

# DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS Institute for Beam Physics and Technology

# Stability Improvements at FLUTE (Verbesserung der Stabilität von FLUTE)

Master thesis of

Marvin-Dennis Noll

at the Institute for Beam Physics and Technology

Reviewer: Prof. Dr.-Ing. John Jelonnek (IHM)

Second Reviewer: Prof. Dr. Anke-Susanne Müller (IBPT)

Advisor: Dr. Nigel Smale (IBPT)

15.11.2020 - 01.07.2021

# Erklärung zur Selbstständigkeit

| Ich versichere wahrheitsgemäß, die Arbeit selbsts mittel vollständig und genau angegeben und alle Arbeiten anderer unverändert oder mit Abänderun Satzung des KIT zur Sicherung guter wissenschavom 24.05.2018 beachtet habe. | s kenntlich gemacht zu haben, was aus ngen entnommen wurde und dass ich die |
|---|---|
| Karlsruhe, den 01.07  | 7.2021,Marvin-Dennis Noll   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   | Als Prüfungsexemplar genehmigt von  |
| Karlsruhe, den 01.07.2021,  | Prof. DrIng. John Jelonnek (IHM)  |

# Contents

| 1. | . Theoretical Framework                                | 1   |
|----|--|-----|
|    | 1.1. Accelerator Physics                               |     |
|    | 1.1.1. Some Beam Diagnostic Devices                    |     |
|    | 1.2.1. Auto correlation and Cross correlation          |     |
|    | 1.2.1. Auto correlation and Cross correlation          |     |
|    | 1.2.2. Estimating the Spectrum of a Stochastic Process |     |
|    | ·  |     |
| Аp | appendix   | 5   |
|    | A. Lab Test and Measurement Equipment                  | . 5 |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
| _  | - ·  |     |
| L  | List of Figures  |     |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
| T, | List of Tables   |     |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |
|    | A.1. Agilent 34411A specifications                     | . 5 |
|    | A.2. Agilent 34411A some SCPI commands                 | . 5 |
|    | A.3. Keysight 34470A specifications                    |     |
|    | A.4. Keysight 34470A some SCPI commands                |     |
|    |  |     |
|    | A.5. Keysight 34972A specifications                    |     |
|    | A.6. Keysight 34972A some SCPI commands                |     |
|    | A.7. Tektronix MSO64 specifications                    |     |
|    | A.8. Tektronix MSO64 some SCPI commands                |     |
|    | A.9. Rohde and Schwarz SMC100A specifications          |     |
|    | A.10.Rohde and Schwarz SMC100A some SCPI commands      |     |
|    | A.11.HP E4419B specifications                          | . 7 |
|    | A.12.HP E4419B some SCPI commands                      |     |
|    | A.13.Agilent E5071C specifications                     | . 7 |

### 1. Theoretical Framework

#### 1.1. Accelerator Physics

#### 1.1.1. Some Beam Diagnostic Devices

#### 1.2. Signal Analysis

#### 1.2.1. Auto correlation and Cross correlation

The cross covariance between two stochastic processes x[n] and y[n] is a measure of the similarity between x[n] at index  $n_1$  and y[n] at index  $n_2$  and is defined as

$$r_{xy}[n_1, n_2] = E\{(x[n_1] - \mu_x[n_1])(y[n_2] - \mu_y[n_2])^*\}.$$
(1.1)

For the special case of y[n] := x[n],  $r_{xx}[n_1, n_2]$  is called *auto covariance* and is a measure of self similarity of x[n] [1, p. 172].

The processes x[n] and y[n] are called wide sense stationary (WSS) if the following two properties hold [1, p. 167]. First, their means  $\mu_{\xi}[n]$  are constant, i.e. they do not depend on the sample index:

$$\mu_x[n] = \mu_x \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mu_{\nu}[n] = \mu_{\nu} \tag{1.3}$$

Also the auto covariance does not depend on the absolute sample indices  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , but merely on the difference between them:

$$r_{xy}[n_1, n_2] = r_{xy}[m], \quad \text{with: } m := n_2 - n_1$$
 (1.4)

If both process in Equation 1.1 are WSS, Equation 1.1 simplifies to

$$r_{xy}[m] = \mathbb{E}\left\{ (x[n] - \mu_x)(y[n-m] - \mu_y)^* \right\}. \tag{1.5}$$

