

Solution to the midterm

1 Induced map to suspension

It suffices to construct $h^*(X) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(\Sigma X)$ and show that this is an isomorphism. (\because by iterating this isomorphism we can get the desired map.) We know that $\Sigma X \simeq SX = CX/X$, so let's apply the LES associated to the pair (CX, X) for h :

$$\dots \rightarrow h^k(\Sigma X) \rightarrow h^k(CX) \rightarrow h^k(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} h^{k+1}(\Sigma X) \rightarrow \dots$$

Using the fact that a cone of a space is contractible, we obtain that $h^k(CX) = 0, \forall k$. Thus by the LES above, we get the desired isomorphism $h^k(X) \rightarrow h^{k+1}(\Sigma X)$, which is the coboundary map δ for the pair (CX, X) . Now it is clear from the naturality of the coboundary map (note that this is in the axioms for reduced cohomology theories) and the fact that the homotopy $\Sigma X \simeq CX/X$ is natural that this isomorphism enjoys the desired naturality property. More precisely, any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces $Cf : CX \rightarrow CY$, which can be thought of as a map between pairs $Cf : (CX, X) \rightarrow (CY, Y)$. and by quotienting X and Y in CX and CY resp., it induces $Sf : SX \rightarrow SY$. By quotienting out the segment $\{pt\} \times I$, we obtain the map $\Sigma f : \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma Y$, and by the construction we have the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (CX, X) & \longrightarrow & (SX, *) & \longrightarrow & (\Sigma X, *) \\ f \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\ (CY, Y) & \longrightarrow & (SY, *) & \longrightarrow & (\Sigma Y, *) \end{array}$$

Thus the naturality of the coboundary map δ means

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & h^{*+1}(\Sigma X) \\ f^* \uparrow & & \uparrow (\Sigma f)^* \\ h^*(Y) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & h^{*+1}(\Sigma Y) \end{array}$$

commutes, which is the desired naturality property.

2 Universal Coefficient Theorem over a field \mathbb{k}

- (a) The key fact is that $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{k}}(-, -) = 0$ for any field \mathbb{k} , hence in the proof of the UCT as in Hatcher, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^n(C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(C), \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow 0.$$

If the homology groups (hence cohomology groups) consist of finite dimensional vector spaces in each dimensions, then the double dual coincides with the original vector space, allowing the identification $\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(H_n(C), \mathbb{k}), \mathbb{k}) \cong H_n(C)$. Thus $H_n(C) \cong \text{Hom}(H^n(C), \mathbb{k})$ in this case. (In general, the double dual of a vector space may not be the same as the vector space, so we cannot say that the homology groups are dual of cohomology groups.)

- (b) Applying the proof of Theorem 2.44 in Hatcher to our situation, we can see that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} C_n = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} Z_n + \dim_{\mathbb{k}} B_{n-1}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Z_n = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} B_n + \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H_n$ hold. Thus, $\chi(X) = \sum_m (-1)^m \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H_m(X; \mathbb{k}) = \sum_m (-1)^m \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^m(X; \mathbb{k})$ where the second equality is obtained from part (a).

3 maps between real projective spaces

This essentially follows from Problem 4 of Homework 2. As $n > m$, they cannot induce a nontrivial map on $H^1(-; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. But the cohomology ring of real projective spaces are generated by a single element in the first cohomology group. Hence, letting $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^m; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)[x]/(x^{m+1})$, $f^* : H^k(\mathbb{R}P^m; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^k(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ maps 0 to 0 and x^k to $f^*(x^k) = f^*(x)^k = 0$, which means f^* is zero in every positive dimensions.

4 Excercise 3.3.6, Hatcher

- (a) Note that $M_1 \# M_2$ is a union of two open subsets $U_1 \cup U_2$, where U_i is essentially M_i but removing even smaller open ball along the connected sum region. From the definition, $U_1 \cap U_2 \cong S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus, consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence associated to the decomposition $M_1 \# M_2 = U_1 \cup U_2$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(U_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_k(U_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(M_1 \# M_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Now, compare the homology groups of U_i to that of M_i . To be more precise, consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $M = (M \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) \cup B^n$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_k(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_k(M \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) \oplus H_k(B^n) \rightarrow H_k(M) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

