CS100 Homework 7 Problem 1

Unit Test: Inheritance and Operator Overloading

Deadline: May 20 23:59

Answer the following questions according to the C++17 standard. For the compiler-generated special member functions, ignore whether they are **constexpr** or **noexcept**.

1. Read the following code.

```
auto x = 42;
const auto y = x;
auto z = y;
auto &r = y;
auto m = r;
(a) (1 point) Write down the type of x.

(b) (1 point) Write down the type of y.

(c) (1 point) Write down the type of z.

(d) (1 point) Write down the type of r.

(e) (1 point) Write down the type of m.
```

(e) _____

2. (5 points) Read the following code.

```
class Dynarray {
   friend std::string foo();

public:
   using size_type = std::size_t;
   static const size_type npos = -1;

   friend int fun(int);
};
```

Let a and b be two objects of type Dynarray. Which of the following is/are true?

- A. foo is a function that takes no arguments and returns a std::string.
- B. foo is a private friend of Dynarray, while fun is a public friend of Dynarray.
- C. To access the type alias member size_type, use a.size_type.

- D. The in-class initialization for npos is allowed, because npos is a const static member.
- E. a.npos and b.npos refer to different variables.
- F. fun is not a member function of Dynarray.
- G. The public access modifier does not apply to the type alias member size_type. Type alias members are always public.
- 3. (5 points) Suppose Derived is a derived class of Base, with some members defined as follows. Which of the following statements is/are true?

```
class Derived : public Base {
  public:
    Derived() = default;
    Derived(const Derived &) = default;
    // other members are omitted.
};
```

- A. An object of type Derived always contains a subobject of type Base, unless Base is an empty class that may be optimized out by Empty Base Optimization.
- B. The default constructor of **Derived**, if not implicitly deleted, calls the default constructor of **Base** to initialize the base class subobject before initializing all its members.
- C. A constructor of Derived may or may not call a constructor of Base. If no constructor of Base is called, the base class subobject is not contained in that object and the data members are not inherited.
- D. The compiler-generated copy constructor for **Derived**, if not implicitly deleted, copies the base class subobject first, and then performs member-wise copy of the data members.
- 4. (5 points) Read the following code.

```
class Base {
 private:
  std::string someMemberA;
 public:
  ~Base() = default;
};
struct Derived1 : public Base {
  std::string someMemberB;
  ~Derived1() = default;
};
struct Derived2 : public Base {
  std::string someMemberC;
};
int main() {
  Base *bp = new Derived1{};
  delete bp;
```

Which of the following statements is/are true?

- A. The compiler-generated destructor of Base is virtual.
- B. Derived2 does not have a destructor.
- C. The destructor of Derived1 is virtual, while the destructor of Derived2 is not.
- D. If the destructor of Base is virtual, the compiler-generated destructors of Derived1 and Derived2 are both virtual.

- E. To make delete bp call the correct destructor corresponding to the dynamic type of *bp, the destructor of Base must be virtual.
- F. Base::someMemberA is not inherited by Derived1, because it is a private member of Base.
- 5. (5 points) Read the following code.

```
class Base {
   std::string someMemberA;
};
class Derived : public Base {
   std::string someMemberB;
};
int main() {
   Base *bp = new Derived{};
   delete bp;
}
```

Which of the following statements is/are true?

- A. The compiler-generated destructor of Base is non-virtual.
- B. The compiler-generated destructor of Derived is non-virtual.
- C. delete bp; will call the destructor of Base, because the static type of *bp is Base.
- D. If we use smart pointers instead, i.e.

```
int main() {
   std::unique_ptr<Base> up = std::make_unique<Derived>();
}
```

The destructor of Derived will be called.

