



**Mark = 5**



**Electronics and Electrical Communications Engineering  
Department**

**Faculty of Engineering**

**Cairo University**

**Streetlight Monitoring System**

**Submitted to:** Dr. Mahmoud El-Hadidi

**Analysis of the Merbok Estuary Oyster Farm IoT Deployment**

**Initial Report submitted for course ELC4015 “Selected Topics in  
Communications: Internet of Things“**

**4th Year**

**1st Semester - Academic Year 2025/2026**

**Prepared by:**

NAME	SECTION	ID
Yousef Khaled Omar Mahmoud	4	9220984

**Submission Date:** 21 October 2025

This Case Study does NOT represent a typical IoT system:

1 - The title of the “Obtained Document” says that the Case Study uses “IoT Devices”, which has no meaning since sensors and WiFi equipment by themselves cannot be called IoT devices. Only when sensors use wireless communication technologies to continuously store and analyze data so as to allow making decisions to improve performance, reduce cost, preserve energy, and send alarms/notifications, would the resulting system be considered IoT-based.

2 - In the Case Study considered in this report:

- a) Purpose of the setup was to remotely collect data to perform certain investigation
- b) Setup was only for two months (October and November)
- c) Installed sensors and WiFi equipment are NOT crucial for the successful operation of the system

## 1- Table of Contents

1- Table of Contents .....	2
2- Table of Figures .....	2
3- List of tables.....	3
4- Summary .....	4
5- Research Limitations and Author Outreach.....	4
5.1    Formal Correspondence and Author Acknowledgement .....	4
5.2    Summary of Key Correspondence Points .....	5
5.3    Critical Research Limitations.....	6
6- Specific Name of IoT Application .....	6
7- Specific Date for Case-Study Implementation.....	7
8- Name of IoT Vertical Application .....	7
9- Specific Functions Performed by IoT in the Case Study .....	7
9.1    Functions Performed by the Sensing Devices.....	7
9.2    Functions Performed by the WI-FI Router .....	8
9.3    Functions Performed by the Cloud Services.....	9
10- More Details for the IoT Application .....	9
10.1    Location and Topology of IoT Nodes.....	9
10.1    Type/Model of IoT Nodes.....	10
10.2    Location and Type/Model of Gateway .....	11
10.3    Internet Connectivity .....	11
10.4    Cloud Connectivity .....	12
10.5    Location of IoT Application Software .....	12
10.6    Man-Machine Interface (MMI).....	12
11- Details of Wireless Communication Protocol/Standard used for Communication between IoT Nodes.....	12
12- Details of Wireless Communication Protocol/Standard used for Interconnecting IoT Nodes to the Internet	12
13- Routing Protocol Deployed.....	12
14- IoT Architecture .....	13
15- Power Requirements for the Transmitters & Receivers of the IoT Nodes.....	13
16- Maximum Distance Coverage.....	13
17- Security Features/Capabilities Built.....	13
18- References:.....	14

## 2- Table of Figures

Figure 1 Dr. Tatsuya Yurimoto Response .....	5
Figure 2 Screenshot of Sent Email.....	5
Figure 3 WS-2902 Home Wi-Fi Weather Station.....	7
Figure 4 Observation of the Freshwater Inflow Event Using IoT Devices at an Oyster Farm in the Merbok Estuary During Monsoon .....	10
Figure 5 Observation of the Freshwater Inflow Event Using IoT Devices at an Oyster Farm in the Merbok Estuary	

During Monsoon .....	11
----------------------	----

### 3- List of tables

Table 1 Dr. Tatsuya Yurimoto Response Summary .....	5
Table 2 Follow-Up Inquiry .....	6
Table 3 Sensing Devices functions .....	8
Table 4 Wi-Fi Router Functions .....	8
Table 5 Cloud Services Functions .....	9
Table 6 IEEE 802.11 WIFI Characteristic .....	12

## 4- Summary

This report analyzes the IoT deployment detailed in the publication, “**Observation of the Freshwater Inflow Event Using IoT Devices at an Oyster Farm in the Merbok Estuary During Monsoon**” (Yurimoto et al., 2025)[1]

The system represents an "actually implemented" solution utilizing **commercially available observation devices** (specifically the Ambient Weather WS-2902 series). The application focuses on establishing a real-time monitoring system within the oyster farm environment, primarily observing changes in water temperature and salinity during the monsoon season.

