

Christian B. Mendl, Pedro Hack, Keefe Huang, Irene López Gutiérrez

Exercise 3.1 (Properties of Pauli matrices and matrix exponential)As usual, $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) = (X, Y, Z)$ denotes the Pauli vector.

- (a) Verify that the Pauli matrices anti-commute with each other, i.e.,

$$\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} = 0, \quad \{\sigma_2, \sigma_3\} = 0, \quad \{\sigma_3, \sigma_1\} = 0,$$

where $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$ denotes the *anti-commutator* of two matrices.

- (b) Verify the following commutation relations (here
- $[A, B] = AB - BA$
- denotes the
- commutator*
- of two matrices):

$$[\sigma_1, \sigma_2] = 2i\sigma_3, \quad [\sigma_2, \sigma_3] = 2i\sigma_1, \quad [\sigma_3, \sigma_1] = 2i\sigma_2.$$

- (c) Use the series expansion of the matrix exponential to derive that, for any
- $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$
- and unitary matrix
- $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$
- ,

$$e^{U^\dagger A U} = U^\dagger e^A U.$$

Remark: In case A is normal, one can combine this relation with the spectral decomposition to evaluate e^A , since the matrix exponential of a diagonal matrix is the pointwise exponential of the diagonal entries.

- (d) Show that

$$H X H = Z \quad \text{and} \quad H Z H = X,$$

where H denotes the Hadamard gate. (Since H is Hermitian and self-inverse, i.e., $H^2 = I$, H can thus be interpreted as base change matrix between the eigenvectors of X and Z .)

- (e) Combine parts (c) and (d) to argue that

$$H R_x(\theta) H = R_z(\theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Solution

- (a) The anti-commutation relations can be verified by an explicit calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the other two.

- (b) The commutation relations can likewise be verified by an explicit calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_1, \sigma_2] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 0 \\ 0 & -2i \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2i\sigma_3, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the other two.

- (c) We exploit that
- $UU^\dagger = I$
- :

$$e^{U^\dagger A U} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \underbrace{U^\dagger A U U^\dagger A U \cdots U^\dagger A U}_{k \text{ times}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} U^\dagger A^k U = U^\dagger e^A U.$$

(d) We can calculate explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
 HZH &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this relation from left and right by H and using that $H^2 = I$ leads to

$$HXH = H(HZH)H = H^2ZH^2 = Z.$$

(e) We insert the definition of $R_x(\theta)$ from the lecture, and use that $H^\dagger = H$:

$$HR_x(\theta)H = He^{-i\theta X/2}H \stackrel{(c)}{=} e^{-i\theta HXH/2} \stackrel{(d)}{=} e^{-i\theta Z/2} = R_z(\theta).$$