计算物理第11次作业

吴远清 2018300001031

作业一

分析

由于蒙特卡洛模拟是随机过程模拟,并且在此过程中,可能出现不可逆过程.(例如,对于铁磁相的物质,设其内部磁畴处于杂乱分布.初始时轻微的扰动(随机过程),均会使其向全体磁畴呈+1/-1的相坍缩.).因此,对于每一次模拟,我们需要经历足够长的时间,以使其充分平衡.另外,对于一组给定的参数,我们应当从初始值出发,多次进行模拟,以避免自发对称破缺导致的偶然结果.

代码实现

由于计算量较大, 我们使用 c++ 进行计算, 得到的数据输出后使用 python 进行拟合和处理.

首先, 我们将Ising模型抽象为一个类:

```
//ising_model.h
// Created by yqwu on 29/11/2020.
#ifndef UNTITLED_ISING_MODEL_H
#define UNTITLED_ISING_MODEL_H
#endif //UNTITLED_ISING_MODEL_H
#pragma once
class ising_model
{
public:
    ising_model(unsigned int L, unsigned int N, float J, float kB, float T,
float H, float Mu, unsigned int boudary);
   ~ising_model();
    void update();
    float run(int run_step);
    float* M;
    void set_magnet_field(float H);
private:
   int** spin;
    float j, kb, t, h, mu;
    unsigned int size, steps, boundary_condition, current_step;
    bool flip(int* s, unsigned int n);
    void bound_process(unsigned int i, unsigned int j);
    void statistic(unsigned int i);
};
```

之后是具体实现;

```
//ising_model.cpp
// Created by yqwu on 29/11/2020.
```

```
#include "ising_model.h"
#include <cmath>
#include <cstdlib>
#include <ctime>
#include <fstream>
#include <sstream>
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
ising_model::ising_model(unsigned int L, unsigned int N, float J, float kB,
float T, float H, float Mu, unsigned int boudary)
    srand(time(NULL));
    j = J;
    size = L;
   steps = N;
   kb = kB;
    t = T;
    h = H;
    mu = Mu;
   current_step = 0;
    spin = new int* [size];
    boundary_condition = boudary;
    for(auto i = 0; i < size; i++)
        spin[i] = new int[size];
    }
    for(auto i = 0; i < size; i++)
        for(auto j = 0; j < size; j++)
        {
            spin[i][j] = 1;
            //spin[i][j] = rand() % 2 == 1 ? 1 : -1;
        }
    }
   M = new float[steps];
}
ising_model::~ising_model()
    for(auto i = 0; i < size; i++)
        delete[] spin[i];
    delete[] spin;
    delete[] M;
}
bool ising_model::flip(int* s, unsigned int n)
    float Ef = 2*mu*h*s[0];
    for(auto i = 1; i < n; i++)
        Ef += 2*j*s[0]*s[i];
    if(Ef<0)
```

```
return true;
    }
    else
        if (rand() < exp(-Ef / (kb * t)) * RAND_MAX)
        {
            return true;
        }
        else
            return false;
        }
    }
}
void ising_model::bound_process(unsigned int i, unsigned int j)
    switch (boundary_condition)
        case 0:
                      //Period boundary condition.
        {
            int s[5] = {spin[i][j], spin[(i + 1) \% size][j], spin[i == 0 ? size]}
-1:i-1][j],
                        spin[i][(j + 1) \% size], spin[i][j == 0 ? size - 1 : j -
1]};
            if (flip(s, 5)) {
                spin[i][j] = -1 * spin[i][j];
            }
        }
                    //Free boundary condition
        case 1:
            if ((i == 0 || i == size-1) & (j == size - 1 || j == 0))
 //Corner
                int s[3] = {spin[i][j], spin[i == 0 ? 1 : size - 2][j], spin[i]
[j == 0 ? 1 : size - 2];
                if (flip(s, 3)) {
                    spin[i][j] = -1 * spin[i][j];
                }
            }
            else
                                                                //Edge
                if (i == 0 || i == size - 1) {
                    int s[4] = {spin[i][j], spin[i == 0 ? 1 : size - 2][j],}
spin[i][j + 1], spin[i][j - 1]};
                    if (flip(s, 4)) {
                        spin[i][j] = -1 * spin[i][j];
                    }
                }
                    int s[4] = {spin[i][j], spin[i][j == 0 ? 1 : size - 2],}
spin[i + 1][j], spin[i - 1][j]};
                    if (flip(s, 4)) {
                        spin[i][j] = -1 * spin[i][j];
                    }
```

```
}
        }
    }
}
void ising_model::update()
    for(auto i = 0; i < size; i++)
        for(auto j = 0; j < size; j ++)
            //cout << i << " " << j << endl;
            if(i==0 || j==0 || i==size-1 || j==size-1)
                bound_process(i, j);
            else
                int s[5] = { spin[i][j], spin[i + 1][j] ,spin[i - 1][j] ,spin[i]
[j + 1] ,spin[i][j - 1] };
                if(flip(s, 5))
                    spin[i][j] = -1 * spin[i][j];
            }
        }
    }
}
void ising_model::statistic(unsigned int i)
    float tot_m = 0;
    for(auto i = 0; i < size; i++)
        for(auto j = 0; j < size; j++)
            tot_m += spin[i][j];
        }
    //cout << tot_m/(size*size) << endl;</pre>
    M[i] = tot_m/(size*size);
}
float ising_model::run(int run_step)
{
    if (run_step==0)
        run_step = steps - current_step;
    float totM = 0;
    for(int j=0; j < run_step; current_step++,j++)</pre>
    {
        update();
        statistic(current_step);
        totM += M[current_step];
```


```
}
return totM/steps;
}

void ising_model::set_magnet_field(float H) {
    h = H;
    //cout << "Magnetic filed amplitude has change:" << h << endl;
}
</pre>
```

