

# Modern Cryptology - Homework 2

Yosef Goren

June 15, 2022

In this homework I will be denoting  $\mathbb{P}$  to mean the set of primes. and denoting  $a \equiv_N b$  to mean  $a \equiv b \pmod{N}$ .

## 1 Public-key Encryption from QR

### 1.1 QR given factorization

For any  $X \in \mathbb{N}$  denote:  $QR(X)$  as the set of quadratic residues modulus  $X$ .

Lemma I;

$$\forall P, Q \in \mathbb{P} : QR(N) = QR(P) \cap QR(Q)$$

Proof Lemma I:

Let  $a \in QR(N)$ .

$$\exists x, K : x^2 \equiv_N a \Rightarrow x^2 = a + (K)PQ$$

$$\Rightarrow x^2 = a + (KP)Q \wedge x^2 = a + (KQ)P$$

$$\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv_Q a \wedge x^2 \equiv_P a$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in QR(Q) \wedge a \in QR(P) \Rightarrow a \in QR(Q) \cap QR(P)$$

Let  $a \in QR(P) \cap QR(Q)$ . Thus:

$$\exists x_1, x_2 : a \equiv_P x_1 \wedge a \equiv_Q x_2$$

Thanks to the Chinese remainder theorem we know there exists a solution  $x$  which satisfies:

$$x \equiv_P x_1, x \equiv_Q x_2$$

Thus:

$$\Rightarrow a \equiv_P x^2, a \equiv_Q x^2 \Rightarrow a \equiv_{PQ} x^2 \Rightarrow a \in QR(N)$$

Now we use the correctness of the Lemma I to define a polynomial algorithm:

```
1 def qr(a: int, P: int, Q: int) -> bool:
2     """the algorithm determines if 'x' is
3     quadratic residue of under modulus PQ"""
4     a_is_P_qr = a**((P-1)/2)%P == 1
5     a_is_Q_qr = a**((Q-1)/2)%Q == 1
6     return a_is_P_qr and a_is_Q_qr
```

Indeed the names of the variables at lines 4 and 5 are informative (and correct) due to the properties of Euler's criterion as seen in class, meaning that  $a$  is qr modulus  $P$  iff  $a^{(P-1)/2} \equiv_P 1$ , and same with  $Q$ . This together with Lemma I proves the correctness of this algorithm.

We have seen in the last homework how modulus exponentiation can be done efficiently; which makes this algorithm polynomial.

## 1.2 Generating QR

In the following, all expressions and operations are in the  $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$  group unless said otherwise.

Let  $x \in QNR(N)$ .

Lemma I;  $x, z \in QNR(N) \Rightarrow zx^{-1} \in QR(N)$ :  
Since  $QNR(N) = QNR(P) \cap QNR(Q)$  we get:

$$(zx^{-1})^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv_P (z)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (x^{-1})^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv_P (z)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (x^{\frac{p-1}{2}})^{-1} \equiv_P (-1)(-1)^{-1} = 1$$

and in the same way under modulus  $Q$  we get  $(zx^{-1})^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv_Q 1$ . From Euile's criterion we get that  $(zx^{-1})^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \in QR(N)$ .

Lemma II;  $\{y^2x : y \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*\} \supseteq QNR(N)$ :  
Let  $z \in QNR(N)$ , from Lemma I we get  $x^{-1}z \in QR(N)$  Thus:

$$\exists y : y^2 = zx^{-1} \Rightarrow y^2x = z \Rightarrow z \in \{y^2x : y \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*\}$$

Lemma III;  $\{y^2x : y \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*\} \subseteq QNR(N)$ :  
Let  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ . Assume  $\exists z : z^2 = y^2x$ . Thus:

$$z^2y^{-2} = x \Rightarrow (zy^{-1})^2 = x \Rightarrow x \notin QNR(N)$$

Hence the assumption is incorrect, and  $y^2x \in QR(N)$ .

Proof:

Define  $g(z) = z \cdot x, g : QR(N) \longrightarrow QNR(N)$ .

