Supplemental Materials to Subspace-divided Federated Representation Learning

ANONYMOUS AUTHORS

ACM Reference Format:

1 PROOF OF THEOREM 1

1.1 Mathematical Notations

Let G_t be the local objective function of client t, a.k.a, the model in the client. Then FDRL aims to

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{W}_{t}} \mathcal{G}_{t} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{W}_{t}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{t}} \mathcal{L}_{t}(\mathcal{F}_{t}(\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{t}}(\mathbf{x}_{i}), \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{\mathbf{W}}^{t}}(\mathbf{x}_{i}))) + \alpha \mathcal{S}_{m}(\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{t}}, \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{\mathbf{W}}^{t}}) + \beta \mathcal{S}_{r}(\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{t}}, \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{\mathbf{W}}^{t}})$$
(1)

where $\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{W}^t}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\widehat{W}^t}$ are the shared model and the not-shared model in client $t, \mathcal{F}_t(\cdot)$ is the integration function, $\mathcal{S}_m(\cdot)$ is the model distance function and $\mathcal{S}_r(\cdot)$ is the representation distance function. Both $\mathcal{S}_m(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{S}_r(\cdot)$ are convex functions.

TO BE CONVENIENT in proofs, we consider FDRL sharing the entire model but just returning the same parameters expect for the shared sub-model. Let \mathcal{G} be the global objective function, that is

$$arg\min_{\mathbf{W}^*} \mathcal{G} = arg\min_{\mathbf{W}^*} \sum_{t=1}^m \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{W}^*}(\mathcal{G}_t)$$
 (2)

where \mathbf{W}^* is the parameter of the global model.

For simplicity, we let \mathbf{W}_k^t , \mathbf{Q}_k^t and \mathbf{H}_k^t be the parameters of the shared sub-model, the not-shared sub-model and the local head in client t at e k-th step, where \mathbf{Q}_k^t and \mathbf{H}_k^t are abused by

$$Q_k^t = [\mathbf{0}, \cdots, \frac{1}{p_t} Q_k^t, \cdots, \mathbf{0}]^T \in \mathcal{R}^m$$

$$\mathbf{H}_k^t = [\mathbf{0}, \cdots, \frac{1}{p_t} \mathbf{H}_k^t, \cdots, \mathbf{0}]^T \in \mathcal{R}^m.$$
(3)

Besides, we denote by $Q_k = [Q_k^1, Q_k^2, \cdots, Q_k^m]^T$ and $H_k = [H_k^1, H_k^2, \cdots, H_k^m]^T$ the parameters of all sub-models and heads in m clients, respectively.

Let I_{τ} be the set of update steps of the FDRL model, i.e., $I_{\tau} = \{n\tau \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. If $k+1 \notin I_{\tau}$, each local client updates parameters by stochastic gradient descent (sgd). If $k+1 \in I_{\tau}$, all clients communicate with the server. The parameters in the client are updated by

$$(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime}) = (\mathbf{W}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{k}^{t}) - \eta_{k} \nabla \mathcal{G}_{t}(\mathbf{W}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{k}^{t}; \xi_{k}^{t})$$
(4)

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

$$(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{t}) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) & \text{if } k+1 \notin I_{\tau} \\ (\sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t} \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) & \text{if } k+1 \in I_{\tau} \end{cases}$$

$$(5)$$

where m is the number of clients; η_k is the learning rate on k-th step; $p_t = 1/m$ is the weight for the t-th client.

In Eq. (4), $(\mathbf{W}'_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1}^t)$ represents the updated result of the sgd step from $(\mathbf{W}_k^t, \mathbf{Q}_k^t, \mathbf{H}_k^t)$. And in Eq. (5), when $k+1 \in I_\tau$, one aggregation step is performed to update \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^t , and when $k+1 \notin I_\tau$, $(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^t)$ is still equal to $(\mathbf{W}'_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1}^t)$. To have an intuition on this setting, we can write the update link into

