

Congressional Record

United States of America

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 118^{th} congress, first session

Vol. 169

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, JULY 26, 2023

No. 129

Senate

The Senate met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the President protempore (Mrs. Murray).

PRAYER

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Today's opening prayer will be offered by Rev. Dr. Richard Gibbons of the First Presbyterian Church of Greenville, SC.

The guest Chaplain offered the following prayer:

Let us join our hearts and minds together as we pray.

Gracious God and loving Heavenly Father, in seeking Your presence today, we recognize that You are eternal in nature, infinite in love, holy in every aspect of Your being, yet immanent in grace.

Today, we ask that You would refresh and renew each Senator, stimulating and sustaining within them nobility of character, focused wisdom, inspired direction, and a profound dependency on You as they seek to serve these United States.

Grant to this upper Chamber the tender touch of Your Holy Spirit, equipping each lawmaker with thoughtful, measured, prescient leadership, capable of prolific solutions equal to the demands of the 21st century.

As Senators and their staff move toward the end of this legislative session and they are weary or perhaps frustrated, nourish within them a unified and energized focus on national priorities, compassionately supporting communities in need, inspiring new generations of civic leaders, and modeling for each one credibility, integrity, and authenticity as we seek to be "one nation under God"

Father, we bring our prayers to You through the Name of Christ our Lord. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Republican leader is recognized.

BIDEN ADMINISTRATION

Mr. McCONNELL. The Biden administration has been working overtime to sell the American people a fantasy. The President would like working families who struggled under 2 years of soaring inflation to believe their refrain that "Bidenomics is working for America."

The White House Press Secretary declared recently that "people are feeling better about their personal finances." If only that were true. Unfortunately, the American people have too many reasons to believe their financial outlook is worse today—worse—than when the President took office.

According to a survey conducted last month, just 24 percent of voters say the American economy is on the right track; less than a third buy the claim that this administration's policies are bringing costs down for working families; and just 22 percent think inflation is getting any better.

No matter how many ways the administration officials spin the numbers, folks who work for a living and manage a family budget know that Bidenomics has made their lives harder. They know that prices have risen 16.6 percent since the President took office because they feel it every time they pay their bills. Energy prices, for example, are 38 percent higher than

they were in January of 2021, and groceries are 20 percent more expensive.

In Washington State, one man reported recently that soaring rent had forced him to move, take on a longer commute, spend more on gas, and put his goal of homeownership on hold.

In Illinois, one woman told a reporter recently that she had paid \$90 for groceries that would feed her family of three for 3 days. They might have to start visiting food pantries. "You have no choice but to sacrifice," she said.

So look at it this way: During the first terms of the last four administrations before this one, year-on-year inflation never cracked 4 percent. Under President Biden, it happened 26 times. To borrow the President's own phrase, that is Bidenomics in action.

As one top Democratic economist put it last year, "This is Biden's inflation and he needs to own it."

So it is fitting that the President finally slapped his name on our current economic situation. He is right to take credit. Working families wouldn't be in this mess if he hadn't spent his first few months in office cramming \$2 trillion in leftwing spending down the throat of our economy. So owning the runaway inflation Washington Democrats helped produce is one thing, but it is about time they focused on fixing it.

PRIME MINISTER GIORGIA MELONI

Mr. McCONNELL. Now, on a different matter, tomorrow, Prime Minister Meloni of Italy will visit Washington for a series of meetings. I look forward to welcoming her to the Capitol at an important time for our two countries' friendship and for Italy's role in the transatlantic alliance.

Prime Minister Meloni took office as Europe faced its first large-scale land war in decades and Italy faced the increasing economic vulnerabilities of reliance on China. By all accounts, she has addressed these challenges head-on.

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



The Prime Minister has repeatedly asserted Italy's commitment to helping Ukraine beat Russian aggression and rebuild its economy. Importantly, like some leaders, she has done so with refreshing clarity to the Italian people about their own country's concrete interest in helping Ukraine defend itself.

Earlier this spring, in an address to the Italian Senate, Prime Minister Meloni summed up the reality. She said:

We are also sending [arms] to the Ukrainians to prevent the possibility of having to use them ourselves one day. We are sending arms to Ukraine also to keep the war far away from the rest of Europe and our home.

Not vaguely defining philanthropy; just cold, hard investments in our own security.

At the NATO summit in Vilnius earlier this month, Italy's leader rightly declared:

Our freedom has a cost. . . . [W]hat is invested in defence comes back tenfold, a hundredfold, in terms of our ability to defend our national interests.

Secretary General Stoltenberg has recognized the Prime Minister's "strong, personal commitment to NATO, [and] to our trans-Atlantic alliance"

And the Italian Government has expressed its intention to accelerate progress toward the alliance's 2-percent defense spending program. I hope and expect to see Italy and all NATO allies meet this goal.

This shift in Italy's approach to defense and security policy reflects what allies have recognized across Europe: that the long holiday from history is over, and their investments and hard power are overdue.

But as Prime Minister Meloni meets with President Biden tomorrow, it is important to remember that our shared interests extend beyond Europe. Italy is rightly concerned about growing instability, terrorism, and migration flows in Africa—yet another area where Russian and Chinese influence has played a corrosive and threatening role. America has a shared interest in keeping pressure on the global terrorist resurgence that has followed the disastrous withdrawal from Afghanistan.

I am also encouraged that the Italian government is unraveling its involvement with China's so-called Belt and Road Initiative, another indication our European allies are taking steps to protect themselves against China's economic force.

So if we are serious about competition with the PRC, we need to work more closely with allies and partners who share our interest in preserving a world of free and fair trade and secure supply chains.

I am hopeful that President Biden and Prime Minister Meloni have a productive meeting tomorrow. I look forward to discussing our two nations' common challenges and priorities with her directly.

In the meantime, I am hopeful our colleagues will continue to work dili-

gently to provide for common defense and equip America and our allies to meet and deter common threats.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, today, the Senate will continue making progress on the NDAA, one of the most important bills of the year and something that for more than six decades has passed with bipartisan support.

Yesterday, we adopted two important amendments to the NDAA, adding to the Senate's work on outcompeting the Chinese Government. Both amendments-one by Senators CORNYN and CASEY and one by Senators Rounds and TESTER—passed overwhelmingly, with 91 "yes" votes each. It is not often that 91 Senators can unite on a single measure, let alone two measures. So to see us unite on outcompeting the Chinese Government was an important demonstration that this issue remains broadly bipartisan and something we will continue working on throughout the year.

This morning, we will hold another vote on an amendment by Senators WARNOCK and BUDD halting the harassment of our servicemembers by debt collectors. I hope this will also enjoy broad support.

Last night, we sent out a hotline with a number of additional amendments. I am hopeful we can lock in an agreement soon to begin voting on some of them

Since last Wednesday, the Senate has voted on eight amendments here on the floor and adopted seven more by voice vote. This is how the process should work.

Finally, I will also keep working with the Republican leader, Chair REED, and Ranking Member WICKER on a second managers' package that will have more accomplishments both sides can embrace, and I appreciate the coperation and good faith of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle.

I have said repeatedly that the NDAA is an opportunity for the Senate to show we can work on the biggest issues facing our country through bipartisanship, cooperation, and honest debate. That is what we have seen play out so far this year on the floor—bipartisanship. The NDAA process in this Cham-

ber is a welcome departure from the contentious, chaotic, and partisan race to the bottom we saw in the House.

As I have also said, if we continue embracing bipartisanship, we will finish our work on the NDAA before the start of the August State work period. We wish to finish the NDAA as soon as we can. There is no reason for delay. We aren't quite there yet—there is still some more work to be done—but we are close

I thank my colleagues for their cooperation, and the Senate will continue working on the NDAA until the job is done.

(Mr. BENNET assumed the Chair.)

INFLATION REDUCTION ACT

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, on the IRA anniversary—when we passed the Inflation Reduction Act last year, I said here on the floor that it would endure as one of the defining legislative feats of the 21st century. Just 1 year later, the IRA is paying huge dividends for the American people, for our economy, and for our environment.

The Republicans claimed the IRA would make inflation worse. One of our Republican colleagues claimed the IRA would cut jobs and fuel inflation. But since we passed the IRA, inflation has gone not up but down. We are lowering costs day by day for the American people with the passage of this legislation. Inflation is now half of what it was a few years ago.

Across the board, costs are coming down for the American family. For the first time ever, we made it possible for Medicare to negotiate the price of prescription drugs, helping Americans save at the pharmacy. Because of the IRA, vaccines are free for Medicare beneficiaries, and a cap on out-of-pocket drug spending—no senior will have to pay more than \$2,000 a year for drugs—is coming very soon.

What a relief for people, lowering costs on one of the things that bothered them the most—the high cost of prescription drugs. That is what we Democrats are doing, while over there in the House, the Republicans are just racing to the bottom.

We also capped the price of insulin for seniors on Medicare to \$35 a month, spurring major companies like Eli Lilly and Novo Nordisk to follow suit. Millions of people who buy their health insurance on the ACA exchanges are now saving hundreds—hundreds—of dollars each month.

Indeed, we are lowering costs. That is what we promised to do.

On top of these savings, I also said the Inflation Reduction Act would kick-start the era of affordable clean energy and create countless good-paying, green jobs. The Inflation Reduction Act has done just that too.

From the new wind turbine facilities in New York to the solar facilities in Arizona, the IRA is paving the way for America to lead in clean energy manufacturing. Eighty new clean energy

manufacturing facilities have been announced across the country, employing thousands and thousands of people—and not just in junky, low-wage jobs but in good, high-pay, high-skill jobs, with training to boot. Again, these new facilities mean even more good-paying jobs for years to come in construction, manufacturing, clean energy, and so much more.

As the new jobs are being created, wage growth continues to go up. It is now exceeding inflation. So the amount brought home in your paycheck has gone up more than the cost of goods has gone up. That is a new thing. It only happened in the last few years.

The policies that we have passed here in the Senate—that Democrats have passed here in the Senate, frankly—are making a huge difference. Of course, we are only getting started. As we continue implementing the IRA, the American people will see more evidence of the Democratic agenda working for them.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, on AI, finally, with so much going on in the Senate, I want to remind my colleagues that today we will hold our third all-Senate briefing on artificial intelligence. Our presenters are Rick Stevens from the Department of Energy's Argonne National Lab, Dr. Sethuraman "Panch" Panchanathan from the National Science Foundation, and Dr. Kathleen Fisher from the In-Office Innovation formation DARPA. Finally, our moderator will be Dr. Jose-Marie Griffiths, member, National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence, and president of Dakota State University. It is a broad range of presenters.

The last few briefings were well-attended. I know people are busy today, but please try to make the time. And the Q-and-A was surprisingly very direct. We got a lot of answers and learned a lot.

So I look forward to seeing my colleagues at the briefing for what surely will be an illuminating, important discussion, and I thank everyone for their good work.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024—Resumed

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of S. 2226, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 2226) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

Pending:

Schumer (for Reed/Wicker) amendment No. 935, in the nature of a substitute.

Schumer amendment No. 936 (to amendment No. 935), to add an effective date.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Washington.

S. 2226

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, last week, we saw an important step to recognize the legacy of our nuclear weapons program and live up to our obligations to the people and communities still touched by that work. And, no, I am not talking about a movie. The new release may focus on part of the story, but there is another important chapter I will not let us overlook or forget, one that takes place in my home State of Washington, one that is not over yet. That is Hanford, where men and women in my State are diligently now doing the hard, dangerous work of cleaning up one of the most hazardous nuclear waste sites on the planet.

As some of my colleagues may know, during World War II, the Federal Government established the Hanford Site in Central Washington State to produce the plutonium our Nation needed for nuclear weapons. Hanford wasn't just where they made the plutonium; it is also where they left 177 tanks, 56 million gallons of highly toxic radioactive waste. For decades now, workers have been doing the critically important work and very dangerous work of cleaning up that site.

I have fought for decades to make sure the Federal Government lives up to its moral and legal obligation to support our Hanford workers and clean up the Hanford Site, and I am still fighting to make sure we live up to those obligations today. That is why I meet regularly with workers from Hanford to hear about the challenges they are facing and the help they need. It is exactly why I have been pushing so hard to get my Bervllium Testing Fairness Act passed, and I was thrilled that the Senate voted overwhelmingly last week-96 to 2-to add this to the annual Defense bill.

My legislation makes sure that workers are getting support to deal with one of the most dangerous threats they face at Hanford—beryllium exposure. This is a serious health risk that can cause severe respiratory disease, irreversible scarring of the lungs, and lung

Now, Congress passed legislation in 2000 providing care to those who have made incredible sacrifices by working on our nuclear arsenal. I fought to make sure this covered the medical costs for those with chronic beryllium disease and provided cash benefits to

people who have been diagnosed with that disease. But here is the thing: Not everyone who needs those critical medical benefits for beryllium exposure can get them today. That is because the diagnostic standard is outdated and out of line with current science.

Right now, to qualify for advanced medical monitoring, you have to show an abnormal blood test. But if your blood test is borderline for beryllium sensitization, that doesn't count toward your diagnosis at all—even when you are plainly experiencing the effects of beryllium exposure or even if it is your third such borderline result. That is not right, and by the way, it is not consistent with today's science.

Workers in America who are cleaning up one of the most toxic and radio-active nuclear sites on the planet should not have to jump through cumbersome and unnecessary hoops and have the care they need delayed or denied all because the standard is outdated. That is why my bill will update that statute and bring it in line with an OSHA rule that was finalized actually under the last administration so that three borderline tests count as conclusive and more workers can get the care they need.

Let me take a step back to make clear why this policy matters. Less than a year ago, when I met with Hanford workers to talk about my bill and to hear their stories, I heard from one worker whose name was Tina. She talked about her friends and neighbors. people who power the work at Hanford. She talked about how a colleague's mom got beryllium disease, and then she retired. After many years of working at the site, she is now not chasing her grandkids around. She can't. She doesn't have the lung capacity to run around and play with her grandchildren. It is heartbreaking, and it is not an uncommon story in the Tri-Cities. That is why this bill matters.

Yes, it is technical. Yes, it may not seem like a big difference if you are not involved in this kind of work day to day. But this bill will make sure we don't lose precious time getting workers the support they need to manage this awful disease.

I am glad we are on track to get this passed into law now, and there is a lot more I want to get done to make sure we are living up to the obligation to take care of those workers. But this is meaningful, important progress. They may not be telling the stories of these workers on the silver screen yet, but as long as I am in the Senate, you can bet their voices will be heard in the Halls of our Nation's Capitol.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, in 1793, in his annual address to Congress, George Washington noted:

If we desire to avoid insult, we must be able to repel it; if we desire to secure peace, one of the most powerful instruments of our rising prosperity, it must be known that we are at all times ready for war.

Or in the words of another President nearly 200 years later:

We maintain the peace through our strength; weakness only invites aggression.

The United States has a well-deserved reputation for strength, and aggressors think twice before tangling with the U.S. military. But strength has to be maintained, and we have not done a good job, or good-enough job, of that lately.

Five years ago, the bipartisan National Defense Strategy Commission released a report warning that our readiness had eroded to the point where we might struggle to win a war against a major power like China. While we have made some progress since then at rebuilding our readiness, we are still a long way from where we need to be.

Recent U.S. war games envisioning a United States-China conflict following an attack on Taiwan have had grim results, showing enormous military and economic costs on both sides. One news story on these war games noted:

And while the ultimate outcome in these exercises is not always clear—the U.S. does better in some than others—the cost is [clear]. In every exercise the U.S. uses up all its long-range air-to-surface missiles in a few days, with a substantial portion of its planes destroyed on the ground.

Let me just repeat that last line:

In every exercise the U.S. uses up all its long-range air-to-surface missiles in a few days, with a substantial portion of its planes destroyed on the ground.

I don't need to tell anyone that that is a profoundly concerning position for us to be in. China is growing increasingly aggressive in the Indo-Pacific and has also adopted an increasingly aggressive posture toward the United States, and it is investing heavily in its military.

If we want to deter China aggression, we have to ensure that our military is strong enough to make China want to avoid challenging us. We can't accomplish that if we would run out of key munitions in a few days of combat.

While China has to be a major focus when it comes to our defense policy, it is far from the only threat out there. Russia's war of aggression in Ukraine is all the reminder that we need that Russia is not a peaceful nation. North Korea launched two missiles just this Monday. Iran continues to pursue its aggressive nuclear agenda, threaten Israel, and attempt to seize ships in the Persian Gulf. And the list goes on; which brings me to this year's National Defense Authorization Act, which the Senate expects to wrap up this week.

I am pleased to report that this year's NDAA makes real progress on the readiness front. It bolsters our security posture in the Indo-Pacific and deepens our ties with Taiwan. It rejects the President's dangerous plan to shrink the U.S. Navy and authorizes investment in new ships. It also contains multiple measures to increase our supply of munitions, including the addition of six critical munitions to the Pentagon's multiyear procurement program.

Two of these munitions—Tomahawk missiles and MK-48 torpedoes—play an important role in our ability to deter China.

On the European front, the bill invests in Russia deterrence by continuing support for Ukraine in its fight against Russian aggression, and it bolsters oversight to ensure U.S. funding is being used appropriately.

The NDAA also holds NATO members accountable for investing in their own defense by prioritizing our links with partners who meet the commitment to spend 2 percent of their GDP on defense.

This year's bill also ensures that our military keeps its focus on defense and not divisive Democratic social initiatives by limiting so-called diversity, equity, and inclusion bureaucracy.

Above all, I am proud to report that this year's bill authorizes full funding for the next steps of the B-21 mission—the Air Force's new long-range strategic bomber which will revolutionize our long-range strike capabilities and be hosted at South Dakota's own Ellsworth Air Force Base.

I have said it before, and I will say it again: If we don't get national security right, the rest is conversation. If there is one area in which we can't fail, it is providing for the defense of our Nation.

This year's National Defense Authorization Act is not sufficient to address all of our Nation's readiness issues, but it makes an important down payment on boosting our preparedness. And I look forward to supporting it later this week

I hope that we will continue to have a robust amendment process so that other important ideas can be considered and all Members have a chance to make their voices heard. And I hope that Congress will continue to make investing in our military a top priority.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

${\bf IMMIGRATION}$

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, on Monday, President Biden's Department of Justice filed suit against my State, Texas, over our efforts to secure our border. Of the 2,000-mile southern border, Texas has a 1,200-mile border with Mexico; and, of course, that has been

the epicenter of the humanitarian and public safety crisis that we have seen get nothing but worse over the last 2 years.

It is almost laughable, if it wasn't so serious. The administration filed suit over what it called humanitarian concerns, which is more than a little ironic. This is the same administration whose policies have ushered in an unprecedented humanitarian and public security crisis at the southern border.

I have talked previously about the 300,000 children—unaccompanied children—who have been placed with sponsors in the interior of the United States by the Biden administration during the last 2½ years and the fact that the administration has lost track of at least 85,000 of those 300,000 children. When they were contacted or attempted to be contacted by the Office of Refugee Resettlement and Health and Human Services, 30 days after they were placed with a sponsor, there was no answer and no followup by the administration.

This is the same administration that turned a blind eye when countless young migrants were being exploited on American soil. The New York Times has run two investigative pieces pointing out that, essentially, the administration doesn't know where any of these 300,000 unaccompanied children are—whether they are going to school, they are getting whether healthcare attended to, whether they are being recruited into gangs or sexually abused or otherwise neglected. They don't know, and, apparently, they don't care because, if they did care, then they would make the effort to find out and do something about it.

Vice President HARRIS was designated as the administration's border czar during this unprecedented crisis, which has taken a devastating toll on migrants, law enforcement, and border communities, and it has affected every city in the country, from New York to Washington, DC, to Chicago. Every State, in a sense, has been affected by the border crisis and has become a border State in that sense.

Vice President HARRIS, despite her effort to do anything to address this crisis at the border, has had the audacity to criticize Governor Abbott's actions as "inhumane," "outrageous," and "un-American." Of course, she can't be bothered to actually go to the border and find out what is happening there on her own.

Now, there are a lot of misconceptions about the border. People who haven't been there haven't learned for themselves or from the experts at Border Patrol and the nongovernmental organizations that do their best to try to take care of these people. Vice President HARRIS simply hasn't bothered to learn, yet she has the audacity to criticize Governor Abbott for doing what he has to do because of the failure of the Biden administration to do its job. The unavoidable reality is we wouldn't be in this situation if it

weren't for President Biden abdicating his responsibilities.

This is an international border, as we all know. That, by definition, is the Federal Government's responsibility, but the Biden administration has simply, as I said, abdicated its responsibilities, with tragic consequences. Unlike President Biden, Governor Abbott took action. His constituents—my constituents—30 million Texans, insisted upon it, and he put measures in place to try to deter migrants from attempting the dangerous journey from their home across the border into the United States.

We know, particularly now with temperatures in the triple digits, that migrants face a brutal environment: heat, dangerous waters, treacherous terrain. And, sadly, many migrants do not survive the journey.

Does President Biden understand that, last year alone, at least 748 people died making their way across the southern border?

I know he has never been to Brooks County, which is the location of one of the interior checkpoints where the coyotes will drive people up from the stash houses along the border, short of the checkpoint, and tell the migrants, "Get out of the vehicle, and here is a gallon jug of water"—and maybe a candy bar—"and meet me on the top side, on the north side of the border checkpoint," in order to evade the interior checkpoints.

Well, Brooks County had so many migrants who died under those circumstances, making that trek around the checkpoint, that they didn't have the money to actually bury the bodies, and so we actually had to try to provide some additional resources to help them do that.

Again, the hypocrisy of the Biden administration complaining about the State trying to do its best to deal with a vacuum when it comes to Federal responsibility is absolutely ridiculous. So 748 people, we know, died trying to come across the southern border, and they are complaining about efforts to try to deter or dissuade people from making that dangerous trip in the first place. That is what Governor Abbott is trying to do and being criticized by the very people who are not doing their job.

If the President is unhappy with the actions Texas has taken, there is a clear solution: Do your job. If the President and his administration did their job, there would be no need for the State to use its resources and its tax dollars to do the job that the Federal Government should be doing. Until then, Governor Abbott has every right to use the powers available to him to keep our State safe, to protect our citizens. That is his right as an elected head of a sovereign State.

I want to make a point of thanking all the countless Federal, State, and local law enforcement officers as well as the National Guardsmen from Texas, the Department of Public Safety, and others who have been deployed to the border for their tireless work to protect our State and our country. They deserve our commendation and our appreciation, not criticism, particularly when it is so misguided and unfair.

The Biden administration may not appreciate the efforts of the State of Texas, but the vast majority of us see, understand, and are grateful for everything Texas guards, local law enforcement, DPS, and others are doing to keep our country safe.

S. 2226

Mr. President, on another matter, the Senate is in the process of fulfilling one of its most important responsibilities, and that is protecting the safety and security of our Nation by advancing the National Defense Authorization

I want to commend Senator REED and Senator WICKER, the chairman and ranking member of the Armed Services Committee, for their leadership on this bill and for maintaining the bipartisan process that has historically guided this legislation.

Congress has managed to overcome partisan differences to pass a Defense authorization bill for each of the past 62 years. That is quite an accomplishment, and I hope we can build on that record of success again this year.

Our colleagues on the Armed Services Committee compiled a strong bill, and I am glad the Senate now has an opportunity to try to improve it further by offering and voting on various amendments.

Yesterday evening, the Senate adopted a bipartisan amendment I introduced with Senator Casey, the Senator from Pennsylvania, to strengthen our ability to counter threats from China. It does this by providing greater visibility into certain investments American entities are making in China and other countries of concern. Our amendment received overwhelming bipartisan support. It passed by a vote of 91 to 6, which is incredibly rare these days.

I want to express my gratitude to Senator CASEY and all of our colleagues who worked together—particularly on the Banking Committee and others—and thank them for supporting this amendment and working with us to overcome this initial hurdle.

We know when this bill goes to conference with the House of Representatives, there will be other discussions about this topic, but it is important that we have a strong vote on this outbound investment transparency provision because we need to know what American companies are doing to help grow the economy of our chief competitor on the planet, which is using that strong economy, by the way, to arm itself and threaten its neighbors in the region. We need to know—and this legislation will allow us to know-exactly what is going on so we can consider whether other policy provisions are necessary.

We know the House passed its own version of the NDAA last month, but it

didn't include any provisions on this outbound investment issue. So I am confident in the coming weeks Members of the House and the Senate will need to iron out the differences between our two versions. And it is absolutely critical that this outbound investment transparency that the Senate so enthusiastically supported be part of that final conference report.

We all know the Chinese Communist Party has become increasingly aggressive in its efforts to gain power and influence. Through intellectual property theft, forced technology transfers, and predatory lending practices like the Belt and Road Initiative, China has grown its economic power and is using these same methods to pursue global military dominance.

In China, there is no bright line separating the military and civilian sectors. This is part of an intentional strategy known as Military-Civil Fusion, which promotes the development of dual-use technologies. In other words, it can used in the private sector, and it can be used by the People's Liberation Army.

In short, the Chinese Communist Party is investing in technologies that bolster both its military strength and its economic power. And unfortunately, many American entities are fueling the success of Chinese Military-Civil Fusion, maybe even without knowing really what they are doing.

In testimony before the Senate Intelligence Committee, an open hearing—an unusual open hearing—I heard some concerning figures that illustrate just how big this problem is.

At the end of 2020, U.S. investments in Chinese companies had a total market value of \$2.3 trillion. That is foreign investments from the United States into the People's Republic of China worth \$2.3 trillion in 2020. That included \$21 billion in semiconductors, \$54 billion to Chinese military companies, and a whopping \$221 billion in artificial intelligence.

There has been a lot of discussion here in the Senate and in Washington, DC, about what is the future of artificial intelligence. Well, American companies have been investing a lot of money in China and helping them develop their artificial intelligence capabilities. And we know this authoritarian country, under the leadership of President Xi, does not have benign intentions. We need to be very careful about exactly how much and in what sectors the American business community is investing in China when they are our No. 1 global competitor.

Intentionally or not, American companies are bankrolling the Chinese Communist Party's military rise. They are pouring huge amounts of capital into capabilities that could be used against the United States and certainly against our allies.

These few data points are deeply concerning. But the truth is, these are just a few pieces of the puzzle. We can't see the full picture, but we need to.

Currently, there are no requirements for companies to report billion-dollar investments in Chinese companies. The full extent of U.S. investments could be much larger and more concerning, but we simply don't have the information.

That is exactly why Senator CASEY and I offered this amendment and why the Senate adopted it so overwhelmingly, 91 to 6. A strong bipartisan support for this amendment is evidence that this bill strengthens our national security without impacting the free market. We are not interested in decoupling from China, as some people have advocated. And I think Secretary Blinken and Secretary Yellen, who have used the word "derisking"—it is an appropriate use of that term. We are trying to derisk our economies in our two countries so, hopefully, it will never come to any open conflict.

We want to make sure that we are strong enough to deter China from ever even thinking about invading Taiwan, for example.

But as our colleagues know, the requirement of providing notice of U.S.based investments in China does not apply to every investment under the Sun—the one we just voted on. It is a highly targeted amendment and only applies to sensitive technologies like semiconductors, artificial intelligence, and hypersonics.

These are the technologies and capabilities that pose the greatest national security risk to the United States of America. And to be clear, it does not stop investments from happening or interfere with other investments by American companies in the People's Republic of China. It simply requires companies or other entities to share information about investments in certain technologies.

This is all about transparency. It will help the United States see and understand the threats from China and other countries of concern so we can act accordingly.

Some of our colleagues said: Well, we need to do more. I agree. But I think this is an important first step. And certainly when we say politics is the art of the possible, this is what is possible now. And I would hope, with additional information that is generated from these transparency measures, we can make a decision at some later point whether different policy needs to be applied. But for now, this represents an important first step.

The reality is, things like sanctions to restrictions, to an outright ban on investments don't have the political support on both sides of the aisle in both Chambers that they need in order to become law. So rather than adopt an all-or-nothing approach, which will end up leaving us with nothing, we decided to again engage in the art of the possible. And this amendment demonstrates that that is achievable.

The outbound investment provision promotes our national security, protects the free market, and it provides much greater visibility into

threats posed by our most formidable potential adversaries. Outbound investment transparency is absolutely crucial to our ability to understand what is happening in China and to counter any threats.

I urge my colleagues in the Senate and the House as well to fight to preserve this language during the conference process.

But, in closing, let me just again thank Chairman REED and Ranking Member Wicker and all of our colleagues on the Armed Services Committee for all the work that has gone into this bill so far. I know we are not through. We are going to have a number of votes today and tomorrow. But they provided us a strong foundation and a strong base to build on. And I am glad those of us who are not on the Armed Services Committee have a chance to offer our suggestions and improve the bill by the amendment proc-

With that, I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered.

Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. President, I will be joined shortly by Senator MORAN, who is the ranking Republican on the Veterans' Committee, and Senator Blumenthal, who has always been such a strong leader on many issues related to veterans. We are here to talk about an amendment that is before the Senate this week on the National Defense Authorization Act. This is our moment. This is our moment.

I came to the floor last night and spoke on this, and I am here again. And I am here not just on behalf of all the cosponsors of this important amendment, which includes Senator LINDSEY GRAHAM, who is the lead Republican on the amendment as well as, of course, the ranking Republican, the highest Republican on the Senate Judiciary Committee; Senator Coons; Senator MORAN; Senator BLUMENTHAL; Senator MURKOWSKI: Senator SHAHEEN: Senator WICKER, who I note is the ranking Republican on the Armed Services Committee; Senator DURBIN, the chair of the Judiciary Committee; Senator TILLIS: and Senator MULLIN.

Of course, this bill is supported by many, many other Senators-in fact, the majority of the U.S. Senate, which sounds to me like when you have the numbers, you should be able to have a vote, and that is what I am asking for today.

I am pushing this because this is our moment. We have had 2 years to show the world whether or not we are going to stand with those who stood with us. For 2 years, we have worked on this bill. Our colleagues have had plenty of time to look at it. They have had input. It was introduced last year.

But you know who else is watching? Our military is watching. Every single one of the Senators in this Chamber have been approached by a member of our military, whether it is on Veterans Day, whether it is on Memorial Day, whether it is just walking down the street. Those who served in Afghanistan have come to us and said: Hev. I wouldn't be here today if this guy hadn't stood with me on the battlefield or if this interpreter hadn't helped me out or if this guy had not put his family on the line to gather intelligence.

And they have all asked us the same thing, and that is to not leave these courageous Afghans, who stood with our military, in limbo. We did not leave the Hmong and the Vietnamese who came over to our country. After that withdrawal and evacuation, we did not leave them in limbo. They were given a legal status, which allowed them to work, which allowed them to pursue citizenship at some point. I know because I have the biggest population of Hmong, next to California, in my State.

What do they do now, generations later? They are police officers. They are firefighters. They are teachers. They are elected officials. They are pillars of our communities.

That is what we do. That is what we did when so many Cubans came over to this country. We didn't just leave them in limbo. We included them in the fabric of life of our country, and we are the richer for it.

But this, these Afghans—so many of them vouched for by the top leaders in our military—they took bullets for us, literally, and we must stand by them.

The decision we make right now of whether we live up to the covenant we made to our Afghan allies is going to reverberate militarily and diplomatically for longer than any of us will serve in this body because the next time we are in a conflict and we ask people to serve and to put themselves at risk and put their families at risk, do you think they are not going to hear that 80,000 people are in complete limbo if we don't do something about this?

This bill strengthens our national security. And I will give you a long list of generals in a moment, very famous leaders in our military who support this bill. It does right by our Afghans who worked alongside our troops. And it shows the world that when the United States of America makes a promise, when we make a covenant, that we keep it.

Nearly 80,000 Afghans who sought refuge in our country after that evacuation are in limbo. They are in our country. Let me repeat this. They are here now. So we can choose to have some order here and have a vetting process, which is why so many of my more conservative colleagues are supporting this bill, are on this bill-because right now that process isn't in place. So this will allow us to vet people and then create some order so that

they have a provisional status in this country and they don't worry that they are going to be sent back to live under the rule of the Taliban, which certainly so many of them would be killed. Among them were brave translators, humanitarian workers, courageous members of the Afghan military who stood shoulder to shoulder with our troops.

We were right to help these people come to the United States. And now it falls on us to uphold the covenant we made to them and help provide them with the stability and the security they need to rebuild their lives here. We may have disagreements—of course we do; our country does on Afghanistan—but those need to be put aside right now to talk about what we are going to do about the covenant that we made to these people.

The bipartisan Afghan Adjustment Act creates a more thorough system, as I noted, for our Afghan allies to apply for permanent legal status. It requires that applicants go through vetting that is just as vigorous as the vetting they would have gone through if they came to the United States as a refugee, a standard that eight former Trump and George W. Bush administration national security officials have called the gold standard of vetting. Remember, there is no vetting right now. This is the way you get the vetting. Senator GRAHAM and I worked closely with Senator Moran and others in this Chamber and the Department of Defense to make sure that the bill's vetting provisions met that gold standard.

In addition, our legislation updates a Special Immigrant Visa Program, also known as SIV, to include groups that should never have been excluded from the program in the first place, including members of the Female Tactical Team of Afghanistan, who had our troops' backs as they pursued missions hunting down ISIS combatants on unforgiving terrain and freeing prisoners from the grips of the Taliban.

The entire purpose of the Special Immigration Visa Program is to provide permanent residency to those who have supported the United States abroad. And it is clear to anyone that looks at this that these brave women should qualify.

The Afghan Adjustment Act is supported by a bipartisan group of Senators, as I just noted—11 cosponsors with many others that have pledged their support. Many others. And it has earned the backing of more than 60 organizations, including the Veterans of Foreign Wars—that is the VFW—and the American Legion.

This bill is a top priority for these two leading veterans groups. They have contacted, literally, every Senator about the importance of passing this bill. And I hope people will listen.

Who else is this supported by? Some of our Nation's most revered military leaders, including Admirals Mike Mullen, William McRaven, James Stavridis, and Generals Richard Myers

of the Air Force, Joseph Dunford from the Marine Corps, and Stan McChrystal from the Army.

We can decide that the thoughts of these military leaders aren't important to us. We can decide they don't know what they are doing. I think it is kind of the opposite, that maybe we should be listening to them when they tell us this must happen.

Here are some of the stories:

Mahnaz, a commander of the Afghan National Army's Female Tactical Platoon, who worked closely with our military to facilitate conversations between our soldiers and the Afghan women they crossed paths with in the field

Ahmad, a pilot whose helicopter was shot down, not once, but twice. Ahmad is in legal limbo. Speaking of his work with our troops, he said:

In the face of danger, we were united, we were relentless, we were resilient.

Another pilot who wants his name not known because he is in fear of what will happen to his family who are still back in Afghanistan, he spent 10 years helping American soldiers identify Taliban positions in the mountains of Afghanistan. He said his job was to "capture the bad guys like al-Qaida and Taliban."

Or there is Nangialy, an Afghan interpreter who put his life on the line to support our troops. Why? To use his words:

Same goal, same target, and same achievement.

A helicopter fighter pilot who also asked that his name not be revealed, who worked with our troops to combat the Taliban in remote areas of Afghanistan for 8 years—8 years—all the while thinking there was a covenant that he was going to be able to come to this country and people were going to protect him if needed. He survived being shot in the face by flying bullets.

There is the story of Reggie, another Afghan interpreter. Remember, being an interpreter in Afghanistan wasn't a desk job. You weren't sitting in a conference room whispering to your boss what the words were of someone whose language you don't understand. This meant working shoulder to shoulder with our troops while they were on foreign soil. Where the troops went, the interpreter went. If the troops got ambushed by bullets, the interpreter got bombed, the interpreter got bombed. That is a risk Reggie took every day.

On August 8, 2012, Reggie was working on patrol with a group of service-members including Army CPT Florent Groberg. Suddenly, a suicide bomber approached. Groberg acted fast and protected other members of his unit by shoving the bomber aside. But the vest still detonated, leaving Groberg and Reggie bloody and fighting for every breath. The explosion left Reggie with 23 pieces of shrapnel lodged in his own body. But even still, he used the energy he had to go to Groberg's aid and help him stop the bleeding.

To this day, as a result of the attack, Reggie has problems with his left ear and can't control some of his body. That is what he sacrificed for our troops. That is the depth of his coverant.

Reggie and Captain Groberg survived that attack, but, tragically, several men did not. One of the men we lost that day was U.S. Air Force Maj. Walter David Gray. He left behind his kids and his wife Heather. In August 2021, 9 years after the attack, Heather learned from an NPR reporter that Reggie was being targeted by the Taliban in Afghanistan. She wrote about that experience in an essay for the Dallas Morning News. These are her words:

Turmoil is a good way to describe the emotions I felt when I listened to the radio interview. It was Reggie in Afghanistan . . . describing his service as a linguist to our military and the danger his family was in if they didn't get out.

She went on:

Reggie served with my husband, Maj. Walter David Gray, in the Air Force and was with him when David and three others were killed by suicide bombers in the Kunar Province on August 8, 2012. After listening, I called my friend Captain Florent Groberg who . . . confirmed that the man we were hearing on the radio was indeed "our guy."

With that confirmation, my family spun into action, working with others, both stateside and in Afghanistan, to get Reggie, his wife, and their four young children through the gauntlet outside [the] airport and onto a military plane.

It would be nearly November before Reggie's family was resettled in Ft. Worth where the brother lives.

Heather's story continues. She wrote:

My family traveled 4 hours to Ft. Worth to meet them . . . As we worked alongside each other assembling furniture, Reggie showed me scars from the battle that killed my husband. As he recounted stories of the many battles in which he fought alongside our servicemembers, a car backfired outside and he instinctively lowered to the floor . . . A few weeks later, I brought my current husband and kids up to spend Thanksgiving with Reggie's family. Despite the language barrier and our different religions and cultures, we celebrated as one big family, because that is what we are.

Reggie is among the Afghan allies who need Congress to pass the Afghan Adjustment Act.

She added this:

Every time we see Reggie, he reminds my children that their father died a hero.

This story of these Afghans has too many heroes to even keep track of, and it is our job now to stand to their level, to simply pass this amendment so they are put out of legal limbo—an amendment that is cosponsored with conservative Republican Senators; an amendment cosponsored by the lead Republican on the Veterans Committee, on the Armed Services Committee, and on the Committee on the Judiciary.

I know my colleague Senator Moran is here and is ready to speak soon. I welcome him here. I also saw Senator BLUMENTHAL. I have more words when they have completed their remarks, including the important letters we have

seen from the leading veterans groups, the leading military generals—some of whose names I have read—including the support that we have gotten from those that served in Afghanistan in our own military.

I thank Senator MORAN and his leadership on the PACT Act and so many other bills for being here.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kansas.

Mr. MORAN. Mr. President, I appreciate the comments that I heard this morning from Senator Klobuchar and appreciate her leadership and efforts to see that the Afghan Adjustment Act becomes law. I think I am followed by my colleague on the Veterans Committee, Senator Blumenthal from Connecticut.

This has been a bipartisan effort to make certain that legislation was drafted, introduced, and that lives were protected and changed. From my perspective, one of the saddest days-or few days-of my time as a U.S. Senator was when the United States withdrew from Afghanistan—not necessarily the withdrawal but the manner in which it occurred and the number of people some Americans, many of them Afghans who helped Americans during our time in Afghanistan—who were left behind and the manner in which those who were able to escape, what they had to endure in many instances to do so.

As we approach the second anniversary of this disastrous withdrawal from Afghanistan, many of those Afghans who escaped to the United States now face—continue to face—uncertainty in their lives—uncertainty as their original parole status is set to expire soon. Most of that status for their legal presence in the United States expires 2 years from their arrival to the United States. And in many instances, that is now the month of August 2023.

I joined my colleague Senator KLOBUCHAR in introducing the Afghan Adjustment Act to make certain that Afghans who sought refuge in the United States are able to apply for a permanent legal residency after undergoing additional yetting.

This amendment, this legislation, is now an amendment pending on the National Defense Authorization Act. I hope we are able to have a vote on this amendment—that it is included, the vote occurs, and I hope that vote is successful.

This amendment establishes a pathway for Afghan partners to begin a more certain and, perhaps, new life.

The rushed and chaotic withdrawal created a potential loophole for bad actors to be admitted to the United States. So if you are interested in our national security—which I know we all are—this amendment establishes a critical vetting process to reduce the threats to that national security. Failing to pass this amendment, failing for this bill to become law, means that none of the refugees will undergo the necessary additional vetting. Undergoing that vetting then can create the

opportunity for certainty in the lives of those Afghan refugees who are here.

For two decades, countless Afghans stood by our servicemembers and risked their lives and their families' lives to support our troops in Afghanistan. This withdrawal and the current circumstance resulted in more than 1,000 contacts with my office asking for help in getting someone out of Afghanistan, someone who served side by side with a soldier from Fort Riley—our hometown pastor's daughter and husband—missionaries, Christian missionaries in Afghanistan—looking for help to get out of Afghanistan—those people who are Christians in that country.

The vast majority of people who are in this uncertain stage were people who, either through our domestic operations, our opportunity to try to stabilize Afghanistan, or our military—they are the ones who are now living a life of uncertainty and potential return or removal from the United States.

Under the present regulations, our Afghan allies admitted under temporary humanitarian status can only attain permanent legal status through our overburdened, nonworking, dysfunctional, asylum system or the long-winded special immigrant visa process. As a result, thousands face this troubling uncertainty as they strive to create a new life here.

Recently, in the town we live in, Manhattan, KS, a block party was created to host Afghan residents of our community. It was pleasing to see the Afghan culture celebrated, and it was pleasing to see the community support their new neighbors.

It is always a good thing to see when people come together. The practical help offered to our Afghans is priceless, but all that community support and assistance will do little good if we don't pass the opportunities that the Afghan Adjustment Act provides these individuals.

The amendment before us today will help provide certainty to many of our Afghan partners and work to help other Afghan partners who are stranded in other countries. So we have the challenge of Afghans in the United States who soon will have no legal status, and we have those who are still trying to get out of Afghanistan. And, finally, we have those who have escaped Afghanistan to another country but can't yet migrate any further. Those people are stranded. They need our assistance.

We also need to make sure that our vetting requirements protect our national security. This legislation does both. It protects our national security and increases our opportunity to treat individuals—human beings—in a humanitarian way.

I thank Senator Klobuchar for her invitation for me to join her here today in this bipartisan effort. Senator Klobuchar mentioned a number of veterans organizations and veterans who endorsed this legislation and, thus, this amendment.

This issue was brought to me most directly by the Iraqi and Afghan veterans of America who support this legislation and who brought information and encouragement to me to help see that this legislation is passed. But it is also supported by Blue Star Families, by the American Legion, the VFW, and many other veterans and veterans organizations.

Those who served our country—those Americans who served our country—care about those who helped save their lives in Afghanistan, and they would like to see the U.S. Senate take the steps that we are asking be taken today.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Connecticut.

Mr. BLUMENTHAL. Mr. President, I am honored to follow my colleague from Kansas and engage in this bipartisan colloquy for a measure that truly has bipartisan support. My thanks to Senator Klobuchar for her leadership as well. And I am going to be followed by Senator Coons, who has dedicated himself to civil rights and liberties around the world.

This issue is one that rises above politics. Every so often in this body, we are able to rise above politics, never often enough for many of us. But here is an opportunity to put down a marker, to make a statement, to show with our votes that a great Nation keeps promises. America is the greatest Nation in the history of the world. We need to keep our promises. And I know about those promises, not only as a member of the Armed Services Committee who has visited Afghanistan several times but also as a father. My two sons served during this period of time. My oldest son Matthew was an infantry officer in the Marine Corps, in Helmand Province. My second son is a Navy SEAL. And let me just put it very bluntly: As a dad, as a public official, as an American citizen. I want Americans who are deployed overseas to be helped by people in the country that we are fighting to serve. Not only in America and our self-interest here, but also abroad when our troops are deployed and put in harm's way, they depend on exactly the kind of Afghan allies whom we promised we would not abandon.

And if we want to count on those kinds of allies all around the world—not only in Afghanistan and Iraq and that part of the world, but in Africa, in South America—we need to keep our promises. If we lose that credibility and trust, our troops will be in danger. Our sons and daughters will be at risk when they depend on those interpreters, the guards, the guides, the security aides, and all of the kinds of allies that we enlist—Afghan allies—who put their lives on the line and now have targets on their backs if they were ever to return.

It is bad enough that many of those allies are still in Afghanistan and at risk of torture and murder, but we need to keep faith with the Afghan refugees who have come to this country. I want to salute the veterans, as did my colleague Senator MORAN. They have been heroes in this fight. Their championing this cause has made a tremendous difference, and I thank them for recognizing that there is a moral imperative here. That is the reason that our promise needs to be kept.

And I will just close—and there is much more that I could say, but I know colleagues would like to comment, as well, in the limited time we have—by saving that these families, these Afghan refugees, are coming to this country, and they are flourishing here. They are contributing to their communities. They have jobs that matter. They are learning our language. They are imparting to our people the rich cultural heritage that they bring with them, the tastes and the colors of their country, as well as their incredible history. They are enriching the United States of America. We need to keep them here, and we need to give them the security and sense of permanency that is essential for them to continue to flourish.

They can't have jobs, they can't put their children in schools, and they can't keep housing if they are in limbo. So as a practical matter, we must move. We should have done it last session. We have the opportunity now. It is an obligation. Let's vote on this amendment to enable our Afghan allies to stay in this country as they deserve and need to do.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Delaware.

Mr. COONS. Mr. President, just about 2 years ago, roughly 76,000 Afghans—those who served alongside American forces during two decades of conflict—and their families were evacuated here to the United States on military planes and given 2 years of humanitarian parole. The Biden administration has worked to extend that parole, but we have to ask ourselves: To what end?

These are our allies, those who served and fought alongside our troops, those who supported our mission and our engagement in Afghanistan and who worked an incredible array of jobs—interpreters, medics, security guards, mechanics, intelligence officers, journalists, bomb technicians, and pilots.

And I know that today one family in Newark, DE, is waiting urgently to hear that we have taken up and passed this amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act. The head of household served as a bomb technician, as an EOD specialist in the Afghan forces, and I had the chance to have the blessing of meeting the mother of a Delaware soldier whose life he saved.

I have heard over and over from our veterans, from our veterans' families, from Afghans and from their families that we have to pass this bill so that they have certainty, so that the strengths and talents that they have brought to our country they can use to put down roots and to have a foundation on which to build a family in peace in our Nation.

An interpreter—an Afghan interpreter—now living in North Carolina has said:

Permanent residency is linked to everything. What will happen [to my family] if our status fails? How will I provide for my family [in this new country]?

Another interpreter living now in Nebraska describes their current situation as being "trapped like in a prison."

This uncertainty, this lack of clear status, harms the ability of our Afghan partners and friends to advance their careers, to put down roots, to start their new lives here in America with confidence. This uncertainty must end.

These are folks who believe in the promise of America and who came here confident we would keep our word. On my phone, I was just looking a moment ago at a family celebration that I joined with Sher and Shkira in Newark, DE. I don't want to give more details on them, other than to say that I remember that they and their children are waiting and watching to see what we will do here in the Senate.

I want to thank my colleagues Senators KLOBUCHAR, Moran. and BLUMENTHAL and many others-Senators Graham, Shaheen, Murkowski, DURBIN, WICKER, and TILLIS-who have been cosponsors of this bill from the last Congress. It also has support from the Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America. Blue Star Families. the American Legion, and many other veterans groups; and from some of the most prominent leaders in the American military: Mike Mullen, Jim Stavridis, Stan McChrystal, William McRaven. Forgive me for skipping their titles and ranks, but some of the most respected leaders in our military have endorsed this legislation.

If you are worried, as some of our colleagues have said, that the folks brought here by the American military were not thoroughly vetted, this is the way to address it. It requires in-person interviews, oversight, and consultations that will ensure that everyone currently here comes back in for one more in-person interview, vetting, and clearing.

It also expands the opportunities for SIV visas for Afghan combatants. It helps those still stuck in a hell outside our country. That would allow families to be reunited.

At the end of the day, I just have to thank two people and make one plea. I have to thank the family in Delaware who continues to inspire me and push me to support Senator KLOBUCHAR in her tireless work to get this bill the vote it deserves. I want to thank my colleague Senator KLOBUCHAR for hearing the voices of American veterans, for hearing the voices of Afghans now in our country who deserve legal status, and I join her in demanding a vote on this amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Minnesota.

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Thank you very much to Senator Coons for his strong words and for focusing on what matters here, which is the people of our own country, the military and those who support them, who believe that this situation is simply untenable, that these Afghans who have stood with us risk, at any moment, being deported—not right now because of an order that President Biden has in place.

But that is not the only problem about uncertainty. The problem is they can't go on with their lives as has happened with past evacuees—after Cuba, I noted; after Vietnam. They are part of the fabric of life in the United States of America now.

So let's hear what some of these security experts have to say. I am going to read a portion of a letter that a group of them sent to congressional leadership.

They said this. These aren't my words. This is theirs:

The bipartisan Afghan Adjustment Act honors our nation's commitment to its wartime allies by providing a path to permanent status for Afghan evacuees. It also ensures that these evacuees are properly and scrupulously vetted prior to considering them for such status.

The status quo leaves tens of thousands of evacuees in legal limbo while failing to put to rest security concerns raised in the Office of Inspector General reports.

So we can just pretend that report doesn't exist. We can just do nothing. How can that be the answer?

(Mr. HICKENLOOPER assumed the Chair.)

How can the answer be to just put our heads in the sand while they put their lives at risk for us in the sands of Afghanistan? That is why we have to vote on this amendment.

They go on to say—the security experts who worked for a number of Republican and Democratic Presidents: "No action is not an option—we urge you to pass the Afghan Adjustment Act."

I want to repeat that last point: "No action is not an option."

Here is another letter of support from the former Ambassadors to Afghanistan. Eight former U.S. Ambassadors—think about this: They actually served in Afghanistan, and a number of us—probably nearly everyone in this Chamber who was around during that time, which is many of us, has visited with these Ambassadors during one President or another. These Ambassadors served under Presidents George W. Bush, Barack Obama, Donald Trump, and Joe Biden. Each has an intimate understanding of the stakes for getting this right.

They wrote together:

We are a group of retired Ambassadors, all of whom served as Chief of Mission at the U.S. Embassy in Afghanistan, who have dedicated our professional lives to furthering America's interests in the world. We are writing today because we are convinced that

the Afghan Adjustment Act furthers those interests. The need is urgent and time is short. . . . Without the Afghan Adjustment Act, the task of American diplomacy will be much more difficult. . . . [I]n the future our allies will be less likely to support the U.S. missions if they see that our Afghan partners are abandoned. In diplomacy, our words will have lost meaning. . . . We urge you to pass the Afghan Adjustment Act without delay

I have spoken a lot about the Afghan stories today, as have my colleagues— Senator Coons, Senator Blumenthal, and Senator MORAN—because this is all personal for those of us who meet with our military and hear the stories of those who saved their lives and who put their lives on the line. But this is also about U.S. interests abroad and the bigger story of our national security, about keeping our covenants when we make promises, about expecting other people in other lands in future conflicts to be willing to put themselves and their families at risk to stand with our soldiers. Who is going to want to do that again if they hear that we made promises over decades, and then, when those brave people came to our country, we left them in legal limbo?

You heard the sad, tragic story out of Virginia a few weeks ago where an Afghan who had served as an interpreter, who was working two jobs—in legal limbo—was murdered as a Lyft driver in the middle of the night. Those are the stories.

If people see that brinksmanship in Congress outweighs the promises we made overseas, how can we lead?

Finally, I want to share some words from a group of more than three dozen of our Nation's most esteemed military leaders. including Gen. Joseph Dunford, U.S. Marine Corps; Admiral Mike Mullen, U.S. Navy; Gen. Richard Myers, U.S. Air Force; Admiral Jim Stavridis, U.S. Navy; GEN Peter Chiarelli, U.S. Army; GEN Stan McChrystal, U.S. Army; GEN David McKiernan, U.S. Army; Admiral William McRaven, U.S. Navy; GEN Austin Miller, U.S. Army; GEN John Nicholson, Jr., U.S. Army; GEN M. David Rodriguez, U.S. Army; GEN Curtis Scaparrotti, U.S. Army; GEN Raymond A. Thomas III, U.S. Army; GEN Joseph Votel, U.S. Army; and Gen. Mark Welsh, U.S. Air Force.

I read the complete list last night, and I will do it again because that gives you a sense of the kind of people I think we should be listening to. Maybe it is worth my colleagues'—a minute of their time to sit back and look at the people who are supporting this bill who lead our military or have led our military. They have been resolute in their support for the Afghan Adjustment Act, and the letter they sent to congressional leadership makes that clear.

In their words—these are their words, not mine:

If Congress fails to enact the [Afghan Adjustment Act], the United States will be less secure.

Let's read that again.

If Congress fails to enact the [Afghan Adjustment Act] the United States will be less secure.

My colleague Senator MORAN outlined why. It is about the fact that there is no vetting in place, and this puts the vetting in place. Most importantly, it is about the fact that to keep our Nation's leadership and to be true to our covenant, we have to be true to our word.

Finally, we have the stories of people who, if allowed to flourish in this country, will go on to do great things. So it is on us.

This is what else they wrote:

Potential allies will remember what happens now with our Afghan allies. If we claim to support the troops and want to enable their success in wartime, we must keep our commitments today. The [Afghan Adjustment Act] will go a long way.

Additionally, without the fixes-

These are their words—

applied by the Afghan Adjustment Act, our immigration system will be less capable, not more capable, of properly processing and vetting applicants. The enhancements that the Afghan Adjustment Act adds to the security screening process of those who were evacuated are of critical importance to our national security.

Mr. President, this is a harrowing warning from our military's top brass.

Without the Afghan Adjustment Act, our soldiers will face new obstacles in finding allies on the battlefield, because in the past, we kept our covenants. We kept them. We kept them no matter if the Congress was Democratic or Republican or the President was Democrat or Republican. We kept our commitments.

All I am asking is that we have a vote—along with my colleagues—on this amendment. And I will repeat: the leading Republicans on Judiciary, Armed Services, and the Veterans' Committee—Senator MORAN, who is here today—are cosponsors of this amendment and are asking for a vote. We have Senator DURBIN, the chair of the Judiciary Committee, asking for a vote. We have dozens and dozens of Senators who want to get this done. We need this vote. We have Republicans. Democrats, military and veterans groups, national security leaders, retired U.S. Ambassadors to Afghanistan, and flag officers all on the same page. They are not debating the nuances of every little word because that bill has been out there now for 2 years.

We have strengthened it vastly in response to our colleagues. We have made changes to it, and it is ready to go, just like our Afghan allies have been ready to go because they have been here for nearly 2 years, waiting for us to keep our covenants—ones who have taken bullets to the face, ones who have lost legs. They are in our country waiting for us to keep our covenant.

Until we get this done, we are essentially asking our allies—those who took shrapnel across the body, those who took bullets to their faces—to rebuild their lives on top of a trapdoor

that could fall out from under them at any second. Without the Afghan Adjustment Act, all of it—their jobs, their homes, their safety, their families—could disappear.

By including this amendment in the NDAA, we can strengthen the national security of our country by making our vetting program more thorough. You heard Senator MORAN talk about that. It was a huge issue with Senator GRAHAM, and we worked together to build the gold standard, which, as I mentioned, has been supported by leaders under every single one of the last four Presidents.

So let's put aside the politics and distraction. Let's do what is right for our national security, for our global reputation, and for Afghan allies who shed blood alongside our troops on the battlefield.

This Defense bill is about what? No. 1 and foremost, our Nation's security. So ask yourselves, those in the Gallery who have been listening for the past hour to our colleagues on both sides of the aisle who support this bill, does this amendment support our national security? Of course it does. The top ranks, those who were in charge in Afghanistan, have told us that it does.

No. 2, this bill that we are voting on this week and all the series of amendments—it should set a moral example for the world. That is what the United States did through World War I and through World War II. We set a moral example for the world. That is what this amendment does too. It sets a moral example for the world.

No. 3, we must show people everywhere that when America makes a promise, when America makes a covenant, it must be kept.

The Afghan adjustment amendment advances all those objectives.

I am asking my colleagues simply for a vote. If they want to vote against it, it is fine. They can vote against the generals and the VFW and the American Legion. They all have differences. That is fine. But why would we deny those who took bullets for us even the ability to have a vote in what should be and has been called the Nation's greatest deliberative body?

Let's be as great as we are supposed to be, which means standing by our values and showing the world that our word, that America's covenant, matters

I vield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Georgia.

AMENDMENT NO. 199

Mr. WARNOCK. Mr. President, I call up my amendment No. 199 and ask that it be reported by number.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the amendment by number.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Georgia [Mr. WARNOCK] proposes an amendment numbered 199.

The amendment (No. 199) is as follows:

(Purpose: To provide enhanced protection against debt collector harassment of members of the Armed Forces)

At the appropriate place, insert the following

DIVISION FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES FOR SERVICEMEMBERS

01. SHORT TITLE.

This division may be cited as the "Fair Debt Collection Practices Servicemembers Act".

02 ENHANCED PROTECTION AGAINST SEC. DEBT COLLECTOR HARASSMENT OF SERVICEMEMBERS.

- (a) COMMUNICATION IN CONNECTION WITH DEBT COLLECTION.—Section 805 of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (15 U.S.C. 1692c) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(e) COMMUNICATIONS CONCERNING SERVICE-MEMBER DEBTS.—
- "(1) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term 'covered member' means-
- "(A) a covered member or a dependent as defined in section 987(i) of title 10, United States Code: and
- '(B)(i) an individual who was separated. discharged or released from duty described in such section 987(i)(1), but only during the 365-day period beginning on the date of separation, discharge, or release; or
- "(ii) a person, with respect to an individual described in clause (i), described in subparagraph (A), (D), (E), or (I) of section 1072(2) of title 10, United States Code.
- "(2) PROHIBITIONS.—A debt collector may not, in connection with the collection of any debt of a covered member-
- "(A) threaten to have the covered member reduced in rank;
- "(B) threaten to have the covered member's security clearance revoked; or
- "(C) threaten to have the covered member prosecuted under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).".
- (b) UNFAIR PRACTICES.—Section 808 of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (15 U.S.C. 1692f) is amended by adding at the end the
- "(9) The representation to any covered member (as defined under section 805(e)(1)) that failure to cooperate with a debt collector will result in-
- "(A) a reduction in rank of the covered member;
- "(B) a revocation of the covered member's security clearance: or
- (C) prosecution under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).".

_03. GAO STUDY. SEC.

The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study and submit a report to Congress on the impact of this division on-

- (1) the timely delivery of information to a covered member (as defined in section 805(e) of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, as added by this division);
 - (2) military readiness; and
- (3) national security, including the extent to which covered members with security clearances would be impacted by uncollected debt.

Mr. WARNOCK. Mr. President, servicemembers report being harassed by predatory debt collectors at a higher rate than the civilian population. Predatory and unscrupulous debt collectors send messages to commanding officers with their private financial information, all in an effort to harass our men and women in uniform—the best among us standing up for us. They harass servicemembers by threatening rank reduction—the debt collectors—revocation of security clearance, or punishment under the military justice code.

These threats cannot be carried out by the debt collectors, and these practices are manipulative, and they undermine our national security by distracting our servicemembers from focusing on their mission and caring for their families. In fact, a 2014 Army Reserve review found that the second leading contributing factor to servicemember suicide was financial stress.

This amendment reinforces the existing protections provided to all Americans but especially those who are putting their lives on the line to protect all of our families and our communities by restricting predatory debt collection practices aimed specifically at our servicemembers.

This bipartisan amendment costs nothing. It has broad support among the Nation's military and veteran community. They believe, as I do, that debt collectors should not be able to weaponize servicemembers' services. It even has the support—listen—the support of reputable and responsible debt collectors themselves, the very industry it would affect.

I want to thank Senators BUDD, COR-NYN, TILLIS, LUMMIS, and BROWN for their partnership on this, and I look forward to the support of my colleagues in passing this bipartisan amendment to protect our Nation's servicemembers.

I yield back the balance of my time. VOTE ON AMENDMENT NO. 199

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further debate?

Hearing none, the question is on agreeing to the amendment.

Mr. WARNOCK. I ask for the yeas and navs.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. I announce that the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN), the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. FETTERMAN), and the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) are necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 95, nays 2, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 198 Leg.]

YEAS-95

Baldwin	Cassidy	Grassley
Barrasso	Collins	Hagerty
Bennet	Coons	Hassan
Blackburn	Cornyn	Hawley
Blumenthal	Cortez Masto	Heinrich
Booker	Cotton	Hickenlooper
Boozman	Cramer	Hirono
Braun	Crapo	Hoeven
Britt	Cruz	Hyde-Smith
Brown	Daines	Johnson
Budd	Duckworth	Kaine
Cantwell	Ernst	Kelly
Capito	Feinstein	Kennedy
Cardin	Fischer	King
Carper	Gillibrand	Klobuchar
Casey	Graham	Lankford

Peters Smith Luián Reed Stabenow Lummis Ricketts Sullivan Manchin Risch Tester Romney Markey Thune Marshall Rosen Tillis McConnell Rounds Tuberville Menendez Rubio Van Hollen Merkley Sanders Vance Moran Schatz Warner Schmitt Warnock Murkowski Schumer Warren Murphy Scott (FL) Welch Scott (SC) Wyden Ossoff Shaheen Young Padilla Sinema

NAYS-2

Paul Wicker

NOT VOTING-3

Whitehouse Durbin Fetterman

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. Cor-TEZ MASTO). The yeas are 95, the nays are 2.

Under the previous order requiring 60 votes for the adoption of this amendment, the amendment is agreed to.

The amendment (No. 199) was agreed

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands in recess until 2:15 p.m. today.
Thereupon, the Senate, at 1:12 p.m.

recessed until 2:15 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Ms. Rosen).

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZA-TION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nebraska.

CHINA

Mrs. FISCHER. Madam President, earlier this month, the Chinese Communist Party's relentless propaganda efforts rose to the forefront of international discussion yet again. China's authoritarian government squashes opposition at home without hesitation, but its censorship and propaganda spreads far beyond China's borders.

The CCP uses an array of insidious means to push its messages across national boundaries. Concerns that the CCP's influence is seeping into Hollywood continues to grow. This issue flared up once again this month. Why? Well, in a word, "Barbie." You heard me right. A movie about a plastic doll is the last place you would expect national security questions to arise, but it has

One trailer for the "Barbie" movie depicted a cartoon map of the title character's world travels. On the map is a roughly drawn continent of Asia, but it might be more than just a cartoon character's doodle. The map includes a dotted line extending out from the east shore of China

Well, that line is curiously similar to what is known as the nine-dash line. Everyone in the defense space is familiar with this line. It is a Chinese-drawn boundary in the South China Sea. China uses this boundary to claim ownership of maritime territory, even

though the United Nations International Court of Justice rejected its claims on that territory in 2016.

The country's neighbors, including Vietnam and the Philippines, they certainly contest these claims as well. China appeals to this false boundary when its naval presence creeps into new areas of the South China Sea, and it intimidates boats, fishermen, and others from neighboring countries who cross that invisible line.

Now, "Barbie," the movie, it is a great movie. Americans loved it this past weekend, but the "Barbie" movie, well, it treads a little too closely to depicting what looks like the nine-dash line. Hollywood needs to become aware of the ways that the CCP tends to push its propaganda. Use of the line is a trigger for geopolitical sensitivities, including its likeness on a map, even as part of a child-like drawing, that has real global ramifications.

Now, you may say: Oh, come on, it is just a movie. But Vietnam's authorities banned "Barbie" from playing in theaters because of its offensive, alleged depiction of the nine-dash line. And members of the Philippines Government, they raised concerns as well, eventually deciding to blur the map line in showings across their country.

Despite the "Barbie" movie's content, allegations of Chinese propaganda in Hollywood are not child's play. China continues to take advantage of our unprecedented global media network to do real damage. It is no accident that China is financing some of the biggest films, and China runs the second largest box office in the world, second only to North America.

When a movie doesn't play in China, Hollywood loses literally billions of dollars. Remember the controversy around "Top Gun's" sequel last year? The Department of Defense, they worked with Paramount Pictures to make that movie happen, but when "Top Gun: Maverick's" first trailer was released in 2019, viewers noticed that the Japanese and Taiwanese flags that were on Tom Cruise's bomber jacket, well, they had been replaced in an attempt to appease China.

The studio wisely reversed course on that decision after a public outcry, but that is not where the CCP's influence ended. The film made no mentions or even implications of the United States' primary adversary, and that is China. Any movie related to our national defense that doesn't bring up China, well, it must be set in an alternative universe because that is the biggest defense challenge facing our country. This isn't a conspiracy theory.

The Presiding Officer is on the Senate Armed Services Committee, and we know well China's strategy. The defense world is well aware that China maintains a well-oiled propaganda machine that is enmeshed in our modern media.

So you may say: Oh, come on, it is just a movie. No, this is a serious problem, so serious that it is one our government should address. We can't allow our Federal Agencies to help elevate messages that support the CCP's goals, and we certainly cannot involve our own Defense Department and taxpayer dollars in entertainment projects that are beholden to Chinese propaganda.

As a senior member of the Senate Armed Services Committee, I have successfully secured language in the last two National Defense Authorization Acts to prevent our government's Department of Defense from participating in entertainment projects with ties to the CCP.

Thanks to our persistent efforts, the Department of Defense recently released new regulations around how the Department of Defense can provide assistance to entertainment projects. Pursuant to these NDAA provisions, the Department is now prohibited from assisting with entertainment projects that censor the content of the project in a material manner to advance the national interest of the People's Republic of China.

It is my hope that this new policy will ensure that taxpayer dollars are never involved in anti-American messaging efforts as well as send a clear signal to the CCP that we will no longer turn a blind eye to its propaganda efforts. This is just one example of the many provisions in this year's NDAA that stand up to China and advance our national security.

I encourage my Senate colleagues to vote yes on the NDAA so that we can deliver a strong package that keeps China in line.

I yield the floor.

RECESS UNTIL 4 P.M. TODAY

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. BALDWIN). The junior Senator from Nevada.

Ms. ROSEN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to recess until 4 p.m.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 2:55 p.m., recessed until 4:01 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. MURPHY).

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Michigan.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—H.R. 4470

Mr. PETERS. Mr. President, I will shortly ask for unanimous consent to pass bipartisan legislation to extend the Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards Program, also known as CFATS. This critical counterterrorism program was created in the wake of September 11 and the Oklahoma City bombing to ensure that common chemicals could not be stolen or weaponized by terrorists and used in an attack.

Now the program is set to expire on July 27, tomorrow, and we simply can-

not let that happen. There are approximately 3,300 facilities across the United States that participate in this program. These facilities support a range of industries, from chemical manufacturing and distribution to agriculture and food production, paint coatings operations, and healthcare and pharmaceuticals. In their everyday work, these facilities use materials that, in the wrong hands, can be turned into dangerous weapons. Because these types of industrial or commercially available materials are common and offer a simple pathway to weaponization, terrorists are more likely to try to use them.

By participating in the CFATS Program, facilities work with the Department of Homeland Security to develop a plan to ensure potentially hazardous material is secure. I introduced bipartisan legislation, along with Senators Capito, Carper, and Lankford, to extend this important counterterrorism program for 5 years. The 5-year extension provides regulatory certainty and the stability for the companies and groups that participate in the program, ensuring that they can keep these important safeguards in place for longer.

Companies including Dow, BASF, Lubrizol, and Brenntag North America, along with organizations like the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the American Chemistry Council, the National Association of Chemical Distributors, the American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers, the Agricultural Retailers Association, and the Fertilizer Institute—all of them support extending this vital national security program for another 5 years.

However, last night, the House passed a 2-year extension with overwhelming bipartisan support. More than 400 Members of the U.S. House voted to extend the program. And while I believe passing a longer extension to provide more certainty for companies and for the DHS would be better, the program will expire tomorrow, and if we do not pass legislation to extend it, our national security could be at risk.

If this body allows this program to expire, the 3,300 facilities will no longer be required to maintain security measures and any new high-risk facilities will not be required to invest in additional security. The Department of Homeland Security will no longer be able to assess whether facilities are high risk or share information about specific terrorist threats connected to chemical facilities. The high-risk chemical facilities would no longer be able to screen individuals who have access to sensitive areas against the Terrorist Screening Database, which is a critical way to ensure that we are keeping these substances from getting into the wrong hands.

Since it was created, CFATS has been extended with bipartisan support four times. We cannot let this vital program expire. We must take urgent action to pass this 2-year extension that just passed overwhelmingly through the U.S. House and keep the American people safe from harm.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 4470, which was received from the House, that the bill be considered read a third time and passed, and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

The Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. PAUL. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, I rise today to object to the quick passage of H.R. 4470, which seeks to extend the Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards Program.

How could anybody be against that? I am actually for it. We should have terrorism standards. But—you know what—we always had these before 9/11. How did it work before the government got involved?

Well, companies had to insure things. If you had a \$100-million electric plant and it was at risk for sabotage or a fire or a disruption to the community, you had insurance, and insurance required that you have a fence. I mean, these things happen. It is not as if safety for our utilities and public chemical plants didn't exist before 9/11. So there are ways that the marketplace would take care of this.

This measure, though, which would reauthorize this regulatory program for another 2 years, I think is being rushed through the Senate without due consideration or, really, any consideration at all. The Homeland Security Committee has jurisdiction over the program, yet we have not had any hearings to discuss this program or its effectiveness.

This is part of the problem of government is we tend to reauthorize things without ever examining whether they work, what works, what doesn't work. Some programs might need more money; some programs might need less money. And we might ask ourselves: Do we have any money?

We are \$31 trillion, \$32 trillion in debt. We borrow about \$1 trillion every year. It is easy to be for stuff. Everybody has got something good. Everybody is for something, but where does the money come from? We haven't really had any hearing to discuss this program or its effectiveness since the last time it was authorized, nor has the committee considered any legislation to reform the program.

This program is a regulatory program. It is hundreds of regulations, and it was established to prevent the misuse of hazardous chemicals. But it also fails to understand that every company has a self-incentive to protect hazardous chemicals that is built into the nature of the way they do business.

Facilities that store certain quantities of designated chemicals of interest, though, under this legislation, must undergo a risk assessment inspection every 2 years.

If it is not reauthorized? It has been going on for 20 years. My guess is that the vast majority, if not all, of the utilities and chemical plants in this country have undergone this. My guess is, if the program didn't exist, they would still all have fences and barbed wire and protections against terrorism because they want to protect their investment.

The requirement, though, through government places a burden on business, impeding their potential growth and creating unsurmountable barriers to entry for those who find the regulatory compliance too cumbersome and expensive to even attempt to break into the sector.

This is why, a lot of times, big businesses like regulations. Regulations become a formidable barrier to new companies coming into the business. Why not have a ton of regulations, sort of like banks. All the banking regulations—guess who likes the banking regulations: the big banks, because they can hire more compliance officers. Your local bank in your town can't afford to do it. So the local bank gets gobbled up by the bigger bank because of regulatory burden.

The monetary resources required to implement and maintain these standards are substantial, and the cost implications impact not just private companies but also the Department of Homeland Security.

The United States is trillions of dollars in debt. We cannot continue to just pour money into nonessential government programs. We should have a discussion of what are the private incentives for people to protect their chemical plants, to protect their utilities. There is a long history of this. In fact, it was the history of our country until fairly recently.

The Department of Homeland Security has a consistent track record of creating duplicative programs. Over the past 12 years, the Government Accountability Office—the GAO—has documented over 1,100 cases of duplicative programs created by Congress.

Everybody has a great idea—we are going to fix this—but they don't ever take time to look up and find out that somebody had the idea 3 years before, and they already created a program to fix this. So sometimes we have as many as 80 different programs to fix a problem that has already been fixed previously 80 times.

It should come as no surprise to any of us that our government has grown into a \$6.5 trillion leviathan, and this body seems more interested in passing bills than understanding the contents of the bills, the programs, or whether the programs are working.

We saved, though, over \$550 billion by removing just half of GAO's identified duplicative programs. Five hundred and fifty billion dollars was saved by taking the time to find out that we already have other programs doing what the new program proposes to do.

I have already expressed a number of concerns about this program, but what should alarm us the most about this reauthorization is that GAO already found much of this program to be duplicative of other Agencies in a report from 2021. That is why I will be introducing and attaching to this bill and letting the bill go, frankly, if we can agree today to attach a small bill, but I think it could have profound implications over government.

This is called the Duplication Scoring Act. What would happen is, every time someone gets a genius idea how they are going to fix your life or fix your business with another law, there would have to be a duplication score, and government would come forward and say "Well, we have 32 programs that already do the same thing." or "We have 32 programs that aren't working that do the same thing." It would be what a government should normally do before creating a new program—find out if we already have existing programs.

So I will be asking consent to pass this bill. I will let the program continue, even though I think it has many problems, if we will add a duplication scoring system to all programs in government so we can review whether they already exist and are working. This program would be produced for each bill.

I think all of us can agree that there is no point in passing a bill that already exists in another fashion or already has Agencies that do the same job. Before we unknowingly pass a thousand more of these duplicative, fragmented programs, I urge my colleagues to support my amendment, which would continue the program, allow it to be reauthorized, but at the same time begin having a duplication score on every new proposal.