This report evaluates the project according to the **12 analytical** points required for **ELC4015**, confirming its implementation status and identifying specific research limitations.

## 5- Research Limitations and Author Outreach

While the environmental observations and results are **fully documented** in the publication, specific details regarding the communication architecture, hardware specifications, and formal performance metrics were **not provided** in the original paper.

This section acknowledges the successful outreach to the authors, integrates their clarifying information, and addresses the remaining knowledge gaps.

### 5.1 Formal Correspondence and Author Acknowledgement

The primary goal of the inquiry was to gather undocumented technical details necessary to analyze the Merbok Estuary IoT deployment for this academic coursework. The kind and prompt response from **Dr. Tatsuya Yurimoto** and his co-authors successfully clarified the system's architecture while simultaneously defining the limitations of the available engineering data. We formally acknowledge their support.

As shown in figure 1, A formal email was sent on **October 17, 2025 (7:25 PM)** to the project's lead author — via [yurimoto@outlook.com](mailto:yurimoto@outlook.com)

As shown in figure 1, the message, titled “*Inquiry Regarding Deployment and Network Details of Your Open-Source Fish-Farming IoT Buoy System*”.

The message, titled “**Inquiry Regarding Deployment and Network Details of Your Open-Source Fish-Farming IoT Buoy System**,” requested clarification on key technical points **missing** from the publication. These inquiries included the deployment scale and duration, the specific communication architecture (such as topology, protocols, and backhaul type), power supply, and confirmation of any recorded performance metrics or available schematics.

It emphasized the academic purpose of the request—to ensure accurate documentation of the system within this research report.

**Subject:** Inquiry Regarding the IoT Deployment in Your 2025 Merbok Estuary Oyster Farm Study

Dear Dr. Tatsuya Yurimoto, Dr. Faizul Mohd Kassim, and Dr. Masazurah Abdul Rahim,

I hope this message finds you all well.

My name is Yousef Khaled Omar, a senior student at the Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, currently enrolled in the course ELC4015 – Selected Topics in Communications (Internet of Things) under the supervision of Prof. Mahmoud El-Hadidi.

As part of our coursework, we are required to analyze a real IoT deployment as a case study. Your recent paper, "Observation of the Freshwater Inflow Event Using IoT Devices at an Oyster Farm in the Merbok Estuary During Monsoon" (Thalassas, 2025), stood out for its practical field implementation in a marine environment.

To ensure we present your system accurately and understand the real-world aspects of your deployment, I would like to kindly ask for clarification on a few technical points that were not fully detailed in the publication:

1. Was the system deployed as a multi-node IoT network, or as a single set of instruments connected individually to the cloud?
2. Could you share additional details about the communication architecture (e.g., network topology, wireless protocols, gateway type, and Internet backhaul)?
3. How were the underwater and surface sensors powered (battery, solar, or external supply), and what was the operational duration of the deployment?
4. Were there any recorded performance metrics for the IoT network (e.g., data transmission reliability, latency, uptime, or power efficiency)?
5. If available, could you share any system schematics, deployment photographs, or internal documentation that describe the hardware setup or communication framework in more detail?

Your insights would be invaluable in helping us understand the practical implementation of your system and accurately reference your contribution in our academic report.

Thank you very much for your time and kind support.

Warm regards,  
Yousef Khaled Omar  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Figure 2 Screenshot of Sent Email




Figure 2 Dr. Tatsuya Yurimoto Response

As shown in figure 2, Dr. Yurimoto responded promptly to these inquiries, providing the essential technical clarifications summarized below.