$$(\mathbf{W}_{0}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{0}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{0}^{t}) \xrightarrow{sgd} (\mathbf{W}_{1}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{1}^{\prime}{}^{t}) \xrightarrow{:=} (\mathbf{W}_{1}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{1}^{t}) \xrightarrow{sgd} (\mathbf{W}_{2}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{2}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{2}^{\prime}{}^{t}) \xrightarrow{:=} \cdots \xrightarrow{sgd} (\mathbf{W}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}) \xrightarrow{comm} (\mathbf{W}_{\tau}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{\tau}^{t}) \xrightarrow{sgd} (\mathbf{W}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}) \xrightarrow{comm} \cdots \xrightarrow{sgd} (\mathbf{W}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}) \xrightarrow{comm} \cdots \xrightarrow{sgd} (\mathbf{W}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}) \xrightarrow{comm} \cdots \xrightarrow{sgd} (\mathbf{W}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}) \xrightarrow{sgd} \cdots \xrightarrow{sgd} (\mathbf{W}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}) \xrightarrow{sgd} \cdots \xrightarrow{sgd} (\mathbf{W}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau}^{\prime}{}^{t})$$

From this update link, we define two virtual sequences as follows:

$$(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{k}', \mathbf{H}_{k}') = \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t}(\mathbf{W}_{k}'^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}'^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{k}'^{t}) = (\sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t}\mathbf{W}'^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}', \mathbf{H}_{k}')$$

$$(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}, \mathbf{H}_{k}) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t}(\mathbf{W}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{k}^{t}) = (\sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t}\mathbf{W}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}, \mathbf{H}_{k})$$
(6)

where $(\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1})$ is the actual result of one SGD step from $(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_k, \mathbf{Q}_k, \mathbf{H}_k)$.

In addition, we let $\overline{\mathbf{g}}_k = \sum_{t=1}^m p_t \nabla \mathcal{G}_t(\mathbf{W}_k^t, \mathbf{Q}_k^t, \mathbf{H}_k^t)$ and $\mathbf{g}_k = \sum_{t=1}^m p_t \nabla \mathcal{G}_t(\mathbf{W}_k^t, \mathbf{Q}_k^t, \mathbf{H}_k^t; \boldsymbol{\xi}_k^t)$, leading to $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{g}_k = \overline{\mathbf{g}}_k$.

With these notations, we perform the average operator on two sides of Eq. (4) and then arrive at

$$(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}^{\prime},\mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime},\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime})=(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k},\mathbf{Q}_{k},\mathbf{H}_{k})-\eta_{k}\mathbf{g}_{k}.$$

1.2 Lemmas

To analysis the convergence of FDRL, we use the proof method proposed in the work of Li et al. [1] in our study. Frist of all, we set up the following three lemmas that can be proven easily by using the prior works. Note that we here rewrite them for self-contained explanations. Please see the literature [1] for more details.

LEMMA 1.1. Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. If $\eta_k \leq \frac{1}{4I}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}',\mathbf{Q}_{k+1}',\mathbf{H}_{k+1}') - (\mathbf{W}^+,\mathbf{Q}^+,\mathbf{H}^+)\|^2 &\leq (1-\eta_k\mu)\mathbb{E}\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_k,\mathbf{Q}_k,\mathbf{H}_k) - (\mathbf{W}^+,\mathbf{Q}^+,\mathbf{H}^+)\|^2 + \eta_k^2\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{g}_k - \overline{\mathbf{g}}_k\|^2 \\ &+ 6L\eta_k^2\Gamma + 2\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^m p_t\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_k,\mathbf{Q}_k,\mathbf{H}_k) - (\mathbf{W}_k^t,\mathbf{Q}_k^t,\mathbf{H}_k^t)\|^2. \end{split}$$

where $(\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{H}^+)$ is the optimal parameter, $\Gamma = \mathcal{G}^+ - \sum_{t=1}^m p_t \mathcal{G}_t^+ \ge 0$, \mathcal{G}^+ is the minimum value of global update and \mathcal{G}_t^+ is the minimum value of \mathcal{G}_t .