6. (5 points) Let Derived be a derived class of Base. Suppose Base has a member function declared as follows.

```
class Base {
  public:
    int foo(int a, const std::string &b) const;
};
```

Select the definitions of Derived in which the member function foo overrides Base::foo.

```
A. class Derived {
    public:
        int foo(int a, const std::string &b) const;
    };
B. class Derived : public Base {
    public:
        int foo(int x, std::string const &y) const;
    };
C. class Derived : public Base {
        private:
        int foo(int, const std::string &) const;
    };
D. class Derived : public Base {
        public:
            virtual int foo(int a, const std::string &b) const;
    };
```

- E. None of the above.
- 7. (5 points) Let **Derived** be a derived class of **Base**. Suppose **Base** has a member function declared as follows.

```
class Base {
  public:
    virtual double calculate(const std::vector<double> &c, double x) const;
};
```

Select the definitions of Derived in which the member function calculate overrides Base::calculate.

```
A. class Derived : public Base {
    public:
        using dvec = std::vector<double>;
        double calculate(dvec const &c, double xx) const;
    };
B. class Derived : public Base {
    public:
        virtual double calculate(const std::vector<double> &, double) const;
    };
C. class Derived : public Base {
    public:
        virtual double calculate(const std::vector<double> &, double);
    };
D. class Derived : public Base {
    private:
        virtual double calculate(const std::vector<double> &, double) const;
    };
```

Note: The best way to know whether a function overrides a virtual function in the base class is to consult the compiler by adding the keyword **override**.

8. (5 points) Read the following code.

E. None of the above.

```
class Shape {
 public:
 virtual double area() const = 0;
 virtual Shape *clone() const = 0;
 virtual ~Shape() = default;
};
class Rectangle : public Shape {
 double m_length, m_breadth;
 public:
 Rectangle(double l, double b) : m_length{l}, m_breadth{b} {}
                   const override { return m_length * m_breadth; }
 double area()
 Rectangle *clone() const override { return new Rectangle(*this); }
};
class Circle : public Shape {
 double m_radius;
 public:
 Circle(double r) : m radius{r} {}
 double area() const override { return 3.14159 * m radius * m radius; }
 Circle *clone() const override { return new Circle(*this); }
};
```

Which of the following is/are true?

- A. This code does not compile, because the return types of Rectangle::clone and Circle::clone are not identical to that of Shape::clone.
- B. Creating an object of type Shape is not allowed, because Shape is an abstract class.

```
C. Shape *sp = some_value();
auto p = sp->clone();
```

The type of **p** is determined at runtime according to the dynamic type of *sp. For example, **p** will be of type Rectangle * if sp points to a Rectangle.

```
D. Shape *sp = some_value();
  Circle *cp = new Circle(2);
  auto p1 = sp->clone();
  auto p2 = cp->clone();
```

The type of p1 is Shape * while the type of p2 is Circle *.

To override a virtual function, the return type must be identical to **or covariant with** that of the corresponding function in the base class. This example shows a typical situation where the covariant-with" rule is made use of. A similar example can be found in *More Effective C++* Item 25.

9. (5 points) Read *Effective C++* Item 32. Select the best design that correctly represents the idea Penguins cannot fly".

```
A. class Bird {
    public:
     virtual void fly() = 0;
   };
   class Penguin : public Bird {
    public:
     void flv() override {
       error("Penguins cannot fly!"); // reports a runtime error.
   };
B. class Bird { /* fly() is not declared */ };
   class FlyingBird : public Bird {
    public:
     virtual void fly() = 0;
   class Penguin : public Bird { /* fly() is not declared */ };
C. class Bird {
    public:
     virtual void fly() = 0;
     // ...
   };
   class Penguin : public Bird {
    public:
     void fly() override = delete;
     // ...
   };
D. class Bird {
    public:
```

Page 5

```
virtual void fly() = 0;
};
class Penguin : public Bird {
   // fly() is not overridden
};
```

Read Effective C++ Item 34, and answer the following three questions.

