffEqtn-def* **by** *auto*  
  **hence**  $1:\forall r \in \{0..t\}. (a, \varphi_S \ r) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ G$   
  **by** (*meson all-interval-guarDiffEqtn*)  
  **from this have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. C \ (\varphi_S \ r)$  **using** *assms boxProgrPred-chrcrzn*  
  **by** (*metis IdI boxProgrPred-IsProp subset-antisym*)  
  **thus**  $(a, b) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ (\lambda s. G \ s \wedge C \ s)$   
  **using**  $* \ \text{guarDiffEqtn-def}$  **by** *blast*  
**next**  
  **fix**  $a \ b$  **assume**  $(a, b) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ (\lambda s. G \ s \wedge C \ s)$   
  **then show**  $(a, b) \in ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ G$   
  **unfolding** *guarDiffEqtn-def* **by**(*clarsimp, rule-tac*  $x=t$  **in** *exI, rule-tac*  $x=\varphi_S$  **in** *exI, simp*)  
**qed**

## Solve Differential Equation

**lemma** *prelim-dSolve*:  
**assumes** *solHyp*: $(\lambda t. sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ solvesTheStoreIVP \ xfList \ \text{withInitState} \ s$   
**and** *uniqHyp*: $\forall X. solvesStoreIVP \ X \ xfList \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) = X \ t)$   
**and** *diffAssgn*: $\forall t \geq 0. G \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \longrightarrow Q \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)$   
**shows**  $\forall c. (s, c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ G) \longrightarrow Q \ c$   
**proof**(*clarify*)  
**fix**  $c$  **assume**  $(s, c) \in (ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ G)$   
**from this obtain**  $t::real$  **and**  $\varphi_S::real \Rightarrow real \ store$   
**where** *FHyp*: $t \geq 0 \wedge \varphi_S \ t = c \wedge solvesStoreIVP \ \varphi_S \ xfList \ s \wedge (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G \ (\varphi_S \ r))$   
**using** *guarDiffEqtn-def* **by** *auto*  
**from this and** *uniqHyp* **have**  $(sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) = \varphi_S \ t$  **by** *blast*  
**then have** *cHyp*: $c = (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)$  **using** *FHyp* **by** *simp*  
**from this have**  $G \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)$  **using** *FHyp* **by** *force*  
**then show**  $Q \ c$  **using** *diffAssgn FHyp cHyp* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

## theorem dS:

**assumes** *solHyp*: $\forall s. solvesStoreIVP \ (\lambda t. sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ xfList \ s$   
**and** *uniqHyp*: $\forall s \ X. solvesStoreIVP \ X \ xfList \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) = X \ t)$   
**shows**  $wp \ (ODEsystem \ xfList \ \text{with} \ G) \ [Q] =$   
 $[\lambda s. \forall t \geq 0. (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ r)) \longrightarrow Q \ (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)]$   
**apply**(*simp add: p2r-def, rule subset-antisym*)

```

unfolding guardDiffEqtn-def rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def rel-ad-def
using solHyp apply(simp add: relcomp.simps) apply clarify
apply(rule-tac x=x in exI, clarsimp)
apply(erule-tac x=sol x[xfList←uInput] t in allE, erule disjE)
apply(erule-tac x=x in allE, erule-tac x=t in allE)
apply(erule impE, simp, erule-tac x=λt. sol x[xfList←uInput] t in allE)
apply(simp-all, clarify, rule-tac x=s in exI, simp add: relcomp.simps)
using uniqHyp by fastforce

theorem dSolve:
assumes solHyp: $\forall s. \text{ solvesStoreIVP } (\lambda t. \text{ sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ xfList \ s$ 
and uniqHyp: $\forall s. \forall X. \text{ solvesStoreIVP } X \ xfList \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. (\text{ sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) = X \ t)$ 
and diffAssgn: $\forall s. P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. G \ (\text{ sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \longrightarrow Q \ (\text{ sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))$ 
shows PRE P (ODEsystem xfList with G) POST Q
apply(clarsimp, subgoal-tac a=b)
apply(clarify, subst boxProgrPred-chrcrtrzn)
apply(simp-all add: p2r-def)
apply(rule-tac uInput=uInput in prelim-dSolve)
apply(simp add: solHyp, simp add: uniqHyp)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) diffAssgn)

```

— We proceed to refine the previous rule by finding the necessary restrictions on *varFunList* and *uInput* so that the solution to the store-IVP is guaranteed.

```

lemma conds4vdiffs-prelim:
assumes funcsHyp: $\forall s \ g. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_2 \ xf \ (\text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs}) = \pi_2 \ xf \ s$ 
and distinctHyp:distinct (map  $\pi_1 \ xfList$ )
and varsHyp: $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_1 \ xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$ 
and lengthHyp:length xfList = length uInput
and solHyp1: $\forall uxf \in \text{set } (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ (\text{sol } s) = (\text{sol } s) \ (\pi_1 \ (\pi_2 \ uxf))$ 
and solHyp2: $\forall t \geq 0. ((\lambda \tau. (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau) \ x) \text{ has-vderiv-on } (\lambda \tau. f \ (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau))) \ \{0..t\}$ 
and xfHyp: $(x, f) \in \text{set } xfList$  and tHyp: $t \geq 0$ 
shows  $(\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ (\partial \ x) = f \ (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)$ 
proof—
from xfHyp obtain u where xfuHyp:  $(u, x, f) \in \text{set } (uInput \otimes xfList)$ 
by (metis in-set-impl-in-set-zip2 lengthHyp)
show  $(\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ (\partial \ x) = f \ (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)$ 
proof(cases t=0)
case True
have  $(\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ 0) \ (\partial \ x) = f \ (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ 0)$ 
using assms and to-sol-zero-its-dvars by blast
then show ?thesis using True by blast
next
case False

```

from this have  $t > 0$  using  $tHyp$  by *simp*  
 hence  $(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\partial\ x) = vderiv\ of\ (\lambda\ r.\ u\ r\ (sol\ s))\ \{0 < .. < (2\ *_R\ t)\}\ t$   
 using  $xfuHyp$  *assms to-sol-greater-than-zero-its-dvars* by *blast*  
 also have  $vderiv\ of\ (\lambda\ r.\ u\ r\ (sol\ s))\ \{0 < .. < (2\ *_R\ t)\}\ t = f\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)$   
 using *assms xfuHyp*  $\langle t > 0 \rangle$  and *vderiv-of-to-sol-its-vars* by *blast*  
 ultimately show *?thesis* by *simp*  
 qed  
 qed

**lemma** *conds4vdiffs*:  
**assumes** *funcsHyp*:  $\forall\ s\ g.\ \forall\ xf \in set\ xfList.\ \pi_2\ xf\ (override\ on\ s\ g\ varDiffs) = \pi_2\ xf\ s$   
**and** *distinctHyp*: *distinct*  $(map\ \pi_1\ xfList)$   
**and** *varsHyp*:  $\forall\ xf \in set\ xfList.\ \pi_1\ xf \notin varDiffs$   
**and** *lengthHyp*:  $length\ xfList = length\ uInput$   
**and** *solHyp1*:  $\forall\ uxf \in set\ (uInput \otimes xfList).\ (\pi_1\ uxf)\ 0\ (sol\ s) = (sol\ s)\ (\pi_1\ (\pi_2\ uxf))$   
**and** *solHyp2*:  $\forall\ t \geq 0.\ \forall\ xf \in set\ xfList.\ ((\lambda\ \tau.\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \tau)\ (\pi_1\ xf))\ has\ vderiv\ on\ (\lambda\ \tau.\ (\pi_2\ xf)\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ \tau)))\ \{0..t\}$   
**shows**  $\forall\ t \geq 0.\ \forall\ xf \in set\ xfList.\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf)) = (\pi_2\ xf)\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)$   
**apply** (*rule allI*, *rule impI*, *rule ballI*, *rule conds4vdiffs-prelim*)  
**using** *assms* by *simp-all*

**lemma** *conds4Consts*:  
**assumes** *varsHyp*:  $\forall\ xf \in set\ xfList.\ \pi_1\ xf \notin varDiffs$   
**shows**  $\forall\ x.\ x \notin (\pi_1\ (set\ xfList)) \longrightarrow (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\partial\ x) = 0$   
**using** *varsHyp* **apply** (*induct xfList uInput rule: list-induct2'*)  
**apply** (*simp-all add: override-on-def varDiffs-def vdiff-def*)  
**by** *clarsimp*

**lemma** *conds4InitState*:  
**assumes** *distinctHyp*: *distinct*  $(map\ \pi_1\ xfList)$   
**and** *lengthHyp*:  $length\ xfList = length\ uInput$   
**and** *varsHyp*:  $\forall\ xf \in set\ xfList.\ \pi_1\ xf \notin varDiffs$   
**and** *solHyp1*:  $\forall\ uxf \in set\ (uInput \otimes xfList).\ (\pi_1\ uxf)\ 0\ (sol\ s) = (sol\ s)\ (\pi_1\ (\pi_2\ uxf))$   
**and** *xfHyp*:  $(x, f) \in set\ xfList$   
**shows**  $(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ 0)\ x = s\ x$   
**proof**—  
**from** *xfHyp* **obtain**  $u$  **where**  $u xfHyp: (u, x, f) \in set\ (uInput \otimes xfList)$   
**by** (*metis in-set-impl-in-set-zip2 lengthHyp*)  
**from** *varsHyp* **have**  $toZeroHyp: (sol\ s)\ x = s\ x$  **using** *override-on-def xfHyp* **by** *auto*  
**from** *u xfHyp* **and** *solHyp1* **have**  $u\ 0\ (sol\ s) = (sol\ s)\ x$  **by** *fastforce*  
**also** **have**  $(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ 0)\ x = u\ 0\ (sol\ s)$   
**using** *state-list-cross-upd-its-vars u xfHyp* **and** *assms* **by** *blast*

**ultimately show**  $(\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ 0) \ x = s \ x$  **using** *toZeroHyp* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

