


Hadronic Tau Reco. & ID in CMS

arXiv:1809.02816








Youngwan Kim (23rd Dec. 2022)

$$\tau^\pm \rightarrow \rho^\pm \nu_\tau \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \nu_\tau$$


Why Taus..?

Rich Physics Programs

- Precision Measurement
 - Higgs Yukawa coupling proportional to mass
 - Taus are **massive** fermions $\sim O(\text{GeV})$: higher BR
 - Symmetry : $H(\tau\tau)$ CP measurement ...
 - EWK precision
- Lepton Non-Universality
 - B physics : LHCb R_D/R_{D^*} measurement ...
- BSM Searches
 - Lots of scenarios involving τ final states
 - LQ, Z'/W' and so on...
- + Technical viewpoints : fake taus as QCD bkg ...



Tau Lepton Decay Properties



- Massive!
 - Massive enough to decay into hadrons ($m_\tau \sim 1.7$ GeV)
 - Lifetime $\sim 2.9 \times 10^{-13}$ s
 - Travel around $\sim O(\text{mm})$ @ pT $O(10\text{GeV})$
 - large IP with secondary V
 - Decays...
 - Leptonically : $\tau^\pm \rightarrow l^\pm \nu \bar{\nu}$ (35.2%)
 - Hadronically : $\tau_h \rightarrow h^\pm \dots h^\pm \pi^0 \dots \pi^0 \nu$ (64.8%)
- “prongs”

	Decay mode	Resonance	\mathcal{B} (%)
	Leptonic decays		35.2
	$\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau$		17.8
	$\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$		17.4
	Hadronic decays		64.8
1-prong ~ 47%	$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \nu_\tau$		11.5
	$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	$\rho(770)$	25.9
	$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	$a_1(1260)$	9.5
3 prong ~ 15%	$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^+ h^- \nu_\tau$	$a_1(1260)$	9.8
	$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^+ h^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		4.8
	Other		3.3


Hadronic Tau Reconstruction & ID

Eventually jets...



- τ_h decay modes : likely to be reco as jets
- How to tell apart τ_h from any other jets?
- Even so, how do we know which decay mode it went through?

Solutions?




- Certain substructures will be seen from τ_h
- And such substructures will have different subdetector responses!
- Just a little bit more complicated reco..






Hadronic Tau Reconstruction & ID



Hadronic Tau Reconstruction & ID



Hadronic Tau Reconstruction & ID





Particle Flow Algorithm

Brief Overview




- Algorithm to reconstruct stable particles
 - Clusters and links signals from all subdetectors
 - e , μ , γ , h^0 and h^\pm : “**PFlow objects**”
 - Used as ingredients for jet reco, MET, etc
- τ_h reconstruction?
 - PFlow algorithm will act as a perfect backbone!
 - separates overlapping h^\pm s and γ s
 - no significant neutral hadron activity energy
 - resolution driven by high-precision tracker and ECAL
 - decouples algorithms from detector peculiarities



Particle Flow Algorithm


Brief Overview



- Algorithm to reconstruct stable particles

Code	Name	Status
PFT-08-001 » MC ▾ show	Tau Reconstruction using the Particle Flow Technique	PAS-only-PUB
PFT-09-001 » MC ▾ show	Particle Flow Event Reconstruction in CMS and Performance for Jet ...	PAS-only-PUB
PFT-09-004 » MC ▾ show	TauJet Identification in the gg->tbH+, H+->tau neutrino Chan ...	Thesis-Approved
PFT-09-005 » MC ▾ show	Hadron Cluster Calibration for Particle-Flow Event Reconstruction	SUPERSEDED
PFT-10-001 » I ▾ show	Particle flow reconstruction of 0.9 TeV and 2.36 TeV collision ev ...	PAS-PUB
PFT-10-002 » I ▾ show	Commissioning of the Particle-Flow reconstruction in Minimum-Bias ...	PAS-PUB
PFT-10-003 » I ▾ show	Particle-flow commissioning with muons and electrons from J/Psi, ...	PAS-PUB
PFT-10-004 » I ▾ show	Tau Commissioning with 7-TeV data	PAS-PUB
PFT-10-005 » I ▾ show	Tau identification in CMS	SUPERSEDED
PFT-11-001 » I ▾ show	Tau identification in CMS	Free