From Lemma II and III, we get that  $g$ 's image is exactly  $QNR(N)$ .  
 $g$  is invertible and thus is a bijection.

Let:

$$a^2 \in QR(N), y \stackrel{\S}{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_N^*$$

Since  $y^2$  has four different roots:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*} [y^2 = a^2] &= \frac{4}{|\mathbb{Z}_N^*|} = \frac{1}{\frac{|\mathbb{Z}_N^*|}{4}} = \frac{1}{|QR(N)|} \\ &\Rightarrow y^2 \stackrel{\S}{\sim} QR(N) \end{aligned}$$

And since  $g$  is bijection  $QR(N) \rightarrow QNR(N)$ :

$$g(y^2) = y^2 x \stackrel{\$}{\sim} QNR(N)$$

□

### 1.3 Public-key Encryption

## 2 Statistically Hiding Commitments

### 2.1 Inner Product with Random String

Let:

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \left( \sum_i a_i b_i \right) \% 2$$

$$L = \{0, 1\}^n$$

Proof: Let  $b \in L \setminus \{0^n\}$ . Let  $j$  be the first non-zero index of  $b$ . Define:

$$f(a) = a_1 a_2 \dots \bar{a}_j \dots a_n$$

Lemma I;  $\langle a, b \rangle =_2 \langle f(a), b \rangle + 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, b \rangle &= \sum_i a_i b_i = \sum_{i \neq j} a_i b_i + a_j b_j = \sum_{i \neq j} a_i b_i + a_j \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} a_i b_i + \bar{a}_j + 1 = \sum_i f(a)_i b_i + 1 = \langle f(a), b \rangle + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma II;  $f$  is bijection  $\{a : \langle a, b \rangle =_2 1\} \longleftrightarrow \{a : \langle a, b \rangle =_2 0\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \{a : \langle a, b \rangle =_2 0\} &\Leftrightarrow \langle x, b \rangle =_2 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle f(x), b \rangle =_2 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle f(x), b \rangle =_2 1 \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in \{a : \langle a, b \rangle =_2 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  is bijection.

Proof using the Lemma II: Let:

$$a = |\{a : \langle a, b \rangle =_2 1\}|, b = |\{a : \langle a, b \rangle =_2 0\}|$$

From Lemma II:  $a = b$ .

In addition one of the two cases must always be correct, hence:  $a + b = 1$ .

Solving these two equations gives  $a = b = \frac{1}{2}$ .

By definition:

$$a = \Pr_{a \leftarrow L} [\langle a, b \rangle =_2 0], b = \Pr_{a \leftarrow L} [\langle a, b \rangle =_2 1]$$

meaning they are both  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

## 2.2 Inner Product is Universal

Denote:  $H = \{h_a : a \in L\}$ .

Let  $a \in L$ . We want to show that:

$$\forall x, y \in L : x \neq y, \Pr_{h \leftarrow H} [h(x) = h(y)] \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof:

Let  $x, y \in L : x \neq y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{h \leftarrow H} [h(x) = h(y)] &= \Pr_{a \leftarrow L} [h_a(x) = h_a(y)] \\ &= \Pr_{a \leftarrow L} [h_a(x) = h_a(y)] = \Pr_{a \leftarrow L} [< a, x > = < a, y >] \\ &= \sum_{b \in \{0,1\}} \Pr_{a \leftarrow L} [< a, x > = < a, y > : < a, x > = b] \cdot \Pr [< a, x > = b] \\ &= \sum_{b \in \{0,1\}} \Pr_{a \leftarrow L} [< a, x > = < a, y >] \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{b \in \{0,1\}} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the size of the output space, this indeed shows that  $H$  is universal hash function family.

## 2.3 Purifying Randomness

# 3 Is Factoring NP complete?

## 3.1 Equivalence to Factoring

We show a there exists a polynomial turing machine for deciding  $L$  iff there exists a polynomial factoring TM by showing:

1. Polynomial TM for factoring which uses a polynomial TM for deciding  $L$ .
2. Polynomial TM for deciding  $L$  which uses a polynomial TM for factoring.

