
Why3: Computational Real Numbers

MPRI Project Report

Younesse Kaddar



2019-13-02

Throughout this project, I installed and used the following solvers:

Solver	Version
Alt-Ergo	2.2.0
CVC4	1.6
Z3	4.8.4
CVC3	2.4.1
Eprover	2.2
Spass	3.7

Most of the assertions were proved with Alt-Ergo and CVC4 (less often with Z3, and even more rarely with CVC3, Eprover and Spass). As a macOS user, the installation of Z3 was problematic (its “counterexample” counterpart was the only one to be recognized by the Why3 IDE), so much so that I had choice but to modify my `.why3.conf` file by explicitly adding a block enforcing the use of Z3:

```
[prover]
command = "z3 -smt2 -T:%t sat.random_seed=42 nlsat.randomize=false smt.random_seed=42 %f"
command_steps = "z3 -smt2 sat.random_seed=42 nlsat.randomize=false smt.random_seed=42
memory_max_alloc_count=%S %f"
driver = "z3_440"
editor = ""
in_place = false
interactive = false
name = "Z3"
shortcut = "z3"
version = "4.8.4"
```

2. Functions on Integers

Q1, 2, 3, 4. Give an implementation of

power2, shift_left using power2

- `power2` and `shift_left` are straightforward: the only notable point is the **for** loop invariant in `power2`:

```
let res = ref 1 in
for i=0 to l-1 do invariant { !res = power 2 i }
  res *= 2
done;
!res
```

which expresses the fact that the reference variable `res` stores the suitable power of 2 at each iteration, and trivially ensures that the postcondition holds:

- at the last iteration:
 - * `!res` contains 2^{l-1} at the beginning of the body loop
 - * its value is then doubled, which results in `!res` being equal to 2^l
- one exits the loop, and `!res` yielded at the end, whence satisfying the postcondition `result = power 2 l` of `power2`

`ediv_mod`, and `shift_right` using `ediv_mod`.

- given `ediv_mod` and `power2`, `shift_right` is easily defined as `let d, _ = ediv_mod z (power2 l) in d` and poses no difficulty.
- `ediv_mod` is slightly more tricky, but nothing to be afraid of: `d` and `r` are respectively the quotient and the rest of the well-known euclidean division of `x` by `y > 0`.

1. we first tackle the case where $x = \widehat{|x|} \geq 0$: as it happens,

```

let x_abs = if x >= 0 then x else -x in
let d = ref 0 in
let r = ref x_abs in
while !r >= y do
  invariant { !r >= 0 && x_abs = !d * y + !r }
  variant { !r }
  incr d;
  r -= y
done;

```

- the invariant $r \geq 0 \wedge x_abs = dy + r$ is initially true, and remains so at each iteration of the loop as `d` (resp. `r`) is incremented (resp. decremented) by 1 (resp. `y`).
- the `while` loop condition $r \geq y$ and the fact that $y > 0$ (precondition requirement of `ediv_mod`) justify the decreasing and well-founded variant `!r`
- at the end the `while` loop:
 - * $0 \leq r < y$
 - * $x_abs = dy + r$

which provides a trivially correct implementation of the euclidean division, provided $x \geq 0$

2. otherwise, if $x < 0$, we reduce this to the previous case, by computing the corresponding `d_abs` and `r_abs` for $x_abs = |x| = -x$

- if `r_abs = 0`: then $x_abs = d_abs \times y$, and $x = (-d_abs) \times y$.

One yields $d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -d_abs$, $r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$. This is easily discharged by CVC4 (we can even go as far as to add the extra assertion `assert { x = - !d * y }` to help the provers, but it shouldn't be necessary).

- else if `r_abs > 0`: then

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq y - r_abs < y \\ x = -x_abs = -d_abs y - r_abs = (-d_abs - 1) y + (y - r_abs) \end{cases}$$

Therefore, one yields $d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -d_{\text{abs}} - 1$, $r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y - r_{\text{abs}}$.