So I would ask the Senate to modify the current request; that my amendment, which is at the desk, be considered and agreed to; that the bill, as amended, be considered read a third time and passed; and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the Senator's request?

Mr. PETERS. Mr. President, reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Michigan is recognized.

Mr. PETERS. Mr. President, I fully appreciate Senator PAUL's commitment to making government more efficient. I was pleased that my committee advanced his bill earlier this year, but, as I noted at the time of our passing it out of committee, the bill requires additional work before it is ready to be passed by the full Senate.

We have heard from several committees that have concerns about the potential impacts of the legislation. I hope that we can continue working over the summer to try to address those concerns and find a path forward for this legislation.

However, the Chemical Facilities Anti-Terrorism Standards Program is set to expire tomorrow. We urgently need to pass this bipartisan 2-year extension now. If we do not, chemical facilities that are at risk of being exploited by terrorists will no longer be able to implement critical security measures, including ensuring that individuals in the terrorist screening database do not have access to restricted areas in these facilities, and the Department of Homeland Security will no longer be able to assess or share information about terrorist threats related to these facilities.

Our national security is on the line, and we cannot let this program expire over a completely unrelated bill about the inside workings of Congress.

I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard to the modification.

Is there objection to the original request?

Mr. PAUL. I object, Mr. President. I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

The Senator from Ohio.

TRIBUTE TO SHARON COHEN

Mr. BROWN. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize Sharon Cohen, who retires this week from the Senate Dining Room. Over her almost three decades here, Ms. Cohen has left a lasting impression on a number of my colleagues and guests who have visited the dining room, including my children and grandchildren and the whole Senate dining team.

Ms. Cohen has seen Senators come and go from this building. She has been here longer than most of my colleagues. She has been here longer than I have. I always look forward to seeing Ms. Cohen. She is always welcoming. She is always gracious. She makes an effort to get to know not just every Senator but every guest who comes through the doors regardless of whom they walked in with, regardless of their political affiliation.

In a place where at times relationships can be tested and debate can be intense, Ms. Cohen always made Senate dining a welcoming place. It is clear to anyone who has met Ms. Cohen that she cares deeply for the people in her life—her family, her colleagues, her guests. She seems to always be thinking about what is best for others.

Among her colleagues, Ms. Cohen is known for being steady and reliable and, most importantly, for her generous spirit. She is always helping whomever she can, however she can. She never asks for anything in return. Her colleagues shared that they don't think they have ever met anyone who works harder than she, and when she finishes her work, she helps everyone who needs it. She is a team player. She is a hard worker.

Maybe most important, she has made a difference for so many people. Maybe all of us, my colleagues and I, can learn from that.

The workers in these jobs often don't get a lot of recognition. They are too

often ignored. Yet they are every bit as important to the Senate as the people on the Senate floor.

She brings a dignity to this job—the same kind of dignity as a carpenter who is proud of her work or a sheet metal worker who is proud of his work or someone who works in manufacturing, someone who works in a veterans hospital, someone who provides home care—because all work has dignity, as she understands.

Ms. Cohen is a treasured member of staff and of this institution. As her colleagues shared, they are sad to see her leave. While they know things will not be the same without her, they share Ms. Cohen's excitement for her next chapter. In retirement, she plans to spend time with her daughter and help care for her granddaughter.

I know she will be missed by the Senate dining team. I know we will all miss seeing her. And I appreciate not just her work but the work of all people who serve in this body in all kinds of capacities.

Ms. Cohen, thank you. I wish you a long, joyous retirement spent with your granddaughter. Congratulations.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Hawaii.

S. 2226

Mr. SCHATZ. Mr. President, as we move forward with the National Defense Authorization Act, I want to say a little bit about why it is so important that we get this done.

Over the last several months, the administration and all of us in the Senate—with particular thanks to Chair REED and Ranking Member WICKER—have worked hard to deliver a bill that will keep our country safe.

There is a lot in this bill, and we all know about some of the big stuff. This year's NDAA will better position us to deter conflict in the Indo-Pacific, strengthen our cyber security capabilities, help us acquire next-gen microelectronics to keep our military competitive, extend our security assistance to Ukraine, and authorize other programs that support our national defense.

These are all reasons that I support this legislation, but I want to highlight a couple of provisions that are just as important and are focused on taking care of the people who serve our country—civilian and military—and underscore the need for accountability. People are the glue that holds everything together, and they are why we have a strong national defense. Some of these provisions are included in this bill, but others we are still working on to include in the final package.

One provision we worked to secure in this bill deals directly with the State of Hawaii. When the Department of the Navy's Red Hill bulk fuel storage facility leaked jet fuel into the water system on the island of Oahu, many were exposed to contaminated water. Although we are on a path to defuel and permanently close the facility, we still do not have an accurate accounting of those affected.

This year's Defense authorization includes my bill establishing a registry to track and collect health data from those who were exposed to the fuel leak. This is a meaningful step to continue to deliver resources to community members, servicemembers, and military families and monitor long-term health concerns. This leak should have never happened, but now we need to do everything we can to help those who have been impacted.

A key provision we are still working to include in the final package will help us to better protect the most vulnerable among us-kids. In 2018, the Department of Defense's internet network was ranked 19th out of almost 3,000 nationwide networks in the amount of peer-to-peer child pornography shared—19th out of 3,000. The ranking remains shocking and unacceptable, but it was not entirely unexpected. A 2006 investigation by Federal law enforcement officials found that 5,000 individuals—5,000 individuals, including hundreds affiliated with the Department of Defense—subscribed to websites that contained child sexual abuse images and videos.

Out of those 5,000 people, 80 percent of them were not investigated—80 percent of them were not investigated. That is because the military lacked the capacity and the resources needed to follow up on leads, coordinate with local and Federal law enforcement, and prosecute the criminals.

So Senator MURKOWSKI and I went to work and authored a bill that would give the DOD the tools that they needed to address this problem. The END Network Abuse Act received bipartisan support and was included in the 2020 Defense bill, clearing the way for DOD to act. But it is almost 4 years later, and the DOD has been maddeningly slow to implement this law.

This cannot wait any further. My amendment would simply compel the Department of Defense to implement this law immediately. We cannot afford to let another day, another month, another 4 years go by without addressing this matter. The stakes are too high, and we already have a Federal law.

While these provisions aren't most attractive to cable news-they are not leading the headlines or national papers—they directly impact our greatest national security asset: our people. Talking about our national defense priorities means nothing if we neglect to support the people who make it possible. We have to continue to honor our commitment to care for them, whether it is through quality healthcare, protecting the most vulnerable, or keeping ourselves accountable to those who serve. Our job in Congress is to deliver for them, and that means passing a final bill.

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. President, on a different but related topic, later today, some of my colleagues, including Chair REED and Senator KELLY, will speak on the critical topic of our military promotions

and the crisis currently caused by their delay here in the Senate by the obstruction of a few Republican Senators.

For example, for the first time in over 100 years, we have an Acting Commandant of the Marine Corps. The service that is reorganizing to better compete in the Indo-Pacific—the region that we all say we need to prioritize—has no confirmed head. General Smith, the nominee and Acting Commandant, is a decorated servicemember, and there is no reason to delay his confirmation.

More than 250 career military promotions are being held up—250 career military promotions are being held up. This is hitting the morale of the forces, and it is causing a backlog in the chain of command. If Senator Tuberville wants to have a debate, let us debate on the floor. But to penalize the Armed Forces of the United States of America in this way is an abuse of the power of advice and consent.

Let's just be really clear. We don't vote on flag and general officer promotions. That is done in what they call a wrapup script, right? At the end of some evening, the leader or his designee reads a script and says, "I ask that nominations numbered," and then he lists them or she lists them. And then all of those one stars become two stars and three stars become four stars and you have a new Commandant of the Marine Corps and the pack fleet commander moves from one star to two stars, whatever it is.

It is perfunctory because we are not in the position of making individual judgments. We don't have the time or the expertise to make individual judgments about 250 flag and general officers, the people who oversee every service branch.

So the idea that we should sit here and burn up postcloture time and turn the Senate into the personnel committee for the Department of Defense is antithetical to the idea of advice and consent. And, yes, every Senator has enormous power. I could probably block the Defense bill this week if I wanted to. But I won't. You know why? Because I am not a maniac; because I understand that when you vest someone through your voters with this kind of power, you have to be very careful how you exercise it.

In my 11-odd years, I blocked one or two things. And when I block something, people know I am serious. I have never—and I know no one of the current 100 Senators besides Senator Tuberville and no one else before him—I have never seen this in my life.

This is a breaking of the Department of Defense, and this is a breaking of the basic understanding that, hey, we are going to vest each other with the kind of authority that is pretty enormous, right? But in exchange, you have to use that power wisely. In exchange, you have to use that power wisely.

Senator Tuberville is mad about an abortion issue, and so he is preventing all of these general and flag officers

from getting their promotions. It is bad for morale; it is bad for the chain of command; and it is also bad for these individual families.

You have people who have to make basic choices: real estate decisions. Am I renting a condo or not? Where am I living? I am not even sure. Where should I enroll my kids in school? I don't know. My whole life depends on when Senator Tuberville decides that this craziness is over.

It has to end. It is bad for the country; it is bad for the Senate; and it is bad for the U.S. Armed Forces.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MORAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

FEDERAL RESERVE

Mr. MORAN. Mr. President, earlier this year, the public confidence in the banking system was shaken by a series of significant bank failures. To put it simply, these banks failed to account for interest rate increases while leaning on a deposit base that was almost entirely uninsured. That is a textbook case of mismanagement.

It is critical that faith be restored in our Nation's banks and their regulators. But before policymakers clamor to write stricter banking regulations, an independent review board should be appointed to thoroughly probe the failure of Silicon Valley Bank and the response of the Federal Reserve Bank.

Many questions still remain unanswered. Silicon Valley Bank was quickly deemed systemically important because of its size, but the ensuing failure of a larger bank was not. The sale was dragged out for weeks out of fear that certain banks would grow too large, only for the largest bank in the country to turn around and purchase the next bank failure.

In my opinion, all parties involved had a role in this failure: bank executives, examiners, and regulators. The bank failed to both accurately leverage their position and react to rising interest rates. Examiners failed to require changes in either the bank's policy or subsequent actions. Regulators failed by arbitrarily guaranteeing all funds against loss, creating an unlimited market insecurity by forcing taxpayers and customers to now question the safety of their deposits. The administration failed by furthering a culture of government intervention that props up certain too-big-to-fail institutions.

Meaningful oversight requires objectivity and must hold all parties accountable without having a predetermined regulatory agenda in mind. To restore public confidence, the next step, in my view, would be to hire an outside investigative group to conduct a review of the Federal Reserve Bank's

response. Conflicts of interest inherently arise when a singular member of the Board prepares a self-investigation.

This comprehensive review must be done by a party uninvolved in the failure of Silicon Valley Bank and/or uninvolved in the Federal response. This would better ensure that the outcome of this investigation would be impartial, helping put to bed doubts that the Fed's review only served as a stamp of approval on the Fed's policies.

The Fed's own internal review found significant negligence by both management and regulators. The public needs insight into the reasoning and conversations of regulators, the White House, and bank management involved in the response.

Silicon Valley Bank and the banks that subsequently failed were specialized to do business with a unique financial sector. Any reform regulators push now must be narrowly tailored to those circumstances to avoid collateral damage to small and midsized banks that consistently operate responsibly. Stricter capital requirements will push lending out of the regulated banking sector and into the nonbanks and money market funds, none of which are subject to the regulations of the Fed for banks, as the Fed regulates banks.

The banking turmoil was a result of a rapidly changing interest rate environment, the speed at which money can move, and the limitations of banks to adjust as quickly as the market can. Understanding the context and reason behind the response is absolutely necessary for ensuring future bank failures have a smooth and fair resolution with a minimal impact upon American taxpayers.

An independent review of the Silicon Valley Bank collapse is necessary to get a nonpartisan, less biased assessment that gives Americans confidence in our banking system and policymakers better ability to ensure our financial system remains the strongest in the world.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Louisiana.

BIDEN ADMINISTRATION

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, as we know, President Biden has been talking the last 30 to 60 days about Bidenomics. I think it would be fair to say that because so many Americans are struggling to support their families, President Biden is struggling to explain what he means by "Bidenomics."

I think most fairminded Americans, based on the, what, year and a half and a few months that President Biden has been President, understand what Bidenomics is because they understand, at this juncture, what President Biden believes in, not only what he believes in, what he has done.

Bidenomics, to most fairminded Americans, is bigger government. Bidenomics is higher taxes. Bidenomics is more regulation. Bidenomics is more spending. Bidenomics is more debt. Bidenomics is also inflation.

Let me say that again. First and foremost, Bidenomics is inflation. President Biden's inflation—history, I believe, will demonstrate this—is a cancer on the American dream. It is a cancer on the American dream.

Since President Biden has been President, electricity is up 24 percent. There is your Bidenomics. Gas, gasoline—I will quote you from Louisiana—is up 65 percent. Eggs are up 39 percent. Potato chips are up 25 percent. Bread is up 26 percent. Coffee costs 30 percent more, thanks to President Biden's inflation and Bidenomics. Rice is up 29 percent. Flour is up 25 percent. Milk is up 18 percent; ice cream, 18 percent; chicken, 23 percent. I could keep going.

Let me give you a few statistics to put those numbers in context. The median household income in my State of Louisiana is \$53,571. The median household income of an American family, nationwide, is \$70,784. So in Louisiana, the median household income—not individual income, household income—is about \$54,000. The median income throughout America is about \$71,000.

In my State, Bidenomics and President Biden's inflation is costing my people—the average family in Louisiana—an additional \$757 a month—not a year, a month. That is \$9,084 a year.

So imagine, in Louisiana, if you are at the median household income of \$54,000 a year—that is you, a spouse, and children—and, all of a sudden, in the past year-and-a-half, under Bidenomics, you have got to come out of pocket an extra \$9,000 a year. You are making \$54,000 a year to support the family, and now, all of a sudden, you have got to come out—you have to find—an extra \$9,000 just to tread water. Where are you going to get that money?

Maybe you saved up a little money from the stimulus checks, but that is probably gone. Maybe you have a savings account that you set aside, but that is probably gone now too. Maybe you have got a couple of credit cards, but you have maxed those out. Maybe you have a dream of sending your children to college and you have a college fund, but you have already had to dip into that. And there is no end in sight.

Now, that is the experience of the people in my State, from Bidenomics, and I think that is the experience across America. That is why I say that inflation—President Biden's inflation—has been a cancer on the American dream. And I can tell you that in Louisiana my people are getting really good at barely getting by, and there is no end in sight.

Now, I am pleased to be able to say that the rate of inflation has been coming down, and I hope it keeps coming down. Our last inflation numbers showed that. You will see them reported in the media. Inflation is now at 3 percent. That is sort of accurate. It is

at 3 percent, but the reason it is at 3 percent is primarily because of the fall in the price of gasoline. Gasoline is still high, but the price of oil has come down because our economy and the world economy are so weak. So there is less demand for it.

But more important than overall inflation is what we call core inflation. That is what most economists look at. It would be core inflation because core—C-O-R-E—inflation looks at inflation without looking at energy or food, because energy and food can both be very volatile. Core inflation is at 4.8 percent, and it has been very sticky, still way over the Federal Reserve's targeted 2 percent.

But it has been coming down, and that is good news. But what does that mean? All it means is that the rate of increase in inflation has been slowing.

When you have inflation, let's say at 8 percent, and you get it down to 6 percent, that means that you have reduced the rate of increase of the prices. The economists call that disinflation. That doesn't mean that prices are going down. It just means that prices aren't rising as rapidly.

And if we can get core inflation down to 2 percent, that does not mean these high prices that I just quoted are going to go down. That would be deflation.

I regret to tell you, Mr. President—and I think you know what I am saying is accurate—these high prices are permanent. We are going to be stuck with a 24-percent increase in electricity. Even if we can get inflation down to zero percent, these high prices that have been caused by Bidenomics are permanent.

We are going to be stuck with coffee up 30 percent. I am not going to reread the list. That is why I say that inflation, the major product of Bidenomics, has been a cancer on the American dream

Now, my people in Louisiana need every dollar they can get right now. The average family making \$54,000 a year is now having to find an additional \$9,000 a year, and that is not going to change. Their only hope is that it doesn't get worse.

So I want to call the attention of my people to tax refunds. A lot of my people get tax refunds. They get money back. They have money withheld from their paycheck, and, oftentimes, it is too much. And the State of Louisiana and the Federal Government owe them money in the form of a tax refund.

And sometimes my people in Louisiana are busy earning a living. They get up every day. They go to work. They obey the law. They pay their taxes. They try to teach their children morals. They try to do the right thing for their children. They get busy, and, sometimes, people forget to claim their tax refunds.

So I am here today, No. 1, to try to explain Bidenomics and tell the people of Louisiana and the people of America that I am sorry they are having to go through this. But, No. 2, I understand

that every dollar counts. And please, please, please, check and see if you are due a tax refund.

For example, now, start with the State. The State of Louisiana is holding almost \$12 million—\$11,574,249 that is owed in tax refunds to the people of Louisiana. So 15,461 people are owed tax refunds, and they haven't claimed it. The average refund is about \$750. You need to claim it, I say to my people. You need to claim it by August 28. If you don't claim it by August 28, you won't lose it. The money will be transferred to the Treasury Department and become part of what is called the Unclaimed Property Program, and then you just have to fill out more paperwork to get your money.

So if you think you have a tax refund due from the State of Louisiana, go get it by August 28. It is worth checking. All you have got to do is go to the department of revenue website: revenue.louisiana.gov—revenue.louisiana.gov.

Now, also, my department of revenue—thank you for doing this—just sent out letters to every one of these 15,461 people to whom the State owes a tax refund. Our department of revenue sent them a letter. Please open that letter and don't throw it away. This includes individuals and women and businessmen. All you have to do is open that letter. There is a voucher in there. You fill it out and send it back into the department of revenue, and you will get your money. So please do that. You earned it.

Now, at the Federal level, it is a little more complicated, to no one's surprise—at the Federal level. I tried to get the information from the IRS about how much is owed to my people in terms of Federal income tax refunds. You won't faint with surprise when I say it is hard to get them on the phone. And when we did get them on the phone, they said: We can't give you that information. If we told you, we would have to kill you.

So I went back and did some research. The most recent numbers I have are from 2019. In 2019, tax refunds in the amount of \$22 million were owed to the people in Louisiana. These are Federal income tax refunds. This is 2019 now. I don't know what the current number is because the IRS won't tell me. But based on 2019 numbers, it is anywhere from \$22 to \$25 million, and based on 2019 numbers, about 22,000 Louisianians are owed Federal income tax refunds on top of the State income tax refunds.

And I want to encourage them to check to see if they have a Federal income tax refund. Here is what you need to do. You can call them if you like, but lots of luck. Go to www.irs.gov/refunds—www.irs.gov/refunds—and you can check to see if the IRS owes you a tax refund.

You are going to need your Social Security number, of course, or your tax-payer ID number. You are going to need your filing status. They want you

to tell them the exact amount of your refund. They have all that information, but they want you to tell it to them. Just don't argue with them. Just go ahead and do it, based off your tax return.

And you can make a claim there, online, and give them a reasonable amount of time, and you can get a check from the Federal Government as well.

I used to be the tax collector in Louisiana, and I can tell you that, for a variety of reasons, a lot of people—not just Louisianians but all across America—forget to claim their State income tax refund and/or their Federal income tax refund. So I hope they will take advantage of this.

I am sorry. I just want to say to them that I am sorry that the Federal Government has let them down. I am embarrassed about Bidenomics. I am sorry about this inflation. It is a cancer on the American dream. I am afraid it is going to be with us awhile. I hope I am wrong. But if we succeed in getting that rate of inflation down to 2 percent, that doesn't mean prices are going to go down. I wish I could sit here and tell you that. These higher prices are coming. What we are trying to do is just stop the increase and stop the crisis from going up so fast. So I hope you will take advantage of this information, not just in Louisiana but all across America, and go claim your tax refunds if you are owed.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. CORTEZ MASTO). The Senator from Vermont.

S. 2226

Mr. SANDERS. Madam President, the Senate is now debating an \$886 billion Defense authorization bill, and unless there are major changes to that bill, I intend to vote against it. Let me take a few minutes to explain why.

I think everybody in our country knows that we face enormous crises.

As a result of climate change, our planet is experiencing unprecedented and rising temperatures. Along with the rest of the world, we need to make major investments to transform our energy system away from fossil fuels and into energy efficiency and sustainable energy. If we do not do that-not only America but China and countries all over the world—the planet we are leaving our kids and future generations will become increasingly unhealthy and precarious. In fact, there are some who wonder whether the planet will continue to exist in years to come unless we move aggressively on this existential threat.

But it is not only climate change. Our healthcare system is broken, and it is dysfunctional—not a secret. Most Americans know that. While the insurance companies and the drug companies make hundreds of billions of dollars in profits, 85 million Americans are uninsured or underinsured. Unbelievably, our life expectancy, which is already lower than most major coun-

tries, is declining. Today, we have a massive shortage of doctors, nurses, mental health practitioners, and dentists—something that the committee I chair, the HELP Committee, is trying to address. But it is a reality today that our healthcare system is broken and dysfunctional.

Our educational system is teetering.

While we have one of the highest rates of childhood poverty of almost any major country, millions of parents in Vermont, Nevada, and all over this country are unable to find affordable and quality childcare. It is a major, major crisis which is only going to become worse as a result of the cliff that the childcare folks are going to be experiencing in a few months.

But it is not just childcare. When we talk about education, we should appreciate that the number of our young people who graduate from college today is falling further and further behind other countries. In other words, we need to have the best educated country on Earth in order to compete internationally. Yet other countries are seeing a greater percentage of their young people graduating college. One of the reasons is the high cost of college. Many young people do not want to go \$50,000 or \$100,000 in debt to get a college or graduate school degree. Today, we have 45 million Americans who are struggling under the weight of student debt-something that President Biden, I, and others have been trying to deal with.

But it is not only climate. It is not only healthcare. It is not only education. Today, all over this country, we are seeing a massive crisis in terms of low-income and affordable housing. While gentrification is causing rents to soar in many parts of our country, some 600,000 Americans are homeless. A few blocks away from right here in the Nation's Capital, there are people sleeping out in the streets. And we have some 18 million people who are spending more than half of their limited incomes on housing.

So that is what the country faces. We have a planetary crisis in terms of climate change. Our healthcare system is broken and dysfunctional. Our educational system is teetering. Our housing stock is totally inadequate. These are just some of the crises facing our country.

What is very clear, I think, to the American people and many people here in the Senate and those in the House is that we are not addressing those crises. We don't have any pretense—we are not addressing those crises. When is the last time the Presiding Officer has heard a serious debate here about how we address climate change, how we build up affordable housing, how we reform the healthcare system? It is not taking place. We are not addressing this. So that is one political reality that exists here in the Nation's Capital.

But there is another reality, and that is the reality of the Pentagon and military spending, and that is a whole other story. Every year, with seemingly little regard for the strategic picture facing our country, this body, the House and the Senate, votes to increase the military budget. It just happens. We don't worry about people sleeping on the street. We don't worry about people who don't have any healthcare. We don't worry about people who can't afford prescription drugs. Every year, the military budget—hey, more money.

The wars in Iraq and Afghanistan are over. Tens of thousands of American troops have returned home. Yet the Pentagon's budget continues to go up. Every year, despite sometimes very contentious partisan fights on all manner of things—you name it, big fights going on—Congress somehow comes together very quietly, with little debate, to vote for the one thing they agree on, and that is more and more money for the Pentagon.

Right now, despite all of the enormous needs facing working families in this country, over half of the Federal discretionary budget goes to the military. Got it? Over half of the Federal discretionary budget goes to the military

I support a strong military. People don't have to convince me why we need a strong military. But I will oppose this legislation, this Defense authorization bill, for four major reasons.

First, more military spending right now is unnecessary. The United States remains the world's dominant military power and is in no danger of losing that position. Alone, we account for roughly 40 percent of global military spending. This comes despite the end of the war in Afghanistan and despite the fact that the United States now spends more on the military than the next 10 countries combined, most of which are our allies. We spend more than the next 10 countries combined, most of which are our allies. Last year, we spent more than 3 times what China is spending on the military, and more than 10 times what Russia spent.

While this year's National Defense Authorization Act would merely match the Pentagon's recordbreaking request, in most recent years, Congress has seen fit to give the Department of Defense more money than it even asks for. Imagine that. The 85 million people who are uninsured—we don't help them. People can't afford the high cost of prescription drugs-hardly doing anything on that. People sleeping out on the streets-can't do that. Kids can't afford to go to college-can't do that. But we have, year after year, given the Pentagon more money than they have even requested, requiring them to submit "wish lists" of items to Congress; in other words, tell us what more you need.

The Pentagon is routinely given so much taxpayer money that it literally doesn't know what to do with all the money Congress has thrown at them. According to the Government Accountability Office, the GAO, over an 11-year

period, the Pentagon returned an astonishing \$128 billion in excess funds to the Treasury. In other words, we gave them so much money that they couldn't even spend it, and they had to return some of it.

So that is reason No. 1 why I oppose this legislation.

No. 2, the Pentagon cannot keep track of the dollars it already has, leading to massive waste, fraud, and abuse in the sprawling military-industrial complex. The Pentagon accounts for about two-thirds of all Federal contracting activity, obligating more money every year than all civilian Federal Agencies combined. Yet the Department of Defense remains the only major Federal Agency that cannot pass an independent audit more than 30 years after Congress required them to do so.

So we are throwing hundreds and hundreds of billions of dollars into the Pentagon. Thirty years ago, Congress said: We want an audit; we want to know what is going on—a reasonable request. It has only been 30 years, and we still have not gotten an independent audit.

Last year, the Department of Defense was unable to account for over half of its assets, which are in excess of \$3 trillion, or roughly 78 percent of what the entire Federal Government owns. The Government Accountability Office, the GAO, reports that the Department of Defense still cannot accurately track its finances or capture and post transactions to the current accounts.

Each year, auditors find billions of dollars in the Pentagon's proverbial couch cushions—just money lying around, you know, that pops up here and there. In fiscal 2022, Navy auditors found \$4.4 billion in untracked inventory—couldn't find it, but there was \$4.4 billion—while Air Force auditors identified \$5.2 billion worth of variances in its general ledger.

These problems are why Senator GRASSLEY and I have again introduced our Audit the Pentagon Act, with a number of cosponsors, which would force the Pentagon to get serious about their shortcomings by reducing by 1 percent the budget of any DOD component that cannot pass an audit. I don't think that is an unreasonable request.

A meaningful effort to address this waste should be undertaken before Congress throws more money at the Pentagon. Yet this absolutely necessary oversight is again missing from this bill. So it doesn't matter. Next year, we will learn that tens and tens of billions of dollars can't be accounted for. So what is the problem?

In June, the GAO found that in the preceding year, 1 single year, DOD's largest acquisition programs had seen cost estimates rise by \$37 billion. It goes on and on and on. They come up with an estimate for a weapons system, and then they say: Oh, sorry, it turns out it is going to cost a lot more than we told you. This comes after decades in which we spent more than \$2 trillion

on ill-considered wars, in my view, in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Somehow, despite this incredible record of waste and fraud, the military-industrial complex escapes meaningful scrutiny.

The third point I want to make in opposition is that much of this additional military spending will go to line the pockets of hugely profitable defense contractors. It is corporate welfare by a different name. Almost half of the Pentagon budget goes to private contractors, some of whom are exploiting their monopoly positions and the trust granted them by the United States to line their pockets. Repeated investigations by the DOD inspector general, the GAO, and CBS News have uncovered numerous instances of contractors massively overcharging the Department of Defense, helping boost these companies' profit margins to nearly 40 percent and sometimes as high as over 4,000 percent, while costing U.S. taxpayers hundreds and hundreds of millions of dollars. TransDigm, Lockheed Martin, Boeing, and Raytheon are among the offenders, dramatically overcharging taxpayers, while reaping enormous profits, seeing their stock prices soar, and handing out massive executive compensation packages.

Just one example, Lockheed Martin received \$46 billion in unclassified Federal contracts last year, returned \$11 billion to shareholders through dividends and stock buybacks, and paid its CEO \$25 million. These companies are fully reliant on the U.S. taxpayer, yet their CEOs make over 100 times more than the Secretary of Defense and 500 percent more than the average newly enlisted servicemember.

TransDigm, the company behind the over 4,000-percent markup on spare parts, touted \$3.1 billion in profits on \$5.4 billion of net sales, almost boasting to investors about just how fully it was fleecing the taxpayers.

Indeed, over the past two decades, major defense contractors have paid billions of dollars in fines or related settlements for fraud or misconduct. Almost every major defense contractor has had to pay fines for fraud or misconduct. Just the other day—people may have seen it in the papers—the consulting firm of Booz Allen Hamilton was fined \$377 million for overcharging the Defense Department. Yet these contracts never dry up.

That is why I introduced an amendment to this year's NDAA to require the Secretary of Defense to produce an updated report on defense contractor fraud. That amendment was not included in what we will be voting on.

Here is maybe the major point that I want to make: If the pandemic, the COVID pandemic, has taught us anything—and let us not forget for one minute that that pandemic cost us over 1 million lives—it is that national security relies on much more than just a strong military.

It is funny, as chairman of the HELP Committee, a couple of months ago, we had those people who are responsible for protecting this country against future pandemics before us. And the question that everybody asked them, Democrat and Republican, is: Hey, are we prepared for the next pandemic that is likely to come? Without exception, the leaders of the government Agencies whose job is to protect us for the next pandemic said: No, we are not prepared.

By the way, there are some right now who want to take money away from the Centers for Disease Control in this particular bill.

The point is that when you lose over 1 million people to a pandemic and when the scientists tell us there is a good chance that another one may come, that is a national security issue.

True security—if we are really looking at what true security is about—it means everything that we can do to improve the lives of ordinary Americans.

True security is that we address the crisis of a declining life expectancy. The gap between the lifespan of the wealthy and the working class is over 10 years. If you are working class in this country, you are going to die 10 years shorter than the wealthy. Is that not an issue of national security? Do we not want to make sure that all of our people, whether they are rich or poor or middle class, have the right to live full and productive and healthy lives? I think so. That is called national security.

National security has to do with the issue of education for our kids. How are we secure if our young people, from childcare to graduate school, are not getting the quality of education?

There are millions of children who today, in America, as we speak, are food insecure. There are days that go by when they are hungry. How do we talk about national security and not talk about the crisis of childhood hunger, not to mention childhood poverty in general?

How do we talk about national security when people are sleeping out on the street?

How do we, in any sense of the word, talk about national security without understanding the weather in Texas, in the southwest, is now hitting recordbreaking levels? People are dying from the heat. Oceans are getting hotter. We are looking at drought. We are looking at extreme weather disturbances. My own State, just several weeks ago, experienced the worst natural disaster, torrential rainfalls that we haven't seen since 1927. That is national security. Whether people get forced out of their homes because of flooding, die from heat stroke-that is called national security.

This body—the Senate—could decide to have one or two fewer ballistic missile submarines, saving almost \$15 billion over the next decade. And we could put that money—and it would go a long way—toward housing the homeless or feeding the 5 million children in this

country who are food insecure. Instead, day after day, here in Washington. many of my colleagues tell the American people that we just don't have the money. We can't do what every other major country on Earth does-guarantee healthcare to all people; we can't provide affordable housing; we can't provide affordable childcare: we can't provide nutrition to kids in America who are hungry. We just can't afford to do any of those things. But come to the military budget and all the lobbyists around here from the defense contractors, my God, we can't stop throwing money at them.

So what I would say is that the time is long overdue for our country to get our national priorities right, and one small step forward would be to say no to this very bloated and wasteful military budget and start reordering our priorities so that we pay attention to the needs of the middle class and working class and low-income people rather than just defense contractors.

With that, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. OSSOFF). The Senator from Rhode Island.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, this is the 289th time that I have come to the Senate floor with my increasingly battered "Time to Wake Up" chart, to stir this Chamber to act on climate change.

Since 2016, I have been talking about the zettajoule. The zettajoule is the measure of how much fossil fuel emissions are heating up our oceans. In this season of extreme, record-smashing heat touching all 50 States, it is wild that elected representatives in Washington still choose to insulate themselves from reality, a reality measured in zettajoules.

A zettajoule is a number almost beyond comprehension in its size. One joule—J-O-U-L-E—is our standard unit of energy, and it applies to heat energy. A zettajoule is 1 joule with 21 zeros behind it. It is a truly massive number.

In a 2019 "Time to Wake Up" speech, I reported that more than nine zettajoules of heat energy was being added to the ocean annually. Since then, I have come to the floor with an updated number. Our oceans are absorbing around 14 zettajoules of excess heat every year.

Let's put that in context. The total energy consumption of all humankind amounts to about one-half of a zettajoule of energy per year. That means that for the fossil fuel component of that one-half of a zettajoule of energy, we pay the price of 14 added zettajoules of heat into the ocean every year.

Said another way, we load into our Earth's oceans every year nearly 30 times the entire energy use of the entire species on the entire planet. That is a big magnification.

If this is the zettajoules of excess heat absorbed into the oceans every year, that dot is the average annual energy consumption of the human species on the planet. For the price of the fossil fuel component of that, mankind's entire energy consumption in zettajoules, we suffer that load of heat energy going into the oceans.

That is a bit hard to comprehend, so consider one other unit of measure: the energy released by the detonation of the nuclear bomb America dropped on Hiroshima. In Hiroshima bomb terms, last year the ocean absorbed the equivalent of seven Hiroshima bombs detonating every second in the ocean. Every second of every day for the entire year, seven nuclear detonations' worth of heat into our oceans—per second.

This unfathomable amount of heat has been somewhat offset by La Nina, the cool phase of a recurring climate pattern called the El Nino Southern Oscillation, or ENSO. That is the acronym for the El Nino Southern Oscillation. The ENSO cycle consists of variations in sea surface temperature, rainfall, surface air pressure, and atmosphere circulation located over the Pacific Ocean near the Equator. And in that oscillation, La Nina is the name for the cooling period.

Well, in June, we left La Nina and moved into an El Nino period. El Nino is the warmer side of the ENSO cycle. We saw it raise temperatures in previous cycles in 1998 and 2016. All those zettajoules of excess heat being dumped into the Earth's oceans, and now we are headed into the warming part of the cycle. Watch for more heat records to fall.

One major consequence for us of hotter oceans is stronger hurricane activity. Hurricanes are powered up more by hotter water as they move over the Atlantic. This June, sea surface temperatures in the North Atlantic Ocean are the hottest in 170 years—the hottest in 170 years—9 whole degrees Fahrenheit above normal.

This is what is considered by science an "extreme" oceanic heat wave. And certain parts of the ocean are reaching the rare designation called "beyond extreme." That is actually happening. On a scale from 1 to 5, the North Atlantic's heat is either category 4 or category 5, depending on where you are.

Bring it home to Florida. Water temperatures in Florida have hit records reaching as high as 101 degrees. That is not the air temperature, that is the ocean temperature. That is actually the recommended temperature for a hot tub. Indeed, that is the midpoint of the Jacuzzi Company's recommended range for its hot tub temperatures for healthy adults.

Now, doctors recommend that children under the age of 5 avoid hot tubs over 95 degrees, and pregnant women are advised to stay out of water once it gets much above 100 degrees. So the ocean off Florida is almost too hot for many humans.

"Almost too hot for humans" means definitely too hot for many ocean crea-

tures, particularly ocean corals. Coral reefs matter because they support a quarter of all known marine species.

Florida has the largest coral reef ecosystem in the continental United States, the third largest living barrier coral reef in the world. If you don't care about creatures and only care about money, well, Florida's protected waters contribute billions of tourism dollars to the Florida economy.

All of that is in jeopardy in this heat. According to NOAA, when temperatures reach 1 degree Celsius or about 2 degrees Fahrenheit warmer than normal, corals cross what is called their bleaching threshold. That is where they turn white as they evulse the living creatures that keep them alive, and that is a step on the way to death.

That is bad news, considering the temperatures around Florida have been running 5 degrees above normal. And the longer this goes on, the more trouble corals will have recovering.

We hear sometimes about 100-year or even 500-year storms. These are storms that are so extreme they are expected to occur only once every 100 or 500 years. Well, scientists have put this Florida heat wave off the charts. Ben Kirtman is the director of the Cooperative Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Studies at the University of Miami. He said:

If you just wrote a statistical model and said what are the chances of this level of warming, it would be 1 in 250,000 years.

Not 1 in 100 years, not 1 in 500 years, 1 in 250,000 years. If that is not a warning that it is time to wake up, I do not know what is.

Ultrarare weather events are not so rare anymore in this climate-changed world. This is not just happening in the United States, it is worldwide. This summer, most of the oceans on planet Earth have at least a 70-percent chance of experiencing what are called marine heat wave conditions.

The effects of marine heat waves read like Biblical plagues: decreased oxygen, dead zones, fish die-offs. And then come the weather effects: droughts in some places and increasingly deadly and dangerous storms in others because our oceans drive our weather on this planet.

Over the course of a weekend last month, thousands of dead fish washed up along the Texas gulf coast.

They died of lack of oxygen. Warm water holds much less oxygen than cold water. The ocean, through heat, becomes anoxic, and this slaughter results.

Again, if you don't care about creatures and only care about money, in the United States last year alone, there were 18 separate billion-dollar weather and climate disasters, exceeding \$175 billion in total cost and, by the way, costing nearly 500 Americans their lives.

Aside from those sudden disasters, comes the slow and insidious changes ocean warming brings, like the accelerating creep of sea level rise across

your coast and mine. As ocean temperatures increase, two things happen: 1, ice in the Arctic and Antarctic melts, adding water to the ocean; and, 2, seawater expands—remember those zettajoules. Combined, the effects of melting ice sheets and expanding seawater volume increases sea levels along our coasts. That slow creep of sea level rise is not as slow as it used to be. The ocean rose more than twice as fast this decade as it did the previous decade. Last year, it set a new record high.

The news gets worse. There is a centuries-long time lag in the natural systems causing sea level rise, meaning we are only seeing the leading edge of what we have caused. Even if we stopped emitting greenhouse gases today, ocean levels would continue to rise for decades.

NOAA has predicted that the acceleration will continue; that sea level rise along the U.S. coastline will rise 10 to 12 inches just over the next 30 years, as much as the entire rise measured over the last century.

One way to help deal with this is through the National Coastal Resilience Fund, a grant program that restores, increases, and strengthens natural infrastructure to protect coastal communities and to protect habitats for fish and wildlife. The fund invests in conservation projects that restore or expand our natural protections: coastal marshes and wetlands, dunes and beach systems, oyster and coral reefs, coastal forests, rivers and flood plains, and barrier islands that minimize the impacts of storms and sea level rise, as well as other dangerous events like lost fisheries from ocean warming.

This program is so direly needed that it is vastly oversubscribed. In 2022, over \$600 million of projects went unfunded because there simply wasn't enough money in the program. Nearly half a billion dollars in unfunded protections for vulnerable coastal communities requesting Federal assistance.

I will give you one example of where this program is important. In 2019, the fund awarded \$1 million to the Alaskan Native village of Shaktoolik to restore coastal dune habitat and to construct a natural storm surge berm. Well, last year, along came Typhoon Merbok and devastated parts of the Alaskan coastline. Shaktoolik was at the epicenter of the typhoon. The berm successfully protected the community from devastating coastal flooding. As one resident noted, "The berm saved our lives." That is the value of resiliency, planning, and investment.

But more than just brace ourselves for the baked-in effects of fossil fuel emissions poisoning our planet, we need to head off climate change at the oil spigot. That means taking on the fossil fuel industry's increasingly desperate lies and its well-funded political juggernaut that does such evil in this building. We know how to solve this problem; we just don't do it, because fossil fuel fingers creep through so many corners of the Capitol.

In the time it took me to deliver this speech, around 6,000 Hiroshima bombs of excess heat energy were put into our oceans. Every day, it is getting worse. We completely underestimate how bad things are going to get—completely. Even people who care about climate change and believe that it is real and aren't in tow to the fossil fuel industry and its dark money, they still completely underestimate how bad this is going to get. And the tragedy is, it has always been preventable simply by moving to a productive, economically valuable, clean energy future and stopping our indulgence of fossil fuel pollution and obstruction. If what is going on with climate change heat going into our oceans is not enough to wake us up, I do not know what will. It is certainly—certainly—time to wake up.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I would like to go through some of the materials that would ordinarily be in the evening wrap-up, but nobody watching should think we are in evening wrap-up. We are still expecting a great number of votes this evening when everything gets worked out.

RECRUIT AND RETAIN ACT

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 86, S. 546.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 546) to amend the Omnibus Crime control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to authorize law enforcement agencies to use COPS grants for recruitment activities, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary with an amendment, as follows:

(The part of the bill intended to be stricken is in boldfaced brackets, and the part of the bill intended to be inserted is in italic.)

S. 546

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Recruit and Retain Act".

SEC. 2. IMPROVING COPS GRANTS FOR POLICE HIRING PURPOSES.

- (a) Grant Use Expansion.—Section 1701(b) of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381(b)) is amended—
- (1) by redesignating paragraphs (5) through (23) as paragraphs (6) through (24), respectively; and
- (2) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following:
- "(5) to support hiring activities by law enforcement agencies experiencing declines in officer recruitment applications by reducing application-related fees, such as fees for background checks, psychological evaluations, and testing [.]".

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 1701(b)(23) of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381(b)(23)) is amended by striking "(21)" and inserting "(22)".

SEC. 3. ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.

Section 1701 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381) is amended—

- (1) by redesignating subsections (i) through (n) as subsections (j) through (o), respectively; and
- (2) by inserting after subsection (h) the following:
- "(i) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—Not more than 2 percent of a grant made for the hiring or rehiring of additional career law enforcement officers may be used for costs incurred to administer such grant."

SEC. 4. PIPELINE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.

Section 1701 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381) is amended by inserting after subsection (o) the following:

- "(p) COPS PIPELINE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—
- "(1) ELIGIBLE ENTITY DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term 'eligible entity' means a law enforcement agency in partnership with not less than 1 educational institution, which may include 1 or any combination of the following:
 - "(A) An elementary school.
 - "(B) A secondary school.
 - "(C) An institution of higher education.
 - "(D) A Hispanic-serving institution.
- ``(E) A historically Black college or university.
 - "(F) A Tribal college.
- "(2) GRANTS.—The Attorney General shall award competitive grants to eligible entities for recruiting activities that—
- "(A) support substantial student engagement for the exploration of potential future career opportunities in law enforcement;
- "(B) strengthen recruitment by law enforcement agencies experiencing a decline in recruits, or high rates of resignations or retirements:
- "(C) enhance community interactions between local youth and law enforcement agencies that are designed to increase recruiting; and
- "(D) otherwise improve the outcomes of local law enforcement recruitment through activities such as dedicated programming for students, work-based learning opportunities, project-based learning, mentoring, community liaisons, career or job fairs, work site visits, job shadowing, apprenticeships, or skills-based internships.
- "(3) FUNDING.—Of the amounts made available to carry out this part for a fiscal year, the Attorney General may use not more than \$3,000,000 to carry out this subsection.".

SEC. 5. COPS GRANT GUIDANCE FOR AGENCIES OPERATING BELOW BUDGETED STRENGTH.

Section 1704 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10384) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- ''(d) GUIDANCE FOR UNDERSTAFFED LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.—
 - "(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:
- "(A) COVERED APPLICANT.—The term 'covered applicant' means an applicant for a hiring grant under this part seeking funding for a law enforcement agency operating below the budgeted strength of the law enforcement agency.
- "(B) BUDGETED STRENGTH.—The term 'budgeted strength' means the employment of the maximum number of sworn law enforcement officers the budget of a law enforcement agency allows the agency to employ.

- "(2) PROCEDURES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall establish consistent procedures for covered applicants, including guidance that—
- "(A) clarifies that covered applicants remain eligible for funding under this part; and
- "(B) enables covered applicants to attest that the funding from a grant awarded under this part is not being used by the law enforcement agency to supplant State or local funds, as described in subsection (a).
- "(3) PAPERWORK REDUCTION.—In developing the procedures and guidance under paragraph (2), the Attorney General shall take measures to reduce paperwork requirements for grants to covered applicants.".

SEC. 6. STUDY ON POLICE RECRUITMENT.

- (a) STUDY.-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study to consider the comprehensive effects of recruitment and attrition rates on Federal, State, Tribal, and local law enforcement agencies in the United States, to identify—
- (A) the primary reasons that law enforcement officers—
- (i) join law enforcement agencies; and
- (ii) resign or retire from law enforcement agencies;
- (B) how the reasons described in subparagraph (A) may have changed over time;
- (C) the effects of recruitment and attrition on public safety;
- (D) the effects of electronic media on recruitment efforts:
- (E) barriers to the recruitment and retention of Federal, State, and local law enforcement officers: and
- (F) recommendations for potential ways to address barriers to the recruitment and retention of law enforcement officers, including the barriers identified in subparagraph (E).
 - (2) Representative cross-section.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall endeavor to ensure accurate representation of law enforcement agencies in the study conducted pursuant to paragraph (1) by surveying a broad cross-section of law enforcement agencies—
- (i) from various regions of the United States;
 - (ii) of different sizes; and
- (iii) from rural, suburban, and urban jurisdictions
- (B) METHODS DESCRIPTION.—The study conducted pursuant to paragraph (1) shall include in the report under subsection (b) a description of the methods used to identify a representative sample of law enforcement agencies.
- (b) REPORT.—Not later than 540 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall—
- (1) submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report containing the study conducted under subsection (a): and
- (2) make the report submitted under paragraph (1) publicly available online.
- (c) CONFIDENTIALITY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall ensure that the study conducted under subsection (a) protects the privacy of participating law enforcement agencies.
- Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I further ask unanimous consent that the committee-reported amendment be agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The committee-reported amendment was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. I know of no further debate on the bill, as amended.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading and was read the third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (S. 546), as amended, was passed as follows:

S. 546

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Recruit and Retain Act".

SEC. 2. IMPROVING COPS GRANTS FOR POLICE HIRING PURPOSES.

- (a) Grant Use Expansion.—Section 1701(b) of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381(b)) is amended—
- (1) by redesignating paragraphs (5) through (23) as paragraphs (6) through (24), respectively; and
- (2) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following:
- "(5) to support hiring activities by law enforcement agencies experiencing declines in officer recruitment applications by reducing application-related fees, such as fees for background checks, psychological evaluations, and testing;".
- (b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 1701(b)(23) of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381(b)(23)) is amended by striking "(21)" and inserting "(22)".

SEC. 3. ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.

Section 1701 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381) is amended—

- (1) by redesignating subsections (i) through (n) as subsections (j) through (o), respectively; and
- (2) by inserting after subsection (h) the following:
- "(i) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—Not more than 2 percent of a grant made for the hiring or rehiring of additional career law enforcement officers may be used for costs incurred to administer such grant.".

SEC. 4. PIPELINE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.

Section 1701 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381) is amended by inserting after subsection (o) the following:

- "(p) COPS PIPELINE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—
- "(1) ELIGIBLE ENTITY DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term 'eligible entity' means a law enforcement agency in partnership with not less than 1 educational institution, which may include 1 or any combination of the following:
 - "(A) An elementary school.
 - ``(B) A secondary school.
 - "(C) An institution of higher education.
- "(D) A Hispanic-serving institution.
- "(E) A historically Black college or university
- "(F) A Tribal college.
- "(2) Grants.—The Attorney General shall award competitive grants to eligible entities for recruiting activities that—
- "(A) support substantial student engagement for the exploration of potential future career opportunities in law enforcement;
- "(B) strengthen recruitment by law enforcement agencies experiencing a decline in recruits, or high rates of resignations or retirements;
- "(C) enhance community interactions between local youth and law enforcement agen-

- cies that are designed to increase recruiting;
- "(D) otherwise improve the outcomes of local law enforcement recruitment through activities such as dedicated programming for students, work-based learning opportunities, project-based learning, mentoring, community liaisons, career or job fairs, work site visits, job shadowing, apprenticeships, or skills-based internships.
- "(3) FUNDING.—Of the amounts made available to carry out this part for a fiscal year, the Attorney General may use not more than \$3,000.000 to carry out this subsection."

SEC. 5. COPS GRANT GUIDANCE FOR AGENCIES OPERATING BELOW BUDGETED STRENGTH.

Section 1704 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10384) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(d) GUIDANCE FOR UNDERSTAFFED LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.—
- "(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:
- "(A) COVERED APPLICANT.—The term 'covered applicant' means an applicant for a hiring grant under this part seeking funding for a law enforcement agency operating below the budgeted strength of the law enforcement agency.
- "(B) BUDGETED STRENGTH.—The term 'budgeted strength' means the employment of the maximum number of sworn law enforcement officers the budget of a law enforcement agency allows the agency to employ.
- "(2) PROCEDURES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall establish consistent procedures for covered applicants, including guidance that—
- "(A) clarifies that covered applicants remain eligible for funding under this part; and
- "(B) enables covered applicants to attest that the funding from a grant awarded under this part is not being used by the law enforcement agency to supplant State or local funds, as described in subsection (a).
- "(3) PAPERWORK REDUCTION.—In developing the procedures and guidance under paragraph (2), the Attorney General shall take measures to reduce paperwork requirements for grants to covered applicants."

SEC. 6. STUDY ON POLICE RECRUITMENT.

- (a) STUDY.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study to consider the comprehensive effects of recruitment and attrition rates on Federal, State, Tribal, and local law enforcement agencies in the United States, to identify—
- (A) the primary reasons that law enforcement officers— $\,$
 - (i) join law enforcement agencies; and
- (ii) resign or retire from law enforcement agencies;
- (B) how the reasons described in subparagraph (A) may have changed over time;
- (C) the effects of recruitment and attrition on public safety;
- (D) the effects of electronic media on recruitment efforts;
- (E) barriers to the recruitment and retention of Federal, State, and local law enforcement officers; and
- (F) recommendations for potential ways to address barriers to the recruitment and retention of law enforcement officers, including the barriers identified in subparagraph (F)
 - (2) Representative cross-section.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall endeavor to ensure accurate representation of law enforcement agencies in the study conducted pursuant to paragraph (1) by surveying a broad cross-section of law enforcement agencies—

- (i) from various regions of the United States:
- (ii) of different sizes; and
- (iii) from rural, suburban, and urban jurisdictions.
- (B) METHODS DESCRIPTION.—The study conducted pursuant to paragraph (1) shall include in the report under subsection (b) a description of the methods used to identify a representative sample of law enforcement agencies.
- (b) REPORT.—Not later than 540 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall—
- (1) submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report containing the study conducted under subsection (a); and
- (2) make the report submitted under paragraph (1) publicly available online.
- (c) CONFIDENTIALITY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall ensure that the study conducted under subsection (a) protects the privacy of participating law enforcement agencies.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

STRONG COMMUNITIES ACT OF 2023

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 87, S. 994.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 994) to amend the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to provide that COPS grants funds may be used for local law enforcement recruits to attend schools or academies if the recruits agree to serve in precincts of law enforcement agencies in their communities.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary, with amendments, as follows:

(The parts of the bill intended to be stricken are in boldfaced brackets and the parts of the bill intended to be inserted are in italic.)

S. 994

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Strong Communities Act of 2023".

SEC. 2. STRONG COMMUNITIES PROGRAM.

Section 1701 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381) is amended —

- [(1) by redesignating subsection (m) as subsection (n); and
- [(2) by [inserting after subsection (1)] adding at the end the following:
- "([m]o) COPS STRONG COMMUNITIES PRO-GRAM.—
 - "(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:
- "(A) ELIGIBLE ENTITY.—The term 'eligible entity' means—
- "(i) an institution of higher education, as defined in section 101 of the Higher Edu-

cation Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001), that, in coordination or through an agreement with a local law enforcement agency, offers a law enforcement training program; or

"(ii) a local law enforcement agency that offers a law enforcement training program.

- "(B) LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.— The term 'local law enforcement agency' means an agency of a State, unit of local government, or Indian Tribe that is authorized by law or by a government agency to engage in or supervise the prevention, detection, investigation, or prosecution of any violation of criminal law.
- "(2) Grants.—The Attorney General may use amounts otherwise appropriated to carry out this section for a fiscal year (beginning with fiscal year 2023) to make competitive grants to local law enforcement agencies to be used for officers and recruits to attend law enforcement training programs at eligible entities if the officers and recruits agree to serve in law enforcement agencies in their communities.
- "(3) ELIGIBILITY.—To be eligible for a grant through a local law enforcement agency under this subsection, each officer or recruit described in paragraph (2) shall—
- "(A) serve as a full-time law enforcement officer for a total of not fewer than 4 years during the 8-year period beginning on the date on which the officer or recruit completes a law enforcement training program for which the officer or recruit receives benefits;
- "(B) complete the service described in subparagraph (A) in a local law enforcement agency located within—
- "(i) 7 miles of the residence of the officer or recruit where the officer or recruit has resided for not fewer than 5 years; or
- "(ii) if the officer or recruit resides in a county with fewer than 150,000 residents, within 20 miles of the residence of the officer or recruit where the officer or recruit has resided for not fewer than 5 years; and
- "(C) submit to the eligible entity providing a law enforcement training program to the officer or recruit evidence of employment of the officer or recruit in the form of a certification by the chief administrative officer of the local law enforcement agency where the officer or recruit is employed.
 - "(4) Repayment.—
- "(A) In GENERAL.—If an officer or recruit does not complete the service described in paragraph (3), the officer or recruit shall submit to the local law enforcement agency an amount equal to any benefits the officer or recruit received through the local law enforcement agency under this subsection.
- "(B) REGULATIONS.—The Attorney General shall promulgate regulations that establish categories of extenuating circumstances under which an officer or recruit may be excused from repayment under subparagraph (A).".
- Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I further ask unanimous consent that the committee-reported amendments be agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The committee-reported amendments were agreed to.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I know of no further debate on the bill, as amended.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading and was read the third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (S. 994), as amended, was passed, as follows:

S. 994

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Strong Communities Act of 2023".

SEC. 2. STRONG COMMUNITIES PROGRAM.

Section 1701 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(o) COPS STRONG COMMUNITIES PROGRAM.—
 - "(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:
- ''(\hat{A}) ELIGIBLE ENTITY.—The term 'eligible entity' means—
- "(i) an institution of higher education, as defined in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001), that, in coordination or through an agreement with a local law enforcement agency, offers a law enforcement training program; or
- "(ii) a local law enforcement agency that offers a law enforcement training program.
- "(B) LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.— The term 'local law enforcement agency' means an agency of a State, unit of local government, or Indian Tribe that is authorized by law or by a government agency to engage in or supervise the prevention, detection, investigation, or prosecution of any violation of criminal law.
- "(2) Grants.—The Attorney General may use amounts otherwise appropriated to carry out this section for a fiscal year (beginning with fiscal year 2023) to make competitive grants to local law enforcement agencies to be used for officers and recruits to attend law enforcement training programs at eligible entities if the officers and recruits agree to serve in law enforcement agencies in their communities.
- "(3) ELIGIBILITY.—To be eligible for a grant through a local law enforcement agency under this subsection, each officer or recruit described in paragraph (2) shall—
- "(A) serve as a full-time law enforcement officer for a total of not fewer than 4 years during the 8-year period beginning on the date on which the officer or recruit completes a law enforcement training program for which the officer or recruit receives benefits:
- "(B) complete the service described in subparagraph (A) in a local law enforcement agency located within—
- "(i) 7 miles of the residence of the officer or recruit where the officer or recruit has resided for not fewer than 5 years; or
- "(ii) if the officer or recruit resides in a county with fewer than 150,000 residents, within 20 miles of the residence of the officer or recruit where the officer or recruit has resided for not fewer than 5 years; and
- "(C) submit to the eligible entity providing a law enforcement training program to the officer or recruit evidence of employment of the officer or recruit in the form of a certification by the chief administrative officer of the local law enforcement agency where the officer or recruit is employed.
 - "(4) REPAYMENT.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—If an officer or recruit does not complete the service described in paragraph (3), the officer or recruit shall submit to the local law enforcement agency an amount equal to any benefits the officer or recruit received through the local law enforcement agency under this subsection.
- "(B) REGULATIONS.—The Attorney General shall promulgate regulations that establish categories of extenuating circumstances under which an officer or recruit may be excused from repayment under subparagraph (A)."

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I ask that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROJECT SAFE NEIGHBORHOODS REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2023

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 88, S. 1387.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 1387) to reauthorize the Project Safe Neighborhoods Grant Program Authorization Act of 2018, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary with an amendment to strike all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following: SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Project Safe Neighborhoods Reauthorization Act of 2023". SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

- (1) Launched in 2001, the Project Safe Neighborhoods program is a nationwide initiative that brings together Federal, State, local, and Tribal law enforcement officials, prosecutors, community leaders, and other stakeholders to identify the most pressing crime problems in a community and work collaboratively to address those problems.
- (2) The Project Safe Neighborhoods program— (A) operates in all 94 Federal judicial districts throughout the 50 States and territories of the United States; and
- (B) implements 4 key components to successfully reduce violent crime in communities, including community engagement, prevention and intervention, focused and strategic enforcement, and accountability.

SEC. 3. REAUTHORIZATION.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 2 of the Project Safe Neighborhoods Grant Program Authorization Act of 2018 (34 U.S.C. 60701) is amended—
- (1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as paragraphs (2), (4), and (5), respectively; (2) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following:

"(1) the term 'crime analyst' means an individual employed by a law enforcement agency for the purpose of separating information into key components and contributing to plans of action to understand, mitigate, and neutralize criminal threats;"; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following:

"(3) the term 'law enforcement assistant' means an individual employed by a law enforcement agency or a prosecuting agency for the purpose of aiding law enforcement officers in investigative or administrative duties;".

(b) USE OF FUNDS.—Section 4(b) of the Project Safe Neighborhoods Grant Program Authorization Act of 2018 (34 U.S.C. 60703(b)) is amended—

- (1) in paragraph (3), by striking "or" at the end:
- (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

- "(5) hiring crime analysts to assist with violent crime reduction efforts;
- "(6) the cost of overtime for law enforcement officers, prosecutors, and law enforcement assistants that assist with the Program; and
- "(7) purchasing, implementing, and using technology to assist with violent crime reduction efforts.".

(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section 6 of the Project Safe Neighborhoods Grant Program Authorization Act of 2018 (34 U.S.C. 60705) is amended by striking "fiscal years 2019 through 2021" and inserting "fiscal years 2024 through 2028".

SEC. 4. TASK FORCE SUPPORT.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the "Officer Ella Grace French and Sergeant Jim Smith Task Force Support Act of 2023".

(b) AMENDMENT.—Section 4(b) of the Project Safe Neighborhoods Grant Program Authorization Act of 2018 (34 U.S.C. 60703(b)), as amended by section 3(b), is amended—

- (1) in paragraph (6), by striking "and" at the end;
- (2) in paragraph (7), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and
 - (3) by adding at the end the following:

"(8) support for multi-jurisdictional task forces.".

SEC. 5. TRANSPARENCY.

Not less frequently than annually, the Attorney General shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report that details, for each area in which the Project Safe Neighborhoods Block Grant Program operates and with respect to the 1-year period preceding the date of the report—

(1) how the area spent funds under the Project Safe Neighborhoods Block Grant Program;

(2) the community outreach efforts performed in the area; and

(3) the number and a description of the violent crime offenses committed in the area, including murder, non-negligent manslaughter, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I further ask unanimous consent that the committee-reported substitute amendment be agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The committee-reported amendment, in the nature of a substitute, was agreed to.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I know of no further debate on the bill, as amended.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading and was read the third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (S. 1387), as amended, was passed.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I ask that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

MISSING CHILDREN'S ASSISTANCE REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2023

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of S. 2051 and that the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 2051) to reauthorize the Missing Children's Assistance Act, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I know of no further debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (S. 2051) was passed, as follows:

S. 2051

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Missing Children's Assistance Reauthorization Act of 2023"

SEC. 2. MISSING CHILDREN'S ASSISTANCE ACT AMENDMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

- (1) DEFINITIONS.—Section 403 of the Missing Children's Assistance Act (34 U.S.C. 11292) is amended—
- (A) in paragraph (3), by striking "and" at the end;
- (B) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and
 - (C) by adding at the end the following:
- "(5) the term 'child sexual abuse material' has the meaning given the term 'child pornography' in section 2256 of title 18, United States Code;
- "(6) the term 'child sexual exploitation' means the sexual victimization or abuse of a child:
- "(7) the term 'sexting' means sending and receiving messages containing sexually explicit, nude, or partially nude images by cell phone or messaging application;
 - "(8) the term 'sextortion'—
- "(A) means sexual exploitation in which coercion, a threat, or blackmail, is used to cause a child to—
- "(i) provide child sexual abuse material; or "(ii) agree to engage in sexual activity; and
- "(B) may involve a threat to publicly disclose nude or sexual images of a child if the child does not comply with a demand to—
- ``(i) engage in conduct described in clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (A); or
 - "(ii) provide financial payment; and
- "(9) the term 'sexually exploited child' means a child who has been victimized by any form of sexual exploitation, including—
- "(A) the live-streaming, production, distribution, or possession of child sexual abuse material;
 - "(B) enticement for sexual abuse;
- "(C) sexual molestation or abuse;
- "(D) sextortion; and
- "(E) child sex trafficking."
- (2) DUTIES AND FUNCTIONS OF THE ADMINISTRATOR.—Section 404 of the Missing Children's Assistance Act (34 U.S.C. 11293) is amended—
- (A) in subsection (a)(6)(E), by striking "the tipline established" and inserting "the CyberTipline established"; and
 - (B) in subsection (b)(1)—
 - (i) in subparagraph (A)—
- (I) in clause (i)-
- (aa) by striking "hotline by which" and inserting "call center to which"; and
- (bb) by striking "individuals may report" and all that follows and inserting "individuals may—
- "(I) report child sexual exploitation and the location of any missing child; and

- "(II) request information pertaining to procedures necessary to reunite such child with such child's parent;";
- (II) by redesignating clause (ii) as clause (iii); and
- (III) by inserting after clause (i) the following:
- "(ii) manage the AMBER Alert Secondary Distribution Program; and";
- (ii) in subparagraph (D), by striking "with their families" and inserting "with their parents";
- (iii) in subparagraph (F), by striking "to families" and inserting "to parents";
- (iv) by striking subparagraph (G) and inserting the following:
- "(G) provide technical assistance and caserelated resources, including—
- "(i) referrals to-
- "(I) child-serving professionals involved in helping to recover missing and exploited children; and
- "(II) law enforcement officers in their efforts to identify, locate, and recover missing and exploited children; and
- "(ii) searching public records databases and publicly accessible open source data to—
- "(I) locate and identify potential abductors and offenders involved in attempted or actual abductions; and
- "(II) identify, locate, and recover abducted children:":
- (v) in subparagraph (H), by inserting "on long-term missing child cases" after "techniques to assist";
- (vi) by striking subparagraph (I) and inserting the following:
- "(I) provide training, technical assistance, and information to—
- "(i) nongovernmental organizations with respect to procedures and resources to conduct background checks on individuals working with children; and
- "(ii) law enforcement agencies with respect to identifying and locating noncompliant sex offenders:":
- (vii) in subparagraph (J), by striking "with their families" and inserting "with their parents";
 - (viii) in subparagraph (K)—
 - (I) in clause (i)-
- (aa) in the matter preceding subclause (I), by striking "tipline" and inserting "CyberTipline";
 - (bb) in subclause (I)—
- (AA) in item (aa), by striking "child pornography" and inserting "child sexual abuse material"; and
- (BB) in item (ee), by striking ''extra-familial''; and
- (cc) in subclause (II)—
- (AA) by striking "tipline" and inserting "CyberTipline"; and
 - (BB) by adding "and" at the end;
 - (II) in clause (ii)-
- (aa) by striking "child pornography" and inserting "child sexual abuse material";
- (bb) by inserting "and" after "other sexual crimes"; and
- (cc) by striking "; and" at the end and inserting ", including by providing information on legal remedies available to such victims;"; and
 - (III) by striking clause (iii);
- (ix) by redesignating subparagraphs (L) through (O) as subparagraphs (M) through (P), respectively;
- (x) by inserting after subparagraph (K) the following:
- "(L) provide support services, consultation, and assistance to missing and sexually exploited children, parents, their families, and child-serving professionals on—
- $\hbox{\it ``(i) recovery support, including counseling} \\ \hbox{\it recommendations and community support;} \\$
 - "(ii) family and peer support;
- "(iii) the removal of child sexual abuse material and sexually exploitive content depict-

- ing children from the internet, including by facilitating requests to providers (as defined in section 2258E of title 18, United States Code) to remove visual depictions of victims that—
- ``(I) constitute or are associated with child sexual abuse material; or
- "(II) do not constitute child sexual abuse material but are sexually suggestive;";
- (xi) in subparagraph (M), as so redesignated—
- (I) in the matter preceding clause (i), by inserting "educational" before "information to families";
- (II) in clause (i)-
- (aa) by striking "child abduction and" and inserting "missing children and child"; and (bb) by adding "and" at the end; and
- (III) by striking clauses (ii) and (iii) and inserting the following:
- "(ii) internet safety, including tips and strategies to promote safety for children using technology (including social media) and reduce risk relating to—
 - "(I) cyberbullying;
 - "(II) child sex trafficking;
- "(III) youth-produced child sexual abuse material or sexting;
 - "(IV) sextortion; and
 - "(V) online enticement;";
- (xii) in subparagraph (N), as so redesignated, by inserting "and preventing child sexual exploitation" after "recovering such children":
- (xiii) by striking subparagraph (O), as so redesignated, and inserting the following:
- "(O) assist the efforts of law enforcement agencies and State child welfare agencies to—
- "(i) coordinate on the reporting, documentation, and resolution of cases involving children missing from a State child welfare system; and
- "(ii) respond to foster children missing from a State child welfare system; and"; and (xiv) in subparagraph (P), as so redesig-
- nated, by inserting "and recovery support services" after "technical assistance".
- (3) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section 409(a) of the Missing Children's Assistance Act (34 U.S.C. 11297(a)) is amended by striking "\$40,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2014 through 2023, up to \$32,200,000" and inserting "\$49,300,000 for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2028, up to \$41,500,000".
- (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This Act, and the amendments made by this Act, shall take effect on October 1, 2023.
- Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I ask that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.
- The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

HONORING THE EFFORTS OF THE COAST GUARD FOR EXCELLENCE IN MARITIME BORDER SECURITY

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation be discharged from further consideration and that the Senate now proceed to S. Res. 166.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 166) honoring the efforts of the Coast Guard for excellence in maritime border security.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to; that the Cruz amendment to the preamble, which is at the desk, be considered and agreed to; that the preamble, as amended, be agreed to; and that the motions to reconsider to be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 166) was agreed to.

The amendment (No. 1066) to the preamble was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: To amend the preamble)

In the third whereas clause, in the matter preceding paragraph (1), strike "through" and insert "executing Coast Guard missions across the world, including the".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (1), strike "15,000" and insert "17,000".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (2), strike "6,300" and insert "6,000 at sea".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (2), strike "100" and insert "90".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (3), strike "interdicted approximately 12,500 illegal immigrants" and insert "conducted approximately 12,500 migrant interdictions".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (3), strike "150" and insert "over 350".

The preamble, as amended, was agreed to.

The resolution with its preamble, as amended, reads as follows:

S. RES. 166

Whereas, since 1790, the Coast Guard has safeguarded the people of the United States and promoted national security, border security, and economic prosperity in a complex and evolving maritime environment;

Whereas the over 50,000 members of the Coast Guard—

- (1) operate a multi-mission, interoperable fleet of 259 cutters, 200 fixed and rotary-wing aircraft, and over 1,600 boats;
- (2) operate 9 Coast Guard Districts and 37 sectors located at strategic ports throughout the country;
- (3) exercise operational control of surface and air assets vested in 2 Coast Guard geographical Areas, the Pacific and the Atlantic; and
- (4) provide maritime safety and security along more than 95,000 miles of coastline of the United States, Great Lakes, inland waterways, 4,500,000 square miles of exclusive economic zone of the United States, and on the high seas:

Whereas, in fiscal year 2022, executing Coast Guard missions across the world, including the protection of the maritime borders of the United States, the Coast Guard—

- (1) interdicted over 330,000 pounds of cocaine, over 60,000 pounds of marijuana, and over 17,000 pounds of other narcotics;
- (2) conducted over 6,000 at sea boardings of United States fishing vessels and interdicted approximately 90 foreign fishing incursions; and
- (3) conducted approximately 12,500 migrant interdictions, an increase of over 350 percent from 2021; and

Whereas, through selfless and dedicated service, the Coast Guard and Coast Guardsmen have remained "Always Ready" to promote the highest level of maritime border security, ensuring the United States and the people of the United States are safeguarded from complex and evolving maritime threats: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) is grateful to the men and women who proudly serve in the Coast Guard to protect

the people of the United States by ensuring the highest level of maritime border security; and

(2) congratulates the Coast Guard on exemplary service and dedication to the United States.

OBSERVING THE 150TH ANNIVER-SARY OF VANDERBILT UNIVER-SITY

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration and that the Senate now proceed to S. Res. 288.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 288) observing the 150th anniversary of Vanderbilt University.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed, the preamble be agreed to, and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 288) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in the RECORD of July 11, 2023, under "Submitted Resolutions.")

RESOLUTIONS SUBMITTED TODAY

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I now ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the en bloc consideration of the following Senate resolutions, introduced earlier today: S. Res. 313, S. Res. 314, S. Res. 315, and S. Res. 317.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolutions, en bloc.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, in two criminal cases pending in Federal district court in the District of Columbia and arising out of the events of January 6, 2021, the prosecution has requested testimony from a Senate witness.

In these cases, brought against Mark Sahady and Leo Brent Bozell IV, trials are expected to commence on August 21, 2023, and September 6, 2023, respectively, and the prosecution has requested testimony from Daniel Schwager, formerly counsel to the Secretary of the Senate, concerning his knowledge and observations of the process and constitutional and legal bases for Congress's counting of the electoral college votes. Senate Secretary Berry would like to cooperate with these requests by providing relevant testimony in these trials from Mr. Schwager.

In keeping with the rules and practices of the Senate, these resolutions would authorize the production of relevant testimony from Mr. Schwager,

with representation by the Senate Legal Counsel.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I ask unanimous consent that the resolutions be agreed to, the preambles agreed to, and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table, all en bloc.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolutions were agreed to.

The preambles were agreed to.

(The resolutions, with their preambles, are printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

HONORING THE LIFE OF LOWELL PALMER WEICKER, JR., FORMER SENATOR FOR THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Lastly, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 316, which was submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 316) honoring the life of Lowell Palmer Weicker, Jr., former Senator for the State of Connecticut.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 316) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REED. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. CORTEZ MASTO). Without objection, it is so ordered.

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024—Continued

With that, I would yield to the majority leader.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. HASSAN). The majority leader.

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that it be in order to call up the following amendments to S. 2226: Cruz, 421; Wicker, 1055; Paul, 438; Barrasso, 999; Sanders, 1030; Cardin, 705; Marshall, 874; Gilli-

brand, 1065; Kennedy, 1034; Hawley, 1058; and Menendez, 638; further, that with respect to the amendments listed above, at a time to be determined by the majority leader, in consultation with the Republican leader, the Senate vote on the amendments in the order listed, with no further amendments or motions in order, and with 60 affirmative votes required for adoption, and that there be 2 minutes equally divided prior to each vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CLOTURE MOTION

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I send a cloture motion to the substitute amendment No. 935 to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The legislative clerk read as follows: $\begin{array}{c} \text{CLOTURE MOTION} \end{array}$

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on Reed substitute amendment No. 935 to Calendar No. 119, S. 2226, a bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

Charles E. Schumer, Jack Reed, Raphael G. Warnock, Angus S. King, Jr., Sherrod Brown, Tim Kaine, Tina Smith, Mark Kelly, Debbie Stabenow, Jon Tester, Jeanne Shaheen, Catherine Cortez Masto, Joe Manchin III, Richard J. Durbin, Chris Van Hollen, Alex Padilla, Gary C. Peters.

CLOTURE MOTION

Mr. SCHUMER. I send a cloture motion to S. 2226 to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The legislative clerk read as follows: CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on Calendar No. 119, S. 2226, a bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

Charles E. Schumer, Jack Reed, Raphael G. Warnock, Angus S. King, Jr., Sherrod Brown, Tim Kaine, Tina Smith, Mark Kelly, Debbie Stabenow, Jon Tester, Jeanne Shaheen, Catherine Cortez Masto, Joe Manchin III, Richard J. Durbin, Chris Van Hollen, Alex Padilla, Gary C. Peters.

Mr. SCHUMER. Finally, I ask unanimous consent that the mandatory quorum calls for the cloture motions filed today, July 26, be waived.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, for the information of Senators, we will begin a series of three rollcall votes at 8 p.m. this evening.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Texas.

AMENDMENT NO. 421

Mr. CRUZ. I call up my amendment No. 421, and ask that it be reported by number.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the amendment.

The bill clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Texas [Mr. CRUZ] proposes an amendment numbered 421 to amendment No. 935.