## 5.2 Summary of Key Correspondence Points

Table 1 Dr. Tatsuya Yurimoto Response Summary

Query Topic	Author Confirmation / Clarification	Implication for Analysis
System Identity	Confirmed to be commercial <b>Ambient Weather WS-2902 series</b> devices.	Analysis must rely on publicly available technical specifications.
Network Architecture	<b>Star topology</b> using <b>Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11)</b> with a standard home Wi-Fi router as the	Defines the system as a high-bandwidth, short-range COTS solution.

	backhaul. <b>No gateway or inter-node communication.</b>	
<b>Power &amp; Duration</b>	Powered by <b>lithium batteries</b> requiring replacement every <b>1–2 months</b> . No solar or external supply.	Confirms high power consumption typical of continuous Wi-Fi transmission.
<b>Performance Metrics</b>	<b>None recorded</b> (No data on reliability, latency, uptime, or power efficiency).	These metrics constitute the <b>critical research limitations</b> of this report.
<b>Documentation</b>	<b>Unavailable.</b> (No schematics, photos, or internal documentation can be shared).	Prevents detailed component-level or installation analysis.

after reading table 1, To enrich our analysis by comparing a commercial (Wi-Fi) and a custom **LPWAN** deployment, we intend to contact the authors again to clarify a few points regarding the **LoRaWAN** system they referenced in their response. We fully understand that this system was not the primary focus of the published paper.

Table 2 Follow-Up Inquiry

Purpose	Detail
<b>Deployment Location</b>	Was this LoRaWAN system also deployed at the Merbok Estuary, or was it a separate project used for comparative research?
<b>Hardware (MCU)</b>	What was the primary <b>microcontroller/MCU</b> used for the custom LoRaWAN sensor nodes? (e.g., ESP32, STM32, or a similar board).
<b>Gateway Model</b>	Could you mention the general model or brand of the <b>LoRaWAN gateway</b> that was paired with the 4G LTE modem?
<b>Code Repository</b>	Since technical documentation cannot be shared, we wanted to ask if the source code for the LoRaWAN system (or any other custom code related to your research) is available on a public repository, such as <b>GitHub</b> ?

### 5.3 Critical Research Limitations

Despite the authors' helpful clarification, several critical parameters required for a detailed engineering analysis are confirmed to be unavailable or unrecorded, leading to necessary limitations in this report's scope:

- **Deployment Documentation:** System schematics, deployment photographs, or internal documentation are not available for distribution.
- **Performance Metrics:** The authors confirmed they **did not record formal performance metrics** such as data transmission reliability, latency, uptime, or power efficiency during the deployment.
- **Specifics:** Technical specifications beyond the general model must be inferred from the **official documentation provided by Ambient Weather**, as detailed documentation of any custom modifications is unavailable.

## 6- Specific Name of IoT Application

The application is **Real-Time Environmental Monitoring of an Oyster Farm during**

**Monsoon.** Its objective is to observe the impact of freshwater inflow events on water quality parameters critical for aquaculture health.[1]

## 7- Specific Date for Case-Study Implementation

The paper documenting the project was published in **2025**. The data presented was collected during the **2024 monsoon season (October–November)**, representing a short-term, specific-event monitoring period.[1]

## 8- Name of IoT Vertical Application

The system operates within the vertical of **Smart Agriculture**, specifically **Precision Aquaculture / Water-Quality Monitoring in Marine Environments**. The key focus is on rapid data collection to understand environmental stressors (like salinity changes) during transient weather events.[1].

## 9- Specific Functions Performed by IoT in the Case Study

The system's operation is divided into three functional layers: **Sensing Devices** (data acquisition), **Wi-Fi Router** (network bridge), and the **Cloud Services** (data processing and storage).[1, 3].

### 9.1 Functions Performed by the Sensing Devices

The COTS sensing devices (buoys) such as Ambient Weather WS-2902 are responsible for interacting directly with the physical environment, acquiring data, and preparing it for network transmission.[1].



Figure 3 WS-2902 Home Wi-Fi Weather Station

As shown in figure 3[3], We can see some of the **Sensing Devices functions**:

- Should provide Ref # from which this figure is obtained.

