Chapter 5

October 29, 2019

```
In [1]: %load_ext sql
In [2]: %sql postgresql://postgres:postgres@localhost:5432/analysis
Out[2]: 'Connected: postgres@analysis'
```

1 Basic Math and Stats with SQL

- two integers return an integer
- a numeric on either side of the operator returns a numeric
- anything with floating point number returns a floating-point of type double precision

```
Out[17]: [(12,)]
   • we have done basic math operations
In [20]: %%sql
         SELECT 11 / 6;
* postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[20]: [(1,)]

    division of an integer with another integer yields to an integer

   • this here is basically 1 with a reminder of 5
In [21]: %%sql
         SELECT 11 % 6;
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[21]: [(5,)]
   • as seen here we get the remainder of the operation which we can do with the modulo oper-
     ator
   • if you want to check whether a number is evem, you can test it using the % 2 operation, if
     the result is 0 with no remainder, the number is even
In [22]: %%sql
         SELECT 11.0 / 6;
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[22]: [(Decimal('1.8333333333333333),)]
   • the first one is a numeric
   • so we get a numeric result
In [23]: %%sql
         SELECT CAST (11 AS numeric(3,1)) / 6;
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
```

```
Out[23]: [(Decimal('1.8333333333333333),)]
  • we can force the 11 with the CAST function into a numeric
In [26]: %%sql
         SELECT 3 ^ 4;
* postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[26]: [(81.0,)]
  • exponent
In [27]: %%sql
         SELECT sqrt(10);
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[27]: [(3.1622776601683795,)]
  • sqrt(n) function
1.1 Doing Math Across Columns
In [35]: %%sql
         SELECT geo_name,
                state_us_abbreviation AS "st",
                p0010001 AS "Total Population",
                p0010003 AS "White Alone",
                p0010004 AS "Black or African American Alone",
                p0010005 AS "Am Indian/Alaska Native Alone",
                p0010006 AS "Asian Alone",
                p0010007 AS "Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone",
                p0010008 AS "Some Other Race Alone",
                p0010009 AS "Two or More Races"
         FROM us_counties_2010
         LIMIT 5:
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
5 rows affected.
```

- we select the table
- this table we give each column name (the p name) an alias
- this alias we give with the AS keyword which will make the list more readable
- we limit the list to 5 because it has more than 3000 rows!!!

- Adding and Subtracting Columns
- we simply add two rece columns together
- we identified both
- we are doing a basic add operation with the both column names
- we use again an AS keyword for the result of this new line, which is our result

- we first have the total population as Total
- we add all 7 races which we define as All Races
- the total population and the calculated one (All races) are equal
- the difference should be 0
- we order by difference
- whenever we import some data we can do this test to be sure that there is no calculation bias

- we devide the asian value which we cast to a numeric and the total population
- Tracking Percent Change

```
* postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
Done.
Out[53]: []
In [55]: %%sql
         INSERT INTO percent_change
         VALUES
             ('Building', 250000, 289000),
             ('Assessor', 178556, 179500),
             ('Library', 87777, 90001),
             ('Clerk', 451980, 650000),
             ('Police', 250000, 223000),
             ('Recreation', 199000, 195000);
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
6 rows affected.
Out[55]: []
In [59]: %%sql
         SELECT department,
         spend_2014,
         spend_2017,
         round( (spend_2017 - spend_2014) / spend_2014 * 100, 1) AS "pct_change"
         FROM percent_change
         ORDER BY "pct_change" DESC;
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
6 rows affected.
Out[59]: [('Clerk', Decimal('451980.00'), Decimal('650000.00'), Decimal('43.8')),
          ('Building', Decimal('250000.00'), Decimal('289000.00'), Decimal('15.6')),
          ('Library', Decimal('87777.00'), Decimal('90001.00'), Decimal('2.5')),
          ('Assessor', Decimal('178556.00'), Decimal('179500.00'), Decimal('0.5')),
          ('Recreation', Decimal('199000.00'), Decimal('195000.00'), Decimal('-2.0')),
          ('Police', Decimal('250000.00'), Decimal('223000.00'), Decimal('-10.8'))]
```

- we make a SELECT statement with the required columns
- we use the round function which has two arguments
 - the value (which is our division operation) and the precision of 1, which is the value after the , (here we are doing a rounding)
- we give our operation an Alias pct_change

- here our operation was to see the percentual difference between two values
- we can now see that the clerk department has spend nearly 43 percent more in 2017 than in 2014
- Aggregate Functions for Average and Sums

- this one will compare the sum of the countys population
- with the average of the countys population
- we do this with the sum() and avg() functions

1.2 Finding the Median

- average the sum of all the values divided by the number of values
- median the middle value of an ordered set of values
- a good test is to take both
 - if they are close the distribution is a normal one

```
In [66]: %%sql
         INSERT INTO percentile_test (numbers) VALUES
         (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6);
* postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
6 rows affected.
Out[66]: []
In [69]: %%sql
         SELECT
         percentile_cont(.5)
         WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY numbers),
         percentile_disc(.5)
         WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY numbers)
         FROM percentile_test;
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[69]: [(3.5, 3)]
  • there is no mediam() function in sql
   • we can however build it with the percentile functions which are two
  • we have two percentile functions _cont() and _disc()
   • the median is equivalent to the 50th percentile, half the values are below and half above
  • the _cont() function calculates as continuous values
       - the median is bewteen 3 and 4 and is 3.5
   • the _disc() function calculates as discrete values
       - the value will be rounded to one of the 2 values
In [73]: %%sql
         SELECT sum(p0010001) AS "County Sum",
         round(avg(p0010001), 0) AS "County Average",
         percentile cont(.5)
         WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY p0010001) AS "County Median"
         FROM us_counties_2010
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[73]: [(308745538, Decimal('98233'), 25857.0)]
```