Tau group was actually the first to study and utilize PFlow, before it was “cool”




HPS Algorithm

Tau Reconstruction




- Hadron-Plus-Strip Algorithm
 - Goal : Reconstruction of τ_h and QCD rejection
 - Based on PFlow algorithm : **PFJet** as a seed (anti- k_T , $\Delta R < 0.4$, $p_T > 14 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$)
 - All PF objects in the distance of $\Delta R < 0.5$ are tested for τ_h decay products...
 - **Hadrons** : charged particles depositing energy both in ECAL & HCAL.
 - **Strips** : cluster of e, γ s producing ECAL signatures compatible with π^0 decays.




HPS Algorithm

Tau Reconstruction




- Hadron-Plus-Strip Algorithm
 - Goal : Reconstruction of τ_h and QCD rejection
 - Based on PFlow algorithm : **PFJet** as a seed (anti- k_T , $\Delta R < 0.4$, $p_T > 14 \text{ GeV}$ and $|h| < 2.5$)
 - All PF objects in the distance of $\Delta R < 0.5$ are tested for τ_h decay products...
 - **Hadrons** : charged particles depositing energy both in ECAL & HCAL.
 - **Strips** : cluster of e, γ s producing ECAL signatures compatible with π^0 decays.



- $p_T > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$
 - to ensure corresponding track has sufficient quality
 - pass minimal requirement number of layers with hits in tracker
- $d_{xy} < 0.1 \text{ cm from PV}$
 - not too tight to not reject genuine τ_h


HPS Algorithm

Tau Reconstruction



- Hadron-Plus-Strip Algorithm
 - Goal : Reconstruction of τ_h and QCD rejection
 - Based on PFlow algorithm : **PFJet** as a seed
(anti- k_T , $\Delta R < 0.4$, $p_T > 14 \text{ GeV}$ and $|h| < 2.5$)
 - All PF objects in the distance of $\Delta R < 0.5$ are tested for τ_h decay products...
 - **Hadrons** : charged particles depositing energy both in ECAL & HCAL.
 - **Strips** : cluster of e, γ s producing ECAL signatures compatible with π^0 decays.

- 1) Prompt decay of $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
- 2) Conversion of γ into e^-e^+ pair in tracker medium.
- 3) Spatial separation in (ϕ, η) plane due to B field.
(especially in the ϕ direction ; “strip”)




Legacy : fixed $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi$ window size was used (0.05×0.20)
→ **Dynamic strip reconstruction** : flexible size by p_T of e/γ

HPS Algorithm

Dynamic Strip Reconstruction



- Why?
 - Low p_T e/ γ can appear outside fixed strip window
 - From low p_T secondary particles from h^\pm decay (mostly from tracker material interaction)
 - Affect isolation of a τ_h candidate
 - γ from π^0 decays : likely to convert into e^+e^- pairs
 - after multiple scattering and bremsstrahlung, some can end up outside a fixed size window, also affecting the isolation.



CMS Note 2015/083 (2015)

Reason	1prong	1prong + π^0	3prong	Inclusive
Tails of hadronic shower	14.1%	1.9%	15.4%	7.4%
Nuclear interaction	85.9%	13.3%	84.6%	23.6%
conversion only	0.0%	50.5%	0.0%	29.5%
nuclear interaction and conversion	-	34.2%	-	39.5%

HPS Algorithm

Dynamic Strip Reconstruction




- How?