Suppose we have three different kinds of dialogue boxes:

```
class DialogueBox { // abstract base
   std::string caption;

public:
   virtual void display();
   virtual ~DialogueBox() = default;
   // Other members ...
};
class Alert : public DialogueBox { // An alert box
   // ...
};
class Confirm : public DialogueBox { // The "yes-or-no" box
   // ...
};
class Progress : public DialogueBox { // "loading ..."
   // ...
};
```

All different kinds of dialogue boxes must support the display() interface.

10. (5 points) Suppose there is no well-defined default behavior for displaying a dialogue box if we don't know what kind of dialogue box it is (Alert, Confirm or Progress). Select the best design for DialogueBox::display.

```
A. class DialogueBox {
     virtual void display(); // without a definition
   };
B. class DialogueBox {
    public:
     virtual void display() = 0; // declared as pure virtual
   };
C. class DialogueBox {
     virtual void display() {} // defined and does nothing
   };
D. class DialogueBox {
    public:
     virtual void display() { // reports a runtime error
       error("Calling DialogueBox::display is not allowed.");
     }
   };
```

11. (5 points) Suppose we provide a default behavior for displaying a dialogue box, which draws a box of default size and displays its caption. This default behavior may be used when it is needed, but we

don't want it to be inherited automatically. DialogueBox should still be an abstract class. Select the acceptable designs to achieve this.

```
A. class DialogueBox {
    std::string caption;
    public:
    virtual void display() = 0;
    protected:
    void defaultDisplay() {
       auto handle = Screen::drawBox(DEFAULT_SIZE);
       handle.setCaption(caption);
    }
  };
B. class DialogueBox {
     std::string caption;
    public:
    virtual void display() {
       if (typeid(*this) == typeid(DialogueBox))
         error("display() should not be called on an abstract class.");
       auto handle = Screen::drawBox(DEFAULT SIZE);
       handle.setCaption(caption);
    }
  };
C. class DialogueBox {
    std::string caption;
    public:
    virtual void display() = 0;
  };
  void DialogueBox::display() {
    auto handle = Screen::drawBox(DEFAULT_SIZE);
    handle.setCaption(caption);
```

- D. Declare DialogueBox::display as pure virtual without a definition. If the default behavior is needed, just copy-and-paste that piece of code.
- 12. (5 points) Which of the following statements regarding designs is/are true?
 - A. A class should be made abstract **only when** at least one of its member functions needs to be declared pure virtual.
 - B. If a class should be made abstract but none of its member functions should be pure virtual, we may declare its destructor as pure virtual with a definition, like this:

```
class ShouldBeAbstract {
  public:
    virtual ~ShouldBeAbstract() = 0;
};
ShouldBeAbstract::~ShouldBeAbstract() {
    // ...
}
```

- C. Inheritance of a pure virtual function is inheritance of interface.
- D. Inheritance of an impure virtual function is **inheritance of interface with a default implementation**.
- E. To force an implementation of a member function to be inherited, the base class should define it as non-virtual.

13. (5 points) Read the following code. Suppose DialogueBox is an abstract class.

```
class DialogueBox { // abstract base
 public:
 virtual ~DialogueBox() = default;
 // ...
};
class Alert : public DialogueBox { // An alert box
 // ...
};
class Confirm : public DialogueBox { // The "yes-or-no" box
};
class Progress : public DialogueBox { // "loading ..."
};
int getDialogueType(const DialogueBox &dia) {
  auto ptr = &dia;
 if (dynamic_cast<const Alert *>(ptr))
    return 1;
  else if (dynamic_cast<const Confirm *>(ptr))
    return 2;
 else if (dynamic cast<const Progress *>(ptr))
    return 3;
  return 0;
}
Which of the following statements is/are true?
   A. Using the magic numbers 0, 1, 2 and 3 is a bad idea. It should be replaced with enum:
      enum class DialogueType {
        base, alert, confirm, progress
      };
      DialogueType getDialogueType(const DialogueBox &dia) {
        auto ptr = &dia;
        if (dynamic_cast<const Alert *>(ptr))
          return DialogueType::alert;
        else if (dynamic_cast<const Confirm *>(ptr))
          return DialogueType::confirm;
        else if (dynamic_cast<const Progress *>(ptr))
          return DialogueType::progress;
        return DialogueType::base;
      }
   B. Here dynamic_cast<const Alert *>(ptr) can be replaced with the C-style cast (const Alert
      *)ptr.
   C. dynamic_cast<const Alert *>(ptr) returns a null pointer if the cast fails, while dynamic_cast<const
      Alert &>(dia) throws std::bad cast on failure.
   D. Compared to the following implementation that uses a group of virtual functions, the original one
      that uses three dynamic casts is preferable, because it does not add any members to the classes.
      enum class DialogueType {
        alert, confirm, progress
```