This is discharged by CVC4 too, but we can add the assertion `assert { x = (- !d - 1)* y + y - !r && 0 <= y - !r < y }` to convince the provers.

Q5. Give an implementation of `isqrt`

When it comes to the sheer body of the function, as seen in class:

```
let function isqrt (n:int) : int
  requires { 0 <= n }
  ensures { result = floor (sqrt (from_int n)) }
  =
    let count = ref 0 in
    let sum = ref 1 in
    while !sum <= n do
      incr count;
      sum += 2 * !count + 1
    done;
    !count
```

However, proving the postcondition `result = floor (sqrt (from_int n))` turns out to be trickier than [the one we saw in class](#) (i.e. `sqr !count <= !n < sqr (!count + 1)`), in so far as all the specification pertaining to `floor` in [the standard library](#) is:

```
function floor real : int

axiom Floor_int :
  forall i:int. floor (from_int i) = i

axiom Floor_down:
  forall x:real. from_int (floor x) <= x < from_int (Int.(+) (floor x) 1)

axiom Floor_monotonic:
  forall x y:real. x <= y -> Int.(<=) (floor x) (floor y)
```

That is, the standard-library properties related to $\lfloor \bullet \rfloor$ on which the provers can rely are:

- $\lfloor \bullet \rfloor$ is increasing and left inverse of `from_int`
- and more importantly:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n = \lfloor x \rfloor \implies n \leq x < n + 1 \quad \circledast$$

On top of that, `sqrt` is only [assumed to be increasing](#), and not strictly increasing.

As a result, we:

- *neither* have the converse of \circledast (which is exactly the direction needed to prove the postcondition!)
- *nor* do we have the fact that $\sqrt{\bullet}$ is strictly increasing (which is problematic when dealing with strict inequalities).

So, which assertions were added to prove `isqrt`?

- concerning the **while** loop: nothing special, we proceed exactly as seen in class, apart from the extra variant: `variant {n - !sum}` which is easily seen to be strictly decreasing and well-founded.
- at the end of the loop:

$$0 \leq \text{count} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{count}^2 \leq n < \text{sum} = (\text{count} + 1)^2$$

therefore, due to $\sqrt{\bullet}$ being strictly increasing and $\text{count} \geq 0$:

$$\text{count} \leq \sqrt{n} < \text{count} + 1$$

and the converse of \otimes would yield the expected postcondition.

But to convince the provers, based solely on the standard-library specification, we proceed as follows:

- we first show that $\text{count} \leq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, which only resorts to $\lfloor \bullet \rfloor$ and $\sqrt{\bullet}$ being increasing and $\sqrt{\bullet}$ being a left inverse of \bullet^2 on \mathbb{R}^+ (axiom `Square_sqrt` of the `standard library`).
- we then show the reverse inequality, that is: $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor < \text{count} + 1$ in a similar fashion. Except that this one is a bit trickier as $\sqrt{\bullet}$ is not assumed to be strictly increasing, but we can get away with it by treating strict inequalities as being tantamount to non-strict ones *and* non-equalities.

3. Difficulty with Non-linear Arithmetic on Real Numbers

3.1 Power Function

Prove that

4. Computational Real Numbers

13. Could you find a reason why this definition is better than the other for automatic provers?

14. Prove these three functions

4.2 Subtraction

15. Define and prove the function `compute_neg`

16. Define `compute_sub` using `compute_neg` and `compute_add`

4.3 Conversion of Integer Constants

4.4 Square Root

17. Prove these two relations

18. Prove `compute_sqrt`

4.5 Compute

19. define a logic function `interp` that gives real interpretation of a term with the usual semantic for each operation

20. define `wf_term` that checks that square root is applied only to terms with non negative interpretation.

21. define and prove the `compute` function

5 Division

22. Prove these two properties

23. Prove the function `inv_simple_simple`

24. Prove the function `inv_simple`

25. extend the type `term`

26. prove both functions

27. prove the termination of the functions