**lemma** *conds4RestOfStrings*:  
**assumes**  $x \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \cup \text{varDiffs}$   
**shows**  $(\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ x = s \ x$   
**using** *assms* **apply**(*induct* *xfList* *uInput* *rule: list-induct2'*)  
**by**(*auto simp: varDiffs-def*)

**lemma** *conds4storeIVP-on-toSol*:  
**assumes**  $\text{funcsHyp} : \forall s \ g. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_2 \ xf \ (\text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs}) = \pi_2 \ xf$   
 $s$   
**and** *distinctHyp*:*distinct* (*map*  $\pi_1 \ xfList$ )  
**and** *lengthHyp*:*length* *xfList* = *length* *uInput*  
**and** *varsHyp*: $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_1 \ xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**and** *solHyp1*: $\forall uxf \in \text{set } (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ (\text{sol } s) = (\text{sol } s) (\pi_1 (\pi_2 \ uxf))$   
**and** *solHyp2*: $\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList.$   
 $((\lambda t. (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) (\pi_1 \ xf)) \text{ has-vderiv-on } (\lambda t. \pi_2 \ xf \ (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))) \ \{0..t\}$   
**shows** *solvesStoreIVP*  $(\lambda t. (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t)) \ xfList \ s$   
**apply**(*rule solves-store-ivpI*)  
**subgoal using** *conds4vdiffs* *assms* **by** *blast*  
**subgoal using** *conds4RestOfStrings* **by** *blast*  
**subgoal using** *conds4Consts* *varsHyp* **by** *blast*  
**subgoal apply**(*rule allI, rule impI, rule ballI, rule solves-odeI*)  
**using** *solHyp2* **by** *simp-all*  
**subgoal using** *conds4InitState* **and** *assms* **by** *force*  
**done**

**theorem** *dSolve-toSolve*:  
**assumes**  $\text{funcsHyp} : \forall s \ g. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_2 \ xf \ (\text{override-on } s \ g \ \text{varDiffs}) = \pi_2 \ xf$   
 $s$   
**and** *distinctHyp*:*distinct* (*map*  $\pi_1 \ xfList$ )  
**and** *lengthHyp*:*length* *xfList* = *length* *uInput*  
**and** *varsHyp*: $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_1 \ xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**and** *solHyp1*: $\forall s. \forall uxf \in \text{set } (uInput \otimes xfList). (\pi_1 \ uxf) \ 0 \ (\text{sol } s) = (\text{sol } s) (\pi_1 (\pi_2 \ uxf))$   
**and** *solHyp2*: $\forall s. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList.$   
 $((\lambda t. (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) (\pi_1 \ xf)) \text{ has-vderiv-on } (\lambda t. \pi_2 \ xf \ (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))) \ \{0..t\}$   
**and** *uniqHyp*: $\forall s. \forall X. \text{solvesStoreIVP } X \ xfList \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) = X \ t)$   
**and** *postCondHyp*: $\forall s. P \ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. Q \ (\text{sol } s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))$   
**shows** *PRE* *P* (*ODEsystem* *xfList* *with* *G*) *POST* *Q*  
**apply**(*rule-tac* *uInput=uInput* **in** *dSolve*)  
**subgoal using** *assms* **and** *conds4storeIVP-on-toSol* **by** *simp*  
**subgoal by** (*simp add: uniqHyp*)  
**using** *postCondHyp* *postCondHyp* **by** *simp*

— As before, we keep refining the rule dSolve. This time we find the necessary restrictions to attain uniqueness.

```

lemma conds4UniqSol:
fixes  $f :: \text{real store} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
assumes  $tHyp: t \geq 0$ 
and  $contHyp: \text{continuous-on } (\{0..t\} \times UNIV) (\lambda(t, (r :: \text{real})). f (\varphi_s t))$ 
shows  $\text{unique-on-bounded-closed } 0 \ \{0..t\} \ \tau \ (\lambda t \ r. f (\varphi_s t)) \ UNIV \ (\text{if } t = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 1/(t+1))$ 
apply (simp add: ubc-definitions, rule conjI)
subgoal using  $contHyp \text{ continuous-rhs-def}$  by fastforce
subgoal using  $assms \text{ continuous-rhs-def}$  by fastforce
done

```

```

lemma solves-store-ivp-at-beginning-overrides:
assumes  $\text{solvesStoreIVP } \varphi_s \ xfList \ a$ 
shows  $\varphi_s \ 0 = \text{override-on } a \ (\varphi_s \ 0) \ varDiffs$ 
apply (rule ext, subgoal-tac  $x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow \varphi_s \ 0 \ x = a \ x$ )
subgoal by (simp add: override-on-def)
using  $assms$  and  $\text{solves-store-ivpD}(6)$  by simp

```

```

lemma ubcStoreUniqueSol:
assumes  $tHyp: t \geq 0$ 
assumes  $contHyp: \forall \ xf \in \text{set } xfList. \text{continuous-on } (\{0..t\} \times UNIV) (\lambda(t, (r :: \text{real})). (\pi_2 \ xf) (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t))$ 
and  $eqDerivs: \forall \ xf \in \text{set } xfList. \forall \ \tau \in \{0..t\}. (\pi_2 \ xf) (\varphi_s \ \tau) = (\pi_2 \ xf) (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau)$ 
and  $F\text{solves: solvesStoreIVP } \varphi_s \ xfList \ s$ 
and  $solHyp: \text{solvesStoreIVP } (\lambda \ \tau. (sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ \tau)) \ xfList \ s$ 
shows  $(sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) = \varphi_s \ t$ 
proof
  fix  $x :: \text{string}$  show  $(sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ x = \varphi_s \ t \ x$ 
  proof (cases  $x \in (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \cup varDiffs$ )
    case False
      then have  $\text{notInVars}: x \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \cup varDiffs$  by simp
      from  $solHyp$  have  $(sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ x = s \ x$ 
      using  $tHyp \ \text{notInVars} \ \text{solves-store-ivpD}(1)$  by blast
      also from  $F\text{solves}$  have  $\varphi_s \ t \ x = s \ x$  using  $tHyp \ \text{notInVars} \ \text{solves-store-ivpD}(1)$ 
    by blast
    ultimately show  $(sol \ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput] \ t) \ x = \varphi_s \ t \ x$  by simp
  next case True
    then have  $x \in (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList)) \vee x \in varDiffs$  by simp
    from this show ?thesis
  proof
    assume  $x \in (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList))$ 
    from this obtain  $f$  where  $xfHyp: (x, f) \in \text{set } xfList$  by fastforce

    then have  $\text{expand1}: \forall \ xf \in \text{set } xfList. ((\lambda \tau. \varphi_s \ \tau \ (\pi_1 \ xf)) \ \text{solves-ode}$ 

```



```

  (λτ r. (π2 xf) (φs τ)) {0..t} UNIV ∧ φs 0 (π1 xf) = s (π1 xf)
using Fsolves tHyp by (simp add:solvesStoreIVP-def)
hence expand2: ∀ xf ∈ set xfList. ∀ τ ∈ {0..t}. ((λr. φs r (π1 xf))
  has-vector-derivative (λr. (π2 xf) (sol s[xfList←uInput] τ)) τ) (at τ within
{0..t})
using eqDerivs by (simp add: solves-ode-def has-vderiv-on-def)

then have ∀ xf ∈ set xfList. ((λτ. φs τ (π1 xf)) solves-ode
  (λτ r. (π2 xf) (sol s[xfList←uInput] τ))) {0..t} UNIV ∧ φs 0 (π1 xf) = s
(π1 xf)
by (simp add: has-vderiv-on-def solves-ode-def expand1 expand2)
then have 1: ((λτ. φs τ x) solves-ode (λτ r. f (sol s[xfList←uInput] τ))) {0..t}
UNIV ∧
  φs 0 x = s x using xfHyp by fastforce

from solHyp and xfHyp have 2: ((λ τ. (sol s[xfList←uInput] τ) x) solves-ode
  (λτ r. f (sol s[xfList←uInput] τ))) {0..t} UNIV ∧ (sol s[xfList←uInput] 0)
x = s x
using solvesStoreIVP-def tHyp by fastforce

from tHyp and contHyp have ∀ xf ∈ set xfList. unique-on-bounded-closed 0
{0..t} (s (π1 xf))
  (λτ r. (π2 xf) (sol s[xfList←uInput] τ)) UNIV (if t = 0 then 1 else 1/(t+1))

apply(clarify) apply(rule conds4UniqSol) by(auto)
from this have 3: unique-on-bounded-closed 0 {0..t} (s x) (λτ r. f (sol
s[xfList←uInput] τ))
  UNIV (if t = 0 then 1 else 1/(t+1)) using xfHyp by fastforce
from 1 2 and 3 show (sol s[xfList←uInput] t) x = φs t x
using unique-on-bounded-closed.unique-solution using real-Icc-closed-segment
tHyp by blast
next
assume x ∈ varDiffs
then obtain y where xDef: x = ∂ y by (auto simp: varDiffs-def)
show (sol s[xfList←uInput] t) x = φs t x
proof(cases y ∈ set (map π1 xfList))
case True
  then obtain f where xfHyp: (y, f) ∈ set xfList by fastforce
  from tHyp and Fsolves have φs t x = f (φs t)
  using solves-store-ivpD(3) xfHyp xDef by force
  also have (sol s[xfList←uInput] t) x = f (sol s[xfList←uInput] t)
  using solves-store-ivpD(3) xfHyp xDef solHyp tHyp by force
  ultimately show ?thesis using eqDerivs xfHyp tHyp by auto
next case False
  then have φs t x = 0
  using xDef solves-store-ivpD(2) Fsolves tHyp by simp
  also have (sol s[xfList←uInput] t) x = 0
  using False solHyp tHyp solves-store-ivpD(2) xDef by fastforce

```

ultimately show ?thesis by simp  
 qed  
 qed  
 qed  
 qed

**theorem** *dSolveUBC*:

**assumes** *contHyp*: $\forall s. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \text{continuous-on } (\{0..t\} \times UNIV)$

