

# Optimization in Communication Networks

## Lecture 5: Discrete-time Markov Chain

Prof. Yung Yi, [yyiung@ee.kaist.ac.kr](mailto:yyiung@ee.kaist.ac.kr),  
<http://lanada.kaist.ac.kr>  
EE, KAIST

March 29, 2016

# Lecture Outline

- Markov Chain
- Recurrence
- Invariant Measure
- Positive Recurrence
- Stationary Distribution
- Foster's Criteria
- Implications
- Poisson Process
- Continuous Time Markov Chain

- Many people pretend to know Markov chains!
- A very good reference book: [\[Bremaud, 1999\]](#)

## Markov Chain: Definition and Stopping Time

- **Definition.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be a sequence of random variables taking values in some finite or countably finite space  $\mathcal{E}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij} &= \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = i_0], \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

“For any fixed  $n$ , the future of the process is **independent** of  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ , **given**  $X_n$ .”

Then,  $\{X_n\}_{n \leq 0}$  is called **time homogeneous markov chain (HMC)**. Then, the matrix  $P = [p_{ij}]$  is called its **transition probability matrix**.

- We will denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n$  the “history”  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ . That is,  $\mathcal{F}_n$  contains information about the past upto time  $n$ .
- **Definition.** A random variable  $T$  is called **stopping time** with respect to  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , if one can answer the question “ $T > n$ ?” by examining  $\mathcal{F}_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Formally  $\{T > n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ .

BTW, is the  $\{T > n\}$  a **set**? Why?

## Example

- Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Initially,  $X_0 = 0$ , and  $\forall n \leq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = X_n + 1 | X_n] = \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = X_n - 1 | X_n] = 1/2.$$

- Draw transition diagram.
- Check whether the above is Markov chain or not.
- $T = \min\{k \geq 1 | X_k = 0\}$  is a stopping time.
- Ex) If  $T$  is a stopping time, then  $\{T = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  (because  $\{T = n\} = \{T > n - 1\} \setminus \{T > n\}$ ).

## Strong Markov Property

- **Theorem.** [Strong Markov Property] Given HMC  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  with transition matrix  $P$ , and a stopping time  $\tau$ . Let  $X_\tau = i$  for some  $i \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then,
  - (a)  $\{X_0, \dots, X_{\tau-1}\}$  and  $\{X_{\tau+n}\}_{n \geq 1}$  are independent given  $\{X_\tau = i\}$ .
  - (b) The  $\{X_{\tau+n}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is HMC with the same transition matrix  $P$ .
- **Proof.**
  - (a): We wish to establish the following: For any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[(X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_{\tau-1} = i_{\tau-1}); (X_{\tau+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{\tau+k} = j_k) | X_\tau = i] = \\ & \mathbb{P}[X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_{\tau-1} = i_{\tau-1} | X_\tau = i] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_{\tau+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{\tau+k} = j_k | X_\tau = i]. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we want to prove the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[X_{\tau+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{\tau+k} = j_k | X_\tau = i; X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_{\tau-1} = i_{\tau-1}] = \\ & \mathbb{P}[X_{\tau+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{\tau+k} = j_k | X_\tau = i]. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that above by showing that  $LHS = RHS = p_{ij}$ , where  $k = 1$ . Then, the similar things can be proved for other  $k$  by using induction on  $k$ . Let

$$(A) \triangleq \mathbb{P} \left[ X_{\tau+1} = j_1 | X_{\tau} = i; (X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_{\tau-1} = i_{\tau-1}) \right] = \frac{\mathbb{P} \left[ X_{\tau+1} = j_1; X_{\tau} = i; X_0^{\tau-1} = i_0^{\tau-1} \right]}{\mathbb{P} \left[ X_{\tau} = i; X_0^{\tau-1} = i_0^{\tau-1} \right]},$$

where we use the notation  $X_0^{\tau-1} = (X_0, \dots, X_{\tau-1})$ , and  $i_0^{\tau-1} = (i_0, \dots, i_{\tau-1})$ .