It is required to state the overall functions performed by the IoT System in the Case Study. The functions performed by the individual components/modules may be described under the heading: "Detailed Schematic Diagram".

Should identify which sensing device performs which function

Does each sensor has its own internal microcontroller?

Table 3 Sensing Devices functions

Function	Detail	Technical Implication
Sensing/Acquisition	Devices read water temperature and salinity via specialized probes.	Sensors are integrated into a self-recording unit with built-in Analog-to-Digital Conversion (ADC).
Local Data Processing	The <u>internal microcontroller</u> formats, calibrates, and time-stamps the sensor readings into a structured data packet.	This processing is handled internally by proprietary firmware.
Wireless Transmission	Utilizes the <u>integrated Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11)</u> module to connect to the local router and initiate data packet transmission.	Requires significant power draw, leading to limited battery life (1–2 months).
Cloud Ingestion	The <u>device</u> establishes a secure <u>HTTPS</u> connection to the proprietary Ambient Weather Cloud API to upload data.	Ensures data integrity and security during the transport layer.

Is the WiFi integrated with the sensing device or with the microcontroller?

Which device performs the HTTPS secure cconnection to the cloud?

## 9.2 Functions Performed by the Wi-Fi Router

The **Wi-Fi Router (network bridge)** is the central component in the star topology, handling all local network and routing functions, acting as the critical link between the local sensors and the global Internet[2]

We can see the **Functions Performed by the Wi-Fi Router**

Table 4 Wi-Fi Router Functions

Function	Detail	Technical Implication
Network Access Point (AP)	Provides the <b>IEEE 802.11</b> wireless access point that the sensor nodes use to join the local network.	Enables the high-speed, short-range connectivity required by the COTS devices.
Local Security (L2)	Implements <b>WPA2/WPA3</b> encryption to secure the wireless link between the sensors and the router.	Protects the raw sensor data packets from eavesdropping on the local network.
Network Address Translation (NAT)	Translates the private IP addresses of the sensor nodes into the single public IP address needed to communicate with the Cloud Services over the Internet.	Facilitates access to the wide area network (WAN) from the local network (LAN).
Routing/Backhaul	Fowards the sensor data packets (tunneled via HTTPS) from the local network to the public Internet, and ultimately to the Ambient Weather Cloud server.	Provides the necessary uplink capacity to the Internet Service Provider (ISP).

It is required to state the overall functions performed by the IoT System in the Case Study.

The functions performed by the individual components/modules may be described under the heading: "Detailed Schematic Diagram".

It is required to state the overall functions performed by the IoT System in the Case Study.  
The functions performed by the individual components/modules may be described under the heading: "Detailed Schematic Diagram".

### 9.3 Functions Performed by the Cloud Services

The **Cloud Services** layer (proprietary **Ambient Weather** Cloud Platform) performs all the application-level tasks, including secure data handling, long-term storage, and providing the user interface.[1, 3]

We can see the **Cloud Services Functions**:

Table 5 Cloud Services Functions

Function	Detail	Technical Implication
<b>Secure Ingestion Endpoint</b>	Provides a highly available, secure HTTPS server endpoint that receives the authenticated and encrypted data stream from the field devices.	Guarantees the security and authenticity of the data source before processing.
<b>Data Parsing and Processing</b>	Decodes the proprietary data payload received from the COTS devices, validates timestamps, and performs any necessary unit conversions or checks.	Prepares the raw data for storage and analysis.
<b>Data Storage (Database)</b>	Stores the validated time-series sensor data in a persistent, scalable cloud database solution.	Enables historical trend analysis and long-term research based on the collected environmental parameters.
<b>Data Visualization &amp; Access</b>	Provides the user interface (web dashboard) that allows researchers to view real-time data, download historical records, and manage the devices.	Fulfils the final goal of the system: providing actionable insights to the researcher.