$(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 xf) (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t))$   
**and** *solHyp*: $\forall s. \text{solvesStoreIVP } (\lambda t. (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t))\ xfList\ s$   
**and** *uniqHyp*: $\forall s. \forall \varphi_s. \varphi_s \text{ solvesTheStoreIVP } xfList \text{ withInitState } s \longrightarrow$   
 $(\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_s r) = (\pi_2 xf) (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]$   
 $r))$   
**and** *diffAssgn*: $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. G\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) \longrightarrow Q\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]$   
 $t))$   
**shows** *PRE* *P* (*ODEsystem* *xfList* with *G*) *POST* *Q*  
**apply**(*rule-tac* *uInput*=*uInput* **in** *dSolve*)  
**prefer** 2 **subgoal proof**(*clarify*)  
**fix** *s*::*real store* **and** *φ<sub>s</sub>*::*real*  $\Rightarrow$  *real store* **and** *t*::*real*  
**assume** *isSol*:*solvesStoreIVP* *φ<sub>s</sub>* *xfList* *s* **and** *sHyp*: $0 \leq t$   
**from this and** *uniqHyp* **have**  $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \forall t \in \{0..t\}.$   
 $(\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_s t) = (\pi_2 xf) (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)$  **by** *auto*  
**also have**  $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \text{continuous-on } (\{0..t\} \times UNIV)$   
 $(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 xf) (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t))$  **using** *contHyp* *sHyp* **by** *blast*  
**ultimately show**  $(sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t) = \varphi_s t$   
**using** *sHyp* *isSol* *ubcStoreUniqueSol* *solHyp* **by** *simp*  
**qed using** *assms* **by** *simp-all*

**theorem** *dSolve-toSolveUBC*:

**assumes** *funcsHyp*: $\forall s\ g. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_2 xf\ (\text{override-on } s\ g\ \text{varDiffs}) = \pi_2 xf$   
 $s$   
**and** *distinctHyp*:*distinct* (*map*  $\pi_1\ xfList$ )  
**and** *lengthHyp*:*length* *xfList* = *length* *uInput*  
**and** *varsHyp*: $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \pi_1 xf \notin \text{varDiffs}$   
**and** *solHyp1*: $\forall s. \forall uxf \in \text{set } (uInput \otimes xfList). \pi_1\ uxf\ 0\ (sol\ s) = sol\ s\ (\pi_1\ (\pi_2$   
 $uxf))$   
**and** *solHyp2*: $\forall s. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. ((\lambda t. (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)\ (\pi_1\ xf))$   
 $\text{has-vderiv-on}$   
 $(\lambda t. \pi_2\ xf\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t)))\ \{0..t\}$   
**and** *contHyp*: $\forall s. \forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \text{continuous-on } (\{0..t\} \times UNIV)$   
 $(\lambda(t, (r::real)). (\pi_2 xf) (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t))$   
**and** *uniqHyp*: $\forall s. \forall \varphi_s. \varphi_s \text{ solvesTheStoreIVP } xfList \text{ withInitState } s \longrightarrow$   
 $(\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. (\pi_2 xf) (\varphi_s r) = (\pi_2 xf) (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]$   
 $r))$   
**and** *postCondHyp*: $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\forall t \geq 0. Q\ (sol\ s[xfList \leftarrow uInput]\ t))$   
**shows** *PRE* *P* (*ODEsystem* *xfList* with *G*) *POST* *Q*  
**apply**(*rule-tac* *uInput*=*uInput* **in** *dSolveUBC*)  
**using** *contHyp* **apply** *simp*

**apply**(rule *allI*, rule-tac *uInput=uInput in conds4storeIVP-on-toSol*)  
**using** *assms* **by** *auto*

**”Differential Invariant.”**

**lemma** *solvesStoreIVP-couldBeModified*:  
**fixes** *F::real  $\Rightarrow$  real store*  
**assumes** *vars:* $\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. ((\lambda t. F t (\pi_1 xf)) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda t r. \pi_2 xf (F t))) \{0..t\} \text{ UNIV}$   
**and** *dvars:* $\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. (F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (F t)$   
**shows**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList.$   
 $((\lambda t. F t (\pi_1 xf)) \text{ has-vector-derivative } F r (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) (at r \text{ within } \{0..t\})$   
**proof**(*clarify, rename-tac t r x f*)  
**fix** *x f and t r::real*  
**assume** *tHyp:* $0 \leq t$  **and** *xfHyp:* $(x, f) \in \text{set } xfList$  **and** *rHyp:* $r \in \{0..t\}$   
**from this and vars have**  $((\lambda t. F t x) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda t r. f (F t))) \{0..t\} \text{ UNIV}$   
**using** *tHyp* **by** *fastforce*  
**hence**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda t. F t x) \text{ has-vector-derivative } (\lambda t. f (F t)) r) (at r \text{ within } \{0..t\})$   
**by** (*simp add: solves-ode-def has-vderiv-on-def tHyp*)  
**have**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. (F r (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) = (\pi_2 xf) (F r)$   
**using** *assms* **by** *auto*  
**from this rHyp and xfHyp have**  $(F r (\partial x)) = f (F r)$  **by** *force*  
**then show**  $((\lambda t. F t (\pi_1 (x, f))) \text{ has-vector-derivative } F r (\partial (\pi_1 (x, f)))) (at r \text{ within } \{0..t\})$   
**using**  $\ast$  *rHyp* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *derivationLemma-baseCase*:  
**fixes** *F::real  $\Rightarrow$  real store*  
**assumes** *solves:solvesStoreIVP F xfList a*  
**shows**  $\forall x \in (\text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs}). \forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}.$   
 $((\lambda t. F t x) \text{ has-vector-derivative } F r (\partial x)) (at r \text{ within } \{0..t\})$   
**proof**  
**fix** *x*  
**assume**  $x \in \text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs}$   
**then have** *notVarDiff:* $\forall z. x \neq \partial z$  **using** *varDiffs-def* **by** *fastforce*  
**show**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda t. F t x) \text{ has-vector-derivative } F r (\partial x)) (at r \text{ within } \{0..t\})$   
**proof**(*cases x  $\in$  set (map  $\pi_1$  xfList)*)  
**case** *True*  
**from this and solves have**  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList.$   
 $((\lambda t. F t (\pi_1 xf)) \text{ has-vector-derivative } F r (\partial (\pi_1 xf))) (at r \text{ within } \{0..t\})$   
**apply**(rule-tac *solvesStoreIVP-couldBeModified*) **using** *solves solves-store-ivpD*  
**by** *auto*  
**from this show** *?thesis* **using** *True* **by** *auto*  
**next**  
**case** *False*  
**from this notVarDiff and solves have** *const:* $\forall t \geq 0. F t x = a x$

```

using solves-store-ivpD(1) by (simp add: varDiffs-def)
have constD:  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda r. a\ x) \text{ has-vector-derivative } 0)$  (at r
within {0..t})
by (auto intro: derivative-eq-intros)
{fix t r::real
assume t ≥ 0 and r ∈ {0..t}
hence (( $\lambda s. a\ x$ ) has-vector-derivative 0) (at r within {0..t}) by (simp add:
constD)
moreover have  $\bigwedge s. s \in \{0..t\} \implies (\lambda r. F\ r\ x)\ s = (\lambda r. a\ x)\ s$ 
using const by (simp add: 0 ≤ t)
ultimately have (( $\lambda s. F\ s\ x$ ) has-vector-derivative 0) (at r within {0..t})
using has-vector-derivative-transform by (metis r ∈ {0..t})
hence isZero:  $\forall t \geq 0. \forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda t. F\ t\ x) \text{ has-vector-derivative } 0)$  (at r within
{0..t}) by blast
from False solves and notVarDiff have  $\forall t \geq 0. F\ t\ (\partial\ x) = 0$ 
using solves-store-ivpD(2) by simp
then show ?thesis using isZero by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma derivationLemma:
assumes solvesStoreIVP F xfList a
and tHyp: t ≥ 0
and termVarsHyp:  $\forall x \in \text{trmVars } \eta. x \in (\text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs})$ 
shows  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ s)) \text{ has-vector-derivative } \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ r))$  (at r within
{0..t})
using termVarsHyp proof (induction  $\eta$ )
case (Const r)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Var y)
then have yHyp:  $y \in \text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs}$  by auto
from this tHyp and assms(1) show ?case
using derivationLemma-baseCase by auto
next
case (Mns  $\eta$ )
then show ?case
apply (clarsimp)
by (rule derivative-intros, simp)
next
case (Sum  $\eta1\ \eta2$ )
then show ?case
apply (clarsimp)
by (rule derivative-intros, simp-all)
next
case (Mult  $\eta1\ \eta2$ )
then show ?case
apply (clarsimp)
apply (subgoal-tac (( $\lambda s. \llbracket \eta1 \rrbracket_t (F\ s) *_R \llbracket \eta2 \rrbracket_t (F\ s)$ ) has-vector-derivative

