

Initial Post

◀ Initial Post

Initial Po

Display replies in nested form

Setting



Initial Post

by [Yousif Ali Karam Yousif Almaazmi](#) - Monday, 15 September 2025, 8:06 PM

This case of Abi provides insight into the ethical issues that arise between researchers and statistical programmers when the data to meet client expectations. The information gathered about Whizzz cereal is rather harmful than nutritious, but Abi understands that other analyses can present the product more favorably. This raises the question of whether it is acceptable to focus on positive correlations and overlook adverse outcomes.

The American Statistical Association (ASA, 2022) states that reporting integrity requires statisticians to disclose results accurately transparently. The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE, 2025) also emphasizes that both positive and negative results should be reported to minimize bias. Hence, it is the duty of Abi to provide both forms of analyses and explicitly identify primary and exploratory findings.

An ethical issue does not just entail technical correctness. The ACM Code of Ethics (2018) notes that professionals have a duty to avoid harm and act in the interest of the people. By merely pointing out positive results, he risks abusing statistics to market to consumers. This is supported by studies on product harm crises, which indicate that selective reporting undermines trust and may intensify reputation harm (Zhang et al., 2021).

The role of Abi also involves making predictions about the use of results. Although he cannot decide what the manufacturer does, he can issue caveats, make reproducible and record all analyses in writing. If the potential for dangerous results is hidden, Abi may need to raise the alarm or consult the ethical principles. Emerging technology ethical advice emphasizes that professional responsibility should prioritize transparency and accountability, particularly in matters of public health (Zhou et al., 2024; Adegbesan et al., 2024).

To sum up, the most ethical course of action for Abi is to write a balanced report, which protects against selective use and uphold professional and social responsibility.

References

Adegbesan, A., Akingbola, A., Ojo, O., Jessica, O. U., Alao, U. H., Shagaya, U., Adewole, O., & Abdullahi, O. (2024). Ethical challenges in the integration of artificial intelligence in palliative care. *Journal of Medicine, Surgery, and Public Health*, 4, 100158. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glmedi.2024.100158> (Accessed: 15 September, 2025).

American Statistical Association. (2022). *Ethical guidelines for statistical practice* (Prepared by the Committee on Professional Ethics). Available at: <https://www.amstat.org/docs/default-source/amstat-documents/EthicalGuidelines.pdf?v=0825> (Accessed: 15 September, 2025).

Association for Computing Machinery. (2018). *ACM code of ethics and professional conduct: Preamble*. Available at: <https://www.acm.org/code-of-ethics> (Accessed: 15 September, 2025).

International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. (2025). *Recommendations for the conduct, reporting, editing, and publication scholarly work in medical journals*. Available at: <https://www.icmje.org/icmje-recommendations.pdf> (Accessed: 15 September, 2025).

Zhang, S., Jiang, L., Magnan, M., & Su, L. N. (2021). Dealing with ethical dilemmas: A look at financial reporting by firms facing product harm crises. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 170(3), 497–518. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04375-6> (Accessed: 15 September, 2025).

Zhou, J., Müller, H., Holzinger, A., & Chen, F. (2024). Ethical ChatGPT: Concerns, challenges, and commandments. *Electronics*, 13(17), 3417. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13173417> (Accessed: 15 September, 2025).



Peer Response

by [Julius Cloos](#) - Monday, 22 September 2025, 1:14 PM

Hello Yousif,

Thank you for this very insightful post.

I agree with your analysis that pointing out only favorable traits of Whizzz would be an ethical violation. Furthermore, I agree with the fact that Abi will be unable to decide what the manufacturer of Whizzz will eventually do with the results Abi provides. Also, your inclusion of International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (2025) provides analysis of another code of ethics apart from the code of ethics from BCS and ACM.

To expand on your point of abusing statistics, I would like to add that using statistics responsibly is an ethical responsibility of all

researcher using statistics. This is due to the fact that statistics present a powerful tool to persuade the audience and come to conclusions supported by actual data, which must not be undermined. For example, OECD (2020) states that statistics provide valuable contributions to evidence-informed policy making. Trust in this method should not be unnecessarily undermined by researchers proceeding unethically.

Furthermore, Stobierski (2019) states that data-driven decision-making is beneficial in the process of decision-making, as it allows decision-makers to make decisions more confidently. This is another reason why trust in this method should not be undermined.

Kind regards,

Julius Cloos

References

International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (2025) *Recommendations for the conduct, reporting, editing, and publication of scholarly work in medical journals*. Available at: <https://www.icmje.org/icmje-recommendations.pdf> (Accessed: 22 September 2025).

OECD (2020) *Building Capacity for Evidence-Informed Policy-Making*. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/building-capacity-for-evidence-informed-policy-making_86331250-en.html (Accessed: 22 September 2025).

Stobierski, T. (2019) *The Advantages of Data-Driven Decision-Making*. Available at: <https://online.hbs.edu/blog/post/data-driven-decision-making> (Accessed: 22 September 2025).

[Permalink](#)

[Show parent](#)

[Re](#)



Re: Initial Post - Peer Response

by [Thaimu Fullah](#) - Monday, 22 September 2025, 5:20 PM

Your analysis provided a clear, well-referenced discussion of Abi's ethical responsibilities, effectively linking recognised professional standards to the case. The use of the ASA (2022) guidelines and ICMJE (2025) recommendations demonstrates excellent grasp of the principle of full and transparent reporting, a cornerstone of statistical ethics (Head et al., 2015). The integration of the ACM Code of Ethics (2018) appropriately highlights the duty to avoid harm and serve the public interest, while the citation of Zhang et al. (2021) strengthens the argument that selective reporting can amplify reputational damage during product-harm crises.

To enhance the piece, two areas merit further exploration. First, while international standards are well covered, incorporating European-specific frameworks; for example, the *European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity* (ALLEA, 2023), would align discussion more closely with EU legal and professional expectations (Resnik & Shamoo, 2017). Second, the conclusion could expand on practical mechanisms for safeguarding transparency, such as preregistration of analysis plans and open-data practices, which are increasingly recommended to deter selective reporting (Nosek et al., 2018). Including empirical research on the effectiveness of whistleblowing protections (Near & Miceli, 2016) would also ground the advice to "raise the alarm" in evidence.

Overall, this is a concise and well-supported evaluation that would benefit from deeper engagement with European research integrity standards and practical reproducibility measures.

References

ALLEA (2023) *The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity*. Revised edition. Berlin: All European Academies. Available at: <https://allea.org/code-of-conduct/> (Accessed: 20 September 2025).

Head, M.L. et al. (2015) 'The extent and consequences of p-hacking in science', *PLoS Biology*, 13(3), e1002106.

Near, J.P. and Miceli, M.P. (2016) 'After the wrongdoing: What managers should know about whistleblowing', *Business Horizons*, 59(1), pp. 105–114.

Nosek, B.A. et al. (2018) 'The preregistration revolution', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(11), pp. 2602–2606.

Resnik, D.B. and Shamoo, A.E. (2017) 'The Singapore Statement on Research Integrity', *Accountability in Research*, 24(3), pp. 129–135.

[Permalink](#)

[Show parent](#)

[Re](#)

◀ [Initial Post](#)

[Initial Post](#)