# CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

# COMP 232: MATHEMATICS FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE FALL 2015

# **ASSIGNMENT 4: SOLUTIONS**

# PROBLEM 1.

Use mathematical induction to show that

$$2^{n} \le 2^{n+1} - 2^{n-1} - 1$$

when n is a positive integer.

SOLUTION.

**Basis Step.** n = 1.

LHS = 
$$2^1 \le 2^{1+1} - 2^{1-1} - 1 = 2 = RHS$$
.

**Inductive Step.** n > 1.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^n &\leq 2^{n+1} - 2^{n-1} - 1 \\ &\Rightarrow 2(2^n) \leq 2(2^{n+1} - 2^{n-1} - 1) \\ &\Rightarrow 2^{n+1} \leq 2^{n+2} - 2^n - 2 \\ &\Rightarrow 2^{n+1} \leq 2^{n+2} - 2^n - 2 + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow 2^{n+1} \leq 2^{n+2} - 2^n - 1 \\ &\Rightarrow 2^{n+1} \leq 2^{(n+1)+1} - 2^{(n+1)-1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction,  $2^n \le 2^{n+1} - 2^{n-1} - 1$ , whenever n is a positive integer.

# PROBLEM 2.

The sequence of Fibonacci numbers is defined by

$$f_0 = 0$$
,  $f_1 = 1$ , and  $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ , for  $n > 1$ .

The sequence of Lucas numbers is defined by

$$l_0 = 2$$
,  $l_1 = 1$ , and  $l_n = l_{n-1} + l_{n-2}$ , for  $n > 1$ .

Prove that

$$f_{\rm n} + f_{\rm n+2} = l_{\rm n+1}$$
,

whenever n is a positive integer, where  $f_i$  and  $l_i$  are the ith Fibonacci number and ith Lucas number, respectively.

SOLUTION.

**Basis Step.** n = 0.

There are two base cases.

$$f_0 + f_2 = 0 + 1 = 1 = l_1$$
,

and

$$f_1 + f_3 = 1 + 2 = 3 = l_2$$
,

as desired.

**Inductive Step.** n > 0.

The inductive hypothesis, using strong induction, is

$$f_{k} + f_{k+2} = l_{k+1}$$
, for all  $k \le n$ .

Then,

$$f_{n+1} + f_{n+3} = f_n + f_{n-1} + f_{n+2} + f_{n+1}$$

$$= (f_n + f_{n+2}) + (f_{n-1} + f_{n+1})$$

$$= l_{n+1} + l_n, \text{ by the inductive hypothesis with } k = n \text{ and } k = n - 1.$$

$$= l_{n+2}, \text{ by the definition of the Lucas numbers.}$$

# PROBLEM 3.

For each of the following relations on the set  $\mathbf{Z}$  of integers, determine if it is reflexive, symmetric, anti-symmetric, or transitive. On the basis of these properties, state whether or not it is an equivalence relation or a partial order.

(a) 
$$R = \{(a, b) \mid a^2 = b^2\}.$$
  
(b)  $S = \{(a, b) \mid |a - b| \le 1\}.$ 

### SOLUTION.

- (a) R is reflexive, symmetric, not anti-symmetric, transitive, equivalence relation, not a partial order.
- (b) S is reflexive, symmetric, not-anti-symmetric, not transitive, not an equivalence relation, not a partial order.

# PROBLEM 4.

- (a) Prove that  $\{(x, y) \mid x y \in \mathbf{Q}\}$  is an equivalence relation on the set of real numbers, where  $\mathbf{Q}$  denotes the set of rational numbers.
- (b) Give [1], [1/2], and  $[\pi]$ .

#### SOLUTION.

(a)

Reflexivity:

$$x - x = 0 \in \mathbf{Q}$$
.

Symmetry:

Let  $x - y \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Then, y - x = -(x - y) is again a rational number.

Transitivity:

If  $x - y \in \mathbf{Q}$  and  $y - z \in \mathbf{Q}$ , then their sum, namely x - z, is also a rational number (as the rational numbers are closed under addition).

(b)

The equivalence class of both 1 and 1/2 is the set of rational numbers. The equivalence class of  $\pi$  is the set of real numbers that differ from  $\pi$  by a rational number, that is,  $\{\pi + r \mid r \in \mathbf{Q}\}$ .

### PROBLEM 5.

Prove or disprove the following statements:

- (a) Let R be a relation on the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers such that xRy if and only if  $xy \ge 1$ . Then, R is irreflexive.
- (b) Let R be a relation on the set **Z** of integers such that xRy if and only if x = y + 1 or x = y 1. Then, R is irreflexive.
- (c) Let R and S be reflexive relations on a set A. Then, R S is irreflexive.

#### SOLUTION.

- (a) R is not irreflexive, as the pair (1, 1) is in the relation.
- (b) R is irreflexive, as  $n \ne n + 1$  and  $n \ne n 1$ , for every integer n, the pair (n, n) is not in the relation.
- (c) R S is irreflexive. Given that R and S are reflexive, for any element  $a \in A$ ,  $(a, a) \in R$  and  $(a, a) \in S$ . This, in turn, implies that  $(a, a) \notin S^c$  and so  $(a, a) \notin R \cap S^c$ . Now,  $R \cap S^c = R S$ . Therefore, R S is irreflexive.

# PROBLEM 6.

Let *R* be the relation on  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  defined by xRy if and only if x < y. Then, in the Set Builder Notation,  $R = \{(x, y) \mid y - x > 0\}$ .

- (a) Use the Set Builder Notation to express the transitive closure of R.
- (b) Use the Set Builder Notation to express the composite relation  $R^n$ , where n is a positive integer.

# SOLUTION.

- (a)  $R^* = R = \{(x, y) \mid y x > 0\}.$
- (b)  $R^n = \{(x, y) \mid y x \ge n\}.$

# PROBLEM 7.

- (a) Give the transitive closure of the relation  $R = \{(a, c), (b, d), (c, a), (d, b), (e, d)\}$  on  $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ .
- (b) Give an example to show that when the symmetric closure of the reflexive closure of the transitive closure of a relation is formed, the result is not necessarily an equivalence relation.

# SOLUTION.

- (a)  $R^* = \{(a, a), (a, c), (b, b), (b, d), (c, a), (c, c), (d, b), (d, d), (e, b), (e, d)\}.$
- (b) Let  $R = \{(1, 2), (3, 2)\}$  on the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ . Its transitive closure is itself. The reflexive closure of that is  $\{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2), (3, 2), (3, 3)\}$ . The symmetric closure of that is  $\{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 3)\}$ . The result is not transitive, as, for example,  $\{(1, 3)\}$  is missing. Therefore, this is not an equivalence relation.