```

$\llbracket \partial_t \eta 1 \rrbracket_t (F r) \cdot \llbracket \eta 2 \rrbracket_t (F r) + \llbracket \eta 1 \rrbracket_t (F r) \cdot \llbracket \partial_t \eta 2 \rrbracket_t (F r)$  (at  $r$  within  $\{0..t\}$ ), *simp*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac*  $f'1 = \llbracket \partial_t \eta 1 \rrbracket_t (F r)$  **and**  $g'1 = \llbracket \partial_t \eta 2 \rrbracket_t (F r)$  **in** *derivative-eq-intros*(25))  
**by** (*simp-all add: has-field-derivative-iff-has-vector-derivative*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *diff-subst-prprty-4terms*:  
**assumes** *solves*:  $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F t)$   
**and** *tHyp*:  $(t::\text{real}) \geq 0$   
**and** *listsHyp*:  $\text{map } \pi_2 xfList = \text{map } tval uInput$   
**and** *termVarsHyp*:  $\text{termVars } \eta \subseteq (\text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs})$   
**shows**  $\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (F t) = \llbracket (\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) xfList) \otimes uInput \rrbracket_t (\partial_t \eta) (F t)$   
**using** *termVarsHyp* **apply**(*induction*  $\eta$ ) **apply**(*simp-all add: substList-help2*)  
**using** *listsHyp* **and** *solves* **apply**(*induct*  $xfList$   $uInput$  *rule: list-induct2'*, *simp*, *simp*, *simp*)  
**proof**(*clarify*, *rename-tac*  $y g xfTail \vartheta \text{trmTail } x$ )  
**fix**  $x y::\text{string}$  **and**  $\vartheta::\text{trms}$  **and**  $g$  **and**  $xfTail::(\text{string} \times (\text{real store} \Rightarrow \text{real})) \text{ list}$   
**and**  $\text{trmTail}$   
**assume**  $IH: \bigwedge x. x \notin \text{varDiffs} \Longrightarrow \text{map } \pi_2 xfTail = \text{map } tval \text{trmTail} \Longrightarrow$   
 $\forall xf \in \text{set } xfTail. F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F t) \Longrightarrow$   
 $F t (\partial x) = \llbracket (\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) xfTail \otimes \text{trmTail}) \langle t_V (\partial x) \rangle \rrbracket_t (F t)$   
**and**  $1: x \notin \text{varDiffs}$  **and**  $2: \text{map } \pi_2 ((y, g) \# xfTail) = \text{map } tval (\vartheta \# \text{trmTail})$   
**and**  $3: \forall xf \in \text{set } ((y, g) \# xfTail). F t (\partial (\pi_1 xf)) = \pi_2 xf (F t)$   
**hence**  $*: \llbracket (\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) xfTail \otimes \text{trmTail}) \langle \text{Var } (\partial x) \rangle \rrbracket_t (F t) = F t (\partial x)$   
**using** *tHyp* **by** *auto*  
**show**  $F t (\partial x) = \llbracket (\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) ((y, g) \# xfTail)) \otimes (\vartheta \# \text{trmTail}) \rrbracket_t \langle t_V (\partial x) \rangle (F t)$   
**proof**(*cases*  $x \in \text{set } (\text{map } \pi_1 ((y, g) \# xfTail))$ )  
**case** *True*  
**then have**  $x = y \vee (x \neq y \wedge x \in \text{set } (\text{map } \pi_1 xfTail))$  **by** *auto*  
**moreover**  
**{** **assume**  $x = y$   
**from** *this* **have**  $((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) ((y, g) \# xfTail)) \otimes (\vartheta \# \text{trmTail})) \langle t_V (\partial x) \rangle = \vartheta$  **by** *simp*  
**also from**  $3$  *tHyp* **have**  $F t (\partial y) = g (F t)$  **by** *simp*  
**moreover from**  $2$  **have**  $\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t (F t) = g (F t)$  **by** *simp*  
**ultimately have** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: x = y*)**}**  
**moreover**  
**{** **assume**  $x \neq y \wedge x \in \text{set } (\text{map } \pi_1 xfTail)$   
**then have**  $\partial x \neq \partial y$  **using** *vdiff-inj* **by** *auto*  
**from** *this* **have**  $((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) ((y, g) \# xfTail)) \otimes (\vartheta \# \text{trmTail})) \langle t_V (\partial x) \rangle =$   
 $((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) xfTail) \otimes \text{trmTail}) \langle t_V (\partial x) \rangle$  **by** *simp*  
**hence** *?thesis* **using**  $*$  **by** *simp***}**  
**ultimately show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*  
**next**  
**case** *False*  
**then have**  $((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) ((y, g) \# xfTail)) \otimes (\vartheta \# \text{trmTail})) \langle t_V (\partial x) \rangle =$   
 $t_V (\partial x)$

```

using substList-cross-vdiff-on-non-occurring-var by (metis(no-types, lifting) List.map.compositionality)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma eqInVars-impl-eqInTrms:
assumes termVarsHyp:trmVars  $\eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)$ 
and initHyp: $\forall x. x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow b\ x = a\ x$ 
shows  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t a = \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t b$ 
using assms by (induction  $\eta$ , simp-all)

```

```

lemma non-empty-funList-implies-non-empty-trmList:
shows  $\forall list.(x,f) \in set\ list \wedge map\ \pi_2\ list = map\ tval\ tList \longrightarrow (\exists\ \vartheta. \llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t = f \wedge$ 
 $\vartheta \in set\ tList)$ 
by (induction tList, auto)

```

```

lemma dInvForTrms-prelim:
assumes substHyp:
 $\forall st. G\ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. str \notin (\pi_1(\llbracket set\ xfList \rrbracket)) \longrightarrow st\ (\partial\ str) = 0) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t\ \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t st = 0$ 
and termVarsHyp:trmVars  $\eta \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)$ 
and listsHyp:map  $\pi_2\ xfList = map\ tval\ uInput$ 
shows  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t a = 0 \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a,c) \in (ODEsystem\ xfList\ with\ G) \longrightarrow \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t c = 0)$ 
proof (clarify)
fix c assume aHyp: $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t a = 0$  and cHyp: $(a, c) \in ODEsystem\ xfList\ with\ G$ 
from this obtain t::real and F::real  $\Rightarrow$  real store
where tcHyp: $t \geq 0 \wedge F\ t = c \wedge solvesStoreIVP\ F\ xfList\ a \wedge (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G\ (F\ r))$ 

```

```

using guarDiffEqtn-def by auto
then have  $\forall x. x \notin varDiffs \longrightarrow F\ 0\ x = a\ x$  using solves-store-ivpD(6) by blast
from this have  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t a = \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ 0)$  using termVarsHyp eqInVars-impl-eqInTrms
by blast
hence obs1: $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ 0) = 0$  using aHyp by simp
from tcHyp have obs2: $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ s))\ has\_vector\_derivative$ 
 $\llbracket \partial_t\ \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ r))\ (at\ r\ within\ \{0..t\})$  using derivationLemma termVarsHyp by blast
have  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \forall\ xf \in set\ xfList. F\ r\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf)) = \pi_2\ xf\ (F\ r)$ 
using tcHyp solves-store-ivpD(3) by fastforce
hence  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket \partial_t\ \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ r) = \llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t\ \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t$ 
 $(F\ r)$ 
using tcHyp diff-subst-prprty-4terms termVarsHyp listsHyp by fastforce
also from substHyp have  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket ((map\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t$ 
 $\eta \rangle \rrbracket_t (F\ r) = 0$ 
using solves-store-ivpD(2) tcHyp by fastforce
ultimately have  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ s))\ has\_vector\_derivative\ 0)\ (at\ r\ within$ 
 $\{0..t\})$ 
using obs2 by auto
from this and tcHyp have  $\forall s \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda x. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ x))\ has\_derivative\ (\lambda x. x *_{\mathbb{R}}$ 
 $0))$ 
 $(at\ s\ within\ \{0..t\})$  by (metis has-vector-derivative-def)

```

hence  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ t) - \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ 0) = (\lambda x. x *_R\ 0) (t - 0)$   
 using *mvt-very-simple* and *tcHyp* by *fastforce*  
 then show  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t c = 0$  using *obs1 tcHyp* by *auto*  
 qed

**theorem** *dInvForTrms*:

assumes  $\forall\ st. G\ st \longrightarrow (\forall\ str. str \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList))) \longrightarrow st\ (\partial\ str) = 0 \longrightarrow$   
 $\llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t st = 0$   
 and *termVarsHyp*:  $\text{trmVars } \eta \subseteq (\text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs})$   
 and *listsHyp*:  $\text{map } \pi_2\ xfList = \text{map } \text{tval } uInput$   
 and *eta-f*:  $f = \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t$   
 shows  $\text{PRE } (\lambda\ s. f\ s = 0) (\text{ODEsystem } xfList\ \text{with } G) \text{ POST } (\lambda\ s. f\ s = 0)$   
 using *eta-f* **proof**(*clarsimp*)  
 fix *a b*  
 assume  $(a, b) \in [\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t s = 0]$  and  $f = \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t$   
 from *this* have *aHyp*:  $a = b \wedge \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t a = 0$  by (*metis* (*full-types*) *d-p2r rdom-p2r-contents*)  
 have  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t a = 0 \longrightarrow (\forall\ c. (a, c) \in (\text{ODEsystem } xfList\ \text{with } G) \longrightarrow \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t c = 0)$   
 using *assms dInvForTrms-prelim* by *metis*  
 from *this* and *aHyp* have  $\forall\ c. (a, c) \in (\text{ODEsystem } xfList\ \text{with } G) \longrightarrow \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t c = 0$  by *blast*  
 thus  $(a, b) \in \text{wp } (\text{ODEsystem } xfList\ \text{with } G) [\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t s = 0]$   
 using *aHyp* by (*simp add: boxProgrPred-chrctrztn*)  
 qed

**lemma** *diff-subst-prprty-4props*:

assumes *solves*:  $\forall\ xf \in \text{set } xfList. F\ t\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf)) = \pi_2\ xf\ (F\ t)$   
 and *tHyp*:  $t \geq 0$   
 and *listsHyp*:  $\text{map } \pi_2\ xfList = \text{map } \text{tval } uInput$   
 and *propVarsHyp*:  $\text{propVars } \varphi \subseteq (\text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs})$   
 shows  $\llbracket \partial_P \varphi \rrbracket_P (F\ t) = \llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright \partial_P \varphi \upharpoonright \rrbracket_P (F\ t)$   
 using *propVarsHyp* **apply**(*induction*  $\varphi$ , *simp-all*)  
 using *assms diff-subst-prprty-4terms* **apply** *fastforce*  
 using *assms diff-subst-prprty-4terms* **apply** *fastforce*  
 using *assms diff-subst-prprty-4terms* by *fastforce*