The amendment is as follows:

(Purpose: To provide remedies to members of the Armed Forces discharged or subject to adverse action under the COVID-19 vaccine mandate)

At the appropriate place in title V, insert the following:

SEC. ___. REMEDIES FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES DISCHARGED OR SUBJECT TO ADVERSE ACTION UNDER THE COVID-19 VACCINE MANDATE.

(a) LIMITATION ON IMPOSITION OF NEW MANDATE.—The Secretary of Defense may not issue any COVID-19 vaccine mandate as a replacement for the mandate rescinded under section 525 of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 absent a further act of Congress expressly authorizing a replacement mandate.

(b) REMEDIES.—Section 736 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (Public Law 117-81; 10 U.S.C. 1161 note prec.) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking "TO OBEY LAWFUL ORDER TO RECEIVE" and inserting "TO RECEIVE";

(2) in subsection (a)—

- (A) by striking "a lawful order" and inserting "an order"; and
- (B) by striking "shall be" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "shall be an honorable discharge.";
- (3) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (e); and
- (4) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsections:
- "(b) Prohibition on Adverse Action.—The Secretary of Defense may not take any adverse action against a covered member based solely on the refusal of such member to receive a vaccine for COVID-19.
- "(c) REMEDIES AVAILABLE FOR A COVERED MEMBER DISCHARGED OR SUBJECT TO ADVERSE ACTION BASED ON COVID-19 STATUS.—At the election of a covered member discharged or subject to adverse action based on the member's COVID-19 vaccination status, and upon application through a process established by the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary shall—
- "(1) adjust to 'honorable discharge' the status of the member if—
- "(A) the member was separated from the Armed Forces based solely on the failure of the member to obey an order to receive a vaccine for COVID-19; and
- "(B) the discharge status of the member would have been an 'honorable discharge' but for the refusal to obtain such vaccine;
- "(2) reinstate the member to service at the highest grade held by the member immediately prior to the involuntary separation, allowing, however, for any reduction in rank that was not related to the member's COVID-19 vaccination status, with an effective date of reinstatement as of the date of involuntary separation;
- "(3) for any member who was subject to any adverse action other than involuntary separation based solely on the member's COVID-19 vaccination status—

"(A) restore the member to the highest grade held prior to such adverse action, allowing, however, for any reduction in rank that was not related to the member's COVID-19 vaccination status, with an effective date of reinstatement as of the date of involuntary separation; and

"(B) compensate such member for any pay and benefits lost as a result of such adverse action:

"(4) expunge from the service record of the member any adverse action, to include non-punitive adverse action and involuntary separation, as well as any reference to any such adverse action, based solely on COVID-19 vaccination status; and

"(5) include the time of involuntary separation of the member reinstated under paragraph (2) in the computation of the retired or retainer pay of the member.

"(d) RETENTION AND DEVELOPMENT OF UNVACCINATED MEMBERS.—The Secretary of Defense shall—

"(1) make every effort to retain covered members who are not vaccinated against COVID-19 and provide such members with professional development, promotion and leadership opportunities, and consideration equal to that of their peers;

"(2) only consider the COVID-19 vaccination status of a covered member in making deployment, assignment, and other operational decisions where—

"(A) the law or regulations of a foreign country require covered members to be vaccinated against COVID-19 in order to enter that country; and

"(B) the covered member's presence in that foreign country is necessary in order to perform their assigned role; and

"(3) for purposes of deployments, assignments, and operations described in paragraph (2), create a process to provide COVID—19 vaccination exemptions to covered members with—

"(A) a natural immunity to COVID-19;

"(B) an underlying health condition that would make COVID-19 vaccination a greater risk to that individual than the general population; or

"(C) sincerely held religious beliefs in conflict with receiving the COVID-19 vaccina-

"(e) APPLICABILITY OF REMEDIES CONTAINED IN THIS SECTION.—The prohibitions and remedies described in this section shall apply to covered members regardless of whether or not they sought an accommodation to any Department of Defense COVID-19 vaccination policy on any grounds."

Mr. CRUZ. Madam President, last December, Marine Gen. David Berger stated the obvious, that the Department of Defense's COVID vaccine mandate hurt recruiting and hurt retention.

For several weeks now, our Democratic colleagues have been saying that military readiness is suffering due to promotion delays. Well, I have good news for my colleagues. My amendment will help address these problems.

While last year's NDAA, quite rightly, repealed the vaccine mandate prospectively, problems caused by the mandate persist, including concerning recruiting, retention, and readiness.

According to reports, the Biden administration dismissed over 8,400 military servicemembers who had vaccine concerns. DOD routinely denied religious accommodation requests, violating the rights of servicemembers protected by the Constitution and RFRA.

DOD gave over 80 percent of these servicemembers a "general discharge," causing them to lose GI benefits and, in some cases, VA benefits, even those were benefits earned through honorable service.

My amendment rights these wrongs. It will allow servicemembers dismissed over the vaccine mandate to seek reinstatement or a change in their discharge status. It restores lost GI and VA benefits.

According to media reports, the DOD is already contemplating all of these actions, but I believe the Senate should lead to address these issues. They have ended the vaccine mandate, and it is not fair to the over 8,000 service men and women dismissed for a policy DOD no longer believes is necessary. I urge Members to support my amendment.

Mr. REED. Madam President.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. REED. Madam President, I oppose the amendment because one of the fundamental aspects of the military is the ability of a senior officer to issue an order and the willingness of a subordinate to accept that order. What we are dealing with here are individuals, without appropriate justification, refusing to carry out a lawful order.

Now, the vaccination policies of the military are rather robust. I think there are more than a dozen required vaccinations, and someone who just cavalierly dismisses that requirement and then claims that they should not somehow be held accountable, I think is wrong. But this goes to the fabric of the military. You must obey lawful orders, and all of these were lawful orders.

Mandatory vaccination, again, is not a new, novel technique.

Another aspect of this is, this went right to the heart of readiness. We can all recall when an aircraft carrier in the Pacific had to be evacuated because of COVID aboard the ship, and the ship was actually out of commission for several months. That is a readiness issue that is pretty obvious.

There are procedures to be reinstated in the military. They have been in effect for many, many years. There is a board procedure. You can bring forth evidence that your dismissal was not appropriate, and that is being pursued now, I presume, by many people—or at least some.

So this would really, I think, basically signal that you don't have to obey legal orders from your commander if you are accepting popular notions about what is right and what is not right. And I think we should reject this amendment.

VOTE ON AMENDMENT NO. 421

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

Mr. CRUZ. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll. The bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. I announce that the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) is necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 46, nays 53, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 199 Leg.]

YEAS-46

Barrasso Blackburn Boozman Braun Britt Budd Capito Cornyn Cotton Cramer Cramer Crapo Cruz Daines Ernst	Grassley Hagerty Hawley Hoeven Hyde-Smith Johnson Kennedy Lankford Lee Lummis Marshall McConnell Moran Mullin	Ricketts Risch Rounds Rubio Schmitt Scott (FL) Scott (SC) Sullivan Thune Tillis Tuberville Vance Wicker
		Young
Fischer	Murkowski	1 oung
Graham	Doul	

NAYS-53

Baldwin	Heinrich	Romney
Bennet	Hickenlooper	Rosen
Blumenthal	Hirono	Sanders
Booker	Kaine	Schatz
Brown	Kelly	Schumer
Cantwell	King	Shaheen
Cardin	Klobuchar	Sinema
Carper	Luján	Smith
Casey	Manchin	Stabenow
Cassidy	Markey	Tester
Collins	Menendez	Van Hollen
Coons	Merkley	
Cortez Masto	Murphy	Warner
Duckworth	Murray	Warnock
Feinstein	Ossoff	Warren
Fetterman	Padilla	Welch
Gillibrand	Peters	Whitehouse
Hassan	Reed	Wyden

NOT VOTING—1

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 46, the nays are 53.

Under the previous order requiring 60 votes for the adoption of this amendment, the amendment is not agreed to.

The amendment (No. 421) was rejected.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Mississippi.

Mr. WICKER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that there be up to 6 minutes of debate before the next rollcall vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

AMENDMENT NO. 1055

(Purpose: To establish the Office of the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance.)

Mr. WICKER. Now, Madam President, I think we can move along quickly if we do have order, and I do appreciate that.

I call up my amendment No. 1055 and ask that it be reported by number.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the amendment by number.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Mississippi [Mr. Wicker], for himself and others, proposes an amendment numbered 1055.

(The amendment is printed in today's RECORD under "Text of Amendments.")

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Louisiana.

Mr. KENNEDY. Madam President, some time ago, Senator SINEMA and I introduced the Independent and Objective Oversight of Ukrainian Assistance Act to create a special inspector general to follow the money with respect to Ukraine. Senators HAWLEY, PAUL, RISCH, and WICKER have been important voices in this. Indeed, they have had their own bills. We have gotten together and worked out a compromise.

Our bill would create a lead inspector general among the inspectors general right now doing the auditing, which are the Department of Defense, the State Department, and USAID. This lead inspector general would be our contact. We could go to that inspector general and get answers. By law, the inspector general would have to respond in 15 days.

We will not need Senate confirmation because I expect the President to appoint as the lead one of the inspectors general who are currently involved.

I urge favorable passage and yield to Senator Wicker or Senator Risch.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. RISCH. Madam President, I rise to speak on behalf of amendment No. 1055, and I am happy to be a cosponsor with Senators WICKER, KENNEDY, HAWLEY, and SINEMA. I am pleased we are able to come together on this. Everyone on this floor wants to see that not a penny is wasted in Ukraine or goes where it shouldn't go.

We have several audits going on there right now, as all of us know. This really strengthens the audit function we are doing. These are enhancements that do not duplicate or undermine the current IG structure. The IG structure is currently run by State, USAID, and DOD. This brings them together and puts them under one head.

Unfortunately, the Paul amendment, which we are going to vote on side by side next, would undermine their work. It duplicates the current oversight structure, creates permanent bureaucracy, extends to areas far outside of Ukraine, and tries to superimpose a structure designed for Afghanistan, which was a very different war than what we are involved in now.

Thanks to efforts of Members of both parties, in the past year, we have enacted 39 legislative oversight provisions covering all money that has been appropriated to support Ukraine since the war began. These provisions have led to the completion of 35 oversight evaluations thus far, and another 67 more are planned or underway. To date, there has not been any substantiated evidence of illicit weapons transfer or misuse of U.S. taxpayer dollars.

The special inspector general for Afghanistan that Senator Paul's amendment proposes does not make sense for Ukraine. There are no U.S. forces fighting in Ukraine. Ukraine does not have the same security and defense concerns that Afghanistan did, and the war in

Ukraine is dramatically smaller than the other war.

The State, DOD, and USAID have the capacity to do this.

This amendment is a good amendment. I would urge you to vote for this and against the next amendment.

I yield to Senator WICKER.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Welch). The Senator from Mississippi.

Mr. WICKER. Mr. President, I ask consent to have 1 additional minute on each side.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. WICKER. I reserve the time of the proponents.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Washington.

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, we all share the view that oversight of our assistance to Ukraine and any other nation is critical to make sure it is used appropriately and effectively. That is why we continue to increase our support for the existing permanent IGs and are encouraged that they have space to operate from in Ukraine and are implementing a joint oversight plan. We have also tasked GAO with specific oversight requests.

Adding additional layers of coordination would be counterproductive to our ongoing oversight efforts, and involving the Agencies in the selection for the assessment of the lead IG, as proposed in the Senator's amendment, would potentially compromise the inspector general's independence.

There is no gap in U.S. authorities, presence, or even additional resources for our oversight efforts that this amendment addresses. We should remain focused on strengthening existing oversight efforts as our support for Ukraine continues.

I urge my colleagues to vote no on this amendment.

I yield to my colleague from Illinois. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois.

Ms. DUCKWORTH. Mr. President, bottom line: This is unnecessary. There are robust existing coordination mechanisms among the IGs to ensure comprehensive oversight, provisions in the committee-passed NDAA to assist the DOD IG with enhanced hiring authorities, and \$27 million in dedicated funding for oversight for each of the three IGs from DOD, State, and USAID.

The provision also includes a requirement that the lead inspector general complete a briefing to any Member of Congress within 15 days of request. This almost certainly ensures that the LIG will spend their time scheduling and briefing Members of Congress, not conducting oversight.

Lastly, as drafted, the \$10 million authorization of appropriations is nonviable funding, and the offset is not valid as neither element includes a funding account for money to go to or from. So this is entirely hollow budget authority.

With that, I urge my colleagues to oppose the amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Louisiana.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, do the proponents have any additional time?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The proponents have 1 minute.

Mr. WICKER. Mr. President, I claim the time.

Mr. KENNEDY. Go ahead. Save some more for me.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, if the gentleman from Louisiana wants 1 minute, I would be happy to give it to him.

Mr. KENNEDY. I thank the Senator. You are a fine American.

Mr. SCHUMER. A fine act of bipartisanship.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Louisiana.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, the American people have sent over \$100 billion to help our friends in Ukraine, and most Members of this body have supported it. But this money didn't fall from Heaven; it came out of people's pockets.

How can we possibly look the American people in the eye and say that we don't want to ensure to them that this money will not be stolen? That is all this bill does. It lets the President appoint a lead inspector general to answer to the U.S. Congress so we can look the American people in the eye and say: Your money was not stolen.

I can't imagine that we would not pass this bill. How can you go home and explain this to your people? You can't.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, we have two votes left. In order to expedite things and get out of here at a less unreasonable hour, I ask unanimous consent that—first, I ask that all our Members stay close, and I ask unanimous consent that the votes be 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Mississippi.

Mr. WICKER. Mr. President, to close on behalf of the proponents, this is a bipartisan amendment, and it deserves bipartisan support by the U.S. Senate.

Rather than set up a disruptive new bureaucracy, it builds on the requirement for the President simply to select, of the inspectors general, a lead inspector general reporting directly to the Secretaries of Defense and State.

If you want true oversight and you want to put it in the statute, this amendment is necessary. That is what it does. It is simple, it is effective, and it puts it into law with the signature of the President.

I urge a bipartisan "yes" vote for this bipartisan amendment.

VOTE ON AMENDMENT NO. 1055

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

Mr. WICKER. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. I announce that the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) is necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 51, nays 48, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 200 Leg.]

YEAS-51

Barrasso	Graham	Ricketts
Blackburn	Grassley	Risch
Boozman	Hagerty	Romney
Braun	Hawley	Rounds
Britt	Hoeven	Rubio
Budd	Hyde-Smith	Schmitt
Capito	Johnson	Scott (FL)
Cassidy	Kennedy	Scott (SC)
Collins	Lankford	Sinema
Cornyn	Lee	Sullivan
Cotton	Lummis	Tester
Cramer	Marshall	Thune
Crapo	McConnell	Tillis
Cruz	Moran	Tuberville
Daines	Mullin	Vance
Ernst	Murkowski	Wicker
Fischer	Ossoff	Young

NAYS—48

	111115 10	
Baldwin	Heinrich	Peters
Bennet	Hickenlooper	Reed
Blumenthal	Hirono	Rosen
Booker	Kaine	Sanders
Brown	Kelly	Schatz
Cantwell	King	Schumer
Cardin	Klobuchar	Shaheen
Carper	Luján	Smith
Casey	Manchin	Stabenow
Coons	Markey	Van Hollen
Cortez Masto	Menendez	Warner
Duckworth	Merkley	Warnock
Feinstein	Murphy	Warren
Fetterman	Murray	Welch
Gillibrand	Padilla	Whitehouse
Hassan	Paul	Wyden

NOT VOTING-1

Durbin

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Ohio.

CHANGE OF VOTE

Mr. VANCE. Mr. President, on roll-call vote 200, I voted nay. It was my intention to vote aye.

I ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to change my vote, since it will not affect the outcome.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, so ordered.

(The foregoing tally has been changed to reflect the above order.)

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 51, the nays are 48.

Under the previous order requiring 60 votes for this amendment, the amendment is not agreed to.

The amendment (No. 1055) was rejected.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky.

AMENDMENT NO. 438

(Purpose: To provide for the independent and objective conduct and supervision of audits and investigations relating to the programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated or otherwise made available to Ukraine for military, economic, and humanitarian aid.)

Mr. PAUL. I call up my amendment No. 438 and ask that it be reported by number.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the amendment by number.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Kentucky [Mr. PAUL] proposes an amendment numbered 438.

(The amendment is printed in today's RECORD under "Text of Amendments.") Mr. PAUL. The United States sent \$113 billion in aid to Ukraine. It is impossible to send this much aid this fast into war-torn Ukraine without waste, fraud, and abuse. Yet we are told by the departmental inspectors general that they have not substantiated any cases of fraud. That is not good news.

What our government can't find was uncovered by Ukrainian journalists who uncovered a scandalous contract to buy food for soldiers at grossly inflated prices that led to the resignation of Ukraine's Deputy Defense Minister.

Zero cases mean our oversight is fail-

Fortunately, a successful independent oversight body already exists. The Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction conducted hundreds of audits in Afghanistan and saved over \$3 billion for the taxpayers. We already have a war-tested inspector general in Afghanistan who is ready and able to take on the task of oversight of aid to Ukraine.

Let's not waste any more American treasure. A vote for my amendment is a vote for real oversight.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Michigan.

Mr. PETERS. Mr. President, I appreciate my colleague's commitment to oversight of taxpayer dollars spent here at home as well as abroad. Inspectors general are key allies as we work to root out waste and increase efficiency and make our government work better. The Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction has done important work in Afghanistan.

While I certainly support the goals of this amendment, I have concerns this provision could ultimately interfere with and divert resources from the inspectors general at the State Department, the Defense Department, and USAID who are already overseeing American support to Ukraine.

While I look forward to working with my colleague to bolster our IGs and conduct congressional oversight of government spending, I must urge my colleagues to oppose this amendment.

VOTE ON AMENDMENT NO. 438

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

Mr. PAUL. I ask for the yeas and navs.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. I announce that the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) and the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) are necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 20, nays 78, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 201 Leg.]

YEAS-20

Brann Hawley Schmitt Cramer Hoeven Scott (FL) Johnson Cruz Scott (SC) Daines Kennedy Sullivan Fischer Lee Tuberville Grassley Marshall Vance Hagerty Paul

NAYS-78

Baldwin Gillibrand Peters Barrasso Graham Reed Ricketts Bennet Hassan Blackburn Heinrich Risch Blumenthal Hickenlooper Romney Booker Hirono Rosen Boozman Hyde-Smith Rounds Britt Kaine Rubio Sanders Brown Kellv King Budd Schatz Cantwell Klobuchar Schumer Lankford Capito Shaheen Cardin Luián Sinema Carper Lummis Smith Manchin Tester Casey Markey Cassidy Thune McConnell Collins Tillis Menendez Van Hollen Coons Cornyn Merklev Warner Warnock Cortez Masto Moran Cotton Mullin Warren Crapo Murkowski Welch Duckworth Whitehouse Murphy Ernst Murray Wicker Feinstein Ossoff Wyden Fetterman Padilla Young

NOT VOTING-2

Durbin Stabenow

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. KAINE). On this vote, the yeas are 20, and the navs are 78.

Under the previous order requiring 60 affirmative votes for adoption of the amendment, the amendment is not agreed to.

The amendment (No. 438) was rejected.

MILITARY PROMOTIONS

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I rise tonight to discuss once again the routine promotions of our military's general and flag officers.

During this Congress, due to the dangerous and extreme position of one Republican Senator, not a single general or flag officer has been confirmed—not one—all because that Senator disagrees with a policy that is designed to ensure safe and reasonable access for all servicemembers to reproductive healthcare regardless of where the military chooses to assign them.

Last week, the Defense Department's legal advisers and subject-matter experts came before the Armed Services Committee to brief us on the policy and to answer our Members' questions. They laid out clear, plain facts that explained the legality and appropriateness of the policy. As I stated publicly after the briefing concluded, no one with an ounce of intellectual honesty can deny that the Department's policy is legal and is, in fact, rooted in decades of precedent through administrations of both parties.

I respect my colleagues on the other side who feel strongly about this issue, but until Congress passes a law to overturn 40 years of legal precedence, the Department of Defense has a responsibility to manage the health, welfare, and readiness of the force within the legal authorities available to it.

The Department's legal experts also outlined in detail the long-existing statutory authorities that allow the Department to provide these travel and leave benefits. That is all they are, travel and leave policies—policies, I would note, that have been on the books in various fashions for decades.

Even Senator ERNST, the sponsor of a bill that would rescind the policy, recognized publicly after the briefing that the policy is legal. I will note my respect for Senator ERNST. Unlike most of her colleagues, she stayed to the end of the briefing and listened to everything the Department had to say and formed her opinion accordingly. Senator ERNST and I have very different views on this issue, but we share a common respect for our military women and men and an understanding of how Congress should treat them.

Our colleague from Alabama, however, has chosen to take a profoundly disrespectful approach. The nominations he is blocking have had no objections raised against them, and they have all been confirmed by unanimous approval in the committee, including by the Senator from Alabama. These are not controversial nominations.

For many decades, military promotions have been a bipartisan, routine piece of Senate business. Now they have been turned into a political sideshow by the Senator from Alabama. He is getting a lot of personal benefit out of this and I suppose a bit of fundraising success as well. To seek to profit in any way on the backs of servicemembers is, in my view, a disgrace.

To avoid accountability, the Senator likes to say that we should "just vote" on these nominations, but he knows this is a ludicrous idea. Let me explain it again. It is virtually impossible for the Senate to process this volume of nominations through floor procedures. As the majority leader and I have explained before, it would literally take the rest of this Congress to move through the nominations we have now, not even accounting for the hundreds still to come.

The Senator from Alabama knows this. So he does not really want to "just vote"; he wants to grind the Senate to a halt on a series of nonstop 99-to-1 rollcall votes. That means no other Senate business, such as the annual Defense bill we are debating right now or the appropriations bills, which are being considered by the Appropriations Committee; no legislation of any kind, which may, in fact, be his motive.

The Senator from Alabama has moved his goalposts many times, never offering a viable or reasonable compromise. Originally, he just wanted a call from the Secretary of Defense. Once he got it, he changed his demand again. When he asked for a vote to repeal the policy, we did so during the National Defense Authorization Act

markup, but of course he changed his demands again, and he is now calling for the complete capitulation of the Department. At this point, one has to wonder if he actually wants to achieve his demands or if he just wants to stay in the spotlight.

We will soon enter the seventh month of this nonsense, and the effects are building. This doesn't just affect the 273 officers stuck on the Senate floor; it affects thousands of military spouses and children, whom I will discuss in a moment, and it affects the officers coming up behind them, some of whom could be assigned but for the fact that an officer sits ahead of them, awaiting Senate confirmation before they can move.

According to the Department of Defense, 45 officers are unable to assume new positions, including 35 who cannot move because their assigned rank goes with the position for which they have been nominated and another 10 officers who are projected to be assigned to a position now held by one of those 35. Twenty-two officers who have been selected for their first star will have to assume the duties of the higher grade while serving as a field grade officer, not a flag officer. Those officers are losing about \$2,600 per month through no fault of their own. Similarly, 20 officers selected to the grade of 0-8, or two stars, will assume duties of the higher grade while remaining in their current grade. These officers are losing nearly \$2,000 per month while this blockade continues.

Contrary to the misinformation from the Senator from Alabama, there will absolutely be no back pay for these officers, no back pay at all. Their pay is tied to their rank, which is tied to their appointment to that rank, which cannot occur until the Senate provides its consent. While the Senator is trying to enhance his notoriety, these officers are losing pay.

Twenty-one three- and four-star officers have had their retirements deferred to ensure continuity of command. After 30 or 40 years of uniformed service, numerous combat deployments, countless missed birthdays and anniversaries, and countless missed sports games and musical recitals, these officers have been told that their lives are less important than one Senator's ego.

The most heartbreaking effects are on the families that have been impacted by these holds. I will describe just a few of these stories.

Because of the Senator from Alabama's hold, the Marine Corps was forced to cancel a coast-to-coast move for a general and his family. The family's household goods had already been shipped and are now waiting in storage at their future duty station while the general covers the duties of a three-star at a temporary station.

Two Air Force officers who sold their homes in anticipation of moves are living in temporary housing and paying their storage costs out of their own pockets. They have no clarity about the length of time their nominations will remain on hold, as they are forced to continue their service in their current assignments to ensure continuity.

A naval officer awaiting orders for an overseas assignment has been caught in the Senator's hold. This officer's spouse was a teacher with a public school district in Virginia. Anticipating an overseas assignment with her spouse, this teacher ended her contract with her previous employer, but she has been unable to either accept a new contract at the overseas location or recommit to returning to the school district due to the uncertainty from the hold. She is stuck in limbo.

Two children of affected officers were disenrolled from their current schools due to an expected change-of-station move, but now they cannot enroll in a new school because the Senator from Alabama has blocked their move.

Three officers have chosen to move their families at their own expense, with no option to be reimbursed, to ensure that their children will be enrolled in school, in the hope that they will be reunited with their families after the Senator from Alabama has come to his senses.

Finally, vesterday, it was reported by the largest statewide news organization in Alabama that a petition signed by more than 550 military spouses was delivered to the Senator, calling on him to end his blockade and the harm it is doing to military families. The petition, organized by the Secure Families Initiative, called on Senate leadership to "reiterate to Senator TUBERVILLE the dangers and ramifications of this political grandstanding; work together to resolve political and ideological disagreements outside the military space; and expeditiously confirm all blocked promotions and fill existing vacancies."

These are but a few of the tragic family costs being inflicted. These stories will increase significantly as we go into August, traditionally a month that many military families move to new duty stations and start new schools.

All of these effects are but the tip of the iceberg, snapshots and stories of those willing to share. The true impact of the Senator's actions may not be known for years. The destabilizing effect this has on the apolitical nature of military service is what keeps me up at night. The broader impact on our national security is incalculable.

In the U.S. military, there is a total of 852 general and flag officers. By the end of this year, we expect that 650 of them will need to pass through the Senate for promotion or reassignment. An additional 110 officers will be forced to perform two jobs simultaneously or will be assigned to a temporary position as a result of the Senator's holds. Thus, nearly 90 percent of our general and flag officers—our most senior military leaders—will be affected by the Senator from Alabama's holds.

Right now, our Nation faces an unparalleled threat from China, and violent, unstable Russia threatening all of our NATO allies. To not have our military leaders ready to command at a moment's notice is to flirt with disaster. The Senator from Alabama has achieved something that Xi Jinping and Vladimir Putin can only have dreamed of. I am sure they would have paid good money to achieve it, but they don't have to.

What disappoints me the most is the silence from my colleagues across the aisle. For 6 months, they have hardly said a word about the Senator from Alabama's antics. Do they not care? I know many of them do, and many of them disagree with what he is doing. So why are they not down here right now? I call on my colleagues across the aisle who support our military and American families to stand with us to help repair this affront to Senate tradition.

Tonight, my colleagues and I will discuss every military nomination on the Executive Calendar. We will read the names of each officer whose nomination has been blocked by the Senator from Alabama, along with a little bit about their backgrounds. Each of these officers has served decades in uniform, something the Senator from Alabama knows nothing about.

Their lives have not been easy. I know firsthand that the nature of military life, even in the best of times, is difficult, punctuated with frequent moves, time away from family, and duties that are as demanding physically as they are mentally and spiritually.

This generation of general and flag officers has had it even harder than many. Most of these nominees have served the majority of their careers during a state of war. For 20 years, they fought in the Global War on Terror, and many of them fought in wars before that. They went where we asked them to go. They fought so other Americans—including most of us in this Chamber—wouldn't have to. We have never had a generation of military leadership whose entire professional development occurred during a period of constant conflict.

As I went through each of these officers' biographies, I was struck by the recognition and manifestation of their service. As you will hear, the Senator from Alabama is blocking the promotion of officers who have been awarded the Purple Heart, the Silver Star, the Bronze Star, the Legion of Merit, the Distinguished Flying Cross, and every other significant award or recognition the Defense Department bestows.

He is blocking the promotion of officers with numerous combat tours of duty, including those who have been injured in combat.

He is blocking the nomination of a career Air Force officer who is an astronaut for NASA

He is blocking the promotion of pilots who, collectively, have tens of

thousands of flying hours and combat flying time. And with pilots, as we know all too well on the Armed Services Committee, they also have the option of flying commercially for the airlines. We can't compete with airlines on pay, but we have always competed on opportunity and mission. If opportunity and mission are compromised, patriotism will only carry one so far, particularly as the Senate's inaction is literally impacting the direct earnings of many of these nominees.

He is blocking the promotion of healthcare professionals who, like pilots, have lucrative private sector options that will look even more attractive as the thrill and satisfaction of a military career recedes.

He is blocking the promotions of combat commanders at all levels who have risen through the ranks with the expectation and hope of leading and mentoring the next generation of combat leaders to ensure the highest standards of military expertise and ethical conduct are passed on.

He is now blocking the confirmation of three members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff: Gen. C.Q. Brown, the nominee to be the next Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff; Gen. Eric Smith, the next Commandant of the Marine Corps; and GEN Randy George, the next Chief of Staff of the Army.

On top of this, we have just received a historic nomination, the first female officer to be the Chief of Naval Operations, and we just received today the nomination for the next Chief of Staff of the Air Force.

He is blocking the nominations of a critical combatant commander, the commander of Cyber Command, who also serves as the Director of the National Security Agency. It strikes me that cyber and intelligence is not a place the Nation should accept any additional risk.

He is blocking the nomination of the next commander of the Navy's 7th Fleet, the largest of the Navy's forward-deployed fleets and which has responsibilities in the Indo-Pacific area of operation.

He is blocking the nomination of the next commander of the Navy's 5th Fleet, responsible for the naval and combined maritime forces in the Indian Ocean, Persian Gulf, and Arabian Sea, under the overall command of U.S. Central Command.

He is blocking the nomination of the next U.S. military representative to NATO, who is the senior uniformed representative to NATO, during a time when NATO continues to provide critical support to Ukraine in its war against Russia and as NATO itself is expanding to counter the threat posed by Russia to our European allies.

He is blocking the next Superintendent of the Naval Academy during the summer months, when new service academy Superintendents need to be installed to ensure continuity from one academic year to the next. Traditionally, the Senate ensures this nominee is approved and in place in time for the next class of midshipmen to arrive and begin their Academy training, which started 4 weeks ago.

Now, even future officers who will be commissioned in 2027 are feeling the negative impact of one Senator's action. If we don't break this blockade soon, the Senator from Alabama will have tried his hand at decapitating the entire senior military leadership of the U.S. Armed Forces.

Finally, one last thing, none of the officers whose names we will read today played any part in promulgating the Department's policy with which the Senator from Alabama disagrees—a policy that, like it or not, is perfectly legal and is backed by 40 years of practice through the administrations of both parties. It is a policy aimed at taking care of our servicemembers, a large percentage of whom are women. This policy simply acknowledges that women's healthcare is important for military readiness too.

From President Reagan, whose Justice Department interpreted the newly enacted Hyde Amendment, through the first Bush administration, the Clinton administration, the second Bush administration, the Obama administration, the Trump administration, and now the Biden administration, the interpretation has been the same: This policy is legal.

Maybe my Republican colleagues were caught napping on this. Maybe they didn't bother to read the legal precedents. Maybe they didn't care to. Fine, I have no problem with my colleagues expressing disagreement with the Department's policy or pursuing legislative solutions to their problems. But do not take it out on the professional men and women of the Armed Forces and their families.

As the military spouses who petitioned the Senator from Alabama this week to lift his hold urged, we should engage and address these policy and ideological differences outside of the military space. We are debating the Defense bill right now. And as the majority leader has said publicly, we are not stopping the Republicans from voting on their bill to rescind DOD's policy. Let's have that vote.

Instead, the Senator has chosen to inflict as much financial and emotional pain as possible on the men and women of the Armed Forces in the hopes the Department will cave. If the Senator from Alabama actually cared about the military, he would find another way to demonstrate his political positions.

Release the hostages, Senator. It is time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maine.

Mr. KING. Mr. President, Chairman REED has spoken eloquently in the last few minutes about the personal impact of this hold on general officer nominations, on what it means to families, what it means to careers, what it means to people who are coming up through the ranks because, make no

doubt, this is not just affecting those people who have been nominated for general officer positions, but it ripples all the way down through the ranks. It is an unprecedented attack on the integrity of our military chain of command.

As I say, Senator REED talked about the impact on individuals. And tonight we are going to be talking about a lot of those individuals. But I would like to talk for a moment about the impact on the readiness of our force.

The first thing to observe is that China and Russia have got to be loving this. They could not imagine that we would do something like this to ourselves to essentially decapitate the senior leadership of our military.

If you step back and say: Wait a minute, look at what is going on here, nobody would believe that they could achieve something like this, that our adversaries could achieve something like this. But we are doing it to ourselves—or actually one person is doing it to all of us and to our country.

This is a dangerous moment in the world. I serve on both the Armed Services and the Intelligence Committees, and the threats that we are facing right now are unprecedented in the history of this country. We have never before faced the kind of threats that we are facing from two heavily armed and aggressive potential adversaries.

We need to have literally all hands on deck. And we are telling our "all hands" to stand down; that they are not going to be able to the achieve their commands, to take the case for the leadership of our military throughout the military enterprise.

It really is amazing that we are doing something—I keep saying "we"—that the Senator from Alabama is doing something that is so seriously compromising national security over a policy matter—over a policy matter.

And I have been in numerous hearings over the last months and talked to the officers, asked them on the record: Is this action compromising national security? The answer every single time has been: Absolutely, yes.

And these aren't necessarily officers who are being blocked; these are the general officers who are retiring. So they don't have a stake in this. They are not looking for a promotion. They are just telling us what has happened.

It is the first time that we do not have a Commandant of the Marine Corps in 150 years. That is outrageous. It is unacceptable. And so not only is this impacting people's lives—people who have dedicated their lives to this country—we are treating them like pawns in a political battle over an issue that the Senator from Alabama disagrees with. If he disagrees with the issue, there is a way to resolve it: bring an amendment. I am pretty sure that the majority leader has said he would bring an amendment to the floor to rescind the policy that the Senator objects to. That is how we resolve policy differences around here, not by taking

hostage the entire leadership core of our military.

Now, let me talk a minute about the Senate. The Senate, as I have observed it over the past 10½, almost 11 years, is a rather peculiar institution. Because it has very lax rules, it allows one Senator to hold everything up, to stop things. It has very lax rules. But those rules, as I have studied the history of the Senate—by the way, I would recommend reading the first 100 pages of Robert Caro's book about Lyndon Johnson, "Master of the Senate." It is a wonderful history and description of how this institution has developed. But those lax rules which allow extraordinary actions by individual Members have rested for over 200 years on a bedrock of comity and responsibility and restraint.

Yes, you have the power to do something like this, but you shouldn't do it. You don't take advantage of the rules. And I will tell you one of the results of this—and this is what I am hearing from constituents and from people around the country and indeed from people in this Hall—this could lead to changing the rules, to not allow something like this.

If you abuse a rule like this, which is being done in this case, it is outright abuse of a rule—then somebody is going to say: Wait a minute. We can't run our country this way. We can't run our country this time of peril. We just can't do that. So we are going to have to figure out another way. And all of a sudden one of the privileges—and I believe it is a privilege of an individual Senator—is going to have to start to be curtailed if you don't restrain yourself, if you don't act responsibly within the context of these rules.

My question is, Where does this lead? Is this going to be par for the course around here in the future? Somebody is going to say: Well, I don't like something the Department of the Interior is doing. I think it is really bad, so I am going to hold up every nominee for the military or I am going to hold up every nominee for the Department of Homeland Security or the Department of Interior or whatever the Department is.

Hostage-taking is not how we make policy. And I am afraid what we are seeing here before our eyes is a precedent being established, where one individual Senator, who is trying to get his way on a policy issue, is using and abusing the rules of the Senate in order to get something that ought to be done through the legislative process. Bring up the amendment. If you don't like the policy, bring up the amendment.

Eventually, I mean, the Senate is built on the premise of respect for minority rights, but ultimately majority rules. That is what we all learned in kindergarten. This isn't minority rights. This is one person. That is 1 person out of 100 who is taking this action that is so inimical to the interests of the interests of the country in a very difficult and dangerous time.

I respect the rights of Senators to use their prerogative as they see fit, but I would urge the Senator from Alabama, with whom I have a good personal relationship—I would urge him to reconsider, to try to bring the issue forward to the American people and to the U.S. Senate, and let's have a vote on it. Let's see what the Senate believes about the resolution of this issue

And by the way, we are not talking about the government paying for abortions here. We are talking about leave and travel. And if a soldier has a medical condition and they are stationed in a State where they need some kind of specialized treatment and it is not available in their State—guess what—for as long as anybody can remember, they have gotten leave and traveled to go where they can have that procedure. So this isn't some kind of radical new program.

But again, if the Senator thinks it is a wrong policy, bad policy, it is inimical to his beliefs, let's bring it to the floor and have a vote. Let's see what the will of the Senate is. But don't compromise the lives of many, many people—we are up into the hundreds now—families who have dedicated their lives to this country. They are innocent pawns in this political game. It is not right.

And then, finally, as I said, it is a compromise of national security. It is a straight-up compromise of national security, which our adversaries couldn't dream of achieving. And that is where I believe—I hope and believe—that the Senator from Alabama will relent, take his vote on the issue, and let these nominations move forward so the Senate can do its business and the military can get back to a place where it is predictable, where they understand what the process is, they understand where the steps are, and they can get about the business of defending this in country.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Hampshire.
Mrs. SHAHEEN. Mr. President, I

Mrs. SHAHEEN. Mr. President, I come to the floor this evening on behalf of the more than 1 million people who are serving this country and who rely on this Senate to put national security ahead of policy disagreements.

With the overturning of Roe v. Wade, millions of Americans, including tens of thousands of U.S. servicemembers, lost access to reproductive care overnight. And I would just remind us all that the military relies on almost 18 percent of its makeup on women. Most of those women are of reproductive age.

Since the Dobbs decision, more and more States have put in place prohibitions on reproductive care—care that was previously the right of all Americans. Now, according to a recent RAND Corporation study, at least 46 percent of female servicemembers no longer have unrestricted access to care.

Now, as we all know, servicemembers and their families are stationed based not on the needs of themselves and their families—so not personal preference—but based on the needs of the Nation. And their sacrifice and commitment to serve means that they are uniquely affected by the restrictive healthcare laws that have come into effect in the post-Roe era.

To address the harm that these healthcare prohibitions have on servicemembers and military families, the Secretary of Defense issued commonsense guidance that protects the readiness of the force and family. And it is guidance that, I will remind everybody, the Secretary of Defense is legally empowered to issue and implement and, as Senator KING so rightly pointed out, that has been in place for male members of our military for years, as long as we remember.

But the senior Senator from Alabama has chosen to break with precedent, to break with the decorum of this Chamber, and to hold 273 noncontroversial military nominations just because he disagrees—he personally disagrees—with this policy. And as Senator KING and Senator REED so eloquently pointed out, our servicemembers should not be used as bargaining chips in policy debates.

Senator Tuberville's actions are jeopardizing our national security; they are harming military families; and they are causing a whole generation of senior military leaders to question whether they want to stay in the military.

Worst of all, the Senator from Alabama knows exactly what the impact is of these holds because he serves with all of us on the Armed Services Committee. So he can't say this is not having an impact. He is hearing, as part of the committee, what the impact is. He knows that the unprecedented nature of his actions and the grave harm they pose to our country and the military are real.

For those who don't sit on the Armed Services Committee, I want to highlight some of the most grievous consequences of Senator Tuberville's actions.

Military families are not able to enroll their children into new schools on time. So we have a whole group of families with young children who—during the summertime would be the time they would be moving. They would be looking to get their children into new schools, and they are on hold.

Military spouses can't go to the next assignment and find a job.

What is particularly concerning for many of these families is that service-members are paid less than what they have earned. Just to give you some idea, 22 officers who have been selected for their first star will have to assume the duties of the higher grade while serving as a field grade officer, not a flag officer, and these officers are losing about \$2,600 a month through no fault of their own. The last time I talked to members of our military, they weren't making enough money to

be able to sacrifice an additional \$2,600 a month. Twenty officers selected to the grade of O-8 are two stars. They will assume the duties of the higher grade while remaining in their current grade, and these officers are losing nearly \$2,000 a month while this blockade continues.

Contrary to the misinformation that the Senator from Alabama has said on this floor, there will be no backpay for these officers. Their pay is tied to their rank, which is tied to their appointment to that rank, and that can't occur until the Senate provides its consent. They ought to be charging Senator Tuberville for this additional money they are losing because it is on his back, these additional costs that families are incurring.

Some of our most critical national security positions, like the Commandant of the Marine Corps, are also unfilled, and this comes at a time when we know that Russia is waging war in Europe and the People's Republic of China continues to threaten our interests across the globe.

What is so incredibly hard to understand is that our colleagues on the other side of the aisle I know are concerned about the PRC and the influence of China. Yet they are not willing to call their colleague out for what he is doing that provides a real opportunity for the PRC. With every vacancy in our ranks, our adversaries are gaining an advantage over us.

These holds affect real people who have dedicated their lives to preserving our freedoms in this country. Those people who are affected have earned more than being used as political pawns.

I want to take just a moment because we are here tonight to talk about those 273 officers who are being held up. I want to talk about some of those really incredible individuals whom the senior Senator from Alabama is blocking

The first is a colonel whom I met when I went to Lithuania for the NATO summit. We went up to the base in Lithuania, Pabrade, and the Deputy Commander of EUCOM pointed out that COL Kareem Montague, who is currently the Deputy Commander of the 4th Infantry Division out of Fort Carson, who is deployed temporarily to Lithuania, was one of those general officers whose promotions are on hold.

The colonel has 28 years of service. He has been Executive Officer to the Chief of Staff of the U.S. Army. He has been the Commander of the 5th Battlefield Coordination Detachment of U.S. Army Pacific, Joint Base Pearl Harbor, in Hickam, HI. He has been the Commander of the 1st Battalion, 321st Airborne Field Artillery Regiment, 18th Fires Brigade, 82nd Airborne, out of Fort Bragg. He has earned the Legion of Merit, the Bronze Star, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medals. The colonel doesn't deserve to be held up because the Senator from Alabama has a personal beef with Secretary Austin's

Then we have eight officers from the Marine Corps who have been nominated to the rank of brigadier general. The first is Col. David Everly. He is currently serving as Chief of Staff of the II Marine Expeditionary Force. He has 28 years of service. He has been the Chief of Staff to the 2d Marine Expeditionary Brigade. He has been the Commanding Officer of Command Element, 2d Marine Expeditionary Brigade. He has been the Commanding Officer of the Basic School Training Command. He has multiple combat and contingency operation deployments. He has received the Defense Superior Service Medal and the Bronze Star Medal. Colonel Everly doesn't deserve to be held up.

Neither does Col. Kelvin Gallman, also in the Marine Corps, currently serving as Senior Military Adviser to the Secretary of the Navy. He has 29 years of service. He has been the Division Chief, Deputy Division Chief, Joint Capabilities Division, J-8, Joint Staff. He has been Commanding Officer of Personnel Support Detachment. He has multiple combat and contingency deployments, and he has received the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit Medal, and the Bronze Star.

Col. Adolfo Garcia, also with the Marine Corps, is currently serving as the House Director of the Office of Legislative Affairs. Some of us may have run into him in that capacity. He has 30 vears of service in. He has been the Military Secretary to the Commandant of the Marine Corps. He has been the Assistant Chief of Staff to I Marine Expeditionary Force, the Commanding Officer of the 14th Marine Regiment. 4th Marine Division. He has multiple combat tours. He has earned the Legion of Merit, the Bronze Star, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal. Again, he is on hold.

Then there is Col. Matt Good. Many of us know Colonel Good because we traveled with him because most recently he served as Director, Senate Liaison, Office of Legislative Affairs. I can tell you, having taken a number of trips with Colonel Good, what a great job he does, how committed he is, how committed he is to this Chamber, to the people serving in the Senate. To have Senator Tuberville do to Colonel Good and all of these members what he is doing is just unconscionable. Colonel Good has 27 years of service. He has been the Commanding Officer of the 7th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, and commanding officer of the 3d Light Armored Reconnaissance Battalion, 1st Marine Division. He has been Chief of Plans and Chief of the Security Cooperation Division of Joint Task Force North, U.S. Northern Command. He has had multiple combat and contingency deployments. He has earned the Legion of Merit, the Bronze Star, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Like all of these marines, like all of the people we are talking about today, they have stellar records of serving this country, and what does Senator TUBERVILLE do to them? He puts their nominations on hold. He denies them funding. He denies them the ability to get on with their lives.

Col. Trevor Hall, U.S. Marine Corps, is currently serving as Chief of Staff to the Marine Corps Forces Command. He has 29 years of service. He was Commanding Officer for the 26th Marine Expeditionary Unit; Branch Chief, Trans-regional synchronization, U.S. Special Operations Command; Commanding Officer, Division Training Officer, 3d Battalion, 8th Marine Regiment, 2d Marine Division. He has multiple combat and contingency deployments. He earned the Legion of Merit Medal, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal, and the Meritorious Service Medal.

Col. Richard Joyce, also in the Marine Corps, is currently serving as Commanding Officer, Marine Aircraft Group 29, 2d Marine Aircraft Wing. with 28 years of service. He has been the Branch Head of the Expeditionary Air Warfare N98, Office of Chief Naval Operations; Commanding Officer, Special Projects Officer, Marine Light Attack Helicopter Squadron 469, Marine Aircraft Group 39, 3d Marine Aircraft Wing. He has had multiple combat and contingency deployments. He has received the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit, and the Distinguished Flying Cross.

Col. Omar Randall—also U.S. Marine Corps—is currently serving as Director of Logistics, Combat Element Integration Division, Combat Development and Integration. He has 27 years of service. He has been the Branch Head, Futures Branch, Installations and Logistics, Headquarters Marine Corps; Commanding Officer of Combat Logistics Regiment 37, 3d Marine Logistics Group. He has had multiple combat and contingency deployments. He has earned the Legion of Merit, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal, and the Meritorious Service Medal.

Then there is Col. Robert Weiler from the U.S. Marine Corps, who is currently serving as Military Secretary to the Commandant of the Marine Corps, with 28 years of service. He has been the Commanding Officer of the 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division; Director of Inspections; Commanding Officer of the 2d Battalion, 4th Marines; Senior Military Adviser, Force Development, Office of the Secretary of Defense—Policy. He has had multiple combat and contingency deployments. He received a Purple Heart, a Silver Star, and a Legion of Merit. Senator TUBERVILLE wants to hold up his pro-

Then I want to cite two people from the Navy who have been nominated for appointments to the grade of rear admiral.

The first is CAPT Brian Anderson, who is currently serving as Assistant Commander, Supply Chain Policy and Management, Naval Supply Systems Command in Mechanicsburg, PA. He has 28 years of service. He has been the Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Logistics Naval Air Force, U.S. Pacific Fleet, San Diego; Chief, Current Operations-Joint Operations Officer; deployed to CENTCOM AOR, Camp African, Kuwait; and Pakistan Liaison Officer. He has been assigned to the Defense Logistics Agency in Fort Belvoir. He earned the Legion of Merit and the Meritorious Service Medal.

Then there is CAPT Julie Mary Treanor. She is currently serving as Chief of Staff in the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, with 29 years of service. She has been Commanding Officer of Naval Supply Systems Command Fleet Logistics Center in Norfolk; Naval Sea Systems Command, Director of Industrial Supply Operations, Naval Sea Systems. She also earned the Legion of Merit and the Meritorious Service Medal.

Both Captains Treanor and Anderson have been nominated to the grade of rear admiral. Yet Senator Tuberville has them on hold.

I am going to stop with that list. We have a lot of folks on the floor. We are going to continue to pick up the names of the people who are on hold.

But simply put, it is not acceptable to turn policy disputes into political brinksmanship when it comes to our servicemembers. As we have all said, we are happy to debate our colleagues on policy any day of the week, but that is not what we are doing. Instead, what we have is the senior Senator from Alabama singlehandedly holding military promotions hostage, using our servicemembers as political bargaining chips for his own benefit. His actions undermine our military's greatest strength—our people.

When he is asked about it, he says: Oh, it is playing really well at home.

Well, that is not what this is about. This is about making sure that we treat those people who serve in our military the way we ought to be treating them and that we defend our Nation and trust those folks who serve to make their own decisions about their own healthcare, just as I believe our constituents sent us to Washington to debate policy defenses, not to threaten the health and safety and welfare of those in uniform or to hold our security interests hostage.

So I hope that Senator Tuberville will hear us tonight, that he will let these qualified military officers get back to their work of defending the American people.

I vield the floor

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois.

Ms. DUCKWORTH. Mr. President, I join my colleagues today in expressing my great disappointment in my colleague from Alabama and his continuing hold on military promotions. He has argued—and I have seen him say this several times—that he is not affecting military readiness and that a hold on the promotions of senior officers does not hurt our national security, and, if it did hurt our military

readiness, that he would certainly stop the hold.

So I am here to tell you about six different jobs and the individuals nominated to those particular positions, and for people to decide whether or not they think that having these positions go unfilled with a confirmed officer is jeopardizing our military readiness.

The first position is at the Army Space and Missile Defense Command. This is the Army's force modernization proponent and operational integrator for global space, missile defense, and high-altitude capabilities. It sits at the nexus of integrated deterrence between U.S. Space Command, U.S. Strategic Command, and U.S. Northern Command—a pretty important job I would think.

To fill the position, the President has nominated MG Sean A. Gainey to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Army and a commanding general of the U.S. Space and Missile Defense Command. Major General Gainey has served for 33 years and has moved some 15 times in those years of service. He is a graduate from the Georgia Southern University ROTC Program. In those 33 years of service, he has earned the Distinguished Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit with one bronze oakleaf cluster, and the Bronze Star. He currently serves as Director of the Counter-Unmanned Aircraft Systems Office, Director of Fires, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-3/5/7, U.S. Army, Washington, DC.

I think the U.S. Space and Missile Defense Command is a pretty important job and pretty relevant to our national security.

A second position that is being left unfilled with a confirmed nominee is that of Deputy Chief of Staff, G-4, U.S. Army, head of Army Logistics.

We have been talking at length about logistics in a contested environment, especially in the Indo-Pacific region. The Army G-4 develops, implements, and oversees Army strategy, policy, plans, and programming for logistics and sustainment to enable total Army readiness today and a force modernized for the future.

To fill the position of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-4, Army Logistics is MG Heidi Hoyle. Major General Hoyle graduated from the West Point Military Academy and embarked on a 29year career spanning 20 different assignments, including her position as the Director of Operations within the office of the Army G-4, as well as numerous combat deployments. She has been awarded the Legion of Merit with two bronze oakleaf clusters, the Bronze Star Medal with one bronze oakleaf cluster, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal. She is more than qualified to fill this position, and the position needs her in it.

Another position that is going unfilled with a confirmed officer is to be filled by BG Laurence Linton to be a major general in the U.S. Army Reserve. Brigadier General Linton is cur-

rently serving as Deputy Commanding General-Support, 88th Readiness Division at Fort Snelling, MN. Brigadier General Linton graduated from the State University of New York ROTC Program and began a 31-year career of service that included 24 different duty assignments, notably deploying to Haiti, Bosnia, and Kuwait, General Linton served most recently as Chief of Staff of Operation Warp Speed, the critical effort to accelerate COVID-19 development. vaccination General Linton has been awarded the Legion of Merit, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal, and the Meritorious Service Medal with silver oakleaf cluster and one bronze oakleaf cluster. I cannot think of someone more deserving of this promotion.

The President has also nominated BG Stacy M. Babcock to be a major general in the U.S. Army Reserve. Most recently, General Babcock served as the Deputy Commanding General, U.S. Army Human Resources Command at Fort Knox, KY. He graduated from the Rochester Institute of Technology ROTC Program in 1991 and has now served 32 years, a career spanning 25 different assignments, including a deployment to Bosnia and three separate deployments to Iraq. General Babcock has been awarded the Legion of Merit and the Bronze Star.

The President has also nominated COL Peggy McManus to be a brigadier general in the Army Reserve. Colonel McManus serves as the Deputy Director, Senior Policy Board Advisor, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-1, Washington, DC. Colonel McManus was commissioned in 1992 via ROTC and has now served 31 years, a career spanning 20 different assignments, including a combat tour to Iraq. Colonel McManus has been awarded the Meritorious Service Medal with one silver and one bronze oakleaf cluster.

The President has also nominated Maj. Gen. Andrew J. Gebara to be lieutenant general in the U.S. Air Force and Deputy Chief of Staff for Strategic Deterrence and Nuclear Integration, Headquarters U.S. Air Force.

Do you think that not having a confirmed officer appointed to the Deputy Chief of Staff for Strategic Deterrence and Nuclear Integration is not hurting our military readiness? Of course, it is.

Major General Gebara would be responsible to the Secretary of the Air Force and Chief of Staff of the Air Force for Nuclear Deterrence Operations. He would provide direction, guidance, integration, and advocacy regarding the nuclear deterrence mission of the U.S. Air Force and engage with joint and interagency partners for nuclear enterprise solutions—only if Senator Tuberville would allow him to take up this position.

And, finally, I want to talk to you and read to you the background of MG Robert M. Collins, who is nominated to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Army and Military Deputy-Director of the Army Acquisition Corps, Office of

the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology. If confirmed, General Collins would be the senior military adviser in Army acquisition matters. This is at a time of critical modernization by the Army. I know very well the Future Vertical Lift Program, and it is critical that we have a capable officer in this position. He is currently serving as Deputy for Acquisition and Systems Management. He graduated in 1992 from the Shippensburg University ROTC Program. He has now served 31 years in uniform, spanning 21 different assignments. We need this officer in his job, in this position.

These are just a handful of individuals I am reading today. In which one of these positions does my colleague from Alabama think military readiness is not being affected, being left unfilled?

All I can say is, Senator Tuberville, please reconsider. You are indeed putting our national security, our military readiness in jeopardy by continuing this hold.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Connecticut.

Mr. BLUMENTHAL. Mr. President, I have but a few minutes to speak to my colleagues and to the Senator from Alabama, but, more important, to the American people. I have only minutes, but the damage of this hold will be years. Be aware, America. Be angry, America, as I am angry, as a member of the Armed Services Committee, as a dad of two veterans—a Marine Corps officer who served in Afghanistan and a Navy SEAL who served during these last 20 years. And all of the veterans of America who are angry that our U.S. military is being used as a political pawn, is held hostage; that brave, determined professionals who want to lead and take our military to the fight that lies ahead—they are in limbo. And it isn't just the 273. It is all who report to them, all who depend on them, all who look to them for leadership.

I had breakfast this morning with the Acting Commandant, General Smith, of the U.S. Marine Corps. For the first time in 100 years, the U.S. Marine Corps has no Commandant.

Vice Admiral Franchetti, who was chosen to lead the Navy, the first woman to be in that position, has been held hostage.

Gen. Charles Brown, uniquely accomplished aviator and leader, unable to assume his responsibility as Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

And under them, men and women like Lt. Gen. James Bierman, nominated to be Lieutenant General of the United States Marine Corps and Deputy Commandant for Plans, Policies, Operations; and Maj. Gen. Bradford Gering, to be a Lieutenant General in the United States Marine Corps and Deputy Commandant, Aviation.

These men have served 35 years.

Maj. Gen. Gregory Masiello, to be Lieutenant General of the United States Marine Corps and Director, Defense Contract Management Agency.

These men and women have put their careers, their lives, their families on the line, and now they are waiting because our colleague from Alabama wants to make a political point. He has a political policy, and he is using these military nominees as pawns and hostages. It is nothing short, in effect, of an assault on our U.S. military.

So nothing I say here may persuade him, but what is happening is a travesty and a tragedy for our Nation, because it undermines not just our readiness now but our recruitment in the future.

The Marine Corps is the only service that is making its recruitment goals. The Army, the Navy, the Air Force, all are down significantly. And this action, which disgracefully and shamefully puts our readiness at risk and serious danger, also undermines our ability to attract the best and the brightest in this country as our military has always done. It is the reason we have the greatest military in the world.

We have all of the weapons systems. We have the kinds of hardware that we need. But, most importantly, we need the great men and women who will be discouraged by this action by the Senator from Alabama.

So I plead with him; but, most important, I ask the American people to be aware and be angry, as we all should be. And I hope that my colleagues will join the American people in persuading him that this kind of hold is shameful and disgraceful and should be rejected. I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arizona.

Mr. KELLY. Mr. President, placing holds on hundreds of military promotions over a single policy disagreement is unconscionable. It is harming our national security, and it is going to have cascading effects for years. Not only will military services be left without confirmed leaders, but our senior leaders are going to have to do multiple jobs at once. This will disadvantage the services at every level and leave less experienced members to have

As someone who served in the navy for 25 years, I want to paint a picture of what that looks like in the real world. Operations plans—they will not get updated. Now these are the plans that prepare us for military conflicts, for humanitarian disasters, and any conflict we face around the world.

to step in to fill their bosses' shoes.

Operations and exercises will suffer because they lack an expert at the head of the table who can weigh strategic risks and who is empowered by Congress to make critical decisions.

We won't have confirmed senior leaders at the table in places like Africa, where we are trying to strengthen ties and security cooperation with our partners to counter violent extremists and the rising influence of Russia and China.

And speaking of China, we won't have confirmed senior leaders at crit-

ical posts in the regions in that region of the world where they are empowered to bolster our capabilities.

And let's not forget, these holds are preventing the promotions of military leaders in Alabama. There are a lot of really important units who operate out of the Redstone Arsenal, including the Missile Defense Agency, which is responsible for developing U.S. defense against incoming ballistic missiles.

The Missile Defense Agency's next Director is one of those officers at Redstone who is waiting for his third star before he can officially assume this new role. The Senator from Alabama is preventing that.

Do we really want a leadership gap at the Missile Defense Agency?

And, lastly, I have to mention that this tactic treats our military service-members and their families like political pawns. Let me tell you, this isn't just like holding up a promotion like in any other job. This blockade of military promotions is hurting military families who are having to put off moves to new assignments across the country or across the world.

That means a military spouse cannot start a new job. It means a new school year starts without military kids who are stuck at their last base and don't know if they are going to be able to maybe try out for the sports team or join a club.

Now, I know from experience just how hard these moves are. They are hard for military families, and that is under the best of circumstances, let alone when they are stuck in the middle of this.

As our military faces recruitment challenges, this stunt-and this is a stunt-is making a decision easy for a military family who has supported their servicemembers, maybe for decades, to finally say that they have had enough. Maybe they will choose to retire instead of assume yet another unknown, brought to you by the Senator from Alabama, or maybe a junior servicemember—maybe that person—decides not to make a career in the military because they see so much uncertainty as their bosses are treated like political pawns. These holds are going to have cascading effects. It is going to get worse and worse. This is not just some abstract idea.

Let me talk about a few of the military leaders whose promotions are being blocked.

The President of the United States has nominated RADM Frederick W. Kacher to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy and the Commander of the Seventh Fleet. The Commander of the Seventh Fleet is not going to be able to take over as a confirmed vice admiral in that job. He has spent 31 years in service in 29 different duty assignments. By the Senator from Alabama, he is being treated like a pawn.

The President has also nominated Rear Admiral Douglas G. Perry to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy. He is currently the Second Fleet's Commander of the Joint Forces Command in Norfolk. He has spent 34 years in the U.S. Navy, and the Senator from Alabama is treating him like a political pawn.

The President has nominated Rear Admiral Yvette M. Davids to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy and the Superintendent of the U.S. Naval Academy. She has spent 34 years in service. She was the Commander of a carrier strike group. Now the Senator from Alabama is treating her like a political pawn.

The President has nominated Rear Admiral Brendan R. McLane to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy and Commander of the Naval Surface Force of the U.S. Pacific Fleet. He has spent 33 years in service, and the Senator from Alabama is treating him like a political pawn.

The President has nominated Rear Admiral Daniel L. Cheever to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy and also the Commander of the Naval Air Forces, U.S. Pacific Fleet. I served in the Pacific Fleet aboard an aircraft carrier. Rear Admiral Cheever spent 35 years in the U.S. Navy, and he was also the Commander of a carrier strike group. He is now being treated like a political pawn.

The President has nominated VADM Charles B. Cooper II to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy and the Deputy Commander of the U.S. Central Command. How critical is that? He has 34 years of service, but he is being treated as a political pawn.

The President has nominated Rear Admiral Robert M. Gaucher to be a vice admiral of the U.S. Navy. He is currently the Commander of the Naval Submarine Force and all of our submarines in the Atlantic Fleet—attack and ballistic missile subs. He has spent 32 years in the U.S. Navy, and the Senator from Alabama is treating him like a political pawn.

The same is true for Rear Admiral Shoshana S. Chatfield. She has been nominated to be promoted to a vice admiral and to be the U.S. Military Representative to NATO's military committee. She has spent 35 years in uniform, and the Senator from Alabama is treating her as a political pawn.

The President has also nominated Rear Admiral James P. Downey to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy and Commander of the Naval Sea Systems Command. The Naval Sea Systems Command is all of the engineering and development. The Presiding Officer knows, being a Senator from Virginia, how critical it is to have somebody in this post who is confirmed by the U.S. Senate. The Senator from Alabama is treating the rear admiral as a political pawn.

The President has nominated Maj. Gen. Roger B. Turner, Jr., to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Marine Corps and the Commanding General of the III MEF. I believe the Presiding Officer's son serves in the U.S. Marine Corps. The III MEF in Japan is not

going to have a confirmed general. He has spent 34 years in service.

Finally—not finally; I have a few more. By the way, there are another hundred sitting over here on the bench.

The President has nominated VADM William J. Houston to be an admiral in the U.S. Navy—a full admiral—while serving as the Director of Naval Nuclear Propulsion. This admiral is in charge of all of our nuclear reactors, and he can't get promoted after spending 33 years in the U.S. Navy because Senator TUBERVILLE is treating him like a political pawn.

Finally, the President of the United States has nominated MG Tony Hale to be a lieutenant general. Now, I know Major General Hale. He is currently serving as the Commanding General and Commandant at Fort Huachuca in my State of Arizona. He has spent 29 years in uniform. He has been in 18 different duty assignments—six in support of combat operations. The Senator from Alabama is treating MG Tony Hale as his political pawn.

I have been here for $2\frac{1}{2}$ years. There is not something I have felt more strongly about than this, and I don't think the Senator from Alabama gets it. I mean, this blockade of military promotions is doing real damage to our national security right now, it is doing great harm to military families, and it is going to have cascading effects for years. Every single day that this continues, the consequences—the consequences—of this get more severe. So I urge my Senate colleague from Alabama to remove his hold on these nominations so that the Senate can perform its constitutional duty to enable our military readiness and our national security.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Vermont.

Mr. WELCH. Mr. President, my colleague the Senator from Arizona speaks and spoke with the authority of a person who committed his life, before he came to the U.S. Senate, to the service of our country in the military. I do not speak with that authority. I speak with the gratitude of a citizen who has benefited from the willingness of folks like the Senator from Arizona to dedicate their professional lives to the defense of our country.

In that respect, as a citizen, I speak for what all Americans have come to expect and rely on, and that is the willingness of young men and young women to sign up for service in the military—among them, the people who make that commitment that this will be their careers. They do it day in and day out, being ready for whatever may come, being willing to respond to the call of the Commander in Chief no matter what that may be, and all of us citizens who have not worn the uniform may take it for granted that we have these folks out there.

What the Senator from Alabama is doing is essentially attacking that willingness to serve by pulling the rug out from under the people who have dedicated their lives, who have served with distinction, and who have earned the promotions for which they have been nominated by the President of the United States.

You can't have an organization that functions when you don't have leaders. You can't have an organization that functions when the people who have committed their lives to the profession and who perform with great distinction and get that nomination for promotion aren't promoted.

It does, as the Senator from Arizona described, erode morale, and it erodes the effectiveness of the institution. The cascading effects will be long term, and it is all on the basis of a willful determination to essentially abuse the men and women of the military and to abuse the military itself for an individual goal that is unrelated to the performance of the military but that has a very detrimental impact on the military.

But do you know there is another element here? We are Senators, so that is a big position, and there is a lot of authority that goes with that job. But can any of us look in a mirror and feel good about the use of that authority when the effect of that power as it is being used right now is just flat-out mean? It is mean to families. It is mean to kids.

You have folks whose lives are committed to the service of the military. They have been promoted. They are making a plan about taking children out of the schools they are in and getting them into new schools. That is incredibly disruptive, and it takes an immense amount of love and concern on the part of the men and women of the military to make certain, as they get promoted and move on in their careers and go from where they are to where their next assignments are, that they take care of those kids. That is incredibly important.

How can a Senator take an action that is going to cause so much trauma for innocent people—including the children of these people—who have earned the distinction of a promotion?

This has got to end. It has got to end. It has got to end because the citizens of this country are entitled to a functioning military, and a single Senator cannot intrude or should not intrude on the promotion process. This has got to end so that we show respect for families and the burden that goes along with moving from where you are to the next duty station.

So I join with my colleagues in calling upon the Senator from Alabama to stand down and let us act on these promotions. Our men and women in the military deserve it, our military needs it, and the citizens of this country are entitled to it.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Hampshire.

Ms. HASSAN. Mr. President, I rise today to join my colleagues and share my deep concern and outrage regarding Senator Tuberville's decision to block the promotions of hundreds of service-members. I want to take a moment and share why I believe this action weakens our national security, hurts our servicemembers who are in harm's way, ignores the wishes of the American people, and betrays our country's bipartisan commitment to our servicemembers.

By blocking the promotions of hundreds of servicemembers, the Senator from Alabama is preventing our military from being properly staffed and led. This diminishes our military's effectiveness and combat-readiness.

Senator Tuberville has told us that he is doing this not because he has any concern with these officers' ability to command; instead, Senator Tuberville tells us he is blocking these promotions simply to advance a personal political agenda to take away reproductive freedom from female servicemembers.

It is not only outrageous but bitterly ironic that, while the Senator from Alabama trusts women in uniform to defend our country, while he trusts them to keep all of us safe, while he trusts them to risk their lives for our freedom, he does not trust them to make their own healthcare decisions.

Senator Tuberville's decision to block these promotions not only hurts female servicemembers, it makes America less safe.

What did Senator Tuberville even accomplish by what is truly a reckless stunt? Can my colleague from Alabama explain how blocking promotions for servicemembers strengthens our national security?

What kind of message do we send to our allies and our adversaries that America's combat readiness can be undermined because of one Senator's partisan stunt?

And can he tell us why the health, safety, and daily lives of servicemembers are less important than his own personal and political agenda?

Our brave men and women in uniform put their lives on the line in order to protect our freedoms. We could not freely assemble here in this Chamber without their dedication and sacrifice. There simply is no U.S. Senate without the service of our Armed Forces. Our servicemembers place their trust in us to ensure that they are properly supported, including by being sufficiently staffed and led.

Let me be very, very clear: Senator TUBERVILLE's actions mark nothing less than an abdication and betrayal of that trust.

It is also clear that in pushing this personal partisan agenda, the Senator from Alabama is deeply out of step with the majority of Americans. He is not representing the American people. I know—as I think all of us do—that Americans, regardless of their views or political party, share a common love of country. We stand united in support of our servicemembers because our servicemembers do not risk their lives for

red States or blue; they fight for the freedom of all Americans, and they deserve the support of all Americans.

Bipartisan support for servicemembers has exemplified our country at our best. No issue, no matter how important, should stand in the way of ensuring that our military has the support and leadership that it needs to succeed.

I was reminded of this in April, when I was on a congressional delegation trip and visiting the Northern Command out in Colorado Springs. I met with one of the people who Senator Kelly talked about, Rear Admiral Cheever. He hosted the visit, and he coordinated it. I had a wonderful briefing from him and his leadership team about issues of cyber security, about our quantum readiness.

As you heard Senator KELLY say, Rear Admiral Cheever has been nominated to become a vice admiral and command the Naval Air Force of the U.S. Pacific Fleet—even more responsibility. He is ready to do it. The Senator from Alabama doesn't disagree that he is ready to do it. But as we left that day, he told me that his promotion was in limbo because of the hold that our colleague from Alabama has put on his promotion.

We need him in that position. He and his family and his fellow service men and women need him in that position and are waiting for the Senator from Alabama.

It is fitting that we are discussing this issue on July 26, for it was on July 26, 1947, that the National Security Act of 1947 was signed into law. This law established the Defense Department, an institution with officers whose promotions the Senator from Alabama is blocking today. This law was passed with overwhelming support from leaders of both parties.

In 1947, of course, the Senate was full of debates and even bitter disagreements on all sorts of issues, just as it is today. But Senators understood that while we can debate all day on any number of issues, we owed it to our servicemembers and the American people to stand united in our efforts to support our military and to keep Americans safe, secure, and free.

In the same manner, our military works together despite their own personal differences or political views. My father served in World War II and survived the Battle of the Bulge. He told me that the members of his unit came from all sorts of different backgrounds. They, no doubt, held many different views, but on the battlefield, those differences weren't important. What mattered was their common bond as Americans, their common love of country, and their common commitment to freedom.

What my father's generation did and what our servicemembers do every day is nothing less than extraordinary. Compared to their courage, the political and dangerous game that Senator Tuberville is playing seems very, very small

No Senator, no matter their party, has the right to put their personal and political agenda ahead of our national security and our servicemembers' freedom and safety. I urge my Republican colleagues to join me in opposing Senator Tuberville's efforts to undermine our bipartisan commitment to our servicemembers and our national security because, ultimately, this kind of reckless, partisan game does not reflect who we are as Americans.

On distant battlefields and in faraway places, thousands of miles from their homes, our brave men and women in uniform risk their lives and confront great dangers so that all of us—including my colleague from Alabama—can be safe, secure, and free. While my colleague stands in the way of promotions, our servicemembers stand in the way of our greatest foes.

We can't ever repay the debt that we owe those who served, but we owe them nothing less than our full support, and that starts with ending this reckless stunt, uniting as Americans, and advancing these overdue promotions.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Minnesota.

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Mr. President, I follow the strong remarks from my colleague from New Hampshire.

I also note that we are joined by the Senator from Massachusetts, who has called out these delays from the very beginning, called out this what I will call a blockade. We thank Senator REED for his leadership of this committee.

These Senators here before us work every single day to make sure our military is strong. And what is going on with the Senator from Alabama, he has placed a reckless hold—a reckless hold—on the nominations of some of our Nation's finest public servants to more than 275 general and flag officer positions.

These holds, as you have heard tonight, are preventing the Pentagon from executing smooth leadership transitions for the most critical roles in our Nation's security apparatus and leaving entire Agencies without lead-

I should note that our colleague from Alabama has not taken issue with the quality of these 275 candidates. In fact, some of these candidates were actually nominated for other positions by the previous President and earned bipartisan support. But our colleague from Alabama, for the reasons outlined by my friend from New Hampshire, is now holding the entire military chain of command hostage.

When I was home this past weekend, when I was at festivals, when I was in parades, everyone knew someone, everyone knew someone at the Duluth Airshow that was being held up. Everyone had heard about it from their friends. Certainly, every marine I met, they knew what was going on. So if people think this is just politics as usual and one Senator can just hold up

the promotions and the positions of these fine public servants, they are wrong. People have noticed.

For example, we are currently without a confirmed Commandant of the Marine Corps. Our country has not been in this position since 1911. To say it in a different way, in 112 years, we have never let this role sit vacant until today because of one single Senator and his views, which, by the way, are not consistent with the majority of the American people's views.

My colleague has also stalled the promotions of three esteemed military leaders with strong ties to my own State. If you ask me, careers of honorable service should not be met by the politics of partisan spite.

My colleague's completely unnecessary interruption of promotions that support our military's essential work comes at a time when having steady, complete teams in place couldn't be more important. Whether you look at Ukraine's existential fight against Russia or the ever-growing threat of China, it is clear that the world needs America's leadership.

I spoke about this last night at length and today when it comes to keeping our covenant with those who stood with us on the battlefield, those who stood with us in Afghanistan. And here we are again tonight, really talking about the same thing in a different way.

We can talk all we want on this floor about what goes on, but those who actually serve, they deserve the best. And this is not the time to let essential roles sit vacant. Our servicemembers and the civilians who serve our military must be able to look to their leaders for guidance and stability.

This blockade is creating uncertainty among the people whose job it is to protect our Nation and forcing less experienced leaders to act in more senior roles.

I don't want to wait around and see what the worst possible outcome of delaying these transitions could be. In fact, I don't even want to think about that. But because of my colleague's blockade, we have no choice.

To use the words of one retired admiral, "This is not a game." Our country deserves better. The Senate must do better.

Every day this blockade, caused by one Senator—one Senator—continues, it hurts our military, and it helps our enemies. We must end the blockade now.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Massachusetts.

Ms. WARREN. Mr. President, I want to say a very special thank-you to Senator Klobuchar for her thoughtful and passionate words about the harm that the Senator from Alabama is imposing on our military and on our Nation.

As the Senator from Minnesota said, we are here fighting to try to protect our Nation while Senator TUBERVILLE, in the view of the former Secretaries of

Defense of both parties, is undermining our national security.

I also want to thank Senator REED for his leadership and his steady hand in trying to persuade the Senator from Alabama that it is time to end this blockade.

