

# Principal Component Analysis(PCA)

## Settings

Let  $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^p$  be a sample of  $n$  observations of  $p$  dimensional vectors. The first principal component of this sample is a real variable transformed from  $X$

$$\mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{a}_1^T$$

where the vector  $\mathbf{a}_1 = (a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{p1}) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is chosen so that  $\|\mathbf{a}_1\|_2 = 1$  and  $var[\mathbf{z}_1]$  is maximized.

The  $k^{\text{th}}$  principal component of the sample is

$$\mathbf{z}_k = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{a}_k^T, \quad k = 2, \dots, p$$

where the vector  $\mathbf{a}_k = (a_{1k}, a_{2k}, \dots, a_{pk}) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is chosen so that  $\|\mathbf{a}_k\|_2 = 1$ ,  $var[\mathbf{z}_k]$  is maximized and  $cov[\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_l] = 0$  for  $k > l \geq 1$ .

Geometrically, at first step, PCA finds a unit vector  $\mathbf{a}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^p$  that the projections of sample points on it has maximum variance.  $\mathbf{z}_1$  is actually a variable of projection length of sample points on  $\mathbf{a}_1$ .

Secondly, PCA finds the second component  $\mathbf{z}_2$  in the same logic but with further restriction that  $\mathbf{z}_2$  has no relation with  $\mathbf{z}_1$ , i.e.  $cov[\mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_1] = 0$ .

## Computations

To find  $\mathbf{a}_1$ , first note that

$$\begin{aligned} var[\mathbf{z}_1] &= E[\mathbf{z}_1^2] - E[\mathbf{z}_1]^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^p a_{i1}a_{j1} E[X_i X_j] - \sum_{i,j=1}^p a_{i1}a_{j1} E[X_i]E[X_j] \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^p a_{i1}a_{j1} cov[X_i, X_j] \\ &= (a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{p1}) \begin{pmatrix} cov[X_1, X_1] & cov[X_1, X_2] & \dots & cov[X_1, X_p] \\ cov[X_2, X_1] & cov[X_2, X_2] & \dots & cov[X_2, X_p] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ cov[X_p, X_1] & cov[X_p, X_2] & \dots & cov[X_p, X_p] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ \vdots \\ a_{p1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{a}_1 \Sigma \mathbf{a}_1^T \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the covariance matrix of  $X$ . The problem becomes

$$\max(\mathbf{a}_1 \Sigma \mathbf{a}_1^T) \quad s.t. \quad \|\mathbf{a}_1\|_2 = 1$$

Let  $\lambda$  be Lagrange multiplier and let  $g(\mathbf{a}_1) = \mathbf{a}_1 \Sigma \mathbf{a}_1^T - \lambda(\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_1^T - 1)$ . Then we need

$$\nabla g(\mathbf{a}_1) = \mathbf{a}_1 \Sigma^T - \lambda \mathbf{a}_1 = (\Sigma \mathbf{a}_1^T - \lambda \mathbf{a}_1^T)^T = 0$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{a}_1^T$  is the eigenvector of  $\Sigma$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda \equiv \lambda_1$ . Furthermore, we have maximized  $var[\mathbf{z}_1] = \mathbf{a}_1 \Sigma \mathbf{a}_1^T = \lambda_1$ , this means  $\lambda_1$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $\Sigma$ .

For the second component, note that  $cov[\mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_1] = \mathbf{a}_2 \Sigma \mathbf{a}_1^T = \mathbf{a}_2 \lambda_1 \mathbf{a}_1^T = 0$ . For another multipliers  $\phi$  and  $\lambda$  and another  $g(\mathbf{a}_2) = \mathbf{a}_2 \Sigma \mathbf{a}_2^T - \lambda(\mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{a}_2^T - 1) - \phi \lambda_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{a}_1^T$ , we need

$$\nabla g(\mathbf{a}_2) = (\Sigma \mathbf{a}_2^T - \lambda \mathbf{a}_2^T - \phi \lambda_1 \mathbf{a}_1^T)^T = 0$$

Multiply  $\mathbf{a}_1$  both side, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a}_1 \Sigma \mathbf{a}_2^T - \mathbf{a}_1 \lambda \mathbf{a}_2^T - \phi \lambda_1 \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_1^T \\ &= (\mathbf{a}_2 \Sigma \mathbf{a}_1)^T - \lambda (\mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{a}_1)^T - \phi \lambda_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\phi$  must be zero. Hence

$$\Sigma \mathbf{a}_2^T = \lambda \mathbf{a}_2^T$$

$\mathbf{a}_2^T$  is also an eigenvector and has  $\lambda \equiv \lambda_2$  as its eigenvalue. And, again,  $var[\mathbf{z}_2] = \mathbf{a}_2 \Sigma \mathbf{a}_2^T = \lambda_2$  is the second largest eigenvalue.

In general,

$$var[\mathbf{z}_k] = \mathbf{a}_k \Sigma \mathbf{a}_k^T = \lambda_k$$

The  $k^{\text{th}}$  largest eigenvalue of  $\Sigma$  is the variance of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  PC.