**lemma** *dInvForProps-prelim*:

assumes *substHyp*:  
 $\forall\ st. G\ st \longrightarrow (\forall\ str. str \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } xfList))) \longrightarrow st\ (\partial\ str) = 0 \longrightarrow$   
 $\llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \langle \partial_t \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t st \geq 0$   
 and *termVarsHyp*:  $\text{trmVars } \eta \subseteq (\text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs})$   
 and *listsHyp*:  $\text{map } \pi_2\ xfList = \text{map } \text{tval } uInput$   
 shows  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t a > 0 \longrightarrow (\forall\ c. (a, c) \in (\text{ODEsystem } xfList\ \text{with } G) \longrightarrow \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t c > 0)$   
 and  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t a \geq 0 \longrightarrow (\forall\ c. (a, c) \in (\text{ODEsystem } xfList\ \text{with } G) \longrightarrow \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t c \geq 0)$   
**proof**(*clarify*)  
 fix *c* assume *aHyp*:  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t a > 0$  and *cHyp*:  $(a, c) \in \text{ODEsystem } xfList\ \text{with } G$   
 from *this* obtain *t::real* and *F::real*  $\Rightarrow$  *real store*  
 where *tcHyp*:  $t \geq 0 \wedge F\ t = c \wedge \text{solvesStoreIVP } F\ xfList\ a \wedge (\forall\ r \in \{0..t\}. G\ (F\ r))$

using *guarDiffEqtn-def* by *auto*

**then have**  $\forall x. x \notin \text{varDiffs} \longrightarrow F\ 0\ x = a\ x$  **using** *solves-store-ivpD(6)* **by** *blast*  
**from this have**  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ a = \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ 0)$  **using** *termVarsHyp eqInVars-impl-eqInTrms*  
**by** *blast*  
**hence**  $\text{obs1}:\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ 0) > 0$  **using** *aHyp tcHyp* **by** *simp*  
**from** *tcHyp* **have**  $\text{obs2}:\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ s)) \text{ has-vector-derivative } \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r))$  (at  $r$  within  $\{0..t\}$ ) **using** *derivationLemma termVarsHyp* **by** *blast*  
**have**  $(\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. F\ t\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf)) = \pi_2\ xf\ (F\ t))$   
**using** *tcHyp solves-store-ivpD(3)* **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r) = \llbracket ((\text{map}\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r)$   
**using** *diff-subst-prprty-4terms termVarsHyp tcHyp listsHyp* **by** *fastforce*  
**also from** *substHyp* **have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket ((\text{map}\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r) \geq 0$   
**using** *solves-store-ivpD(2) tcHyp* **by** (*metis atLeastAtMost-iff*)  
**ultimately have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r) \geq 0$  **by** (*simp*)  
**from** *obs2* **and** *tcHyp* **have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ s)) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x. x *_R (\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r))))$  (at  $r$  within  $\{0..t\}$ ) **by** (*simp add: has-vector-derivative-def*)  
**hence**  $\exists r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ t) - \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ 0) = t \cdot (\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r))$   
**using** *mvt-very-simple* **and** *tcHyp* **by** *fastforce*  
**then obtain**  $r$  **where**  $\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r) \geq 0 \wedge 0 \leq r \wedge r \leq t \wedge \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ t) \geq 0$   
 $\wedge \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ t) - \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ 0) = t \cdot (\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r))$   
**using**  $*$  *tcHyp* **by** (*meson atLeastAtMost-iff order-refl*)  
**thus**  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ c > 0$   
**using** *obs1 tcHyp* **by** (*metis cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-ge-0-iff-ge*)

*diff-strict-mono linorder-neqE-linordered-idom linordered-field-class.sign-simps(45)*  
*not-le)*

**next**

**show**  $0 \leq \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ a \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a, c) \in \text{ODEsystem } xfList \text{ with } G \longrightarrow 0 \leq \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ c)$   
**proof**(*clarify*)  
**fix**  $c$  **assume**  $aHyp:\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ a \geq 0$  **and**  $cHyp:(a, c) \in \text{ODEsystem } xfList \text{ with } G$   
**from this obtain**  $t::\text{real}$  **and**  $F::\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real store}$   
**where**  $tcHyp:t \geq 0 \wedge F\ t = c \wedge \text{solvesStoreIVP } F\ xfList\ a \wedge (\forall r \in \{0..t\}. G\ (F\ r))$

**using** *guarDiffEqtn-def* **by** *auto*

**then have**  $\forall x. x \notin \text{varDiffs} \longrightarrow F\ 0\ x = a\ x$  **using** *solves-store-ivpD(6)* **by** *blast*  
**from this have**  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ a = \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ 0)$  **using** *termVarsHyp eqInVars-impl-eqInTrms*  
**by** *blast*  
**hence**  $\text{obs1}:\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ 0) \geq 0$  **using** *aHyp tcHyp* **by** *simp*  
**from** *tcHyp* **have**  $\text{obs2}:\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ s)) \text{ has-vector-derivative } \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r))$  (at  $r$  within  $\{0..t\}$ ) **using** *derivationLemma termVarsHyp* **by** *blast*  
**have**  $(\forall t \geq 0. \forall xf \in \text{set } xfList. F\ t\ (\partial\ (\pi_1\ xf)) = \pi_2\ xf\ (F\ t))$   
**using** *tcHyp solves-store-ivpD(3)* **by** *blast*  
**from this and** *tcHyp* **have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r) = \llbracket ((\text{map}\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r)$   
**using** *diff-subst-prprty-4terms termVarsHyp listsHyp* **by** *fastforce*  
**also from** *substHyp* **have**  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket ((\text{map}\ (vdiff \circ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput)\ \langle \partial_t \eta \rangle \rrbracket_t\ (F\ r) \geq 0$



using *solves-store-ivpD(2) tcHyp* by (*metis atLeastAtMost-iff*)  
ultimately have  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (F r) \geq 0$  by (*simp*)  
from *obs2* and *tcHyp* have  $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. ((\lambda s. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F s)) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x. x *_R (\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (F r))))$  (at *r* within  $\{0..t\}$ ) by (*simp add: has-vector-derivative-def*)

hence  $\exists r \in \{0..t\}. \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F t) - \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F 0) = t \cdot (\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (F r))$   
using *mvt-very-simple* and *tcHyp* by *fastforce*  
then obtain *r* where  $\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (F r) \geq 0 \wedge 0 \leq r \wedge r \leq t \wedge \llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (F t) \geq 0$   
 $\wedge \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F t) - \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F 0) = t \cdot (\llbracket \partial_t \eta \rrbracket_t (F r))$   
using *\* tcHyp* by (*meson atLeastAtMost-iff order-refl*)  
thus  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t c \geq 0$   
using *obs1 tcHyp* by (*metis cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-ge-0-iff-ge*)

*diff-strict-mono linorder-negE-linordered-idom linordered-field-class.sign-simps(45)*  
*not-le*)  
qed  
qed

**lemma** *less-pval-to-tval*:  
**assumes**  $\llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \text{ xfList}) \otimes uInput) \restriction \partial_P (\vartheta \prec \eta) \rrbracket_P st$   
**shows**  $\llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \text{ xfList}) \otimes uInput) \restriction \partial_t (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rrbracket_t st \geq 0$   
**using** *assms* by(*auto*)

**lemma** *leq-pval-to-tval*:  
**assumes**  $\llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \text{ xfList}) \otimes uInput) \restriction \partial_P (\vartheta \preceq \eta) \rrbracket_P st$   
**shows**  $\llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \text{ xfList}) \otimes uInput) \restriction \partial_t (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \rrbracket_t st \geq 0$   
**using** *assms* by(*auto*)

**lemma** *dInv-prelim*:  
**assumes** *substHyp*:  $\forall st. G st \longrightarrow (\forall str. str \notin (\pi_1 \llbracket \text{set xfList} \rrbracket)) \longrightarrow st (\partial str) = 0) \longrightarrow$   
 $\llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \text{ xfList}) \otimes uInput) \restriction \partial_P \varphi \rrbracket_P st$   
**and** *propVarsHyp*:  $\text{propVars } \varphi \subseteq (UNIV - \text{varDiffs})$   
**and** *listsHyp*:  $\text{map } \pi_2 \text{ xfList} = \text{map tval } uInput$   
**shows**  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P a \longrightarrow (\forall c. (a, c) \in (\text{ODEsystem xfList with } G) \longrightarrow \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P c)$   
**proof**(*clarify*)  
**fix** *c* **assume** *aHyp*:  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P a$  **and** *cHyp*:  $(a, c) \in \text{ODEsystem xfList with } G$   
**from this obtain** *t::real* **and** *F::real  $\Rightarrow$  real store*  
**where** *tcHyp*:  $t \geq 0 \wedge F t = c \wedge \text{solvesStoreIVP } F \text{ xfList } a$  **using** *guarDiffEqtn-def*  
**by** *auto*  
**from** *aHyp propVarsHyp* **and** *substHyp* **show**  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P c$   
**proof**(*induction*  $\varphi$ )  
**case** (*Eq*  $\vartheta \eta$ )  
**hence** *hyp*:  $\forall st. G st \longrightarrow (\forall str. str \notin (\pi_1 \llbracket \text{set xfList} \rrbracket)) \longrightarrow st (\partial str) = 0) \longrightarrow$   
 $\llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \text{ xfList}) \otimes uInput) \restriction \partial_P (\vartheta \doteq \eta) \rrbracket_P st$  **by** *blast*  
**then have**  $\forall st. G st \longrightarrow (\forall str. str \notin (\pi_1 \llbracket \text{set xfList} \rrbracket)) \longrightarrow st (\partial str) = 0) \longrightarrow$   
 $\llbracket ((\text{map } (vdiff \circ \pi_1) \text{ xfList}) \otimes uInput) \restriction \partial_t (\vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta)) \rrbracket_t st = 0$  **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\text{trmVars } (\vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta)) \subseteq UNIV - \text{varDiffs}$  **using** *Eq.premis(2)* **by** *simp*  
**moreover have**  $\llbracket \vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta) \rrbracket_t a = 0$  **using** *Eq.premis(1)* **by** *simp*