之后调用这个类,进行模拟,在这里,我们的参数T取值范围为0.1~3.0,取值间距0.01,共取290个参数值.对于每个参数值,我们在10x10的模型上模拟1000步,这样的过程对于每个参数值重复200次,取平均得到相应的M.

```
void Q1()
{
    float t = 0.1, end = 3.0, step = 0.01;
    unsigned int repeat = 200;
    while(t < end)
    {
        float totM = 0;
        for(auto i = 0; i < repeat; i++)
        {
            ising_model I(10, 1000, 1, 1, t, 0, 0.1, 0);
            totM += I.run(0);
        }
        std::cout << t << "," << totM/repeat << std::endl;
        t += step;
    }
}</pre>
```

结果分析



可以明显看出相变的过程.

按照题目所给方式进行拟合:

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from scipy.optimize import curve_fit
```

```
def meshSearch(t, m):
    min = 1000000000000
    minIJ = [1,1]
    for i in np.linspace(2, 2.27, 50):
        for j in np.linspace(1/8-0.05, 1/8+0.05, 50):
            T = (i - t) > 0
            #print(np.power(i-t[T], j))
            err = np.sum(np.abs(m[T] - np.power(i-t[T], j)))
            if err < min:
                print(err, i, j)
                min = err
                minIJ = [i,j]
    return min, minIJ
if __name__ == '__main__':
    T,M = [],[]
    with open('data.txt','r',encoding='UTF-8') as fp:
        data = fp.readlines()
    for i in data:
        T.append(float(i.split(',')[0]))
        M.append(float(i.split(',')[1]))
    T = np.array(T)
    M = np.array(M)
    print(meshSearch(T,M))
    plt.title("T-M relation")
    plt.plot(T,M,label='Magnetization')
    plt.xlabel('T')
    plt.ylabel('M')
    plt.legend()
    plt.show()
```

结果为:

$$\beta = 0.123$$

$$Tc = 2.039$$

可见, β 的值很接近1/8, T_c 也确实位于 $2\sim 2.27$ 之间.

作业二

分析

与题目中要求的顺序不符, 我们先对不同的边界条件进行理论分析.

首先, 不管对于何种边界条件, 控制内部磁畴翻转的都是 E_{flip} , 我们考虑已完全磁化的磁体, 假设其内部磁畴朝向均为+1,与 t_0 时刻的外加磁场同向.

对于非边界的磁畴,不同的边界条件没有影响,而对于边界上的磁畴,则有所不同.

对于周期性边界条件, 当磁场翻转后(与磁化方向相反):

$$E_{flip} = 8J - 2\mu H$$

而对于自由边界条件,由于其仅有两个/三个临近磁畴,其翻转能量为:

$$E_{flip} = 6J - 2\mu H$$
 (for edge)

$$E_{flip} = 4J - 2\mu H$$
 (for corner)

意味着,相较于周期性边界条件,自由边界条件下,边界上的磁畴更容易翻转.

如果考虑实际中的磁体,由于磁体体积相较于边界的单层原子要大许多,因此周期性边界条件应当更加适合.

代码实现

仍然基于题一中的代码,事实上,在题一的代码中,我们已经实现了自由边界条件.

具体计算代码如下:

```
void Q2() {
    {
        ising_model I(10, 2000, 1, 1, 0.5, 0, 0.5, 0);
        I.set_magnet_field(1.5);
        I.run(1000);
        I.set_magnet_field(-1.5);
        I.run(0);
        for (auto i = 0; i < 2000; i++) {
            std::cout << I.M[i] << ",";
        std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
    }
    {
        ising_model I(10, 2000, 1, 1, 0.5, 0, 0.5, 1);
        I.set_magnet_field(1.5);
        I.run(1000);
        I.set_magnet_field(-1.5);
        I.run(0);
        for (auto i = 0; i < 2000; i++) {
            std::cout << I.M[i] << ",";
        }
        std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
    }
}
```


数据分析代码如下:

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

if __name__ == '__main__':
    with open('./cmake-build-debug/Q2.data','r') as fp:
        data = fp.readlines()
    H1,H2,H3 = [],[],[]
    for i in data[0].split(',')[:-1]:
        H1.append(float(i))
    for i in data[1].split(',')[:-1]:
        H2.append(float(i))
    B,t = [1,-1],[999,1000]
    print(H1,H2)
    T = range(len(H1))
    plt.plot(T,H1, label = 'periodic')
```

```
plt.plot(t,B, label = 'Magnet field change')
plt.plot(T,H2,label = 'free')
plt.legend();
plt.show()
```

结果分析



结果如图,可以明显看出,对于两种边界条件,均存在磁滞现象,但是自由边界条件更快速的翻转到与磁场方向相同的状态.这与我们的理论预期相符.