

# Coq Tactics

## Manipulating the Context

Tactic	Arguments	Effect
<a href="#">intros</a>	$n_1 \dots n_n$	Introduce terms into the context, giving them names $n_1$ through $n_n$
<a href="#">revert</a>	$n_1 \dots n_n$	The opposite of intros, removes terms from context and quantifies over them in the goal.
<a href="#">clear</a>	$n_1 \dots n_n$	Deletes the terms $n_1$ through $n_n$ from the context.
<a href="#">unfold</a>	$name$	Replaces the function $name$ with its underlying definition.

## Making Progress

<a href="#">simpl</a>		Simplifies the goal
<a href="#">destruct</a>	$x$	Considers all possible constructors of the term $x$ , generating subgoals that need to be solved separately.
	$x$ eqn: $eq$	Destructs $x$ , remembers which constructor is being considered in the equality hypothesis $eq$ .
<a href="#">induction</a>	$x$ as [ $\mid n_1 IH_1 \mid n_2 IH_2 \mid \dots$ ]	Same as destruct but adds an inductive hypothesis to inductively defined cases. Names the variables and inductive hypotheses generated by induction with the given names.
<a href="#">rewrite</a>	$H$	Where $H$ is of type $e_1 = e_2$ , replaces $e_1$ in the goal with $e_2$ .
	$<- H$	Replaces $e_2$ with $e_1$
<a href="#">apply</a>	$H$	Uses lemma or hypothesis $H$ to solve the goal. If $H$ has hypotheses, adds them as new goals.
	$PQ$ in $HP$	Allows us to conclude $Q$ from hypotheses $P \rightarrow Q$ and $P$ . (In logic, <i>modus ponens</i> .)
<a href="#">inversion</a>	$H$	If hypothesis $H$ states that $e1 = e2$ , where $e1$ and $e2$ are expressions that start with different constructors, then inversion $H$ completes the current subgoal. If they start with the same constructor, it generates hypotheses relating the subterms.
<a href="#">assert</a>	$H : P$	Adds a new hypothesis $H$ that $P$ is true to the goal. Adds the new subgoal $P$ as the current goal.

## Solving the Goal

Tactic	Effect
<a href="#">reflexivity</a>	Solves a goal of the form $x = x$ .
<a href="#">assumption</a>	If we have a hypothesis that is equal to the goal, solves the goal.
<a href="#">discriminate</a>	If we have a contradictory hypothesis involving an equality, solves the goal. (A special case of the logical <i>ex falso quodlibet</i> .)
<a href="#">contradiction</a>	If we have a contradictory hypothesis not involving an equality, solves the goal. (A special case of the logical <i>ex falso quodlibet</i> .)
<a href="#">trivial</a>	Checks if the goal is trivially true or equivalent to a hypothesis, solves if so. Otherwise, does nothing (does not fail).
<a href="#">auto</a>	Tries a collection of basic tactics to solve the goal. Otherwise, does nothing (does not fail).
<a href="#">congruence</a>	A powerful automation technique that subsumes reflexivity, assumption, discriminate and contradiction.
<a href="#">omega</a>	Solves arithmetic equations over natural numbers.
<a href="#">lia</a>	A more powerful sibling of omega.

Note that these are often special cases and simplifications. Click through to the [Coq documentation](#) for a description of the tactics' general behavior and underlying theory.

Also see Adam Chlipala's [Coq Tactics Quick Reference](#) for additional tactics and automation.