## 10- More Details for the IoT Application

Requested heading is: Detailed Schematic Diagram

### 10.1 Location and Topology of IoT Nodes

A as shown in figure 4, the **IoT Nodes** are commercially available devices adapted for the water environment (buoys). They are deployed within the oyster farm area in the **Merbok River Estuary**. The system employs a **Star Topology** as the author told from table 1, where each node communicates directly with the central **Gateway**.

Figure 4 illustrates the location of the farm and deployed equipment:[1]

Contradiction: In Table 1 it is stated there is NO Gateway !!!

(a) the location of the Merbok Estuary in the Malay Peninsula (red frame)

(b) the location of the oyster farm at the mouth of the Merbok (star)

(c) an aerial photograph of the oyster farm (arrow)

(d) the location of the various equipment installed at the oyster farm: (C) weather camera, (D) depth sensor, (W) weather instrument, and (WS) suspension position of the water quality sensor. This geographical context establishes the physical boundary for the deployment.

Despite the fact that the corresponding author did not provide you with the information you requested, you can still draw a schematic Diagram of the IoT System based on your understanding.




Figure 4 Observation of the Freshwater Inflow Event Using IoT Devices at an Oyster Farm in the Merbok Estuary During Monsoon

### 10.1 Type/Model of IoT Nodes

Should specify Type/Model of sensors? (Such data is NOT a secret since it is COTS)

The end devices are based on the **Ambient Weather WS-2902 Series** (console/sensor array), adapted into waterproof buoys with water temperature and salinity sensors. Figure 5 provides an overview of the installed devices, which include (a) a weather meter, (b) a weather camera, and (c) a water temperature buoy, with (d) showing the home page screen displaying live data.[1]

- Does this sensor connect to the gateway via WiFi?

Does these sensors have necessary software required for sending data to the Cloud?

What is the function of the Weather Camera?



Figure 5 Observation of the Freshwater Inflow Event Using IoT Devices at an Oyster Farm in the Merbok Estuary During Monsoon

## 10.2 Location and Type/Model of Gateway

The Gateway is a standard **Home Wi-Fi Router**, likely located onshore or on a moored structure near the sensor cluster due to Wi-Fi's limited range. It acts as the backhaul bridge. **Each sensor communicates directly with the cloud via Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11). No specialized gateway logic or inter-node communication is involved; the standard home Wi-Fi router serves strictly as the internet backhaul. Communication with the cloud is handled via HTTPS-based APIs.[2]**

## 10.3 Internet Connectivity

This connectivity detail is a **logical and necessary conclusion** derived from the known facts about the system's architecture (Node => Router => Cloud), as it was not explicitly stated in the paper or correspondence. Since the local Wi-Fi network must eventually reach the public cloud, the router must be connected to the Wide Area Network (WAN) using a standard ISP technology (like Fiber, DSL, or 4G/LTE) and operate using the universal **TCP/IP protocols**.

How do sensors send their data to the Cloud?  
 There should be some SW module on each sensor node that responds to the request for sending data issued by another SW module hosted on a Server residing in the Cloud. Need to provide details for such modules and the deployed protocols (HTTP, MQTT, ... , etc)

The connection from the Gateway to the wider area network is therefore via a standard **ISP link** (e.g., Fiber, DSL, or 4G modem) operating over **TCP/IP**.[1, 2]

#### 10.4 Cloud Connectivity

Data is transmitted over the Internet to the proprietary **Ambient Weather Cloud Platform** (Ambient Weather Network).[3]

[See remark on P. 11]

#### 10.5 Location of IoT Application Software

The primary IoT application software (data processing, storage, visualization) resides on the proprietary **Ambient Weather Cloud Platform**.[3]

#### 10.6 Man-Machine Interface (MMI)

Researchers access the data and dashboards remotely via a standard **web browser interface** provided by the cloud platform, as shown in Figure 5(d) home page screen displaying live data.[1]

### 11- Details of Wireless Communication Protocol/Standard used for Communication between IoT Nodes

The protocol is **Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11)**.[2]

Table 6 IEEE 802.11 WIFI Characteristic

Protocol Characteristic	Detail
Standard	<b>IEEE 802.11</b> (likely a mix of b/g/n standards for COTS devices).
Frequency Band	Typically <b>2.4 GHz ISM band</b> .
Data Rate	High (tens of Mbps), providing high-speed but continuous data upload.
Topology	Star topology, requiring direct line-of-sight or coverage from the central router.