This is the third time that I have come to the Senate floor to ask the Senator from Alabama to reconsider his unprecedented action of blocking hundreds of promotions earned by our men and women in uniform.

The Senate votes on nominees appointed by the President to occupy top roles in government—Cabinet Secretaries, judges, and Ambassadors. The Senate's role in approving nominations also extends to thousands of military promotions every year. If a colonel does an exceptional job and their military services promotion board decides that they are ready to be a brigadier general, the Senate must hold a vote for that promotion to go through.

In the vast majority of circumstances, this vote is a formality. Most of these promotions are considered in big batches rather than one at a time. Most of the time, there isn't even a recorded vote.

We are confident in the process, and we pass these people through. They have been thoroughly vetted, and their promotions are essential for our security.

But now, for 5 months, the Senator from Alabama has blocked all—all—senior military nominations and promotions from moving forward without a recorded vote. That means one Senator is personally standing in the way of promotions for 273 of our top-level military leaders.

One Senator is preventing the Marine Corps from having a Commandant for the first time in 100 years.

One Senator is setting the Army on a similar course not to have a senior leader

One Senator is blocking the confirmation of the President's top military adviser, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

One Senator is holding up pay raises for hundreds of men and women in uniform. One Senator is jeopardizing America's national security.

The Senator from Alabama has taken this dangerous step because he disagrees with a policy the Department of Defense announced after last year's abortion decision by the Supreme Court. After that decision, the Department clarified that, if a member of the armed services needs to travel to access abortion care or other kinds of reproductive healthcare that are not available where they have been stationed—for instance, because a State where they have been stationed has banned these forms of healthcare—then they can leave to do so. This is a commonsense policy that is completely legal under the Department's existing authorities, as established by Congress.

The Senator from Alabama disagrees. I think he is wrong. But, look, we all

have executive branch policies that we disagree with. As I previously pointed out, as Senators, we have many tools to influence policies. We can hold hearings. We can write oversight letters. We can vote. We can pass laws.

In fact, the Senate Armed Services Committee recently voted on a bill that would get rid of the policy that the Senator from Alabama opposes. The proposal to get rid of the policy failed to get a majority. It was rejected. And if we held that vote among the full Senate, I believe it would fail here as well.

In short, the Senator from Alabama doesn't have enough support to actually pass a law to change the Department's policy. So the Senator from Alabama, instead of accepting what the majority has decided here, instead has decided to hold our senior military leaders and their families hostage as a protest against the fact that he doesn't have enough votes to change a perfectly legal policy that he just doesn't like.

Since I was here last asking for these promotions to be approved, the situation has only become worse. The Senator from Alabama's hold has left the Marine Corps without a Commandant and will soon leave the Army without its most senior leader as well. If this continues through the fall, the President will be deprived of his top military adviser.

The Senator from Alabama is decapitating the leadership of our own military. And make no mistake, the real people being punished by these holds are the military families who had expected to make a move as part of taking up a new post in service to their country.

We must address the damage this hold has inflicted on our Armed Forces, and we must do so by immediately approving every single one of the 272 leaders being held hostage by the Senator's actions, not pick up 1 or 2, not 10 or 20 whom the Senator from Alabama decides would buy him some time—every single person.

This summer is a critical time for families moving across the country or across the world to try to find new housing, to enroll their kids in new schools, and, generally, to get ready for the new school year. But, now, all of that is on hold because those families don't know where they are going to be in the fall.

The Department of Defense has shared stories of students who are disenrolled from their current school because they thought they would be somewhere else in September. But now they don't know if they can enroll in their new school because their parent doesn't know if they will be able to relocate by then.

Spouses who assumed they would be moving or even ended a job now don't know where they may live or work.

These hundreds of nominees and their families can't wait for us to figure this out when we get back from the August recess. The Senator from Alabama needs to fix this now. If the Senator from Alabama refuses to lift his hold, he will be forcing families to either pull their kids out of classes in the middle of the school year or spend the year hundreds or even thousands of miles away from their loved ones.

Over 500 Active-Duty military spouses recently delivered a petition to the Senator from Alabama urging him to release his hold and to end this uncertainty for military families. They said it was "highly inappropriate and unpatriotic to wage a political battle by using military servicemembers as pawns."

These Active-Duty military spouses got it right. Spouses and families support our servicemembers. If we treat them and their service with this level of profound disrespect, we will only be exacerbating our country's military recruiting challenges.

We recently held a confirmation hearing for Gen. C.Q. Brown, the President's nominee to be the next Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. As the Senator from Alabama noted, General Brown has had to move 20 times over the course of his military career. General Brown was very clear about the consequences of the holds that the Senator from Alabama has imposed. He says that "we will lose talent."

Our senior military leaders don't want to be a political football, but even they are starting to speak out about the impact of these holds. The Deputy Commanding General of the U.S. Army Europe and Africa called these tactics "reprehensible, irresponsible, and dangerous."

Our civilian leaders are deeply concerned as well. In April, I sent a letter to Defense Secretary Austin asking about the impact of holding up these military promotions. Secretary Austin wrote: "[T]he longer that this hold persists, the greater the risk the U.S. military runs in every theater, every domain, and every service." General Austin went on to point out that these unprecedented and unnecessary holds are creating "rising disquiet from our allies and partners, at a moment when our competitors and adversaries are watching."

As I have mentioned before, there is bipartisan opposition to the Senator from Alabama's actions. Seven former Defense Secretaries, including ones who served under President Trump and President George W. Bush, sent a letter stating that leaving senior positions "in doubt at a time of enormous geopolitical uncertainty sends the wrong message to our adversaries and could weaken our deterrence."

The first time I came to the floor to ask the Senator from Alabama to let these promotions move forward, he was holding up 184 nominees. The second time I asked him to step aside, the number was up to 221 top-level service-members. Now, we have 273 leaders who have been blocked from assuming leadership positions that they have earned

and that we need them to occupy in order to keep our country safe.

The Senator from Alabama is single-handedly holding up 10 four-star commanders, 54 three-star commanders, multiple Silver Star and Purple Heart recipients, the next Commandant of the Marine Corps, the next Chief of Staff of the Army, and the next Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

We have voted on this abortion policy. The Senate Armed Services Committee has held a briefing with the Department of Defense on this issue. We have held a briefing with the Department of Justice. The Department of Justice has issued a legal opinion that DOD is well within the law. Secretary Austin has personally called the Senator from Alabama to urge him to relent.

I am hopeful that the Senator from Alabama will finally do the right thing and allow these servicemembers to carry out their responsibilities to our Nation. We owe this to the people who put their lives on the line for Active service for our country and to the families who serve by making these lives possible.

The Senator from Alabama should relent for the families, should relent for the Active-Duty members of the military, and should relent for the security of our Nation.

I vield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. FETTERMAN). The Senator from Virginia.

Mr. KAINE. Mr. President, I rise with my colleagues on the floor this evening to discuss the very unfortunate actions of my Senate colleague from Alabama, blocking the advancements and promotions for hundreds of military officers.

I am a Senator from Virginia. Virginia is as connected to the U.S. military mission as any State. One out of every nine Virginians is a veteran. Our Active-Duty community is massive. Our military families, reservists, Guard men and women, DOD civilians, and DOD contractors create a Commonwealth deeply, deeply connected to the American military mission.

The map of Virginia—Yorktown, where the Revolutionary War ended; the battlefields of the Civil War; Appomattox, where the Civil War ended; Bedford, where a core of young people from that tiny mountain community served and died on D-day; the Pentagon, where we were attacked on 9/ 11—our map is a map of American military history. We train all Marine officers at Quantico. We have the largest naval base in the world in Norfolk and the largest military personnel facility in the world at the Pentagon. Virginia is steeped in the Nation's military mission.

I also rise as a member of the Armed Services Committee and as the father of a U.S. marine to urge my colleague from Alabama, as have my other friends on the floor tonight, to stop punishing our troops and their families, to stop punishing our troops and their families.

I want to talk about the policy, I want to talk about the stunt, and I want to talk about the victims.

The policy: Senator Tuberville objects to the fact that the Department of Defense will allow a servicemember to take time off and travel to terminate a pregnancy lawfully. He objects to that policy.

Is that policy an unreasonable policy? No. It has been Federal policy since the Reagan administration that Federal funds could pay for a Peace Corps volunteer to travel to terminate a pregnancy lawfully if the place where that Peace Corps volunteer was serving did not offer reproductive healthcare access. The Reagan administration was a Republican administration. The policy announced with respect to Peace Corps volunteers in the 1980s was written by then-Department of Justice lawyer Ted Olson, who became the Solicitor General under the Bush administration

So, for now 40 years, it has been Federal policy that if a Peace Corps volunteer chooses to lawfully terminate a pregnancy, the Federal Government will both allow her time off and pay for her travel—in Democratic and Republican administrations.

It is, similarly, Federal policy that a female prisoner committed to the Federal Bureau of Prisons will be allowed to travel to terminate a pregnancy lawfully and that the Federal Government will pay for that travel. So the policy has been now for 40 years that the Federal Government will pay for travel of Peace Corps volunteers and Federal prisoners to lawfully terminate a pregnancy.

Senator Tuberville wants women servicemembers to have fewer rights than Federal prisoners. Senator Tuberville wants women servicemembers to not be accorded the same choice and protection that we have accorded Peace Corps volunteers since the early 1980s during the Reagan administration.

Why—why—would we say to women who volunteer to wear the uniform of this country and risk their lives that you are not entitled to what we have allowed Peace Corps volunteers and Federal prisoners to have for decades?

What is it about pledging to wear the uniform and offer your life and service to the Nation that should disable you from rights that have been afforded to others?

This is the policy that Senator Tuberville wants to reverse. He wants to mandate that our servicewomen receive fewer rights and fewer protections than Federal prisoners or Peace Corps volunteers.

I find that proposal outrageous. I find that proposal deeply inequitable. But I do defend my colleague's right to have that opinion, if that is his opinion. We have 100 people in this body. We have a lot of different opinions about a lot of different things. But there is a right way and a wrong way.

And so now let me move from the policy to the stunt. I am on the Armed Services Committee. I have my chair here. I have been so pleased to serve with him for the 10 years I have been in the Senate. And he knows I have often offered amendments as part of our annual Defense bill where I failed. I have tried to convince my colleagues, for example, in the writing of the Defense bill to terminate outdated war authorizations, and I have been told, no, this is not the right committee for that; that should be in the Foreign Relations Committee. I have asked for a vote anyway, and I lost. I couldn't convince my colleagues that I was right.

We had a significant debate a few years ago about whether we should do across-the-board cuts in the head-quarters of the Pentagon, and I was worried about what across-the-board cuts might do to things like military housing. So I tried to convince my colleagues to see things my way and, instead, adopt my position, and I failed. I had the opportunity to persuade them, but I couldn't persuade a majority.

So what do you do when you can't persuade a majority? Do you punish people who had nothing to do with the policy that you disagree with? No. Not a single member of our committee has ever taken this step during the 10 years that I have been on the committee until Senator Tuberville has decided to undertake this stunt.

Senator TUBERVILLE had an opportunity in connection with the Defense bill in the committee to advocate his position—it was actually an amendment drafted by Senator ERNST, and Senator Tuberville was the cosponsor—to reverse the policy I described earlier and to take away rights from servicewomen—rights that are enjoyed by Federal prisoners and Peace Corps volunteers. And they had an opportunity to persuade. And they offered that amendment, and they failed. They couldn't convince a majority of the committee to go along with them. But they had their chance.

And so once they have had their chance and failed to persuade their colleagues, they now turn, and Senator Tuberville—I don't want to assert this is Senator Ernst; Senator Ernst and Senator Tuberville have the same position on the policy, but Senator Ernst disagrees with the blockade—Senator Tuberville is now taking out his disappointment. I couldn't convince my colleagues of the policy, so why don't I now punish hundreds of military officers and their families? It is a stunt.

When you can't convince your colleagues, be more persuasive next time or find a middle ground or have a dialogue and listen; and maybe the next time you will try, you will do it better, and you will be more persuasive. But, no, that is not what the Senator is doing. Instead, he is deciding to punish these officers and their families.

And I will tell you, in some ways, the part of this stunt that makes me the

angriest is the part that is happening right now. Right now on the Senate floor, we are debating the Defense bill, because maybe you could say I lost my vote in committee, but it is such an issue of conviction and conscience for me that I want to have the whole Senate vote on it. I could see Senator Tuberville—if it really mattered to him, if this policy was really a matter of conviction and conscience for him, you would think he would say: I know I lost in committee, but maybe I will succeed on the floor of the Senate, and I want that opportunity. I want the public to know we have offered Senator TUBERVILLE the ability to vote on this matter on the floor of the Senate. Let's do it in front of the entire American public. You stand up and you say why the Department of Defense policy is wrong and you put it to a vote and make every one of the 100 Senators vote on it.

We have given him that opportunity. As of right now, 5 after 11, the night before we hope to finish the NDAA, he has not accepted it.

has not accepted it.

Wait a minute. Does he even care about this issue? If it is a matter of conscience and conviction, wouldn't you want to debate it on the floor of the Senate to show how much you care about it? But as of right now, he has not accepted our offer to allow him to have this vote.

Why hasn't he accepted the offer? He knows he is going to lose and probably lose worse than he lost in the committee because there are many people—both Democrats and Republicans—who are tired of the punishment that he is meting out on these military officers. That is why this is a stunt.

If he had the courage of his convictions, he would be on the floor listening to this right now. If he had the courage of his convictions, he would be asking for a vote. If he had the courage of his convictions, he would be accepting the outcome of the vote. And if unsuccessful, he would stop this foolish blockade.

Last, about the victims: My colleagues have done a very good job of talking about who is being damaged and how. It is these officers, certainly, but it is really their families—the inability to move, to find a new school for your children. We have officers among the list of those being blockaded who, on the assumption of a promotion, because it has been done as a matter of course for decades-sold a home, can't buy a new one because the new orders haven't yet come through and have had to pay out of their personal funds to move their families because the military won't pay to move them, hoping that they might be able to get reimbursed

We have a Virginia officer who has been blocked a promotion, whose wife is a public-school teacher who had resigned from her job and not accepted the contract for the public school for the next year on the thought that she would be looking for a job in a new jurisdiction for a public school. And now she is out of the past job without the ability to go find a new job. What did they do to deserve this?

My colleagues have pointed out that Senator Tuberville does not object to the qualifications of any of these people. He voted for them in committee. In addition, Senator Tuberville has not asserted that a single one of these people had anything to do with the policy he doesn't like.

I mean, it would be one thing if we were nominating for a promotion the individual who developed the policy that Senator Tuberville didn't like. You might understand him subjecting that individual to some more significant scrutiny and even opposition. But none of these people had anything to do with the travel policy announced by the Department of Defense after the Dobbs decision.

So punishing people who were serving this country, who had nothing to do with the policy that you complain about; punishing them not because of what they did but punishing them because you were not persuasive enough to convince your colleagues to embrace a policy that you advanced. It makes no sense.

We know we are facing a recruiting challenge in the military. We are facing it generally. We also know that in certain specialties, that recruiting challenge is particularly acute. Use pilots for an example. Pilots have a lot of opportunities. A number of the officers who are on this list are Air Force or Aviators in the other service branches. and they have all kinds of options to go to the private sector and get paid a whole lot more than they do, but they choose to work for less because they are patriotic about this country and they believe this country respects them.

What does this say to them? What would you do if you were a rational person and you had an opportunity when your promotion was being blocked for something you had nothing to do with, what would you do if there was another opportunity you could take?

My oldest son, who is Active Duty and now a Marine Reservist, is of an age where an awful lot of people are trying to make this decision about: Do I stay in the military or not? I have had a career. I have been in for 8 years or so. Do I stay and make a full career out of it or not?

We know from recruiting polling that we have been doing that one of the main reasons we have a recruiting and retention problem in the military—this was identified in Army polling—is people's belief—it is interesting. I was actually surprised by this polling. We are not having a recruiting challenge because people are afraid to serve or think they might get injured. We are having a recruiting challenge because people believe that if they serve in the military, they will fall behind their peers who don't serve in the military;

that their peers who don't serve might advance in their careers and have opportunities decades from now that would be more than what I have if I went in the military.

So if that is our significant problem right now, what is the message that is sent to people who might want to serve if they know: Wow, one Senator who is unhappy with something the Pentagon does can block my professional advancement even though I had nothing to do with that, even though I have served honorably and deployed and won a Silver Star and Purple Heart and other citations for bravery, even though all that happens—if one Senator-only one, only one-is unhappy with something that the Pentagon has done, they can block my professional advancement, just for that reason. How is that going to help us counter the recruiting and retention problem we have in the U.S. military?

My colleagues have done a good job of listing some of the particular positions that are vacant. They have no confirmed Commandant of the Marine Corps to come up against possibly no confirmed chief of staff on the joint chiefs of staff, to have no confirmed head of the U.S. Naval Academy.

Virginia is a shipbuilding and subbuilding State. To have no head of Navy nuclear reactors—we are the premiere producer of nuclear subs in the world. The reactors get built in Virginia—in Lynchburg, generally—and then they get installed on subs and carriers in Newport News. This is what our State does. This nuclear reactor thing is not something to mess around with. It is not a minor thing that just anyone can do. To be the head of Navy nuclear reactors is a really important position.

We just announced through President Biden an initiative with Australia and the United Kingdom to do nuclear sub capacity building together over the course of decades. How good would we be at this commitment we have made if we don't have a head of naval nuclear reactors confirmed in serving this country?

So I join with my colleagues on this floor and ask Senator Tuberville to stop punishing these people.

They served enough. They have done enough. They sweat enough. They bled enough. They moved enough. They sacrificed enough, and they are willing to do even more. Stop punishing them. Stop punishing them.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I want to commend the Senator from Virginia for his articulate and passionate and compelling comments this evening—and all of my colleagues. All of them have made the point that these holds have cascading effects.

It is not just the individual nominee; it is the person waiting to take his or her position. It is not just someone in uniform; it is a family. We are talking about hundreds of people on the list for nominations. We are talking about thousands of people whose lives will be changed—extraordinarily changed.

The particular effects are on the family. No one serves in the military alone. Families serve. And when you see the disruption that is going to take place—young people not being able to get into schools, teachers who give up their teaching jobs and can't get another—those are real, real costs, in addition, obviously, to every day wondering whether that servicemember who is your spouse or your father will return, or whether mother will return, and, particularly, when they are committed overseas in areas of combat or confrontation.

Now, what Senator Tuberville has said is, "Well, let's just vote on them." That is ridiculous. We know it would take months and months of exclusive voting on these nominations to clear this list, while another list is building up.

And also, there has been some suggestion that we simply—well, we have to get a Chief of Staff of the Marine Corps or the Commandant. Let's vote on a commandant.

But there is an ethic in the military: Leave no one behind.

We cannot turn our backs on the hundreds of relatively young professionals, those colonels who are being promoted to O-7. All of them contribute significantly to the protection of this country, to the stability of our Armed Forces, and they can't be ignored.

What I would like to do is to indicate who would be left behind, who at this point are being ignored—in fact, more than that. What I am going to call off is a roll of honor of men and women who serve and are being dishonored by Senator Tuberville's hold upon their nominations.

I am going to proceed in approximately chronological order from the nomination going forward.

The President nominated Col. Leigh A. Swanson to be brigadier general in the U.S. Air Force. She is a senior flight surgeon in the Air Force. Colonel Swanson has 29 years of service, amassing 545 flight hours and 55 combat flight hours. She carries her medical license in the State of Alabama.

Col. David J. Berkland is nominated to brigadier general of the U.S. Air Force. He is a command-rated pilot with over 3,400 flying hours, including 900 combat hours.

Col. Amy S. Bumgarner is in the U.S. Air Force and is nominated to brigadier general. She is currently serving as Vice Commander, Air Force Office of Special Investigations at Marine Corps Base Quantico. She has now served 28 years in uniform, spanning 17 different assignments, including in Afghanistan.

Col. Ivory D. Carter, nominated to brigadier general, is currently serving as Director, Legislative Liaison, U.S. Cyber Command, Fort Meade. He began his Air Force career as an enlisted information manager in 1990. He has now served 33 years in uniform, spanning 15 different assignments.

Col. Raja Chari is nominated for brigadier general. He is currently serving as an astronaut with NASA. Yes, we are blocking someone who is going to be one of our astronauts.

Col. Jason E. Corrothers is nominated to brigadier general. He is a 1999 graduate of the Air Force Academy. He served 24 years in uniform, spanning 14 different assignments

different assignments.
Col. John "Bryan" Creel is nominated to brigadier general. He amassed over 35 years of uniform service. He is now graded as a command pilot and has more than 7,500 flight hours. He has been awarded the Distinguished Flying Cross with Valor Device, one of the most significant decorations that one could obtain in the Air Force.

Col. Nichols B. Evans has been nominated brigadier general. He is currently serving as Executive Assistant to the Commander, Pacific Air Forces, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam.

Col. Bridget V. Gigliotti has been nominated to brigadier general. She grew up in a Navy family and was commissioned in May 1997 upon graduation through the Air Force Academy. She has now served 26 years in uniform, spanning 19 different assignments.

Col. Chris B. "Wolf" Hammond is nominated to be brigadier general. Colonel Hammond is rated as a command pilot, amassing more than 3,000 flight hours, including 400 combat hours. He has now served 25 years in uniform, spanning 17 different assignments

Col. Leslie F. Hauck. He is currently serving as Commander, 52nd Fighter Wing, in Germany. He is rated a command pilot with over 2,400 hours in the F-16, including 285 combat hours in support of Operation Enduring Freedom. He has also been deployed in support of Operations Iraqi Freedom and Noble Eagle.

Colonel Kurt C. Helphinstine is nominated to brigadier general. He has over 2,700 flying hours in the F-15E, T-38, and T-37, and has 905 combat hours over Iraq, Syria, and Afghanistan.

I think all of these gentlemen and ladies who have flown hundreds of hours in combat don't deserve to be disrespected as they are now and wonder if they will get promoted.

Col. Abraham L. Jackson, to be brigadier general, has 25 years in uniform as a career intelligence officer, spanning 15 different assignments.

Col. Benjamin R. Jonsson to be promoted as brigadier general. Colonel Jonsson was assigned to Charleston Air Force Base, where he flew some of the initial C–17A combat missions of Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom, from 2006 to 2008.

Colonel Jonsson and his family lived in Amman, Jordan, where he graduated from the University of Jordan as an Olmsted Scholar. He later served as the Desk Officer for Egypt and Jordan on the Joint Staff J-5 during the Arab Spring. He is a superbly qualified individual, both as an Air Force officer and as someone who knows a great deal about the Middle East.

Col. Joy M. Kaczor, nominated to brigadier general, is currently serving as Commander, White House Communications Agency, Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling.

Col. Christopher J. Leonard, nominated to brigadier general. Colonel Leonard entered the Air Force in May 1997, after graduating from the U.S. Air Force Academy. He has now served 26 years in uniform, serving in 23 different assignments, including numerous overseas postings.

Col. Christopher Menuey, to be brigadier general, is currently serving as Director of Commander's Action Group, Headquarters U.S. Strategic Command, Offutt Air Force Base, Nebraska.

Col. David S. Miller, nominated to brigadier general, is currently serving as Vice Commander, Air Force Sustainment Center, Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma.

Col. Jeffrey A. Phillips to be nominated as brigadier general. Colonel Phillips received his commission via Officer Training School at Maxwell Air Force Base in Alabama in 1999, following 6 years of service as an enlisted airman. He has now served 30 years in uniform, 24 as an officer, spanning 20 different assignments.

Col. Erik M. Quigley to be brigadier general. Colonel Quigley was commissioned in 1997 as a distinguished graduate from Utah State University's ROTC Program. He has now served 26 years in uniform, spanning 17 different duty assignments, including a deployment to Afghanistan.

Col. Scott Rowe to be brigadier general. He has now served 25 years in uniform, spanning 14 different duty assignments, including as Commander, 12th Flying Training Wing, Joint Base San Antonio-Randolph, Texas; and Commander, 18th Operations Group, Kadena Air Base, Japan.

Col. Derek M. Salmi to be brigadier general. Colonel Salmi is a command pilot with more than 3,000 hours in flight and trainer aircraft. He has deployed in support of Operations Enduring Freedom, Iraqi Freedom, and New Dawn.

Col. Kayle Stevens. Colonel Stevens is a graduate from Wellesley College and received her commission through ROTC at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. She is a career intelligence officer.

Col. Jose E. Sumagil to be brigadier general. He is Chief, Air Force Senate Liaison Division. He has now served 26 years in uniform, spanning 18 different duty assignments. He is rated as a master navigator with more than 2,500 flight hours.

Col. Terence G. Taylor to be a brigadier general. Colonel Taylor attended the University of Virginia, where he served his commission through the Air Force ROTC. He is a command-rated pilot with more than 4,800 flying hours, including 1,800 combat hours.

Col. Daniel J. Voorhies. Colonel Voorhies attended the University of Virginia. He was commissioned through ROTC. He has now served 22 years in uniform, spanning 11 different duty assignments.

Col. Michael O. Walters to be promoted to brigadier general. Colonel Walters has combat experience in Operations Enduring Freedom, Freedom's Sentinel, and Inherent Resolve. He has amassed more than 2,600 flying hours, including 588 combat hours.

Col. Adrienne L. Williams is currently serving as Vice Commander, 18th Air Force, Scott Air Force Base. She has now served 23 years in uniform, spanning 16 different duty assignments.

The President also nominated Col. Corey A. Simmons to be brigadier general in the U.S. Air Force. He has now served 25 years in uniform, spanning 19 different duty assignments. He is a command pilot with more than 3,200 hours in airlift and trainer aircraft.

The President nominated Rear Admiral George M. Wikoff to be vice admiral in the U.S. Navy Central Command/Commander Fifth Fleet and Commander, Combined Maritime Forces. He has served 33 years in uniform, spanning 29 different duty assignments.

The President has nominated the following officers to brigadier general in the U.S. Air Force Reserve:

Col. Sean M. Carpenter. He has served nearly 18 years on Active Duty. He is a command-rated pilot with over 3,000 flying hours, including 325 combat hours, and over five combat deployments

Col. Mary K. Haddad. Colonel Haddad has 13 years of Active Duty, spanning 13 different duty assignments, including numerous combat assignments.

Col. James L. Hartle to be brigadier general. He has served 23 years of Active-Duty service, spanning 21 different duty assignments, including a number of combat deployments.

Col. Aaron J. Heick. Colonel Heick has served 26 years in uniform, spanning 17 different duty assignments, including a deployment to Turkey.

Col. Joseph D. Janik to be brigadier general. Colonel Janik earned his commission via Officer Training School, Maxwell Air Force Base in Alabama. He is a command-rated pilot with over 4,000 flight hours and 3,000 civilian flight hours.

Col. Michael T. McGinley to be brigadier general. He has now served 25 years in uniform, spanning 11 different assignments, including as Director of DIU, the Defense Innovation Unit.

Col. Kevin J. Merrill. Colonel Merrill is a command pilot with more than 3,700 hours in multiple aircraft. He was deployed on several occasions in support of Operations Southern Watch, Enduring Freedom, and Iraqi Freedom.

Col. Tara E. Nolan to be brigadier general. She has served 28 years in uniform spanning 18 different duty assignments, including in support of numerous combat and contingency operations.

Col. Roderick C. Owens to be brigadier general. Colonel Owens has served 27 years in uniform spanning 15 different duty assignments.

Col. Mark D. Richey. Colonel Richey has 26 years of uniformed service. Colonel Richey is a command pilot with more than 4,500 flying hours and 675 combat sorties.

Col. Norman B. Shaw, Jr., to be a brigadier general. He is a command pilot with more than 3,400 flying hours.

The President has also nominated Col. Kristen A. Hillery to brigadier general. She has served 30 years in uniform spanning 14 different duty assignments, including Commander, 752nd Medical Squadron, March Air Force Base, CA.

Col. Michelle L. Wagner to be brigadier general. She has now served 26 years in uniform spanning nine different assignments, including two medical commands.

The President has also nominated the following officers to the grade of major general in the U.S. Air Force Reserve:

Brig. Gen. Elizabeth Arledge, who spent 6 years on Active Duty working with nuclear weapons, conventional munitions, and special operations aircraft before joining the Air Force Reserve in 1998.

Brig. Gen. Robert M. Blake has amassed more than 4,500 flying hours in military aircraft, including combat sorties in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Brig. Gen. Vanessa J.E. Dornhoefer, who has 27 years of Active-Duty service spanning 16 different duty assignments.

Brig. Gen. Christopher A. Freeman. Brigadier General Freeman earned his commission from the Air Force ROTC Program at the University of Alabama in 1992 as a distinguished graduate. He has been awarded the Purple Heart, the Legion of Merit, and the Defense Distinguished Service Medal. He is being held in this blockade.

With that, I would like to yield to the Senator from Virginia.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. KAINE. Mr. President, I would like to continue this wall of honor, this honor roll of these patriotic public servants.

Rear Admiral John Gumbleton to be a vice admiral of the U.S. Navy and Deputy Commander of U.S. Fleet Forces Command. He currently serves as the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Budget. He has 34 years of service to the Navy. His awards include the Legion of Merit, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal, and the Meritorious Service Medal.

Rear Admiral Christopher S. Gray to be a vice admiral of the U.S. Navy and the Commander of Navy Installations Command. He currently serves as Commander of Navy Region Mid-Atlantic and has 34 years of service. He has served as the Commander of Navy Region Northwest and the Chief of Staff of Navy Installations Command. His awards include the Legion of Merit, the

Defense Meritorious Service Medal, the Meritorious Service Medal, Air Medal With Combat "V" and Strike/Flight numeral 1.

Rear Admiral James Pitts to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy and Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Warfighting Requirements and Capabilities. He currently serves as the Director of the Warfare Integration, Office of the Chief of Naval Operations. He has 37 years of service. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit with one gold star, Defense Superior Service Medal, and the Meritorious Service Medal with three gold stars. He has 30 different awards, many received multiple times.

Gen. Kenneth Wilsbach for reappointment as a general in the U.S. Air Force and Commander, Air Force Combat Command. He currently serves as the Commander of Pacific Air Forces. He has 38 years of service. General Wilsbach is a command pilot with more than 5,000 hours in multiple aircrafts, Defense Distinguished Service Medal with one oakleaf cluster, Defense Superior Service Medal with one oakleaf cluster, Legion of Merit with two oakleaf clusters, and the Bronze Star Medal.

Maj. Gen. Linda S. Hurry to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Air Force and Deputy Commander, Air Force Materiel Command. She is nominated to be the Deputy Commander of Air Force Materiel Command, which manages installation and mission support, discovery and development, testing and evaluation, and life cycle management services and sustainment for every Air Force weapon system. Air Force Materiel Command employs nearly 86,000 military and civilian airmen, managing a \$71.3 billion budget. Major Hurry has served for 32 years. Her awards include the Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit with one oakleaf cluster, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal with one oakleaf cluster.

BG Miguel Mendez to be a major general in the Army National Guard of the United States. He served 35 years in the Army, encompassing 20 different duty stations. He was awarded the Meritorious Service Medal with two bronze oakleaf clusters and the Army Commendation Medal with one bronze oakleaf cluster.

COL Marlene Markotan to be brigadier general of the U.S. Army Reserve. She currently serves as the Group Commander at Fort Totten, NY. She served 32 years in the Army, encompassing 20 different duty stations. She was awarded the Bronze Star Medal and the Meritorious Service Medal with three bronze oakleaf clusters.

Col. David Castaneda to be brigadier general in the U.S. Air Force Reserve. He served 30 years in the Air Force, 21 different duty stations. He served in multiple different leadership capacities at both the headquarters and wing level. He is a command pilot with more than 2,600 hours in the F-16 and F-35,

and more than 550 of those hours are in combat. His awards include the Legion of Merit with one oakleaf cluster and the Meritorious Service Medal with three oakleaf clusters.

MG Karl H. Gingrich to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Army while also serving as a Deputy Chief of Staff in the U.S. Army. He currently serves as Director of Program Analysis and Evaluation with the U.S. Army. He has 34 years in the Army, 23 different duty assignments, including 2 assignments in support of combat operations. He was awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit with four bronze oakleaf clusters, and the Bronze Star Medal.

The following three officers have been nominated to serve as rear admiral in the Navy Reserve:

Rear Admiral Kenneth R. Blackmon currently serves as the Reserve Director for U.S. Fleet Forces Command and previously served as Deputy Commander of the U.S. Third Fleet. He was awarded the Legion of Merit, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal with one oakleaf cluster, and the Joint Service Commendation Medal.

Rear Admiral Marc Lederer currently serves as the Reserve Deputy for Fleet Readiness and Logistics for the CNO. He has 32 years in the Navy encompassing 21 different duty assignments. His awards include the Legion of Merit with one gold star, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal with one oakleaf cluster, and the Meritorious Service Medal with one gold star.

Rear Admiral Robert Nowakowski currently serves as Reserve Vice Commander, U.S. Naval Forces for U.S. Central Command. He has 31 years in the Navy encompassing 21 different duty assignments. He previously served as Deputy Commander of the Navy Recruiting Command. I would love to have him here and ask him how this blockade might affect recruiting into the Navy. His awards include the Legion of Merit, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal, and the Meritorious Service Medal with one gold star.

The President has nominated these six officers in the Navy Reserve to the grade of rear admiral as unrestricted line officers:

CAPT Jeffrey Jurgemeyer. He currently serves as Chief of Navy Reserve, U.S. Naval Surface Force Pacific. He has 30 years in the Navy and Reserve encompassing 18 different duty assignments. He was awarded the Legion of Merit, the Bronze Star, and the Meritorious Service Medal with two gold stars.

CAPT Richard S. Lofgren currently serves as the Commanding Officer of Navy Reserve Fourth Fleet. He has served 30 years, 20 different duty assignments. His awards include the Legion of Merit and the Meritorious Service Medal with one gold star.

CAPT Michael Mattis currently serves as the Deputy Commander, Navy Reserve Region Readiness and Mobilization Command in San Diego. He has 29 years in the Navy, 17 different duty assignments. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit with two gold stars and the Bronze Star.

CAPT Richard Meyer serves as the Deputy Commander of the Navy Region Southeast Reserve Component in Fort Worth. He has 30 years in the Navy, 20 different duty assignments, and has been awarded the Legion of Merit with one gold star and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

CAPT Bryon T. Smith is currently serving as Commanding Officer of the Navy Reserve Navy Installations Command EOC. He has 28 years in the Navy, 17 different duty assignments. His awards include the Legion of Merit with one gold star and the Meritorious Service Medal with one gold star.

CAPT Michael R. Vanpoots is currently serving as the Deputy Commander of Navy Reserve Region Readiness and Mobilization Command. He has 28 years in the Navy, 20 different assignments. His awards include the Defense Superior Service Medal and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

CAPT John Byington to be a rear admiral. He has served 33 years in the Navy, with 22 different duty assignments. He previously served as the Region Commander for the Naval Information Force Reserve Southeast Region. His awards include the Defense Meritorious Service Medal with two bronze oakleaf clusters and the Meritorious Service Medal with one gold star.

CAPT John Robinson to be rear admiral in the U.S. Navy Reserve. He currently serves as the Commanding Officer of the Navy Reserve Chief of Information Headquarters. He has 26 years in the Navy, 10 different duty stations. He has been awarded the Defense Meritorious Service Medal with one gold star and the Meritorious Service Medal

Lt. Gen. Gregory Guillot-we had him before our committee today—who is a general in the U.S. Air Force, to serve as the Commander of the U.S. Northern Command, protecting the homeland of the United States and North America, and also to be the Commander of North American Aerospace Defense Command, NORAD. He currently serves as Deputy Commander of U.S. Central Command. He has commanded a flying squadron, operations group, two flying wings, and a numbered Air Force. He has served 34 years in the Air Force, with 24 different duty assignments, and has more than 1,380 flying hours. His awards include the Distinguished Service Medal, the Defense Superior Service Medal with two oakleaf clusters, the Legion of Merit with one oakleaf cluster, and the Bronze Star Medal with two oakleaf clusters.

LTG Laura A. Potter to be a lieutenant general in the Army and also to serve as the Director of Army Staff. She currently serves as the Deputy Chief of Staff, which is the principal officer responsible to the Chief of Staff of

the Army for all Army intelligence matters. She has 33 years in the Army, 20 different duty assignments, including four in support of combat operations. She has been awarded the Distinguished Service Medal, the Defense Superior Service Medal with one bronze oakleaf cluster, Legion of Merit with one bronze oakleaf cluster, and the Bronze Star Medal with one bronze oakleaf cluster.

MG William J. Hartman to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Army while serving as the Deputy Commander of U.S. Cyber Command. He currently serves as Commander of Cyber National Mission Force. He was born in Mobile, AL. He graduated from the University of South Alabama ROTC Program. He has 33 years in the Army, encompassing 19 different duty assignments, including 8 assignments in support of combat operations. His awards include the Legion of Merit with one bronze oakleaf cluster, the Bronze Star Medal with two bronze oakleaf clusters, and the Meritorious Service Medal with four bronze oakleaf clusters.

CAPT David Ludwa to be a rear admiral in the U.S. Navy Reserve. He has 28 years in the Navy, 24 different duty assignments. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal with one bronze oakleaf cluster and the Meritorious Service Medal with two gold stars.

CAPT Peter Muschinske to be a rear admiral to the U.S. Naval Reserve as a Navy Chaplain. He currently serves as the Deputy Fleet Chaplain with the Navy Reserve U.S. Pacific Fleet. He served 33 years in the Navy, all as Chaplain. He served in 15 different duty assignments providing chaplain and ministry services to sailors and marines around the world. His awards include the Meritorious Service Medal with three gold stars.

CAPT Marc F. Williams to be a rear admiral in the U.S. Navy Reserve Civil Engineer Corps. He earned his commission after graduating from the academy in 1998 with a degree in ocean engineering. He has 25 years in the Navy, 17 different duty assignments. His awards include the Meritorious Service Medal with two gold stars and the Joint Service Commendation Medal.

LTG Andrew M. Rohling to be a lieutenant general while also serving as the Deputy Chairman of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Military Committee—a very important position because it is a very important time for NATO. He served as a deputy commanding general, U.S. Army, Africa, Europe. He has 34 years in the Army, 27 different duty stations, 7 supporting combat operations. His awards include the Distinguished Service Medal, the Defense Superior Service Medal for combat service, Legion of Merit with two bronze oakleaf clusters, the Bronze Star Medal for Valor with one bronze oakleaf cluster, the Bronze Star Medal with three bronze oakleaf clusters, and he is also a Purple Heart recipient.

MG John B. Richardson IV to serve as Commanding General, First U.S. Army; 32 years of service, 27 different duty assignments, including 6 assignments supporting combat operations. Defense Superior Service Medal for Combat Service, Defense Superior Service Medal with one bronze oakleaf cluster, Legion of Merit for Combat Service, the Legion of Merit with two bronze oakleaf clusters, Bronze Star Medal for Valor, Bronze Star Medal with two oakleaf clusters, Purple Heart recipient.

I will now rest my voice and yield back to my chairman, Senator REED. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Sen-

ator from Rhode Island.

Mr. REED. I thank the Senator from Virginia, and let me continue the roll of officers.

Brig. Gen. David P. Garfield. He is a command pilot with 4,800 flying hours, including 506 combat hours. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit and the Distinguished Flying Cross.

Brig. Gen. Mitchell A. Hanson to become major general. Brigadier General Hanson has flown the A-10 and F-16 in a variety of operational assignments and is a command pilot with more than 3,400 flying hours and over 200 combat hours.

General Jody A. Merritt. She has served 33 years in uniform, spanning 17 different duty assignments. She has been awarded the Legion of Merit and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

General Adrian K. White. He has 33 years of uniformed service, spanning 17 different duty assignments. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit and Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

General William W. Whittenberger, Jr. He is a command pilot with more than 4,500 hours and has flown combat missions in Bosnia, Kosovo, Afghanistan, and Iraq. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

General Christopher F. Yancy. His combat experience includes nine deployments in Operations Iraqi Freedom, Enduring Freedom, Southern Watch and Northern Watch; multiple operations in the former Yugoslavia; and Expeditionary Fighter Squadron Command in South Korea.

The President has nominated COL Carlos M. Caceres to be a brigadier general in the U.S. Army Reserve. The colonel has served 31 years in uniform. He completed a 19-month deployment to Iraq and was awarded the Bronze Star.

The President has nominated COL William F. Wilkerson to be a brigadier general in the U.S. Army Reserve. He has 22 months deployed in support of operations in Iraq and Afghanistan and has been awarded two Bronze Stars.

The President has nominated COL Evelyn E. Laptook to be a brigadier general. She has now served 30 years in uniform, including as Deputy Surgeon General, Office of the Surgeon General, Defense Intelligence Agency, and Chief of Intelligence/Assistant Chief of Staff J2. Kosovo Forces.

The President has nominated BG Ronald R. Ragin to be a major general in the U.S. Army. He has five separate deployments to Iraq and Afghanistan. He has been awarded four Legion of Merits and three Bronze Stars.

The President has nominated CAPT Walter D. Brafford and CAPT Robert J. Hawkins to be appointed to the grade of rear admiral. Captain Brafford has 27 years of service as a dental officer. Captain Hawkins has 26 years of service, primarily as a nurse anesthetist.

The following individuals have been nominated to rear admiral (lower half):

CAPT Amy N. Bauernschmidt. She is currently serving as Commanding Officer of the USS *Abraham Lincoln*. She has 29 years of service.

CAPT Michael Brent Devore, 28 years of service. He worked as Commanding Officer of the USS *New York* and Commanding Officer of USS *Stethem*.

CAPT Thomas Anthony Donovan, 27 years of service; Commanding Officer, Naval Special Warfare Tactical Development and Evaluation Squadron TWO.

CAPT Frederic C. Goldhammer, 30 years of service; Commanding Officer, USS Ronald Reagan.

CAPT Ian Lake Johnson, 29 years of service; Commanding Officer, Naval Station Newport, RI; also awarded the Legion of Merit and Meritorious Service Medal.

CAPT Neil Andrew Koprowsky. He was the Commanding Officer of the USS Kearsarge and Commanding Officer of the USS San Antonio.

CAPT Paul Joseph Lanzilotta, currently serving as Commanding Officer of the USS *Gerald R. Ford.* His awards include the Legion of Merit and the Meritorious Service Medal.

CAPT Joshua Lasky, currently serving as Assistant Deputy Director for Global Operations, J39, Joint Staff, Washington, DC: 29 years of service.

CAPT Donald Wilson Marks, 28 years of service. He was the Commanding Officer of Naval Surface Group Western Pacific

CAPT Craig Thomas Mattingly. He is currently serving as Senior Military Advisor, Office of the Secretary of the Navy; 29 years of service.

CAPT Andrew Thomas Miller, currently serving as Chief of Staff, U.S. Strategic Command Special Activities Atlantic; 29 years of service.

CAPT Lincoln Michael Reifsteck, serving as Branch Head, Commanders Action Group, Undersea Warfare Division, N97; 28 years of service.

CAPT Frank Alexander Rhodes IV, 28 years of service, was a Commander of Carrier Air Wing THREE. His awards include the Legion of Merit and the Meritorious Service Medal.

CAPT Thomas Edwin Schultz, currently serving as Executive Assistant to the Under Secretary of the Navy; 29 years of service; and was Commanding Officer of the USS *Green Bay*.

CAPT Todd Edward Whalen, currently serving as Chief of Staff, Naval Surface Force Atlantic; 28 years of service.

CAPT Forrest Owen Young, 29 years of service and formerly Commander, Carrier Wing FIVE.

The President has nominated CAPT Frank G. Schlereth III to be rear admiral (lower half), U.S. Navy. He is currently serving as Division Chief/Executive Assistant to the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, and he is selected as Special Duty Officer with Foreign Expertise. He served as Naval Attache in Greece and the Assistant Naval Attache in Israel.

The President has nominated CAPT Brian J. Anderson and CAPT Julie M. Treanor for appointments to the grade of rear admiral (lower half).

Captain Anderson is currently serving as Assistant Commander, Supply Chain Policy and Management, Naval Supply Systems, and he has 28 years of service.

CAPT Julie Mary Treanor is currently serving as Chief of Staff, N41, Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, with 29 years of service.

The President has nominated RDML Casey J. Moton and RDML Stephen R. Tedford for appointments to rear admiral in the U.S. Navy.

Admiral Moton is currently serving as Program Executive Officer, Unmanned and Small Combatants. He has 34 years of service.

Admiral Tedford is currently serving as Program Executive Officer for Unmanned Aviation and Strike Weapons. He has 32 years of service.

The President has nominated RDML Rick Freedman to be a rear admiral in the U.S. Navy. Admiral Freedman is currently serving as Director, Education and Training, Defense Health Agency; 32 years of service.

The President has nominated RDML Kenneth W. Epps to be a rear admiral in the U.S. Navy. Admiral Epps is currently serving as Commander, Naval Supply Systems, Command Weapons Systems Support; 33 years of service.

The President has nominated the following officers to the grade of rear admiral in the Navy:

RDML Stephen Dennard Barnett, currently serving as Navy Region Hawaii Commander/Naval Surface Group MIDPAC; 32 years of service.

RDML Michael Wayne Baze, currently serving as Commander, Expeditionary Strike Group THREE; 33 years of service.

RDML Richard Thomas Brophy, Jr., currently serving as Chief of Naval Air Training; 32 years of service.

RDML Joseph F. Cahill III, currently serving as Commander, Carrier Strike Group FIFTEEN; 31 years of service.

RDML Jeffrey John Czerewko, currently serving as Commander, Carrier Strike Group FOUR; 33 years of service.

RDML Brian Llewellyn Davies, currently serving as Submarine Group TWO Commander, assumed additional duties as Second Fleet Deputy Commander; 32 years of service.

RDML Michael Philip Donnelly, currently serving as Task Force SEVEN

ZERO Commander/Carrier Strike Group FIVE Commander; 34 years of service; formerly Commanding Officer of the USS *Ronald Reagan*.

RDML Daniel Pratt Martin, currently serving as Director of Maritime Operations, Task Force U.S. Pacific Fleet, 32 years of service.

RDML Richard Edward Seif, Jr., currently serving as Submarine Group SEVEN Commander/Task Force FIVE FOUR: 30 years of service.

RDML Paul Carl Spedero, Jr., currently serving as Carrier Strike Group EIGHT Commander, with 33 years of service.

RDML Derek Andrew Trinque, currently serving as Commander, Expeditionary Strike Group SEVEN/Amphibious Force, Seventh Fleet, with 31 years of service.

RDML Dennis Velez, currently serving as Commander, Carrier Strike Group TEN; 31 years of service.

RDML Darryl Leo Walker, currently serving as Commander, Combined Joint Task Force CYBER Tenth Fleet; 33 years of service.

RDML Jeromy Boone Williams, currently serving as Commander of U.S. Special Operations Command Pacific; 30 years of service.

The President has nominated the following officers to appointments to the grade of rear admiral (lower half), U.S. Navv:

CAPT Joshua Charles Himes, currently serving as Chief of Staff, U.S. Fleet Cyber Command/U.S. Tenth Fleet: 30 years of service.

CAPT Kurtis Arthur Mole, currently serving as Information Warfare Commander, Carrier Strike Group FIVE; 28 years of service.

The following nominations to brigadier general:

COL Brandon C. Anderson, currently serving as Deputy Commander (Maneuver), 2nd Infantry Division (Combined), Eighth Army, Republic of Korea; 27 years of service.

COL Beth A. Behn, currently serving as Chief of Transportation and Commandant, U.S. Army Transportation School, Fort Lee, Virginia; 29 years of service.

COL Matthew W. Braman, 28 years of service, including Commander, 2nd Battalion, 10th Aviation Regiment, during Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan; awarded the Silver Star.

COL Kenneth J. Burgess, 26 years of service; awarded Legion of Merit and Bronze Star.

COL Thomas E. Burke, currently serving as Director of House Affairs, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Legislative Affairs, Washington, DC; 29 years of service.

COL Chad C. Chalfont, 28 years of service and awarded the Bronze Star.

COL Kendall J. Clarke, Commander, 1st Battalion, 41st Infantry Regiment, 3rd Brigade Combat Team, 1st Armored Division, Operation Enduring Freedom; Legion of Merit and Bronze Star.

COL Patrick M. Costello, 26 years of service; Commander, 3rd Battalion, 4th

Air Defense Artillery Regiment, 108th Air Defense Artillery Brigade, Operation Enduring Freedom.

COL Rory A. Crooks, 29 years of service; Commander, 1st Battalion, 37th Field Artillery, during Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan.

COL Troy M. Denomy, 27 years of service; Commander, C Company, 2nd Battalion, 5th Cavalry, 1st Cavalry Division, Operation Iraqi Freedom, Iraq; and awarded the Purple Heart.

COL Sara E. Dudley, Commander, Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 3rd Brigade Combat Team, 101st Airborne Division, during Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan.

COL Joseph E. Escandon, Commander, U.S. Army Joint Modernization Command, Futures and Concepts Center. He has 27 years of service.

COL Alric L. Francis, Commander, Field Artillery Squadron, 3rd Cavalry Regiment, 1st Cavalry Division, during Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan.

COL George C. Hackler, 29 years of service, was Director of Capabilities Development, Combined Security Transition Command in Afghanistan during Operation Resolute Support.

COL William C. Hannan, Jr. He was Chief, Office of Security Cooperation—Iraq, Operation INHERENT RESOLVE, and was awarded the Bronze Star.

Col. Peter G. Hart, with 28 years of service. He was Director of the J-5, U.S. Forces in Afghanistan, during Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan.

COL Gregory L. Holden has 28 years of service. He served as the Director of the J-2 Combined Joint Forces Land Component Command, Operation IN-HERENT RESOLVE in Iraq.

COL Paul D. Howard is currently serving as the Commandant for the U.S. Army Signal School in Fort Gordon, GA.

COL James G. Kent was the Executive Officer to the Deputy Commanding General of the U.S. Army Materiel Command at the Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, and he is being nominated for brigadier general.

COL Curtis W. King commanded the 1st Battalion, 7th Air Defense Artillery, during Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan.

COL John P. Lloyd is currently serving as Commander of the North Atlantic Division of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in Brooklyn, NY.

With that, I yield to my colleague from Virginia.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Virginia.

Mr. KAINE. Mr. President, VADM Jeffrey W. Hughes, VADM of the U.S. Navy. While serving as Deputy Chief of Staff for Capability Development, he received his commission after graduating from Duke University. He has 35 years in the Navy with 22 different duty assignments and is formerly Commander of Navy Personnel Command. He received the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit, and the

Meritorious Service Medal with one gold star.

Maj. Gen. Heath A. Collins to be a lieutenant general of the U.S. Air Force while serving as Director of the Missile Defense Agency. He is currently serving as the Program Executive for that Agency at the Redstone Arsenal in Alabama. He was nominated to lead this important Agency that is a critical research and development and acquisition Agency within the Department of Defense. He has spent 30 years in the Air Force with 23 different duty assignments. He was awarded the Legion of Merit with one oakleaf cluster, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal with two oakleaf clusters, and the Meritorious Service Medal with one oakleaf cluster.

Lt. Gen. Jeffrey A. Kruse to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Air Force while serving as the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency. He has 32 years in the Air Force with 24 different duty assignments and the Defense Superior Service Medal with three oakleaf clusters, the Legion of Merit with two oakleaf clusters, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Maj. Gen. Michael G. Koscheski to be a lieutenant general while serving as the Deputy Commander of the Air Combat Command. He has 31 years in the Air Force with 24 different duty assignments, 2,800 flying hours, including more than 650 combat hours in Syria, Iraq, and Afghanistan. He has the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit with two oakleaf clusters, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal with two oakleaf clusters.

Lt. Gen. Donna D. Shipton to be a lieutenant general of the U.S. Air Force while serving as the Commander of the Air Force Life Cycle Management Center. She has 31 years in the Air Force with 19 different duty assignments, the Distinguished Service Medal, the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit with one oakleaf cluster, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal with two oakleaf clusters.

LTG John S. Kolasheski to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Army while serving as the Deputy Commanding General of U.S. Army Europe-Africa. After Russia's invasion of Ukraine, he led V Corps efforts in support of Ukraine, deploying a corps element to Poland and subsequently establishing a permanent headquarters forward. He has 34 years of service with 24 different duty assignments. He has the Distinguished Service Medal, the Defense Superior Service Medal, five Legions of Merit, three Bronze Star Medals, four Meritorious Service Medals, the Combat Action Badge, Airborne Badge, and Ranger Tab He served three combat. tours in Iraq and two in Afghanistan. He has been forward-deployed in combat for a total of 46 months—nearly 4 years away from his family.

COL Matthew N. Gebhard to be brigadier general in the U.S. Army Reserve. He has 30 years in the military with 19 different duty assignments. He has earned the Airborne, Ranger, and Expert Infantryman Badges.

COL Katherine M. Braun to be brigadier general of the U.S. Army Reserve. She is an intelligence officer by training and has 27 years of service. She has the Meritorious Service Medal with two oakleaf clusters.

The following two nominations are for brigadier generals to the grade of major general:

BG Mary V. Krueger is currently the Commanding General of the Medical Readiness Command, East, and the Chief of the U.S. Army Medical Corps. She served in both Iraq and Afghanistan for 19 months and commanded clinics, hospitals, and medical research centers. She has three Legions of Merit, two Bronze Star Medals, four Meritorious Service Medals, a Flight Surgeon Badge, and an Expert Field Medical Badge.

BG Anthony L. McQueen is serving currently as the Commanding General of the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command. He deployed to Iraq twice for a total of 20 months. He received the Army Distinguished Service Medal, the Defense Superior Service Medal, three Legions of Merit, four Meritorious Service Medals, the Expert Field Medical Badge, Airborne Badge, and Air Assault Badge.

GEN Jack J. Stumme to be a brigadier general of the U.S. Army. He is currently Army Chaplain and is serving as the Command Chaplain for the U.S. Army Europe and Africa commands. He has deployed as a chaplain to serve the critical religious and spiritual needs of the military four separate times, including to Iraq, Kuwait, and Afghanistan, for a total of 36 months. He has received the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit, the Bronze Star Medal, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal, six Meritorious Service Medals, two Joint Service Commendation Medals, and the Airborne Badge.

COL James F. Porter to be a brigadier general in the U.S. Army Reserve. He is currently serving as the Chief of Staff for the 311th Sustainment Command in Los Angeles, CA. He has deployed three times in support of contingency operations in Cuba and Kuwait for a total of 25 months. He has the Legion of Merit, three Meritorious Service Medals, and the Airborne Badge.

BĞ Beth Salisbury to be a major general in the U.S. Army. She is a medical corps woman and is a specialist in occupational therapy. She has commanded medical companies, medical commands, and medical brigades. She has deployed four times to Qatar, Kuwait, and Iraq in support of contingency operations for a total of 43 months of forward deployment—nearly 4 years away from her family. She has the Legion of Merit, the Bronze Star Medal, five Meritorious Service Medals, and two Joint Service Commendation Medals.

Maj. Gen. Michael J. Lutton to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Air Force while serving as Deputy Commander of the Air Force Global Strike Command. He previously commanded the Air Force's only group providing initial training for the Nation's space and intercontinental ballistic missile operations. He has received the Defense Superior Service Medal, two Legions of Merit, and four Meritorious Service Medals

MG Charles D. Costanza to be a lieutenant general while serving as the Commanding General of the V "Fifth" Corps. He currently serves as the Commanding General of the 3rd Infantry Division. He has 32 years in the Marine Corps, encompassing 21 different duty assignments, including 5 in support of combat and contingency operations. He has received the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit, the Bronze Star, and other decorations.

Maj. Gen. James H. Adams III to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Marine Corps. He currently serves as the Deputy Director of Requirements and Capability Development on the J-8, Joint Staff. He has 32 years in the Marine Corps with 23 different duty assignments. His past assignments include Branch Head of Aviation Plans and Policy. From the U.S. Marine Corps, he has been awarded the Legion of Merit, the Bronze Star, and the Air Medal.

Lt. Gen. Michael A. Guetlein to be the General and Vice Chief of Space Operations of the U.S. Space Force. He currently serves as the Commander of Space Systems Command. The general has commanded and led at the flight, squadron, division, directorate, Program Executive Officer, and field command levels. He has had 32 years in the Air Force with 19 different duty assignments. He has been awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit, and the Meritorious Service Medal.

Lt. Gen. Philip A. Garrant to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Space Force and the Commander of the Space Systems Command. He has had 32 years in the Air Force with 16 different duty assignments. He has been awarded the Distinguished Service Medal, the Defense Superior Service Medal, and the Legion of Merit.

The following three officers have been nominated to the grade of major general in the U.S. Space Force:

Brig. Gen. Donald J. Cothern, who is currently serving as the Deputy Commander of the Space Systems Command in California. He has served for 30 years in the Air Force with 16 different duty assignments. He has been awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. Troy L. Endicott is currently serving as the Assistant Deputy Chief of Space Operations for Operations, Cyber, and Nuclear in the U.S. Air Force. He deployed four times during Operations Northern Watch, Iraqi Freedom, and Enduring Freedom as a

space weapons officer, and he commanded one of the Air Force's first expeditionary space units in Iraq. He has 29 years of service with 18 different duty assignments. He has been awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit, and the Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. Timothy A. Sejba currently serves as the Program Executive Officer for Space Domain Awareness for the Space Systems Command in Los Angeles. He has had 28 years of service with 17 different duty assignments. He has been awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal and the Legion of Merit.

Maj. Gen. Shawn N. Bratton to be a lieutenant general of the U.S. Space Force while also serving as Deputy Chief of Space Operations. He has 36 years in the Air Force with 21 different duty assignments, including deployment in combat and contingency operations during Operation Iraqi Freedom. He has been awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Bronze Star, and the Meritorious Service Medal.

VADM Karl O. Thomas to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy and Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Information Warfare. He has 37 years of service. He is currently serving as the Commander of the U.S. Seventh Fleet. He has been awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal and the Legion of Merit.

Lt. Gen. Michael S. Cederholm to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Marine Corps and Commanding General, I Marine Expeditionary Force. He has 34 years of service with multiple combattours. He has been a Top Gun instructor. He has been awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit, and the Bronze Star Medal.

Brig. Gen. Derin S. Durham to be a major general in the U.S. Air Force Reserve. He has 33 years of Active and Reserve service. He flew numerous combat missions supporting operations in Kosovo, Iraq, and Afghanistan. He has more than 5,150 flying hours, including 332 combat hours. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit.

Three nominations for appointments to the grade of brigadier general in the U.S. Army Reserve:

COL Brandi B. Peasley currently serves as the Chief of Staff of the 79th Theater Support Command in Los Alamitos, CA. She has 29 years of service with two combat tours. She has been awarded the Meritorious Service Medal.

COL John D. Rhodes currently serves as the Deputy Commander of the 451st Expeditionary Sustainment Command, which comprises 84 units and 8,000 soldiers. He started his career as an ROTC officer, graduating from the University of Alabama at Huntsville. He has been awarded the Bronze Star Medal and Meritorious Service Medal.

COL Earl C. Sparks IV currently serves as Commander for the 77th Quartermaster Group in El Paso, TX. He has 34 years of service.

BG William Green, Jr., to be a major general in the U.S. Army and the Chief

of Chaplains for the U.S. Army. Chaplain Green serves currently as the Deputy Chief of Chaplains in the Office of the Chief of Chaplains for the U.S. Army. He has had 29 years of service and multiple deployments in support of combat or contingency operations. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit and the Bronze Star Medal.

MG John W. Brennan to be a lieutenant general and Deputy Commander of United States Africa Command. He currently serves as Director of Operations, J-3, U.S. Special Operations Command, MacDill Air Force Base, Florida. He has had numerous combat deployments and has been awarded the Distinguished Service Medal, the Defense Superior Service Medal for Combat Service, the Legion of Merit, five Bronze Stars, and two Bronze Stars for Valor. He has earned the Master Parachutist Badge, the Military Free Fall Parachutist Badge, the Air Assault Badge, the Scuba Diver Badge, the Special Operations Diver Badge, a Ranger Tab and a Special Forces Tab

MG Mark T. Simerly to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Army and the Director of the Defense Logistics Agency. He has had 39 years of service and multiple combat tours, over 30 months deployed away from his family in those operations. He has been awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal and the Legion of Merit.

Maj. Gen. Ryan P. Heritage to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Marine Corps and Deputy Commandant for Information, Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps. He has 33 years of service and numerous tours in support of combat and contingency operations. He has been awarded the Defense Superior Service Medal, the Legion of Merit, and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

VADM Craig A. Clapperton to be a vice admiral in the U.S. Navy and the Commander of Fleet Cyber Command. He has 34 years of service. He has been the Commander of the Combined Joint Task Force, CYBER, Tenth Fleet, the Commander of the Carrier Strike Group, Twelfth Fleet. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit with two gold stars and the Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Four officers to the grade of rear admiral in the U.S. Navy:

CAPT Thomas James Dickinson has 28 years of service and has been awarded the Legion of Merit and the Meritorious Service Medal.

CAPT Kevin Ray Smith has 29 years of service and has been awarded the Legion of Merit with one gold star and the Meritorious Service Medal.

CAPT Todd Sinclair Weeks has 30 years of service and has been awarded the Legion of Merit and the Meritorious Service Medal.

CAPT Dianna Wolfson has 27 years of service and has been awarded the Legion of Merit and the Meritorious Service Medal.

The following officers are for appointment to the grade of major general in the U.S. Air Force:

Brig. Gen. Curtis Bass, currently serves as Vice Commander of the U.S. Airforce Warfare Center at Nellis Air Force Base; 28 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Bronze Star Medal.

Brig. Gen. Kenyon Bell, 28 years of service; Legion of Merit, Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. Charles D. Bolton, a master navigator with more than 2,800 hours, a distinguished graduate of the U.S. Air Force Weapons School; 29 years of service; Legion of Merit, Bronze Star Medal.

Brig. Gen. Larry Broadwell received his commission in March 1996 from the Officer Training School at Maxwell Air Force Base in Alabama; 2,600 flying hours, 76 combat hours, 27 years of service; Legion of Merit, Bronze Star Medal.

Brig. Gen. Scott Cain, 2,800 hours of flying time, 28 years of service; Legion of Merit, Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. Sean Choquette, 30 years of service, multiple deployments to support combat contingency operations; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit, Bronze Star Medal.

Brig. Gen. Roy W. Collins, 28 years of service; Legion of Merit, Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. John R. Edwards, currently serves as the Director of Strategic Capabilities at the NSC at the White House; 28 years of service, 2,500 flight hours, including 237 combat hours; Defense Superior Service Medal and Legion of Merit.

Brig. Gen. Jason Hinds, 27 years of service, commander of the 1st Fighter Wing, Joint Base Langley in Virginia; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit.

Brig. Gen. Justin R. Hoffman, 28 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal and Bronze Star.

Brig. Gen. Stacy Jo Huser, 27 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit.

Brig. Gen. Matteo G. Martemucci, 29 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit, Bronze Star; currently serves as the Director of Intelligence at U.S. Cyber Command.

Brig. Gen. David Mineau, 29 years of service; Legion of Merit, Defense Meritorious Service Medal, Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. Paul D. Moga currently serves as the Commandant of Cadets at the U.S. Air Force Academy in Colorado Springs; 2,600 flying hours, including more than 250 combat hours; 28 years of service; Legion of Merit; Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. Ty W. Neuman also serves at the White House with the National Security Council; 3,188 flight hours, including 294 combat hours; 28 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit.

Brig. Gen. Christopher Niemi, rated command pilot with 3,100 flight hours,

30 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit.

Brig. Gen. Brandon D. Parker, command pilot with more than 2,800 hours in bomber aircraft, 380 of those in combat, 27 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit, Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. Michael T. Rawls served as Commandant of the Air War College at Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama, command pilot, accumulated more than 2,100 hours in 30 different aircraft; 31 years of service; Legion of Merit, Bronze Star Medal.

Brig. Gen. Patrick S. Ryder, 31 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. David G. Shoemaker, command pilot with more than 2,000 pilot hours, flown at Operations Provide Comfort, Northern Watch, Southern Watch, Iraqi Freedom, Enduring Freedom, logging more than 100 combat sorties in an F-16; 29 years of service; Legion of Merit, Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. Rebecca J. Sonkiss currently serves as the Commander of the 618th Air Operations Center at Scott Air Force Base; 4,400 hours, including 1,377 combat hours in nine different Air Force manned and remotely piloted aircraft; 29 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit, Bronze Star.

Brig. Gen. Claude K. Tudor, Jr., commissioned through the ROTC Program at Troy State University in Alabama, 31 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit.

Brig. Gen. Dale R. White, 26 years of service: Legion of Merit.

Maj. Gen. David Hodne to be Lieutenant General in United States and the Deputy Commanding General Futures Concepts at U.S. Army Futures Command; 31 years of service; 11 tours in support of combat and contingency operations; Purple Heart, Defense Superior Service Medal, 4 Legions of Merit, 4 Bronze Star Medals.

Brian R. Moore to be Brigadier General in the U.S. Air Force, currently serves as the Director of Staff at Wright Patterson Air Force Base; 27 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit, Meritorious Service Medal with three oakleaf clusters.

VADM Daniel Dwyer to be Vice Admiral in the U.S. Navy, Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for warfighting development; 35 years of service; commanded a Provincial Reconstruction Team in Afghanistan in 2008; Legion of Merit, Bronze Star.

RDML Darin K. Via to be Surgeon General of the Navy; 32 years of service, commander of Naval Medical Force Atlantic, command surgeon in U.S. Central Command; Legion of Merit, Defense Meritorious Service Medal, Meritorious Service Medal.

Lt. Gen. Scott Pleus to be lieutenant general in the Air Force and Director of the Air Force Staff; 33 years of service, command pilot with more than 2,500 flying hours, combat hours earned during Operations Desert Fox and Southern Watch; Distinguished Service Medal, Legion of Merit, Defense Meritorious Service Medal.

Brig. Gen. Dale White to be lieutenant general in the U.S. Air Force and work at the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics; 26 years of service; Legion of Merit, Meritorious Service Medal.

Finally, Maj. Gen. David Harris to be lieutenant general in the Air Force and Deputy Chief of Staff Air Force Futures Headquarters; 29 years of service; 2.500 flying hours, having flown in support of Operations Deliberate Force, Allied Force, Enduring Freedom, Iraqi Freedom, Combined Joint Task Force-Horn of Africa, and Inherent Resolve; a master navigator and parachutist, receiving his commission following his graduation from the University of Alabama; 29 years of service; Distinguished Service Medal, Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit, Distinguished Flying Cross with valor device, Bronze Star Medal.

I yield the floor.

Mr. REED. Let me continue this role of honor to be promoted to brigadier general:

COL Shannon M. Lucas, 28 years of service, including Deputy Commander of the U.S. Army Criminal Division at Quantico, VA; the Legion of Merit and the Bronze Star.

COL Landis C. Maddox, currently serving as Commander Joint Munitions and Lethality, Life Cycle Management Command. He was the executive officer of the Commanding General of the U.S. Army Material Command, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama; Bronze Star Medal.

COL Kareem P. Montague, currently serving as Deputy Commander 4th Infantry Division, Fort Carson, CO; 28 years of service. He commanded the 1st Battalion, 321st Airborne Field Artillery Regiment, 18th Fires Brigade, 82nd Airborne Division; Legion of Merit, Bronze Star.

COL John P. Mountford, currently serving as Deputy Commander, Maneuver, 1st Infantry Division, Fort Riley, KS, and Operation ATLANTIC RESOLVE in Poland; 28 years of service. He was awarded the Bronze Star.

Colonel Davis C. Phillips, currently serving as Program Manager of Future Long Range Assault Aircraft Program Executive Officer Aviation in the Redstone Arsenal in Alabama; 28 years of service; Defense Superior Service Medal and Bronze Star.

COL Kenneth N. Reed, currently serving as Commander, Southwestern Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Dallas, TX; awarded Legion of Merit and Bronze Star.

COL John W. Sannes, currently serving as Deputy Chief of Staff, Combined Joint Task Force—Operation Inherent Resolve, Operation INHERENT RESOLVE in Iraq. He was the Commander of Special Operations Task Force in Afghanistan and OPERATION FREE-

DOM'S SENTINEL; Defense Superior Service Medal and the Legion of Merit.

COL Andrew O. Saslav, currently serving as Deputy Commander Operations, 82nd Airborne Division. He was the Commander 1st Brigade Combat Team, 82nd Airborne Division during OPERATION SPARTAN SHIELD in Kuwait; Legion of Merit and Bronze Star.

COL Charlone E. Stallworth, currently serving as Special Assistant for General/Flag Officer Matters, Joint Staff, Washington, DC; 29 years of service.

COL Jennifer S. Walkwawicz, currently serving as Director, Officer Personnel Management Director, U.S. Army Resources Command, Fort Knox, KY; Legion of Merit and Bronze Starholder.

COL Camilla A. White, currently serving as Chief of Staff, Office of Assistant Secretary of the Army; 29 years of service. She was Chief of Staff, Rapid Capabilities & Critical Technologies Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army, Acquisition, Logistics and Technology, at the Redstone Arsenal in Alabama; also Program Manager for Terminal High Altitude Area Defense, Missile Defense Agency, Ground-based Midcourse Defense, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama.

COL Scott D. Wilkinson, currently serving as Deputy Commander, Support, 101st Airborne Division, Air Assault, and Operation EUROPEAN ASSURE, DETER, AND REINFORCE in Poland; 29 years of service; Legion of Merit, and Bronze Star Medal.

COL Jeremy S. Wilson, currently serving as Deputy Commander Support, 3rd Infantry Division, Fort Stewart, GA; multiple combat deployments; holder of the Legion of Merit and the Bronze Star Medal.

COL Scott C. Woodward, currently serving as Deputy Commander, U.S. Army Combined Armed Center, Fort Leavenworth, KS; 29 years of service, Operation INHERENT RESOLVE in Iraq, multiple combat deployments; Bronze Star Medal for Valor and the Legion of Merit.

COL Joseph W. Wortham, II, currently serving as Deputy Commander 1st Special Forces Command, Airborne, Fort Liberty, NC; 27 years of service. He was the Commander of 5th Special Forces Group, Airborne, U.S. Army, during OPERATION INHERENT RESOLVE in Iraq.

COL David J. Zinn, currently serving as Commander of 3d Multi-Domain Task Force, U.S. Army Pacific, Schofield Barracks, HI. He was the Commander of the 2nd Infantry Brigade Combat Team, 4th Infantry Division, during Operation ENDURING FREEDOM; Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit, Bronze Star Medal.

The President has also nominated Maj. Gen. David R. Iverson to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Air Force and Deputy Commander, U.S. Forces Korea; Commander, Combined Air

Component Command, United Nations Command; and Commander, Combined Air Component Command, Combined Forces Command; and Commander of the Seventh Air Force Pacific Air Forces

Major General Iverson is a rated Command Pilot with 5,400 flying hours, including 1,500 combat hours. He is the holder of the Defense Distinguished Service Medal, the Air Force Distinguished Service Medal, and other awards.

The President has nominated Lt. Gen. Kevin B. Schneider to be a general in the U.S. Air Force and Commander of Pacific Air Forces and Air Component Command for the U.S. Indo-Pacific Command; 35 years of service; awards include the Defense Distinguished Service Medal, Air Force Distinguished Service Medal, and the Defense Superior Service Medal.

The President has nominated Maj. Gen. Laura L. Lenderman to be a lieutenant general of the U.S. Air Force and Deputy Commander, Pacific Air Forces; 29 years of service; a rated Command Pilot with more than 3,000 flight hours; and a recipient of the Distinguished Service Medal and other awards.

The President had nominated Maj. Gen. Thomas L. James to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Army while serving as Deputy Commander, U.S. Space Command. He holds advanced degrees in airpower art and science and military operational art and science from Air University, international relations from Auburn University Montgomery in Montgomery, AL, and strategic studies in the Air War College at Maxwell Air Force base in Alabama.

He serves in the aviation branch of the Army and has lived and served in Alabama multiple times, both at Fort Rucker and Maxwell Air Force Base. He deployed to Afghanistan, Iraq, and Qatar in support of contingency operations for a total of 24 months.

The President has nominated MG Leonard F. Anderson IV to be a lieutenant general in the U.S. Marine Corps while serving as Commander, Marine Forces Reserves, Commander, Marine Forces South.

Major General Anderson is nominated to serve as the Marine Corps' senior most Reserve officer. He would command and control assigned forces in order to assist and augment the Active Component with trained units and individual marines.

He has attended the TOPGUN Strike Fighter Tactics Instructor course. He has been awarded the Legion of Merit and other awards.

The President has nominated Lt. Gen. Timothy D. Haugh to the rank of general in the Air Force while serving as Director, National Security Agency/Chief, Central Security Service/Commander, and Commander, U.S. Cyber Command

The National Security Agency/Central Security Service leads the U.S.

Government in cryptology that encompasses both signals intelligence, insights, and cyber security products and services and enables computer network operations to gain a decisive advantage for the Nation and our allies.

Lieutenant General Haugh will be dual-hatted as Director, NSA, and Commander, CYBERCOM.

At this point, unless General Haugh is rapidly confirmed, we will have a gap at one of the most important organizations in the United States: Cyber Command and the National Security Agency.