As B^n is contractible, we can reduce the sequence above as

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(M \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(M) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Now, $\tilde{H}_k(S^{n-1})$ is nonzero only when $k = n-1$. Hence we obtain the equality $\tilde{H}_k(M \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) \cong \tilde{H}_k(M)$ for $k \neq n, n-1$. The nontrivial part of the above LES is

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(M \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(M) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

If M were orientable, then the map $\tilde{H}_n(M) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1})$ is an isomorphism, as it coincides with

$$\tilde{H}_n(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(M, M \setminus \{pt\}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(M, M \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(B^n, B^n \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) \cong \tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}).$$

Hence the last nontrivial map in the 5-term exact sequence above is an isomorphism, and it is clear that $\tilde{H}_n(M \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) = 0$. On the other hand, if M were nonorientable, then $\tilde{H}_n(M) = 0$, which gives a SES $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M \setminus \overset{\circ}{B}^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\tilde{H}_k(U_i) = \begin{cases} \tilde{H}_k(M_i) & \text{for } k \neq n, n-1 \\ 0 & \text{for } k = n \\ \tilde{H}_k(M_i) & \text{for } k = n-1, M \text{ is orientable} \\ \text{determined from } 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_i) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_i) \rightarrow 0 & \text{for } k = n-1, M \text{ is nonorientable} \end{cases}$$

Returning to the Mayer-Vietoris sequence associated to $M_1 \# M_2 = U_1 \cup U_2$, we know from the deformation retract $S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \simeq S^{n-1}$ that $\tilde{H}_k(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \cong 0$ except for $k = n-1$. Hence, we obtain the equality $\tilde{H}_k(M_1 \# M_2) \cong \tilde{H}_k(M_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_k(M_2)$ for $k \neq n, n-1$. Now, the nontrivial part of the LES is as follows:

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(M_1 \# M_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

When both of M_1, M_2 are orientable, then from the previous argument regarding the homology of U_i we know that the inclusion induces a trivial map $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_i)$. ($\because \tilde{H}_n(M) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1})$ is an isomorphism.) Thus, the middle map on the 4-term exact sequence above is zero, which gives the isomorphism for $k = n-1$.

When only one of M_1 or M_2 is orientable, let us assume without loss of generality that M_1 is nonorientable. Then the part $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_2)$ is identified with the sum of two maps $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_1)$ and $0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_2)$, which are a part of the SES $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_1) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1) \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_2) \rightarrow 0$, resp. Note that we have the map $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_2)$ which is obtained by collapsing M_2 part and

M_1 part, resp., which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) & \rightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_2) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) & \rightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_2) & \rightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_2) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

commutes. Thus, from the five lemma, we obtain the equality $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2) \cong \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_2)$.

Finally, if both of M_1 and M_2 are nonorientable, then by adding one more $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1})$ on the above commutative diagram, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_2) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\text{diagonal} \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) & \rightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U_2) & \rightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_2) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

, and from this, we can easily obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, from the assumption we know that the torsion subgroup of $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2)$, $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_1)$ and $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(M_2)$ are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (as they are nonorientable). Thus, writing each homology groups in terms of free-torsion decomposition, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1) + \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_2)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, from the rank identity $1 + \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1) + \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_2) = \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2)$, we obtain the desired result.

(b) Recalling the definition of the Euler characteristic,

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(M_1 \# M_2) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \text{rank } H_k(M_1 \# M_2) \\
&= 1 + (-1)^{n-1} \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2) + (-1)^n \text{rank } H_n(M_1 \# M_2) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (-1)^k \text{rank } H_k(M_1 \# M_2)
\end{aligned}$$

holds. Now, from part (a), we know that

- If at least one of M_1 or M_2 is orientable, then $\text{rank } H_k(M_1 \# M_2) = \text{rank } H_k(M_1) + \text{rank } H_k(M_2)$ holds for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Observe that if both are orientable, then the top homology groups

for $M_1, M_2, M_1 \# M_2$ are all isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , and if only one is orientable, then only one of the top homology groups for $M_1, M_2, M_1 \# M_2$ is nonzero and isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . This gives the identity $\text{rank } H_n(M_1 \# M_2) = \text{rank } H_n(M_1) + \text{rank } H_n(M_2) - 1$. Inserting this identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(M_1 \# M_2) &= 1 + (-1)^{n-1} \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2) + (-1)^n \text{rank } H_n(M_1 \# M_2) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (-1)^k \text{rank } H_k(M_1 \# M_2) \\ &= 1 + (-1)^n (\text{rank } H_n(M_1) + \text{rank } H_n(M_2) - 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{rank } H_k(M_1) + \text{rank } H_k(M_2) \\ &= \chi(M_1) + \chi(M_2) - 1 - (-1)^n = \chi(M_1) + \chi(M_2) - \chi(S^n)\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