1. Strip seed : e/ γ with the highest p_T
(initial position set to the (η, ϕ) of the new seed)
2. Second highest p_T e/ γ within


$$\Delta\eta = f(p_T^{e/\gamma}) + f(p_T^{\text{strip}})$$

$$\Delta\phi = g(p_T^{e/\gamma}) + g(p_T^{\text{strip}})$$

respect to the prior strip position is merged into the new strip.




→ f,g are derived from single τ MC events
(with uniform p_T of 20-400 GeV & $|\eta| < 2.3$)



HPS Algorithm


Dynamic Strip Reconstruction



- How?
1. Strip seed : e/ γ with the highest p_T (initial position set to the (η, ϕ) of the new seed)
 2. Second highest p_T e/ γ within
$$\Delta\eta = f(p_T^{e/\gamma}) + f(p_T^{\text{strip}})$$
$$\Delta\phi = g(p_T^{e/\gamma}) + g(p_T^{\text{strip}})$$
respect to the prior strip position is merged into the new strip.
 3. Strip position updated by p_T -weighted average of all e/ γ constituents :
$$\eta_{\text{strip}} = \frac{1}{p_T^{\text{strip}}} \sum p_T^{e/\gamma} \eta_{e/\gamma},$$
$$\phi_{\text{strip}} = \frac{1}{p_T^{\text{strip}}} \sum p_T^{e/\gamma} \phi_{e/\gamma}.$$
 4. Strip is finalized when there're no more e/ γ candidate within the $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi$ window.
 5. The clustering continues by selecting a new strip, seeded by the e/ γ candidate of highest p_T that is not as yet associated with any strip.

HPS Algorithm

Decay Mode Finding



- Mass constraints

- After collecting hadrons and strips, mass constraint is given to determine the decay mode the τ_h went through.
- m_τ range is used as a constraint for different decay mode hypothesis.

$$\Delta m_{\tau_h} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial m_{\tau_h}}{\partial \eta_{\text{strip}}} f(p_T^{\text{strip}}) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial m_{\tau_h}}{\partial \phi_{\text{strip}}} g(p_T^{\text{strip}}) \right)^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial m_{\tau_h}}{\partial \eta_{\text{strip}}} = \frac{p_z^{\text{strip}} E_{\tau_h} - E_{\text{strip}} p_z^{\tau_h}}{m_{\tau_h}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{\partial m_{\tau_h}}{\partial \phi_{\text{strip}}} = \frac{- (p_y^{\tau_h} - p_y^{\text{strip}}) p_x^{\text{strip}} + (p_x^{\tau_h} - p_x^{\text{strip}}) p_y^{\text{strip}}}{m_{\tau_h}},$$

Decay mode	Resonance	\mathcal{B} (%)
Leptonic decays		35.2
$\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau$		17.8
$\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$		17.4
Hadronic decays		64.8
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \nu_\tau$		11.5
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	$\rho(770)$	25.9
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	$a_1(1260)$	9.5
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^+ h^- \nu_\tau$	$a_1(1260)$	9.8
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^+ h^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		4.8
Other		3.3