The President has nominated LTG James J. Mingus to be a general in the U.S. Army while serving as the Vice Chief of Staff of the Army.

He earned his commission in 1985 after graduating from the Army ROTC Program at Winona State University, where he earned his bachelor's degree.

He commanded the 82nd Airborne Division and has deployed multiple times to Iraq and Afghanistan in combat roles, for a total of 38 months of contingency operations away from his family.

He is the recipient of the Purple Heart.

The President has nominated GEN Randy A. George to the rank of general while serving as the Chief of Staff of the U.S. Army.

General George has commanded at the platoon, company, battalion, brigade, division, and corps levels. He has served in combat in Operation Desert Storm, Operation Iraqi Freedom, and Operation Freedom's Sentinel. He has served a total of 57 months deployed in contingency operations away from his family.

He is the recipient of a Purple Heart. The President has nominated Gen. Eric M. Smith, U.S. Marine Corps, to be General and Commandant of the Marine Corps. General Smith would be the 39th Commandant of the Marine Corps. He is the first Acting Commandant in over 110 years.

He has led marines at every level, from platoon commander to Marine Expeditionary Force Commander.

He is also the recipient of the Purple Heart.

The President has nominated Gen. Charles Q. Brown to the rank of general while serving as Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. General Brown currently serves as the Chief of Staff of the U.S. Air Force. He is a career F-16 pilot, has flown more than 3,000 hours, including more than 130 combat flight hours.

His awards include two Defense Distinguished Service Medals, the Distinguished Service Medal, the Defense Superior Service Medal, four Legions of Merit, the Bronze Star Medal, the Defense Meritorious Service Medal, three Meritorious Service Medals, the Aerial Achievement Medal, and the Joint Service Commendation Medal.

Mr. President, those are the nominees before us. I think what we have demonstrated tonight is the range of

assignments and organizations that are affected by these holds, spanning every service, every theater of operations, every sector of operations—from space to cyber, to submarines.

This is an undermining of our military readiness which is unseen before. These individuals deserve promotion. When the Presiding Officer heard Senator Kaine and I talk about their qualifications, there is no doubt they deserve promotion. And the men and women who serve beneath them—who will serve beneath them—deserve their leadership, which has been tested over time and in many cases—many cases—through combat. They should not be political pawns.

Now, before Senator Tuberville, we would be talking about our nominees. They are not nominees; they are hostages.

We can't tolerate that. That is a disservice to these men and women, to our Armed Forces, to the men and women they lead. We have to do our duty.

And as Senator Kaine said, there are appropriate ways to deal with policy decisions you don't like. You can take a vote. You can't hold all of these men and women, disrupt their family lives, send a signal to the military that: So what—29 years of service, a couple Purple Hearts; I don't care.

I would hope that Senator TUBERVILLE would immediately lift these holds. And we can't do it in a piecemeal fashion. The depth, the range of the responsibilities we have talked about this evening can't be cured by: Oh, we will confirm the Commandant.

We can't leave anyone behind. And if this precedent continues and is established, it will be used again and again and again, to the detriment of the Nation.

This is the time for us to stand up—stand up for what we always say about our devotion to the military, our respect for the military; that they shouldn't be demeaned; they shouldn't be used as political tokens. It is time to stop the speeches on the Fourth of July and fill them unanimously, as we typically do, by voice on these matters.

MORNING BUSINESS

(At the request of Mr. Schumer, the following statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD.)

VOTE EXPLANATION

• Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I was necessarily absent for rollcall vote No. 195, adoption of the Lee amendment to limit the availability of funds for the support of Ukraine (376). Had I been present for the vote, I would have voted nay

I was necessarily absent for rollcall vote No. 196, adoption of the Cornyn amendment to provide for an investment screening mechanism relating to covered sectors (931). Had I been present for the vote, I would have voted yea.

I was necessarily absent for rollcall vote No. 197, adoption of the Rounds amendment to amend the Defense Production Act of 1950 to include the Secretary of Agriculture on the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States and require review of certain agricultural transactions (No. 813). Had I been present for the vote, I would have voted yea.

The Rounds-Tester amendment adds the Secretary of Agriculture to the Committee on Foreign Investment. If the committee determines that investments by foreign adversaries into agricultural real estate or a U.S. business engaged in agriculture or biotech would result in control by the foreign adversary, it requires the President to prohibit the transaction. I support this amendment's efforts to protect critical agricultural assets, but I am concerned that the amendment as drafted merits additional review to avoid unintended risks of discrimination based on national origin or citizenship. While I will support this amendment and its objectives, Senators Rounds and Tester understand my concern and have agreed to continue working with me and our colleagues to resolve these concerns before Congress sends a final bill to the President.

(At the request of Mr. Schumer, the following statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD.)

REMEMBERING JAMES S. CROWN

• Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I recently traveled to Vilnius, Lithuania, for this year's NATO Summit. It was a fitting location; Lithuania has had an extraordinary journey breaking free from the tyranny of the Soviet Union and becoming a thriving democracy. The visit also had a deep significance for me personally. One hundred and twelve years ago, my mother came to the United States from Lithuania. Although she never saw her homeland again, she always carried it in her heart.

Just a few decades before my mother arrived in the United States, a Lithuanian Jewish couple, Ida and Arie Krinsky, immigrated to the United States. They settled in Chicago, where Arie toiled in a sweatshop to make a living and support his wife and seven children. Eventually, the Krinsky family changed their surname to Crown. Three of the Crown sons, Henry and his brothers Irving and Sol, established a sand and gravel company, the Material Service Corporation, that would grow into a business empire before merging with General Dynamics.

When Henry Crown passed away in 1990, his obituary in the New York Times referred to him as "the billionaire whose life exemplified the Horatio Alger rags-to-riches story of American industrialists." It is a legacy that has been preserved and expanded by his children. To this day, the Crown family

is widely known for its socially conscious investment and philanthropic efforts, and as a pillar of the Jewish community from Chicago to Israel.

Tragically, late last month, James "Jim" Crown, Henry's grandson, passed away in a car accident on his 70th birthday. Born to Renee and Lester Crown, Jim went to high school in Winnetka, attended Hampshire College in Amherst, MA, for his undergraduate studies, and graduated from Stanford Law School. He began his career at Salomon Brothers, eventually becoming vice president of the Capital Markets Service Group. It was during his time on Wall Street that Jim met and fell in love with Paula Hannaway, an investment banker. In 1985, the two married and returned to Chicago to join the family business.

Jim was chair and CEO of Henry Crown and Company, a privately held company dealing in securities, real estate, and other investments. He also was a member of the board of JPMorgan Chase & Co. Jim was one of Chicago's most prominent philanthropists and a longtime member of the board of trustees for the University of Chicago, serving as chair from 2003

to 2009.

In early 2003, Jim had breakfast with a young Illinois State senator who was gearing up to make a run for the U.S. Senate. Some might have seen an inexperienced newcomer with almost no chance of success. But Jim saw what others did not. In Jim's words, "I was just taken with his sensibility, his intelligence, his values, and how he conducted himself during that campaign." That young State senator, Barack Obama, would not only win that seat but go on to become our Nation's first Black President. And Jim was one of his earliest supporters.

Jim represented the best of finance and business; he knew that success went well beyond profits and bull markets. He understood the importance of giving back. In 2021, Jim and Paula made history with a \$75 million donation to the University of Chicago's School of Social Work, reportedly the largest ever private donation to a school of social work. Today, the school has been renamed the Crown Family School of Social Work, Policy, and Practice. Jim's father Lester Crown said, "[Jim] was the leader of our family both intellectually and emotionally, and he looked out for everybody." I would add that "everybody" stretched far beyond Jim's own family.

And just before his passing, as the head of the Civic Committee and Commercial Club of Chicago, Jim was working to convene Chicago business leaders to focus on ways to address violent crime, to make Chicago "the Safest Big City in America." The strategy centered on investing in the communities most impacted by violent crime, expanding community violence intervention programs, and committing to expand economic opportunity,

all with the goal of breaking the cycle of trauma and ending generational poverty. While Jim may be gone, I hope his work will be continued to make this a reality.

Jim is survived by his loving wife of 38 years, Paula; their children, Torie, Hayley, Summer, and W. Andrew; and two grandchildren; as well as his parents Renee and Lester; four sisters Patricia, Susan, Sara, and Janet; two brothers Steve and Daniel. To the entire Crown family and all of those who knew and loved Jim, Loretta and I send our deepest condolences.

Thank you, Jim, for all that you did for the city of Chicago; it will not be the same without you.●

(At the request of Mr. Schumer, the following statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD.)

TRIBUTE TO SHARON COHEN

• Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I would like to thank someone who is critical to the functioning of the U.S. Senate—and who has been instrumental in the lives of many of us Senators, staff, and the entire Senate community for more than two decades.

At the end of this week, Sharon Cohen will be retiring after many years of service in the Senate Dining Room. The Senate Dining Room will be a very different place without her, and we will all miss her.

Sharon is wonderful—kind, caring, and dedicated. Since joining the Senate Dining Room, she has won over everyone she has met with her warmth, patience, and dedication—both to her work and to anyone with whom she interacts.

We have all had people who touched our lives, providing guidance during difficult times, or even just a friendly face on long days. Sharon provided all of that—and more—to Senators, staff, and the colleagues who worked beside her every single day.

Throughout her many years of service, Sharon has worked just as hard as anyone else in the Senate—in fact, she has probably worked harder. She never missed a day of work, never showed up late, and never called out sick. Her colleagues always trusted that they could rely on her. It is one of Sharon's many qualities that will be missed in the Senate.

And she was willing to go above and beyond to support fellow workers, both new and old, and lend a hand no matter the task. While her work may not have made headline news every day, Sharon is one of the many unsung heroes that make the Senate run.

Soon, Sharon will be enjoying her well-earned retirement, but true to form, she will stay busy. In fact, she has already started her next role: grandmother to a beautiful new grandchild. She will spend her retirement caring for Trent, or as she refers to him, "her little man," alongside her daughter Angie.

I know I am not alone when I say I will miss Sharon's cheerful presence in

the Senate Dining Room. We all are grateful for her many years of hard work and dedication to this body and our country. We wish her all the best as she embarks on a new adventure.

(At the request of Mr. Schumer, the following statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD.)

RECOGNIZING CHEAP TRICK

• Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, for most Americans, April 1 is April Fools' Day, a day of pranks, misleading headlines, deception, and trickery. While that also is true for Illinoisans, in 2007, the Illinois General Assembly gave Illinoisans something else to celebrate: Cheap Trick Day, in honor of the rock and roll band.

For nearly 50 years, Cheap Trick has been part of the American soundtrack, lighting up car radios on cross-country drives, blasting through speakers at weddings, and now playing in the next generation's wireless headphones. Through it all, Cheap Trick has kept their listeners humming along to popular tunes like "Surrender" and "The Flame."

But before they were rock and roll legends, they were just a band from Rockford, IL. In 1973, guitarist Rick Nielsen, bassist Tom Petersson, original vocalist Randy Hogan, and drummer Bun E. Carlos came together to form Cheap Trick. The band came up with their name after attending a Slade concert. Following the English rock band's show, Tom remarked that Slade used "every cheap trick in the book" during their set. The name stuck, and the group would go on to become a regular on the Billboard charts.

In 1974, Robin Zander joined the band, replacing Hogan as lead vocalist. While they started out playing shows across the Midwest—Illinois, Wisconsin, Michigan, and Iowa—they would go on to tour the world, opening for the likes of Kiss, Kansas, and Queen. But it was a six-date tour of Japan in the spring of 1978 that changed everything.

Cheap Trick put on the performance of a lifetime before raucous crowds at the Nippon Budokan in Tokyo, Japan. They released the performances as a live album, "Cheap Trick at Budokan," which went triple-platinum, selling more than 3 million certified units. The album included the smash hit "I Want You to Want Me," which decades later remains a mainstay on classic rock radio stations across the country. In 2020, the National Recording Registry at the Library of Congress selected "Cheap Trick at Budokan" one of just 25 recordings to join the National Archives for that year.

And in 2016, Cheap Trick was inducted into the Rock and Roll Hall of Fame, receiving rock and roll's highest honor and taking their place next to their own musical idols, The Beatles. In addition to leaving behind an impressive discography, the band also has helped shape the sound of the next generation of great bands. Groups like

Pearl Jam, Guns N' Roses, Nirvana, and Green Day, all have credited Cheap Trick as an influence.

Cheap Trick has since become a true family business with Daxx, Rick's son, on the drums and Robin Taylor, Robin's son, floating from guitar, bass, and background vocals. The band is still on the road, playing for crowds from all generations looking to enjoy the nostalgic rock sounds of the 80s. As of this year, Cheap Trick has performed more than 5,000 concerts and sold more than 20 million albums.

Aside from April 1, soon, the band will have another date to celebrate. August 15 will mark 50 years of Cheap Trick. No matter how many sold out shows, world tours, or records sold, Cheap Trick will always be a band that got its start in Rockford, IL. I congratulate Cheap Trick on a half century of hits.

SALAH EL DEEN SOLTAN

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. President, I rise today to speak of the importance of Holocaust education and engagement that can help us push back against the rising tide of anti-Semitism we are seeing nowadays, in our country and across the world.

As the Special Representative on Anti-Semitism, Racism and Intolerance for the Parliamentary Assembly of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe, as many of my colleagues know, I have made it a personal priority to address the rise of anti-Semitism. As anti-Semitism is increasing at home and abroad, it is important to come together to address anti-Semitism, and call out the hate when we see or hear it before it becomes more ingrained in our society.

At the same time, we should celebrate those occasions when a person with a history of making anti-Semitic statements sees the light and realizes the error of his ways, renounces his past statements, and vows never to repeat them. Happily, we have an example of just such a case that I would like to bring to the attention of Senate and the American people.

I would like to submit into the Congressional Record a noteworthy letter from Salah el Deen Soltan, a U.S. person, who wrote last month to his newest grandson, to be shared with other grandchildren, most of whom he hasn't met after a decade in wrongful detention in Egypt.

As Human Rights Watch stated in a report published on May 3, 2023, calling for Soltan to be released from his unjust imprisonment in one of Cairo's most notorious jails:

Before moving to the United States, Soltan was a professor of Islamic Law at Cairo University. He later founded and served as the president of the Islamic American University in Dearborn, Michigan from 1999 to 2004. As a legal US permanent resident, Soltan lived and worked in the US for over a decade before his arrest in Egypt in September 2013 for opposing the military's ousting of elected president Mohamed Morsi. A court sentenced

Soltan to life in prison in September 2017 in a mass trial marred by extensive due process and fair trial violations. The United Nations Working Group on Arbitrary Detention determined in 2018 that his arrest was arbitrary, as the authorities failed to provide credible evidence of wrongdoing, and that his prosecution violated the right to political participation and freedoms of peaceful assembly and expression.

In the coming weeks, Soltan will have served a full decade in Egyptian jails. During this time, he had time to reflect on his personal history of making crude and cruel anti-Semitic statements to his students and followers over the years. So he wrote a letter, that has been smuggled out of prison and delivered to his family.

In this letter, Soltan addresses his previously held anti-Semitic positions and remarks, apologizes for them and disavows them. In solitary confinement, Soltan reflects on his past, corrects the record for his grandson, and lays out how would like to be remembered in case he never gets the opportunity to meet his grandchildren.

As he writes:

My previous statements and stances are wrong and the best of us are those who reflect, hold oneself accountable and repent. Here I am, reflecting and seeking forgiveness from God for the harm that may have been inflicted upon anyone. I apologize to everyone harmed by what I said and called for I leave behind these prison walls all forms of anger, hate and coarseness. I bear the burden of upholding the sanctity of human life. speaking truth and defending it wherever it may be. I had only intended to stand up for justice but what I did resulted in the exact opposite of the intent; and became a reason further oppression, suffering and marginalization of the innocent. In fact, my oppressors used my decade-old stances to justify and fend off pressure from concerned western parties about my release.

It is never too late for remorse and redemption.

In 2020, we saw several Muslim-majority Middle Eastern governments normalize diplomatic relations with Israel with the historic announcement of the Abraham Accords. And in the years since, there has been a real thawing of the hostility toward the Jewish state in some of the neighboring countries. Overcoming decades of official hostility toward the government and people of Israel, broadcast through official media outlets, and often imbued with blatant anti-Semitism, will take time. But a journey begins with a single step. And the reconciliation of the peoples of the region begins with one person.

Together, we can choose peace and forgiveness, rather than be prisoners of past differences. In that spirit and consistent with the Jewish tradition of Teshuva, in which people can see the error of their ways and vow never to repeat that which has offended the Creator, I welcome and embrace Salah Soltan's change of heart. Especially given his difficult circumstances, I find it refreshing and notable that he has taken the time and the trouble to send a heartfelt message to his grand-children. He has accepted responsi-

bility for his previous hateful words and is seeking forgiveness from those harmed by it.

This September, Soltan will have been imprisoned for a decade in Egyptian prisons where human rights organizations have estimated there to be over 60,000 political prisoners. Last May, more than 50 human rights organizations released a joint statement noting that Soltan is at serious risk of death due to deteriorating health conditions.

In recent weeks, Egypt has started to correct course with the release of two high-profile detainees. I urge President Sisi to extend his Presidential pardon to Soltan, so that he may leave Egypt and be reunited with his family.

I ask unanimous consent that the complete text of the letter written by Salah el Deen Soltan to his grand-children be printed in full at this point in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

MY DEAREST BELOVED GRANDSON, SALAH BINYAMEEN: Ever since I learned of your birth and that you will bear my name, I have been praying for you and constantly thinking about you. I fervently pray to God Almighty that you become a positive force in our community and the world at large. It is no secret that you were born during one of the most challenging periods of my imprisonment, yet news of your birth brought me immense solace and joy. Your coming is a reminder of the time that has passed, my fifth grandson, the fourth of whom I have not met or spent time with because of my decadelong wrongful imprisonment in solitary confinement. The passing years have been arduous, and I feel as though time slips away from me without anyone to share my happiness or alleviate my solitude.

Dearest grandson, Salah, enduring a decade of imprisonment and torture, I found solace only in God. The darkness of my solitude has revealed many certainties and has granted me clarity about my past with all of its good and bad, particularly as I witness death so frequently around me. I feel as if I stared death in the eyes while lying on the ground, paralyzed and denied help and medicine for days. During those helpless moments, all I could do was ponder: Will I ever have the opportunity to see you? What will you come to know of me? If you never meet me, who will be your source of information about me? So, I've decided to write you a series of letters, this being the first, so that you may come to know me as I am. I want you to understand who I am, what my values are, and what I stand for.

My dearest Salah, I have always believed, and will continue to believe, that justice is the bedrock of faith. Freedom and justice are the imperatives of our religious beliefs. I have always prayed for divine guidance towards truth, and for inspiration to stand up for the distressed and most marginalized. In the depths of my suffering, I question whether I have consistently lived up to those ideals. I am grateful to God for the guidance on the things I got right and for forgiveness on those that I got wrong. Allah Himself swore by the sanctity of the questioning soul: "And I swear by the reproaching soul" (Quran 75:2). None of us is immune, not even from the gravest of errors, and repentance is a virtue of a sound heart.

The first of these revisions occurred in the immediate aftermath of the 2013 coup and

the gruesome Rabaa Massacre. I penned an Op-Ed to the Egyptian people apologizing for the Islamist Political movements' political mistakes. My decade in solitude that followed compelled me to delve further inwards, to think and rethink. When your father and I shared a prison cell, I engaged in deep contemplations and introspections. Those were both bitter and sweet days, I miss him so much. We engaged in endless debates as I contemplated the meaning of justice, injustice, and advocating for the most disenfranchised. I pondered anger, violence, righteousness, the common good, and reform. I held myself accountable, questioning whether I adhered to my intellectual commitments for the benefit of all or only for certain groups. I reflected on my intellectual journey from Egypt to the United States, Bahrain, and beyond. I have learned and grown and want to acknowledge my regrets and mistakes, as acknowledging what is right and wrong is the beginning of wisdom.

The Palestinian cause shaped my generation's worldview and awakened my political consciousness and activism. It laid the foundations for my understanding of justice, starting from my elementary school days until I obtained my Ph.D. in Islamic jurisprudence. For many years, I allowed my anger to inform my reactions to the senseless bloodshed, and the desecration of sacred sites and to drive my approach to the Palestinian issue privately and publicly. I focused on the losses and struggles of the Palestinian people and their powerlessness and while then as now, many more Palestinians have been injured and killed. My impassioned defense of the oppressed in the Muslim world in those days relied on the common rhetoric that was fueled by anger which turned to hate. As the death toll mounted, my statements sometimes veered toward antisemitism. In doing so, I displayed a blind rage that contradicted the fundamental principles of our beautiful religion. We are a religion of tolerance and compassion toward all religions and such rhetoric has no place in our community or our pursuit of justice. I deeply regret times when I engaged in that kind of rhetoric that I shudder to recall and condemn all rhetoric that is discriminatory. hateful and violent. The ends can never justify the means and noble objectives can only be attained through noble methods. Let me be clear, my commitment to justice for the Palestinian people remains steadfast, as is my belief that the many paths towards justice and peace do not require demonization of the other. Salah, justice and solidarity must extend to those with whom we disagree. In fact, our true commitment to these ideals is measured by how we apply them to those who differ from us.

Look at me now, Salah; I find myself in a country with a Muslim ruler, where the judge, warden, officer, and guards who wrongfully imprison, torture and deny me basic medical needs are all Muslim. While those who stand up for me (and others) are individuals who share little in common with me, except for our shared belief in justice and freedom. I recall how Eric Lewis, a Jewish lawyer and now a dear friend of the family, was the sole international lawyer permitted to visit a political prisoner in Egyptian prisons. I remember how Andrea Prasow, a Jewish human rights lawyer, assumed your father's position as the Executive Director of a rights organization advocating on behalf of Arab political prisoners. Senators Patrick Leahy (liberal Christian), and the late John McCain (Conservative Christian) also come to mind. These individuals, spanning the political spectrum, have dedicated their professional careers to advocating for the oppressed despite their respective political and ideological differences. All of these contradictions and ironies have compelled me to see the error in some of my previous beliefs, statements and positions.

My previous statements and stances are wrong and the best of us are those who reflect, hold oneself accountable and repent. Here I am, reflecting and seeking forgiveness from God for the harm that may have been inflicted upon anyone. I apologize to everyone harmed by what I said and called for. I leave behind these prison walls all forms of anger, hate and coarseness. I bear the burden of upholding the sanctity of human life, speaking truth and defending it wherever it may be.

I had only intended to stand up for justice, but what I did resulted in the exact opposite of the intent; and became a reason for further oppression, suffering and marginalization of the innocent. In fact, my oppressors used my decade-old stances to justify and fend off pressure from concerned western parties about my release.

Lastly, my dearest grandson, I am writing to you in pursuit of a world that leads with love and eschews hatred. Life is far too short and precious to allow it to be dominated by anger. I urge you to set your moral compass towards justice and truth. Defend those with every peaceful means at your disposal. I hope you grow up to build a world where tolerance, peace and coexistence despite differences is the norm. My beloved, I pray that you grow up knowing and being proud of your grandfather and everything he stood for. I love you, and I long for the opportunity to meet you, whether it is in this life or in the corridors of Paradise in the one after. Oh God, please make me better than they think. and forgive me for what they do not know.

Your loving grandfather, SALAH EL DEEN SOLTAN,

16/6/2023, 27/11/1444.

HONORING OFFICER JACOB J. CHESTNUT AND DETECTIVE JOHN M. GIBSON

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Mr. President, I rise to honor the 25th anniversary of the tragic passing of two fallen Capitol Police officers, Officer Jacob J. Chestnut, Jr., and Detective John M. Gibson, who were killed while bravely defending the Capitol on July 24, 1998.

In the afternoon of July 24, 1998, a lone gunman forced his way past a security checkpoint, fatally shot Officer Chestnut, and ran toward the offices of Majority Whip Tom DeLay. Detective Gibson, a member of Representative DeLay's protective team, told others to hide and find cover while he stood in defense until he was mortally wounded himself. Office Chestnut and Detective Gibson made the ultimate sacrifice to protect the lives of others in the Capitol that day.

Officer Jacob Joseph Chestnut, Jr., was a 20-year Air Force veteran, having served two tours in Vietnam and retired as a master sergeant. He has the distinction of being the first African-American to lie in honor at the Capitol.

Detective John Michael Gibson had served with the U.S. Capitol Police for 18 years. He left behind his wife, a 17-year-old daughter, and two sons, 14 and 15 years old. He lay in honor with Officer Chestnut in the Capitol Rotunda.

Both men were buried with full honors in Arlington National Cemetery. These men gave their lives here on the grounds of the Capitol, in defense of our democracy. In the days that followed, Representative DeLay stated that their deaths symbolized "the sacrifices of thousands of police officers across the Nation who do their duty to serve and protect the public, sometimes under great abuse, sometimes under great disregard, and many times people take them for granted. It all comes together when an incident like this happens and we realize how much we owe to police officers all over this country."

The men and women of the Capitol Police put their lives on the line every day, and each and every one of us who works here are indebted to their sacrifice. Today we remember Officer Jacob Chestnut and Detective John Gibson while thanking all of the officers of the U.S. Capitol Police Department.

75TH ANNIVERSARY OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 9981

Mr. KAINE. Mr. President, today, we commemorate the 75th anniversary of a momentous event in our Nation's history: the signing of Executive Order 9981 by President Harry S. Truman on July 26, 1948. This landmark executive order marked a significant step forward in our ongoing journey toward a more inclusive and equitable society.

A fundamental value of the United States is to support the equality of all. E.O. 9981, titled "Establishing the President's Committee on Equality of Treatment and Opportunity in the Armed Services," proclaimed that "there shall be equality of treatment and opportunity for all persons in the armed services without regard to race, color, religion or national origin." By desegregating the military, this order shattered long-standing discriminatory practices and set a precedent for the pursuit of justice and racial equality.

The issuance of E.O. 9981 was a response to the tireless efforts of countless civil rights activists, military leaders, and concerned citizens who recognized the moral imperative to confront racism and discrimination. It represented a critical turning point in the fight against racial injustice, serving as a catalyst for the broader civil rights movement to follow.

Over the past seven and a half decades, the principles enshrined in E.O. 9981 have had a profound impact on our society. By integrating the military, this historic document not only helped to foster a spirit of unity among servicemembers, irrespective of their race or background, but it also provided a model for progress, inspiring subsequent legislation and initiatives aimed at combating discrimination and inequality in various sectors of American

Moreover, E.O. 9981 has served as a beacon of hope for marginalized communities, demonstrating that institutionalized prejudice can be dismantled

life.

through bold leadership, determined activism, and collective will. Its legacy has reverberated far beyond the military, contributing to the broader struggle for civil rights and social justice, including the landmark civil rights legislation of the 1960s and the ongoing quest for racial equality today.

As we celebrate this significant milestone, it is essential that we reflect on the progress made and acknowledge the work that remains unfinished. While E.O. 9981 marked a pivotal moment, we recognize that systemic racism continues to persist in various forms, demanding our unwavering commitment to its eradication. In honoring the 75th anniversary of E.O. 9981, let us recommit ourselves to the enduring principles of equality and justice for all. Let us continue to strive for a society that values diversity, inclusivity, and equal opportunity, where the color of one's skin does not determine their worth or limit their potential.

As members of Congress, entrusted with the duty of shaping legislation and promoting the well-being of all Americans, I urge you to draw inspiration from the spirit of E.O. 9981 and to uphold our fundamental American values. Although our painful history cannot be erased, let us work together to enact policies that dismantle systemic barriers, rectify historical injustices, and build a more equitable and harmonious future for our Nation.

HONORING CAPTAIN ROBERT C. HARMON AND PRIVATE JOHN R. PEIRSON

Ms. BALDWIN. Mr. President, today I rise to honor posthumously half-brothers Captain Robert C. Harmon and Private John R. Peirson from New Richmond, WI, who both made the ultimate sacrifice for our great Nation during World War II.

A week before the D-Day invasion on the Normandy coast and on his 51st mission, Captain Harmon's plane was shot down over occupied France by German artillery. Initially listed as missing-in-action, Captain Harmon was officially declared dead on May 29, 1945, by the U.S. War Department.

Motivated by a sense of duty to his country, as well as the loss of his older half-brother, Private Peirson enlisted in the U.S. Army. He was mortally wounded during the April 16, 1945, Easter Day assault on the island of Okinawa, Japan, and died of his wounds the following day.

A joint memorial service was held in New Richmond, WI, on April 26, 1949, for the repatriated remains of the two fallen brothers. They are buried side by side at the Fort Snelling Cemetery in Minneapolis, MN. Both were posthumously awarded the Purple Heart.

In recognition of their service and sacrifice, I was proud to sponsor and witness successful passage of S. 2932 during the 117th Congress, a bill to designate the facility of the U.S. Postal

Service located at 430 South Knowles Avenue in New Richmond, WI, as the "Captain Robert C. Harmon and Private John R. Peirson Post Office Building." This legislation was passed in the Senate by unanimous consent on May 25, 2022, and was signed into law by President Joseph R. Biden, Jr., on December 29, 2022.

The official dedication and celebration of the Captain Robert C. Harmon and Private John R. Peirson Post Office Building will take place on August 25, 2023. Family, friends, fellow veterans, elected leaders, and community members will come together to remember these brothers and their service to our country. Local support of this dedication includes the New Richmond City Council, along with Mayors Fred Horne and Jim Zajkowski; the New Richmond Chamber of Commerce: the Butler-Harmon American Legion Post 80; and the Veterans of Foreign Wars Post 10818 New Richmond/St. Croix County. Special recognition is due to Ms. Sally Berkholder who has been a tireless advocate for this dedication and ensuring that the family and community is able to properly celebrate and honor the service of the Harmon/Peirson broth-

Captain Harmon and Private Peirson heroically gave their lives serving our country during World War II. They bravely fought for our American values and freedom, and we are indebted by their sacrifice. I am proud that their memory will live on by the official dedication of the New Richmond Post Office as the "Captain Robert C. Harmon and Private John R. Peirson Post Office Building."

REMEMBERING WALTER KNIGHT

Ms. BALDWIN. Mr. President, I rise today to honor Walter Knight, who passed away on June 20, 2023, at the age of 89. Mr. Knight will be remembered for his numerous acts of leadership in the community of Beloit, WI—notably, serving as the first African-American on the Beloit Police and Fire Commission and the first African-American to be elected to the Beloit City Council.

Mr. Knight spent the first 17 years of his life in Arkansas where he attended segregated public schools and graduated from Union Grove High School in 1951. Upon graduation, he moved to Beloit, WI, where he went on to work for Fairbanks Morse in the foundry. The grueling conditions of the foundry motivated him to enroll in Blackhawk Technical College. This allowed him to move into a position in the machine shop at Fairbanks Morse where he remained for 25 years. Later in his career at Fairbanks Morse, he was elected the president of the Local Union 1533 United Steelworkers of America from 1972-1976.

To further advance his educational career, Mr. Knight studied union policy at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. At the time he attended Madison, less than 1 percent of students were Af-

rican-American. After securing higher-level education, Mr. Knight's activist voice and profound leadership in the Beloit community led him to be elected the first African-American on the Beloit City Council, where he served from 1972–1985. Mr. Knight's involvement and dedication to the Beloit community did not stop there. As an African-American, he spent his early years in Beloit fighting segregation by exposing and assisting in the closure of local discriminatory and prejudice businesses

In addition to his fight for racial justice, Mr. Knight spent over 30 years with the Rock County Opportunities Industrialization Center. As executive director, he dedicated his time to helping minority and other local residents build occupational and social skills to enhance their career prospects. Mr. Knight always wanted to be remembered as someone who did all he could to help others. His life of selfless acts for his community accurately displays this. From working in the foundry at Fairbanks Morse to becoming president of the Beloit City Council, Mr. Knight was truly a trailblazer and has left an indelible mark on the Beloit communitv.

By creating opportunities and a voice for the minority community in Beloit, Mr. Knight's leadership granted him induction into the Beloit Historical Society Hall of Fame in 2014. Additionally, as a part of the 2019 Juneteenth celebration, the Portland Avenue Bridge in Beloit was renamed "Walter R. Knight Bridge" in his honor. Mr. Knight will be whole-heartedly missed and always remembered for the years of compassion and love he bestowed upon his community.

75TH ANNIVERSARY OF TRUE COMPANIES

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize the 75th anniversary of True Companies. This network of Wyoming businesses spans several major industries that directly benefit Natrona County, Wyoming, and our Nation.

Headquartered in Casper, WY, True Companies is a Wyoming institution. Proudly owned and operated by the True family, the businesses that make up True Companies are diverse. They contribute to multiple industries including energy, agriculture, real estate/development, and the financial sector. True Companies employs over 1,000 individuals across the United States in Wyoming, Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, Utah, New Mexico, Arizona, Missouri, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Oklahoma.

In Wyoming, we follow the Code of the West, a set of cowboy ethics that drive and shape our values. These principles remind us to take pride in our work and to do what has to be done. Today, the Code of the West hangs in the lobby of True Companies, serving as a reminder of the values of its

founder H.A. "Dave" True, Jr. Henry Alphonso True, Jr., or Dave as he was known to friends and family, was born in 1915 in Cheyenne. He married his high school sweetheart Jean in 1938. After earning his engineering degree from Montana State University, he followed in the footsteps of his father. Dave worked for Texaco, formerly known as the Texas Company, in Cody, where he lived with Jean and their four children: Tamma, H.A. "Hank" III, Diemer, and David L. In 1948, the family relocated to Casper. Here, Dave became part owner and manager of the Reserve Drilling Company, a one-rig drilling outfit that would change the course of his career. Just 3 years later, he was the president of Reserve Drilling and then opened his own drilling enterprise, True and Brown Drilling Contractors.

Dave had an uncanny ability to anticipate the needs of a then-booming oil industry. In 1954, he founded True Oil and True Drilling. He discovered several oil reservoirs in the ensuing years. In the next two decades, he founded eight additional businesses. each uniquely situated to meet the needs of-and capitalize on-the booming oil sector and Casper's growing population. It was also during this period that Dave began to diversify his interests. In 1957, he entered the ranching sector. True Ranches, a 36-head cattle operation included Nugget, his lone bull. Other endeavors were the Belle Fourche Pipeline Company, Black Hills Trucking, and Eighty-Eight Oil LLC. In all his business dealings. Dave was a consummate professional. He valued integrity and respect over making a quick buck. He was known to say, "A good deal is not a good deal unless it's good for both parties.'

Quiet and hard working, Dave took seriously his role of sharing his time and talent with his community. In 1961, he established a scholarship program for the children of True Companies employees. For over six decades, the program has rewarded deserving, highachieving students with money to pursue their education. Dave also taught his children the importance of public service and giving back. Today, the True family is well-known for its generous philanthropic efforts. In May of this year, the University of Wyoming, our State's only 4-year university, established the H.A. "Dave" True Jr. Family College of Business Deanship. This distinct honor recognizes the True family's legacy of dedicated service and entrepreneurial spirit.

The history of True Companies began with the humble vision and determination of Dave and Jean. After Dave died in 1994, Jean continued as the family's matriarch until she passed in 2006. She was 90 years old. Today, the company's heritage lives on through their children, grandchildren, great-grandchildren, and even great-grandchildren.

Hank III and Karen True have three children: Thea True-Wells and Scott

Wells with children Eric, Christopher, Jean Marie, and Katelin Wells; H.A. "Tad" IV and Jennifer True with children H.A. "Henry" V, Sam and Charlie; and Barbara True.

Diemer and Susie True have four children: Kip and Chris True with children Hailey True, Hannah and Steven Gutenberger, Hollie and Seth Snitker, Hayden and Lydia True, Harrison and Haines, Helaina, Meghan True. Hadleigh and Hyldie True: Kyle and Caridee True with children Connor and Suzanna True, Kennedee and Shawn Dalke, Cross True. and Megan Johnathon and Jacqueline True, Jordan, Sophia, and Patton True; Tara and Kirk Aamot with children Abbie and James Cooksey, Mark, David, and Grace Aamot; and Tracy True-Propp with children Mikayla and Preston Propp.

Dave and Melanie True have four children: Shane and JoAnn True with children Ellie True, Elijah and Katherine True, Rand, Delaney, Finn, and Reagan True; Christy and Quintin LeClercq with children Brayden, Kellan, Lincoln, and Zanden LeClercq; Bryce and Kelsey True with children Taggart, Roark, and Akston True; and Ashley and Gene VanDeest.

Dave and Jean's eldest daughter Tamma True-Hatten died in 2017. Her husband Donald Hatten predeceased her in 2002. Their memories live on through their two children Jaci and Dale Kerns with children Kodi Kerns, Jake (JD) and Dawn Kerns, Josh and Randi Kerns, and Joel Kerns; and Dave Hatten with children Kaci Hatten and Kara and Christian Sabo.

True Companies includes the following businesses: True Oil, True Drilling, True Ranches, Toolpushers Supply Company, Black Hills Trucking, Measurement Services LLC, Bridger Pipeline LLC, Eighty-Eight Oil LLC, Equitable Oil Purchasing Company, Hilltop Bank, Flowstate, and Brick and Bond, in addition to numerous other ranches and properties.

This year, members and employees of the True Companies family will celebrate its 75th anniversary by giving back to the community. On August 19, 2023, True Companies will join Bluepeak in sponsoring the annual 5150' Festival, a free community event hosted by Visit Casper. It is a wonderful opportunity to celebrate all that Casper has to offer with food, vendors, and live music.

True Companies was built on a foundation of humble service and hard work. Its founder, H.A. "Dave" True, Jr., established a culture that encouraged employees to "talk less and say more." Bobbi and I are honored to celebrate its 75th anniversary, and we look forward to the next 75 years of success.

50TH ANNIVERSARY OF MILWAUKEE MEXICAN FIESTA

Ms. BALDWIN. Mr. President, today I rise to recognize the 50th anniversary of the Mexican Fiesta in Milwaukee. I

am proud to honor this tradition that showcases the rich cultural history of the Hispanic community in Wisconsin.

What began as a small street festival in Milwaukee has grown to be one of the largest celebrations of Mexican culture in the country. In 1973, proud members of the Mexican-American community closed down one street in downtown Milwaukee to celebrate Mexican Independence Day. Today, the Mexican Fiesta attracts thousands of visitors from across the State to enjoy Hispanic cuisine, dance to Mexican artists, and learn more about the rich history of this community. With more than 450 exhibitors and vendors, it has also become an opportunity for Wisconsin small businesses, nonprofits, and local artisans to showcase their products and reach new customers.

This 50-year tradition is not only a celebration of Hispanic heritage; it is also a chance to support students across the State as they pursue an education. Money raised through the Mexican Fiesta goes towards the Wisconsin Hispanic Scholarship Foundation, which expands access to higher education for the Hispanic community. Since its founding, the foundation has awarded \$1.8 million in scholarships. Thanks to their efforts, young Hispanic men and women across the State have been empowered to explore their interests and jumpstart their futures.

The original organizers of the Mexican Fiesta were searching for a way to share their traditions and culture with the rest of their community. It has been inspiring to watch that vision become a reality over the last 50 years. Armed with the knowledge that education opens doors, the Wisconsin Hispanic Scholarship Foundation has used the reach and popularity of this celebration to empower young Wisconsinites to pursue their dreams. I am proud to recognize this important tradition on their 50th anniversary, and I look forward to celebrating the countless contributions that Mexican Americans have made to our State for years to

TRIBUTE TO SEAN FARRELL

Mrs. BLACKBURN. Mr. President, today I bid a fond farewell to my chief of staff Sean Farrell. Sean has been an indispensable member of my team for 6 years. During that time, he has worn several hats, each coming with its own challenges. Sean joined Team Blackburn when I was serving on the House Energy and Commerce Committee, where he mastered some of the most complex issues that the U.S. Congress examines. After I was elected to the Senate, Sean agreed to move from the House to the Senate and assumed the formidable task of managing my legislative agenda, as well as a gaggle of young lawyers and policy experts.

When I asked Sean to serve as my chief of staff, I knew I was asking him to face challenges far beyond those normally associated with the position.

Pandemic-era rules had scattered our staff across the country, and the Senate was still limping its way through a once in a lifetime crisis. Thankfully, Sean stepped up. He approached the job with grace and persistence and, even during the toughest fights kept his eye on the ball and the team's focus on serving the people of Tennessee.

We will miss him tremendously, but I have no doubt that his beautiful wife Kasey will be happy to see him spending more time at home and less time in the halls of the Dirksen Senate Office Building. I would like to leave Sean with my thanks for his years of service to the Volunteer State and my best wishes as he moves forward in this next exciting season of his career.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

150TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS VICKSBURG DISTRICT

• Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I rise to recognize the 150th anniversary of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Vicksburg District. The history of this vital entity can be traced back to 1873 when Captain William Henry Harrison Benyuard opened the Monroe-based branch to address surveys and conduct wreck removals on the Yazoo and Ouachita Rivers. Today, the Vicksburg District encompasses areas across Arkansas, Mississippi, and Louisiana containing nine major river basins and incorporating approximately 460 miles of mainline Mississippi River levees.

As U.S. Senator for Arkansas, I have worked closely with the Vicksburg District Corps leaders on many projects to benefit The Natural State. I have always appreciated our collaboration to strengthen navigation, conservation, recreation and water supply on the Ouachita River. To better serve the communities adjacent to tributaries and reservoirs in its jurisdiction, I have worked alongside the Corps to fund studies in the Ouachita River Basin and support its flood risk management mission on the Red and Ouachita Rivers. The District has also been instrumental in securing additional water supply for the city of Hot Springs and Central Arkansas Water via Lake Ouachita and Lake DeGray.

The Corps plays an important role in managing safety and environmental issues for our waterways and related structures. As a member of the Environment and Public Works Committee, I proudly support these efforts and am committed to always working to ensure it has the funding and resources necessary to carry out its mission.

I look forward to continuing our partnership and delivering the resources to improve Corps infrastructure and facilities, and I congratulate the Vicksburg District on 150 years of managing water resources and responding to emergencies for the benefit of the entire region it serves and supports.●

TRIBUTE TO JOHN SQUIRE DRENDEL

• Ms. CORTEZ MASTO. Mr. President, today I rise to recognize the 100th birthday of my dear friend John Squire Drendel. John dedicated his life to advocating on behalf of his clients and serving the people of Nevada. For 70 years, John shaped Nevada's legal community, and I am proud to join his family and friends in celebrating this significant milestone.

On August 4, 1923, John was born in Carson Valley, NV, a beautiful rural community just south of Carson City. In the midst of the Great Depression, John left home to work on a nearby ranch and complete his studies at Douglas County High School.

During his first semester at the University of Notre Dame in the fall of 1941, the bombing of Pearl Harbor altered the trajectory of his life. John served in the U.S. Navy as a lieutenant and as a commander of a landing craft tank in the Pacific Islands of Saipan, Iwo Jima, and Okinawa.

Following the end of World War II, John took advantage of the education benefits provided in the G.I. Bill to complete his undergraduate education and attend law school at the University of Colorado. After obtaining his law degree, John returned home to Nevada with his wife Marilyn to raise their four children and work as a Nevada highway patrolman.

In 1950, John passed the Nevada Bar Exam and later partnered with William O. Bradley to form Bradley & Drendel, a premier personal injury firm in northern Nevada. In 1957, in order to make their services more accessible to their clients, the two selected a converted garage in Reno, NV, to serve as their firm's office. By 1970, John had solidified his reputation by representing a diesel mechanic from Ely, NV, who suffered from a debilitating injury while at work. John won the highest verdict awarded to a single plaintiff in the U.S. at that point in time, providing financial security for the mechanic and his family. This firm continues to serve the community with a third generation of attorneys. Currently, John's son Thomas is of counsel to the firm.

John is deeply respected by his peers and remains active in Nevada's legal community as a founding member and former president of the Nevada Trial Lawyers Association and the Washoe County Bar Association. John has been honored with countless accolades throughout the duration of his career, including the Lifetime Achievement Award from the Nevada Trial Lawyers Association in 2001.

The list of John's contributions to the legal community and Nevada are never-ending. John strived for excellence throughout his career and has proven himself a great Nevadan. His professional accomplishments are surpassed only by the wonderful family and community he has built in the Silver State. I know John is happy to be

spending his retirement with his children Mary, John, Ann, and Thomas; their grandchildren Sarah, Andrew, Anne, Clara, Nathaniel, Mary, and Matthew; and their four great-grandchildren. I am incredibly pleased to honor this momentous event in his life and wish him joy in the years to come.

400TH ANNIVERSARY OF PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE

• Ms. HASSAN. Mr. President, today it is my privilege to recognize the 400th anniversary of the city of Portsmouth, NH. For four centuries, Portsmouth has endured as one our country's oldest and most vital cities, continuing to serve as a pillar for New Hampshire's economy and culture—and for our country's national security.

From its founding in 1623, Portsmouth has served as one of New England's most important trade ports, helping develop and sustain the region's economy and, at one time, even served as New Hampshire's capital. Portsmouth first started as Strawbery Banke, an early settlement that evolved into a maritime hub and led Portsmouth to become the iconic city that it is today. In the 400 years since 1623, Portsmouth continues to be a wonderful place to live—and it is a premier destination for trade and tourism. boasting some of the finest breweries and seafood in the country. Portsmouth is in part why New Hampshire attracts millions of tourists each year.

Portsmouth has also been instrumental in building and maintaining the U.S. Navy, through the Portsmouth Naval Shipvard, as well as providing a key installation for the U.S. Air Force with the Pease Air Force Base, which is now home to the finest Air National Guard in the country. In New Hampshire, our motto is "Live Free or Die," and Granite Staters in Portsmouth have embodied that spirit since our country's beginning. The Portsmouth Naval Shipyard built and launched John Paul Jones and the USS Ranger in the American Revolutionary Warone of the founding vessels of the U.S. Navy-and was a one-time home to the famed USS Constitution. The shipbuilders and dockworkers in Portsmouth have built and maintained vessels that served in conflicts from the Revolutionary War through today, including playing a decisive role in building our submarine fleet in World War II. Across distant seas and faraway tides, ships built in Portsmouth—powered by everything from winds to atoms-have helped ensure that Granite Staters and all Americans can continue to live free.

The people of Portsmouth also know that inclusiveness is a virtue and a key to our State and our country's strength. As we mark the 400th anniversary of the city of Portsmouth, we also recognize that the Wabanaki people have long called this region their home, thousands of years before the establishment of Portsmouth. Over the

years, a diverse group of people and communities have all made Portsmouth's culture richer and more vibrant. I am grateful for those who are shining a light on all parts of Portsmouth's history, especially the Black Heritage Trail of New Hampshire. The organization has helped make more Granite Staters aware of the long and rich history of New Hampshire's Black community, including in Portsmouth, and deepened our appreciation of the diversity that has made Portsmouth—and New Hampshire—stronger year after year.

While much of Portsmouth has changed over the last four centuries, it still never fails to capture the hearts and imaginations of those who visit. Anyone who has visited Portsmouth even once knows that it is not an easy place to forget. Everyone who has walked Portsmouth's streets, toured the Strawbery Banke Museum to explore our history, taken in a live performance in Prescott Park or the Music Hall, tasted our fresh seafood, or even simply looked out at the Piscatagua River and breathed in the salty ocean air, knows that Portsmouth is a special place.

Portsmouth has endured for four centuries because, across generations, people have believed in the city's promise, worked hard to keep the community strong, and remained dedicated to the notion that Portsmouth's best days are always ahead.

On behalf of Congress and all Granite Staters, I offer my congratulations to the city of Portsmouth on this incredible milestone, and encourage people from across our country to visit this great American city.

TRIBUTE TO STEPHEN HOLMES

• Ms. HASSAN. Mr. President, I am honored to recognize Lt. Stephen Holmes of Candia as July's Granite Stater of the Month. Stephen, a Marine Corps veteran and a firefighter, is working to destigmatize mental health among first responders by visiting New Hampshire fire departments and sharing his own experience dealing with PTSD.

At age 17, Stephen enlisted in the Marine Corps infantry and went on to serve three tours of duty in Iraq. On his return home 4 years later, he wanted to continue helping others through public service and decided to join the Exeter Fire Department. However, it soon became clear that he was struggling with serious symptoms of anxiety, depression, and anger, and he was diagnosed with PTSD at the Manchester VA.

Stephen took a leave of absence from the Exeter Fire Department due to his mental health, and during this time, Stephen's wife gave him a book on meditation, which turned out to be his saving grace. After trying many other therapeutic techniques recommended by his doctors, Stephen found that meditation worked best for him to help him feel at peace. Stephen did not stop there. After returning to the Exeter Fire Department, he wanted to use the lessons he had learned to shed more light on the issue of first responders' mental health. With the help of other Fire and EMS professionals, Stephen began visiting one fire station after another to share his mental health struggles and the importance of seeking care. Already, many of his peers have started receiving mental health treatment, Stephen's story having provided the push that they needed and the validation that it is okay to need help.

Many first responders might believe that they cannot seek help, since they are the ones that the rest of us rely on in a crisis. However, first responders often need mental health care precisely because of how high-pressure their jobs are and the suffering that they see, which is why Stephen's work is all the more important—he is sharing his firsthand experiences, breaking down stigma, and letting first responders know that it is okay to seek the care that they need.

Stephen exemplifies the Granite State spirit of commitment to community and person-to-person advocacy to bring about positive change. I am deeply grateful, as I know his fellow first responders are, for his bravery and compassion in sharing his personal experiences, and I look forward to seeing how he continues to change people's lives.

REMEMBERING BOB PENNEY

• Ms. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, my dear friend, Robert Clark Penney, passed away on March 14, 2023. As we prepare to say our final goodbyes at a memorial ceremony this coming weekend, I am among many Alaskans who are reflecting on the legacy Bob created across our state and especially along his beloved Kenai River.

Named Alaska's Ambassador for Sport Fishing by our State legislature in 2017, Bob was known for his success in business and his tireless advocacy of the iconic Kenai River watershed. But, like many Alaskans, he started with humble roots in the lower 48. Bob was born in Portland in 1932, where he showed his business acumen early. At the age of 10, he and his sister Patsy were gifted a pony. While his sister was gathering her friends for a free ride, Bob was on the next block with the pony, selling rides for a dime apiece.

As he grew up, Bob played on the high school tennis team and worked part-time after school hours. He also loved the outdoors, hunting birds and fishing for salmon in the local rivers near Gresham, OR.

Bob answered the call to head north to Alaska in 1951, when the lumber company he worked for expanded into what was then still a U.S. Territory. When Bob was just 19, the company of fered him the job of managing their new Alaska prospect. Bob excelled there, but it was a job he took at Wade

Trailer sales in 1956 that inspired him to enter real estate, where he would really make his mark.

After learning the ropes at Wade's, Bob opened Penney Trailer Sales in 1959, selling mobile homes. Bob soon grew the business to include RVs and housing for construction camps. In just a few short years, he was the largest mobile home dealer in our new State. During that time, Bob also began to invest in real estate and building development. He built everything from single-family homes to massive commercial real estate ventures spanning the west coast and Mexico. Through it all, Bob always gave back, seemingly more by the year. His philosophy in both life and business was to "wear the other guy's shoes" and "always leave a little bit for the next person." Bob served on the boards of the Anchorage Chamber of Commerce, the Anchorage Economic Development Corporation, and the Alaska Regional Hospital Board of Trustees. He was a philanthropist, starting the Anchorage Mayor's Charity Ball, which has now raised more than \$4 million for charitable organizations in our State's largest city.

When the oil industry started to boom in Alaska, Bob felt the State needed greater community involvement to bring attention to this opportunity and others like it. He formed the Organization for the Management of Alaska's Resources-OMAR-later renamed the Resource Development Council—RDC—and included many State leaders in the effort. RDC is now Alaska's largest resource trade association—encompassing the fishing, forestry, mining, oil and gas, and tourism industries—and its advocacy remains critical to growing our economy and reaching our potential as a state.

Bob also had a distinguished tenure as a member of the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council. The federally chartered council is critical to the sustainable management of Alaska's commercial fisheries, one of the largest employers and economic drivers in our State. Bob's service on the board contributed to the health and well-being of the largest fishery in America, but his true passion was the conservation of the fishery of the Kenai River in southcentral Alaska.

Bob was always delighted by Kenai Chinooks, or "Kings," the largest salmon in the world. He loved to take friends and visitors out on the river and the pictures of happy anglers and their catch of the day adorned the walls of his riverfront home. Bob realized these fish are an amazing resource for the State and for Alaskans, as more than half our population can access the river by road in a matter of hours. Bob knew that Alaskans could feed their families and fill their freezers from this river in perpetuity if it was managed correctly.

So, in 1986, Bob founded the Kenai River Sportfishing Association. Under his direction, the association grew into Alaska's premier sportfish and fish habitat conservation organization, helping to ensure the long-term sustainability of the river.

Bob and two of his friends, Senator Ted Stevens and Bix Bonney, utilized KRSA to start the Kenai River Classic, an invitational fishing tournament held each August. The tournament has brought in elected officials and industry leaders from across America, educating them about the Kenai River and its needs. It has raised over \$25 million for conservation of the local watershed, enabling the rehabilitation of critical fish spawning habitat, opportunities for youth, and better access to the river for both subsistence sportfishing.

"Alaska's Sport Fish Ambassador" was true to his name and title, but family and friends were the driving force in his life. Bob met his wife Jeannie in 1973 at a dinner party in Girdwood; they dated and got married on New Year's Eve in 1974 on a sailing schooner in Kawela Bay off Oahu. Since the vessel was "just outside the limits," their marriage license lists the latitude and longitude, instead.

Bob always had big ideas for Alaska. He couldn't walk through a room without taking up one cause or other. He followed through, helping to build our young State. But Bob was always happiest holding court at his home, "River Presence," on the Kenai, surrounded by his family and friends.

Bob is survived by 4 grown children, 10 grandchildren, 7 great-grandchildren, and leaves a remarkable legacy, from economic development to philanthropy, to world-class fishing on the Kenai River. My family and I knew Bob for decades, were proud to call him our friend, and are grateful for all he did to enrich our great State.

TRIBUTE TO YUFEI CHEN AND RICHARD ZHU

• Mr. PADILLA. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize outstanding academic achievement on the world stage by none other than two California students. Earlier this month, the 2023 International Biology Olympiad—IBO—showcased the remarkable talents and encyclopedic knowledge of pre-university students in the field of theoretical and laboratory biology.

To even qualify was a challenge, but after competing in a field of 44 States, over 600 schools, and nearly 10,000 students at the national competition and then competing among their peers from over 80 countries at the international level, two California students stood out. Richard Zhu of North Hollywood Senior High School earned a silver medal, while Yufei Chen of University High School in Irvine not only earned a gold medal, he earned the highest score in the world

Both students demonstrated a remarkable intellect, a refreshing passion in the field of STEM, and an example for every other California student to follow to achieve their dreams. I

can't wait to see where their talents will lead them next.

Congratulations, once again, to Yufei Chen and Richard Zhu, on the hard-earned and well-deserved recognition.

400TH ANNIVERSARY OF PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE

• Mrs. SHAHEEN. Mr. President, I rise today to honor the city of Portsmouth, NH, on the 400th anniversary of its first European settlement.

Historians trace Portsmouth's earliest New World beginnings to the 1623 arrival of David Thomson. With a 6,000acre land patent in hand from the Council for New England, David and his wife Amias Cole Thomson stepped ashore and constructed a settlement known as Pannaway Plantation. The name is thought to mean "place where the water spreads out" in the language of the Abenaki, one of the many Tribes that inhabited, hunted, and farmed the land and fished local waters long before the Thomsons arrived in Little Harbor. Pannaway found success as a fishing outpost; however, the Thomsons and their crew left for another settlement in Boston Harbor just a few short years later. A new group of settlers directed by Captain John Mason and led by Captain Walter Neale would return in 1630 and establish a colony along the Piscatagua River known as Strawbery Banke. The port facilitated trade that served fishing, lumber, and shipbuilding interests. It was officially incorporated as the town of Portsmouth in 1653, an homage to the English town in Hampshire County where Captain Mason lived.

In these early years, Portsmouth rose to prominence as a major center for commerce and government. It was the colonial capital of New Hampshire, and its dwellings housed wealthy merchants as well as hunters, trappers, fishermen, shipbuilders, and other skilled crafters. Portsmouth also played a pivotal role in our country's fight for independence. Four months before shots were fired in Lexington and Concord, a group of local men captured Fort William and Mary, a military post that guarded access to the waters of Portsmouth Harbor and the Piscataqua River, and distributed its gunpowder to towns around the colony. Many historians consider the raid as one of the first acts of overt defiance in the American Revolution.

The new Nation also relied on Portsmouth's expertise in shipbuilding to build vessels for the Continental Navy. Captain John Paul Jones lived in Portsmouth while supervising construction of the USS Ranger. The first ship to fly the American flag into battle, the USS Raleigh was built on nearby Badger's Island. The Raleigh is proudly depicted on New Hampshire's State flag and the Great Seal of the State of New Hampshire.

The area's rich heritage of shipbuilding as well as the deep, ice-free waters of Portsmouth Harbor made it

an ideal location for a Federal navy yard. In 1800, President John Adams established the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. It is the U.S. Navy's oldest continuously operating shipyard in the country, and its current workforce is responsible for overhauling and repairing America's modern submarine fleet. In the early 20th century, the shipyard and the city welcomed delegates from Russia and Japan to negotiate an end to the countries' year-and-a-half-long conflict. They arrived in Portsmouth in August 1905 at the suggestion of President Theodore Roosevelt, who received a Nobel Prize for his efforts to broker an end to the Russo-Japanese War. In Portsmouth, President Roosevelt could count on both the naval shipyard to observe diplomatic protocols and the people of the city to act as gracious hosts to both delegations. The friendly, relaxed environment proved conducive to securing the first international treaty to be signed on U.S. soil and an agreement that set the tone for Pacific relations in the century to follow.

Portsmouth has witnessed so much since its first European settlement, and city residents understand the social, cultural, and economic benefits of preserving and celebrating this history. Its charming downtown retains and blends four centuries of unique buildings, architecture, landmarks, and community spaces, including the 1695 Sherburne House at Strawbery Banke Museum, the 1716 Warner House, the 1784 Governor John Langdon House, the 1855 reconstruction of the iconic North Church, the 1878 rebuilding of the Music Hall, and 1954 creation of Prescott Park. Efforts to sustain these treasures and more have positioned the city as a popular heritage tourism destination with a thriving arts and culture scene, boutique shops, and a variety of local restaurants and cafes. They have also reinvigorated a sense of community among Portsmouth residents by bringing to light their shared history and the city's distinct local character

Within these efforts, city residents devote special attention to giving voice to the generations of people who contributed to Portsmouth's long, complex story with little or no recognition in previous town histories. They carefully consider and pay respect to the Native Tribes—the Abenaki, the Pennacook, the Wabanaki peoples, and more—who inhabited the area for thousands of years prior to European contact. Local museums share the experiences and perspectives of immigrants across the centuries who were drawn to Portsmouth with prospects for a better life. The city is home to the Black Heritage Trail of New Hampshire, an organization that strives to build communities that are more inclusive by promoting awareness and appreciation of African-American history, as well as the Portsmouth African Burying Ground. After years of discussion and deliberation by a city-organized committee, the 18th

century gravesite was rededicated in 2015 as a park titled "We Stand In Honor of Those Forgotten." The site is now a sobering memorial that encourages reflection on the full, unvarnished history of the region.

Around the 300th anniversary of its first European settlement, the city of Portsmouth worked alongside the local chamber of commerce to create a new slogan that would attract new industry and accentuate the high quality of life for residents of the community. They settled on "The City of the Open Door," an expression that conveys optimism and invites people of every faith, every culture, and every background to the city to help write the next memorable chapter of Portsmouth's long and impressive story. City residents continue to exemplify this ethos a full century later. I congratulate the city of Portsmouth on this important milestone and wish the community all the best as it celebrates its past and looks forward to its bright future.

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mrs. Stringer, one of his secretaries.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(The messages received today are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.)

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

At 12:03 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Alli, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bills, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 682. An act to facilitate access to electromagnetic spectrum for commercial space launches and commercial space reentries.

H.R. 752. An act to require SelectUSA to coordinate with State-level economic development organizations to increase foreign direct investment in semiconductor-related manufacturing and production.

H.R. 1176. An act to amend the Taiwan Allies International Protection and Enhancement Initiative (TAIPEI) Act of 2019 to provide that the United States, as a member of any international organizations, should oppose any attempts by the People's Republic of China to resolve Taiwan's status by distorting the decisions, language, policies, or procedures of the organization, and for other purposes.

H.R. 1345. An act to amend the National Telecommunications and Information Administration Organization Act to establish the Office of Policy Development and Cvbersecurity, and for other purposes.

H.R. 1684. An act to require the Secretary of State to submit an annual report to Con-

gress regarding the ties between criminal gangs and political and economic elites in Haiti and impose sanctions on political and economic elites involved in such criminal activities.

H.R. 2544. An act to improve the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3203. An act to impose sanctions with respect to Chinese producers of synthetic opioids and opioid precursors, to hold Chinese officials accountable for the spread of illicit fentanyl, and for other purposes.

H.R. 4470. An act to extend the authorization of the Chemical Facility AntiTerrorism Standards Program of the Department of Homeland Security.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

The President pro tempore (Mrs. Murray) announced that on today, July 26, 2023, she had signed the following enrolled bill, which was previously signed by the Speaker of the House:

H.R. 1096. An act to require the Secretary of the Treasury to mint coins in commemoration of the 250th Anniversary of the United States Marine Corps, and to support programs at the Marine Corps Heritage Center.

At 4:01 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 2670. An act to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense and for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

MEASURES REFERRED

The following bills were read the first and the second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

H.R. 682. An act to facilitate access to electromagnetic spectrum for commercial space launches and commercial space reentries; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

H.R. 1176. An act to amend the Taiwan Allies International Protection and Enhancement Initiative (TAIPEI) Act of 2019 to provide that the United States, as a member of any international organizations, should oppose any attempts by the People's Republic of China to resolve Taiwan's status by distorting the decisions, language, policies, or procedures of the organization, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

H.R. 1345. An act to amend the National Telecommunications and Information Administration Organization Act to establish the Office of Policy Development and Cybersecurity, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

H.R. 3203. An act to impose sanctions with respect to Chinese producers of synthetic opioids and opioid precursors, to hold Chinese officials accountable for the spread of illicit fentanyl, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

MEASURES PLACED ON THE CALENDAR

The following bill was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and placed on the calendar: H.R. 1684. An act to require the Secretary of State to submit an annual report to Congress regarding the ties between criminal gangs and political and economic elites in Haiti and impose sanctions on political and economic elites involved in such criminal activities.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mrs. MURRAY, from the Committee on Appropriations:

Special Report entitled "Further Revised Allocation to Subcommittees of Budget Totals for Fiscal Year 2024" (Rept. No. 118-78).

By Mr. MANCHIN, from the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, with amendments:

S. 873. A bill to improve recreation opportunities on, and facilitate greater access to, Federal public land, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 118–79).

By Mr. SCHATZ, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, with an amendment:

S. 950. A bill to amend the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 to make a technical correction to the water rights settlement for the Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of the Duck Valley Reservation, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 118–80).

By Mr. SANDERS, from the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions, with an amendment in the nature of a sub-

stitute:

S. 265. A bill to reauthorize the rural emergency medical service training and equipment assistance program, and for other purposes

By Mr. CARPER, from the Committee on Environment and Public Works, without amendment:

S. 1278. A bill to designate the Federal building located at 985 Michigan Avenue in Detroit, Michigan, as the "Rosa Parks Federal Building", and for other purposes.

eral Building", and for other purposes. S. 1381. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior, through the Coastal Program of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, to work with willing partners and provide support to efforts to assess, protect, restore, and enhance important coastal landscapes that provide fish and wildlife habitat on which certain Federal trust species depend, and for other purposes.

By Mr. SANDERS, from the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions, with an amendment:

S. 1844. A bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to reauthorize user fee programs relating to new animal drugs and generic new animal drugs.

By Mr. CARPER, from the Committee on Environment and Public Works, without amendment:

S. 2195. A bill to amend the Energy Policy Act of 2005 to reauthorize the diesel emissions reduction program.

S. 2395. A bill to reauthorize wildlife habitat and conservation programs, and for other purposes.

EXECUTIVE REPORT OF COMMITTEE

The following executive report of a nomination was submitted:

By Mr. WARNER for the Select Committee on Intelligence.

*Michael Colin Casey, of Kentucky, to be Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center.

*Nomination was reported with recommendation that it be confirmed subject to the nominee's commitment to respond to requests to appear and testify before any duly constituted committee of the Senate.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. VANCE:

- S. 2497. A bill to amend the Federal Deposit Insurance Act to convert certain insured State banks into national banks; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.
 - By Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself and Mr. MORAN):
- S. 2498. A bill to prohibit unfair and deceptive advertising of prices for hotel rooms and other places of short-term lodging, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.
 - By Mr. PETERS (for himself, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. CARPER, and Mrs. CAPITO):
- S. 2499. A bill to extend the authorization of the Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards Program of the Department of Homeland Security; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.
 - By Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Ms. HASSAN, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. WARNOCK, and Ms. COLLINS):
- S. 2500. A bill to amend the Commodity Exchange Act to adjust the period during which amounts transferred by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to the account for customer education initiatives and nonawards expenses shall remain available, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.
 - By Mr. BROWN (for himself, Mr. Padilla, Ms. Cortez Masto, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Booker, Mrs. Feinstein, Mrs. Gillibrand, Ms. Hirono, Mr. Luján, Mr. Markey, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Merkley, Mrs. Murray, Mr. Reed, Mr. Schatz, Mr. Van Hollen, Ms. Warren, and Mr. Wyden):
- S. 2501. A bill to direct the Secretary of Labor to promulgate an occupational safety and health standard to protect workers from heat-related injuries and illnesses; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. ROUNDS:

- S. 2502. A bill to require the Chief Data and Artificial Intelligence Officer of the Department of Defense to develop a bug bounty program relating to dual-use foundational artificial intelligence models; to the Committee on Armed Services.
 - By Ms. CORTEZ MASTO (for herself and Mr. BUDD):
- S. 2503. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to enhance penalties for certain crimes committed against veterans, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
 - By Ms. SMITH (for herself, Mr. FETTERMAN, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. BROWN, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. WELCH, and Ms. KLOBUCHAR):
- S. 2504. A bill to require the Secretary of Agriculture to streamline applications from farmers to be vendors under certain nutrition programs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. BRAUN:

S. 2505. A bill to require a report on the effect of the phase-out of the reduction of Sur-

vivor Benefit Plan survivor annuities by the amount of dependency and indemnity compensation; to the Committee on Armed Services

- By Mr. CRUZ (for himself, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. SCHMITT, Mr. KELLY, Mr. PADILLA, and Ms. SINEMA):
- S. 2506. A bill to amend the National Trails System Act to designate the Route 66 National Historic Trail, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
 - By Mr. WELCH (for himself and Mr. King):
- S. 2507. A bill to amend the Food Security Act of 1985 to ensure equal treatment of buy-protect-sell transactions and certain other transactions under the agricultural conservation easement program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:

S. 2508. A bill to require a report on Department of Defense security clearance process updates, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:

- S. 2509. A bill to require reporting on sexual assault in surveys; to the Committee on Armed Services.
 - By Mr. PETERS (for himself, Mr. Brown, and Mrs. BLACKBURN):
- S. 2510. A bill to improve supply chain resiliency for critical drug products with vulnerable supply chains and ensure that reserves of critical drugs and active pharmaceutical ingredients are maintained to prevent supply disruptions in the event of drug shortages or public health emergencies; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.
 - By Mr. BARRASSO (for himself and Mr. BENNET):
- S. 2511. A bill to expand psychological mental and behavioral health services to Medicare, Medicaid, and CHIP beneficiaries by permitting reimbursement of psychological services provided by certain supervised psychology trainees, and facilitating the reimbursement of those services; to the Committee on Finance.
 - By Mr. CASSIDY (for himself and Mr. KAINE):
- S. 2512. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a credit for reenrollment provisions in retirement plans of small employers; to the Committee on Finance
 - By Mr. TESTER (for himself and Mr. Moran):
- S. 2513. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve benefits administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.
 - By Mr. BENNET (for himself, Ms. Lum-MIS, Mr. PADILLA, Mr. BARRASSO, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Ms. SINEMA, Mr. ROMNEY, and Mr. HICKENLOOPER):
- S. 2514. A bill to amend the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Act to modify certain requirements applicable to salinity control units, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.
 - By Mr. CARDIN (for himself, Mr. Daines, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Crapo, Mr. Brown, Mr. Grassley, Mr. Van Hollen, Mr. Barrasso, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Young, Mr. Tester, Mr. Cassidy, Mr. Booker, Mrs. Blackburn, Ms. Smith, Mr. Risch, Mr. Sanders, Ms. Collins, Mr. King, Mr. Moran, Mr. Reed, and Mr. Welch):
- S. 2515. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the Small Business Act to expand the availability of employee stock ownership plans in S corporations, and for

other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

- By Mr. CASEY (for himself and Mr. Scott of Florida):
- S. 2516. A bill to establish the Veterans Advisory Committee on Equal Access, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.
 - By Mr. KAINE (for himself and Mr. CASSIDY):
- S. 2517. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 to allow for periodic automatic reenrollment under qualified automatic contribution arrangements, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. WYDEN:

S. 2518. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to make investment income of certain foreign governments subject to tax: to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. WYDEN:

- S. 2519. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to impose an asset test on professional sports leagues qualifying for 501(c)(6) status; to the Committee on Finance.
 - By Mr. LUJÁN:
- S. 2520. A bill to require the standardization of reciprocal fire suppression cost share agreements, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
 - By Mr. WARNER (for himself, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. HAWLEY, and Ms. KLOBUCHAR):
- S. 2521. A bill to promote competition and reduce consumer switching costs in the provision of online communications services; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.
 - By Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. Wyden, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Sanders, and Ms. Duckworth):
- S. 2522. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide matching payments for ABLE account contributions by certain individuals, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.
 - By Mr. TUBERVILLE (for himself, Mr. WARNOCK, and Mr. WELCH):
- S. 2523. A bill to amend the Federal Crop Insurance Act to require certain membership on the Board of Directors of the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.
 - By Mr. MERKLEY (for himself, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, and Mr. PADILLA):
- S. 2524. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to prohibit institutions of higher education participating in Federal student assistance programs from giving preferential treatment in the admissions process to legacy students or donors; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.
 - By Mr. MARSHALL (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, and Mr. BRAUN):
- S. 2525. A bill to prohibit Federal spending on funding research in China, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.
 - By Mr. SCHATZ (for himself, Mr. Young, Mr. Brown, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Ossoff, Mr. Van Hollen, Mr. Durbin, and Mr. Wyden):
- S. 2526. A bill to establish the Office of Press Freedom, to create press freedom curriculum at the National Foreign Affairs Training Center, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.
 - By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:
- S. 2527. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of

Defense or the Secretary of a military department to enter into cooperative agreements to manage certain recreational resources in California; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:

S. 2528. A bill to require verification of the financial independence of financial services counselors in the Department of Defense; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:

S. 2529. A bill to provide support for military families with dependents in the Exceptional Family Member Program; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. KING, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. KAINE, and Mr. MERKLEY):

S. 2530. A bill to address behavioral health and well-being among education professionals and other school staff; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. SCOTT of Florida:

S. 2531. A bill to improve the communications between social media platforms and law enforcement agencies, to establish the Federal Trade Commission Platform Safety Advisory Committee, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:

S. 2532. A bill to require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report on certain out-of-cycle or premature personnel transfers; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:

S. 2533. A bill to require the Secretary of Defense to allow certain military spouses employed by the Department of Defense to telework full time; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. WARNER (for himself, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. KAINE, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. CASEY, Mr. FETTERMAN, and Mr. MANCHIN):

S. 2534. A bill to amend the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Authorization Act of 1992 to reauthorize the Chesapeake Bay Office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. BOOKER (for himself and Ms. WARREN):

S. 2535. A bill to prohibit agreements between employers that directly restrict the current or future employment of any employee; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. LUJAN (for himself and Mr. WELCH):

S. 2536. A bill to require the Secretary of Agriculture to provide training materials for the use of health care professionals to inform their patients about the availability of benefits under the supplemental nutrition assistance program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. LUJÁN:

S. 2537. A bill to amend the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 to improve access to the food distribution program on Indian reservations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. CASEY (for himself and Mr. TUBERVILLE):

S. 2538. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Health and Human Services to award grants to regional biocontainment laboratories for maintaining surge capacity for purposes of responding to outbreaks of infectious diseases or acts of bioterrorism; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. LANKFORD (for himself, Mr. Mullin, Mr. Hagerty, and Mrs. Blackburn):

S. 2539. A bill to clarify that, in awarding funding under title X of the Public Health Service Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services may not discriminate against eligible States, individuals, or other entities for refusing to counsel or refer for abortions; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. LUJÁN:

S. 2540. A bill to amend the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 to allow for increased flexibility in the food distribution program on Indian reservations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. TUBERVILLE (for himself, Mr. HAGERTY, Mrs. BLACKBURN, and Mrs. BRITT):

S. 2541. A bill to amend the Federal Crop Insurance Act to require the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation to conduct research and development on the inclusion of certain oilseed crops in double cropping policies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mrs. FISCHER (for herself and Mr. Luján):

S. 2542. A bill to amend the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 to establish a last acre program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. WYDEN:

S. 2543. A bill to amend the Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002 to require the National Center for Education Statistics to collect, acquire, compile, and disseminate student attainment in languages other than English, and to require the National Center for Education Research to support research on attainment in languages other than English; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mrs. MURRAY (for herself, Ms. Duckworth, Mrs. Gillibrand, Ms. Warren, Ms. Smith, Ms. Hirono, Mr. Fetterman, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Markey, Mr. Padilla, Mr. Booker, Mr. Welch, Mr. Wyden, Mr. Heinrich, Mr. Whittehouse, Mr. Sanders, and Ms. Hassan):

S. 2544. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to improve reproductive health care of individuals with disabilities; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Ms. HASSAN (for herself and Mr. CASSIDY):

S. 2545. A bill to require the United States Trade Representative to regularly monitor industrial subsidies provided by the Government of the People's Republic of China and submit a report on the risks posed by those subsidies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Ms. SINEMA (for herself and Mr. Kelly):

S. 2546. A bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 100 North Taylor Lane in Patagonia, Arizona, as the "Jim Kolbe Memorial Post Office"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. KAINE (for himself and Mr. WARNER):

S. 2547. A bill to amend the Natural Gas Act to bolster fairness and transparency in the consideration of interstate natural gas pipeline permits, to provide for greater public input opportunities in the natural gas pipeline permitting process, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. CARDIN (for himself and Mr. MORAN):

S. 2548. A bill to amend title 49, United States Code, to establish an Aviation Security Checkpoint Technology Fund in the Department of Homeland Security to fund investments in aviation security checkpoint technology, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. FETTERMAN (for himself and Mr. CASEY):

S. 2549. A bill to name the community-based outpatient clinic of the Department of Veterans Affairs in Monroeville, Pennsylvania, as the "Henry Parham VA Clinic"; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. WHITEHOUSE:

S. 2550. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to disallow deductions for certain payments relating to defamation suits; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. RUBIO:

S. 2551. A bill to impose export controls and sanctions to address the security threat posed by the genetic mapping efforts of the Government of the People's Republic of China and other countries, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

By Mr. PAUL:

S. 2552. A bill to provide for the independent and objective conduct and supervision of audits and investigations relating to the programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated or otherwise made available to Ukraine for military, economic, and humanitarian aid; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. FETTERMAN (for himself, Mr. Brown, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Casey, Mr. Welch, Mr. Wyden, Mr. Padilla, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Booker, Ms. Smith, Ms. Warren, Mr. Sanders, Ms. Klobuchar, and Mr. Blumenthal):

S. 2553. A bill to amend the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 to ensure that striking workers and their households do not become ineligible for benefits under the supplemental nutrition assistance program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. MURPHY:

S. 2554. A bill to establish name, image, and likeness rights for college athletes at institutions of higher education, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. RUBIO (for himself, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, Mr. MARSHALL, and Mr. CRAMER):

S.J. Res. 38. A joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Federal Highway Administration relating to "Waiver of Buy America Requirements for Electric Vehicle Chargers"; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. BRAUN:

S. Res. 311. A resolution designating September 2023 as "Macedonian American Heritage Month" and celebrating the language, history, and culture of Macedonian Americans and their incredible contributions to the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. BROWN, Mr. MURPHY, Ms. HASSAN, Mr. DURBIN, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. WARNOCK,

Mr. Wyden, Mr. Blumenthal, Ms. Duckworth, Ms. Cantwell, Mr. Hickenlooper, Mr. Markey, Mr. Welch, Mr. Booker, Ms. Baldwin, Mr. Reed, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Bennet, Ms. Smith, Mr. Fetterman, Mr. Padilla, Mr. Luján, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Van Hollen, Ms. Warren, Mrs. Murray, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Kaine, Mr. King, and Ms. Klobuchar):

S. Res. 312. A resolution recognizing the importance of independent living for individuals with disabilities made possible by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and calling for further action to strengthen home and community living for individuals with disabilities; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. PADILLA, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. LUJÁN, and Ms. HASSAN):

S. Res. 313. A resolution designating September 2023 as "National Child Awareness Month" to promote awareness of charities that benefit children and youth-serving organizations throughout the United States and recognizing the efforts made by those charities and organizations on behalf of children and youth as critical contributions to the future of the United States; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. McConnell):

S. Res. 314. A resolution to authorize testimony and representation in United States v. Sahady; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. McConnell):

S. Res. 315. A resolution to authorize testimony and representation in United States v. Bozell; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself and Mr. MURPHY):

S. Res. 316. A resolution honoring the life of Lowell Palmer Weicker, Jr., former Senator for the State of Connecticut; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. CORNYN (for himself and Mr. CRUZ):

S. Res. 317. A resolution celebrating the 100th anniversary of the founding of Texas Tech University; considered and agreed to.