Then, the numerator of (A) reads

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{P} \left[ \tau = n, X_{n+1} = j_1; X_n = i; X_0^{n-1} = i_0^{n-1} \right] = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{P} \left[ X_{n+1} = j_1 | X_n = i; X_0^{n-1} = i_0^{n-1}, \tau = n \right] \cdot \mathbb{P} \left[ \tau = n; X_n = i; X_0^{n-1} = i_0^{n-1} \right]$$

Now, note that  $\{\tau = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . Thus, by (weak) Markovian property of  $X_n$ , we



get:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = j_1 | X_n = i; X_0^{n-1} = i_0^{n-1}, \tau = n\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i\right] = p_{ij}.$$

Then, easy to prove:

$$\text{Num. of (A)} = p_{ij} \cdot \text{Denum. of (A)},$$

i.e., (A) =  $p_{ij}$ . Thus,  $LHS = p_{ij}$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $RHS = p_{ij}$ .  
 (b): We wish to establish that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{\tau+1}^{\tau+k} = i_1^k | X_{\tau} = i_0\right] = \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} p_{i_l i_{l+1}}.$$

The proof for  $k = 1$  follows using the exact same argument as above. Thus, the result follows by induction on  $k$ . □

## Definitions

- **Definition.** Given HMC with transition matrix  $P$ ,  $P^n$  is the  $n$ -step transition matrix, i.e.,  $P^n = [p_{ij}(n)]$ , where  $p_{ij}(n)$  = probability of visiting  $j$  in the  $n$ -step starting from  $i$ .
- **Definition.** Node  $i$  communicates with  $j$  if there exist  $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$ , s.t.  $p_{ij}(n_1) > 0$  and  $p_{ji}(n_2) > 0$ , denoted by  $i \leftrightarrow j$ .
- **Definition.** Communication defines “equivalence class” of HMC: (i) if  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , and  $j \leftrightarrow k$ , then  $i \leftrightarrow k$ , and (ii)  $i \leftrightarrow i$  (since  $p_{ii}(0) = 1$ ).
- **Definition.** A Markov chain is called **irreducible** if there is only one communication class. **Any state can be reachable starting from any other state.**
- An example of a Markov chain that is not irreducible?
- Henceforth, we only consider a irreducible Markov chain.

## Aperiodic HMC

- The period of  $d(i)$  of state  $i \in \mathcal{E}$  is defined by

$$d(i) = \gcd\{n : p_{ii}(n) > 0\}.$$

We call  $i$  **periodic** if  $d(i) > 1$  and **aperiodic** if  $d(i) = 1$ .

- An irreducible HMC is called **aperiodic** if all of its period is aperiodic.
- Period is a class property, i.e., if  $i$  and  $j$  communicate, then they have the same period.
- Thus, it suffices to check one state's aperiodicity for an irreducible Markov chain, if you want to check the aperiodicity of the entire Markov chain.

**Proof.** As  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , there exists integers  $N, M$ , such that  $p_{ij}(M) > 0$  and  $p_{ji}(N) > 0$ . For any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$p_{ii}(M + nk + N) \geq p_{ij}(M)(p_{jj}(k))^n p_{ji}(N).$$

why?

Thus, for any  $k \geq 1$ , such that  $p_{jj}(k) > 0$ , we have  $p_{ii}(M + nk + N) > 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $d_i$  divides  $M + nk + N$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and in particular,  $d_i$  divides  $k$ . Thus,  $d_i$  divides all  $k$ , such that  $p_{jj}(k) > 0$ , in particular,  $d_i$  divides  $d_j$ . By symmetry,  $d_j$  divides  $d_i$ . Thus,  $d_i = d_j$ .

**Example.** Two states 1 and 2.  $p_{12} = 1$  and  $p_{21} = 1$ .

# Recurrence

- **Definition.** Let  $T_i = \min\{k \geq 1 \mid X_k = i\}$ . Then, mentioned earlier,  $T_i$  is a stopping time. State  $i$  is called **recurrent** if  $\mathbb{P}_i[T_i] \triangleq \mathbb{P}[T_i < \infty \mid X_0 = i] = 1$ , otherwise called **transient**.