Page 8

class DialogueBox {

```
public:
           virtual ~DialogueBox() = default;
           virtual DialogueType getType() const = 0;
         };
         class Alert : public DialogueBox {
          public:
           DialogueType getType() const override { return DialogueType::alert; }
         };
         class Confirm : public DialogueBox {
          public:
           DialogueType getType() const override { return DialogueType::confirm; }
         };
         class Progress : public DialogueBox {
           DialogueType getType() const override { return DialogueType::progress; }
           // ...
         };
         auto getDialogueType(const DialogueBox &dia) {
           return dia.getType();
         }
14. (5 points) Read the following code.
   class Uncopyable {
     Uncopyable(const Uncopyable &);
     Uncopyable &operator=(const Uncopyable &);
     Uncopyable() = default;
   };
   class A : public Uncopyable {
     int x;
   };
   class B {
     Uncopyable _;
     int x;
   class C : Uncopyable {
     int x;
   };
```

Which of the following is/are true?

- A. The copy constructor and copy assignment operator for A and C are implicitly deleted.
- B. The copy constructor and copy assignment operator for B are implicitly deleted.
- C. sizeof(A) is probably equal to sizeof(int) due to Empty Base Optimization.
- D. sizeof(B) is never equal to sizeof(int).
- E. A reference to Uncopyable can be bound to an object of type C without any explicit casts.

This example shows an interesting way of using inheritance. The **=delete** syntax for declaring deleted functions was not introduced until C++11, and this was a good way of disabling compiler-generated copy operations before C++11. Polymorphism is not used here, so **Uncopyable** does not need a virtual destructor. Inheritance of **Uncopyable** can even be private (as it is for C).

- 15. (5 points) Which of the following is/are true?
 - A. If a binary operator @ is defined as a non-member function, the expression a @ b is equivalent to operator@(a, b).
 - B. If a binary operator @ is defined as a member function, the expression a @ b is equivalent to either a.operator@(b) or b.operator@(a).
 - C. Operator overloading may redefine the associativity of the operator, but cannot redefine the precedence of it.
 - D. The expression a += b will be treated as a = a + b if there is no matching operator+= available.
- 16. (5 points) When writing overloaded operators, we should adhere to conventions and make them have similar behaviors as the built-in operators, unless there is an arguable reason not to do so. Select the behaviors that adhere to the conventions.
 - A. The postfix increment operator (x++) returns a copy of the object before incrementation.
 - B. The prefix increment operator (++x) returns a copy of the object after incrementation.
 - C. The assignment operator (=), as well as compount assignment operators (+=, -=, etc.), should return a reference to the left-hand side object.
 - D. The relational operators (==, !=, <, <=, >, >=) should be consistent with each other. For example, a < b is true if and only if a >= b is false.
- 17. (5 points) Unlike the named functions, the name of an overloaded operator provides very little information about the exact behavior of it. Just consider concat(a, b) vs a + b, compareByID(a, b) vs a < b, p1.getX() vs *p1, v1 * v2 vs dot_product(v1, v2), etc. Therefore, it is not recommended to use operator overloading unless there is a unique, clear and natural definition for it.</pre>