By Mrs. BLACKBURN:

S. Res. 318. A resolution raising awareness of modern day slavery; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. DAINES, Mr. FETTERMAN, and Mr. TESTER):

S. Con. Res. 18. A concurrent resolution calling for the immediate release of Marc Fogel, a United States citizen and teacher, who was given an unjust and disproportionate criminal sentence by the Government of the Russian Federation in June 2022; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 26

At the request of Mr. HAGERTY, the name of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. RICKETTS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 26, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the amendments made to reporting of third party network transactions by the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021.

S. 311

At the request of Mr. Peters, the name of the Senator from Maine (Ms. Collins) was added as a cosponsor of S. 311, a bill to correct the inequitable denial of enhanced retirement and annu-

ity benefits to certain U.S. Customs and Border Protection Officers.

S. 414

At the request of Mr. Tester, the name of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. Fetterman) was added as a cosponsor of S. 414, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve and to expand eligibility for dependency and indemnity compensation paid to certain survivors of certain veterans, and for other purposes.

S. 552

At the request of Mr. Rubio, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT) was added as a cosponsor of S. 552, a bill to extend duty-free treatment provided with respect to imports from Haiti under the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act.

S. 610

At the request of Ms. SINEMA, the name of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. RICKETTS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 610, a bill to amend the Federal Credit Union Act to modify the frequency of board of directors meetings, and for other purposes.

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the names of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. Warner) and the Senator from Indiana (Mr. Braun) were added as cosponsors of S. 626, a bill to recommend that the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation test the effect of a dementia care management model, and for other purposes.

S. 707

At the request of Ms. Collins, the name of the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. Heinrich) was added as a cosponsor of S. 707, a bill to amend the Animal Welfare Act to allow for the retirement of certain animals used in Federal research, and for other purposes.

S. 838

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from Arizona (Ms. SINEMA) was added as a cosponsor of S. 838, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to improve access to mental health services under the Medicare program.

S. 1036

At the request of Mr. Casey, the names of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) and the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. Whitehouse) were added as cosponsors of S. 1036, a bill to amend the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 to streamline nutrition access for older adults and adults with disabilities, and for other purposes.

S. 1119

At the request of Mr. Brown, the names of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. Fetterman) and the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. Whitehouse) were added as cosponsors of S. 1119, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to increase the maximum age for children eligible for medical care under the CHAMPVA program, and for other purposes.

S. 1205

At the request of Mr. Brown, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr.

DURBIN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1205, a bill to modify market development programs under the Department of Agriculture, and for other purposes.

S. 1246

At the request of Ms. Klobuchar, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1246, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to strengthen the drug pricing reforms in the Inflation Reduction Act.

S. 1264

At the request of Ms. Klobuchar, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1264, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to strengthen the drug pricing reforms in the Inflation Reduction Act.

S. 1271

At the request of Mr. Scott of South Carolina, the names of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. Booker), the Senator from Utah (Mr. Romney) and the Senator from Washington (Ms. Cantwell) were added as cosponsors of S. 1271, a bill to impose sanctions with respect to trafficking of illicit fentanyl and its precursors by transnational criminal organizations, including cartels, and for other purposes.

S. 1323

At the request of Mr. MERKLEY, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1323, a bill to create protections for financial institutions that provide financial services to Statesanctioned marijuana businesses and service providers for such businesses, and for other purposes.

S. 1334

At the request of Ms. Rosen, the name of the Senator from West Virginia (Mr. Manchin) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1334, a bill to require the Secretary of Defense to develop, in cooperation with allies and partners in the Middle East, an integrated maritime domain awareness and interdiction capability, and for other purposes.

S. 1384

At the request of Mrs. GILLIBRAND, the name of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. RICKETTS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1384, a bill to promote and protect from discrimination living organ donors.

S. 1409

At the request of Mr. Blumenthal, the names of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. Kaine), the Senator from Maryland (Mr. Cardin) and the Senator from New Hampshire (Mrs. Shaheen) were added as cosponsors of S. 1409, a bill to protect the safety of children on the internet.

S. 1444

At the request of Mr. Lankford, the names of the Senator from New Hampshire (Ms. Hassan), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. Tillis) and the Senator from Arizona (Mr. Kelly) were added as cosponsors of S. 1444, a bill to increase the pay and enhance the training of United States Border Patrol agents, and for other purposes.

S. 1527

At the request of Mrs. Shaheen, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Mr. Cardin) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1527, a bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to ensure that members of the Armed Forces and their families have access to the contraception they need in order to promote the health and readiness of all members of the Armed Forces, and for other purposes.

S. 1668

At the request of Mr. Wyden, the names of the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. Whitehouse) and the Senator from Nevada (Ms. Cortez Masto) were added as cosponsors of S. 1668, a bill to improve the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network, and for other purposes.

S. 1756

At the request of Mr. KING, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1756, a bill to amend the Farm Credit Act of 1971 to support the commercial fishing industry.

S. 1829

At the request of Mr. Rubio, the names of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. Braun) and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. Thune) were added as cosponsors of S. 1829, a bill to impose sanctions with respect to persons engaged in the import of petroleum from the Islamic Republic of Iran, and for other purposes.

S. 1837

At the request of Mr. Fetterman, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1837, a bill to amend the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 to include spotted lanternfly control research and development as a high-priority research and extension initiative, and for other purposes.

S. 1852

At the request of Mr. Scott of South Carolina, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. WARNOCK) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1852, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to reauthorize a sickle cell disease prevention and treatment demonstration program.

S. 1860

At the request of Mr. WICKER, the name of the Senator from California (Mr. PADILLA) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1860, a bill to direct the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration to establish a grant program to fund youth fishing projects.

S. 1875

At the request of Mr. Kennedy, the name of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. Braun) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1875, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to prohibit the Secretary of Veterans Affairs from transmitting certain information to the Department of Justice for use by the national instant criminal background check system.

S. 2085

At the request of Mr. CRAPO, the name of the Senator from Delaware

(Mr. Coons) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2085, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for Medicare coverage of multi-cancer early detection screening tests.

S 2092

At the request of Mr. DAINES, the name of the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2092, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a child tax credit for pregnant moms with respect to their unborn children, and for other purposes.

S. 2231

At the request of Mr. MERKLEY, the name of the Senator from Arizona (Ms. SINEMA) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2231, a bill to amend title V of the Social Security Act to support stillbirth prevention and research, and for other purposes.

S. 2240

At the request of Mr. Coons, the name of the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. Lankford) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2240, a bill to amend the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to authorize appropriations for certain cooperative projects among the United States, Israel, and developing countries, and for other purposes.

S. 2317

At the request of Mr. FETTERMAN, the name of the Senator from Maine (Mr. KING) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2317, a bill to amend the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998 and the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 to direct the Agricultural Research Service to expand organic research, and for other purposes.

S. 2335

At the request of Mr. Vance, the name of the Senator from Missouri (Mr. Hawley) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2335, a bill to establish within the Department of the Treasury the Office of the Special Inspector General for Financial Regulatory Abuses and Misconduct, and for other purposes.

S. 2391

At the request of Mr. Kennedy, the name of the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. Hyde-Smith) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2391, a bill to reauthorize the National Flood Insurance Program.

S. 2413

At the request of Mr. MENENDEZ, the name of the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILLIBRAND) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2413, a bill to expand and strengthen the Abraham Accords and the Negev Forum, and for other purposes.

S. 2494

At the request of Mr. Markey, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2494, a bill to update the 21st Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010.

S. CON. RES. 14

At the request of Mr. Cotton, the name of the Senator from Oklahoma

(Mr. Lankford) was added as a cosponsor of S. Con. Res. 14, a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress supporting the State of Israel.

S. RES. 20

At the request of Mr. CARDIN, the names of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) and the Senator from Delaware (Mr. Coons) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 20, a resolution condemning the coup that took place on February 1, 2021, in Burma and the Burmese military's detention of civilian leaders, calling for an immediate and unconditional release of all those detained, promoting accountability and justice for those killed by the Burmese military, and calling for those elected to serve in parliament to resume their duties without impediment, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 371

At the request of Mr. MENENDEZ, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 371 intended to be proposed to S. 2226, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 774

At the request of Mr. WARNER, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. OSSOFF) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 774 intended to be proposed to S. 2226, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 988

At the request of Ms. ERNST, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 988 intended to be proposed to S. 2226, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 992

At the request of Mr. Merkley, the names of the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. Markey) and the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. Menendez) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 992 intended to be proposed to S. 2226, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 993

At the request of Mr. MERKLEY, the names of the Senator from Connecticut

(Mr. Blumenthal), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. Tillis) and the Senator from Florida (Mr. Rubio) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 993 intended to be proposed to S. 2226, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 999

At the request of Mr. Manchin, the name of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. Brown) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 999 intended to be proposed to S. 2226, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 1032

At the request of Mr. Sanders, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Merkley) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1032 intended to be proposed to S. 2226, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. KAINE (for himself and Mr. CASSIDY):

S. 2517. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 to allow for periodic automatic reenrollment under qualified automatic contribution arrangements, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mr. KAINE. Madam President, today I am introducing the Auto Reenroll Act of 2023, alongside Senator CASSIDY. Enacting this bill would improve financial security for Americans by strengthening their private retirement savings.

Nearly 7 in 10 Americans working in the private sector have access to employer-sponsored retirement plans, but a quarter of those with access do not participate in those plans. This means less money saved for retirement. Often, it means leaving money on the table, in the form of employer-matching contributions. Encouraging more employees to participate in their workplace plans would increase their overall compensation and improve their financial security and retirement outlook.

The Auto Reenroll Act of 2023 would boost participation by encouraging safe harbor retirement plans to adopt auto-

matic reenrollment features. Automatic enrollment plans have been tremendously successful at encouraging workers to participate in employersponsored plans, but employees who opt out of participating at the beginning of their tenure will likely never reconsider that decision. This bill would build on the success of auto enrollment by permitting employers to reenroll nonparticipants once every 3 years, providing them another opportunity to consider participation. This would encourage those employees to reassess their nonparticipation as their financial situation evolves.

I encourage my colleagues to support this commonsense legislation to bolster private retirement savings.

By Mr. KAINE (for himself and Mr. WARNER):

S. 2547. A bill to amend the Natural Gas Act to bolster fairness and transparency in the consideration of interstate natural gas pipeline permits, to provide for greater public input opportunities in the natural gas pipeline permitting process, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

Mr. KAINE. Madam President, today, I am introducing a bill to make the process of siting natural gas pipelines fairer, more transparent, and more responsive to landowner concerns.

For some time now, I have been listening to Virginians with passionate views on the process involved in permitting the Mountain Valley Pipeline. as well as the previous proposal for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline. For various reasons, many oppose one or both of these projects, while others support these projects. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, FERC, is tasked with analyzing all the issues purpose and need for a project, impacts on people living on the route, potential risks to the environment or property and deciding what course best serves the public interest.

From listening to all sides, I have concluded that while reasonable people reach different conclusions. FERC's public input process is flawed and could be better. Accordingly, this legislation proposes several steps to address several shortcomings, all of which were originally brought to my attention by Virginia constituents. For instance, this bill requires programmatic analysis of pipelines proposed around the same time and in the same geographic vicinity so that the full impacts of multiple projects can be analyzed. It requires a greater number of public comment meetings so that citizens are not required to commute long distances to meetings at which they must speed through just a few minutes of remarks on these complex topics. It ensures that affected landowners are given proper notice and compensation. It guarantees that landowner complaints will be heard before construction commences. And it clarifies the circumstances under which eminent domain should and should not be used.

I am pleased to be joined by my colleague Senator MARK WARNER on this bill. The public deserves reasonable opportunity to weigh in on energy infrastructure projects, and we are heeding calls by our constituents to make this process fairer and more transparent without mandating a particular outcome.

I encourage the Senate to consider this legislation, not to pave the way for pipelines nor to throw up insurmountable roadblocks to them but to give the public greater certainty that the Federal Government's infrastructure decisions are fair and transparent.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 311—DESIGNATING SEPTEMBER 2023 AS "MACEDONIAN AMERICAN HERITAGE MONTH" AND CELEBRATING THE LANGUAGE, HISTORY, AND CULTURE OF MACEDONIAN AMERICANS AND THEIR INCREDIBLE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE UNITED STATES

Mr. BRAUN submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 311

Whereas, since the 1880s, tens of thousands of Macedonians have immigrated to the United States seeking civil liberties, human rights, religious freedom, economic opportunity, and security in response to the 1903 Ilinden Uprising against the Ottoman Empire, the 1912-1913 Balkan Wars, World War I and World War II, the 1946-1949 Greek Civil War, and the communist policies of Yugoslavia;

Whereas these Macedonian American immigrants have settled across the United States, contributing to their communities in innumerable ways as loyal and patriotic citizens;

Whereas there are an estimated 500,000 individuals of Macedonian heritage living in the United States, with sizeable communities in the States of Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Florida, Texas, Arizona, and California;

Whereas the Macedonian American community in the United States is a vibrant community that is embedded within the mosaic of the United States, partaking in all walks of life, business, medicine, law, technology, civic engagement, government, the military, education, the arts, culinary world, athletics, and more;

Whereas Macedonian American entrepreneurs have exhibited resilience, determination, and a commitment to hard work by overcoming challenges to achieve business success and contribute to the foundation of commerce in the United States;

Whereas Macedonian American athletes have achieved remarkable success in various sporting disciplines and contributed to the rich tapestry of the sporting tradition in the United States by winning medals at the Olympic Games, winning league championships, and owning sports teams;

Whereas Macedonian American artists have demonstrated exceptional skill in various art forms, including the visual arts, literature, music, dance, theater, film, and photography, leaving an indelible mark on the artistic tradition of the United States;

Whereas members of the Macedonian American community have contributed greatly to the field of medicine, including in cardiovascular and thoracic surgery, orthopedic surgery and sports medicine, and obstetrics and gynecology, among others;

Whereas Macedonian Americans have been elected to serve in legislatures and court-rooms across the country, collaborating on the never-ending work of democracy in the United States: and

Whereas it is important to highlight these critical contributions and the cultural impact of Macedonian Americans in the United States: Now, therefore, be it:

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) designates September 2023 as "Macedonian American Heritage Month" to honor the cultural contributions and achievements of Macedonian Americans;

(2) recognizes the numerous contributions of Macedonian Americans to the United States in various fields, including arts, sciences, business, politics, academics, medicine and sports; and

(3) urges the people of the United States to observe Macedonian American Heritage Month with appropriate ceremonies, activities, and programs that honor the cultural contributions and achievements of Macedonian Americans.

SENATE RESOLUTION 312—RECOGNIZING THE IMPORTANCE OF INDEPENDENT LIVING FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES MADE POSSIBLE BY THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 AND CALLING FOR FURTHER ACTION TO STRENGTHEN HOME AND COMMUNITY LIVING FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

CASEY Mr (for himself. Mr MERKLEY, Mr. BROWN, Mr. MURPHY, Ms. HASSAN, Mr. DURBIN, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Warnock, Mr. Wyden, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, DUCKWORTH, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr HICKENLOOPER, Mr. MARKEY. WELCH, Mr. BOOKER, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. REED, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. BENNET, Ms. SMITH, Mr. FETTERMAN, Mr. PADILLA, Mr. Lujan, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Van Hol-LEN, Ms. WARREN, Mrs. MURRAY, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. KAINE, Mr. KING, and Ms. Klobuchar) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions:

S. RES. 312

Whereas, in enacting the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.), Congress recognized that "historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities, and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem":

Whereas the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 recognizes the rights of individuals with disabilities to fully participate in their communities through independent living, equality of opportunity, and economic self-sufficiency;

Whereas, 33 years after the date of the enactment of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and 24 years after the date of the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States in Olmstead v. L.C., 527 U.S. 581 (1999), many individuals with disabilities continue to live in segregated institutional settings because of a lack of support services in their communities;

Whereas the continuation of segregated institutional settings has hindered the inclusion of individuals with disabilities in communities, schools, and workplaces, undermining the promise of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990;

Whereas individuals with disabilities living in institutional and long-term care settings have endured disproportionate rates of infection and death during the COVID-19 pandemic:

Whereas individuals of color with disabilities have been disparately affected by the COVID-19 pandemic;

Whereas individuals of color with disabilities experience disproportionately greater barriers to high quality and accessible healthcare, education, and competitive integrated employment opportunities, infringing on their right to fully participate in their communities under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990;

Whereas, 33 years after the date of the enactment of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990—

(1) women with disabilities continue to regularly face barriers to reproductive healthcare, including inaccessible and inequitable services;

(2) individuals with disabilities continue to face high rates of unemployment and barriers to accessible workplaces;

(3) nearly a quarter of the population of individuals with disabilities live below the

poverty line;
(4) some telecommunication, electronic, and information technologies continue to be developed without the goal of making those technologies fully accessible for all individuals of the United States; and

(5) many businesses, public and private organizations, transportation systems, and services remain inaccessible to many individuals with disabilities;

Whereas the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 represents the floor, and not the ceiling, of efforts needed to dismantle barriers to full participation, equal opportunity, independent living, and economic self-sufficiency; and

Whereas fulfilling the promise of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 requires individuals, families, communities, and government to work together to guarantee that individuals with disabilities have the opportunity to thrive in their communities and in their lives: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) recognizes the importance of independent living for individuals with disabilities made possible by the enactment of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.);

(2) encourages the people of the United States to celebrate the advancement of inclusion and equality of opportunity made possible by the enactment of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990;

(3) pledges to continue to work on a bipartisan basis to identify and address the remaining barriers that undermine the national goals of equality of opportunity, independent living, economic self-sufficiency, and full participation for individuals with disabilities, including by focusing on individuals with disabilities who remain segregated in institutions:

(4) pledges to work with States to improve access to home- and community-based services for individuals with disabilities;

(5) calls on the Department of Labor to develop policies and practices and provide technical assistance that enable individuals with disabilities to become economically self-sufficient;

(6) calls on the Federal Communications Commission to provide information, resources, and technical assistance to enable individuals with disabilities to have full and equitable access to communications and telecommunications services and technologies;

(7) calls on the Department of Health and Human Services to provide information, resources, and technical assistance related to home- and community-based services and to enable individuals with disabilities to live independently;

(8) calls on the Department of Housing and Urban Development to provide accessible and inclusive homes and communities that increase the options available for accessible, inclusive, and equitable housing for individuals with disabilities: and

(9) calls on the Department of Transportation to create accessible transit and airports and increase the hiring, promotion, and retention of individuals with disabilities in the transportation workforce.

SENATE RESOLUTION 313-DESIG-NATING SEPTEMBER 2023 AS "NATIONAL CHILD AWARENESS MONTH" TO PROMOTE AWARE-NESS OF CHARITIES THAT BEN-CHILDREN AND YOUTH-EFIT SERVING ORGANIZATIONS THROUGHOUT THEUNITED STATES AND RECOGNIZING THE MADE EFFORTS BY THOSE CHARITIES AND ORGANIZATIONS ON BEHALF OF CHILDREN AND YOUTH AS CRITICAL CONTRIBU-TIONS TO THE FUTURE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. PADILLA, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. LUJÁN, and Ms. HASSAN) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 313

Whereas millions of children and youth in the United States represent the hopes and the future of the United States;

Whereas numerous individuals, charities benefitting children, and youth-serving organizations that work with children and youth collaborate to provide invaluable services to enrich and better the lives of children and youth throughout the United States;

Whereas raising awareness of and increasing support for organizations that provide access to health care, social services, education, the arts, sports, and other services will result in the development of character in, and the future success of, the children and youth of the United States;

Whereas the month of September, as the school year begins, is a time when parents, families, teachers, school administrators, and communities increase the focus on children and youth throughout the United States;

Whereas the month of September is a time for the people of the United States to high-light and be mindful of the needs of children and youth:

Whereas private corporations and businesses have joined with hundreds of national and local charitable organizations throughout the United States in support of a monthlong focus on children and youth; and

Whereas designating September 2023 as "National Child Awareness Month" would

recognize that a long-term commitment to children and youth is in the public interest and will encourage widespread support for charities and organizations that seek to provide a better future for the children and youth of the United States: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate designates September 2023 as "National Child Awareness Month"—

- (1) to promote awareness of charities that benefit children and youth-serving organizations throughout the United States;
- (2) to recognize the efforts made by those charities and organizations on behalf of children and youth as critical contributions to the future of the United States; and
- (3) to recognize the importance of meeting the needs of at-risk children and youth, including children and youth who—
 - (A) have experienced homelessness:
- (B) are in the foster care system;
- (C) have been victims, or are at risk of becoming victims, of child sex trafficking;
- (D) have been impacted by violence;
- (E) have experienced trauma; and
- (F) have serious physical and mental health needs.

SENATE RESOLUTION 314—TO AUTHORIZE TESTIMONY AND REPRESENTATION IN UNITED STATES V. SAHADY

Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. McConnell) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 314

Whereas, in the case of *United States v. Sahady*, Cr. No. 21-134, pending in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, the prosecution has requested the production of testimony from Daniel Schwager, a former employee of the Office of the Secretary of the Senate;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§ 288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent current and former officers and employees of the Senate with respect to any subpoena, order, or request for evidence relating to their official responsibilities;

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial or administrative process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate; and

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may promote the administration of justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistent with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Daniel Schwager, a former employee of the Office of the Secretary of the Senate, is authorized to provide relevant testimony in the case of *United States v. Sahady*, except concerning matters for which a privilege should be asserted.

SEC. 2. The Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent Mr. Schwager, and any current or former officer or employee of the Secretary's office, in connection with the production of evidence authorized in section one of this resolution.

SENATE RESOLUTION 315—TO AUTHORIZE TESTIMONY AND REPRESENTATION IN UNITED STATES V. BOZELL

Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. McConnell) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 315

Whereas, in the case of *United States v. Bozell*, Cr. No. 21-216, pending in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, the prosecution has requested the production of testimony from Daniel Schwager, a former employee of the Office of the Secretary of the Senate:

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§ 288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent current and former officers and employees of the Senate with respect to any subpoena, order, or request for evidence relating to their official responsibilities;

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial or administrative process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate; and

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may promote the administration of justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistent with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Daniel Schwager, a former employee of the Office of the Secretary of the Senate, is authorized to provide relevant testimony in the case of *United States v. Bozell*, except concerning matters for which a privilege should be asserted.

SEC. 2. The Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent Mr. Schwager, and any current or former officer or employee of the Secretary's office, in connection with the production of evidence authorized in section one of this resolution.

SENATE RESOLUTION 316—HON-ORING THE LIFE OF LOWELL PALMER WEICKER, JR., FORMER SENATOR FOR THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself and Mr. MURPHY) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 316

Whereas Lowell P. Weicker, Jr.—

(1) was born in Paris, France, in 1931; and (2) graduated from Yale University, in New Haven, Connecticut, and the University of Virginia Law School;

Whereas Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. served in the United States Army from 1953 through 1955, achieving the rank of first lieutenant;

Whereas Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. was elected to the House of Representatives in 1968;

Whereas Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. was first elected to the Senate in 1970 and was reelected in 1976 and 1982;

Whereas Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. served on the Senate Watergate Committee, where he was the first Republican senator to call for the resignation of President Richard Nixon, an act of political courage and dedication to public service:

Whereas Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. was an early and strong advocate in the Senate for the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

(42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.), which prohibits discrimination based on disability in everyday activities;

Whereas, while serving in the Senate, Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. was a strong advocate for protecting public health, shown through his efforts to—

- (1) prevent cuts in funding for the National Institutes of Health;
- (2) support scientific and medical research efforts; and
- (3) secure funding for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) treatment;

Whereas, after his tenure in the Senate, Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. was elected Governor of Connecticut in 1990;

Whereas, as Governor of Connecticut, Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. secured the passage of a state income tax that, while unpopular, balanced the budget of the State;

Whereas, as Governor of Connecticut, Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. signed many laws that sought to improve the quality of life for residents of the State, including a ban on assault rifles for the first time in State history;

Whereas, after leaving public office, Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. continued his work to improve the public health, founding Trust for America's Health, a nonprofit working on disease prevention, and serving as the president of the organization from 2001 through 2011; and

Whereas Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. is survived by his wife, Claudia Weicker, as well as his 5 sons, 2 stepsons, 12 grandchildren, and 4 great-grandchildren: Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That—

- (1) the Senate has heard with profound sorrow and deep regret the announcement of the death of Lowell P. Weicker, Jr., former member of the Senate:
- (2) the Senate directs the Secretary of the Senate to communicate this resolution to the House of Representatives and transmit an enrolled copy of this resolution to the family of Lowell P. Weicker, Jr.; and
- (3) when the Senate adjourns today, it stand adjourned as a further mark of respect to the memory of the late Lowell P. Weicker, Jr.

SENATE RESOLUTION 317—CELE-BRATING THE 100TH ANNIVER-SARY OF THE FOUNDING OF TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY

Mr. CORNYN (for himself and Mr. CRUZ) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 317

Whereas, in 2023, Texas Tech University in Lubbock, Texas, is celebrating the 100th anniversary of the founding of the University;

Whereas, established as Texas Technological College in 1923, the University opened 2 years later with an enrollment of 914 undergraduate students;

Whereas, in 1936, a division of graduate studies was added, and in 1969 the college was renamed Texas Tech University;

Whereas the University has distinguished itself by earning—

- (1) the Very High Research Activity designation from the Carnegie Classification of Institutions of Higher Education;
- (2) recognition as both a Veteran Friendly Institution and an honoree on the "Best for Vets: Employers" list by the Military Times; and
- (3) recognition by the United States Department of Education as a Hispanic-serving institution;

Whereas, in the last 3 years, faculty members of Texas Tech University received 23

Fulbright Scholar Awards, 8 National Science Foundation Career Awards, and 7 National Endowment for the Humanities orants:

Whereas Texas Tech University alumni include Governors from Texas and Colorado, multiple members of the United States Congress and State legislatures, stars of stage, screen, and music, and leaders and captains of industry, science, engineering, agriculture, and more:

Whereas, as of the adoption of this resolution, Texas Tech University serves more than 40,000 students and offers over 150 undergraduate, 100 graduate, and 50 doctoral programs across 13 colleges and schools;

Whereas Texas Tech University has international campuses and study abroad programs;

Whereas Texas Tech University has awardwinning academic programs, including the Davis College of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, the School of Medicine, the School of Law, the Whitacre College of Engineering, and the Rawls College of Business:

Whereas, in recent years, Texas Tech University inaugurated the Texas Tech School of Veterinary Medicine, the first school of veterinary medicine in the State of Texas in more than 100 years:

Whereas Texas Tech University takes great pride in the 17 varsity sports that represent the University and in membership of the Big 12 Conference:

Whereas the Red Raiders have won 27 Big 12 Conference titles, including 11 in the past 7 years, and alumni of the University include Olympic and Super Bowl champions; and

Whereas, since the founding of the University 100 years ago, Texas Tech University has provided generations of Texans with a strong foundation for achievement, and in so doing, the University has contributed significantly to the prosperity and vitality of the Lone Star State and the Nation: Now, therefore, be it.

Resolved, That the Senate commemorates the 100th anniversary of Texas Tech University and extends to all those associated with this noteworthy institution sincere best wishes for the future.

SENATE RESOLUTION 318—RAISING AWARENESS OF MODERN DAY SLAVERY

Mrs. BLACKBURN submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 318

Whereas it is estimated that tens of millions of children, women, and men around the world are subjected to conditions of modern day slavery:

Whereas it is estimated that human trafficking, both sex trafficking and forced labor, generate more than \$150,000,000,000 in illicit profits for the traffickers and those who help facilitate the crime:

Whereas the International Labour Organization 2021 Global Estimates Report indicates there are 49,600,000 people in modern day slavery, including 27,600,000 in forced labor and 22,000,000 in forced marriage;

Whereas the 2021 Global Estimates Report indicates modern day slavery is only rising, increasing by more than 9,000,000 since 2016;

Whereas, despite being outlawed in every nation, modern day slavery exists around the world, including in the United States;

Whereas, out of the 28,000,000 people trapped in forced labor, 17,300,000 people are exploited in the private sector, 6,300,000 people are in forced commercial sexual exploitation, and 3,900,000 people are in forced labor imposed by state authorities;

Whereas, around the world, 55 percent of forced labor victims are women or girls;

Whereas more than 12,000,000 of the individuals trapped in modern day slavery are children:

Whereas an estimated 22,000,000 people were living in forced marriage on any given day in 2021, with women and girls making up 14,900,000 of the total;

Whereas the Department of State 2022 Trafficking in Persons Report identifies governments with a "documented 'policy or pattern' of human trafficking, trafficking in government-funded programs, forced labor in government-affiliated medical services or other sectors, sexual slavery in government or camps, or the employment or recruitment of child soldiers," including the Governments of Afghanistan, Burma, the People's Republic of China, Cuba, Eritrea, Iran, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Russia, South Sudan, Syria, and Turkmenistan;

Whereas the People's Republic of China's government policies are separating 800,000 to 900,000 Tibetan children from their families and communities to eliminate Tibetan identity and supplant it with a Chinese nationalist identity to neutralize any resistance to Chinese Community Party rule:

Whereas the Government of the People's Republic of China's exploits and profits from over 1,000,000 Uyghurs detained and subjected to forced labor in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region;

Whereas there are reports of Ukrainians being forcibly removed to remote areas of Russia:

Whereas the International Criminal Court issues arrest warrants for Russian officials for the kidnapping and deportation of Ukrainian children:

Whereas the Washington Institute for Defense and Security report on modern day slavery indicates that displaced Ukrainian women are being forced into sex slavery and domestic servitude:

Whereas the Trafficking in Persons Report indicates that forced labor is part of an established system of political repression and a pillar of the economic system in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea;

Whereas North Koreans are systematically forced into labor overseas, primarily in Russia and China, in violation of United Nations Security Council resolutions:

Whereas the Trafficking in Persons Report indicates that the Government of Iran condones and, in some cases, directly facilitates sex trafficking of Iranian adults and children:

Whereas human trafficking, modern day slavery, and forced labor are evil and stand at the center of global and national security concerns:

Whereas the United States Government, along with international allies, organizations, and private sector businesses, is working to prevent forced labor and modern day slavery in global supply chains;

Whereas, every year since 2010, the President of the United States has designated the month of January as "National Human Trafficking Prevention Month"; and

Whereas the United Nations recognizes July 30 as "World Day against Trafficking in Persons": Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates July 30, 2023, as World Day against Trafficking in Persons;

(2) commends each individual who supported the month of January as "National Human Trafficking Prevention Month";

(3) notes the dedication of individuals, organizations, and governments to end modern day slavery; and

(4) calls for concerted, international action to bring an end to modern day slavery around the world.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 18—CALLING FOR THE IMMEDIATE RELEASE OF MARC FOGEL, A UNITED STATES CITIZEN AND TEACHER, WHO WAS GIVEN AN UNJUST AND DISPROPORTIONATE CRIMINAL SENTENCE BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION IN JUNE 2022

Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. DAINES, Mr. FETTERMAN, and Mr. TESTER) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. CON. RES. 18

Whereas United States citizen Marc Fogel has lived a life of service, teaching history at international schools in Colombia, Malaysia, Oman, Venezuela, and Russia for 35 years;

Whereas Marc Fogel taught at the Anglo-American School of Moscow from 2012 to 2021, honorably serving the children of United States diplomats and members of the Armed Forces;

Whereas Marc Fogel is known to his family, friends, colleagues, and students as a kind, personable, upbeat, and giving man, a loving father, and a passionate and dedicated teacher:

Whereas Marc Fogel has undergone three back surgeries, a spinal fusion, a hip replacement, and two knee surgeries to correct various injuries and health issues, which have left him with chronic back pain and a permanent limp;

Whereas Marc Fogel did not wish to use opioids to manage his pain and was instead prescribed medical marijuana for pain management in a manner consistent with the State law of Pennsylvania;

Whereas, on August 14, 2021, as he returned to Russia for one final year of teaching before his intended retirement, Marc Fogel was arrested in the Sheremetyevo airport in Moscow for carrying about half an ounce of medical marijuana in his luggage;

Whereas Marc Fogel has stated he intended that marijuana solely for personal consumption, and the Government of the Russian Federation has presented no evidence to the contrary;

Whereas, on June 16, 2022, a Russian court convicted Marc Fogel of "large-scale drugs smuggling" in a politicized show trial and sentenced him to 14 years in a maximum-security penal colony in Russia;

Whereas Russian lawyers informed the family that the typical sentence for Marc Fogel's offense is five years of probation, and in 2019, the same Russian court sentenced Alexander Grigoriev to eight years in prison for the possession of 1,500 grams of various narcotics:

Whereas Marc Fogel's sentence is vastly disproportionate to the severity of his non-violent crime, wildly dissimilar to the typical punishments for comparable offenses in Russia, and clearly motivated by ongoing political tensions between Russia and the United States;

Whereas, in August 2022, Russian courts denied Marc Fogel's appeal of his sentence;

Whereas the 2021 Country Report on Human Rights Practices in Russia issued by the Department of State reported, "Conditions in prisons and detention centers... were often harsh and life threatening. Overcrowding, abuse by guards and inmates, limited access to health care, food shortages, and inadequate sanitation were common":

Whereas Marc Fogel turns 62 years old in July 2023, and his physical and mental health is rapidly declining due to the stress and harsh conditions of his detention, such that his family fears he will not survive his sentence; and

Whereas the Department of State requested Marc Fogel be released from Russian custody on humanitarian grounds, but received no response to that request: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That Congress—

(1) calls on the Government of the Russian Federation to immediately release Marc Fogel, who has already served more time in prison than his minor and nonviolent crimes can justify;

(2) urges the Government of the Russian Federation to respect Marc Fogel's human rights and to provide full, unfettered, and consistent consular access to Marc Fogel while he remains in detention, in accordance with its international obligations;

(3) urges all United States executive branch officials, including President Joseph Biden, Secretary of State Antony Blinken, and Special Presidential Envoy for Hostage Affairs Roger Carstens, to raise the case of Marc Fogel and to press for his immediate release in all interactions with the Government of the Russian Federation;

(4) urges the Government of the Russian Federation to desist from issuing outlandishly disproportionate criminal sentences to nonviolent United States citizens:

(5) condemns the Government of the Russian Federation's continued use of detentions and prosecutions of citizens and lawful permanent residents of the United States for political purposes:

(6) calls for the immediate release of other citizens and lawful permanent residents of the United States who are wrongfully detained in Russia, such as Paul Whelan, Evan Gershkovich, and Vladimir Kara-Murza; and

(7) expresses sympathy for and solidarity with the families of all other citizens and lawful permanent residents of the United States wrongfully detained abroad for the personal hardship experienced as a result of the arbitrary and baseless detention of their loved ones.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1050. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1051. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1052. Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. SCOTT of Florida) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1053. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1054. Mr. LUJÁN (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, and Mr. HEINRICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1055. Mr. WICKER (for himself, Mr. RISCH, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. HAWLEY, Ms. SINEMA, and Mr. LEE) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 935 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER (for Mr. REED (for himself and Mr. WICKER)) to the bill S. 2226, supra.

SA 1056. Mr. WICKER (for himself and Mr. Graham) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1057. Mrs. GILLIBRAND submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1058. Mr. HAWLEY (for himself, Mr. Luján, and Mr. Crapo) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1059. Mr. SCOTT of Florida (for himself and Mr. LUJÁN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1060. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1061. Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. CARPER, and Mr. DAINES) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1062. Mr. SCHATZ (for himself and Ms. MURKOWSKI) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1063. Ms. SINEMA submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1064. Mrs. HYDE-SMITH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1065. Mrs. GILLIBRAND (for herself, Mr. SCHUMER, and Mr. BRAUN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1066. Mr. WHITEHOUSE (for Mr. CRUZ) proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 166, honoring the efforts of the Coast Guard for excellence in maritime border security.

SA 1067. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1068. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1069. Mr. WHITEHOUSE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1070. Ms. SINEMA (for herself and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1071. Mr. DAINES submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table

SA 1072. Mr. SCHATZ (for himself and Ms. MURKOWSKI) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 1050. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by

him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. ___. AMENDMENTS TO CONTRACTING AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.

(a) Socially and Economically Disadvan-Taged Small Business Concerns.—Section 8(a)(1)(D)(i)(II) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)(1)(D)(i)(II)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(or \$10,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$7,000,000"; and

(2) by inserting "(or \$8,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$3,000,000"

(b) CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY WOMEN.—Section 8(m) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C.637(m)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (7)(B)—

(A) in clause (i), by inserting "(or \$10,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$7,000,000"; and

(B) in clause (ii), by inserting "(or \$8,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$4,000,000"; and

(2) in paragraph (8)(B)—

(A) in clause (i), by inserting "(or \$10,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$7.000,000"; and

(B) in clause (ii), by inserting "(or \$8,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$4.000.000".

(c) QUALIFIED HUBZONE SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.—Section 31(c)(2)(A)(ii) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657a(c)(2)(A)(ii)) is amended—

(1) in subclause (I), by inserting "(or \$10,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$7,000,000"; and

(2) in subclause (II), by inserting "(or \$8,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$3,000,000".

(d) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY SERVICE-DISABLED VETERANS.—Section 36(c)(2) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657f(c)(2)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by inserting "(or \$10,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$7,000,000"; and

(2) in subparagraph (B), by inserting "(or \$8,000,000, in the case of a Department of Defense contract, as adjusted for inflation by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council under section 1.109 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation)" after "\$3,000,000".

(e) CERTAIN VETERAN-OWNED CONCERNS.—Section 8127(c) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by striking "\$5,000,000" and inserting "the dollar thresholds under section 36(c)(2) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657f(c)(2))".

SA 1051. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle G of title XII, add the following:

SEC. 1299L. LEGAL PREPAREDNESS FOR SERVICEMEMBERS ABROAD.

- (a) REVIEW REQUIRED.—Not later than December 31, 2024, the Secretary of State, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, shall—
- (1) review the 10 largest foreign countries by United States Armed Forces presence and evaluate local legal systems, protections afforded by bilateral agreements between the United States and countries being evaluated, and how the rights and privileges afforded under such agreements may differ from United States law; and
- (2) brief the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate on the findings of the review.
- (b) Training Required.—The Secretary of Defense shall review and improve as necessary training and educational materials for members of the Armed Forces, their spouses, and dependents, as appropriate, who are stationed in a country reviewed pursuant to subsection (a)(1) regarding relevant foreign laws, how such foreign laws may differ from the laws of the United States, and the rights of accused in common scenarios under such foreign laws.
- (c) Translation Standards and Readiness.—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall review foreign language standards for servicemembers and employees of the Department of Defense and Department of State who are responsible for providing foreign language translation services in situations involving foreign law enforcement where a servicemember may be being detained, to ensure such persons maintain an appropriate proficiency in the legal terminology and meaning of essential terms in a relevant language.

SA 1052. Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. Scott of Florida) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of part III of subtitle B of title XXVIII, add the following:

SEC. 2853. IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPTROLLER GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS RE-GARDING MILITARY HOUSING.

- (a) Work Order Data for Privatized Military Housing.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Sustainment, not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act—
- (1) requires the military departments to establish a process to validate data collected by privatized military housing partners to better ensure the reliability and validity of work order data and to allow for more effective use of such data for monitoring and tracking purposes; and
- (2) provides in future reports to Congress additional explanation of such work order data collected and reported, such as explaining the limitations of available survey data, how resident satisfaction was calculated, and reasons for any missing data.
- (b) Finances for Privatized Military Housing Projects.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment, not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, takes steps to resume issuing required reports to Congress on the financial condition of privatized military housing in a timely manner.
- (c) PRIVATIZED MILITARY HOUSING DE-FINED.—In this section, the term "privatized military housing" means military housing provided under subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code.

SA 1053. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

DIVISION _—INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

- (a) SHORT TITLE.—This division may be cited as the "Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024".
- (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this division is as follows:
- DIVISION _—INTELLIGENCE AUTHOR-IZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024
- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents. Sec. 2. Definitions.
- TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
- Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations. Sec. 102. Classified Schedule of Authorizations
- Sec. 103. Intelligence Community Management Account.
- Sec. 104. Increase in employee compensation and benefits authorized by law.
- TITLE II—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM
- Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.
 TITLE III—INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY
 MATTERS
- Subtitle A—General Intelligence Community
 Matters
- Sec. 301. Plan to recruit, train, and retain personnel with experience in financial intelligence and emerging technologies.
- Sec. 302. Policy and performance framework for mobility of intelligence community workforce.

- Sec. 303. In-State tuition rates for active duty members of the intelligence community.
- Sec. 304. Standards, criteria, and guidance for counterintelligence vulnerability assessments and surveys.
- Sec. 305. Improving administration of certain post-employment restrictions for intelligence community.
- Sec. 306. Mission of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center
- Sec. 307. Prohibition relating to transport of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.
- Sec. 308. Department of Energy science and technology risk assessments.
- Sec. 309. Congressional oversight of intelligence community risk assessments.
- Sec. 310. Inspector General review of dissemination by Federal Bureau of Investigation Richmond, Virginia, field office of certain document.
- Sec. 311. Office of Intelligence and Analysis.

Subtitle B—Central Intelligence Agency

- Sec. 321. Change to penalties and increased availability of mental health treatment for unlawful conduct on Central Intelligence Agency installations
- Sec. 322. Modifications to procurement authorities of the Central Intelligence Agency.
- Sec. 323. Establishment of Central Intelligence Agency standard work-place sexual misconduct complaint investigation procedure.

TITLE IV—MATTERS CONCERNING FOREIGN COUNTRIES

Subtitle A—People's Republic of China

- Sec. 401. Intelligence community coordinator for accountability of atrocities of the People's Republic of China.
- Sec. 402. Interagency working group and report on the malign efforts of the People's Republic of China in Africa.
- Sec. 403. Amendment to requirement for annual assessment by intelligence community working group for monitoring the economic and technological capabilities of the People's Republic of China.
- Sec. 404. Assessments of reciprocity in the relationship between the United States and the People's Republic of China.
- Sec. 405. Annual briefing on intelligence community efforts to identify and mitigate Chinese Communist Party and Russian foreign malign influence operations against the United States.
- Sec. 406. Assessment of threat posed to United States ports by cranes manufactured by countries of concern.

Subtitle B—Other Foreign Countries

- Sec. 411. Report on efforts to capture and detain United States citizens as hostages.
- Sec. 412. Sense of Congress on priority of fentanyl in National Intelligence Priorities Framework.

TITLE V—MATTERS PERTAINING TO UNITED STATES ECONOMIC AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGY COMPETITION WITH UNITED STATES ADVERSARIES

Subtitle A—General Matters

Sec. 501. Assignment of detailees from intelligence community to Department of Commerce.

Subtitle B—Next-generation Energy, Biotechnology, and Artificial Intelligence

Sec. 511. Expanded annual assessment of economic and technological capabilities of the People's Republic of China.

Sec. 512. Assessment of using civil nuclear energy for intelligence community capabilities.

Sec. 513. Policies established by Director of National Intelligence for artificial intelligence capabilities.

TITLE VI—WHISTLEBLOWER MATTERS

Sec. 601. Submittal to Congress of complaints and information by whistleblowers in the intelligence community.

Sec. 602. Prohibition against disclosure of whistleblower identity as reprisal against whistleblower disclosure by employees and contractors in intelligence community.

Sec. 603. Establishing process parity for adverse security clearance and access determinations.

Sec. 604. Elimination of cap on compensatory damages for retaliatory revocation of security clearances and access determinations.

Sec. 605. Modification and repeal of reporting requirements.

TITLE VII—CLASSIFICATION REFORM

Subtitle A—Classification Reform Act of 2023

Sec. 701. Short title.

Sec. 702. Definitions.

Sec. 703. Classification and declassification of information.

Sec. 704. Transparency officers.

Subtitle B—Sensible Classification Act of $2023\,$

Sec. 711. Short title.

Sec. 712. Definitions.

Sec. 713. Findings and sense of the Senate.

Sec. 714. Classification authority.

Sec. 715. Promoting efficient declassification review.

Sec. 716. Training to promote sensible classification.

Sec. 717. Improvements to Public Interest Declassification Board.

Sec. 718. Implementation of technology for classification and declassification.

Sec. 719. Studies and recommendations on necessity of security clearances.

TITLE VIII—SECURITY CLEARANCE AND TRUSTED WORKFORCE

Sec. 801. Review of shared information technology services for personnel vetting.

Sec. 802. Timeliness standard for rendering determinations of trust for personnel vetting.

Sec. 803. Annual report on personnel vetting trust determinations.

Sec. 804. Survey to assess strengths and weaknesses of Trusted Workforce 2.0.

Sec. 805. Prohibition on denial of eligibility for access to classified information solely because of past use of cannabis.

TITLE IX—ANOMALOUS HEALTH INCIDENTS

Sec. 901. Improved funding flexibility for payments made by the Central Intelligence Agency for qualifying injuries to the brain.

Sec. 902. Clarification of requirements to seek certain benefits relating to injuries to the brain.

Sec. 903. Intelligence community implementation of HAVANA Act of 2021 authorities.

Sec. 904. Report and briefing on Central Intelligence Agency handling of anomalous health incidents.

TITLE X-ELECTION SECURITY

Sec. 1001. Strengthening Election Cybersecurity to Uphold Respect for Elections through Independent Testing Act of 2023.

TITLE XI—OTHER MATTERS

Sec. 1101. Modification of reporting requirement for All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office.

Sec. 1102. Funding limitations relating to unidentified anomalous phenomena.

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.—The term "congressional intelligence committees" has the meaning given such term in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).

(2) INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—The term "intelligence community" has the meaning given such term in such section.

TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2024 for the conduct of the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the Federal Government.

SEC. 102. CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZATIONS.

(a) SPECIFICATIONS OF AMOUNTS.—The amounts authorized to be appropriated under section 101 for the conduct of the intelligence activities of the Federal Government are those specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations prepared to accompany this division.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZATIONS.—

(1) AVAILABILITY.—The classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in subsection (a) shall be made available to the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives, and to the President.

(2) DISTRIBUTION BY THE PRESIDENT.—Subject to paragraph (3), the President shall provide for suitable distribution of the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in subsection (a), or of appropriate portions of such Schedule, within the executive branch of the Federal Government.

(3) LIMITS ON DISCLOSURE.—The President shall not publicly disclose the classified Schedule of Authorizations or any portion of such Schedule except—

(A) as provided in section 601(a) of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (50 U.S.C. 3306(a));

(B) to the extent necessary to implement the budget; or

(C) as otherwise required by law.

SEC. 103. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There is authorized to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account of the Director of National Intelligence for fiscal year 2024 the sum of \$658.950.000. (b) CLASSIFIED AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—In addition to amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account by subsection (a), there are authorized to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account for fiscal year 2024 such additional amounts as are specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in section 102(a).

SEC. 104. INCREASE IN EMPLOYEE COMPENSA-TION AND BENEFITS AUTHORIZED BY LAW.

Appropriations authorized by this division for salary, pay, retirement, and other benefits for Federal employees may be increased by such additional or supplemental amounts as may be necessary for increases in such compensation or benefits authorized by law.

TITLE II—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM

SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There is authorized to be appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability Fund \$514,000,000 for fiscal year 2024.

TITLE III—INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MATTERS

Subtitle A—General Intelligence Community Matters

SEC. 301. PLAN TO RECRUIT, TRAIN, AND RETAIN PERSONNEL WITH EXPERIENCE IN FINANCIAL INTELLIGENCE AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence, in coordination with the heads of human capital of the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees, the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate. and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives a plan for the intelligence community to recruit, train, and retain personnel who have skills and experience in financial intelligence and emerging technologies in order to improve analytic tradecraft.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include the following elements:

(1) An assessment, including measurable benchmarks of progress, of current initiatives of the intelligence community to recruit, train, and retain personnel who have skills and experience in financial intelligence and emerging technologies.

(2) An assessment of whether personnel in the intelligence community who have such skills are currently well integrated into the analytical cadre of the relevant elements of the intelligence community that produce analyses with respect to financial intelligence and emerging technologies.

(3) An identification of challenges to hiring or compensation in the intelligence community that limit progress toward rapidly increasing the number of personnel with such skills, and an identification of hiring or other reforms to resolve such challenges.

(4) A determination of whether the National Intelligence University has the resources and expertise necessary to train existing personnel in financial intelligence and emerging technologies.

(5) A strategy, including measurable benchmarks of progress, to, by January 1, 2025, increase by 10 percent the analytical cadre of personnel with expertise and previous employment in financial intelligence and emerging technologies.

SEC. 302. POLICY AND PERFORMANCE FRAME-WORK FOR MOBILITY OF INTEL-LIGENCE COMMUNITY WORKFORCE.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense and the Director of the Office of Personnel Management as the Director of National Intelligence considers appropriate, develop and implement a policy and performance framework to ensure the timely and effective mobility of employees and contractors of the Federal Government who are transferring employment between elements of the intelligence community.
- (b) ELEMENTS.—The policy and performance framework required by subsection (a) shall include processes with respect to the following:
- (1) Human resources.
- (2) Medical reviews
- (3) Determinations of suitability or eligibility for access to classified information in accordance with Executive Order 13467 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to reforming processes related to suitability for Government employment, fitness for contractor employees, and eligibility for access to classified national security information).

SEC. 303. IN-STATE TUITION RATES FOR ACTIVE DUTY MEMBERS OF THE INTEL-LIGENCE COMMUNITY.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 135(d) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1015d(d)), as amended by section 6206(a)(4) of the Foreign Service Families Act of 2021 (Public Law 117-81), is further amended—
- (1) in paragraph (1), by striking "or" after the semicolon;
- (2) in paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; or"; and
- (3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:
- "(3) a member of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003)) (other than a member of the Armed Forces of the United States) who is on active duty for a period of more than 30 days."
- (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect at each public institution of higher education in a State that receives assistance under the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.) for the first period of enrollment at such institution that begins after July 1, 2026.

SEC. 304. STANDARDS, CRITERIA, AND GUIDANCE FOR COUNTERINTELLIGENCE VUL-NERABILITY ASSESSMENTS AND SURVEYS.

Section 904(d)(7)(A) of the Counterintelligence Enhancement Act of 2002 (50 U.S.C. 3383(d)(7)(A)) is amended to read as follows:

"(A) COUNTERINTELLIGENCE VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENTS AND SURVEYS.—To develop standards, criteria, and guidance for counterintelligence risk assessments and surveys of the vulnerability of the United States to intelligence threats, including with respect to critical infrastructure and critical technologies, in order to identify the areas, programs, and activities that require protection from such threats."

SEC. 305. IMPROVING ADMINISTRATION OF CERTAIN POST-EMPLOYMENT RESTRICTIONS FOR INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.

Section 304 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3073a) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (c)(1)—
- (A) by striking "A former" and inserting the following:
 - "(A) IN GENERAL.—A former"; and
- (B) by adding at the end the following:
- "(B) PRIOR DISCLOSURE TO DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.—

- "(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a former employee who occupies a covered post-service position in violation of subsection (a), whether the former employee voluntarily notified the Director of National Intelligence of the intent of the former employee to occupy such covered post-service position before occupying such post-service position may be used in determining whether the violation was knowing and willful for purposes of subparagraph (A).
- "(ii) PROCEDURES AND GUIDANCE.—The Director of National Intelligence may establish procedures and guidance relating to the submittal of notice for purposes of clause (i)."; and
 - (2) in subsection (d)—
- (A) in paragraph (1), by inserting "the restrictions under subsection (a) and" before "the report requirements";
- (B) in paragraph (2), by striking "ceases to occupy" and inserting "occupies"; and
- (C) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking "before the person ceases to occupy a covered intelligence position" and inserting "when the person occupies a covered intelligence position".

SEC. 306. MISSION OF THE NATIONAL COUNTER-INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY CEN-TER.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 904 of the Counterintelligence Enhancement Act of 2002 (50 U.S.C. 3383) is amended—
- (1) by redesignating subsections (d) through (i) as subsections (e) through (j), respectively; and
- (2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following:
- "(d) MISSION.—The mission of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center shall include organizing and leading strategic planning for counterintelligence activities of the United States Government by integrating instruments of national power as needed to counter foreign intelligence activities"
 - (b) Conforming Amendments.—
- (1) COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2002.—Section 904 of the Counterintelligence Enhancement Act of 2002 (50 U.S.C. 3383) is amended—
- (A) in subsection (e), as redesignated by subsection (a)(1), by striking "Subject to subsection (e)" both places it appears and inserting "Subject to subsection (f)" and
- (B) in subsection (f), as so redesignated-
- (i) in paragraph (1), by striking "subsection (d)(1)" and inserting "subsection (e)(1)"; and
- (ii) in paragraph (2), by striking "subsection (d)(2)" and inserting "subsection (e)(2)".
- (2) COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS ACT OF 1994.—Section 811(d)(1)(B)(ii) of the Counterintelligence and Security Enhancements Act of 1994 (50 U.S.C. 3381(d)(1)(B)(ii)) is amended by striking 'section 904(d)(2) of that Act (50 U.S.C. 3383(d)(2))' and inserting 'section 904(e)(2) of that Act (50 U.S.C. 3383(e)(2))'.

SEC. 307. PROHIBITION RELATING TO TRANS-PORT OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.

(a) DEFINITION OF INDIVIDUAL DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO.—In this section, the term "individual detained at Guantanamo" has the meaning given that term in section 1034(f)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (Public Law 114-92; 129 Stat. 971; 10 U.S.C. 801 note).

(b) PROHIBITION ON CHARTERING PRIVATE OR COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT TO TRANSPORT INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.—No head of an element of the intelligence community may charter any private or commercial aircraft to transport an individual who is or was an individual detained at Guantanamo.

SEC. 308. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY RISK ASSESSMENTS.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) COUNTRY OF RISK .-
- (A) IN GENERAL.—The term "country of risk" means a foreign country determined by the Secretary, in accordance with subparagraph (B), to present a risk of theft of United States intellectual property or a threat to the national security of the United States if nationals of the country, or entities owned or controlled by the country or nationals of the country, participate in any research, development, demonstration, or deployment activity authorized under this Act or an amendment made by this Act.
- (B) DETERMINATION.—In making a determination under subparagraph (A), the Secretary, in coordination with the Director of the Office of Intelligence and Counterintelligence, shall take into consideration—
- (i) the most recent World Wide Threat Assessment of the United States Intelligence Community, prepared by the Director of National Intelligence; and
- (ii) the most recent National Counterintelligence Strategy of the United States.
- (2) COVERED SUPPORT.—The term "covered support" means any grant, contract, subcontract, award, loan, program, support, or other activity authorized under this Act or an amendment made by this Act.
- (3) ENTITY OF CONCERN.—The term "entity of concern" means any entity, including a national, that is—
- (A) identified under section 1237(b) of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (50 U.S.C. 1701 note; Public Law 105–261);
- (B) identified under section 1260H of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (10 U.S.C. 113 note; Public Law 116-283);
- (C) on the Entity List maintained by the Bureau of Industry and Security of the Department of Commerce and set forth in Supplement No. 4 to part 744 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations:
- (D) included in the list required by section 9(b)(3) of the Uyghur Human Rights Policy Act of 2020 (Public Law 116-145; 134 Stat. 656);
- (E) identified by the Secretary, in coordination with the Director of the Office of Intelligence and Counterintelligence and the applicable office that would provide, or is providing, covered support, as posing an unmanageable threat—
- (i) to the national security of the United States; or
- (ii) of theft or loss of United States intellectual property.
- (4) NATIONAL.—The term "national" has the meaning given the term in section 101 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101).
- (5) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Energy.
- (b) SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY RISK ASSESSMENT —
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall develop and maintain tools and processes to manage and mitigate research security risks, such as a science and technology risk matrix, informed by threats identified by the Director of the Office of Intelligence and Counterintelligence, to facilitate determinations of the risk of loss of United States intellectual property or threat to the national security of the United States posed by activities carried out under any covered support.
- (2) CONTENT AND IMPLEMENTATION.—In developing and using the tools and processes developed under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

- (A) deploy risk-based approaches to evaluating, awarding, and managing certain research, development, demonstration, and deployment activities, including designations that will indicate the relative risk of activities:
- (B) assess, to the extent practicable, ongoing high-risk activities;
- (C) designate an officer or employee of the Department of Energy to be responsible for tracking and notifying recipients of any covered support of unmanageable threats to United States national security or of theft or loss of United States intellectual property posed by an entity of concern;
- (D) consider requiring recipients of covered support to implement additional research security mitigations for higher-risk activities if appropriate: and
- (E) support the development of research security training for recipients of covered support on the risks posed by entities of concern.
- (3) ANNUAL UPDATES.—The tools and processes developed under paragraph (1) shall be evaluated annually and updated as needed, with threat-informed input from the Office of Intelligence and Counterintelligence, to reflect changes in the risk designation under paragraph (2)(A) of research, development, demonstration, and deployment activities conducted by the Department of Energy.
 - (c) Entity of Concern.-
- (1) PROHIBITION.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), no entity of concern, or individual that owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with an entity of concern, may receive, or perform work under, any covered support.
 - (2) WAIVER OF PROHIBITION.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may waive the prohibition under paragraph (1) if determined by the Secretary to be in the national interest.
- (B) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS.—Not less than 2 weeks prior to issuing a waiver under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall notify Congress of the intent to issue the waiver, including a justification for the waiver.
 - (3) PENALTY.—
- (A) TERMINATION OF SUPPORT.—On finding that any entity of concern or individual described in paragraph (1) has received covered support and has not received a waiver under paragraph (2), the Secretary shall terminate all covered support to that entity of concern or individual, as applicable.
- (B) PENALTIES.—An entity of concern or individual identified under subparagraph (A) shall be—
- (i) prohibited from receiving or participating in covered support for a period of not less than 1 year but not more than 10 years, as determined by the Secretary; or
- (ii) instead of the penalty described in clause (i), subject to any other penalties authorized under applicable law or regulations that the Secretary determines to be in the national interest.
- (C) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS.—Prior to imposing a penalty under subparagraph (B), the Secretary shall notify Congress of the intent to impose the penalty, including a description of and justification for the penalty.
- (4) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall—
- (A) share information about the unmanageable threats described in subsection (a)(3)(E) with other Federal agencies; and
- (B) develop consistent approaches to identifying entities of concern.
- (d) INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS.—This section shall be applied in a manner consistent with the obligations of the United States under international agreements.
- (e) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 240 days after the date of enactment of this Act,

- the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that—
 - (1) describes—
- (A) the tools and processes developed under subsection (b)(1) and any updates to those tools and processes; and
- (B) if applicable, the science and technology risk matrix developed under that subsection and how that matrix has been applied;
- (2) includes a mitigation plan for managing risks posed by countries of risk with respect to future or ongoing research and development activities of the Department of Energy; and
- (3) defines critical research areas, designated by risk, as determined by the Secretary.

SEC. 309. CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT OF INTEL-LIGENCE COMMUNITY RISK ASSESS-MENTS.

- (a) RISK ASSESSMENT DOCUMENTS AND MATERIALS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), whenever an element of the intelligence community conducts a risk assessment arising from the mishandling or improper disclosure of classified information, the Director of National Intelligence shall, not later than 30 days after the date of the commencement of such risk assessment—
- (1) submit to the congressional intelligence committees copies of such documents and materials as are— $\,$
- (A) within the jurisdiction of such committees; and
- (B) subject to the risk assessment; and
- (2) provide such committees a briefing on such documents, materials, and risk assessment.
- (b) EXCEPTION.—If the Director determines, with respect to a risk assessment described in subsection (a), that the documents and other materials otherwise subject to paragraph (1) of such subsection (a) are of such a volume that submittal pursuant to such paragraph would be impracticable, the Director shall—
- (1) in lieu of submitting copies of such documents and materials, submit a log of such documents and materials; and
- (2) pursuant to a request by the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate or the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives for a copy of a document or material included in such log, submit to such committee such copy.

SEC. 310. INSPECTOR GENERAL REVIEW OF DIS-SEMINATION BY FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION RICHMOND, VIR-GINIA, FIELD OFFICE OF CERTAIN DOCUMENT.

- (a) REVIEW REQUIRED.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Inspector General of the Department of Justice shall conduct a review of the actions and events, including any underlying policy direction, that served as a basis for the January 23, 2023, dissemination by the field office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation located in Richmond, Virginia, of a document titled "Interest of Racially or Ethnically Motivated Violent Extremists in Radical-Traditionalist Catholic Ideology Almost Certainly Presents New Mitigation Opportunities.".
- (b) SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS.—The Inspector General of the Department of Justice shall submit the findings of the Inspector General with respect to the review required by subsection (a) to the following:
- (1) The congressional intelligence commit-
- (2) The Committee on the Judiciary, Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.
- (3) The Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Oversight and Accountability,

and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 311. OFFICE OF INTELLIGENCE AND ANAL-

Section 201 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 121) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- '(h) Prohibition.-
- "(1) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term 'United States person' means a United States citizen, an alien known by the Office of Intelligence and Analysis to be a permanent resident alien, an unincorporated association substantially composed of United States citizens or permanent resident aliens, or a corporation incorporated in the United States, except for a corporation directed and controlled by 1 or more foreign governments.
- "(2) COLLECTION OF INFORMATION FROM UNITED STATES PERSONS.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Office of Intelligence and Analysis may not engage in the collection of information or intelligence targeting any United States person except as provided in subparagraph (B).
- "(B) EXCEPTION.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply to any employee, officer, or contractor of the Office of Intelligence and Analysis who is responsible for collecting information from individuals working for a State, local, or Tribal territory government or a private employer."

Subtitle B—Central Intelligence Agency

SEC. 321. CHANGE TO PENALTIES AND INCREASED AVAILABILITY OF MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT FOR UNLAWFUL CONDUCT ON CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY INSTALLATIONS.

Section 15(b) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3515(b)) is amended, in the second sentence, by striking "those specified in section 1315(c)(2) of title 40, United States Code" and inserting "the maximum penalty authorized for a Class B misdemeanor under section 3559 of title 18, United States Code".

SEC. 322. MODIFICATIONS TO PROCUREMENT AUTHORITIES OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.

Section 3 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3503) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (a), by striking "sections" and all that follows through "session)" and inserting "sections 3201, 3203, 3204, 3206, 3207, 3302 through 3306, 3321 through 3323, 3801 through 3808, 3069, 3134, 3841, and 4752 of title 10, United States Code" and
- (2) in subsection (d), by striking "in paragraphs" and all that follows through "1947" and inserting "in sections 3201 through 3204 of title 10, United States Code, shall not be delegable. Each determination or decision required by sections 3201 through 3204, 3321 through 3323, and 3841 of title 10, United States Code".

SEC. 323. ESTABLISHMENT OF CENTRAL INTEL-LIGENCE AGENCY STANDARD WORK-PLACE SEXUAL MISCONDUCT COM-PLAINT INVESTIGATION PROCE-DURE.

- (a) WORKPLACE SEXUAL MISCONDUCT DE-FINED.—The term "workplace sexual misconduct"—
- (1) means unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature when—
- (A) submission to such conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of an individual's employment;
- (B) submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment decisions affecting such individual; or
- (C) such conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment; and

- (2) includes sexual harassment and sexual assault.
- (b) STANDARD COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION PROCEDURE.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency shall—
- (1) establish a standard workplace sexual misconduct complaint investigation procedure:
- (2) implement the standard workplace sexual misconduct complaint investigation procedure through clear workforce communication and education on the procedure; and
- (3) submit the standard workplace sexual misconduct complaint investigation procedure to the congressional intelligence committees.
- (c) MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.—The procedure established pursuant to subsection (b)(1) shall, at a minimum—
- (1) identify the individuals and offices of the Central Intelligence Agency to which an employee of the Agency may bring a complaint of workplace sexual misconduct;
- (2) detail the steps each individual or office identified pursuant to paragraph (1) shall take upon receipt of a complaint of workplace sexual misconduct and the timeframes within which those steps shall be taken, including—
- (A) documentation of the complaint;
- (B) referral or notification to another individual or office:
- (C) measures to document or preserve witness statements or other evidence; and
- (D) preliminary investigation of the complaint;
- (3) set forth standard criteria for determining whether a complaint of workplace sexual misconduct will be referred to law enforcement and the timeframe within which such a referral shall occur; and
- (4) for any complaint not referred to law enforcement, set forth standard criteria for determining—
- (A) whether a complaint has been substantiated; and
- (B) for any substantiated complaint, the appropriate disciplinary action.
- (d) ANNUAL REPORTS.—On or before April 30 of each year, the Director shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees, the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives an annual report that includes, for the preceding calendar year, the following:
- (1) The number of workplace sexual misconduct complaints brought to each individual or office of the Central Intelligence Agency identified pursuant to subsection (c)(1). disaggregated by—
- (A) complaints referred to law enforcement; and
- (B) complaints substantiated.
- (2) For each complaint described in paragraph (1) that is substantiated, a description of the disciplinary action taken by the Director.

TITLE IV—MATTERS CONCERNING FOREIGN COUNTRIES

Subtitle A—People's Republic of China SEC. 401. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY COORDINATOR FOR ACCOUNTABILITY OF ATROCITIES OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) ATROCITY.—The term "atrocity" means a crime against humanity, genocide, or a war crime.
- (2) FOREIGN PERSON.—The term "foreign person" means—
- (A) any person or entity that is not a United States person; or

- (B) any entity not organized under the laws of the United States or of any jurisdiction within the United States.
- (3) UNITED STATES PERSON.—The term "United States person" has the meaning given that term in section 105A(c) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3039).
- (b) INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY COORDINATOR FOR ACCOUNTABILITY OF ATROCITIES OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.—
- (1) DESIGNATION.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall designate a senior official of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence to serve as the intelligence community coordinator for accountability of atrocities of the People's Republic of China (in this section referred to as the "Coordinator").
- (2) DUTIES.—The Coordinator shall lead the efforts of and coordinate and collaborate with the intelligence community with respect to the following:
- (A) Identifying and addressing any gaps in intelligence collection relating to atrocities of the People's Republic of China, including by recommending the modification of the priorities of the intelligence community with respect to intelligence collection and by utilizing informal processes and collaborative mechanisms with key elements of the intelligence community to increase collection on atrocities of the People's Republic of China.
- (B) Prioritizing and expanding the intelligence analysis with respect to ongoing atrocities of the People's Republic of China and disseminating within the United States Government intelligence relating to the identification and activities of foreign persons suspected of being involved with or providing support to atrocities of the People's Republic of China, including genocide and forced labor practices in Xinjiang, in order to support the efforts of other Federal agencies, including the Department of State, the Department of Justice, the Department of the Treasury, the Office of Foreign Assets Control, the Department of Commerce, the Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, and the National Security Council, to hold the People's Republic of China accountable for such atrocities.
- (C) Increasing efforts to declassify and share with the people of the United States and the international community information regarding atrocities of the People's Republic of China in order to expose such atrocities and counter the disinformation and misinformation campaign by the People's Republic of China to deny such atrocities.
- (D) Documenting and storing intelligence and other unclassified information that may be relevant to preserve as evidence of atrocities of the People's Republic of China for future accountability, and ensuring that other relevant Federal agencies receive appropriate support from the intelligence community with respect to the collection, analysis, preservation, and, as appropriate, dissemination, of intelligence related to atrocities of the People's Republic of China, which may include the information from the annual report required by section 6504 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law 117–263).
- (E) Sharing information with the Forced Labor Enforcement Task Force, established under section 741 of the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implementation Act (19 U.S.C. 4681), the Department of Commerce, and the Department of the Treasury for the purposes of entity listings and sanctions.
- (3) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this

- Act, the Director shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress—
- (A) the name of the official designated as the Coordinator pursuant to paragraph (1); and
- (B) the strategy of the intelligence community for the collection and dissemination of intelligence relating to ongoing atrocities of the People's Republic of China, including a detailed description of how the Coordinator shall support, and assist in facilitating the implementation of, such strategy.
 - (4) ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—
- (A) REPORTS REQUIRED.—Not later than May 1, 2024, and annually thereafter until May 1, 2034, the Director shall submit to Congress a report detailing, for the year covered by the report—
- (i) the analytical findings, changes in collection, and other activities of the intelligence community with respect to ongoing atrocities of the People's Republic of China;
- (ii) the recipients of information shared pursuant to this section for the purpose of—
- (I) providing support to Federal agencies to hold the People's Republic of China accountable for such atrocities; and
- (II) sharing information with the people of the United States to counter the disinformation and misinformation campaign by the People's Republic of China to deny such atrocities; and
- (iii) with respect to clause (ii), the date of any such sharing.
- (B) FORM.—Each report submitted under subparagraph (A) may be submitted in classified form, consistent with the protection of intelligence sources and methods.
- (c) SUNSET.—This section shall cease to have effect on the date that is 10 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 402. INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP AND REPORT ON THE MALIGN EFFORTS OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA IN AFRICA.