For the auto covariance both means are identical and can be moved outside the expectation operator:

$$r_{xx}[m] = \mathbb{E}\left\{ (x[n])(y[n-m])^* \right\} - \mu_x^2. \tag{1.6}$$

When analyzing signals, the stochastic processes are often unknown and only one realization x[n] is known. But if the process generating x[n] is (weakly) ergodic, then one realization is enough to determine the auto covariance of the process [2, p. 252]. Then the auto covariance can be estimated with

$$\hat{r}[m] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=m+1}^{N} x[n] \, x^*[n-m] \qquad m \in [0, N-1]$$
(1.7)

#### 1.2.2. Estimating the Spectrum of a Stochastic Process

For a deterministic, time-discrete signal  $x[n] \in \mathcal{L}_1$ , the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) exists[3] and is defined as

$$X[k] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn} \qquad k, n \in [0, N-1],$$
(1.8)

using  $k = \frac{N}{2\pi} \omega = N f$  as the independent, discrete frequency variable. From the complex sequence X[k], often only the magnitude (or energy) is of greater interest while the phase information are neglected. Therefore,  $S_{xx}$  is defined as

$$S_{xx} = |X[k]|^2 (1.9)$$

and called the energy spectral density (ESD).

If x[n] is the realization of a stochastic process, then it is of random nature rather than deterministic. Because realizations of physical processes do not posses finite energy, they are not in the  $\mathcal{L}_1$  set and their DFT is not defined [4, p. 5].

In this case instead of an energy spectral density, the spectrum of the average power of the process, called the *power spectral density (PSD)*, can be used instead. To compute the PSD, either there are two possibilities:

$$\Phi_{xx}[k] = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} r[m] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}km}$$
(1.10)

$$\Phi_{xx}[k] = \lim_{N \to \infty} E\left\{ \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}k n} \right|^2 \right\}$$
 (1.11)

When assuming r[m] decays "fast enough", i.e.

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=-N}^{N} |m| \ |r[m]| = 0 \tag{1.12}$$

then Equation 1.10 and Equation 1.11 are equal[4, p. 7].

For measured data however neither equations can be used directly. For Equation 1.10 the auto covariance sequence r[m] is unknown. But it could be estimated with Equation 1.7. In case of Equation 1.11 it is not possible to evaluate the limit, because only finite length data can be sampled and also the expectation can not be computed since in general there is only one realization available. Both operations can be neglected when doing an estimation.

With these practical changes in place, Equation 1.10 and Equation 1.11 become

$$\hat{\Phi}_{c,xx}[k] = \sum_{m=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \hat{r}[m] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}km} \qquad \text{(Correlogram)}$$
(1.13)

$$\hat{\Phi}_{p,xx}[k] = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn} \right|^2$$
 (Periodogram). (1.14)

Both methods yield equal results, if r[m] is estimated with the biased estimator  $\hat{r}[m]$  in Equation 1.7 in contrast to the unbiased estimator (compare [4, p. 24])

$$\hat{r}_{\text{unbiased}}[m] = \frac{1}{N-m} \sum_{n=m+1}^{N} x[n] \, x^*[n-m] \qquad m \in [0, N-1]. \tag{1.15}$$

[5] shows one key weakness of the unmodified periodogram method in Equation 1.14: The variance does not decrease significantly with more samples N. Instead the variance of the periodogram for each frequency approaches the square of the actual PSD:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \operatorname{Var} \left\{ \hat{\Phi}_{p, xx}[k] \right\} = \Phi_{xx}^{2}[k] \tag{1.16}$$

Furthermore the periodogram/correlogram suffer from the smearing and leakage effects because the limited length of the data samples always causes an implicit windowing, thus reducing frequency resolution.

There are several popular methods that improve on the periodogram/correlogram concepts:

**Blackman-Tukey:** Because of the poor accuracy of  $\hat{r}[m]$  for  $k \approx N$  in the definition of  $\hat{\Phi}_{c,xx}[k]$  and the bigger the N, the more small errors in  $\hat{r}[m]$  sum up, truncating/windowing of  $\hat{r}[m]$  with w[k] (length M) can be beneficial for the accuracy of the estimation.

$$\hat{\Phi}_{BT,xx}[k] = \sum_{m=-(M-1)}^{M-1} w[k]\hat{r}[m] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}km}$$
(1.17)

The choice of the window w[k] trades frequency resolution for variance and smearing for leakage reduction [4, p. 41].