- If both of M_1 and M_2 are nonorientable, then all the top homology groups vanish, and $1 + \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1) + \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_2) = \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2)$ holds from part (a). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(M_1 \# M_2) &= 1 + (-1)^{n-1} \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1 \# M_2) + (-1)^n \text{rank } H_n(M_1 \# M_2) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (-1)^k \text{rank } H_k(M_1 \# M_2) \\ &= 1 + (-1)^{n-1} (\text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_1) + \text{rank } H_{n-1}(M_2) + 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{rank } H_k(M_1) + \text{rank } H_k(M_2) \\ &= \chi(M_1) + \chi(M_2) - 1 - (-1)^n = \chi(M_1) + \chi(M_2) - \chi(S^n)\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

5 Excercise 3.3.26, Hatcher

First, we compute the cohomology ring of factor spaces $S^2 \times S^8$ and $S^4 \times S^6$. This is easy; we can apply the Künneth formula to have

$$H^*(S^2 \times S^8) \cong H^*(S^2) \otimes H^*(S^8), H^*(S^4 \times S^6) \cong H^*(S^4) \otimes H^*(S^6).$$

Hence $H^*(S^2 \times S^8)$ has a generator α_2 of degree 2, which must square to 0 as $H^4(S^2 \times S^8) \cong 0$, another generator α_8 of degree 8, and their cup product $\alpha_2 \cup \alpha_8$ generates $H^{10}(S^2 \times S^8)$. Similarly, $H^*(S^4 \times S^6)$ has two generators β_4 and β_6 which square to 0 and their cup product $\beta_4 \cup \beta_6$ generates $H^{10}(S^4 \times S^6)$. Now, from the previous problem, the cohomology groups of $S^2 \times S^8 \# S^4 \times S^6$ consists of \mathbb{Z} on even dimensions from 0 to 10, and all others are 0. Moreover, we can identify the generators in each dimension from 2 to 8 with $\alpha_2, \beta_4, \beta_6$, and α_8 , resp.

Now, let us see what the Poincare duality tells us. The nontrivial cup products which result in top dimension are $\alpha_2 \cup \alpha_8$ and $\beta_4 \cup \beta_6$. The nonsingularity of Poincare duality tells us that $\alpha_2 \cup \alpha_8$ and $\beta_4 \cup \beta_6$ generates $H^{10}(S^2 \times S^8 \# S^4 \times S^6)$. Consider other cup products.

Lemma 5.1. The cup product of cohomology classes that come from a single factor space is the image of the cup product of the cohomology classes in the factor space, i.e., for the quotient map $q : M_1 \# M_2 \rightarrow M_1$,

$$q^*(\alpha) \cup q^*(\beta) = q^*(\alpha \cup \beta).$$

Proof. This is simply the naturality of the cup product. □

By this lemma, we know that the cup products of two α 's or two β 's are zero. Now the only pairings that might be nontrivial are $\alpha_2 \cup \beta_4$ and $\alpha_2 \cup \beta_6$. Suppose that $\alpha_2 \cup \beta_4 = k\beta_6$. Then $k\beta_4 \cup \beta_6 = \beta_4 \cup (\alpha_2 \cup \beta_4) = \alpha_2 \cup (\beta_4 \cup \beta_4) = 0$, forcing k to be 0. Similarly, for the integer k for which $\alpha_2 \cup \beta_6 = k\alpha_8$, $k\alpha_2 \cup \alpha_8 = \alpha_2 \cup (\alpha_2 \cup \beta_6) = (\alpha_2 \cup \alpha_2) \cup \beta_6 = 0$ implies $k = 0$. Thus all other cup products which cannot be dictated from the Poincare duality are 0.