ultimately have  $(\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem\ xfList\ with\ G \longrightarrow \llbracket \vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta) \rrbracket_t c = 0)$   
 using *dInvForTrms-prelim listsHyp* **by** *blast*  
 hence  $\llbracket \vartheta \oplus (\ominus \eta) \rrbracket_t (F\ t) = 0$  **using** *tcHyp cHyp* **by** *simp*  
 from *this* have  $\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t (F\ t) = \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ t)$  **by** *simp*  
 also have  $(\llbracket \vartheta \doteq \eta \rrbracket_P) c = (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t (F\ t) = \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ t))$  **using** *tcHyp* **by** *simp*  
 ultimately show *?case* **by** *simp*  
 next  
 case (*Less*  $\vartheta\ \eta$ )  
 hence  $\forall st. G\ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. str \notin (\pi_1 \llbracket set\ xfList \rrbracket)) \longrightarrow st\ (\partial\ str) = 0 \longrightarrow$   
 $0 \leq (\llbracket (map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList\ \otimes\ uInput) (\partial_t\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta))) \rrbracket_t) st$   
 using *less-pval-to-tval* **by** *metis*  
 also from *Less.premis(2)* have  $trmVars\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \subseteq UNIV - varDiffs$  **by** *simp*  
 moreover have  $\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t a > 0$  **using** *Less.premis(1)* **by** *simp*  
 ultimately have  $(\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem\ xfList\ with\ G \longrightarrow \llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t c > 0)$   
 using *dInvForProps-prelim(1) listsHyp* **by** *blast*  
 hence  $\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t (F\ t) > 0$  **using** *tcHyp cHyp* **by** *simp*  
 from *this* have  $\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ t) > \llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t (F\ t)$  **by** *simp*  
 also have  $\llbracket \vartheta \prec \eta \rrbracket_P c = (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t (F\ t) < \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ t))$  **using** *tcHyp* **by** *simp*  
 ultimately show *?case* **by** *simp*  
 next  
 case (*Leq*  $\vartheta\ \eta$ )  
 hence  $\forall st. G\ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. str \notin (\pi_1 \llbracket set\ xfList \rrbracket)) \longrightarrow st\ (\partial\ str) = 0 \longrightarrow$   
 $0 \leq (\llbracket (map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList\ \otimes\ uInput) (\partial_t\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta))) \rrbracket_t) st$  **using** *leq-pval-to-tval*  
**by** *metis*  
 also from *Leq.premis(2)* have  $trmVars\ (\eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta)) \subseteq UNIV - varDiffs$  **by** *simp*  
 moreover have  $\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t a \geq 0$  **using** *Leq.premis(1)* **by** *simp*  
 ultimately have  $(\forall c. (a, c) \in ODEsystem\ xfList\ with\ G \longrightarrow \llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t c \geq 0)$   
 using *dInvForProps-prelim(2) listsHyp* **by** *blast*  
 hence  $\llbracket \eta \oplus (\ominus \vartheta) \rrbracket_t (F\ t) \geq 0$  **using** *tcHyp cHyp* **by** *simp*  
 from *this* have  $(\llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ t) \geq \llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t (F\ t))$  **by** *simp*  
 also have  $\llbracket \vartheta \preceq \eta \rrbracket_P c = (\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_t (F\ t) \leq \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_t (F\ t))$  **using** *tcHyp* **by** *simp*  
 ultimately show *?case* **by** *simp*  
 next  
 case (*And*  $\varphi1\ \varphi2$ )  
 then show *?case* **by** (*simp*)  
 next  
 case (*Or*  $\varphi1\ \varphi2$ )  
 from *this* show *?case* **by** *auto*  
 qed  
 qed

**theorem** *dInv*:

assumes  $\forall st. G\ st \longrightarrow (\forall str. str \notin (\pi_1 \llbracket set\ xfList \rrbracket)) \longrightarrow st\ (\partial\ str) = 0 \longrightarrow$   
 $\llbracket ((map\ (vdiff\ \circ\ \pi_1)\ xfList) \otimes uInput) \upharpoonright_{\partial_P} \varphi \rrbracket_P st$   
 and  $termVarsHyp:propVars\ \varphi \subseteq (UNIV - varDiffs)$   
 and  $listsHyp:map\ \pi_2\ xfList = map\ tval\ uInput$

```

and  $\text{phi-p:}P = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P$ 
shows  $\text{PRE } P \text{ (ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \text{ POST } P$ 
proof(clarsimp)
fix  $a \ b$ 
assume  $(a, b) \in \lceil P \rceil$ 
from this have  $a\text{Hyp}: a = b \wedge P \ a$  by (metis (full-types) d-p2r rdom-p2r-contents)
have  $P \ a \longrightarrow (\forall \ c. (a, c) \in (\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \longrightarrow P \ c)$ 
using assms dInv-prelim by metis
from this and aHyp have  $\forall \ c. (a, c) \in (\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \longrightarrow P \ c$  by
blast
thus  $(a, b) \in \text{wp} \ (\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \ \lceil P \rceil$ 
using aHyp by (simp add: boxProgrPred-chrctrztn)
qed

```

```

theorem dInvFinal:
assumes  $\forall \ st. G \ st \longrightarrow (\forall \ str. str \notin (\pi_1(\text{set } \text{xfList})) \longrightarrow st \ (\partial \ str) = 0) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\llbracket ((\text{map} \ (\text{vdiff} \circ \pi_1) \ \text{xfList}) \otimes \text{uInput}) \restriction \partial_P \ \varphi \rrbracket_P \ st$ 
and  $\text{termVarsHyp: propVars } \varphi \subseteq (\text{UNIV} - \text{varDiffs})$ 
and  $\text{listsHyp: map } \pi_2 \ \text{xfList} = \text{map tval uInput}$ 
and  $\text{impls: } \lceil P \rceil \subseteq \lceil F \rceil \wedge \lceil F \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil$ 
and  $\text{phi-f: } F = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P$ 
shows  $\text{PRE } P \text{ (ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \text{ POST } Q$ 
apply(rule-tac  $C = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_P$  in dCut)
apply(subgoal-tac  $\lceil F \rceil \subseteq \text{wp} \ (\text{ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \ \lceil F \rceil$ , simp)
using impls and phi-f apply blast
apply(subgoal-tac  $\text{PRE } F \text{ (ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } G) \text{ POST } F$ , simp)
apply(rule-tac  $\varphi = \varphi$  and  $\text{uInput} = \text{uInput}$  in dInv)
prefer 5 apply(subgoal-tac  $\text{PRE } P \text{ (ODEsystem } \text{xfList} \text{ with } (\lambda s. G \ s \wedge F \ s))$ 
 $\text{POST } Q$ , simp add: phi-f)
apply(rule dWeakening)
using impls apply simp
using assms by simp-all

```

```

end
theory VC-diffKAD-examples
imports VC-diffKAD

```

```

begin

```

### 6.4.5 Rules Testing

In this section we test the recently developed rules with simple dynamical systems.

— Example of hybrid program verified with the rule *dSolve* and a single differential equation:  $x' = v$ .

```

lemma motion-with-constant-velocity:
   $\text{PRE } (\lambda \ s. s \ \text{"y"} < s \ \text{"x"} \wedge s \ \text{"v"} > 0)$ 
   $(\text{ODEsystem } [(\text{"x"}, (\lambda \ s. s \ \text{"v"}))] \text{ with } (\lambda \ s. \text{True}))$ 
   $\text{POST } (\lambda \ s. (s \ \text{"y"} < s \ \text{"x'}))$ 

```

```

apply(rule-tac uInput=[ $\lambda t s. s \text{ ''v''} \cdot t + s \text{ ''x''}$ ] in dSolve-toSolveUBC)
prefer 9 subgoal by(simp add: wp-trafo vdiff-def add-strict-increasing2)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
prefer 2 apply(simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
apply(clarify, rule-tac f'1= $\lambda x. s \text{ ''v''}$  and g'1= $\lambda x. 0$  in derivative-intros(191))
apply(rule-tac f'1= $\lambda x. 0$  and g'1= $\lambda x. 1$  in derivative-intros(194))
by(auto intro: derivative-intros)

```

Same hybrid program verified with dSolve and the system of ODEs:  $x' = v, v' = a$ . The uniqueness part of the proof requires a preliminary lemma.

**lemma** *flow-vel-is-galilean-vel*:

**assumes** solHyp: $\varphi_s$  solvesTheStoreIVP  $[(x, \lambda s. s \ v), (v, \lambda s. s \ a)]$  withInitState  $s$   
**and** tHyp: $r \leq t$  **and** rHyp: $0 \leq r$  **and** distinct: $x \neq v \wedge v \neq a \wedge x \neq a \wedge a \notin$   
*varDiffs*

**shows**  $\varphi_s \ r \ v = s \ a \cdot r + s \ v$

**proof**—

**from** assms **have** 1:  $((\lambda t. \varphi_s \ t \ v) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda t \ r. \varphi_s \ t \ a)) \ \{0..t\} \ UNIV \wedge \varphi_s \ 0 \ v = s \ v$

**by** (simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def)

**from** assms **have** obs: $\forall \ r \in \{0..t\}. \varphi_s \ r \ a = s \ a$

**by**(auto simp: solvesStoreIVP-def varDiffs-def)

**have** 2:  $((\lambda t. s \ a \cdot t + s \ v) \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda t \ r. \varphi_s \ t \ a)) \ \{0..t\} \ UNIV$

**unfolding** solves-ode-def **apply**(subgoal-tac  $((\lambda x. s \ a \cdot x + s \ v) \text{ has-vderiv-on } (\lambda x. s \ a)) \ \{0..t\})$

**using** obs **apply** (simp add: has-vderiv-on-def) **by**(rule galilean-transform)

**have** 3: unique-on-bounded-closed 0  $\{0..t\} \ (s \ v) \ (\lambda t \ r. \varphi_s \ t \ a) \ UNIV$  (if  $t = 0$  then 1 else  $1/(t+1)$ )

