AREC422 Notes

Youpei Yan

April 25, 2019

Data Issues

1. Proxy variable

Suppose that we miss a key variable due to data un-availability. To avoid OVB, we need to obtain a proxy variable for this omitted variable.

e.g., we use IQ score to proxy intelligence, use distance to a garbage incinerator to proxy the desire of good environment, use income for risk-averse level, etc.

Mathematically: $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 \beta_3 x_3^* + u$, where x_3^* is unobserved (but omitting it will cause OVB). We use x_3 as a proxy for x_3^* . $x_3^* = \delta_0 + \delta_3 x_3 + v_3$.

We run the regression $y \sim x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ in reality to get the unbiased estimators of β_1 and β_2 .

Feature: 1. The proxy should have a positive relationship with the omitted variable. 2. The proxy should not introduce additional correlation with the error.

$$corr(x_1,u) = corr(x_2,u) = corr(x_3^*,u) = corr(x_3,u) = corr(x_1,v_3) = corr(x_2,v_3) = corr(x_3,v_3) = 0$$

$$E(x_3^*|x_1,x_2,x_3) = E(x_3^*|x_3) = \delta_0 + \delta_3 x_3.$$

With all these assumptions satisfied,

$$y = (\beta_0 + \beta_3 \delta_0) + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 \delta_3 x_3 + (u + \beta_3 v_3) = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \alpha_3 x_3 + e$$

We run this regression and get the same old $\hat{\beta}_1$, $\hat{\beta}_2$ as desired, α_3 can reflect the impact of x_3^* .

2. Measurement Error

A. Measurement error in y

We observe y rather than y^* in our dataset, but GMAs are satisfied.

Let $e_0 = y - y^*$, then $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + ... + \beta_k x_k + u + e_0$, usually, we simply assume that $corr(e_0, u) = 0$, so we just have a larger error term and larger error variance. $Var(u+e_0)=\sigma_u^2+\sigma_0^2>sigma_u^2$.

$$Var(u + e_0) = \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 > sigma^2$$
.

We have larger variances of the OLS estimators, unprecised estimators but still unbiased.

B. Measurement error in the independent var. (could be troublesome)

Observe
$$x_1$$
 rather than x_1^* , $e_1 = x_1 - x_1^*$. $E(e_1 = 0)$, but $corr(u, x_1^*) = corr(u, x_1) = 0$.

Case 1: uncommon assumption: $Cov(x_1, e_1) = 0$. Note that e_1 is a function of x_1, x_1^* , so $corr(x_1^*, e_1) \neq 0$.

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + (u - \beta_1 e_1), corr(x_1, (u - \beta_1 e_1)) = 0$$
, so $\hat{\beta_1}$ is consistent. $Var(u - \beta_1 e_1) = \sigma_u^2 + \beta_1^2 \sigma_e^2$

We have larger variances of the OLS estimators, unprecised estimators but still unbiased.

Unfortunately, this is not a common assumption.

Case 2: classic assumption in econometrics: 2 unobserved variables are not correlated. $Corr(x_1^*, e_1) = 0$

In this case, $Cov(x_1, e_1) \neq 0$. $Cov(x_1, e_1) = E(x_1e_1) = E(x_1^*e_1) + E(e_1^2) = \sigma_e^2$ $Cov(x_1, (u - \beta_1e_1)) = -Cov(x_1, e_1)\beta_1 = -\beta_1\sigma_e^2 \neq 0$ $\hat{\beta}_1$ is inconsistent.

$$plim\hat{\beta}_{1} = \beta_{1} + \frac{Cov(x_{1}, (u - \beta_{1}e_{1}))}{Var(x_{1})} = \beta_{1} + \frac{-\beta_{1}\sigma_{e}^{2}}{Var(x_{1}^{*}) + Var(e)} = \beta_{1} - \frac{\beta_{1}\sigma_{e}^{2}}{\sigma_{x^{*}}^{2} + \sigma_{e}^{2}}$$
$$\therefore plim\hat{\beta}_{1} = \beta_{1}\left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{e}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2} + \sigma_{x^{*}}^{2}}\right) = \beta_{1}\frac{\sigma_{x^{*}}^{2}}{\sigma_{x^{*}}^{2} + \sigma_{e}^{2}} < \beta_{1}$$

This is called the Attenuation Bias: the real impact is attenuated or under-estimated.

3. Missing Data

Missing at random: fine; nonrandom samples: violating GMA2. Are OLS estimators biased or inconsistent?

Case 1: Exogenous sample selection:

Sample selection is based on the independent variables.

If it is just missing indep. variables, we just have a smaller sample. OLS estimators are unbiased.

Case 2: Endogenous sample selection:

Sample selection is based on the dependent variable.

We'll get biased estimators.

e.g. profit \sim production + pollution + other factors.

Firms with high pollution levels are observed in the data: unbiased estimator.

Firms with higher profit levels are observed in the data: biased estimator.