**Barlett:** The Barlett method reduces the variance of the periodogram by splitting the N data samples in Q = N/M blocks and averaging together the sub-periodograms:

$$\hat{\Phi}_{q,xx}[k] = \frac{1}{M} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} x_q[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{M}kn} \right|^2$$
(1.18)

$$\hat{\Phi}_{B,xx}[k] = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \hat{\Phi}_{q,xx}[k]$$
(1.19)

The variance of the estimation scales with Q [5, p. 6]:

$$\operatorname{Var}\left\{\hat{\Phi}_{B,xx}[k]\right\} = \frac{1}{Q}\Phi_{xx}^{2}[k] \tag{1.20}$$

Welch: The Welch method combines splitting the data into Q segments with windowing each segment and allowing the segments to overlap. With  $P = 1/M \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} |w[n]|^2$  being the "power" of the window, the Welch method is computed as

$$\hat{\Phi}_{s,xx}[k] = \frac{1}{MP} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} x_s[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{M}k \, n} \right|^2$$
(1.21)

$$\hat{\Phi}_{W,xx}[k] = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{s=1}^{Q} \hat{\Phi}_{s,xx}[k]. \tag{1.22}$$

Compared to the Barlett method, the overlapping of up to 50% (see [6]) allows increasing Q, thus reducing the variance.

$$\operatorname{Var}\left\{\hat{\Phi}_{W,xx}[k]\right\} = \frac{1}{Q}\Phi_{xx}^{2}[k] \tag{1.23}$$

In case on a non-stationary signal x[n], one possibility to analyze and display the spectral content is the use of the short time Fourier transform (STFT) and the spectrogram, which

is a two dimensional power spectral density function mapping frequency and time to a third coordinate like height, intensity or color.

To calculate the spectrogram, the signal is split into segments with the sliding window w[n-m] for which duration the signal is assumed to be stationary. For each segment at time index m, the periodogram is calculated according to

$$\hat{\Phi}_{xx}[k,m] = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w[n-m]x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn} \right|^2.$$
 (1.24)

#### 1.3. Feedback Control Systems

# Appendix

#### A. Lab Test and Measurement Equipment

#### A.1. Benchtop multimeters

#### A.1.1. Agilent 34411A

**Table A.1.:** Agilent 34411A specifications

| Specification                   | Value   |
|---------------------------------|---|
|                                 | DC volt                                       |
| Digits                          | $6  ^{1}/_{2}$                                |
| Measurement method              | cont integrating multi-slope IV A/D converter |
| Accuracy (10 V range, 24 hours) | 0.0015% + 0.0004% (% of reading + % of range) |
| Bandwidth                       | 15 kHz (typ.)                                 |

Table A.2.: Agilent 34411A some SCPI commands

| Description              | Example command | Example return            |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Read current measurement | READ?           | +2.84829881E+00 (2.848 V) |

#### A.1.2. Keysight 34470A

**Table A.3.:** Keysight 34470A specifications

| Specification                   | Value   |
|---------------------------------|---|
|                                 | DC volt                                       |
| Digits                          | $7^{-1/2}$                                    |
| Measurement method              | cont integrating multi-slope IV A/D converter |
| Accuracy (10 V range, 24 hours) | 0.0008% + 0.0002% (% of reading + % of range) |
| Bandwidth (10 V range)          | $15\mathrm{kHz}$ (typ.)                       |

**Table A.4.:** Keysight 34470A some SCPI commands

| Description              | Example command | Example return            |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Read current measurement | READ?           | +9.99710196E+00 (9.997 V) |

## A.2. Data Acquisition/Switch Unit

#### A.2.1. Keysight 34972A

Table A.5.: Keysight 34972A specifications

| Specification      | Value   |
|--------------------|---|
| 34907A             | (Multifunction module)  |
| DAC range          | $\pm 12\mathrm{V}$  |
| DAC resolution     | 16 bit $(^{24}\text{ V}/_{2^{16}} = 366.21\mu\text{V per bit})$ |
| DAC maximum curren | $10\mathrm{mA}$   |
| 34901A             | (20 channel multiplexer)  |