Starting from a state  $i$ , I will return to the state  $i$  within a finite time with probability 1.

- Let  $f_{ii}^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}[T_i = n \mid X_0 = i]$ , which is the probability that the first return time from  $i$  to  $i$  is  $n$ . Then, from the definition

**Recurrent** if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{ii}^{(n)} = 1$ , and **transient** if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{ii}^{(n)} < 1$ .

- **Lemma.** Let  $N_i = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n = i\}}$  be the number of times state  $i$  is visited. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}_i[T_i < \infty] = 1, \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbb{E}_i[N_i] = \infty.$$

Recurrent state  $i$  iff I visit state  $i$  infinite times!

**Proof.** Let  $f_{ii} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{ii}^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}_i[T_i < \infty]$ . Let  $0 = \tau_0, \tau_1, \dots$ , be times of visit of state  $i$ . Now, suppose  $f_{ii} < 1$ . For  $r \geq 1$ , using strong Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_i[N_i = r] &= \mathbb{P}_i[\tau_1 < \infty, \tau_2 - \tau_1 < \infty, \dots, \tau_{r+1} - \tau_r = \infty] \\ &= \left( \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbb{P}_i[\tau_j - \tau_{j-1} < \infty] \right) \mathbb{P}_i[\tau_{r+1} - \tau_r = \infty] = f_{ii}^r (1 - f_{ii}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathbb{E}_i[N_i] = \sum_r r f_{ii}^r (1 - f_{ii}) = 1/(1 - f_{ii})$ . □

- Note that  $\mathbb{E}_i[N_i] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{ii}(n)$ .
- **Lemma.** For an irreducible HMC, if some  $i \in \mathcal{E}$  is recurrent then any other  $j \in \mathcal{E}$  is recurrent.

Recurrence is a property of the equivalent communication class

- **Proof.** As  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , there exists integers  $N, M$ , such that  $p_{ij}(M) > 0$  and  $p_{ji}(N) > 0$ . We have that:

$$p_{ii}(M + n + N) \geq \alpha \times p_{jj}(n),$$

where  $\alpha = p_{ij}(M)p_{ji}(N)$ . Similarly, we get:

$$p_{jj}(N + n + M) \geq \alpha \times p_{ii}(n).$$

The above means that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{ii}(n)$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{jj}(n)$  either both converge or both diverge.  $\square$

## Invariant Measure

- **Definition.** Let  $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{E}}$  be s.t.  $x_i \in (0, \infty)$ , for all  $i \in \mathcal{E}$ . and  $x^T = x^T P$ : that is

$$x_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} x_j P_{ji}.$$

Then,  $x$  is called an **invariant measure**.

- **Lemma.** [existence] Given an **irreducible recurrent** HMC, there is at least one invariant measure. Specifically consider some  $o \in \mathcal{E}$ . Define,

$$x_i^o = \mathbb{E}_o \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n = i\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{n \leq T_o\}} \right],$$

with  $T_o = \min\{k \geq 1 : X_k = o\}$ . Then, such an  $x^o = (x_i^o)$  is an invariant measure.

irreducibility and recurrence  $\rightarrow$  existence of invariant measure



- What is  $x_i^o$ ?

Starting from  $o$ , the expected number of “meeting”  $i$  until the first return to  $o$ .

- Property of  $x^o$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} x_i^o &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbb{E}_o \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n = i\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{n \leq T_o\}} \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_o \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{n \leq T_o\}} \left( \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n = i\}} \right) \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_o \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{n \leq T_o\}} \right] = E_o[T_o]
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Ah-ha!  $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} x_i^o$  is nothing but an expected minimum time of starting from  $o$ , the chain gets backs to  $o$ .

