Question 1

- 1. Examples in L3:
 - Primitive atomic expression: #t
 - Non-Primitive atomic expression: The variable x
 - Non-Primitive compound expression: (+ 4 3)
 - Primitive atomic value: true
 - Non-Primitive atomic value: 'hello
 - Non-Primitive compound value: list(4 5)
- 2. A special form is an expression that follows special evaluation rules, its semantics does not follow any existing structure. For example 'define'.
- 3. A free variable is a variable that "occurs free" in a function. Meaning it wasn't declared in its scope, but in a different scope(usually before the function).for example:

```
(lambda (x)
(+ x n))
```

The variable n occurs free.

4. A symbolic expression is a notation for nested list (tree-structured) data. For example:

```
(345)
```

5. A syntactic abbreviation is a syntax within a programming language that is designed to make things easier to read or to express.

2 examples are:

```
a. i++ instead of i = i + 1
b. '(2 . 3) instead of (cons 2 3)
```

6. L3 is Turing complete - which means that every program can be written in L3, that includes programs that are written in L30 ($L30 \subseteq L3$).

Besides, the difference between L3 to L30 is the list structure, in L30 we can create a list using pairs. for example:

```
L3-(list 1 2 3 4)
L30-'(1 . '(2 . '(3 . '(4 . '() ))))
(L3 \subseteq L30).
```

7. The advantage of PrimOp is more clarity - it is easier to understand what are the primitive operations of the language and what are user-defined functions.

The advantage of Closure is more functionality - the user of the language can define his own primitive operations to replace the default operations of the language. For example, one can change the operator "=" to also print to the screen.

8. Let us use the addition 'R' for the new functions defined in the question.

We want to prove that for every finite array $a = [a_1, ..., a_n]$, map(a) = mapR(a)

mapR performs the same function over the array.

Let's say that f(x) is the function that map implements on every item in

the array. We can see that $map(a) = [f(a_1), ..., f(a_n)]$ and

$$mapR(a) = [f(a_1), ..., f(a_n)].$$

The same goes for filter: we can observe that

filter(a) = $[a_i, ...a_i]$ for $i \le j$ and $i, j \le n$, and also

filterR(a) =
$$[a_i, ...a_i]$$
 for $i \le j$ and $i, j \le n$

So the functions are the same.

For compose and reduce the case is different:

 $f(g(x)) \neq g(f(x))$ for any functions f,g. Therefore compose and composeR will give different results in some cases.

Reduce as well will give different results in some cases. For example:

If applied from left to right the result is 7, but if applied from right to left the result is 6.

Question 2

last-element:

Signature: last-element(list)

Type: <T> (list<T>) => T

Purpose: Given list, return the last element of the list

Pre-conditions: None
Tests: (list 123) => 3

power:

Signature: power(number, number)

Type:(number,number)=>number

Purpose: Given two numbers n1, n2, return $n1^{n2}$

Pre-conditions: isNumber(n1),isNumber(n2)

Tests:(2,4)=>16, (0,3)=>0, (3,0)=>1

sum-lst-power :

Signature: sum-list-power(list<number>,number)

Type: (list<number>,number) => number

Purpose: given a number N, returns the sum of all its elements in the power

of N

Pre-conditions: all list elements are numbers

Tests: (sum-lst-power (list 1 4 2) 3) \rightarrow 1^3+ 4^3 + 2^3 = 73

length:

Signature: length(list)

Type:(list<T>) => number

Purpose: given a list, return it's length

Pre-conditions: None

Tests:(length '(1 2) -> 2

num-from-digits:

Signature:num-from-digits(list<number>)

Type:(list) => number

Purpose: Return number consisted from list digits

Pre-conditions: All list elements are numbers

Tests: (num-from-digits (list 2 4 6)) \rightarrow 246

Is-narcissistic:

Signature: is-narcissistic(lst<number>)

Type: lst<number> -> boolean]

Purpose: Checks if the number consisted from list digits is narcissistic

Pre-conditions: All list elements are numbers

Tests: (list 1 2 3)=>#f, (list 1 5 3)=>#t