

1 Establishing project foundation

Project Scope Management

How to assess project scope?

Use Ratio (%) as an indicator $\frac{\sum_{f \in \text{Feature}} \text{effort}(f)}{R \times T}$

Project scope $\leq 100\%$ is acceptable: Budget for a project:

$$\text{Cost} = \sum_{f \in B} \times \text{salary}(\text{+overhead})$$

2 Estimation techniques

Estimation by Analogy

Multiplication factor (subsystem) = (number of elements in new) / (number of elements in old)

Size (new subsystem) = Size (old subsystem) \times Multiplication factor

Size (New project) = sum of the sizes of its subsystems

Size Ratio = Size(New Project) / Size (Old Project)

Estimated Effort (New Project) = Effort (Old Project) \times Size Ratio

Expert judgment / Delphi estimation

ExpectedCase = [BestCase + (4 \times MostLikelyCase) + WorstCase] / 6

2.1 COSMIC FSM Standard

FP Size = Sum(Ne) + Sum(Nx) + Sum(Nr) + Sum(Mw)

Where:

Ne = number of Entries

Nx = number of Exits

Nr = number of Reads

Mw = number of Writes

• there is no upper limit to the functional size of the functional requirements

• Min size: 2 CFP

2.2 Function Points

The simplest way to calculate a function point count is calculated as follows:

(No. of external inputs $\times 4$) + (No. of external outputs $\times 5$) + (No. of logical internal files $\times 10$) + (No. of external interface files $\times 7$) + (No. of external enquiries $\times 4$)

2.3 Calculation of Functional Size of Changes to the FURs

$$\text{Size}_{\text{CFsu}}(\text{Change}) = \text{Sum}_{\text{size}}(\text{added_data_movement}_i) + \text{Sum}_{\text{size}}(\text{changed_data_movement}_i) + \text{Sum}_{\text{size}}(\text{deleted_data_movement}_i)$$

2.4 GSC function points extension

The analyst/software engineer assigns a value between 0 and 5 to each question

• 0 = not applicable and 5 = essential The Value-Adjustment Factor (VAF) is then calculated as:

$$\text{VAF} = 0.65 + 0.01 \sum_{i=1}^{14} C_i$$

You then adjust the original function point count as follows: $FP = FC \times \text{VAF}$

3 OO Measurement

3.1 LCOM Chidamber & Kemerer

Chidamber and Kemerer define Lack of Cohesion in Methods as the number of pairs of methods in a class that don't have at least one field in common P minus the number of pairs of methods in the class that do share at least one field Q . When this value is negative, the metric value is set to 0.

$\text{LCOM} = |P| - |Q|$, if $|P| > |Q|$; 0 otherwise.

3.2 LCOM Henderson-Sellers

Let m be the number of methods in a class.

• Let a be the number of instance variables in the class.

• Let $p(A_i)$ be the number of methods that access instance variable A_i .

$$\text{LCOM} = \frac{m - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^a p(A_i)}{a}}{m-1}$$

4 Project planning techniques

4.1 CPM

Float = LF - Duration - ES

where LF=latest finish time, ES= earliest time at which the activity can start.

The maximum possible duration is given by LF - ES.

5 Measuring and controlling work processes

5.1 Performance indicators

Cost Variance (CV): EV - AC

Schedule Variance (SV): = EV - PV

Cost Performance Index (CPI): EV / AC

Schedule Performance Index (SPI): EV / PV

where EV=earned Value, PV=planned value, and AC=actual cost

5.2 More performance indicators

Budget at completion (BAC)

$$\text{BAC} = \sum_{PV_k} \text{ for all tasks } k \text{ Percent scheduled for completion} = \frac{PV(\text{project})}{\text{BAC}}$$

$$\text{Percent complete} = \frac{EV}{\text{BAC}}$$

$$\text{Estimate at completion (EAC)} = \frac{\text{BAC}}{\text{CPI}}$$

$$\text{Estimate to complete (ETC)} = \text{EAC} - \text{AC}$$

6 Managing Project Risk

6.1 Quantitative assessment

Risk Exposure (RE) = Risk - Probability \times Risk - impact

$$\text{Risk Reduction Leverage} = \frac{(\text{risk exp. RE before}) - (\text{risk exp. RE after})}{\text{cost of reduction}}$$

6.2 Decision tree analysis

To determine total impact use the Risk Exposure for each branch of the decision tree

$$\text{EMV} = P \times i$$