LEMMA 1.2. Let Assumption 3 hold

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{g}_k - \overline{\mathbf{g}}_k\|^2 \le \sum_{t=1}^m p_t^2 \sigma_t^2.$$

Lemma 1.3. Let Assumption 4 hold. η_k is non-increasing and $\eta_k \leq 2\eta_{k+\tau}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t \| (\overline{\mathbf{W}}_k, \mathbf{Q}_k, \mathbf{H}_k) - (\mathbf{W}_k^t, \mathbf{Q}_k^t, \mathbf{H}_k^t) \|^2\right] \leq 4\eta_k^2 (\tau - 1)^2 G^2.$$

1.3 Proof of Theorem 1

PROOF. From the previous definitions in Eq. (4), we have the following two analyses.

1) If $k+1 \notin I_{\tau}$,

$$(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^t) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) = (\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime})$$

2) If $k + 1 \in I_{\tau}$,

$$\begin{split} (\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) &= \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^t) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t(\sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime \ t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime \ t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime \ t}) \\ &= (\sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime \ t}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime \ t}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime \ t}) \\ &= (\sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t \overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime}) \\ &= (\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime}) \end{split}$$

Hence, $(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) = (\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1})$. Denote by $\Delta_k = \mathbb{E}\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_k, \mathbf{Q}_k, \mathbf{H}_k) - (\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{H}^+)\|^2$. From Lemma 1, Lemma 2, Lemma 3, like that used in [1], we also arrive at

$$\Delta_{k+1} \le (1 - \eta_k \mu) \Delta_k + \eta_k^2 B \tag{7}$$

where

$$B = \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t^2 \sigma_t^2 + 6L\Gamma + 8(\tau - 1)^2 G^2.$$

Then, referring to the prior work [1], we let $\kappa = L/\mu$, $\gamma = max\{8\kappa, \tau\}$ and $\eta_k = \frac{2}{\mu(\gamma + k)}$, and finally have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_k, \mathbf{Q}_k, \mathbf{H}_k)] - \mathcal{G}^+ \le \frac{\kappa}{\gamma + K - 1} \left(\frac{2B}{\mu} + \frac{\mu\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E} \| (\overline{\mathbf{W}}_1, \mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{H}^+) \|^2 \right) \tag{8}$$

where

$$B = \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t^2 \sigma_t^2 + 6L\Gamma + 8(\tau - 1)^2 G^2.$$

2 PROOF OF THEOREM 2

2.1 Mathematical Notations

With respect to the case of partial client participation in FDRL, a random multiset S_k will be chosen in the k-th communication round. In our setting, S_k contains a subset of T indices uniformly sampled from all clients without replacement. That is, each client in S_k only occurs once in a communication round. We also consider our problem as a problem of sharing all parameters but keeping the local non-shared sub-model and the local head, and then rewrite the update link as

$$(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) = (\mathbf{W}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{k}^{t}) - \eta_{k} \nabla \mathcal{G}_{t}(\mathbf{W}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{k}^{t}; \xi_{k}^{t})$$
(9)

$$(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{t}) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) & \text{if } k+1 \notin I_{\tau} \\ (\sum_{t \in S_{k+1}} p_{t} \frac{m}{T} \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) & \text{if } k+1 \in I_{\tau} \end{cases}$$
(10)

where m is the number of clients; η_k is the learning rate on k-th step; $p_t = 1/m$ in our study is the weight for the t-th client.

2.2 Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. If $k + 1 \in I_{\tau}$, there has

$$\mathbb{E}_{S_{k}}(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) = (\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1}). \tag{11}$$

PROOF. In [1], there has an important observation. That can be expressed as follows. Letting $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ denote a fixed sequence, a multiset S_k with size T is sampled in the k-th round, where x_t is sampled with probability q_t . Let $S_k = \{i_1, ..., i_T\} \subset [m]$. The observation [1] now is

$$\mathbb{E}_{S_k} \sum_{t \in S_k} x_t = \mathbb{E}_{S_k} \sum_{t=1}^{T} x_{i_t} = T \mathbb{E}_{S_k} x_{i_1} = T \sum_{t=1}^{m} q_t x_t$$