- (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with such heads of elements of the intelligence community as the Director considers appropriate, shall establish an interagency working group within the intelligence community to analyze the tactics and capabilities of the People's Republic of China in Africa.
- (2) ESTABLISHMENT FLEXIBILITY.—The working group established under paragraph (1) may be—
 - (A) independently established; or
- (B) to avoid redundancy, incorporated into existing working groups or cross-intelligence efforts within the intelligence community.
 - (b) Report.—
- (1) DEFINITION OF APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—In this subsection, the term "appropriate committees of Congress" means—
- (A) the congressional intelligence committees;
- (B) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and
- (C) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.
- (2) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and twice annually thereafter, the working group established under subsection (a) shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the specific tactics and capabilities of the People's Republic of China in Africa.
- (3) ELEMENTS.—Each report required by paragraph (2) shall include the following elements:

- (A) An assessment of efforts by the Government of the People's Republic of China to exploit mining and reprocessing operations in Africa.
- (B) An assessment of efforts by the Government of the People's Republic of China to provide or fund technologies in Africa, including—
- (i) telecommunications and energy technologies, such as advanced reactors, transportation, and other commercial products; and
- (ii) by requiring that the People's Republic of China be the sole provider of such technologies.
- (C) An assessment of efforts by the Government of the People's Republic of China to expand intelligence capabilities in Africa.
- (D) A description of actions taken by the intelligence community to counter such efforts
- (E) An assessment of additional resources needed by the intelligence community to better counter such efforts
- (4) FORM.—Each report required by paragraph (2) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if necessary.
- (c) SUNSET.—The requirements of this section shall terminate on the date that is 5 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.
- SEC. 403. AMENDMENT TO REQUIREMENT FOR ANNUAL ASSESSMENT BY INTEL-LIGENCE COMMUNITY WORKING GROUP FOR MONITORING THE ECO-NOMIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL CAPA-BILITIES OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Section 6503(c)(3)(D) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (division F of Public Law 117–263) is amended by striking "the top 200" and inserting "all the known".

SEC. 404. ASSESSMENTS OF RECIPROCITY IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Assistant Secretary of State for Intelligence and Research, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence and such other heads of elements of the intelligence community as the Assistant Secretary considers relevant, shall submit to Congress the following:
- (1) A comprehensive assessment that identifies critical areas in the security, diplomatic, economic, financial, technological, scientific, commercial, academic, and cultural spheres in which the United States does not enjoy a reciprocal relationship with the People's Republic of China.
- (2) A comprehensive assessment that describes how the lack of reciprocity between the People's Republic of China and the United States in the areas identified in the assessment required by paragraph (1) provides advantages to the People's Republic of China.
 - (b) FORM OF ASSESSMENTS.—
- (1) CRITICAL AREAS.—The assessment required by subsection (a)(1) shall be submitted in unclassified form.
- (2) ADVANTAGES.—The assessment required by subsection (a)(2) shall be submitted in classified form.
- SEC. 405. ANNUAL BRIEFING ON INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY AND MITIGATE CHINESE COMMUNIST PARTY AND RUSSIAN FOREIGN MALIGN INFLUENCE OPERATIONS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES
 - (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) CHINESE ENTITIES ENGAGED IN FOREIGN MALIGN INFLUENCE OPERATIONS.—The term "hinese entities engaged in foreign malign

- influence operations" means all of the elements of the Government of the People's Republic of China and the Chinese Communist Party involved in foreign malign influence, such as—
- (A) the Ministry of State Security;
- (B) other security services of the People's Republic of China;
- (C) the intelligence services of the People's Republic of China;
- (D) the United Front Work Department and other united front organs;
- (E) state-controlled media systems, such as the China Global Television Network (CGTN); and
- (F) any entity involved in foreign malign influence operations that demonstrably and intentionally disseminate false information and propaganda of the Government of the People's Republic of China or the Chinese Communist Party.
- (2) RUSSIAN MALIGN INFLUENCE ACTORS.— The term "Russian malign influence actors" refers to entities or individuals engaged in foreign malign influence operations against the United States who are affiliated with—
- (A) the intelligence and security services of the Russian Federation
- (B) the Presidential Administration;
- (C) any other entity of the Government of the Russian Federation; or
- (D) Russian mercenary or proxy groups such as the Wagner Group.
- (3) FOREIGN MALIGN INFLUENCE OPERATION.—The term "foreign malign influence operation" means a coordinated and often concealed activity that is covered by the definition of the term "foreign malign influence" in section 119C of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3059) and uses disinformation, press manipulation, economic coercion, targeted investments, corruption, or academic censorship, which are often intended—
- (A) to coerce and corrupt United States interests, values, institutions, or individuals;
- (B) to foster attitudes, behavior, decisions, or outcomes in the United States that support the interests of the Government of the People's Republic of China or the Chinese Communist Party.
- (b) BRIEFING REQUIRED.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and annually thereafter until the date that is 5 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Foreign Malign Influence Center shall, in collaboration with the heads of the elements of the intelligence community, provide Congress a classified briefing on the ways in which the relevant elements of the intelligence community are working internally and coordinating across the intelligence community to identify and mitigate the actions of Chinese and Russian entities engaged in foreign malign influence operations against the United States, including against United States persons.
- (c) ELEMENTS.—The classified briefing required by subsection (b) shall cover the following:
- (1) The Government of the Russian Federation, the Government of the People's Republic of China, and the Chinese Communist Party tactics, tools, and entities that spread disinformation, misinformation, and malign information and conduct influence operations, information campaigns, or other propaganda efforts.
- (2) A description of ongoing foreign malign influence operations and campaigns of the Russian Federation against the United States and an assessment of their objectives and effectiveness in meeting those objectives.
- (3) A description of ongoing foreign malign influence operations and campaigns of the

- People's Republic of China against the United States and an assessment of their objectives and effectiveness in meeting those objectives.
- (4) A description of any cooperation, information-sharing, amplification, or other coordination between the Russian Federation and the People's Republic of China in developing or carrying out foreign malign influence operations against the United States.
- (5) A description of front organizations, proxies, cut-outs, aligned third-party countries, or organizations used by the Russian Federation or the People's Republic of China to carry out foreign malign influence operations against the United States.
- (6) An assessment of the loopholes or vulnerabilities in United States law that Russia and the People's Republic of China exploit to carry out foreign malign influence operations.
- (7) The actions of the Foreign Malign Influence Center, in coordination with the Global Engagement Center, relating to early-warning, information sharing, and proactive risk mitigation systems, based on the list of entities identified in subsection (a)(1), to detect, expose, deter, and counter foreign malign influence operations of the Government of the People's Republic of China or the Chinese Communist Party against the United States.
- (8) The actions of the Foreign Malign Influence Center to conduct outreach, to identify and counter tactics, tools, and entities described in paragraph (1) by sharing information with allies and partners of the United States, in coordination with the Global Engagement Center, as well as State and local governments, the business community, and civil society in order to expose the political influence operations and information operations of the Government of the Russian Federation and the Government of the People's Republic of China or the Chinese Communist Party carried out against individuals and entities in the United States.

SEC. 406. ASSESSMENT OF THREAT POSED TO UNITED STATES PORTS BY CRANES MANUFACTURED BY COUNTRIES OF CONCERN.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—The term "appropriate committees of Congress" means—
- (A) the congressional intelligence committees:
- (B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and
- (C) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Oversight and Accountability, the Committee on Financial Services, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.
- (2) COUNTRY OF CONCERN.—The term "country of concern" has the meaning given that term in section 1(m)(1) of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 (22 U.S.C. 2651a(m)(1)).
- (b) ASSESSMENT.—The Director of National Intelligence, in coordination with such other heads of the elements of the intelligence community as the Director considers appropriate and the Secretary of Defense, shall conduct an assessment of the threat posed to United States ports by cranes manufactured by countries of concern and commercial entities of those countries, including the Shanghai Zhenhua Heavy Industries Co. (ZPMC).
 - (c) REPORT AND BRIEFING.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall

submit a report and provide a briefing to the appropriate committees of Congress on the findings of the assessment required by subsection (b).

- (2) ELEMENTS.—The report and briefing required by paragraph (1) shall outline the potential for the cranes described in subsection (b) to collect intelligence, disrupt operations at United States ports, and impact the national security of the United States.
- (3) FORM OF REPORT.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

Subtitle B-Other Foreign Countries

SEC. 411. REPORT ON EFFORTS TO CAPTURE AND DETAIN UNITED STATES CITIZENS AS HOSTAGES.

- (a) DEFINITION OF APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—In this section, the term "appropriate committees of Congress" means—
- (1) the congressional intelligence committees:
- (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on the Judiciary, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and
- (3) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on the Judiciary, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.
- (b) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on efforts by the Maduro regime in Venezuela to detain United States citizens and lawful permanent residents.
- (c) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (b) shall include, regarding the arrest, capture, detainment, or imprisonment of United States citizens and lawful permanent residents, the following:
- (1) The names, positions, and institutional affiliation of Venezuelan individuals, or those acting on their behalf, who have engaged in such activities.
- (2) A description of any role played by transnational criminal organizations, and an identification of such organizations.
- (3) Where relevant, an assessment of whether and how United States citizens and lawful permanent residents have been lured to Venezuela.
- (4) An analysis of the motive for the arrest, capture, detainment, or imprisonment of United States citizens and lawful permanent residents
- (5) The total number of United States citizens and lawful permanent residents detained or imprisoned in Venezuela as of the date on which the report is submitted.
- (d) FORM.—The report required by subsection (b) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 412. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON PRIORITY OF FENTANYL IN NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PRIORITIES FRAMEWORK.

It is the sense of Congress that the trafficking of illicit fentanyl, including precursor chemicals and manufacturing equipment associated with illicit fentanyl production and organizations that traffic or finance the trafficking of illicit fentanyl, originating from the People's Republic of China and Mexico should be among the highest priorities in the National Intelligence Priorities Framework of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

TITLE V—MATTERS PERTAINING TO UNITED STATES ECONOMIC AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGY COMPETITION WITH UNITED STATES ADVERSARIES

Subtitle A—General Matters

SEC. 501. ASSIGNMENT OF DETAILEES FROM INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY TO DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

- (a) AUTHORITY.—In order to better facilitate the sharing of actionable intelligence on foreign adversary intent, capabilities, threats, and operations that pose a threat to the interests or security of the United States, particularly as they relate to the procurement, development, and use of dualuse and emerging technologies, the Director of National Intelligence may assign or facilitate the assignment of members from across the intelligence community to serve as detailees to the Bureau of Industry and Security of the Department of Commerce.
- (b) ASSIGNMENT.—Detailees assigned pursuant to subsection (a) shall be drawn from such elements of the intelligence community as the Director considers appropriate, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce.
- (c) EXPERTISE.—The Director shall ensure that detailees assigned pursuant to subsection (a) have subject matter expertise on countries of concern, including China, Iran, North Korea, and Russia, as well as functional areas such as illicit procurement, counterproliferation, emerging and foundational technology, economic and financial intelligence, information and communications technology systems, supply chain vulnerability, and counterintelligence.
- (d) DUTY CREDIT.—The detail of an employee of the intelligence community to the Department of Commerce under subsection (a) shall be without interruption or loss of civil service status or privilege.

Subtitle B—Next-generation Energy, Biotechnology, and Artificial Intelligence

SEC. 511. EXPANDED ANNUAL ASSESSMENT OF ECONOMIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL CAPABILITIES OF THE PEOPLE'S RE-PUBLIC OF CHINA.

Section 6503(c)(3) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law 117–263) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(I) A detailed assessment, prepared in consultation with all elements of the working group—
- "(i) of the investments made by the People's Republic of China in—
- "(I) artificial intelligence:
- "(II) next-generation energy technologies, especially small modular reactors and advanced batteries; and
 - "(III) biotechnology: and
- "(ii) that identifies—
- "(I) competitive practices of the People's Republic of China relating to the technologies described in clause (i);
- "(II) opportunities to counter the practices described in subclause (I):
- "(III) countries the People's Republic of China is targeting for exports of civil nuclear technology;
- "(IV) countries best positioned to utilize civil nuclear technologies from the United States in order to facilitate the commercial export of those technologies;
- "(V) United States vulnerabilities in the supply chain of these technologies; and
- "(VI) opportunities to counter the export by the People's Republic of China of civil nuclear technologies globally.
- "(J) An identification and assessment of any unmet resource or authority needs of the working group that affect the ability of the working group to carry out this section."

SEC. 512. ASSESSMENT OF USING CIVIL NUCLEAR ENERGY FOR INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY CAPABILITIES.

- (a) ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—The Director of National Intelligence shall, in consultation with the heads of such other elements of the intelligence community as the Director considers appropriate, conduct an assessment of capabilities identified by the Intelligence Community Continuity Program established pursuant to section E(3) of Intelligence Community Directive 118, or any successor directive, or such other intelligence community capabilities as may be determined by the Director to be critical to United States national security, that have unique energy needs—
- (1) to ascertain the feasibility and advisability of using civil nuclear reactors to meet such needs; and
- (2) to identify such additional resources, technologies, infrastructure, or authorities needed, or other potential obstacles, to commence use of a nuclear reactor to meet such needs.
- (b) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, and the Committee on Oversight and Accountability and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives a report, which may be in classified form, on the findings of the Director with respect to the assessment conducted pursuant to subsection (a).

SEC. 513. POLICIES ESTABLISHED BY DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE CAPA-BILITIES.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6702 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (50 U.S.C. 3334m) is amended—
- (1) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking "subsection (b)" and inserting "subsection (c)";
- (2) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and
- (3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following:
 - "(b) Policies .-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out subsection (a)(1), not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024, the Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the heads of the elements of the intelligence community, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, and such other officials as the Director of National Intelligence determines appropriate, shall establish the policies described in paragraph (2).
- "(2) POLICIES DESCRIBED.—The policies described in this paragraph are policies for the acquisition, adoption, development, use, coordination, and maintenance of artificial intelligence capabilities that—
- "(A) establish a lexicon relating to the use of machine learning and artificial intelligence developed or acquired by elements of the intelligence community;
- "(B) establish guidelines for evaluating the performance of models developed or acquired by elements of the intelligence community, such as by—
- "(i) specifying conditions for the continuous monitoring of artificial intelligence capabilities for performance, including the conditions for retraining or retiring models based on performance;
- "(ii) documenting performance objectives, including specifying how performance objectives shall be developed and contractually enforced for capabilities procured from third parties:

"(iii) specifying the manner in which models should be audited, as necessary, including the types of documentation that should be provided to any auditor; and

"(iv) specifying conditions under which models used by elements of the intelligence community should be subject to testing and evaluation for vulnerabilities to techniques meant to undermine the availability, integrity, or privacy of an artificial intelligence capability;

"(C) establish guidelines for tracking dependencies in adjacent systems, capabilities, or processes impacted by the retraining or sunsetting of any model described in subparagraph (B);

"(D) establish documentation requirements for capabilities procured from third parties, aligning such requirements, as necessary, with existing documentation requirements applicable to capabilities developed by elements of the intelligence community;

"(E) establish standards for the documentation of imputed, augmented, or synthetic data used to train any model developed, procured, or used by an element of the intelligence community; and

"(F) provide guidance on the acquisition and usage of models that have previously been trained by a third party for subsequent modification and usage by such an element.

"(3) POLICY REVIEW AND REVISION.—The Director of National Intelligence shall periodically review and revise each policy established under paragraph (1).".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 6712(b)(1) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 3024 note) is amended by striking "section 6702(b)" and inserting "section 6702(c)".

TITLE VI—WHISTLEBLOWER MATTERS

SEC. 601. SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS OF COM-PLAINTS AND INFORMATION BY WHISTLEBLOWERS IN THE INTEL-LIGENCE COMMUNITY.

- (a) Amendments to Chapter 4 of Title 5.—
- (1) APPOINTMENT OF SECURITY OFFICERS.— Section 416 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(i) APPOINTMENT OF SECURITY OFFICERS.— Each Inspector General under this section, including the designees of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense pursuant to subsection (b)(3), shall appoint within their offices security officers to provide, on a permanent basis, confidential, security-related guidance and direction to employees and contractors described in subsection (b)(1) who intend to report to Congress complaints or information, so that such employees and contractors can obtain direction on how to report to Congress in accordance with appropriate security practices."
- (2) PROCEDURES.—Subsection (e) of such section is amended—
- (A) in paragraph (1), by inserting "or any other committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives" after "either or both of the intelligence committees":
- (B) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:
 - "(2) LIMITATION.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the employee may contact an intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction directly as described in paragraph (1) of this subsection or in subsection (b)(4) only if the employee—
- "(i) before making such a contact, furnishes to the head of the establishment, through the Inspector General (or designee), a statement of the employee's complaint or information and notice of the employee's intent to contact an intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives directly; and

"(ii)(I) obtains and follows, from the head of the establishment, through the Inspector General (or designee), procedural direction on how to contact an intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives in accordance with appropriate security practices; or

"(II) obtains and follows such procedural direction from the applicable security officer appointed under subsection (i).

"(B) LACK OF PROCEDURAL DIRECTION.—If an employee seeks procedural direction under subparagraph (A)(ii) and does not receive such procedural direction within 30 days, or receives insufficient direction to report to Congress a complaint or information, the employee may contact an intelligence committee or any other committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives directly without obtaining or following the procedural direction otherwise required under such subparagraph."; and

(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4); and

(D) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

"(3) COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND STAFF.—An employee of an element of the intelligence community who intends to report to Congress a complaint or information may report such complaint or information to the Chairman and Vice Chairman or Ranking Member, as the case may be, of an intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives, a nonpartisan member of the committee staff designated for purposes of receiving complaints or information under this section, or a member of the majority staff and a member of the minority staff of the committee."

(3) CLARIFICATION OF RIGHT TO REPORT DIRECTLY TO CONGRESS.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(4) CLARIFICATION OF RIGHT TO REPORT DIRECTLY TO CONGRESS.—Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection (e), an employee of an element of the intelligence community who intends to report to Congress a complaint or information may report such complaint or information directly to Congress."

(b) AMENDMENTS TO NATIONAL SECURITY ACT OF 1947.—

(1) APPOINTMENT OF SECURITY OFFICERS.— Section 103H(j) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3033(j)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(5) The Inspector General shall appoint within the Office of the Inspector General security officers as required by section 416(i) of title 5, United States Code.".

(2) PROCEDURES.—Subparagraph (D) of section 103H(k)(5) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 3033(k)(5)) is amended—

(A) in clause (i), by inserting "or any other committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives" after "either or both of the congressional intelligence committees":

(B) by amending clause (ii) to read as follows:

"(ii)(I) Except as provided in subclause (II), an employee may contact a congressional intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction directly as described in clause (i) only if the employee—

"(aa) before making such a contact, furnishes to the Director, through the Inspector General, a statement of the employee's complaint or information and notice of the employee's intent to contact a congressional intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives directly; and

"(bb)(AA) obtains and follows, from the Director, through the Inspector General, proce-

dural direction on how to contact a congressional intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives in accordance with appropriate security practices; or

"(BB) obtains and follows such procedural direction from the applicable security officer appointed under section 416(i) of title 5, United States Code.

"(II) If an employee seeks procedural direction under subclause (I)(bb) and does not receive such procedural direction within 30 days, or receives insufficient direction to report to Congress a complaint or information, the employee may contact a congressional intelligence committee or any other committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives directly without obtaining or following the procedural direction otherwise required under such subclause.";

(C) by redesignating clause (iii) as clause (iv); and

(D) by inserting after clause (ii) the following:

"(iii) An employee of an element of the intelligence community who intends to report to Congress a complaint or information may report such complaint or information to the Chairman and Vice Chairman or Ranking Member, as the case may be, of a congressional intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives, a nonpartisan member of the committee staff designated for purposes of receiving complaints or information under this section, or a member of the majority staff and a member of the minority staff of the committee."

(3) CLARIFICATION OF RIGHT TO REPORT DIRECTLY TO CONGRESS.—Subparagraph (A) of such section is amended—

(A) by inserting "(i)" before "An employee of"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(ii) Subject to clauses (ii) and (iii) of subparagraph (D), an employee of an element of the intelligence community who intends to report to Congress a complaint or information may report such complaint or information directly to Congress, regardless of whether the complaint or information is with respect to an urgent concern—

"(I) in lieu of reporting such complaint or information under clause (i); or

``(II) in addition to reporting such complaint or information under clause (i).".

(c) AMENDMENTS TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY ACT OF 1949.—

(1) APPOINTMENT OF SECURITY OFFICERS.— Section 17(d)(5) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3517(d)(5)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(I) The Inspector General shall appoint within the Office of the Inspector General security officers as required by section 416(i) of title 5, United States Code.".

(2) Procedures.—Subparagraph (D) of such section is amended— $\,$

(A) in clause (i), by inserting "or any other committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives" after "either or both of the intelligence committees":

(B) by amending clause (ii) to read as follows:

"(ii)(I) Except as provided in subclause (II), an employee may contact an intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction directly as described in clause (i) only if the employee—

"(aa) before making such a contact, furnishes to the Director, through the Inspector General, a statement of the employee's complaint or information and notice of the employee's intent to contact an intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives directly; and

"(bb)(AA) obtains and follows, from the Director, through the Inspector General, procedural direction on how to contact an intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives in accordance with appropriate security practices; or

"(BB) obtains and follows such procedural direction from the applicable security officer appointed under section 416(i) of title 5, United States Code.

"(II) If an employee seeks procedural direction under subclause (I)(bb) and does not receive such procedural direction within 30 days, or receives insufficient direction to report to Congress a complaint or information, the employee may contact an intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives directly without obtaining or following the procedural direction otherwise required under such subclause.":

- (C) by redesignating clause (iii) as clause (iy); and
- (D) by inserting after clause (ii) the following:
- "(iii) An employee of the Agency who intends to report to Congress a complaint or information may report such complaint or information to the Chairman and Vice Chairman or Ranking Member, as the case may be, of an intelligence committee or another committee of jurisdiction of the Senate or the House of Representatives, a nonpartisan member of the committee staff designated for purposes of receiving complaints or information under this section, or a member of the majority staff and a member of the minority staff of the committee."
- (3) CLARIFICATION OF RIGHT TO REPORT DIRECTLY TO CONGRESS.—Subparagraph (A) of such section is amended—
- (A) by inserting "(i)" before "An employee of"; and
- (B) by adding at the end the following:
- "(ii) Subject to clauses (ii) and (iii) of subparagraph (D), an employee of the Agency who intends to report to Congress a complaint or information may report such complaint or information directly to Congress, regardless of whether the complaint or information is with respect to an urgent concern—
- "(I) in lieu of reporting such complaint or information under clause (i); or
- ``(II) in addition to reporting such complaint or information under clause (i).".
- (d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section or an amendment made by this section shall be construed to revoke or diminish any right of an individual provided by section 2303 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 602. PROHIBITION AGAINST DISCLOSURE OF WHISTLEBLOWER IDENTITY AS RE-PRISAL AGAINST WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE BY EMPLOYEES AND CONTRACTORS IN INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1104 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3234) is amended—
- (1) in subsection (a)(3) of such section—
- (A) in subparagraph (I), by striking "; or" and inserting a semicolon;
- (B) by redesignating subparagraph (J) as subparagraph (K); and
- (C) by inserting after subparagraph (I) the following:
- "(J) a knowing and willful disclosure revealing the identity or other personally identifiable information of an employee or contractor employee so as to identify the employee or contractor employee as an employee or contractor employee who has made a lawful disclosure described in subsection (b) or (c); or":
- (2) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively; and

- (3) by inserting after subsection (e) the following:
- "(f) PERSONNEL ACTIONS INVOLVING DISCLO-SURE OF WHISTLEBLOWER IDENTITY.—A personnel action described in subsection (a)(3)(J) shall not be considered to be in violation of subsection (b) or (c) under the following circumstances:
- "(1) The personnel action was taken with the express consent of the employee or contractor employee.
- "(2) An Inspector General with oversight responsibility for a covered intelligence community element determines that—
- "(A) the personnel action was unavoidable under section 103H(g)(3)(A) of this Act (50 U.S.C. 3033(g)(3)(A)), section 17(e)(3)(A) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3517(e)(3)(A)), section 407(b) of title 5, United States Code, or section 420(b)(2)(B) of such title:
- "(B) the personnel action was made to an official of the Department of Justice responsible for determining whether a prosecution should be undertaken; or
- "(C) the personnel action was required by statute or an order from a court of competent jurisdiction.".
- (b) ÅPPLICABILITY TO DETAILEES.—Subsection (a) of section 1104 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 3234) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(5) EMPLOYEE.—The term 'employee', with respect to an agency or a covered intelligence community element, includes an individual who has been detailed to such agency or covered intelligence community element."
- (c) HARMONIZATION OF ENFORCEMENT.—Subsection (g) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (a)(2) of this section, is amended to read as follows:
- "(g) Enforcement.-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the President shall provide for the enforcement of this section.
- "(2) HARMONIZATION WITH OTHER ENFORCE-MENT.—To the fullest extent possible, the President shall provide for enforcement of this section in a manner that is consistent with the enforcement of section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, especially with respect to policies and procedures used to adjudicate alleged violations of such section." SEC. 603. ESTABLISHING PROCESS PARITY FOR

ADVERSE SECURITY CLEARANCE AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.

Subparagraph (C) of section 3001(j)(4) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 3341(j)(4)) is amended to read as follows:

- "(C) CONTRIBUTING FACTOR.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (iii), in determining whether the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1), the agency shall find that paragraph (1) was violated if the individual has demonstrated that a disclosure described in paragraph (1) was a contributing factor in the adverse security clearance or access determination taken against the individual.
- "(ii) CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.—An individual under clause (i) may demonstrate that the disclosure was a contributing factor in the adverse security clearance or access determination taken against the individual through circumstantial evidence, such as evidence that—
- "(I) the official making the determination knew of the disclosure; and
- "(II) the determination occurred within a period such that a reasonable person could conclude that the disclosure was a contributing factor in the determination.
- "(iii) DEFENSE.—In determining whether the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1), the agency shall not find that paragraph (1) was vio-

lated if, after a finding that a disclosure was a contributing factor, the agency demonstrates by clear and convincing evidence that it would have made the same security clearance or access determination in the absence of such disclosure."

SEC. 604. ELIMINATION OF CAP ON COMPENSATORY DAMAGES FOR RETALIATORY REVOCATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCES AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.

Section 3001(j)(4)(B) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 3341(j)(4)(B)) is amended, in the second sentence, by striking "not to exceed \$300.000".

SEC. 605. MODIFICATION AND REPEAL OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

- (a) MODIFICATION OF FREQUENCY OF WHISTLEBLOWER NOTIFICATIONS TO INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—Section 5334(a) of the Damon Paul Nelson and Matthew Young Pollard Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, and 2020 (Public Law 116-92; 50 U.S.C. 3033 note) is amended by striking "in real time" and inserting "monthly".
- (b) REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR INSPEC-TORS GENERAL REVIEWS OF ENHANCED PER-SONNEL SECURITY PROGRAMS.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Section 11001 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—
- (A) by striking subsection (d); and
- (B) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (d).
- (2) TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.—Subsection (d) of section 11001 of such title, as redesignated by paragraph (1)(B), is amended—
- (A) in paragraph (3), by adding "and" after the semicolon at the end; and
- (B) in paragraph (4), by striking "; and" and inserting a period.

TITLE VII—CLASSIFICATION REFORM Subtitle A—Classification Reform Act of 2023 SEC. 701. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "Classification Reform Act of 2023".

SEC. 702. DEFINITIONS.

- In this subtitle:
- (1) AGENCY.—The term "agency" means any Executive agency as defined in section 105 of title 5, United States Code, any military department as defined in section 102 of such title, and any other entity in the executive branch of the Federal Government that comes into the possession of classified information.
- (2) CLASSIFY, CLASSIFIED, CLASSIFICATION.—The terms "classify", "classified", and "classification" refer to the process by which information is determined to require protection from unauthorized disclosure pursuant to Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), or previous and successor executive orders or similar directives, or section 703 in order to protect the national security of the United States.
- (3) CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The term "classified information" means information that has been classified under Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), or previous and successor executive orders or similar directives, or section 703.
- (4) DECLASSIFY, DECLASSIFIED, DECLASSIFICATION.—The terms "declassify", "declassified", and "declassification" refer to the process by which information that has been classified is determined to no longer require protection from unauthorized disclosure pursuant to Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), or previous and successor executive orders or similar directives, or section 703.

(5) Information.—The term "information" means any knowledge that can be communicated, or documentary material, regardless of its physical form or characteristics, that is owned by, is produced by or for, or is under the control of the United States Government.

SEC. 703. CLASSIFICATION AND DECLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The President may, in accordance with this section, protect from unauthorized disclosure any information owned by, produced by or for, or under the control of the executive branch of the Federal Government when there is a demonstrable need to do so in order to protect the national security of the United States.
- (b) ESTABLISHMENT OF STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES FOR CLASSIFICATION AND DECLASSIFICATION.—
 - (1) GOVERNMENTWIDE PROCEDURES.—
- (A) CLASSIFICATION.—The President shall, to the extent necessary, establish categories of information that may be classified and procedures for classifying information under subsection (a).
- (B) DECLASSIFICATION.—At the same time the President establishes categories and procedures under subparagraph (A), the President shall establish procedures for declassifying information that was previously classified.
- (C) MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.—The procedures established pursuant to subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall—
- (i) provide that information may be classified under this section, and may remain classified under this section, only if the harm to national security that might reasonably be expected from disclosure of such information outweighs the public interest in disclosure of such information;
- (ii) establish standards and criteria for the classification of information;
- (iii) establish standards, criteria, and timelines for the declassification of information classified under this section;
- (iv) provide for the automatic declassification of classified records with permanent historical value;
- (v) provide for the timely review of materials submitted for pre-publication;
- (vi) narrow the criteria for classification set forth under section 1.4 of Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act:
- (vii) narrow the exemptions from automatic declassification set forth under section 3.3(b) of Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act:
- (viii) provide a clear and specific definition of "harm to national security" as it pertains to clause (i): and
- (ix) provide a clear and specific definition of "intelligence sources and methods" as it pertains to the categories and procedures under subparagraph (A).
- (2) AGENCY STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES.—
- (A) In GENERAL.—The head of each agency shall establish a single set of consolidated standards and procedures to permit such agency to classify and declassify information created by such agency in accordance with the categories and procedures established by the President under this section and otherwise to carry out this section.
- (B) SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS.—Each agency head shall submit to Congress the standards and procedures established by such agency head under subparagraph (A).
- (c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO FOIA.—Section 552(b)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

- "(1)(A) specifically authorized to be classified under section 703 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024, or specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national security; and
- "(B) are in fact properly classified pursuant to that section or Executive order;".
- (d) Effective Date.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Subsections (a) and (b) shall take effect on the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.
- (2) RELATION TO PRESIDENTIAL DIRECTIVES.—Presidential directives regarding classifying, safeguarding, and declassifying national security information, including Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), or successor order, in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, as well as procedures issued pursuant to such Presidential directives, shall remain in effect until superseded by procedures issues pursuant to subsection (b).

SEC. 704. TRANSPARENCY OFFICERS.

- (a) DESIGNATION.—The Attorney General, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Director of National Intelligence, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, the Director of the National Security Agency, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the head of any other department, agency, or element of the executive branch of the Federal Government determined by the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board established by section 1061 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 2000ee) to be appropriate for coverage under this section, shall each designate at least 1 senior officer to serve as the principal advisor to assist such head of a department, agency, or element and other officials of the department, agencv. or element of the head in identifying records of significant public interest and prioritizing appropriate review of such records in order to facilitate the public disclosure of such records in redacted or unredacted form.
- (b) DETERMINING PUBLIC INTEREST IN DISCLOSURE.—In assisting the head of a department, agency, or element and other officials of such department, agency, or element in identifying records of significant public interest under subsection (a), the senior officer designated by the head under such subsection shall consider whether—
- (1) or not disclosure of the information would better enable United States citizens to hold Federal Government officials accountable for their actions and policies;
- (2) or not disclosure of the information would assist the United States criminal justice system in holding persons responsible for criminal acts or acts contrary to the Constitution;
- (3) or not disclosure of the information would assist Congress or any committee or subcommittee thereof, in carrying out its oversight responsibilities with regard to the executive branch of the Federal Government or in adequately informing itself of executive branch policies and activities in order to carry out its legislative responsibilities;
- (4) the disclosure of the information would assist Congress or the public in understanding the interpretation of the Federal Government of a provision of law, including Federal regulations, Presidential directives, statutes, case law, and the Constitution of the United States; or
- (5) or not disclosure of the information would bring about any other significant benefit, including an increase in public aware-

ness or understanding of Government activities or an enhancement of Federal Government efficiency.

- (c) Periodic Reports.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Each senior officer designated under subsection (a) shall periodically, but not less frequently than annually, submit a report on the activities of the officer, including the documents determined to be in the public interest for disclosure under subsection (b), to—
- (A) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate:
- (B) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and
- (C) the head of the department, agency, or element of the senior officer.
- (2) FORM.—Each report submitted pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be submitted, to the greatest extent possible, in unclassified form, with a classified annex as may be necessary

Subtitle B—Sensible Classification Act of 2023

SEC. 711. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "Sensible Classification Act of 2023".

SEC. 712. DEFINITIONS.

In this subtitle:

- (1) AGENCY.—The term "agency" has the meaning given the term "Executive agency" in section 105 of title 5, United States Code.
- (2) CLASSIFICATION.—The term "classification" means the act or process by which information is determined to be classified information.
- (3) CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The term "classified information" means information that has been determined pursuant to Executive Order 12958 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), or successor order, to require protection against unauthorized disclosure and is marked to indicate its classified status when in documentary form.
- (4) DECLASSIFICATION.—The term "declassification" means the authorized change in the status of information from classified information to unclassified information.
- (5) DOCUMENT.—The term "document" means any recorded information, regardless of the nature of the medium or the method or circumstances of recording.
- (6) DOWNGRADE.—The term "downgrade" means a determination by a declassification authority that information classified and safeguarded at a specified level shall be classified and safeguarded at a lower level.
- (7) Information.—The term "information" means any knowledge that can be communicated or documentary material, regardless of its physical form or characteristics, that is owned by, is produced by or for, or is under the control of the United States Government.
- (8) ORIGINATE, ORIGINATING, AND ORIGINATED.—The term "originate", "originating", and "originated", with respect to classified information and an authority, means the authority that classified the information in the first instance.
- (9) RECORDS.—The term "records" means the records of an agency and Presidential papers or Presidential records, as those terms are defined in title 44. United States Code, including those created or maintained by a government contractor, licensee, certificate holder, or grantee that are subject to the sponsoring agency's control under the terms of the contract, license, certificate, or grant.
- (10) SECURITY CLEARANCE.—The term "security clearance" means an authorization to access classified information.

- (11) UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE.—The term "unauthorized disclosure" means a communication or physical transfer of classified information to an unauthorized recipient.
- (12) UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The term "unclassified information" means information that is not classified information.

SEC. 713. FINDINGS AND SENSE OF THE SENATE.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following findings:
- (1) According to a report released by the Office of the Director of Intelligence in 2020 titled "Fiscal Year 2019 Annual Report on Security Clearance Determinations", more than 4,000,000 individuals have been granted eligibility for a security clearance.
- (2) At least 1,300,000 of such individuals have been granted access to information classified at the Top Secret level.
- (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—
- (1) the classification system of the Federal Government is in urgent need of reform:
- (2) the number of people with access to classified information is exceedingly high and must be justified or reduced;
- (3) reforms are necessary to reestablish trust between the Federal Government and the people of the United States; and
- (4) classification should be limited to the minimum necessary to protect national security while balancing the public's interest in disclosure.

SEC. 714. CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The authority to classify information originally may be exercised only by—
- (1) the President and, in the performance of executive duties, the Vice President;
- (2) the head of an agency or an official of any agency authorized by the President pursuant to a designation of such authority in the Federal Register; and
- (3) an official of the Federal Government to whom authority to classify information originally has been delegated pursuant to subsection (c).
- (b) SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—An individual authorized by this section to classify information originally at a specified level may also classify the information originally at a lower level
- lower level.

 (c) DELEGATION OF ORIGINAL CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY.—An official of the Federal
 Government may be delegated original classification authority subject to the following:
- (1) Delegation of original classification authority shall be limited to the minimum required to administer this section. Agency heads shall be responsible for ensuring that designated subordinate officials have a demonstrable and continuing need to exercise this authority.
- (2) Authority to originally classify information at the level designated as "Top Secret" may be delegated only by the President, in the performance of executive duties, the Vice President, or an agency head or official designated pursuant to subsection (a)(2).
- (3) Authority to originally classify information at the level designated as "Secret" or "Confidential" may be delegated only by the President, in the performance of executive duties, the Vice President, or an agency head or official designated pursuant to subsection (a)(2), or the senior agency official described in section 5.4(d) of Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), or successor order, provided that official has been delegated "Top Secret" original classification authority by the agency head.
- (4) Each delegation of original classification authority shall be in writing and the authority shall not be redelegated except as provided by paragraphs (1), (2), and (3). Each delegation shall identify the official by name or position title.

- (d) Training Required.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—An individual may not be delegated original classification authority under this section unless the individual has first received training described in paragraph (2)
- (2) Training described in this paragraph is training on original classification that includes instruction on the proper safeguarding of classified information and of the criminal, civil, and administrative sanctions that may be brought against an individual who fails to protect classified information from unauthorized disclosure.
 - (e) EXCEPTIONAL CASES.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—When an employee, contractor, licensee, certificate holder, or grantee of an agency who does not have original classification authority originates information believed by that employee, contractor, licensee, certificate holder, or grantee to require classification, the information shall be protected in a manner consistent with Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), or successor order.
- (2) Transmittal.—An employee, contractor, licensee, certificate holder, or grantee described in paragraph (1), who originates information described in such paragraph, shall promptly transmit such information to—
- (A) the agency that has appropriate subject matter interest and classification authority with respect to this information; or
- (B) if it is not clear which agency has appropriate subject matter interest and classification authority with respect to the information, the Director of the Information Security Oversight Office.
- (3) AGENCY DECISIONS.—An agency that receives information pursuant to paragraph (2)(A) or (4) shall decide within 30 days whether to classify this information.
- (4) Information security oversight office action.—If the Director of the Information Security Oversight Office receives information under paragraph (2)(B), the Director shall determine the agency having appropriate subject matter interest and classification authority and forward the information, with appropriate recommendations, to that agency for a classification determination.

SEC. 715. PROMOTING EFFICIENT DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW.

- (a) IN GENERAL.-Whenever an agency is processing a request pursuant to section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the "Freedom of Information Act") or the mandatory declassification review provisions of Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), or successor order, and identifies responsive classified records that are more than 25 years of age as of December 31 of the year in which the request is received, the head of the agency shall review the record and process the record for declassification and release by the National Declassification Center of the National Archives and Records Administration.
- (b) APPLICATION.—Subsection (a) shall apply—
- (1) regardless of whether or not the record described in such subsection is in the legal custody of the National Archives and Records Administration; and
- (2) without regard for any other provisions of law or existing agreements or practices between agencies.

SEC. 716. TRAINING TO PROMOTE SENSIBLE CLASSIFICATION.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) OVER-CLASSIFICATION.—The term "over-classification" means classification at a level that exceeds the minimum level of clas-

- sification that is sufficient to protect the national security of the United States.
- (2) SENSIBLE CLASSIFICATION.—The term "sensible classification" means classification at a level that is the minimum level of classification that is sufficient to protect the national security of the United States.
- (b) Training Required.—Each head of an agency with classification authority shall conduct training for employees of the agency with classification authority to discourage over-classification and to promote sensible classification.

SEC. 717. IMPROVEMENTS TO PUBLIC INTEREST DECLASSIFICATION BOARD.

Section 703 of the Public Interest Declassification Act of 2000 (50 U.S.C. 3355a) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the following:
- "(5) A member of the Board whose term has expired may continue to serve until a successor is appointed and sworn in."; and
 - (2) in subsection (f)-
- (A) by inserting "(1)" before "Any employee"; and
 - (B) by adding at the end the following:
- "(2)(Å) In addition to any employees detailed to the Board under paragraph (1), the Board may hire not more than 12 staff members.
- "(B) There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out subparagraph (A) such sums as are necessary for fiscal year 2024 and each fiscal year thereafter."

SEC. 718. IMPLEMENTATION OF TECHNOLOGY FOR CLASSIFICATION AND DECLAS-SIFICATION.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Office of Electronic Government (in this section referred to as the "Administrator") shall, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, the Director of National Intelligence, the Public Interest Declassification Board, the Director of the Information Security Oversight Office, and the head of the National Declassification Center of the National Archives and Records Administration—
- (1) research a technology-based solution—
- (A) utilizing machine learning and artificial intelligence to support efficient and effective systems for classification and declassification; and
- (B) to be implemented on an interoperable and federated basis across the Federal Government; and
- (2) submit to the President a recommendation regarding a technology-based solution described in paragraph (1) that should be adopted by the Federal Government.
- (b) STAFF.—The Administrator may hire sufficient staff to carry out subsection (a).
- (c) REPORT.—Not later than 540 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress a classified report on the technology-based solution recommended by the Administrator under subsection (a)(2) and the President's decision regarding its adoption.

SEC. 719. STUDIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON NECESSITY OF SECURITY CLEARANCES.

- (a) AGENCY STUDIES ON NECESSITY OF SECURITY CLEARANCES.—
- (1) STUDIES REQUIRED.—The head of each agency that grants security clearances to personnel of such agency shall conduct a study on the necessity of such clearances.
 - (2) REPORTS REQUIRED.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, each head of an agency that conducts a study under paragraph (1) shall submit to Congress a report on the findings of the agency head with respect to such study,

which the agency head may classify as appropriate.

- (B) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—Each report submitted by the head of an agency under subparagraph (A) shall include, for such agency, the following:
- (i) The number of personnel eligible for access to information up to the "Top Secret" level.
- (ii) The number of personnel eligible for access to information up to the "Secret" level.
- (iii) Information on any reduction in the number of personnel eligible for access to classified information based on the study conducted under paragraph (1).
- (iv) A description of how the agency head will ensure that the number of security clearances granted by such agency will be kept to the minimum required for the conduct of agency functions, commensurate with the size, needs, and mission of the agency
- (3) INDUSTRY.—This subsection shall apply to the Secretary of Defense in the Secretary's capacity as the Executive Agent for the National Industrial Security Program, and the Secretary shall treat contractors, licensees, and grantees as personnel of the Department of Defense for purposes of the studies and reports required by this subsection.
- (b) DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE REVIEW OF SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION.—The Director of National Intelligence shall—
- (1) review the number of personnel eligible for access to sensitive compartmented information; and
- (2) submit to Congress a report on how the Director will ensure that the number of such personnel is limited to the minimum required.
- (c) AGENCY REVIEW OF SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAMS.—Each head of an agency who is authorized to establish a special access program by Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information), or successor order, shall—
- (1) review the number of personnel of the agency eligible for access to such special access programs; and
- (2) submit to Congress a report on how the agency head will ensure that the number of such personnel is limited to the minimum required.
- (d) SECRETARY OF ENERGY REVIEW OF Q AND L CLEARANCES.—The Secretary of Energy shall—
- (1) review the number of personnel of the Department of Energy granted Q and L access; and
- (2) submit to Congress a report on how the Secretary will ensure that the number of such personnel is limited to the minimum required
- (e) INDEPENDENT REVIEWS.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which a study is completed under subsection (a) or a review is completed under subsections (b) through (d), the Director of the Information Security Oversight Office of the National Archives and Records Administration, the Director of National Intelligence, and the Public Interest Declassification Board shall each review the study or review, as the case may be.

TITLE VIII—SECURITY CLEARANCE AND TRUSTED WORKFORCE

SEC. 801. REVIEW OF SHARED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES FOR PERSONNEL VETTING.

- (a) DEFINITION OF APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—In this section, the term "appropriate committees of Congress" means—
- (1) the congressional intelligence committees;

- (2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and
- (3) the Committee on Armed Services and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.
- (b) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a review of the extent to which the intelligence community can use information technology services shared among the intelligence community for purposes of personnel vetting, including with respect to human resources, suitability, and security.

SEC. 802. TIMELINESS STANDARD FOR REN-DERING DETERMINATIONS OF TRUST FOR PERSONNEL VETTING.

- (a) TIMELINESS STANDARD.
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The President shall, acting through the Security Executive Agent and the Suitability and Credentialing Executive Agent, establish and publish in such public venue as the President considers appropriate, new timeliness performance standards for processing personnel vetting trust determinations in accordance with the Federal personnel vetting performance management standards.
- (2) QUINQUENNIAL REVIEWS.—Not less frequently than once every 5 years, the President shall, acting through the Security Executive Agent and the Suitability and Credentialing Executive Agent—
- (A) review the standards established pursuant to paragraph (1); and
 - (B) pursuant to such review—
- (i) update such standards as the President considers appropriate; and
- (ii) publish in the Federal Register such updates as may be made pursuant to clause (i).
- (3) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 3001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 3341) is amended by striking subsection (g).
- (b) QUARTERLY REPORTS ON IMPLEMENTA-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not less frequently than quarterly, the Security Executive Agent and the Suitability and Credentialing Executive Agent shall jointly make available to the public a quarterly report on the compliance of Executive agencies (as defined in section 105 of title 5, United States Code) with the standards established pursuant to subsection (a).
- (2) DISAGGREGATION.—Each report made available pursuant to paragraph (1) shall disaggregate, to the greatest extent practicable, data by appropriate category of personnel risk and between Government and contractor personnel
- (c) COMPLEMENTARY STANDARDS FOR INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—The Director of National Intelligence may, in consultation with the Security, Suitability, and Credentialing Performance Accountability Council established pursuant to Executive Order 13467 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to reforming processes related to suitability for Government employment, fitness for contractor employees, and eligibility for access to classified national security information) establish for the intelligence community standards complementary to those established pursuant to subsection (a).

SEC. 803. ANNUAL REPORT ON PERSONNEL VETTING TRUST DETERMINATIONS.

(a) DEFINITION OF PERSONNEL VETTING TRUST DETERMINATION.—In this section, the term "personnel vetting trust determination" means any determination made by an executive branch agency as to whether an individual can be trusted to perform job functions or to be granted access necessary for a position.

- (b) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than March 30, 2024, and annually thereafter for 5 years, the Director of National Intelligence, acting as the Security Executive Agent, and the Director of the Office of Personnel Management. acting as the Suitability Credentialing Executive Agent, in coordination with the Security, Suitability, and Credentialing Performance Accountability Council, shall jointly make available to the public a report on specific types of personnel vetting trust determinations made during the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year in which the report is made available. disaggregated, to the greatest extent possible, by the following:
- (1) Determinations of eligibility for national security-sensitive positions, separately noting—
- (A) the number of individuals granted access to national security information; and
- (B) the number of individuals determined to be eligible for but not granted access to national security information.
- (2) Determinations of suitability or fitness for a public trust position.
- (3) Status as a Government employee, a contractor employee, or other category.
- (c) ELIMINATION OF REPORT REQUIREMENT.— Section 3001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 3341) is amended by striking subsection (h).

SEC. 804. SURVEY TO ASSESS STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF TRUSTED WORK-FORCE 2.0.

Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and once every 2 years thereafter until 2029, the Comptroller General of the United States shall administer a survey to such sample of Federal agencies, Federal contractors, and other persons that require security clearances to access classified information as the Comptroller General considers appropriate to assess—

- (1) the strengths and weaknesses of the implementation of the Trusted Workforce 2.0 initiative; and
- (2) the effectiveness of vetting Federal personnel while managing risk during the onboarding of such personnel.

SEC. 805. PROHIBITION ON DENIAL OF ELIGIBILITY FOR ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION SOLELY BECAUSE OF PAST USE OF CANNABIS.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) CANNABIS.—The term "cannabis" has the meaning given the term "marihuana" in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802).
- (2) ELIGIBILITY FOR ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The term "eligibility for access to classified information" has the meaning given the term in the procedures established pursuant to section 801(a) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3161(a)).
- (b) PROHIBITION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the head of an element of the intelligence community may not make a determination to deny eligibility for access to classified information to an individual based solely on the use of cannabis by the individual prior to the submission of the application for a security clearance by the individual.

TITLE IX—ANOMALOUS HEALTH INCIDENTS

SEC. 901. IMPROVED FUNDING FLEXIBILITY FOR PAYMENTS MADE BY THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FOR QUALI-FYING INJURIES TO THE BRAIN.

Section 19A(d) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3519b(d)) is amended by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following new paragraph:

"(3) Funding.-

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Payment under paragraph (2) in a fiscal year may be made using any funds—

- "(i) appropriated in advance specifically for payments under such paragraph; or
- "(ii) reprogrammed in accordance with section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3094).
- "(B) BUDGET.—For each fiscal year, the Director shall include with the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the budget of the President for that fiscal year pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, an estimate of the funds required in that fiscal year to make payments under paragraph (2)."

SEC. 902. CLARIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS TO SEEK CERTAIN BENEFITS RELATING TO INJURIES TO THE BRAIN.

- (a) In General.—Section 19A(d)(5) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3519b(d)(5)) is amended—
- (1) by striking "Payments made" and inserting the following:
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Payments made"; and (2) by adding at the end the following:
- "(B) RELATION TO CERTAIN FEDERAL WORK-ERS COMPENSATION LAWS.—Without regard to the requirements in sections (b) and (c), covered employees need not first seek benefits provided under chapter 81 of title 5, United States Code, to be eligible solely for payment authorized under paragraph (2) of this subsection."
- (b) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency shall—
- (1) revise applicable regulations to conform with the amendment made by subsection (a); and
- (2) submit to the congressional intelligence committees, the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives copies of such regulations, as revised pursuant to paragraph (1).

SEC. 903. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY IMPLE-MENTATION OF HAVANA ACT OF 2021 AUTHORITIES.

- (a) REGULATIONS.—Except as provided in subsection (c), not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, each head of an element of the intelligence community that has not already done so shall—
- (1) issue regulations and procedures to implement the authorities provided by section 19A(d) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3519b(d)) and section 901(i) of title IX of division J of the Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (22 U.S.C. 2680b(i)) to provide payments under such sections, to the degree that such authorities are applicable to the head of the element; and
- (2) submit to the congressional intelligence, the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives committees copies of such regulations.
- (b) REPORTING.—Not later than 210 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, each head of an element of the intelligence community shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees, the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives a report on—
- (1) the estimated number of individuals associated with their element that may be eligible for payment under the authorities described in subsection (a)(1);
- (2) an estimate of the obligation that the head of the intelligence community element expects to incur in fiscal year 2025 as a result

- of establishing the regulations pursuant to subsection (a)(1); and
- (3) any perceived barriers or concerns in implementing such authorities.
- (c) ALTERNATIVE REPORTING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, each head of an element of the intelligence community (other than the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency) who believes that the authorities described in subsection (a)(1) are not currently relevant for individuals associated with their element, or who are not otherwise in position to issue the regulations and procedures required by subsection (a)(1) shall provide written and detailed justification to the congressional intelligence committees, the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives to explain this position.

SEC. 904. REPORT AND BRIEFING ON CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY HANDLING OF ANOMALOUS HEALTH INCIDENTS.

- (a) Definitions.—In this section:
- (1) AGENCY.—The term "Agency" means the Central Intelligence Agency.
- (2) QUALIFYING INJURY.—The term "qualifying injury" has the meaning given such term in section 19A(d)(1) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3519b(d)(1)).
- (b) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report on the handling of anomalous health incidents by the Agency.
- (c) CONTENTS.—The report required by subsection (b) shall include the following:
- (1) HAVANA ACT IMPLEMENTATION.—
- (A) An explanation of how the Agency determines whether a reported anomalous health incident resulted in a qualifying injury or a qualifying injury to the brain.
- (B) The number of participants of the Expanded Care Program of the Central Intelligence Agency who—
- (i) have a certified qualifying injury or a certified qualifying injury to the brain; and
- (ii) as of September 30, 2023, applied to the Expanded Care Program due to a reported anomalous health incident.
- (C) A comparison of the number of anomalous health incidents reported by applicants to the Expanded Care Program that occurred in the United States and that occurred in a foreign country.
- (D) The specific reason each applicant was approved or denied for payment under the Expanded Care Program.
- (E) The number of applicants who were initially denied payment but were later approved on appeal.
- (F) The average length of time, from the time of application, for an applicant to receive a determination from the Expanded Care Program, aggregated by qualifying injuries and qualifying injuries to the brain.
- (2) PRIORITY CASES.—
- (A) A detailed list of priority cases of anomalous health incidents, including, for each incident, locations, dates, times, and circumstances.
- (B) For each priority case listed in accordance with subparagraph (A), a detailed explanation of each credible alternative explanation that the Agency assigned to the incident, including—
 - (i) how the incident was discovered;
- (ii) how the incident was assigned within the Agency; and
- (iii) whether an individual affected by the incident is provided an opportunity to appeal the credible alternative explanation.

- (C) For each priority case of an anomalous health incident determined to be largely consistent with the definition of "anomalous health incident" established by the National Academy of Sciences and for which the Agency does not have a credible alternative explanation, a detailed description of such
- (3) ANOMALOUS HEALTH INCIDENT SENSORS.—
 (A) A list of all types of sensors that the Agency has developed or deployed with respect to reports of anomalous health incidents, including, for each type of sensor, the deployment location, the date and the duration of the employment of such type of sensor, and, if applicable, the reason for removal
- (B) A list of entities to which the Agency has provided unrestricted access to data associated with anomalous health incidents.
- (C) A list of requests for support the Agency has received from elements of the Federal Government regarding sensor development, testing, or deployment, and a description of the support provided in each case.
- (D) A description of all emitter signatures obtained by sensors associated with anomalous health incidents in Agency holdings since 2016, including—
- (i) the identification of any of such emitters that the Agency prioritizes as a threat; and
 - (ii) an explanation of such prioritization.
- (d) ADDITIONAL SUBMISSIONS.—Concurrent with the submission of the report required by subsection (b), the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees, the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives—
- (1) a template of each form required to apply for the Expanded Care Program, including with respect to payments for a qualifying injury or a qualifying injury to the brain;
- (2) copies of internal guidance used by the Agency to adjudicate claims for the Expanded Care Program, including with respect to payments for a qualifying injury to the brain;
- (3) the case file of each applicant to the Expanded Care Program who applied due to a reported anomalous health incident, including supporting medical documentation, with name and other identifying information redacted:
- (4) copies of all informational and instructional materials provided to employees of and other individuals affiliated with the Agency with respect to applying for the Expanded Care Program; and
- (5) copies of Agency guidance provided to employees of and other individuals affiliated with the Agency with respect to reporting and responding to a suspected anomalous health incident, and the roles and responsibilities of each element of the Agency tasked with responding to a report of an anomalous health incident.
- (e) BRIEFING.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency shall brief the congressional intelligence committees, the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives on the report.

TITLE X—ELECTION SECURITY

SEC. 1001. STRENGTHENING ELECTION CYBERSE-CURITY TO UPHOLD RESPECT FOR ELECTIONS THROUGH INDE-PENDENT TESTING ACT OF 2023.

(a) REQUIRING PENETRATION TESTING AS PART OF THE TESTING AND CERTIFICATION OF

VOTING SYSTEMS.—Section 231 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (52 U.S.C. 20971) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

- "(e) REQUIRED PENETRATION TESTING.-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this subsection, the Commission shall provide for the conduct of penetration testing as part of the testing, certification, decertification, and recertification of voting system hardware and software by accredited laboratories under this section.
- "(2) ACCREDITATION.—The Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology shall recommend to the Commission entities the Director proposes be accredited to carry out penetration testing under this subsection and certify compliance with the penetration testing-related guidelines required by this subsection. The Commission shall vote on the accreditation of any entity recommended. The requirements for such accreditation shall be a subset of the requirements for accreditation of laboratories under subsection (b) and shall only be based on consideration of an entity's competence to conduct penetration testing under this subsection.
- (b) INDEPENDENT SECURITY TESTING AND CO-ORDINATED CYBERSECURITY VULNERABILITY DISCLOSURE PROGRAM FOR ELECTION SYS-TEMS.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle D of title II of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15401 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new part:

"PART 7—INDEPENDENT SECURITY TEST-ING AND COORDINATED CYBERSECU-RITY VULNERABILITY DISCLOSURE PILOT PROGRAM FOR ELECTION SYS-TEMS

"SEC. 297. INDEPENDENT SECURITY TESTING AND COORDINATED CYBERSECU-RITY VULNERABILITY DISCLOSURE PILOT PROGRAM FOR ELECTION SYSTEMS.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—
- "(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Commission, in consultation with the Secretary, shall establish an Independent Security Testing and Coordinated Vulnerability Disclosure Pilot Program for Election Systems (VDP-E) (in this section referred to as the 'program') in order to test for and disclose cybersecurity vulnerabilities in election systems.
- "(2) DURATION.—The program shall be conducted for a period of 5 years.
- "(3) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out the program, the Commission, in consultation with the Secretary, shall—
- "(A) establish a mechanism by which an election systems vendor may make their election system (including voting machines and source code) available to cybersecurity researchers participating in the program:
- "(B) provide for the vetting of cybersecurity researchers prior to their participation in the program, including the conduct of background checks;
- "(C) establish terms of participation that—
- "(i) describe the scope of testing permitted under the program;
 - "(ii) require researchers to—
- "(I) notify the vendor, the Commission, and the Secretary of any cybersecurity vulnerability they identify with respect to an election system; and
- "(II) otherwise keep such vulnerability confidential for 180 days after such notification."
- "(iii) require the good faith participation of all participants in the program;
- "(iv) require an election system vendor, within 180 days after validating notification of a critical or high vulnerability (as defined by the National Institute of Standards and

Technology) in an election system of the vendor, to—

- "(I) send a patch or propound some other fix or mitigation for such vulnerability to the appropriate State and local election officials, in consultation with the researcher who discovered it; and
- "(II) notify the Commission and the Secretary that such patch has been sent to such officials;
- "(D) in the case where a patch or fix to address a vulnerability disclosed under subparagraph (C)(ii)(I) is intended to be applied to a system certified by the Commission, provide—
- "(i) for the expedited review of such patch or fix within 90 days after receipt by the Commission; and
- "(ii) if such review is not completed by the last day of such 90 day period, that such patch or fix shall be deemed to be certified by the Commission, subject to any subsequent review of such determination by the Commission; and
- "(E) 180 days after the disclosure of a vulnerability under subparagraph (C)(ii)(I), notify the Director of the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency of the vulnerability for inclusion in the database of Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures.
- "(4) VOLUNTARY PARTICIPATION; SAFE HARBOR.—
- "(A) VOLUNTARY PARTICIPATION.—Participation in the program shall be voluntary for election systems vendors and researchers.
- "(B) SAFE HARBOR.—When conducting research under this program, such research and subsequent publication shall be considered to be:
- "(i) Authorized in accordance with section 1030 of title 18, United States Code (commonly known as the 'Computer Fraud and Abuse Act'), (and similar state laws), and the election system vendor will not initiate or support legal action against the researcher for accidental, good faith violations of the program
- "(ii) Exempt from the anti-circumvention rule of section 1201 of title 17, United States Code (commonly known as the 'Digital Millennium Copyright Act'), and the election system vendor will not bring a claim against a researcher for circumvention of technology controls.
- "(C) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this paragraph may be construed to limit or otherwise affect any exception to the general prohibition against the circumvention of technological measures under subparagraph (A) of section 1201(a)(1) of title 17, United States Code, including with respect to any use that is excepted from that general prohibition by the Librarian of Congress under subparagraphs (B) through (D) of such section 1201(a)(1).
- "(5) EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE.—Cybersecurity vulnerabilities discovered under the program shall be exempt from section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly referred to as the Freedom of Information Act).
- "(6) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:
- "(A) CYBERSECURITY VULNERABILITY.—The term 'cybersecurity vulnerability' means, with respect to an election system, any security vulnerability that affects the election system.
- ``(B) ELECTION INFRASTRUCTURE.—The term 'election infrastructure' means—
- "(i) storage facilities, polling places, and centralized vote tabulation locations used to support the administration of elections for public office; and
- "(ii) related information and communications technology, including—
 - "(I) voter registration databases;
 - "(II) election management systems;
- "(III) voting machines;

- "(IV) electronic mail and other communications systems (including electronic mail and other systems of vendors who have entered into contracts with election agencies to support the administration of elections, manage the election process, and report and display election results); and
- "(V) other systems used to manage the election process and to report and display election results on behalf of an election agency.
- "(C) ELECTION SYSTEM.—The term 'election system' means any information system that is part of an election infrastructure, including any related information and communications technology described in subparagraph (B)(ii).
- "(D) ELECTION SYSTEM VENDOR.—The term 'election system vendor' means any person providing, supporting, or maintaining an election system on behalf of a State or local election official.
- "(E) INFORMATION SYSTEM.—The term 'information system' has the meaning given the term in section 3502 of title 44, United States Code.
- "(F) SECRETARY.—The term 'Secretary' means the Secretary of Homeland Security.
- "(G) SECURITY VULNERABILITY.—The term 'security vulnerability' has the meaning given the term in section 102 of the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015 (6 U.S.C. 1501)."
- (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents of such Act is amended by adding at the end of the items relating to subtitle D of title II the following:
- "PART 7—INDEPENDENT SECURITY TESTING AND COORDINATED CYBERSECURITY VULNER-ABILITY DISCLOSURE PROGRAM FOR ELEC-TION SYSTEMS
- "Sec. 297. Independent security testing and coordinated cybersecurity vulnerability disclosure program for election systems.".

TITLE XI—OTHER MATTERS

SEC. 1101. MODIFICATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR ALL-DOMAIN ANOMALY RESOLUTION OFFICE.

Section 1683(k)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (50 U.S.C. 3373(k)(1)), as amended by section 6802(a) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law 117–263), is amended—

- (1) in the heading, by striking "DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND SECRETARY OF DEFENSE" and inserting "ALL-DOMAIN ANOMALY RESOLUTION OFFICE"; and
- (2) in subparagraph (A), by striking "Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Defense shall jointly" and inserting "Director of the Office shall".

SEC. 1102. FUNDING LIMITATIONS RELATING TO UNIDENTIFIED ANOMALOUS PHENOMENA.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—The term "appropriate committees of Congress" means—
- (A) the Select Committee on Intelligence, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and
- (B) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.
- (2) CONGRESSIONAL LEADERSHIP.—The term "congressional leadership" means—
 - (A) the majority leader of the Senate;
- (B) the minority leader of the Senate;
- (C) the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and
- (D) the minority leader of the House of Representatives.

- (3) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means the Director of the All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office.
- (4) UNIDENTIFIED ANOMALOUS PHENOMENA.—The term "unidentified anomalous phenomena" has the meaning given such term in section 1683(n) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (50 U.S.C. 3373(n)), as amended by section 6802(a) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law 117–263).
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that, due to the increasing potential for technology surprise from foreign adversaries and to ensure sufficient integration across the United States industrial base and avoid technology and security stovepipes—
- (1) the United States industrial base must retain its global lead in critical advanced technologies; and
- (2) the Federal Government must expand awareness about any historical exotic technology antecedents previously provided by the Federal Government for research and development purposes.
- (c) LIMITATIONS.—No amount authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be obligated or expended, directly or indirectly, in part or in whole, for, on, in relation to, or in support of activities involving unidentified anomalous phenomena protected under any form of special access or restricted access limitations that have not been formally, officially, explicitly, and specifically described, explained, and justified to the appropriate committees of Congress, congressional leadership, and the Director, including for any activities relating to the following:
- (1) Recruiting, employing, training, equipping, and operations of, and providing security for, government or contractor personnel with a primary, secondary, or contingency mission of capturing, recovering, and securing unidentified anomalous phenomena craft or pieces and components of such craft.
- (2) Analyzing such craft or pieces or components thereof, including for the purpose of determining properties, material composition, method of manufacture, origin, characteristics, usage and application, performance, operational modalities, or reverse engineering of such craft or component technology.
- (3) Managing and providing security for protecting activities and information relating to unidentified anomalous phenomena from disclosure or compromise.
- (4) Actions relating to reverse engineering or replicating unidentified anomalous phenomena technology or performance based on analysis of materials or sensor and observational information associated with unidentified anomalous phenomena.
- (5) The development of propulsion technology, or aerospace craft that uses propulsion technology, systems, or subsystems, that is based on or derived from or inspired by inspection, analysis, or reverse engineering of recovered unidentified anomalous phenomena craft or materials.
- (6) Any aerospace craft that uses propulsion technology other than chemical propellants, solar power, or electric ion thrust.
- (d) NOTIFICATION AND REPORTING.—Any person currently or formerly under contract with the Federal Government that has in their possession material or information provided by or derived from the Federal Government relating to unidentified anomalous phenomena that formerly or currently is protected by any form of special access or restricted access shall—
- (1) not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, notify the Director of such possession; and
- (2) not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, make available to

- the Director for assessment, analysis, and inspection— $\,$
- (A) all such material and information; and (B) a comprehensive list of all non-earth origin or exotic unidentified anomalous phenomena material.
- (e) LIABILITY.—No criminal or civil action may lie or be maintained in any Federal or State court against any person for receiving material or information described in subsection (d) if that person complies with the notification and reporting provisions described in such subsection.
- (f) LIMITATION REGARDING INDEPENDENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Consistent with Department of Defense Instruction Number 3204.01 (dated August 20, 2014, incorporating change 2, dated July 9, 2020; relating to Department policy for oversight of independent research and development), independent research and development funding relating to material or information described in subsection (c) shall not be allowable as indirect expenses for purposes of contracts covered by such instruction, unless such material and information is made available to the Director in accordance with subsection (d).
- (2) EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICABILITY.—Paragraph (1) shall take effect on the date that is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to funding from amounts appropriated before, on, or after such date.
- (g) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Director has received a notification under paragraph (1) of subsection (d) or information or material under paragraph (2) of such subsection, the Director shall provide written notification of such receipt to the appropriate committees of Congress, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Oversight and Accountability of the House of Representatives, and congressional leadership.
- SA 1054. Mr. LUJÁN (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, and Mr. HEINRICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:
- At the end of subtitle A of title XXVIII, add the following:

SEC. 2816. LIMITATION ON USE OF AMOUNTS FOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS RELATING TO RELOCATING ELEMENTS OF THE AIR FORCE TO DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA.

None of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2024 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended for a military construction project (as described in section 2801(b) of title 10, United States Code) for the construction or modification of facilities for temporary or permanent use by Air Force Special Operation Command to relocate headquarters elements or Special Operations Wing elements from Hurlburt Field, Florida, or Cannon Air Force Base, New Mexico, to Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, Arizona

SA 1055. Mr. WICKER (for himself, Mr. RISCH, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. HAWLEY, Ms. SINEMA, and Mr. LEE) proposed an

amendment to amendment SA 935 proposed by Mr. Schumer (for Mr. Reed (for himself and Mr. Wicker)) to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; as follows:

At the end of subtitle C of title XII, add the following:

SEC. 1240A. OFFICE OF THE LEAD INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR UKRAINE ASSIST-ANCE.

- (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established the Office of the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance to provide for the oversight of independent and objective conduct and supervision of audits and investigations relating to the programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated by the United States for Ukraine.
- (b) APPOINTMENT OF LEAD INSPECTOR GENERAL: REMOVAL.—
- (1) APPOINTMENT.—The head of the Office of the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance shall be known as the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance (in this section referred to as the "Lead Inspector General"), who shall be designated by the President.
- (2) QUALIFICATIONS.—The appointment of the Lead Inspector General shall be made solely on the basis of integrity and demonstrated ability in conducting investigations, including experience in accounting, auditing, financial analysis, law, management analysis, public administration, or investigations.
- (3) SELECTION.—The Lead Inspector General may be—
- (A) a senior member of the civil service or Foreign Service;
- (B) selected from among the offices of the Inspectors General; or
- (C) an individual that the meets the qualifications under paragraph (2), as determined by the President.
- (4) DEADLINE FOR APPOINTMENT.—The appointment of an individual as Lead Inspector General shall be made not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.
- (5) PROHIBITION ON POLITICAL ACTIVITIES.—For purposes of section 7324 of title 5, United States Code, the Lead Inspector General shall not be considered an employee who determines policies to be pursued by the United States in the nationwide administration of Federal law.
- (6) REMOVAL.—The Lead Inspector General shall be removable from office in accordance with the provisions of section 403(b) of title 5, United States Code.
 - (c) SUPERVISION.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of carrying out this section, the Lead Inspector General shall report directly to, and be under the general supervision of, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense.
- (2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section may be construed to limit the ability of the Inspectors General to enter into agreements to conduct joint audits, inspections, or investigations in the exercise of their oversight responsibilities in accordance with this section with respect to Ukraine.
- (d) DUTIES.—The duties of the Lead Inspector General are as follows:
- (1) To appoint, from among the offices of the Inspectors General, an Assistant Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance, who shall supervise auditing and investigative activities and assist the Lead Inspector General in the discharge of responsibilities under this subsection.