HPS Algorithm

Decay Mode Finding




- Mass constraints

- one prong ¹ (h^\pm): $0 < m_{\tau_h} < 1$ GeV. Only one charged hadron candidate is identified, not accompanied by good strip candidates. The rarer decays to kaons are also possible to be reconstructed in this DM. m_{τ_h} is set to be equal to the π^\pm mass.
- one prong plus one strip ($h^\pm\pi^0$): $0.3 \text{ GeV} - \Delta m_{\tau_h} < m_{\tau_h} < 1.3 \text{ GeV} \times \sqrt{p_T}/100 \text{ GeV} + \Delta m_{\tau_h}$. A charged hadron and a strip are reconstructed. The upper limit on the mass window is constrained to lie between 1.3 and 4.0 GeV.
- one prong plus two strips ($h^\pm\pi^0\pi^0$): $0.4 \text{ GeV} - \Delta m_{\tau_h} < m_{\tau_h} < 1.2 \text{ GeV} \times \sqrt{p_T}/100 \text{ GeV} + \Delta m_{\tau_h}$. One hadron with two strips are reconstructed, with the upper limit on the mass window restricted to lie between 1.2 and 4.0 GeV.
- two prongs ($h^\pm h^\pm$): $0 < m_{\tau_h} < 1.2$ GeV. Two charged hadrons are identified in this DM, with either equal or opposite charge.
- two prongs plus one strip ($h^\pm h^\pm\pi^0$): $0 < m_{\tau_h} < 1.2 \text{ GeV} \times \sqrt{p_T}/100 \text{ GeV} + \Delta m_{\tau_h}$. Two charged hadrons with one associated strip are identified in this DM, again with either equal or opposite charge.
- three prongs ($h^\pm h^\pm h^\pm$): $0.8 < m_{\tau_h} < 1.4$ GeV. This DM is associated to three charged hadrons with no additional strip.
- three prongs plus one strip ($h^\pm h^\pm h^\pm\pi^0$): $0.9 \text{ GeV} - \Delta m_{\tau_h} < m_{\tau_h} < 1.6 \text{ GeV} \times \sqrt{p_T}/100 \text{ GeV} + \Delta m_{\tau_h}$. Three charged hadrons with one strip are identified in this DM.

Decay mode	Resonance	\mathcal{B} (%)
Leptonic decays		35.2
$\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau$		17.8
$\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$		17.4
Hadronic decays		64.8
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \nu_\tau$		11.5
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	$\rho(770)$	25.9
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	$a_1(1260)$	9.5
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^+ h^- \nu_\tau$	$a_1(1260)$	9.8
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^+ h^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		4.8
Other		3.3

HPS Algorithm

Decay Mode Finding



$$DM = 5 \times (n_{prongs} - 1) + n_{strips}$$

- Mass constraints

- one prong (h^\pm) : $0 < m_{\tau_h} < 1$ GeV. Only one charged hadron candidate is identified, not accompanied by good strip candidates. The rarer decays to **DM0** are also possible to be reconstructed in this DM. m_{τ_h} is set to be equal to the π^\pm mass.
- one prong plus one strip ($h^\pm\pi^0$): $0.3 \text{ GeV} - \Delta m_{\tau_h} < m_{\tau_h} < 1.3 \text{ GeV} \times \sqrt{p_T/100 \text{ GeV}} + \Delta m_{\tau_h}$. A charged hadron and a strip are reconstructed. The upper limit on the mass window is constrained to lie between 1.3 and 4.0 GeV. This is **DM1**
- one prong plus two strips ($h^\pm\pi^0\pi^0$): $0.4 \text{ GeV} - \Delta m_{\tau_h} < m_{\tau_h} < 1.2 \text{ GeV} \times \sqrt{p_T/100 \text{ GeV}} + \Delta m_{\tau_h}$. One hadron with two strips are reconstructed, with the upper limit on the mass window restricted to lie between 1.2 and 4.0 GeV. This is **DM2**
- two prongs ($h^\pm h^\pm$): $0 < m_{\tau_h} < 1.2$ GeV. Two charged hadrons are identified in this DM, with either equal or opposite charge. This is **DM5,6**
- two prongs plus one strip ($h^\pm h^\pm\pi^0$): $0 < m_{\tau_h} < 1.2 \text{ GeV} \times \sqrt{p_T/100 \text{ GeV}} + \Delta m_{\tau_h}$. Two charged hadrons with one associated strip are identified in this DM, again with either equal or opposite charge.
- three prongs ($h^\pm h^\pm h^\pm$): $0.8 < m_{\tau_h} < 1.4$ GeV. This DM is assigned to three charged hadrons with no additional strip. This is **DM11**
- three prongs plus one strip ($h^\pm h^\pm h^\pm\pi^0$): $0.9 \text{ GeV} - \Delta m_{\tau_h} < m_{\tau_h} < 1.2 \text{ GeV} \times \sqrt{p_T/100 \text{ GeV}} + \Delta m_{\tau_h}$. Three charged hadrons with one strip are identified in this DM. This is **DM12**