where $q_t = \frac{1}{m}$ in our study, then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{S_{k}}(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) &= \mathbb{E}_{S_{k}} \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t}(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{t}) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t} \mathbb{E}_{S_{k}} (\sum_{t \in S_{k+1}} p_{t} \frac{m}{T} \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t} (\mathbb{E}_{S_{k}} (\sum_{t \in S_{k+1}} p_{t} \frac{m}{T} \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}), \mathbb{E}_{S_{k}} (\mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}), \mathbb{E}_{S_{k}} (\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t})) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t} (p_{t} \frac{m}{T} \mathbb{E}_{S_{k}} (\sum_{t \in S_{k+1}} \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}), \mathbb{E}_{S_{k}} (\mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}), \mathbb{E}_{S_{k}} (\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t})) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t} (p_{t} \frac{m}{T} T \sum_{t=1}^{m} q_{t} \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} q_{t} \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} q_{t} \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) \\ &= (\sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t} p_{t} \frac{m}{T} T \sum_{t=1}^{m} q_{t} \mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t} \sum_{t=1}^{m} q_{t} \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_{t} \sum_{t=1}^{m} q_{t} \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) \\ &= (\sum_{t=1}^{m} q_{t} \overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} q_{t} \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} q_{t} \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) \\ &= (\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime}) \end{split}$$

Thus, the conclusion in Eq. (11) is obtained.

LEMMA 2.2. If $k+1 \in I_{\tau}$, assume that η_k is non-increasing and $\eta_k \leq 2\eta_{k+\tau}$ for all $k \geq 0$. With $p_t = 1/m$, the expected difference between $(\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1})$ and $(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1})$ is bounded by

$$\mathbb{E}_{S_k} \| (\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1}) - (\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) \|^2 \le \frac{m - T}{m - 1} \frac{4}{T} \eta_k^2 \tau^2 G^2$$

PROOF. It is easy to reach by using the prior works in [1]. Please refer for more details.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 2

PROOF. Towards the convergence analysis, we have

$$\begin{split} &\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) - (\mathbf{W}^{+}, \mathbf{Q}^{+}, \mathbf{H}^{+})\|^{2} \\ &= \|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) - (\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1}) + (\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1}) - (\mathbf{W}^{+}, \mathbf{Q}^{+}, \mathbf{H}^{+})\|^{2} \\ &= \|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) - (\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1})\|^{2} + \|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1}) - (\mathbf{W}^{+}, \mathbf{Q}^{+}, \mathbf{H}^{+})\|^{2} \\ &+ 2\langle (\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) - (\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1}), (\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1}) - (\mathbf{W}^{+}, \mathbf{Q}^{+}, \mathbf{H}^{+}) \rangle. \end{split}$$

where the third term will vanishes resulted from Lemma 4 if taking expectation over S_{k+1} .

1) If $k + 1 \notin I_{\tau}$, it is

$$(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^t, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^t) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} p_t(\mathbf{W}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime t}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime t}) = (\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\prime}).$$
(13)

Thus, the first term in Eq. (12), i.e., $\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) - (\overline{\mathbf{W}}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}'_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}'_{k+1})\|^2 = 0$. And then, following Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) - (\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{H}^+)\|^2 \leq (1 - \eta_k \mu) \mathbb{E}\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_k, \mathbf{Q}_k, \mathbf{H}_k) - (\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{H}^+)\|^2 + \eta_k^2 B^2 + \eta_k^2$$

2) If $k + 1 \in I_{\tau}$, we use Lemma 5,

$$\mathbb{E}\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{H}_{k+1}) - (\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{H}^+)\|^2 \le (1 - \eta_k \mu) \mathbb{E}\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_k, \mathbf{Q}_k, \mathbf{H}_k) - (\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{H}^+)\|^2 + \eta_k^2 (B + C)$$

Finally, referring to the prior works [1], we let κ, γ, η_k and B be defined in Theorem 1 and achieve

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_k, \mathbf{Q}_k, \mathbf{H}_k)] - \mathcal{G}^+ \le \frac{\kappa}{\gamma + K - 1} \left(\frac{2(B + C)}{\mu} + \frac{\mu \gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|(\overline{\mathbf{W}}_1, \mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{H}^+)\|^2\right)$$
(14)

where
$$C = \frac{4(m-T)}{T(m-1)}\tau^2 G^2$$
.

REFERENCES

[1] Xiang Li, Kaixuan Huang, Wenhao Yang, Shusen Wang, and Zhihua Zhang. 2019. On the Convergence of FedAvg on Non-IID Data. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.