**Proof.** First, note that

$$x_o^o = \mathbb{E}_o \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n = o\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{n \leq T_o\}} \right] = 1$$

Why? Because  $X_n = o$  only when  $n = T_o$  for any  $n \leq T_o$ . Define:

$$\phi_i(n) = \mathbb{P}_o \left[ X_1 \neq o, \dots, X_{n-1} \neq o, X_n = i \right], \text{ for any } i \in \mathcal{E}.$$

$\phi_i(n)$  is the probability that I am at  $i$  at the  $n$ -step, but not visiting  $o$  before  $n$ .

Then,  $x_i^o = \sum_{n \geq 1} \phi_i(n)$ . Note that  $\phi_i(1) = p_{oi}$ . Using MC's property, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\phi_i(n) = \sum_{j \neq o} \phi_j(n-1)p_{ji}.$$

Summing over  $n$  gives:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^o &= \sum_{j \neq o} \left( \sum_{n \geq 2} \phi_j(n-1)p_{ji} \right) + p_{oi} \\ &= \sum_{j \neq o} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \phi_j(n)p_{ji} \right) + p_{oi} \\ &= \sum_{j \neq o} x_j^o p_{ji} + x_o^o p_{oj} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} x_j^o p_{ji}.$$

Thus,  $x^o$  is an invariant measure as long as we show that  $x_i^o \in (0, \infty)$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{E}$ . Left as an exercise.

- **Lemma.** [uniqueness] For an irreducible HMC, let  $x = (x_i)$ ,  $y = (y_i)$  be two invariant measures. If HMC is recurrent then there exists  $c > 0$ , s.t.  $x_i = cy_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{E}$ .

irreducibility and recurrence  $\rightarrow$  uniqueness of invariant measure upto a multiplicative constant.

**Proof.** Omitted.

- **Remark.** There exists an HMC that are irreducible and possess an invariant measure, yet not recurrent. Consider an asymmetric random walk, where  $x_i = 1$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathcal{E}$  is an invariant measure.

## Positive Recurrence

- **Definition.** State  $i$  of an HMC is positive recurrent if  $\mathbb{E}_i[T_i] < \infty$ . Clearly, a state is recurrent if it is positive recurrent. But, not otherwise.

HMC is positive recurrent if all states are positive recurrent.

- Note that  $\mathbb{E}_i[T_i] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n f_{ii}^{(n)}$ .
- Can you tell just “recurrence” from “positive-recurrence”?
- **Lemma.** [Alternate definition] State  $o \in \mathcal{E}$  is positive recurrent, iff

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} x_i^o < \infty.$$

**Proof.** See (1).

- **Lemma.** [equivalence of positive recurrence] Given an irreducible HMC, if some  $o \in \mathcal{E}$  is positive recurrent then all  $i \in \mathcal{E}$  are positive recurrent.

Positive-recurrence is also a property of the equivalent communication class

**Proof.** Omitted.

## Finite State HMC

- **Lemma.** Given an irreducible HMC, it is positive recurrent if  $\mathcal{E}$  is finite.

State finiteness just with irreducibility automatically implies positive recurrence

The intuition is that if the number of states is finite, then I can get back to my state within a “short” time.

**Proof.** (Sketch)

1. First prove that it is recurrent
2. Then, for the irreducible MC, we know that  $x^o$  is an invariant measure, i.e.,  $x_i^o \in (0, \infty)$ .
3. Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is finite, we should have  $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} x_i^o < \infty$ .

## Stationary Distribution: Existence and Uniqueness

- **Definition.** Let  $\{\pi(i)\}_{i \in \mathcal{E}}$  be an invariant measure of HMC  $P$  such that  $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \pi(i) = 1$ . Then,  $\pi = [\pi(i)]$  is called the stationary distribution of HMC.
- **Stationary distribution = Invariant measure + distribution, i.e.,  $\sum_i \pi(i) = 1$ .**
- **Lemma.** For an **irreducible positive recurrent** HMC, there exists the unique stationary distribution.