Table A.6.: Keysight 34972A some SCPI commands

| Description   | Example command                 | Example return            |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Read current measurement<br>Set DAC voltage of ch 204 to<br>3.1 V | READ?<br>SOUR: VOLT 3.1, (@204) | +2.00200000E+01 (20.02°C) |

#### A.3. Oscilloscopes

#### A.3.1. Tektronix MSO64

Table A.7.: Tektronix MSO64 specifications

| Specification   | Value             |
|---|-------------------|
| Bandwidth   | $6\mathrm{GHz}$   |
| Sample rate   | $25\mathrm{GS/s}$ |
| ADC resolution  | 12 bit            |
| DC gain accuracy (@ $50 \Omega$ , $>2 \text{ mV/div}$ ) | $\pm 2\%$         |

Table A.8.: Tektronix MSO64 some SCPI commands

| Description                               | Example command                      | Example return  |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Read mean of measurement 1 (current acq.) | MEASUrement:MEAS1:RESUlts:CURR:MEAN? | 3.0685821787408 |

#### A.4. RF signal generator

#### A.4.1. Rohde and Schwarz SMC100A

Table A.9.: Rohde and Schwarz SMC100A specifications

| Specification  | Value              |
|--|--------------------|
| Frequency range  | 9 kHz to 3.2 GHz   |
| Maximum power level  | $17\mathrm{dBm}$   |
| SSB phase noise (@ 1 GHz, $f_o = 20 \text{ kHz}$ , $BW = 1 \text{ Hz}$ ) | $-111\mathrm{dBc}$ |
| Level error  | <0.9 dB            |

Appendix 7

Table A.10.: Rohde and Schwarz SMC100A some SCPI commands

| Description                    | Example command     | Example return |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Set RF power level to 10.5 dBm | SOUR:POW 10.5       |                |
| Set RF frequency to 3.1 GHz    | SOUR:FREQ:FIX 3.1e9 |                |
| Enable the RF output           | OUTP on             |                |

#### A.5. RF power meter

#### A.5.1. HP E4419B

Table A.11.: HP E4419B specifications

| Specification                        | Value                                 |  |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Digits                               | 4                                     |  |
| Accuracy (abs. without power sensor) | $\pm 0.02\mathrm{dB}$                 |  |
| Power probe: E4412A                  |                                       |  |
| Frequency range                      | $10\mathrm{MHz}$ to $18\mathrm{GHz}$  |  |
| Power range                          | $-70\mathrm{dBm}$ to $20\mathrm{dBm}$ |  |

Table A.12.: HP E4419B some SCPI commands

| Description              | Example command | Example return               |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Measure power on input 1 | MEAS1?          | +2.89435802E+000 (2.894 dBm) |

#### A.6. Vector Network Analyzer

#### A.6.1. Agilent E5071C

Table A.13.: Agilent E5071C specifications

|                 | 9 1              |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Specification   | Value            |  |
| Frequency range | 9 kHz to 8.5 GHz |  |

#### A.7. Phase noise analyzer

#### A.7.1. Holzworth HA7062C

Table A.14.: Holzworth HA7062C specifications

| Specification         | Value                    |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| DUT input frequency   | 10 MHz to 6 GHz          |
| Measurement bandwidth | 0.1 Hz to 40 MHz offsets |

# **Bibliography**

- [1] K. I. Park, Fundamentals of Probability and Stochastic Processes with Applications to Communications. Springer International Publishing, Dec. 4, 2017, 288 pp., ISBN: 3319680749.
- [2] F. Puente León, Messtechnik. Springer-Verlag GmbH, 2019, ISBN: 3662597667.
- [3] A. Lapidoth, A Foundation in Digital Communication. Cambridge University Press, Mar. 26, 2019, 920 pp., ISBN: 1107177324.
- [4] P. Stoica, *Introduction to spectral analysis*. Upper Saddle River, N.J: Prentice Hall, 1997, ISBN: 0132584190.
- [5] D. Rowell. (). 2.161 Signal Processing: Continuous and Discrete. M. I. of Technology: MIT OpenCourseWare, Ed., [Online]. Available: https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mechanical-engineering/2-161-signal-processing-continuous-and-discrete-fall-2008/#.
- [6] P. Welch, "The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: A method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms", *IEEE Transactions on Audio and Electroacoustics*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 70–73, Jun. 1967. DOI: 10.1109/tau.1967.1161901.