**apply**(simp add: ubc-definitions del: comp-apply, rule conjI)

**using** rHyp tHyp obs **apply**(simp-all del: comp-apply)

**apply**(clarify, rule continuous-intros) **prefer** 3 **apply** safe

**apply**(rule continuous-intros)

**apply**(auto intro: continuous-intros)

**by** (metis continuous-on-const continuous-on-eq)

**thus**  $\varphi_s \ r \ v = s \ a \cdot r + s \ v$

**apply**(rule-tac unique-on-bounded-closed.unique-solution[*of* 0  $\{0..t\} \ s \ v$   
 $(\lambda t \ r. \varphi_s \ t \ a) \ UNIV$  (if  $t = 0$  then 1 else  $1 / (t + 1)$ )  $(\lambda t. \varphi_s \ t \ v)$ ])

**using** rHyp tHyp 1 2 **and** 3 **by** auto

**qed**

**lemma** *motion-with-constant-acceleration*:

*PRE*  $(\lambda s. s \ \text{''y''} < s \ \text{''x''} \wedge s \ \text{''v''} \geq 0 \wedge s \ \text{''a''} > 0)$

$(ODEsystem \ [(\text{''x''}, (\lambda s. s \ \text{''v''})), (\text{''v''}, (\lambda s. s \ \text{''a''}))] \text{ with } (\lambda s. \text{True}))$

*POST*  $(\lambda s. (s \ \text{''y''} < s \ \text{''x''}))$

**apply**(rule-tac uInput=[ $\lambda t s. s \ \text{''a''} \cdot t^2/2 + s \ \text{''v''} \cdot t + s \ \text{''x''}$ ,  
 $\lambda t s. s \ \text{''a''} \cdot t + s \ \text{''v''}$ ] **in** dSolve-toSolveUBC)

**prefer** 9 **subgoal by**(simp add: wp-trafo vdiff-def add-strict-increasing2)

**prefer** 6 **subgoal**

**apply**(simp add: vdiff-def, clarify, rule conjI)

**by**(rule galilean-transform)+

```

prefer 6 subgoal
  apply(simp add: vdiff-def, safe)
  by(rule continuous-intros)+
prefer 6 subgoal
  apply(simp add: vdiff-def, safe)
  subgoal for  $s \varphi_s t r$  apply(rule flow-vel-is-galilean-vel[of  $\varphi_s$  "x" - - - t])
  by(simp-all add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)
  apply(simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def vdiff-def varDiffs-def) done
by(auto simp: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)

```

Example of a hybrid system with two modes verified with the equality dS.  
We also need to provide a previous (similar) lemma.

```

lemma flow-vel-is-galilean-vel2:
assumes solHyp: $\varphi_s$  solvesTheStoreIVP [( $x, \lambda s. s v$ ), ( $v, \lambda s. - s a$ )] withInitState
 $s$ 
  and tHyp: $r \leq t$  and rHyp: $0 \leq r$  and distinct: $x \neq v \wedge v \neq a \wedge x \neq a \wedge a \notin$ 
   $\text{varDiffs}$ 
shows  $\varphi_s r v = s v - s a \cdot r$ 
proof—
from assms have 1:(( $\lambda t. \varphi_s t v$ ) solves-ode ( $\lambda t r. - \varphi_s t a$ )) { $0..t$ } UNIV  $\wedge \varphi_s$ 
 $0 v = s v$ 
  by (simp add: solvesStoreIVP-def)
from assms have obs: $\forall r \in \{0..t\}. \varphi_s r a = s a$ 
  by(auto simp: solvesStoreIVP-def varDiffs-def)
have 2:(( $\lambda t. - s a \cdot t + s v$ ) solves-ode ( $\lambda t r. - \varphi_s t a$ )) { $0..t$ } UNIV
  unfolding solves-ode-def apply(subgoal-tac (( $\lambda x. - s a \cdot x + s v$ ) has-vderiv-on
  ( $\lambda x. - s a$ )) { $0..t$ })
  using obs apply (simp add: has-vderiv-on-def) by(rule galilean-transform)
have 3:unique-on-bounded-closed 0 { $0..t$ } ( $s v$ ) ( $\lambda t r. - \varphi_s t a$ ) UNIV (if  $t = 0$ 
  then 1 else  $1/(t+1)$ )
  apply(simp add: ubc-definitions del: comp-apply, rule conjI)
  using rHyp tHyp obs apply(simp-all del: comp-apply)
  apply(clarify, rule continuous-intros) prefer 3 apply safe
  apply(rule continuous-intros)+
  apply(auto intro: continuous-intros)
  by (metis continuous-on-const continuous-on-eq)
thus  $\varphi_s r v = s v - s a \cdot r$ 
  apply(rule-tac unique-on-bounded-closed.unique-solution[of 0 { $0..t$ }  $s v$ 
  ( $\lambda t r. - \varphi_s t a$ ) UNIV (if  $t = 0$  then 1 else  $1 / (t + 1)$ ) ( $\lambda t. \varphi_s t v$ )]))
  using rHyp tHyp 1 2 and 3 by auto
qed

```

**lemma** single-hop-ball:

```

  PRE ( $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{"x"} \wedge s \text{"x"} = H \wedge s \text{"v"} = 0 \wedge s \text{"g"} > 0 \wedge 1 \geq c \wedge c$ 
   $\geq 0$ )
  (((ODEsystem [( $\text{"x"}, \lambda s. s \text{"v"}), (\text{"v"}, \lambda s. - s \text{"g"})$ ] with ( $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{"x"}$ )));
  (IF ( $\lambda s. s \text{"x"} = 0$ ) THEN ( $\text{"v"} ::= (\lambda s. - c \cdot s \text{"v"})$ ) ELSE ( $\text{"v"} ::= (\lambda$ 
   $s. s \text{"v"})$ ) FI))
  POST ( $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{"x"} \wedge s \text{"x"} \leq H$ )

```

```

apply(simp, subst dS[of  $[\lambda t s. - s''g'' \cdot t^2/2 + s''v'' \cdot t + s''x'', \lambda t$ 
 $s. - s''g'' \cdot t + s''v'']$ ])
— Given solution is actually a solution.
apply(simp add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def solvesStoreIVP-def solves-ode-def has-vderiv-on-singleton,
safe)
apply(rule galilean-transform-eq, simp)+
apply(rule galilean-transform)+
— Uniqueness of the flow.
apply(rule ubcStoreUniqueSol, simp)
apply(simp add: vdiff-def del: comp-apply)
apply(auto intro: continuous-intros del: comp-apply)[1]
apply(rule continuous-intros)+
apply(simp add: vdiff-def, safe)
apply(clarsimp) subgoal for  $s \ X \ t \ \tau$ 
apply(rule flow-vel-is-galilean-vel2[of  $X \ ''x''$ ])
by(simp-all add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)
apply(simp add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def solvesStoreIVP-def)
apply(simp add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def solvesStoreIVP-def solves-ode-def
has-vderiv-on-singleton galilean-transform-eq galilean-transform)
— Relation Between the guard and the postcondition.
by(auto simp: vdiff-def p2r-def)

```

— Example of hybrid program verified with differential weakening.

**lemma** *system-where-the-guard-implies-the-postcondition:*

```

PRE  $(\lambda s. s''x'' = 0)$ 
(ODEsystem  $[(\ ''x'', (\lambda s. s''x'' + 1))]$  with  $(\lambda s. s''x'' \geq 0)$ )
POST  $(\lambda s. s''x'' \geq 0)$ 

```

**using** dWeakening **by** blast

**lemma** *system-where-the-guard-implies-the-postcondition2:*

```

PRE  $(\lambda s. s''x'' = 0)$ 
(ODEsystem  $[(\ ''x'', (\lambda s. s''x'' + 1))]$  with  $(\lambda s. s''x'' \geq 0)$ )
POST  $(\lambda s. s''x'' \geq 0)$ 

```

```

apply(clarify, simp add: p2r-def)
apply(simp add: rel-ad-def rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.addual.ars-r-def)
apply(simp add: rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-def)
apply(simp add: relcomp-def rel-ad-def guarDiffEqtn-def solvesStoreIVP-def)
by auto

```

— Example of system proved with a differential invariant.

**lemma** *circular-motion:*

```

PRE  $(\lambda s. (s''x'' \cdot (s''x'') + (s''y'' \cdot (s''y'') - (s''r'' \cdot (s''r'')) = 0)$ 
(ODEsystem  $[(\ ''x'', (\lambda s. s''y''), (\ ''y'', (\lambda s. - s''x''))]$  with  $G$ )
POST  $(\lambda s. (s''x'' \cdot (s''x'') + (s''y'' \cdot (s''y'') - (s''r'' \cdot (s''r'')) = 0)$ 

```

```

apply(rule-tac  $\eta = (t_V \ ''x'') \odot (t_V \ ''x'') \oplus (t_V \ ''y'') \odot (t_V \ ''y'') \oplus (\ominus (t_V \ ''r'') \odot (t_V \ ''r''))$ )

```

```

and  $uInput = [t_V \ ''y'', \ominus (t_V \ ''x'')] \text{ in } dInvForTrms$ 

```

```

apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)

```

```

apply(clarsimp, erule-tac  $x = ''r''$  in allE)

```

by *simp*

— Example of systems proved with differential invariants, cuts and weakenings.