**Proof.** (Sketch)

1. irreducibility and (positive) recurrence  $\rightarrow x^o$  is an invariant measure with  $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} x_i^o < \infty$ .
2. define  $\pi(i)$  be the scaled  $x_i^o$  by  $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} x_i^o$ . Then, uniqueness of invariant measure upto a multiplicative constant proves the lemma.

## Stationary Distribution: Convergence

- **Definition.** Given distributions  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ , define a distance between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as

$$d_{TV}(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{E}} [\mu(A) - \nu(A)].$$

“TV” means “Total Variation” used to measure the distance between two distributions.

- **Lemma.** Given an **irreducible, aperiodic, and positive recurrent** HMC on countable state-space  $\mathcal{E}$ , starting from **any** distributions  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{TV}(\mu^T P^n, \nu^T P^n) = 0,$$

i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu^T P^n - \pi| = 0$ .

Start the MC with any initial state that we randomly choose. Then, by running the MC for a long, long time, we go to a stationary regime that is unique.



**Proof.** Omitted.

## Implications

- Positive recurrence implies the existence of stationary distribution
  - Suppose  $\mathcal{E} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
  - $\pi = (\pi(i))$  be a stationary distribution, that is,  $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \pi(i) = 1$ . Hence,

$$P_{\pi}([n, \infty)) = \sum_{i \geq n} \pi(i) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

- That is, with respect to  $\pi$ , the value of MC is finite with probability 1.
- Aperiodicity established that positive recurrent irreducible HMC converges to stationary distribution.
- Thus, in “equilibrium” an aperiodic, irreducible positive recurrent HMC is finite with probability 1.
- **Ergodic** MC: positive recurrent and aperiodic.

- In many papers, ergodicity  $\rightarrow$  HMC is finite w.p. 1 (In that case, we implicitly assume “irreducibility”).
- Stationary Distribution Criteria: If we can compute the stationary distribution, then we know that it is positive-recurrent.

Cannot do it for many applications

Are there other methods for testing positive-recurrence?

Yes. The next slides ...

## Test For Positive Recurrence: Foster's Criteria

- **Lemma.** [Foster's criteria] Given an irreducible HMC on countable state space  $\mathcal{E}$ , let there exist non-negative valued function  $V : \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{R}_+$  such that
  - (a)  $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} p_{ij} V(j) < \infty$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{E}$ ,
  - (b)  $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} p_{ij} V(j) < V(i) - \epsilon$ , for all  $i \notin \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  a finite subset of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Then, HMC is positive-recurrent.

- Intuition?
- **Proof.** Very long proof by proving the following:
  1. Under hypothesis of Lemma, for any  $i \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_i[T(\mathcal{F})] < \infty$ , where  $T(\mathcal{F}) = \min\{k \geq 1 \mid X_k \in \mathcal{F}\}$
  2. For an irreducible HMC, if there is a finite set  $\mathcal{F}$  s.t. for any  $i \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_i[T(\mathcal{F})] < \infty$ , then HMC is positive recurrent.

# Poisson Process

- **Definition.** [Poisson Process] It is a random point process on  $\mathcal{R}_+$  (also called a counting process), defined by monotonically non-decreasing sequence of r.v.s.  $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  that satisfy the following conditions:
  - (a)  $T_0 = 0$ ,
  - (b)  $T_n - T_{n-1} \stackrel{D}{=} \exp(\lambda)$ :  $\lambda$ : parameter of process
  - (c)  $(T_n - T_{n-1})$  are i.i.d.
- Let  $N((a, b]) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{1}_{(a, b]}(T_n)$ . Then,  $N(t) = N((0, t])$  is the number of “points” of process upto time  $t$ ; which captures the essence of the process.
- Property
  - (i) For all  $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_k$ ;  $N((t_i, t_{i+1}])$ ,  $i \geq 0$  are independent.
  - (ii)  $N((a, b])$  is Poisson r.v. with mean  $\lambda(b - a)$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}[N(a, b] = k] = \exp(-\lambda(b - a)) \frac{(\lambda(b - a))^k}{k!}$$

## Splitting and Merging

- How to approximate Poisson process with discrete time process?
- Exercise
  1. Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be independent Poisson process of parameters  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . Then, the union of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  is also Poisson process of parameter  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ .
  2. Let  $P$  be a Poisson process of parameter  $\lambda$ . Let's split  $P$  by marking each point of  $P$  by 1 with prob.  $p$  and 2 with prob  $1 - p$  independently. Then, points marked by 1 (resp. 2) form a Poisson process of parameter  $\lambda p$  (resp.  $\lambda(1 - p)$ ).

