

Advanced Machine Learning – Deep Generative Models Exercise Sheet 03**VAE & GAN**

Problem 1: Below we show pseudocode for implementing 3 autoencoder-like neural net architectures. The observed data is denoted as $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$. Here, $g_{\lambda} : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^L$ and $f_{\psi} : \mathbb{R}^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$ are fully connected feedforward neural networks with learnable parameters λ and ψ . The output layers of g_{λ} and f_{ψ} have no (i.e. have linear) activation functions. \mathcal{N} denotes the normal distribution, \mathbf{I}_N is the $N \times N$ identity matrix, and $\mathbf{0}_N$ is the vector of all zeros of length N .

For each of the architectures below, explain whether it's **necessary** to use the reparametrization trick to compute the gradient of the loss \mathcal{L} w.r.t. **both** λ and ψ . Answer “Yes” or “No” and provide a justification. If the answer is “Yes”, modify the code to implement the reparametrization trick.

a) Model 1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{I}_D) \\ \mathbf{h}_i &= g_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}_i) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i &= f_{\psi}(\mathbf{h}_i) \\ \mathcal{L} &= \|\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

b) Model 2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_i &= g_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \mathbf{z}_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{I}_L) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i &= f_{\psi}(\mathbf{z}_i) \\ \mathcal{L} &= \|\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

c) Model 3

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_i &= g_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \mathbf{z}_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_L, \mathbf{I}_L) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i &= f_{\psi}(\mathbf{h}_i + \mathbf{z}_i) \\ \mathcal{L} &= \|\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2: Consider the same setup as in the previous problem. The model specified below is **not well defined**. Your task is to find the problem with the model and modify the pseudo code to fix it.

In addition, if you think it's **necessary** to use the reparametrization trick, include it in your implementation.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_i &= g_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \mathbf{z}_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_L, \text{diag}(\mathbf{h}_i)) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i &= f_{\psi}(\mathbf{z}_i) \\ \mathcal{L} &= \|\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3: The loss used in generative adversarial networks (GANs) can be written in the following form:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \mathbb{E}_{p^*(\mathbf{x})} [\log D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{z})} [\log(1 - D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z})))]$$

where $p^*(\mathbf{x})$ is the true data distribution, $p(\mathbf{z})$ is the distribution of the noise, $f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is the generator, and $D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ is the discriminator.

- a) For a given generator (fixed parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$) assume there exists a discriminator $D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}^*}(\mathbf{x})$ with parameters $\boldsymbol{\phi}^*$ such that for all \mathbf{x} :

$$D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}^*}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p^*(\mathbf{x})}{p^*(\mathbf{x}) + p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x})}$$

where $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x})$ is the distribution learned by the generator. Show that $D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}^*}$ is **optimal**, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\phi}^* = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi})$.

Hint: $\arg \max_y [a \log(y) + b \log(1 - y)] = \frac{a}{a+b}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_0^+, a + b > 0$.

- b) What is the value of the optimal $D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}^*}(\mathbf{x})$ when:

- (i) The generator is optimal i.e. $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = p^*(\mathbf{x})$
 - (ii) The generator assigns a zero probability $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ to a sample \mathbf{x} whereas $p^*(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$
 - (iii) The generator assigns a non-zero probability $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ to a sample \mathbf{x} whereas $p^*(\mathbf{x}) = 0$
-