Armed with the church turing thesis; we describe these two turing machines as code of a contemporary programming language.

1. Factoring given decision:

```
1 def factor(N: int, decide_oracle)->tuple:
2     """given a number 'N',
3     and given a 'decide_oracle' function which decides 'L',
4     return the list of prime factors of 'N'.
5     The implementations is a loop of binary searches."""
6     prime_factors = []
7     while N > 1:
8         #find the largest prime factor of current 'N':
9         left, right = 1, N
10        while left < right-1:
```

```

11     M = (left+right)//2
12     if decide_oracle(N, M):
13         left = M
14     else:
15         right = M
16     largest_prime_factor = right
17     #add it to list and update 'N':
18     prime_factors.append(largest_prime_factor)
19     N = N//largest_prime_factor
20     return prime_factors

```

Indeed this implementation does a polynomial (w.r to #bits) number of calls to the oracle, and executes only a polynomial number of operations itself:

note how each iteration of the binary search algorithm is polynomial with the number of bits of  $N$  since it is logarithmic with the size of the search space. In addition, there can only be a polynomial (w.r to #bits) number of factors since each one is at-least 2; this means there are only a polynomial number of iterations in the main loop.

## 2. Decision given factoring:

```

1 def decide(N: int, M: int, factoring_oracle)->bool:
2     """returns 'True' if (N,M) is in L, and False otherwise."""
3     prime_factors = factoring_oracle(N)
4     return max(prime_factors) > M

```

## 3.2 coNP

Here we show  $L \in NP \cap coNP$  in two parts:

- $L = L_a \in NP$ .
- $\bar{L} = L_b \in NP$ .

To show each of these we will define a relation  $R$  which will satisfy all conditions:

1.  $\forall (x, y) \in R, |y| = Poly(|x|)$
  2.  $(x, y) \in R \Leftrightarrow x \in L$
  3.  $\exists M_R$ , NTM which decides  $R$  and is polynomial.
- Define  $R_a$  for  $L_a$ :

$$R_a = \{((N, M), p) : p \in \mathbb{P} \wedge p > M \wedge N \% p = 0\}$$

It is easy to see that if  $(N, M), p \in R_a$  then since  $p$  is a prime factor of  $N$  which is larger than  $M$  - it means that  $(N, M) \in \mathbb{P}$ . In addition,  $\#bits(p) \leq \#bits(N)$  thus the length is linear (and polynomial).

As for the last condition, we define a NTM  $M_a$  which will decide  $R_a$ :

Given some input  $M_a$  will simply check for the three conditions for the

pair of inputs to be contained within  $R_a$ . Checking for the first condition in polynomial time is far from trivial, nevertheless it is widely known that  $PRIME \in \mathbb{P}$ , and thus it is possible to check if  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  in polynomial time; ofcourse it is possible for the other two conditions also.

- Define  $R_b$  for  $L_b$ :

$$\{((N, M), S) : \prod_{p \in S} p = N \wedge S \subseteq \mathbb{P} \wedge \max(S) \leq M\}$$

Note how  $S$  is the set of prime factors of  $N$ .

We define a NTM to decide  $R_b$ :

Given an input  $((N, M), S)$  - the machine will check each condition for being contained in  $R_b$  and accept iff all three are statisfied; taking the product of a set of numbers can comparing it can be done in polynomial time, same as taking the maximal value in a list. As before, we know that checking if a number is prime can be done in polynomial time, and there can only be a polynomial number of elements in the input to begin with (w.r to the size of the input...).

Additionally, it is worth noting how in this case too the length of  $S$  will be polynomially bound to the length of  $N$  (hence to the length of  $(N, M)$  too): This is because the number of prime factors of  $N$  is  $O(\log(N))$ , and each of them has length of  $O(\log(N))$ .

To sum up, we have shown that  $L \in NP$ , and that  $L_b = \bar{L} \in NP$  hence  $L \in coNP$ , meaning  $L \in NP \cap coNP$ .