## Continuous Time HMC

- Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be finite or countable state space. Let  $X(t), t \geq 0$  be a process living in  $\mathcal{E}$ . It satisfies the following conditions:

(a)

$$\mathbb{P}[X(t+s) = j | X(s) = i, X(s_1), \dots, X(s_l)] = \mathbb{P}[X(t+s) = j | X(s) = i],$$

for any  $0 \leq s_l \leq s_1 \leq s$ ,

(b)  $\mathbb{P}[X(t+s) = j | X(s) = i] = \mathbb{P}[X(t+s') = j | X(s') = i] = p_{ij}(t).$

Let  $P(t) = [p_{ij}(t)]$  be called the transition **semi-group** of continuous time HMC

**Question.** We have  $p_{ij}(t)$  that depends on time  $t$ . So, this continuous MC is non-homogeneous MC? **No! Just  $t$ -step matrix, not time-dependent.**

- Transition Rate Matrix (also called *infinitesimal generator of the semi-group*  $P(t)$ ),  $Q = [q_{ij}]$ , defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} q_i &\triangleq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - p_{ii}(h)}{h}, \\ q_{ij} &\triangleq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_{ij}(h)}{h}, \\ q_{ii} &\triangleq -q_i \end{aligned}$$

- In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}(h) &= q_{ij}h + o(h) \\ p_{ii}(h) &= 1 + q_{ii}h + o(h) \end{aligned}$$



## Embedded Markov Chain

- We are interested in a special type of continuous time HMC.

Given a Poisson process with  $\lambda$ , let  $\{T_n\}$  be its jump times. Let  $\{\hat{X}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a discrete time HMC, independent of Poisson process. Let us define a continuous time random process  $X(t)$  as follows:

$$X(t) \triangleq \hat{X}_{N(t)}$$

Then  $X(t)$  is a continuous time HMC. Why? Can you visualize this continuous chain?

**Check.** (a) and (b) hold for this definition?

- We call  $\{\hat{X}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  **embedded HMC** of  $X(t)$ .
- Used for analysis of systems modeled by continuous MC through discrete MC. See the next slide.

## Remark: How to study continuous MC through discrete MC?

A. The definition of  $X(t)$  implies that for  $\lambda > 0$ , w.p. 1,  $N(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $T_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus, property of irreducibility, recurrence, and positive recurrence remain identical for  $\hat{X}_n$  and  $X(t)$ . That is, we can carry over the **technology** of discrete time HMC for such continuous time HMCs.

B. Let  $\pi$  be time-stationary distribution of  $X(t)$ . Then, it must be the time-stationary distribution of  $\hat{X}_n$ . This is primarily due to property of Poisson process:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[X(t) = j | N(t, t + \delta) = 1] &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[X(t) = j; N(t, t + \delta) = 1]}{\mathbb{P}[N(t, t + \delta) = 1]} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[X(t) = j] \cdot \mathbb{P}[N(t, t + \delta) = 1]}{\mathbb{P}[N(t, t + \delta) = 1]} \end{aligned}$$

Why is the last equality true?

- The above implies that sampling according to time is the same as sampling according to the Poisson process. Thus, if  $\pi$  is stationary distribution for  $X(t)$  then so is for  $\hat{X}_n(t)$  and vice-versa.

# References

[Bremaud, 1999] Bremaud, P. (1999). *Markov Chaing: Gibbs Fields, Monte Carlo Simulation, and Queues*. Springer.