Decay mode	Resonance	\mathcal{B} (%)
Leptonic decays		35.2
$\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau$		17.8
$\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$		17.4
Hadronic decays		64.8
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \nu_\tau$		11.5
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	$\rho(770)$	25.9
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	$a_1(1260)$	9.5
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^+ h^- \nu_\tau$	$a_1(1260)$	9.8
$\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^+ h^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		4.8
Other		3.3

“Relaxed 3 prong” DM


- 3 prong DMs with one of them failing hadron reconstruction
- useful for highly boosted hadronic taus

HPS Algorithm


Other Constraints



- Charge constraint
 - τ_h candidate charge should be ± 1
(besides relaxed 3-prong DMs)
- Signal cone constraint
 - τ_h candidate constituents should be inside the signal cone
(p_T -weighted center of strip should be inside)
 - signal cone : constructed around τ_h candidate axis with R_{sig}
 - + only 1 τ_h candidate per 1 PFJet seed.
(by choosing highest p_T τ_h candidate)



Fake Discrimination Against Jets



- Isolation sum discriminants


 - Applying certain isolation requirements provides a strong handle for reducing jet $\rightarrow\tau_h$ misid. probability

 - Isolation cone : usually set as $\Delta R = 0.5$
($\Delta R = 0.3$ used for processes with high number of final-state objects, i.e ttH with $H\rightarrow\tau\tau$)

$$I_{\tau_h} = \left[\sum p_T^{\text{charged}}(d_z < 0.2 \text{ cm}) \right] + \max \left(0, \sum p_T^\gamma - \Delta\beta \left[\sum p_T^{\text{charged}}(d_z > 0.2 \text{ cm}) \right] \right)$$

PU contribution suppression
PU contribution to γp_T sum

- Empirical value of 0.46 was used (RunI)
 \rightarrow overestimated PU effect in 2015, 2016 data
- Now a value of 0.2 is chosen



Fake Discrimination

Against Jets



- Isolation sum discriminants

Working points on I_τ


- loose (< 2.5 GeV)
- medium (< 1.5 GeV)
- tight (< 0.8 GeV)

$$p_T^{\text{strip, outer}} = \sum p_T^e/\gamma (\Delta R > R_{\text{sig}})$$






Requiring $p_T^{\text{strip, outer}}/p_T^\tau < \sim 10\%$
 → Reduction of jet as τ_h misid.
 probability decreases $\sim 20\%$

$$I_{\tau_h} = \sum p_T^{\text{charged}}(d_z < 0.2 \text{ cm}) + \max \left(0, \sum p_T^\gamma - \Delta\beta \sum p_T^{\text{charged}}(d_z > 0.2 \text{ cm}) \right)$$



Dynamic strip reconstruction
 - some strip constituents can be outside the signal cone
 - jet $\rightarrow \tau_h$ misid. prob. effectively increases as I_τ decreases for misidentified τ_h candidates


Fake Discrimination Against Jets




Fake Discrimination Against Jets





- MVA-based discriminants
 - A classifier based on BDT is used to achieve a reduction in the jet $\rightarrow\tau_h$ misid. probability.
 - BDT trained using simulated samples: Z/H/Z'/W' to tau(s) for signal and W+jets/QCD/ttbar for background
 - Additional input variables :
 - Leading track SIP, SIP3D
 - τ flight distance for 3 prong DMs
 - Leading track χ^2
 - Fraction of EM energy in the signal cone
 - pT-weighted ΔR of γs within the signal cone
 - pT-weighted $\Delta\eta$ of γs in strips out of the signal cone
 - Total number of γs
 - and so on