**declare** *d-p2r* [*simp del*]

**lemma** *motion-with-constant-velocity-and-invariants*:

```

  PRE ( $\lambda s. s \text{ "x"} > s \text{ "y"} \wedge s \text{ "v"} > 0$ )
  (ODEsystem [( $\text{"x"}, \lambda s. s \text{ "v"}$ )] with ( $\lambda s. \text{True}$ ))
  POST ( $\lambda s. s \text{ "x"} > s \text{ "y"}$ )
apply(rule-tac  $C = \lambda s. s \text{ "v"} > 0$  in dCut)
apply(rule-tac  $\varphi = (t_C \ 0) \prec (t_V \ \text{"v"})$  and  $uInput = [t_V \ \text{"v"}]$  in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def, clarify, erule-tac  $x = \text{"v"}$  in allE, simp)
apply(rule-tac  $C = \lambda s. s \text{ "x"} > s \text{ "y"}$  in dCut)
apply(rule-tac  $\varphi = (t_V \ \text{"y"}) \prec (t_V \ \text{"x"})$  and  $uInput = [t_V \ \text{"v"}]$  and
   $F = \lambda s. s \text{ "x"} > s \text{ "y"}$  in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def, clarify, erule-tac  $x = \text{"y"}$  in allE, simp)
using dWeakening by simp

```

**lemma** *motion-with-constant-acceleration-and-invariants*:

```

  PRE ( $\lambda s. s \text{ "y"} < s \text{ "x"} \wedge s \text{ "v"} \geq 0 \wedge s \text{ "a"} > 0$ )
  (ODEsystem [( $\text{"x"}, (\lambda s. s \text{ "v"})$ ), ( $\text{"v"}, (\lambda s. s \text{ "a"})$ )] with ( $\lambda s. \text{True}$ ))
  POST ( $\lambda s. (s \text{ "y"} < s \text{ "x"})$ )
apply(rule-tac  $C = \lambda s. s \text{ "a"} > 0$  in dCut)
apply(rule-tac  $\varphi = (t_C \ 0) \prec (t_V \ \text{"a"})$  and  $uInput = [t_V \ \text{"v"}, t_V \ \text{"a"}]$  in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def, clarify, erule-tac  $x = \text{"a"}$  in allE, simp)
apply(rule-tac  $C = \lambda s. s \text{ "v"} \geq 0$  in dCut)
apply(rule-tac  $\varphi = (t_C \ 0) \preceq (t_V \ \text{"v"})$  and  $uInput = [t_V \ \text{"v"}, t_V \ \text{"a"}]$  in dInvFi-
nal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
apply(rule-tac  $C = \lambda s. s \text{ "x"} > s \text{ "y"}$  in dCut)
apply(rule-tac  $\varphi = (t_V \ \text{"y"}) \prec (t_V \ \text{"x"})$  and  $uInput = [t_V \ \text{"v"}, t_V \ \text{"a"}]$  in dInv-
Final)
apply(simp-all add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def, clarify, erule-tac  $x = \text{"y"}$  in allE, simp)
using dWeakening by simp

```

— We revisit the two modes example from before, and prove it with invariants.

**lemma** *single-hop-ball-and-invariants*:

```

  PRE ( $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{ "x"} \wedge s \text{ "x"} = H \wedge s \text{ "v"} = 0 \wedge s \text{ "g"} > 0 \wedge 1 \geq c \wedge c$ 
 $\geq 0$ )
  (((ODEsystem [( $\text{"x"}, \lambda s. s \text{ "v"}$ ), ( $\text{"v"}, \lambda s. -s \text{ "g"}$ )] with ( $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{ "x"}$ ))));
  (IF ( $\lambda s. s \text{ "x"} = 0$ ) THEN ( $\text{"v"} ::= (\lambda s. -c \cdot s \text{ "v"})$ ) ELSE ( $\text{"v"} ::= (\lambda$ 
 $s. s \text{ "v"})$  FI))
  POST ( $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{ "x"} \wedge s \text{ "x"} \leq H$ )
  apply(simp add: d-p2r, subgoal-tac rdom [ $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{ "x"} \wedge s \text{ "x"} = H \wedge s$ 
 $\text{ "v"} = 0 \wedge 0 < s \text{ "g"} \wedge c \leq 1 \wedge 0 \leq c$ ])
   $\subseteq wp$  (ODEsystem [( $\text{"x"}, \lambda s. s \text{ "v"}$ ), ( $\text{"v"}, \lambda s. -s \text{ "g"}$ )] with ( $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{ "x"}$ 
 $)$ 
  [inf (sup ( $-(\lambda s. s \text{ "x"} = 0)$ ) ( $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{ "x"} \wedge s \text{ "x"} \leq H$ )) (sup ( $\lambda s. s$ 
 $\text{ "x"} = 0$ ) ( $\lambda s. 0 \leq s \text{ "x"} \wedge s \text{ "x"} \leq H$ ))])
  apply(simp add: d-p2r, rule-tac  $C = \lambda s. s \text{ "g"} > 0$  in dCut)

```

```

apply(rule-tac  $\varphi = (t_C \ 0) \prec (t_V \ \text{"}g'')$  and  $uInput=[t_V \ \text{"}v'', \ominus t_V \ \text{"}g'']$  in
dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def, clarify, erule-tac  $x=\text{"}g''$  in allE,
simp)
apply(rule-tac  $C = \lambda s. s \ \text{"}v'' \leq 0$  in dCut)
apply(rule-tac  $\varphi = (t_V \ \text{"}v'') \preceq (t_C \ 0)$  and  $uInput=[t_V \ \text{"}v'', \ominus t_V \ \text{"}g'']$  in
dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def)
apply(rule-tac  $C = \lambda s. s \ \text{"}x'' \leq H$  in dCut)
apply(rule-tac  $\varphi = (t_V \ \text{"}x'') \preceq (t_C \ H)$  and  $uInput=[t_V \ \text{"}v'', \ominus t_V \ \text{"}g'']$  in
dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: varDiffs-def vdiff-def)
using dWeakening by simp

```

— Finally, we add a well known example in the hybrid systems community, the bouncing ball.

**lemma** *bouncing-ball-invariant*:  $0 \leq x \implies 0 < g \implies 2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot H - v \cdot v \implies (x::real) \leq H$

**proof**—

**assume**  $0 \leq x$  **and**  $0 < g$  **and**  $2 \cdot g \cdot x = 2 \cdot g \cdot H - v \cdot v$

**then have**  $v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot H - 2 \cdot g \cdot x \wedge 0 < g$  **by** *auto*

**hence**  $*:v \cdot v = 2 \cdot g \cdot (H - x) \wedge 0 < g \wedge v \cdot v \geq 0$

**using** *left-diff-distrib mult.commute* **by** (*metis zero-le-square*)

**from this have**  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) = (H - x)$  **by** *auto*

**also from**  $*$  **have**  $(v \cdot v)/(2 \cdot g) \geq 0$

**by** (*meson divide-nonneg-pos linordered-field-class.sign-simps(44) zero-less-numeral*)

**ultimately have**  $H - x \geq 0$  **by** *linarith*

**thus** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** *bouncing-ball*:

*PRE*  $(\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \text{"}x'' \wedge s \ \text{"}x'' = H \wedge s \ \text{"}v'' = 0 \wedge s \ \text{"}g'' > 0)$

$((ODEsystem \ [(\text{"}x'', \lambda s. s \ \text{"}v''), (\text{"}v'', \lambda s. - s \ \text{"}g'')] \text{ with } (\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \text{"}x''));$

$(IF \ (\lambda s. s \ \text{"}x'' = 0) \ THEN \ (\text{"}v'' ::= (\lambda s. - s \ \text{"}v'')) \ ELSE \ (Id \ FI))^*$

*POST*  $(\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \text{"}x'' \wedge s \ \text{"}x'' \leq H)$

**apply**(rule *rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-starI*[*of* -  $\lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \text{"}x'' \wedge 0 < s \ \text{"}g'' \wedge$

$2 \cdot s \ \text{"}g'' \cdot s \ \text{"}x'' = 2 \cdot s \ \text{"}g'' \cdot H - (s \ \text{"}v'' \cdot s \ \text{"}v'') \rceil$ ])

**apply**(simp, simp add: *d-p2r*)

**apply**(subgoal-tac

$rdom \ \lceil \lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \text{"}x'' \wedge 0 < s \ \text{"}g'' \wedge 2 \cdot s \ \text{"}g'' \cdot s \ \text{"}x'' = 2 \cdot s \ \text{"}g'' \cdot H - s \ \text{"}v'' \cdot s \ \text{"}v'' \rceil$

$\subseteq wp \ (ODEsystem \ [(\text{"}x'', \lambda s. s \ \text{"}v''), (\text{"}v'', \lambda s. - s \ \text{"}g'')] \text{ with } (\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \text{"}x''))$

$\rceil inf \ (sup \ (- (\lambda s. s \ \text{"}x'' = 0)) \ (\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \text{"}x'' \wedge 0 < s \ \text{"}g'' \wedge 2 \cdot s \ \text{"}g'' \cdot s \ \text{"}x'' =$

$=$

$2 \cdot s \ \text{"}g'' \cdot H - s \ \text{"}v'' \cdot s \ \text{"}v''))$

$(sup \ (\lambda s. s \ \text{"}x'' = 0) \ (\lambda s. 0 \leq s \ \text{"}x'' \wedge 0 < s \ \text{"}g'' \wedge 2 \cdot s \ \text{"}g'' \cdot s \ \text{"}x'' =$



```

      2 · s "g" · H - s "v" · s "v"))])
apply(simp add: d-p2r)
apply(rule-tac C = λ s. s "g" > 0 in dCut)
apply(rule-tac φ = ((tC 0) < (tV "g")) and uInput=[tV "v", ⊖ tV "g"]in
dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def, clarify, erule-tac x="g" in allE, simp)
apply(rule-tac C = λ s. 2 · s "g" · s "x" = 2 · s "g" · H - s "v" · s "v" in
dCut)
apply(rule-tac φ = (tC 2) ⊙ (tV "g") ⊙ (tC H) ⊕ (⊖ ((tV "v") ⊙ (tV "v")))
      ≐ (tC 2) ⊙ (tV "g") ⊙ (tV "x") and uInput=[tV "v", ⊖ tV "g"]in dInvFinal)
apply(simp-all add: vdiff-def varDiffs-def, clarify, erule-tac x="g" in allE, simp)
apply(rule dWeakening, clarsimp)
using bouncing-ball-invariant by auto

declare d-p2r [simp]

end

```