• finding other quantiles with percentile functions

In [77]: %%sql

```
SELECT percentile_cont(array[.25, .5, .75])
         WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY p0010001) AS "quartiles"
         FROM us_counties_2010;
* postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[77]: [([11104.5, 25857.0, 66699.0],)]
In [78]: %%sql
         SELECT unnest(
         percentile_cont(array[.25, .5, .75])
         WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY p0010001)
         ) AS "quartiles"
         FROM us_counties_2010;
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
3 rows affected.
Out[78]: [(11104.5,), (25857.0,), (66699.0,)]
   • we created an array of quartiles cut points
  • those points we pass into our percentile_cont() function
   • we use here unnest function to make the result output in each rows
   • this means 25% of the counties has as population of 11,104.5 or less
In [95]: %%sql
         CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION _final_median(anyarray)
            RETURNS float8 AS
         $$
           WITH q AS
           (
              SELECT val
              FROM unnest($1) val
              WHERE VAL IS NOT NULL
              ORDER BY 1
           ),
           cnt AS
           (
             SELECT COUNT(*) AS c FROM q
           )
```

```
SELECT AVG(val)::float8
           FROM
           (
             SELECT val FROM q
             LIMIT 2 - MOD((SELECT c FROM cnt), 2)
             OFFSET GREATEST(CEIL((SELECT c FROM cnt) / 2.0) - 1,0)
           ) q2;
         $$
         LANGUAGE sql IMMUTABLE;
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
Done.
Out[95]: []
In [94]: %%sql
         CREATE AGGREGATE median(anyelement) (
           SFUNC=array_append,
           STYPE=anyarray,
           FINALFUNC=_final_median,
           INITCOND='{}'
         );
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
Done.
Out[94]: []
   • we create an median() function
  • note that functions will be covered later
   • just take this function as given
In [99]: %%sql
         SELECT sum(p0010001) AS "County Sum",
                round(AVG(p0010001), 0) AS "County Average",
                median(p0010001) AS "County Median",
                percentile_cont(.5)
                WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY P0010001) AS "50th Percentile"
         FROM us_counties_2010;
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[99]: [(308745538, Decimal('98233'), 25857.0, 25857.0)]
   • we simply add the median function
```

- and we compare it with the pecentile_cont function which has .5 value
- both are equal

1.3 Finding the Mode

1.4 Tasks

– 2. Using the 2010 Census county data, find out which New York state county – has the highest percentage of the population that identified as "American – Indian/Alaska Native Alone." What can you learn about that county from online – research that explains the relatively large proportion of American Indian – population compared with other New York counties?

```
In [113]: %%sql
          SELECT
              geo_name,
              state_us_abbreviation,
              p0010001 AS "Total Population",
              p0010005 AS "Am Indian/Alaska Native Alone",
              (CAST (p0010005 AS numeric(8,1)) / p0010001) * 100
              AS percent_american_indian_alaska_native_alone
          FROM
              us_counties_2010
          WHF.R.F.
              state_us_abbreviation = 'NY'
          ORDER BY
              percent_american_indian_alaska_native_alone DESC
          LIMIT
              5;
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
5 rows affected.
Out[113]: [('Franklin County', 'NY', 51599, 3797, Decimal('7.35866974166166011000')),
           ('Cattaraugus County', 'NY', 80317, 2443, Decimal('3.04169727454959722100')),
           ('Bronx County', 'NY', 1385108, 18260, Decimal('1.31830875281927474200')),
           ('Genesee County', 'NY', 60079, 679, Decimal('1.13017859817906423200')),
           ('Niagara County', 'NY', 216469, 2285, Decimal('1.05557839690671643500'))]
```

- 3. Was the 2010 median county population higher in California or New York?

```
In [114]: %%sql
          SELECT
              percentile_cont(.5)
              WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY p0010001)
          FROM
              us_counties_2010
          WHERE
              state_us_abbreviation = 'NY';
 * postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[114]: [(91301.0,)]
In [115]: %%sql
          SELECT
              percentile_cont(.5)
              WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY p0010001)
              us_counties_2010
          WHERE
              state_us_abbreviation = 'CA';
* postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
1 rows affected.
Out[115]: [(179140.5,)]
In [118]: %%sql
          SELECT
              state_us_abbreviation,
              percentile_cont(0.5)
              WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY p0010001) AS median
          FROM
              us_counties_2010
              GROUP BY state_us_abbreviation
          LIMIT
              5;
* postgresql://postgres:***@localhost:5432/analysis
5 rows affected.
Out[118]: [('AK', 7029.0),
           ('AL', 34339.0),
```

```
('AR', 19019.0),
('AZ', 131346.0),
('CA', 179140.5)]
```

• median for each state