Among the following overloaded operators, select the abused ones that should be replaced with a named function.

```
A. struct Student {
     std::string name;
     int chinese_score;
     int math_score;
     int english score;
   bool operator<(const Student &lhs, const Student &rhs) {</pre>
     return lhs.math score < rhs.math score;</pre>
   }
B. struct Node {
     int vertexID;
     int dist;
     bool operator<(const Node &rhs) const {</pre>
       return dist > rhs.dist;
     }
   };
C. struct ReverseIterator { // iterate in the reverse direction
     int *current;
     ReverseIterator &operator++() {
       --current;
       return *this;
     }
   };
```

```
D. class Vector { // "vector" in linear algebra
    std::vector<double> elements;
public:
    auto dimension() const {
        return elements.size();
    }
    Vector operator*(const Vector &rhs) const {
        assert(dimension() == rhs.dimension());
        auto ret = *this;
        for (std::size_t i = 0; i != dimension(); ++i)
            ret.elements[i] *= rhs.elements[i];
        return ret;
    }
};
```

18. (5 points) Consider a class representing a vector in the 3-d space. Suppose we also store the ℓ_2 -norm of it because it is used very frequently.

```
class Vec3 {
  double x_, y_, z_;
  double l2_norm_;
};
```

We will read the coordinates of vectors from input, so let's define an input operator for convenience.

```
std::istream &operator>>(std::istream &is, Vec3 &v) {
   std::cin >> v.x_ >> v.y_ >> v.z_;
   v.l2_norm_ = std::sqrt(v.x_ * v.x_ + v.y_ * v.y_ + v.z_ * v.z_);
}
```

Which of the following is/are true?

- A. Suppose v is an object of type Vec3. std::cin >> v is equivalent to operator>>(&std::cin, v).
- B. Since the return type is std::istream &, several inputs can be chained as follows:

```
Vec3 v; int ival; std::string s;
std::cin >> v >> ival >> s;
```

This does not lead to errors or undefined behaviors.

C. The first line of the function body should be changed to

```
is >> v.x_ >> v.y_ >> v.z_;
```

- $\mathrm{D}.$ This operator>> should be declared as a friend of Vec3.
- E. This operator>> handles input failures correctly. If some error happens when reading $v.x_{,}$ $v.y_{and}$ and $v.z_{,}$ $v.l2_{norm}$ will be zero.
- 19. (5 points) Consider a class Rational representing a rational number. Here are some members.

```
class Rational {
  int numerator;
  int denominator; // This should always be positive.

void simplify();

public:
  Rational(int x = 0) : numerator{x}, denominator{1} {}
  Rational(int num, int denom) : numerator{num}, denominator{denom} { simplify(); }
```

```
bool operator==(const Rational &rhs) {
    return numerator == rhs.numerator && denominator == rhs.denominator;
}
Rational &operator++();
Rational operator++(int a);
};
```

Let r be an object of type Rational, and cr be an object of type const Rational. Which of the following is/are true?

- A. The expression cr == r compiles.
- B. The expression r == cr compiles.
- C. The expression $\Gamma == 1$ compiles.
- D. The expression 1 == r compiles.
- E. The expression ++ Γ is equivalent to Γ .operator++(), while Γ ++ is equivalent to Γ .operator++(0).
- F. The parameter int a in the last member function indicates that the number is incremented by a.

```
G. Rational Rational::operator++(int) {
    auto tmp = *this;
    ++*this;
    return tmp;
}
```

This is a correct implementation for the postfix increment operator, as long as the implementation of the prefix version is correct.

- 20. (5 points) Which of the following is/are true?
 - A. The boolean logic operators && and || are rarely overloaded, because the overloads cannot implement short-circuit evaluation.
 - B. The address-of operator & can be overloaded.
 - C. In case the address-of operator is overloaded, we can use std::addressof(x) to take the address of an object x.
 - D. Since there is no built-in operator+ for pointers, we can define an operator+ for const char * operands to concatenate strings:

```
std::string operator+(const char *lhs, const char *rhs) {
  return std::string(lhs) + rhs;
}
```

Page 12