### 12- Details of Wireless Communication Protocol/Standard used for Interconnecting IoT Nodes to the Internet

The interconnection is handled by standard networking protocols:[1 ,2]

- 1- **Local Link: Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11)** for the local wireless connection.
- 2- **Transport/Application: HTTPS (Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure)** over **TCP/IP** is used to tunnel the data securely from the local Wi-Fi router, across the public Internet, to the specific cloud ingestion API.

### 13- Routing Protocol Deployed

There are **no specialized routing protocols** deployed within the IoT segment (Node to Router)[1, 2]

- **Node-to-Router:** Standard **Layer 2 (MAC)** addressing and Layer 3 (IP) resolution within the local Wi-Fi segment.

The IoT Architecture is a diagram that shows a layered architecture with names for each layer. You need to search for one of the “standard” IoT Architectures proposed in the literature, and choose the one that matches with the IoT Case Study you have selected. You then show on the diagram of the IoT Architecture which modules of your Case Study fit with which layer in the IoT Architecture.

- **Router-to-Cloud:** Standard **IP Routing** is used across the wide area network (Internet).

## 14- IoT Architecture

The architecture is a simplified, vendor-locked, **three-layer model**: [1, 3]

- 1- **Sensing Layer:** The COTS buoys and weather stations provide data acquisition and local processing.
- 2- **Network Layer:** The local **Wi-Fi Router** acts as the singular network bridge and backhaul medium.
- 3- **Application Layer:** The proprietary **Ambient Weather Cloud Platform** handles data storage, security, and visualization.

## 15- Power Requirements for the Transmitters & Receivers of the IoT Nodes

From figure 2, The system exhibits **high power requirements** characteristic of Wi-Fi technology.

Identify the components of the Case Study that needs the Lithium batteries?

- **Power Source:** Lithium batteries (likely AA or similar form factor).
- **Operating Current:** The transceiver draws substantial current (typically  $\approx 100$  mA to 300 mA) during transmission bursts.
- **Endurance:** The battery life is critically short, confirmed by the authors as requiring replacement every **1–2 months** due to the continuous high-power consumption associated with maintaining a persistent Wi-Fi connection and high-frequency data uploads.

## 16- Maximum Distance Coverage

The distance coverage is severely limited by the COTS Wi-Fi protocol:[1, 2]

- **Coverage:** Typically 10m to 100m in an outdoor, semi-obstructed environment like an estuary farm.
- **Limitation:** The deployment is highly restricted by the range of the central Wi-Fi Router, forcing a centralized sensor cluster close to the anchor point of the router.

## 17- Security Features/Capabilities Built

Security is reliant on established industry standards for commercial products:[1, 2]

1. **Local Network Security:** **WPA2 or WPA3** encryption is used to secure the wireless link between the sensor devices and the local Wi-Fi router.
2. **Transport Security:** **TLS/SSL (HTTPS)** encryption is used for end-to-end security when transmitting data over the Internet to the proprietary cloud server.

## 18- References:

- [1] Yurimoto, T., Kassim, F.M., & Rahim, M.A. (2025). Observation of the Freshwater Inflow Event Using IoT Devices at an Oyster Farm in the Merbok Estuary During Monsoon. *Thalassas: An International Journal of Marine Sciences* 41(143). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41208-025-00901-8>
- [2] IEEE Standards Association (2020). *IEEE 802.11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications*
- [3] Ambient Weather (n.d.). WS-2902 Smart Weather Station. Retrieved from <https://ambientweather.com/ws-2902-smart-weather-station>

Should provide references on:  
1 - Weather Camera  
2 - Water temperature Buoy  
3 - SW used for storing and analyzing collected data