Fake Discrimination Against Jets



Different training for
2015 and 2016
conditions → WPs
lies on same
performance curve,
showing stability of
the BDT




Fake Discrimination Against Jets



Fake Discrimination Against Leptons







- Leptons as τ_h fakes
 - Electrons : highly faked as DM1 or also DM0 τ_h candidates
(ECAL conversion induces fake strip reconstructions + fake charged hadron reco.)
 - Muons : most likely to be faked as DM0 τ_h candidates



Fake Discrimination Against Electrons

- MVA-based discriminants
BDT classifier used to reduce the electron $\rightarrow\tau_h$ fake
- New variables with respect to previous version:
 - number of photons of the strips
 - separation between strip photons and τ_h direction
 - fraction of τ_h energy carried by photons




Fake Discrimination Against Electrons



- MVA-based discriminants
BDT classifier used to reduce the
 $\text{electron} \rightarrow \text{Th}$ fake


Implemented in CMSSW...

Tau_idAntiEle	UChar_t	Anti-electron MVA discriminator V6 (2015): 1 = VLoose, 2 = Loose, 3 = Medium, 4 = Tight, 5 = VTight
Tau_idAntiEle2018	UChar_t	Anti-electron MVA discriminator V6 (2018): 1 = VLoose, 2 = Loose, 3 = Medium, 4 = Tight, 5 = VTight
Tau_idAntiEleDeadECal	Bool_t	Anti-electron dead-ECal discriminator
Tau_idAntiMu	UChar_t	Anti-muon discriminator V3: 1 = Loose, 2 = Tight
- number of photons in the strips		
- separation between strip photons		
and Th direction		
- fraction of Th energy carried by photons		



CMSSW_12_4_X/mc102X

Fake Discrimination Against Muons



- Discriminant against muons
 - Muons : likely to be faked as DM0 candidate
 - Veto candidates with muon signals near candidate direction (RunI legacy)
 - 2 WPs devised : against(anti)-mu loose, tight (efficiency ~99%, misid $3.5(1.4) \times 10^{-3}$ for loose(tight) WP)

Tau_idAntiEle	UChar_t	Anti-electron MVA discriminator V6 (2015): 1 = VLoose, 2 = Loose, 3 = Medium, 4 = Tight, 5 = VTight
Tau_idAntiEle2018	UChar_t	Anti-electron MVA discriminator V6 (2018): 1 = VLoose, 2 = Loose, 3 = Medium, 4 = Tight, 5 = VTight
Tau_idAntiEleDeadECal	Bool_t	Anti-electron dead-ECal discriminator
Tau_idAntiMu	UChar_t	Anti-muon discriminator V3: 1 → Loose , 2 → Tight


- At most 1 track segments in muon detector planes around τ_h direction within $\Delta R = 0.3$
- Energy deposited in calorimeters associated with the leading charged hadron track of the candidate is < 20% of its track momentum

- Pass loose WP + no hit in CSC,DT or RPC in the two outermost muon stations around τ_h direction within $\Delta R = 0.3$


CMSSW_12_4_X/mc102X

Efficiency Measurement

$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ Sample



- Tag and Probe Method
 - $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ sample with $p_T \in [20, 60]$ GeV
 - $\mu\tau_h$ events from $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ used to evaluate data-to-simulation corrections
 - Iso. discriminant used to define pass/fail regions
 - The data-to-simulation SF for the τ_h ID eff. is extracted from a maximum likelihood fit of the invariant mass distribution of the reconstructed (visible) $\mu\tau_h$ system, referred to as m_{vis} .



Working point	Scale factor
Very loose	0.99 ± 0.05
Loose	0.98 ± 0.05
Medium	0.97 ± 0.05
Tight	0.95 ± 0.05
Very tight	0.92 ± 0.05
Very-very tight	0.93 ± 0.05

**Thank You
Merry Christmas!**