- (2) To develop and carry out, in coordination with the offices of the Inspectors General, a joint strategic plan to conduct comprehensive oversight of all amounts appropriated by the United States for Ukraine.
- (3) To apply key lessons from prior oversight work, in coordination with the offices of the Inspectors General, to Ukraine response programs and operations to minimize waste, fraud, and abuse.
- (4) With respect to amounts appropriated by the United States for Ukraine—
- (A) to ensure, through joint or individual audits, inspections, and investigations, independent and effective oversight of—
- (i) all funds appropriated for such support; and
- (ii) the programs, operations, and contracts carried out using such funds; and
- (B) to review and ascertain the accuracy of information provided by Federal agencies relating to—
- (i) obligations and expenditures;
- (ii) costs of programs and projects;
- (iii) accountability of funds;
- (iv) the tracking and monitoring of all lethal and nonlethal security assistance and compliance with end-use certification requirements; and
- (v) the award and execution of major contracts, grants, and agreements in support of Ukraine.
- (5) To employ, or authorize the employment by the Inspectors General, on a temporary basis using the authorities in section 3161 of title 5, United States Code (without regard to subsection (b)(2) of such section), such auditors, investigators, and other personnel as the Lead Inspector General considers appropriate to carrying out the duties described in this subsection.
- (6) To obtain expert and consultant services as authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, at daily rates not to exceed the equivalent rate prescribed for grade GS-15 of the General Schedule by section 5332 of that title.
- (7) To carry out such other responsibilities relating to the coordination and efficient and effective discharge by the Inspectors General of duties relating to United States military and nonmilitary support for Ukraine as the Lead Inspector General shall specify.
- (8) To discharge the responsibilities under this subsection in a manner consistent with the authorities and requirements of this section and the authorities and requirements applicable to the Inspectors General under chapter 4 of title 5, United States Code, including section 404(b)(1) and section 406 of that title.
- (e) DEPLOYMENT OF LEAD INSPECTOR GENERAL STAFF.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Office of the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance shall maintain a presence of at least one individual in the country of Ukraine on a permanent basis.
- (2) EVACUATION PLAN.—The Lead Inspector General shall—
- (A) coordinate with the appropriate chief of mission for the purpose of developing an evacuation plan; and
- (B) maintain a plan to evacuate personnel should an evacuation be required.
- (3) NOTICE AND JUSTIFICATION.—To any extent that the Lead Inspector General determines that the Office of the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance cannot maintain such a presence in Ukraine, the Lead Inspector General shall notify the appropriate committees of Congress in writing within 7 days of such determination, along with a justification for why the presence could not be maintained.
 - (f) Reports.—
 - (1) QUARTERLY REPORTS.—

- (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal-year quarter, the Lead Inspector General shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report summarizing, with respect to that quarter and, to the extent possible, the period beginning on the date on which such quarter ends and ending on the date on which the report is submitted, the activities of the Lead Inspector General with respect to programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated by the United States for Ukraine.
- (B) ELEMENTS.—Each report required by subparagraph (A) shall include, for the period covered by the report—
- (i) a description of any identified waste, fraud, or abuse with respect to programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated by the United States for Ukraine:
- (ii) a description of the status and results of—
- (I) investigations, inspections, and audits; and
- (II) referrals to the Department of Justice; (iii) a description of the overall plans for review by the Inspectors General of such support of Ukraine, including plans for inves-

tigations, inspections, and audits; and

- (iv) an evaluation of the compliance of the Government of Ukraine with all requirements for receiving United States funds, including a description of any area of concern with respect to the ability of the Government of Ukraine to achieve such compliance.
- (2) FORM.—Each report required by this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if the Lead Inspector General considers it necessary.
- (3) AVAILABILITY.—
- (A) PUBLIC.—The Lead Inspector General shall publish on a publicly available internet website the unclassified form of each report required by paragraph (1) in English and any other language the Lead Inspector General determines is widely used and understood in Ukraine.
- (B) MEMBERS OF CONGRESS.—On request by a Member of Congress, the Lead Inspector General shall make any report required by paragraph (1), including the classified annex, as applicable, available to the Member of Congress.
- (4) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection may be construed to authorize the public disclosure of information that is—
- (A) specifically prohibited from disclosure by any other provision of law;
- (B) specifically required by Executive order to be protected from disclosure in the interest of national defense or national security or in the conduct of foreign affairs; or
- $\left(C\right)$ a part of an ongoing criminal investigation.
- (g) PUBLICATION OF UNITED STATES ASSISTANCE TO UKRAINE.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President, acting through the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State, shall publish a comprehensive accounting of unclassified amounts appropriated by the United States for Ukraine on a publicly available website of the United States Government.
- (h) BRIEFINGS.—On request by a committee of Congress or a Member of Congress, not later than 15 days after receiving the request, the Lead Inspector General shall provide to the committee of Congress or Member of Congress a briefing on the oversight of programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated by the United States for Ukraine.
- (i) INSPECTORS GENERAL STAFFING.—Personnel assigned to Ukraine-related oversight work by the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, the Inspector General of the Department of State, the Inspector General of

- eral of the United States Agency for International Development, and the Inspector General of other Federal agency shall exclusively perform Ukraine-related oversight work in accordance with the joint strategic plan under subsection (d)(2).
- $(\rm j)$ Assessment of Office of the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Office of the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance is established, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall enter into a contract with an independent third-party entity, which may include a federally funded research and development corporation, to conduct an assessment of the Office of the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance.
- (2) ELEMENTS.—The assessment conducted under paragraph (1) shall include the following:
- (A) An assessment of the discharge of the duties described in subsection (d), including an assessment as to whether any structural or policy adjustments would enable more effective oversight efforts.
- (B) An assessment as to whether establishing a Special Inspector General would be a more effective oversight model.
- (C) An assessment as to whether the Lead Inspector General would benefit from additional resources or authorities to ensure the discharge of all duties under subsection (d) and any other provision of law.
- (D) Any recommendations for Congress to improve the effectiveness of the Lead Inspector General.
 - (3) Report.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress, and on request, to any Member of Congress, a report on the assessment required by paragraph (1)
- (B) PUBLICATION.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall publish the report required by subparagraph (A) on a publicly accessible internet website of the United States Government.
- (k) TERMINATION.—The Office of the Lead Inspector General for Ukraine Assistance shall terminate 180 days after the date on which amounts appropriated by the United States for Ukraine are less than the amounts that were appropriated by the United States for Ukraine on February 24, 2022.
 - (1) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—
- (1) AUTHORIZATION.—There is authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out this section.
- (2) Offset.—The amount authorized to be appropriated for the Office of the Secretary of Defense is hereby reduced by \$10,000,000.
 - (m) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) AMOUNTS APPROPRIATED BY THE UNITED STATES FOR UKRAINE.—The term "amounts appropriated by the United States for Ukraine" means amounts appropriated on or after January 1, 2022, for—
- (A) the Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative established under section 1250 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (Public Law 114-92; 129 Stat. 1608);
- (B) any foreign military financing accessed by the Government of Ukraine;
- (C) the presidential drawdown authority under section 506(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2318(a));
- (D) the defense institution building program under section 332 of title 10, United States Code:
- (E) the building partner capacity program under section 333 of title 10, United States Code;

- (F) the international military education and training program of the Department of State or
- (G) any amounts appropriated on or after January 1, 2022, for the military, economic, reconstruction, or humanitarian support of Ukraine under any account or for any purpose not described in this paragraph.
- (2) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—The term "appropriate committees of Congress" means—
- (A) the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate: and
- (B) the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Oversight and Accountability of the House of Representatives.
- (3) INSPECTORS GENERAL.—The term "Inspectors General" means the following:
- (A) The Inspector General of the Department of Defense.
- (B) The Inspector General of the Department of State.
- $\left(C\right)$ The Inspector General of the United States Agency for International Development.

SA 1056. Mr. WICKER (for himself and Mr. Graham) submitted an amend-

ment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in the funding tables, insert the following to raise the topline for implementation of the National Defense Strategy and for other purposes:

ACCOUNT	LINE	PE	SAG	FY24 AMT (\$1000S)	TITLE/STUB ENTRY	UFR?
WSLS	6			\$314.2	PrSM (Inc 1) production increase	
OMMA	36			\$20.0	M795 155mm artillery projectile production	
RDA		0604802A		\$20.0	Low-drag artillery guidance kit (XM1210 LR PGK)	
MSLS	20			\$20.0	SB600 production increase	
PDW	78			\$10.9	Marinized SB600	
/ISLS	17			\$350.0	Additional 1 Patriot fire unit	
RDDW		0603881C		\$108.0	All Domain Missile Warning and Missile Tracking Architecture (THAAD).	
MSLS				\$36.0	JAGM capacity expansion	
DA		02065778A		\$67.0	GMLRS-ER capacity expansion	
DA		0604611A		\$29.1	Javelin RDTE for F-model auto gate/fast launch	
ISLS				\$87.6	Stinger capacity expansion—obsolescence	
ANMC				\$350.0	Coyote production increase	
DA		1160402BB		\$27.3	Palletized Field Artillery Launcher	
DN		0604227N		\$50.0	Harpoon—seeker development obsolescence issues	
PN				\$20.0	Harpoon—additional test equipment for production and missile recert.	
/PN				\$152.0	AARGM—ER production increase	
/PN				\$34.0	AARGM-ER special test equipment	
PN				\$40.0	NSM production increase	
/PN				\$180.0	SM-6 obsolescence steering & control	
PN				\$30.0	SM-6 obsolescence Plate 3A	
PN				\$20.0	Tomahawk BlkV throughput expansion	
DW				\$63.0	SM-3 Block IIA capacity expansion	
DW				\$140.0	SM-3 Block IIA obsolescence	
PN				\$65.0	Mk48 Mod 7 AUR and Technology Expansion Program	
PN				\$40.0	Mk48 Mod 7 further obsolescence fixes	
PN				\$121.1	Mk54 Mod 1 kit	
PN				\$18.6	Mk54 HAAWC kit	
PN				\$10.0	Indian Head explosive fill production expansion	
DN		0604601N		\$10.0	Indian Head underwater fill testing/quals completion	
DN		0604601N		\$15.0	Hammerhead capability	
DN		9999		\$60.0	Classified program	
/PN				\$7.5	Mk68	
PN				\$0.4	Mk68 obsolescence	
DN		0603782N		\$10.0	ONR capability acceleration	
DN		0603582N		\$19.6	PAC-3 MSE/Aegis integration	
PAF				\$217.3	GLSDB risk mitigation of current GFE—sensor/shooter	
PAF				\$160.0	Joint Strike Missile production increase and test equipment	
PAF				\$20.0	AMRAAM AP	
PN	6			\$60.0	AIM-9X capacity expansion	
PN				\$130.0	AIM-9X production increase (Navy)	
PAF				\$130.0	AIM-9X production increase (AF)	
PAF				\$35.0	SDB II capacity expansion to 2100	
IPAF				\$70.0	SDB II capacity expansion to 3000	
PN				\$100.0	Phoenix Ghost CP200	
/PN				\$38.0	ABL energetics expansion (GMLRS, PrSM, PAC-3, etc)	
/PN				\$125.0	Expansion of solid rocket motor industrial base	
DDW		0602000D8Z		\$35.0	CL-20	
IPAF	0708011F			\$150.0	Defense Industrial Base (DIB) Expansion forIndustrial Preparedness/Pollution Prevention AFP-44	
DDW		0605022D8Z		\$15.0	Defense exportability features	
DAF		0604183F		\$133.4	HACM acceleration	
DN		0605518N		\$25.0	Mach-TB increase	
DDW		0603766E		\$120.0	Assault Breaker II 4x LOE acceleration	
DSF	9999			\$20.0	Classified space add	
DSF		1206310SF		\$30.0	Narrowband antenna on-orbit demonstration	
DSF		1206616SF		\$70.0	Cislunar space domain awareness	
SF	22			\$108.0	One additional space launch	
DDW		0603133D8Z		\$25.0	Foreign Comparative Testing	
DDW		0604250D8Z		\$46.0	Maintain SCO level of effort	
DDW		0601101E		\$25.0	NSCAI generative AI	
DDW		0602303E		\$50.0	NSCAI generative AI	
DDW		0601101E		\$16.5	NSCAI Al for Cyber	
DDW		0602303E		\$42.9	NSCAL AL for Cyber	
DDW		0603760E		\$6.6	NSCAL AL for Cyber	
MDW			DS1	\$200.0	National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund increase	

		·				
ACCOUNT	LINE	PE	SAG	FY24 AMT (\$1000S)	TITLE/STUB ENTRY	UFR?
RDDW		0901579D8Z		\$(30.0)	Transfer to pilot program—Protecting Access to Critical Assets	
RDDW		0901579D8Z		\$30.0	Transfer from Office of Strategic Capital for pilot program on Pro-	
RDDW		0604250D8Z		\$25.0	tecting Access to Critical Assets. Pele microreactor	
RDA		0603119A		\$2.8	Contested Logistics: Autonomous Self Perception Combat Engineer	
					Program (ASCEP).	
RDDW		0603896C		\$19.0	Ballistic Missile Defense C2BMC (MD01)	
1701 1701				\$73.3 \$28.1	Tritium Finishing Facility, SRS	
				\$341.8	Savannah River Pit Production	
				\$695.0	FY25 UFRs (for display purposes—text below)	
				\$1,691.0	FY24 MILCON UFRs (for display purposes—text below)	
				\$1,652.0	Army FSRM to 100%	
			BSM1 BSM1	\$650.0 \$1,415.0	Navy FSRM to 100%	
			011R	\$1,375.0	Air Force FSRM to 100%	
OMDW			4GTM	\$65.0	Defense Community Infrastructure Program	
OMAF			42A	\$2.0	Program increase for operational energy	
OMDW				\$1,200.0	Fuel price increases (FY23 + Fy24)	
SCN SCN				\$928.0 \$300.0	DDG-51 prior-year CTC Surface ship supplier base	
SCN				\$280.0	DDG-51 AP.	
APN				\$132.0	F-35B/C engine spares	
APN				\$250.0	FD2030—CH-53K +1 a/c	
RDN		0603207N		\$10.0	Task Force 59 long-endurance USV experimentation	
RDAF DPAF		0207138F		\$35.0 \$200.0	F-22 open system architecture for CCA	
DPAF DPAF				\$200.0 \$150.0	Classified program	
RDN		0604378N		\$22.5	Stratospheric balloon research—JTRS	
DPAF	9999			\$40.0	Classified program	
APN				\$72.6	NGJ +2 additional shipsets	
DMAF				\$470.3	F-22 WSS (prevent divestment)	
APAF RDAF		0207110F		\$240.0 \$50.0	MH-139A Next-Gen Advanced Propulsion	
APAF		02071101		\$618.3	F–35A test jets (6 a/c)	
RDAF		0207253F		\$49.0	Compass Call RDTE sim	
RDAF		0207133F		\$49.1	Advanced F-16 EW protection/attack	
APAF		26		\$130.5	Advanced F–16 EW protection/attack	
OPA OMDW				\$68.5 \$15.0	ENVG-B	
RDDW		63375D8Z		\$30.0	JTF-N Directed Energy Threat Research	
RDDW		33140D8Z		20.0	NSA Cyber Workforce Pilot Program	
OMARNG				\$12.0	Army National Guard Mission Assurance Program	
RDDW				\$17.0	All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office	
RDN		0604558N		\$7.0	Advanced Submarine Control Using Precision Maneuvering Unit	
OMDW OMARNG				\$10.0 \$21.5	Southeast Asia Cyber Pilot Expansion Exercise Northern Strike	
PMC				\$26.0	Low cost unmanned aerospace vehicle (PAACK-P)	
OPA	86			\$77.0	IBCS—integration acceleration for INDOPACOM	Army i
MSLS				\$22.7	M-SHORAD Increment 1—expand capacity of existing batteries	Army i
OPA				\$81.2	Trojan SPIRIT	Army i
OMA AMMO	36		121	\$102.5 21.5	Expanding INDOPACOM Campaigning Activities	Army i Army #:
RDA		0604804A		\$21.2	Maneuver support vessel (heavy)	Army #
ACFT				\$62.1	Black Hawk (HH-60M) replacement—MEDEVAC	Army #2
RDN		0604038N		\$45.3	Maritime Targeting Cell Afloat (MTC-A) Development	Navy
RDN		0604234N		\$249.3	Fund E-2D Theater Combat ID and HECTR	Navy :
OPN RDN				\$61.9 \$124.5	Fund ZEUS for DDG—1000 Class	Navy :
OMN		0204202N	1010	\$124.5 \$4.0	Fund ZEUS for DDG—1000 Class	Navy : Navy :
OPN				\$1.2	VIOLET	Navy :
RDN		0101402N		\$20.4	VIOLET	Navý :
OMN			BSM1	\$300.0	Dry Dock Repairs at PSNS Investment	Navy
OMN			DOM	\$050.0	Restoration and Modernization (RM)	M
OMN			BSM1	\$250.0	Targeted Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and	Navy i
OMN			BSM1	\$300.0	Targeted Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and	Navy i
			50111	4000.0	Modernization (FSRM) Investment	.1019
SCN				\$208.1	DDG-51 SEWIP BIK III (DDG 136-137)	Navy i
SCN				\$170.0	CVN 75 and CVN 80 SEWIP BIK III	Navy :
SCN				\$94.0 \$119.9	CVN 75 and CVN 80 SEWIP BIK III	Navy :
APN	15			\$118.8	Navy Unique Fleet Essential Airlift Logistics KC-130J (+1 A/C Reserve).	Navy i
APN	62			\$93.0	CH-53K Initial and Outfitting Spares	USMC =
PMC				\$21.1	Project 7/11—Modular Operations Cells	USMC
APN				\$252.9	(+2) KC-130J Aircraft and Initial Spares	USMC
PMC	25			\$5.1	Distributed Common Ground/Surface System-	USMC :
					Marine Corps (DCGS—MC) All-	
					Source SCI WorkstationsFamily of Field Medical Equipment (FFME)	
PMC	50			\$11.0	Damage Control Resuscitation	USMC i
	50			¥11.0	(DCR) and Damage Control Surgery (DCS)	_55
PMC	19			\$160.0	Equipment Sets(+4) AN/TPS-80 G/ATOR Radar	USMC i

ACCOUNT	LINE	PE	SAG	FY24 AMT	TITLE/STUB ENTRY	UFR?
				(\$1000S)		
RDN		0206313M		\$16.3	Satellite Communications Terminal, Network-onthe-Move (NOTM)	USMC #
DDM		0000017N		470.5	Digital Interoperability (DI)—Marine Agile	110140 #1
RDN PMC		0605217N		\$78.5 \$21.0	Network Gateway Link (MANGL) Roll-UpUltra-Light-Weight Camouflage Netting System (ULCANS)	USMC #1 USMC #1
PMC				\$5.1	Joint All Domain Command and Control (JADC2) Testing, Evalua-	USMC #1
					tion and Engineering Environment.	
APN				\$122.4	(+4) F-35B Engine/Lift System USMC Spares	USMC #1
PMC	48			\$8.0	Demolition Equipment Set, Squad Engineer/Explosive Hazard Defeat Systems	USMC #1
APN	68			\$67.5	(+3) UC-12W(ER) Beechcraft King Air 350ER with Cargo Door and	USMC #2
					Initial Spares.	
PMC				\$10.0	Multi-Terrain Loader—Replacement	USMC #2
APAF RDAF	52	0604007F		\$596.2 \$37.2	Accelerate E-7 delivery	AF # AF #
OMAF				\$0.7	(OI–3) Fund ISR Digital Infrastructure	AF #
OMAF				\$58.6	(OI-3) Fund ISR Digital Infrastructure	
		0207431F 0207431F		\$1.2 \$13.8	(OL-3) Fund ISR Digital Infrastructure	AF #
RDAF		0207431F		(01–3) Fund	(OI—3) Fund ISR Digital InfrastructureAF #4.	Ar #
		0207 1021	40.2	ISR Digital		
				Infrastructure		
RDAF		0207431F		\$5.9	(OL-3) Fund ISR Digital Infrastructure	AF #-
RDAF OPAF		0305208F		\$15.9 \$5.0	(OI-3) Fund ISR Digital Infrastructure(OI-3) Fund ISR Digital Infrastructure	AF #
RDAF				\$10.6	(OI-3) Fund ISR Digital Infrastructure	AF #
OMAF		9999	011C	\$12.1	(OI-3) Fund ISR Digital Infrastructure	USSF #
RDSF		9999		\$13.0	Classified Program D	
RDSF RDSF		9999 9999		\$105.0 \$90.0	Classified Program F	USSF # USSF #
RDSF		1203040SF		\$43.0	DCO-S	USSF #
RDDW		0604181C		\$298.0	Glide Phase Interceptor	MDA #
RDDW		0603891C		22.9	Classified Program A/Novel countermeasure against hypersonic	MDA #
RDDW		0603906C		\$15.0	threats. Classified Program B	MDA #
RDDW		0603914C		\$34.7	Pacific Collector/Pacific Tracker Replacement	MDA #
				•	Planning & Engineering	
RDDW		0603914C		\$315.3	Pacific Collector Replacement	MDA #
RDDW		0603891C		\$32.2	Left Through Right of Launch Integration/Antenna, software devel-	MDA #
RDDW		0603890C		\$12.4	opment & C2BMC integration. Electronic Attack/Electronic Protection	MDA #
RDDW		0603891C		\$27.3	Electronic Warfare for Missile Defense	MDA #
OPN				\$36.9	Somalia presistent presence	AFRICOM #
OMA				\$95.3 \$2.1	Contract ISR	AFRICOM #: AFRICOM #:
OMA OMA				\$2.1 \$4.7	Contract ISR	AFRICOM #
RDA		0603766A		\$14.7	Air Vigilance 0&S	CENTCOM #
RDAF		0207247F		\$15.0	AF TENCAP Crestone Database	CENTCOM #
OMAF				\$16.0	GPN-CENT	CENTCOM #
OMAF ΟΜΔΕ				\$8.0 \$34.0	Data analysis and Al initiative	
				\$30.0	Cloud transition	CENTCOM #
		0303055F	011Z	\$81.2	European Communications Infrastructure	EUCOM #
DDDW		0207522F		\$78.3	Air Based Air Defense	EUCOM #
RDDW		0604331D8Z		\$174.0	Joint Fires Network (JFN)	INDOPACON #
RDDW		0604102C		\$147.0	Guam Defense System	INDOPACON
OMAF			011C	\$90.0	INDOPACOM Campaigning	#: INDOPACON
OMMC			1A1A	\$8.0	INDOPACOM Campaigning	#INDOPACON
					INDOPACOM Campaigning	#. INDOPACON
				\$36.0		#
OMN			1CCM	\$49.0	Joint Training Team	INDOPACON #
OMN			1CCM	\$25.5	Joint Task Force Micronesia	INDOPACON #1
RDDW		0604331D8Z		\$10.0	Joint Experimentation and Innovation	INDOPACON #1:
OMN			1CCM	\$9.0	Joint Experimentation and Innovation	INDOPACON
OPN	43			\$117.0	Persistent Targeting for Undersea	#1: INDOPACON
OMN			1CCM	\$9.0	Joint Task Force Indo-Pacific (JTF-IP)	#1° INDOPACON
OMN			1CCM	\$5.0	Headquarters Manpower Enhancements	#2 INDOPACON
				\$69.9	Joint Training, Exercise and Evaluation Program	#2 INDOPACON
			01 [1	ψυυ.υ	(JTEEP)	#2
		0604617F		\$4.5	Arctic capable prepo shelters	NORTHCOM #
RDAF						
RDAF		0604617F		\$5.5	Arctic capable prepo shelters	NORTHCOM #
RDAF		0604617F 0604617F	015C	\$5.5 \$5.2 \$1.0	Arctic capable prepo shelters	NORTHCOM # NORTHCOM # NORTHCOM #

ACCOUNT	LINE	PE	SAG	FY24 AMT (\$1000S)	TITLE/STUB ENT	RY		UFR?
RDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAFRDAF .		7F		\$55.0 \$9.8 \$27.0 \$211.5 \$4.2 \$33.2 \$13.9	OTH-R capability acceleration Domain awareness tech dev ARCHER 3DELLR HDCS ERSA Core tech investment		NC NC NC NC	DRTHCOM #4 DRTHCOM #5 DRTHCOM #6 DRTHCOM #7 DRTHCOM #8 DRTHCOM #9 NORTHCOM
			1PL7	\$31.1 \$9.3	Counter Uncrewed Aerial Systems (CUAS 3 Defeat Acceleration	;) Group		#10 SOCOM
RDSF	9999 .			\$9.9 \$143.0 \$127.0	3 Defeat Acceleration Global Prepositioning Network (GPN) Cor SPACECOM classified program SPACECOM classified program	ncept	SF	SOUTHCOM #22 PACECOM #3 PACECOM #5
	9999 .		015X	\$68.0 \$20.0 \$ 24,969.4	SPACECOM classified programSpace warfighting terrain			PACECOM #6 PACECOM #7
Account	State/Country	Installati	ion		Project Title	FY 2024 Request	Senate Change	Senate Authorized
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION ARMY								
ArmyArmy ArmyArmy Army Army Army Army Army Army Army	Alaska	Redstone Arsenal Fort Wainwright Fort Wainwright		SUBSTATION	E: ENLISTED UNACCOMPANIED PERS HSG NCE READINESS CENTER (P&D)	50,000 34,000 0	270 0 0 7,900 75,000	270 50,000 34,000 7,900 75,000
ArmyArmy Army Army Army Army Army Army Army	Georgia Georgia Germany Germany Germany Germany	Hunter Army Airfield		AIRCRAFT MAINTEN CYBER INSTRUCTIO AUTOMATED MULTIF SIMULATIONS CENT	ANCE HANGAR (P&D) NAL FACILITY (CLASSROOMS) PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	0 163,000 10,400 56,000	9,900 - 90,000 0 0 25,000	9,900 73,000 10,400 56,000 25,000
ArmyArmy Army Army Army Army Army Army Army	Hawaii	Aliamanu Military Res Fort Shafter Helemano Military Res Schofield Barracks	servationservation	WATER STORAGE TA CLEARWELL AND BO WELLS AND STORAGE	ANK DOSTER PUMP SE TANK D DISTRIBUTION LINE		23,000 33,000 21,000 16,000	20,000 23,000 33,000 21,000 16,000
ArmyArmy Army Army Army Army Army Army Army	Hawaii	Wheeler Army Airfield Crane Army Ammuniti Rock Island Arsenal Fort Riley	ion Plant	AIR TRAFFIC CONTR EARTH COVERED M CHILD DEVELOPMEN	ROL TOWER (P&D) AGAZINES (P&D) TY CENTER ADDITION OL TOWER (P&D)	0 0 0	5,400 1,195 44,000 1,600	5,400 1,195 44,000 1,600 105,000
Army	Kentucky Kentucky Kentucky Kentucky Kentucky Kentucky Kentucky	Blue Grass Army Depo Fort Campbell Fort Campbell Fort Knox	ot	SMALL ARMS MODE AIR TRAFFIC CONTE MULTIPURPOSE TRA MIDDLE SCHOOL AI	RNIZATION (P&D) OL TOWER (P&D) ININING RANGE DOITION (P&D) E PIER	0 0 38,000	3,300 2,500 0 6,600 15,000	3,300 2,500 38,000 6,600 15,000
Army Army Army Army Army	Louisiana Louisiana Maryland Massachusetts	Fort Johnson Fort Johnson Fort Meade Soldier Systems Cente	er Natick	BARRACKS MULTIPURPOSE ATH CHILD DEVELOPMEN BARRACKS ADDITIO	ILETIC FIELD NT CENTER N	0 0 0 18,500	106,000 13,400 50,000 0	106,000 13,400 50,000 18,500
Army	New Mexico New York New York New York North Carolina	White Sands Missile Fort Hamilton		J-DETC DIRECTED CHILD DEVELOPMENT TANK FARM (P&D) AUTOMATED RECOR	rt Equipment Building Energy Facility (P&D) NT Center D Fire Range		5,500 25,000 160 0	72,000 5,500 25,000 160 19,500
Army	North Carolina North Carolina Oklahoma Pennsylvania	Fort Liberty	unition Plant	CHILD DEVELOPMENT WATER TREATMENT ANECHOIC CHAMBE	R (P&D)		0 0 39,000 1,194 275	50,000 85,000 39,000 1,194 275
Army	Pennsylvania Pennsylvania Pennsylvania Poland South Carolina	Tobyhanna Army Depo Tobyhanna Army Depo Various Locations Fort Jackson	ot t	HELIPAD (P&D) RADAR MAINTENAN PLANNING & DESIG CHILD DEVELOPMEN	NT CENTER		0 311 259 25,710 41,000	89,000 311 259 25,710 41,000
Army Army Army Army Army Army	Texas	Fort Bliss		RAIL YARD BARRACKS (P&D) . TACTICAL EQUIPME COMPONENT REBUI	E: RECEPTION BARRACKS COMPLEX, PHASE 2 NT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES (P&D)		66,000 0 20,000 5,800 - 66,600 280	66,000 74,000 20,000 5,800 46,400 280
ArmyArmy Army Army Army Army Army Army Army	Texas	Red River Armý Depot Fort Belvoir Fort Belvoir Joint Base Myer-Hende	erson Hall	STANDBY GENERATI EQUINE TRAINING F EQUINE FACILITY BARRACKS			4,000 40,000 177,000	4,000 40,000 177,000 100,000
Army	Washington	Joint Base Lewis-McC Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide	hord e Locations e Locations	VEHICLE MAINTENA BARRACKS REPLAC HOST NATION SUPP MINOR CONSTRUCT PLANNING & DESIG	NCE SHOP (P&D) EMENT FUND ORT ION N	0 0 26,000 76,280 270,875	7,500 50,000 0 0	7,500 50,000 26,000 76,280 270,875
NAVY Navy	Australia		Torce Base Dar-		Construction, Army KING APRON (INC)		812,724 0	2,283,279 134,624
Navy		win. Marine Corps Air Grou	ınd Combat		TOWERS	,	0	42,100
Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy	California	Port Hueneme	amp Pendleton e New London e New London hington	LABORATORY COMP SUBMARINE PIER 3 WEAPONS MAGAZIN BACHELOR ENLISTE	E & ORDNANCE OPERATIONS FAC		26,825 - 95,000 - 75,800 - 200,000 - 115,000	26,825 15,000 36,718 19,200 16,800
Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy	District of Columbia Djibouti Florida Georgia Guam	Naval Support Activity Camp Lemonnier Naval Air Station Whit Marine Corps Logistic Andersen Air Force Ba	ting Fields Base Albany	ELECTROMAGNETIC ELECTRICAL POWER AHTS HANGAR CONSOLIDATED COI PDI: CHILD DEVELO	& Cyber Countermeasures Lab (P&D) R PLANT	0 0 0 0 105,220	40,000 20,000 50,000 63,970 -50,000	40,000 20,000 50,000 63,970 55,220
Navý Navy	Guam	Andersen Air Force Ba	ise	PDI: JOINT CONSOL	COMM. CENTER (INC) NICATION UPGRADE (INC)	107,000	- 261,500	107,000 31,330

	01.1.10		D	FY 2024 Re-	Senate	Senate
Account	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	quest	Change	Authorized
lavy			PDI: MISSILE INTEGRATION TEST FACILITY		- 130,000	44,540
avyavy			PDI: 9TH ESB TRAINING COMPLEXPDI: ARTILLERY BATTERY FACILITIES	23,380 137,550	- 70,000	23,38 67,55
avý	Guam	Naval Base Guam	PDI: CONSOLIDATED MEB HQ/NCIS PHII	19,740	0	19,74
IVÝ IVÝ		Naval Base Guam	PDI: RELIGIOUS MINISTRY SERVICES FACILITY	46,350	0	34,74 46,35
ıvy	Guam	Naval Base Guam	PDI: SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY (INC)	166,159	-110,000	56,15
avýavýavý			PDI: TRAINING CENTER DRY DOCK 3 REPLACEMENT (INC)		91.000	89,64 1,409,71
ıvý	Hawaii	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	WATERFRONT PRODUCTION FACILITY (P&D)	0	60,000	60,00
vyvy			WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY COMPLIANCE UPGRADEEDI: ORDNANCE MAGAZINES	0 77.072	40,000	40,00 77,07
IVY			MULTI-MISSION DRYDOCK #1 FXTENSION (INC)	. 544.808	0	544,80
avý		Fort Meade	CYBERSECURITY OPERATIONS FACILITY	186.480	-125,900	60,58
avy			AIRCRAFT DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	141,700 0	- 79,700 50,000	62,00 50,00
,		Point.				,
avy	North Carolina	Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point.	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (INC)	19,529	0	19,52
avy	North Carolina	Marine Corps Air Station Cherry	MAINTENANCE FACILITY & MARINE AIR GROUP HQS	125,150	-85,000	40,15
avy	North Carolina	Point Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune	10TH MARINES MAINTENANCE & OPERATIONS COMPLEX	0	20,000	20,00
VY	North Carolina	Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune	AMPHIBIOUS COMBAT VEHICLE SHELTERS	0	31,890	31,89
vý	North Carolina	Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune	CORROSION REPAIR FACILITY REPLACEMENT	0	20,000	20,00
vy	Pennsylvania	Naval Surface Warfare Center Phila- delphia Dam Neck Annex.	AI MACHINERY CONTROL DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	88,200	88,20
vy			MARITIME SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM FACILITY	109,680	0	109,68
vy	Virginia	Joint Expeditionary Base Little	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	35,000	0	35,00
avy	Virginia	Creek—Fort Story Marine Corps Base Quantico	WATER TREATMENT PLANT	127,120	- 90,000	37,12
ivy	Virginia	Naval Station Norfolk	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	43,600	0	43,60
ivy		Naval Station Norfolk	MQ-25 AIRCRAFT LAYDOWN FACILITIES	114,495	- 103,000	11,49
vyvy		Naval Weapons Station Yorktown	SUBMARINE PIER 3 (INC)	221.920	- 175,000	99,0 46,9
vý	Virginia	Norfolk Naval Shipyard	DRY DOCK SALTWATER SYSTEM FOR CVN-78 (INC)	81.082	0	81,0
Vy		Naval Base Kitsap	ALTERNATE POWER TRANSMISSION LINEARMORED FIGHTING VEHICLE SUPPORT FACILITY	0	19,000 31,000	19,0 31,0
VY	Washington	Naval Base Kitsap	SHIPYARD ELECTRICAL BACKBONE	195.000	-180,000	15,0
vý	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide	BARRACKS REPLACEMENT FUND	0	75,000	75,0
ivy		Unspecified Worldwide	INDOPACOM PLANNING & DESIGN	0	69,000 85,000	69,0 85,0
ivy	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide	SIOP (P&D)	0	50,000	50,00
avý	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide	PLANNING & DESIGN	599,942	0 000	599,9
avyavy	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION USMC PLANNING & DESIGN		30,000 48,741	64,43 48,74
			Subtotal Military Construction, Navy		-936,274	5,085,91
R FORCE r Force	Alaska	Eielson Air Force Base	CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS COMPLEX (P&D)		1,200	1,20
r Force	Alaska	Eielson Air Force Base	JOINT PACIFIC ALASKA RANGE COMPLEX (JPARC) OPS FACILITY (P&D)		1,100	1,10
r Force	Alaska	Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson	EXTEND RUNWAY 16/34 (INC 3)	107.500	0	107,50
r Forcer Force	Alaska Arizona	Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson Luke Air Force Base	PRECISION GUIDED MISSILE CÓMPLEX (P&D)		6,100 2,600	6,10 2,60
r Force	Australia		PDI: SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		2,000	26,00
		win.	DDL ALDODAFT MAINTFNIANCE CURRORT FACILITY	17.500	•	17.50
r Force	Australia	Royal Australian Air Force Base Tindal.	PDI: AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY	17,500	0	17,50
r Force	Australia	Royal Australian Air Force Base	PDI: SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	20,000	0	20,00
. F		Tindal.			^	02.00
r Force	Australia	Royal Australian Air Force Base Tindal.	PDI: BOMBER APRON	93,000	0	93,00
r Force		Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling	LARGE VEHICLE INSPECTION STATION	0	50,000	50,00
r Forcer Force	Florida	MacDill Air Force Base	KC-46A ADAL AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROLKC-46A ADAL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	25,000 27,000	0	25,00 27,00
Force	Florida	MacDill Air Force Base	KC-46A ADAL APRON & HYDRANT FUELING PITS	61.000	Ő	61,00
r Force	Florida	MacDill Air Force Base	KC-46A ADAL FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE DOCK	18,000	0	18,00
Force	Florida	Patrick Space Force Base		15,000 15,000	0	15,00 15,00
Force	Florida	Patrick Space Force Base	FINAL DENIAL BARRIERS, SOUTH GATE	12,000	Ö	12,00
Force	Florida	Tyndall Air Force Base			252,000	252,00
Force			PDI: NORTH AIRCRAFT PARKING RAMP (INC)		0	115,0 109,0
Force	Japan		PDI: HELO RESCUE OPS MAINTENANCE HANGAR (INC 3)	46,000	Ö	46,00
Force	Japan		PDI: THEATER A/C CORROSION CONTROL CTR (INC) CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (P&D)	42,000	0 2,000	42,00 2,00
Force	Louisiana Louisiana		DORMITORY (P&D)		7,000	2,0 7,0
Force	Louisiana	Barksdale Air Force Base	WEAPONS GENERATION FACILITY (INC 3)	112,000	0	112,0
Force	Mariana Islands	Tinian Tinian	PDI: AIRFIELD DEVELOPMENT, PHASE 1 (INC 3)PDI: FUEL TANKS W/PIPELINE & HYDRANT (INC 3)		0	26,0 20,0
Force	Mariana Islands	Tinian	PDI: PARKING APRON (INC 3)	32,000	Ő	32,0
Force	Massachusetts	Hanscom Air Force Base	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	37,000	0	37,0
Force	Massachusetts		MIT-LINCOLN LAB (WEST LAB CSL/MIF) (INC 4) T-7A GROUND BASED TRAINING SYSTEM FACILITY		0	70,0 30,0
Force	Mississippi	Columbus Air Force Base	T-7A UNIT MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	9,500	Ŏ	9,5
Force	Mississippi		AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER (P&D)		2,000	2,0
Force	Montana	Malmstrom Air Force Base	FIRE STATION BAY/STORAGE AREA		10,300 4,500	10,3 4,5
Force	Nebraska	Offutt Air Force Base	BASE OPERATIONS/MOBILITY CENTER (P&D)	0	5,000	5,0
r Force	Nebraska	Offutt Air Force Base	LOGISTICS READINESS SQUADRON TRANSPORTATION FACILITY (P&D) F-35 COALITION HANGAR (P&D)		3,500 5,500	3,5 5,5
r Force	Nevada		F-35 COALITION HANGAR (P&D)F-35 DATA LAB SUPPORT FACILITY (P&D)		700	7,3
Force	New Mexico	Cannon Air Force Base	SATELLITE FIRE STATION (P&D)	0	5,000	5,0
r Force	New Mexico	Kirtland Air Force Base	COST TO COMPLETE: WYOMING GATE UPGRADE FOR ANTITERRORISM COM PLIANCE.	- 0	24,400	24,40
r Force	Norway	Rygge Air Station	EDI: DABS-FEV STORAGE	88,000	0	88,00
Force	Norway	Rygge Air Station	EDI: MUNITIONS STORAGE AREA	31,000	10.500	31,0
r Forcer Force	Ohio Oklahoma		ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX PHASE V (P&D)KC-46 3-BAY DEPOT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (INC 3)	0 78,000	19,500 0	19,50 78,00
r Force	Oklahoma	Vance Air Force Base	CONSOLIDATED UNDERGRADUATE PILOT TRAINING CENTER (P&D)	0	8,400	8,40
r Force	Philippines	Cesar Basa Air Base	PDI: TRANSIENT AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	35,000	Ő	35,00
Force	South Dakota	Ellsworth Air Force Base	B-21 FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE DOCK B-21 PHASE HANGAR		0	75,00 160,00
r Force	South Dakota	Ellsworth Air Force Base	B-21 WEAPONS GENERATION FACILITY (INC)	160,000	0	160,0
Force	Spain	Morón Air Base	EDI: MUNITIONS STORAGE	26,000	40.000	26,0
Force	Texas Texas		91 CYBER OPERATIONS CENTERBMT—CHAPEL FOR AMERICA'S AIRMEN	0	48,000 122,000	48,0 122,0
r Force	Texas	Joint Base San Antonio-Lackland	BMT—CLASSROOM/DINING FACILITY 4	0	124,000	124,00
FUICE	Texas	Joint Base San Antonio-Lackland	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	20,000	0	20,00
r Force			COST TO COMPLETE: EDI DABS-FEV STORAGE	0	28,000	28,00
ir Force ir Force	United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Fairford	COST TO COMPLETE: EDI MUNITIONS HOLDING ADEA			20 U
ir Forceir	United Kingdom United Kingdom United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Fairford	COST TO COMPLETE: EDI MUNITIONS HOLDING AREAEDI: RADR STORAGE FACILITY	0 47,000	20,000	
iir Force	United Kingdom United Kingdom United Kingdom United Kingdom United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Fairford	COST TO COMPLETE: EDI MUNITIONS HOLDING AREA	0 47,000 28,000	20,000	20,00 47,00 28,00 50,00

outy 20, 2020	C	ONGRESSIONAL	RECORD—SENATE		ν.	UTU
Account	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2024 Request	Senate Change	Senate Authorized
Air Force	Utah	Hill Air Force Base	F-35 COMPOSITE REPAIR & TRAINING FACILITY, PHASE 1	0	171,000	171,000
Air Force			F-35 MAINTENANCE FACILITY, PHASE 1	0 82,000	235,000	235,000 82,000
Air Force	Virginia	Langley Air Force Base	COST TO COMPLETE—DORMITORY	0	84,000	84,000
Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations	EDI: PLANNING & DESIGN	0 5,648	50,000 0	50,000 5,648
Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING & DESIGN	429,266	0	429,266
Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified	F.E. Warren Air Force Base	COST TO COMPLETE: CONSOLIDATED HELO/TRF OPS/AMU AND ALERT FA- CILITY.	64,900 0	18,000	64,900 18,000
Air Force Air Force	Wyoming	F.E. Warren Air Force Base F.E. Warren F.E. Warr	GBSD INTEGRATED COMMAND CENTER (INC 2)	27,000 85,000 28,000	0 0 0	27,000 85,000 28,000
			Subtotal Military Construction, Air Force	2,605,314	1,310,800	3,916,114
Defense-Wide	Alabama		GROUND TEST FACILITY INFRASTRUCTURE	147.975	-70,000	77,975
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	California	Marine Corps Air Station Miramar	AMBULATORY CARE CENTER—DENTAL CLINIC ADD//ALT	103,000	-82,400 30,550	20,600 30,550
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	California	Monterey	IMPROVEMENTS. COST TO COMPLETE: COGEN PLANT AT B236	0	5,460 15,500	5,460 15,500
Defense-Wide	California	Naval Base Coronado	SYSTEM. COST TO COMPLETE: ATC OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	0	11,400	11,400
Defense-Wide	California	Naval Base Coronado	SOF NAVAL SPECIAL WARFARE COMMAND OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY, PHASE 2.	0	51,000	51,000
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	California		AMBULATORY CARE CENTER—DENTAL CLINIC REPLMTMICROGRID AND BACKUP POWER	101,644	-79,460 6,300	22,184 6,300
Defense-Wide	California	Naval Base Ventura County	COST TO COMPLETE: GROUND MOUNTED SOLAR PV	ő	16,840	16,840
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	California	Vandenberg Space Force Base Buckley Space Force Base	MICROGRID WITH BACKUP POWER REDUNDANT ELECTRICAL SUPPLY	0	57,000 9,000	57,000 9,000
Defense-Wide	Colorado	Buckley Space Force Base	REPLACEMENT WATER WELL	0	5,700	5,700
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Cuba	Guantanamo Bay Naval Station Dover Air Force Base		60,000	0 30,500	60,000 30,500
Defense-Wide	Diego Garcia	Naval Support Facility Diego Garcia	MICROGRID ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION LINE UPGRADE	0	16,820	16,820
Defense-Wide	Djibouti	Camp Lemonnier	COST TO COMPLETE: ENHANCE ENERGY SECURITY AND CONTROL SYSTEMS.	ő	5,200	5,200
Defense-Wide	Florida		POTABLE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	0	31,220 27,351	31,220
Defense-Wide	Georgia	Kings Bay	COST TO COMPLETE: SCADA MODERNIZATION	0	27,331 2,700 25,190	27,351 2,700
Defense-Wide			PROVEMENTS (PHAS.	0		25,190
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Germany	Baumholder	HUMAN PERFORMANCE TRAINING CENTER	Õ	49,500 16,700	49,500 16,700
Defense-Wide	Germany	Baumholder	SOF COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	41,000	0	41,000
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Germany	Baumholder	SOF JOINT PARACHUTE RIGGING FACILITYKAISERSLAUTERN MIDDLE SCHOOL	23,000 21,275	0	23,000 21,275
Defense-Wide	Germany	Ramstein Air Base	RAMSTEIN MIDDLE SCHOOL	181,764	Ō	181,764
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Germany		MEDICAL CENTER REPLACEMENT (INC 11) ROBINSON BARRACKS ELEM SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	77,210 8,000	0	77,210 8,000
Defense-Wide	Hawaii	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	COST TO COMPLETE: FY20 500 KW PV COVERED PARKING EV CHARGING STATION.	0,000	7,476	7,476
Defense-Wide	Hawaii		COST TO COMPLETE: PRIMARY ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION	41 200	13,040	13,040
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide		Naples	COST TO COMPLETE: SMART GRID	41,300 0	7,610	41,300 7,610
Defense-Wide	Japán	Fleet Activities Yokosuka	KINNICK HIGH SCHOOL (INC)	70,000	0	70,000
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Japan Japan		PDI: SOF MAINTENANCE HANGARPDI: SOF COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	88,900 11,400	0	88,900 11,400
Defense-Wide	Kansas	Forbes Field	MICROGRID AND BACKUP POWER	0	5,850	5,850
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Kansas Korea	Fort Riley			15,468 5,650	15,468 5,650
Defense-Wide	Kuwait	Camp Arifjan	COST TO COMPLETE: POWER GENERATION AND MICROGRID		8,197	8,197
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Kuwait	Camp Buehring	MICROGRID AND BACKUP POWERCOST TO COMPLETE: DISTRIBUTION SWITCHGEAR	0	18,850 6,453	18,850 6,453
Defense-Wide		New Orleans. Bethesda Naval Hospital	MEDICAL CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION (INC 7)	101,816	0	101,816
Defense-Wide	Marvland	Fort Meade	NSAW MISSION OPS AND RECORDS CENTER (INC)	105,000	Ŏ	105,000
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Maryland Maryland	Fort Meade	NSAW RECAP BUILDING 4 (INC)	315,000 65,000	0	315,000 65,000
Defense-Wide	Maryland	Joint Base Andrews	HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	38,300	Ő	38,300
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Missouri Montana		MICROGRID AND BACKUP POWERFUEL FACILITIES	0 30,000	80,100 0	80,100 30,000
Defense-Wide	Nebraska	Offutt Air Force Base	DEFENSE POW/MIA ACCOUNTABILITY AGENCY LABORATORY (P&D)	30,000	5,000	5,000
Defense-Wide	Nebraska	Offutt Air Force Base	MICROGRID AND BACKUP POWER	0	41,000	41,000
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	New Jersey North Carolina	Sea Girt	UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMCOST TO COMPLETE: FORT LIBERTY EMERGENCY WATER SYSTEM	0	44,000 1,418	44,000 1,418
Defense-Wide	North Carolina	Fort Liberty (Camp Mackall)	MICROGRID AND BACKUP POWER	0	10,500	10,500
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	North Carolina Oklahoma	Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune Fort Sill	MARINE RAIDER BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY	0	70,000 76,650	70,000 76,650
Defense-Wide	Pennsylvania	Fort Indiantown Gap	COST TO COMPLETE: GEOTHERMAL AND SOLAR PV	0	9,250	9,250
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Puerto Rico	Fort Buchanan	MICROGRID AND BACKUP POWERCOST_TO_COMPLETE: MICROGRID CONTROLS, 690 KW PV, 275KW GEN,	0	56,000 7,680	56,000 7,680
Defense-Wide	Puerto Rico	Ramey	570 KWH BESS. COST TO COMPLETE: MICROGRID CONTROL SYSTEM, 460 KW PV, 275KW	0	6,360	6,360
Defense-Wide	Spain		GEN, 660 KWH BES. BULK TANK FARM, PHASE 1	80,000	. 0	80,000
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Texas Texas		CENTRAL CHILLED WATER PLANTCOST TO COMPLETE: POWER GENERATION AND MICROGRID	0	32,000 18,900	32,000 18,900
Defense-Wide	Texas	Fort Cavazos	MICROGRID AND BACKUP POWER	ő	18,250	18,250
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Utah Utah	Camp Williams	MICROGRID & WIND TURBINE OPEN STORAGE	0 14,200	20,100	20,100 14,200
Defense-Wide	Virginia	Fort Belvoir	DIA HEADQUARTERS ANNEX	185,000	-160,000	25,000
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Virginia	Hampton Roads	COST TO COMPLETE: BACKUP POWER GENERATIONSOF SDVT2 OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	61,000	1,200	1,200 61,000
		Creek—Fort Story.		01,000	_	
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Virginia Virginia			0	550 2,250	550 2,250
Defense-Wide	Virginia	Pentagon	SEC OPS AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS FACS	30,600	0	30,600
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Washington	Joint Base Lewis-McChord	POWER GENERATION AND MICROGRIDSOF CONSOLIDATED RIGGING FACILITY	0 62,000	49,850 0	49,850 62,000
Defense-Wide	Washington	Manchester	BULK STORAGE TANKS, PHASE 2	71,000	. 0	71,000
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Washington	Naval Base Kitsap	MAIN SUBSTATION REPLACEMENT AND MICROGRID MICROGRID AND BACKUP POWER	0	31,520 37,770	31,520 37,770
Defense-Wide	Washington Washington	Naval Magazine Indian Island Naval Undersea Warfare Center Keyport.	MICROGRID AND BACKUP POWER SOF COLD WATER TRAINING AUSTERE ENVIRONMENT FACILITY	0	37,770	37,770
Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide	INDOPACOM UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	548 000	62,000	62,000
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	ERCIP PLANNING & DESIGN	548,000 86,250	-548,000 0	86,250
Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	EXERCISE RELATED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	11,107	0	11,107
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified		PLANNING & DESIGN (DHA)PLANNING & DESIGN (Defense-Wide)	49,610 32,579	0 n	49,610 32,579
Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING & DESIGN (CYBERCOM)	30,215	Ò	30,215
Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING & DESIGN (SOCOM)	25,130	0	25,130

20000	C,	ONGRESSIONAL	RECORD—SENATE	9 (uiy 20,	, 2020
Account	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2024 Request	Senate Change	Senate Authorized
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING & DESIGN (DODEA)PLANNING & DESIGN (NSA)		0 0 0	24,000 8,568 3,068
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING & DESIGN (MDA)	2,000 1,035	0	2,000 1,035
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (SOCOM)	590 19,271	0	590 19,271
Defense-Wide Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified	Various Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (DLA)	3,000 4,875	0 0	3,000 4,875
Defense-Wide	Wyoming	F.E. Warren Air Force Base	MICROGRID AND BATTERY STORAGE	_ 0	25,000	25,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			Subtotal Military Construction, Defense-Wide		307,013	3,291,695
Army National GuardArmy National Guard	AlabamaAlabama	Huntsville	COST TO COMPLETE: ENLISTED BARRACKS, TT	0	7,000 4,650	7,000 4,650 15,000
Army National Guard	Arizona Arkansas	Surprise Readiness Center	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	15,000 0	0 610	610
Army National GuardArmy National Guard		Bakersfield		0	1,000 5,000	1,000 5,000
Army National Guard	Colorado	·	RANGE. COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	0	3,000	3,000
Army National Guard Army National Guard	ConnecticutFlorida	Putnam	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	0	6,125 11,000	6,125 11,000
Army National Guard Army National Guard	Guam	Barrigada	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	0	6,900 1,250	6,900 1,250
Army National Guard Army National Guard	Idaho	Jerome County Regional Site	NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	17,000	0 5,250	17,000
Army National Guard Army National Guard	Illinois	North Riverside Armory	NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	24,000	5,200 5.000	5,250 24,000 5,000
Army National Guard Army National Guard	Kansas	Topeka	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD/RESERVE CENTER BUILDING	0	5,856 16.400	5,856 16,400
Army National Guard	Kentucky	Frankfort	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD/RESERVE CENTER BUILDING	0	2,000	2,000
Army National Guard	Louisiana	Camp Beauregard	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	0	2,400 2,000	2,400 2,000
Army National Guard		·	OPEN BAY.	0	3,718	3,718
Army National Guard Army National Guard Army National Guard	Maine	Saco	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	0 0 0	2,800 7,420 3,000	2,800 7,420 3,000
Army National GuardArmy National Guard	Mississippi Mississippi	Camp Shelby	CAMP SHELBY JFTC RAILHEAD EXPANSION (P&D) COST TO COMPLETE: MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE ADDI- TION	0	2,200 5,425	2,200 5,425
Army National Guard Army National Guard	MississippiMissouri		NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	0 28,000	22,000	22,000 28,000
Army National Guard Army National Guard	Nebraska Nebraska	Bellevue	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER COLLECTIVE TRAINING LINACCOMPANIED HOUSING OPEN_RAY (P&D)	0	9,090 1,200	9,090 1,200
Army National Guard	Nebraska		COST TO COMPLETE: COLLECTIVE TRAINING UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING, OPEN BAY.	Ö	1,912	1,912
Army National Guard	Nebraska New Hampshire		COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	0	400 200	400 200
Army National Guard Army National Guard	New Hampshire New Jersey	Littleton	NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD	23,000	0 605	23,000 605
Army National Guard	New Mexico	Rio Rancho Training Site	NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD	11,000	0	11.000
Army National Guard	New York North Carolina	Salisbury	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITIES (P&D)	0	70,000 2,200	70,000 2,200
Army National Guard	North Dakota		ING (P&D).	0	1,950	1,950
Army National Guard	North DakotaOhio	Camp Perry Joint Training Center		0 19,200	5,425 0	5,425 19,200
Army National Guard	Ohio Oklahoma		COST TO COMPLETE: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	0	4,000 400	4,000 400
Army National Guard	Oregon Pennsylvania	Washington County Readiness Center Hermitage Readiness Center	NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	13,600	0	26,000 13,600
Army National Guard	Pennsylvania	Moon Township	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	0	3,100 3,678	3,100
Army National Guard	Rhode Island	Camp Fogarty Training Site	COLLECTIVE TRAINING UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING OPEN—BAY (P&D)	0	1,990 30,000	3,678 1,990 30,000
Army National Guard	South Carolina	Aiken County Readiness Center	NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	20,000	0 4,373	20,000 4,373
Army National Guard		McCrady Training Center	AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	7,900 0	5,250	7,900 5,250
Army National Guard Army National Guard	Tennessee	Campbell Army Air Field	ARMY AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWERS (P&D) COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	0	2,500 500	2,500 500
Army National Guard	Texas	Fort Cavazos	GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING (P&D) COST TO COMPLETE: AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR ADD/ALT	0	2,685 6,489	2,685 6,489
Army National GuardArmy National Guard	Texas	Fort Worth	COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	0	381 2,875	381 2,875
		·	CER (P&D). COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	0		
Army National Guard	Vermont Virgin Islands	St. Croix	COST TO COMPLETE: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	0	3,415 4,200	3,415 4,200
Army National Guard	Virgin Islands Virginia	Sandston RC & FMS 1	COST TO COMPLETE: READY BUILDING	20,000	1,710 0	1,710 20,000
Army National Guard	Virginia Virginia	Troutville	COST TO COMPLETE: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION COST TO COMPLETE: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER ADDITION	0	2,415 2,135	2,415 2,135
Army National Guard Army National Guard	West Virginia Wisconsin	Viroqua	NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER (P&D) NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	18,200	3,300 0	3,300 18,200
Army National Guard Army National Guard	Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations		34,286 63,000 340,186	0 0 310,382	34,286 63,000 650,568
ARMY RESERVE Army Reserve	Alabama		ARMY RESERVE CENTER/AMSA/LAND	57,000	0	57,000
Army Reserve	Arizona California	Camp Pendleton	AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITYCOST TO COMPLETE: AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY	12,000 0	0 3,000	12,000 3,000
Army Reserve	California		NETWORK ENTERPRISE CENTERADVANCED SKILLS TRAINING BARRACKS		40,000 35,000	40,000 35,000
Army Reserve	Georgia Florida	Marine Corps Logistics Base Albany	ARMY RESERVE CENTER COST TO COMPLETE: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	0	40,000 3,000	40,000 3,000
Army Reserve	Massachusetts North Carolina	Devens Reserve Forces Training Area	COLLECTIVE TRAINING ENLISTED BARRACKS COST TO COMPLETE: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	0	39,000 12,000	39,000 12,000
Army Reserve Army Reserve	Ohio	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	COST TO COMPLETE: ARMY RESERVE CENTER ADVANCED SKILLS TRAINING BARRACKS	0	5,000 39,000	5,000 39,000
Army Reserve	Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING & DESIGN UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	23,389 14,687	0 0 0	23,389 14,687
IAVY RESERVE & MARINE CORPS			Subtotal Military Construction, Army Reserve	107,076	216,000	323,076
RESERVE Navy Reserve & Marine Corps Re-	Michigan	Battle Creek	ORGANIC SUPPLY FACILITIES	24,549	0	24,549
serve. Navy Reserve & Marine Corps Re-	Virginia		G/ATOR SUPPORT FACILITIES	12,400	0	12,400
serve. Navy Reserve & Marine Corps Re-	Worldwide Unspecified	Virginia Beach.		6,495	0	6,495
serve.				5,750	· ·	3,430

## MINISHE GEORGE ## MINISHE GEORGE ## MINISHE GEORGE ## MINISHE GEORGE ## MINISH GEORGE ##	Account	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2024 Re- quest	Senate Change	Senate Authorized
Marchane County Marchane County Marchane September Marchane County Marchane		Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MCNR UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,847	0	7,84
### Advanced Load Advanta	AIR NATIONAL GUARD				51,291	0	51,29
## A Front Grand Allestia David Reservation Allestia D	Air National Guard	Alabama	Montgomery Regional Airport	F-35 ADAL SQ OPS BLDG 1303			7,00
March Section Sectio	Air National Guard	Alaska	Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson	ADAL ALERT CREW FACILITY HGR 18	Õ		3,70 7,00
A Final State Control	Air National Guard	Arizona	Tucson International Airport	MCCA: AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM (NEW RWY)			11,60 54,00
Michael Grand Suche State	Air National Guard	Arkansas	Ebbing Air National Guard Base	AIRCREW FLIGHT EQUIPMENT/STEP	Ö	9,300	9,30
All Antenia Guard	Air National Guard		Ebbing Air National Guard Base	SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAM FACILITY		12,700	12,70 12,00
A Restance Search	Air National Guard	Georgia	Savannah/Hilton Head International	DINING HALL AND SERVICES TRAINING FACILITY			27,00
Ab Actional Canal			Fort Wayne International Airport				8,90 8,00
## RECORD GRAPH Process Process			Rosecrans Air National Guard Base	139TH AIRLIFT WING ENTRY CONTROL POINT (P&D)			2,00
Value Valu				ENTRY CONTROL POINT (P&D)	22,000		2,00 22,00
## Barbards Good Origin Printed Information Arror 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 1907 19	Air National Guard		Portland International Airport	SPECIAL TACTICS COMPLEX, PHASE 2	18,500	ŏ	18,50
## Inhamid Gand Prospiyoria Principal Prin	Air National Guard			SPECIAL TACTICS COMPLEX, PHASE 3SPECIAL TACTICS COMPLEX PHASE 4	0		20,00 11,00
All Rational Goard	Air National Guard	Pennsylvania	Harrisburg International Airport	ENTRY CONTROL FACILITY	0	8,000	8,00
All Parlament Goard	Air National Guard		Truax Field	F-35: MM&I FAC, B701			5,20 67
An France Reserve	Air National Guard	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING & DESIGN	35,600	0	35,60
All Force Returner	Air National Guard	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations		-	_	63,12
More Services				•			349,29
Alf Force Reserve							8,50
Age France California March Ar Reserve Base California March Ar Reserve Base California March Ar Reserve Base California Califor				KC-46 ADD/ALTER B6000 SIMULATOR FACILITY			17,00 8,50
All Force Reserve	Air Force Reserve	California	March Air Reserve Base	KC-46 TWO BAY MAINTENANCE/FUEL HANGAR	201,000	Ō	201,00
Als Force Reserve Oblo Youngstrout Ar Reserve Station BASE FREE STATION (WIDOW) 0 2,500 Als Force Reserve Worldwide Buspacefied Unspecified Worldwide Excations 15,000 0 1 Als Force Reserve Worldwide Buspacefied Unspecified Worldwide Excations PLANNING STEERED 22,246 0 1 AMD SEQUEDIT WINSTRINT PRO- GENAL Worldwide Buspacefied NATO Saled Milliony Construction, Air Force Reserve 231,572 18,800 30 MIND SEQUEDIT WINSTRINT PROFISED 232,534 0 2 30 2 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	Air Force Reserve			307 BW MEDICAL FACILITY ADDITION			27,00 7,00
AN FORCE RESERVE Worldricke Unspecified Worldricke Leations (PARTINE SCIENT) AND SCREETE WORLD MULTIPET CONSTRUCTION (1.5.25 0.0	Air Force Reserve	Ohio	Youngstown Air Reserve Station	BASE FIRE STATION (P&D)	0	2,500	2,50
Name			Fort Worth.		,	_	16,00 12,14
### AND SECURITY WYSTRIENT PROGRAM Worldwide Usspecified NaTO Security Investment Program 293,434 0 29 ### ADDITION OF COME Worldwide Usspecified Usspecified Worldwide Locations Subbatia ANIO Security Investment Program 293,434 0 29 ### ADDITION OF COME Worldwide Usspecified Worldwide Locations Subbatia Base Realignment and Cleare—Inferense Wide 0 15,000 15 ### ANIA 1900/SEC CONSTRUCTION 14,345,019 2,332,17 18,10 ### ANIA 1900/SEC CONSTRUCTION 78,346 0 7 ###				UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	9,926	0	9,92
NATO Security Investment Program						18,000	309,57
NOTE COMMAND							293,43
Subtotal Family Routsing Subtotal Base Realignment and Closure—Defense—Wide 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15							293,43
AMILY BOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ATTER CORES Fort Eigenhower FORT EIGENHOWER AMP EDUTY WORSTMENT 5,000 0 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Subtotal Base Realignment and Closure—Defense-Wide TOTAL INDOPACIFIC COMBATANT COMMAND	0 0	150,000 150,000	150,00 1 50,00 1 50,00 1 6,704,23
Fam Isp. Con., Army Genraly Bounded For St. Schelhover FORT ESSENHOVER MIPE COURTY INVESTMENT 50,000 0 5 5 7 7 8 m Isp. Con., Army Germany Bounded For Mark	FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION,						
Fam Hig Con, Army Missouri Seria Missouri Seria Missouri Missouri Missouri Seria Missouri Missouri Missouri Missouri Seria Missouri Mis	Fam Hsg Con, Army			FORT EISENHOWER MHPI EQUITY INVESTMENT			50,00
Fam High Coan, Amry Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations FAMILY HOUSING F&B. 27,549 0 2				FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONSTRUCTION			78,74 98,60
Main				FORT LEONARD WOOD MHPI EQUITY INVESTMENTFAMILY HOUSING P&D			50,00 27,54
### AMILY HOUSING CAM, Army Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations FURNISHINGS 12.121 0 1 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Tulli 113g Ooli, 7tilly	nonumue onspectinea	onspectined Horiamide Educations		- '	-	304,89
Fam Hsg COM, Army		Worldwide Unenecified	Unenscitian Worldwide Locations	, , ,	•	_	12,12
Fam Hsg Q&M, Army Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations MANACEMENTS 41,121 0 4 4,782 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0 7,337 0	Fam Hsg O&M, Army	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT	86,019	Ō	86,01
Fam Hsg Q&M, Army Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations MSCELLANEQUS 554 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Fam Hsg O&M, Army	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations				112,97 86,70
Fam Hsg Q&M, Army Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations SERVICES 7,037 0 38 38,951 0 3 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 85 0 38 54 54 85 0 38 54 54 85 0 38 54 54 85 0 38 54 54 85 0 38 54 54 54 85 0 38 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54	Fam Hsg O&M, Army	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MANAGEMENT		0	41,12
Fam Hsg O&M, Army Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations UTILITES 38,951 0 38 AMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS Fam Hsg Con, Navy & Marine Corps.						0	55 7,03
AMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, Navy & Marine Corps Fam Hsg Con, Navy & Marine Corps Corps. Corps							38,95
Fam Hsg Con, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hsg Oak, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hs	AMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION,			Subtotal Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Army	385,485	0	385,48
Corps. Fam Hsg Con, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps.	NAVY & MARINE CORPS Fam Hsg Con, Navy & Marine	Guam	Joint Region Marianas	REPLACE ANDERSEN HOUSING, PHASE 8	121,906	0	121,90
Corps. Fam Hsg Con, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Subtotal Family Housing Construction, Navy & Marine Corps Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Subtotal Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Navy & Marine Corps. O 36,855 O 40 AMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION,	Corps.	Guam	Naval Support Activity Andersen				83,12
Corps. Fam Hsg Con, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps.	Corps.						4,78
Corps. Fam Hsg Con, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps.	Corps.						57,74
Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps.	Corps.				,		9,58
AMILY HOUSING COMM, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_		
Fam Hsg Q&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hs	AMILY HOUSING O&M, NAVY & MA-			SUBTOTAL FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY & Marine Corps	277,142	0	277,14
Fam Hsg Q&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hs	Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FURNISHINGS	17,744	0	17,74
Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hs	Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT	65,655	0	65,65
Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. SerVICES SerVICES Subtoal Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Navy & Marine Corps. Subtoal Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Navy & Marine Corps.		Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	LEASING	60,214	0	60,21
Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified		Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MAINTENANCE	101.356	0	101,35
Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine	Corps.				,		61,89
Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified Worldwide	Corps.				,		41
Corps. Fam Hsg O&M, Navy & Marine Corps. Corps. Subtotal Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Navy & Marine Corps. Subtotal Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Navy & Marine Corps. AMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION,	Corps.						13,25
Corps. Subtotal Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Navy & Marine 363,854 0 36 Corps. AMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION,	Corps.						
AMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, Corps.		wonawiae onspectiea	onspecified Worldwide Locations	UILIILO	43,320	U	43,32
					363,854	0	363,85
	AIR FORCE		M	MUDI DESTRUCTURE AFTA SESSION II		=	65,00

Account	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2024 Re- quest	Senate Change	Senate Authorized
Fam Hsg Con, Air Force	Hawaii Mississippi Japan	Yokota Air Base	MHPI RESTRUCTURE—JOINT BASE PEARL HARBOR—HICKAM MHPI RESTRUCTURE—SOUTHERN GROUP IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING PAIP 9, PHASE 1 (24 UNITS)	9,282 75,000 80,000 0 7,815	0 0 0 27,000 0	9,282 75,000 80,000 27,000 7,815
FAMILY HOUSING DOM AID FORCE			Subtotal Family Housing Construction, Air Force	237,097	27,000	264,097
FAMILY HOUSING O&M, AIR FORCE Fam Hsg O&M, Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FURNISHINGS HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT LEASING MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT MISCELLANEOUS SERVICES UTILITIES	135,410 68,023 2,377 10,692	11,000 0 0 -11,000 0 0 0	23,884 31,803 5,143 124,410 68,023 2,377 10,692 48,054
FAMILY HOUSING O&M, DEFENSE-			Subtotal Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Air Force	314,386	0	314,386
WIDE Fam Hsg O&M, Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified Worldwide Locations Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FURNISHINGS (DIA) FURNISHINGS (NSA) LEASING (DIA) LEASING (NSA) MAINTENANCE UTILLITIES (DIA) UTILLITIES (NSA)	89 32,042 13,658 35 4,273	0 0 0 0 0	673 89 32,042 13,658 35 4,273
FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT			Subtotal Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Defense-Wide	50,785	0	50,785
FUND	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES—FHIF	6,611	0	6,611
UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING IM-			Subtotal Family Housing Improvement Fund	6,611	0	6,611
PROVEMENT FUND Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations.	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES—UHIF	496	0	496	
DEFENSE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE,			Subtotal Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund TOTAL FAMILY HOUSING	496 1,940,751	27,000	496 1,967,751
ARMY BRAC, Army	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations.	BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE	150,640	0	150,640	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE,			Subtotal Base Realignment and Closure—Army	150,640	0	150,640
NAVY BRAC, Navy	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE	108,818	0	108,818
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE.			Subtotal Base Realignment and Closure—Navy	108,818	0	108,818
AIR FORCE BRAC, Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE	123,990	0	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE,			Subtotal Base Realignment and Closure—Air Force	123,990	0	123,990
DEFENSE—WIDE BRAC, Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	INT-4: DLA ACTIVITIES	5,726	0	5,726
			Subtotal Base Realignment and Closure—Defense-Wide	389,174	0 0 2,386,215	5,726 389,174 19,061,159

TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 2101. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the au-

thorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction

projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Alabama	Redstone Arsenal	\$50,000,000
Florida	Eglin Air Force Base	\$75,000,000
Georgia	Fort Eisenhower	\$163,000,000
Hawaii	Aliamanu Military Reservation	\$20,000,000
	Fort Shafter	\$23,000,000
	Helemano Military Reservation	\$33,000,000
	Schofield Barracks	\$37,000,000
Illinois	Rock Island Arsenal	\$44,000,000
Kansas	Fort Riley	\$105,000,000
Kentucky		\$38,000,000
Louisiana		\$119,400,000
Maryland		\$50,000,000
Massachusetts		\$18,500,000
Michigan		\$72,000,000
New York	Fort Hamilton	\$25,000,000
North Carolina	Fort Liberty	\$193,500,000
Pennsylvania		\$89,000,000

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SENATE

Army: Inside the United States—Continued

State	Installation or Location	Amount
South Carolina	Fort Jackson	\$41,000,000
Texas	Fort Bliss	\$74,000,000
	Red River Army Depot	\$113,000,000
Virginia	Fort Belvoir	\$40,000,000
	Joint Base Myer — Henderson Hall	\$177,000,000
Washington	Joint Base Lewis — McChord	\$100,000,000

(b) Outside the United States.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and available for military construc-

tion projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
	Grafenwoehr Hohenfels Pulaski Barracks	\$10,400,000 \$56,000,000 \$25,000,000

(c) PROTOTYPE PROJECT.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and available for military construction projects as specified in the funding table in section 4601,

the Secretary of the Army may carry out a military construction project for the installation, and in the amount, set forth in the following table as a prototype project under the pilot program under section 4022(i) of

title 10, United States Code, notwithstanding subchapters I and III of chapter 169 and chapters 221 and 223 of title 10, United States Code:

Army Prototype Project

State	Installation	Amount
North Carolina	Fort Liberty	\$85,000,000

SEC. 2102. FAMILY HOUSING.

(a) Construction and Acquisition.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section

2103(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may construct or acquire family hous-

ing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations, in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Army: Family Housing

Country	Installation or Location	Units	Amount
Germany	Baumholder	Family Housing New Construc-	\$78,746,000
Kwajalein	Kwajalein Atoll	Family Housing Replacement Construction	

- (b) IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.—Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$100,000,000.
- (c) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$27.549.000.

SEC. 2103. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, ARMY.

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appro-

priated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2023, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Army as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2101 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

SEC. 2104. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO USE CASH PAYMENTS IN SPECIAL AC-COUNT FROM LAND CONVEYANCE, NATICK SOLDIER SYSTEMS CENTER, MASSACHUSETTS.

Section 2844(c)(2)(C) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (division B of Public Law 115-91; 131 Stat. 1865) is amended by striking "October 1, 2025" and inserting "October 1, 2027".

SEC. 2105. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2018 PROJECT AT KUNSAN AIR BASE, KOREA.

- (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (division B of Public Law 115-91; 131 Stat. 1817), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101(b) of that Act (131 Stat. 1819) and extended and modified by subsections (a) and (b) of section 2106 of the Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117-263), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.
- (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

Army: Extension of 2018 Project Authorization

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
Korea	Kunsan Air Base	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar	\$53,000,000

SEC. 2106. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECTS.

- (a) ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authoriza-

tion Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat. 2240), the authorizations set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (132 Stat. 2241), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the en-

actment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Army: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
Korea Maryland	Camp Tango	Command and Control Facility	\$17,500,000 \$16,500,000

- (b) OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115-232; 132 Stat. 2240), the au-

thorizations set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2901 of that Act (132 Stat. 2286), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for mili-

tary construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Army: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
- 0	Nevo Selo FOS Mihail Kogalniceanu FOS	EDI: Ammunition Holding Area	
	Militar Rogarmounia 1 00	Apron.	\$21,651,000

SEC. 2107. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2021 PROJECTS.

- (a) ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authoriza-

tion Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat. 4294), the authorizations set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2101(a) of that Act (134 Stat. 4295), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the

enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Army: Extension of 2021 Project Authorizations

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
Georgia		Forensic Lab	\$71,000,000

- (b) CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER, GEORGIA.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (division B of

Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat. 4294), the authorization under section 2865 of that Act (10 U.S.C. 2802 note) for the project described in paragraph (2) in Fort Eisenhower, Georgia, shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024,

or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) PROJECT DESCRIBED.—The project described in this paragraph is the following:

Army: Extension of 2021 Project Authorization

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
Georgia	Fort Eisenhower	Child Development Center	\$21,000,000

TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 2201. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the au-

thorization of appropriations in section 2203(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations in-

side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Navy: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
California	Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms	\$42,100,000
ļ	Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton	\$26,825,000
Į.	Port Hueneme	\$110,000,000
Connecticut	Naval Submarine Base New London	\$331,718,000
District of Columbia	Marine Barracks Washington	\$131,800,000
	Naval Air Station Whiting Field	\$141,500,000
	Marine Corps Logistics Base Albany	\$63,970,000
Guam	Andersen Air Force Base	\$497.620.000
Į.	Joint Region Marianas	\$174,540,000
Į.	Naval Base Guam	\$946,500,000
Hawaii	Marine Corps Base Kaneohe Bay	\$227,350,000
Maryland	Fort Meade	\$186,480,000
•	Naval Air Station Patuxent River	\$141,700,000
North Carolina	Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point	\$270,150,000
	Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune	\$215,670,000
Pennsylvania		\$88,200,000
Virginia	Dam Neck Annex	\$109,680,000
8	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek – Fort Story	\$35,000,000
ļ	Marine Corps Base Quantico	\$127,120,000
ļ	Naval Station Norfolk	\$158,095,000
ļ	Naval Weapons Station Yorktown	\$221,920,000
Washington	Naval Base Kitsap	\$245,000,000

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2203(a) and available for military construc-

tion projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction

projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Navy: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
0	Camp Lemonnier	\$106,600,000 \$77,072,000

(c) PROTOTYPE PROJECT.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2203(a) and available for military construction projects as specified in the funding table in section 4601,

the Secretary of the Navy may carry out a military construction project for the installation, and in the amount, set forth in the following table as a prototype project under the pilot program under section 4022(i) of

title 10, United States Code, notwithstanding subchapters I and III of chapter 169 and chapters 221 and 223 of title 10, United States Code:

Navy Prototype Project

State	Installation	Amount
Virginia	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek – Fort Story	\$35,000,000

SEC. 2202. FAMILY HOUSING.

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section

2203(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may construct or acquire family hous-

ing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations, in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Navy: Family Housing

Country	Installation or Location	Units	Amount
Guam	Joint Region Marianas Mariana Islands		\$121,906,000 \$83,126,000

- (b) IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.—Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2203(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$57,740,000.
- (c) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2203(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect

to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$14,370,000.

SEC. 2203. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NAVY.

- (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2023, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Navy, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.
- (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section

2201 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

SEC. 2204. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECTS.

- (a) NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat. 2240), the authorizations set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (132 Stat. 2243), shall remain in effect

until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for mili-

tary construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Navy: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
	SW Asia	Fleet Maintenance Facility & TOC	
South Carolina		Recycling/Hazardous Waste Facility	\$9,517,000
Washington	Bangor	Pier and Maintenance Facility	\$88,960,000

- (b) Laurel Bay Fire Station, South Carolina.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of

Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat. 2240), the authorization under section 2810 of that Act (132 Stat. 2266) for the project described in paragraph (2) shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of

an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) PROJECT DESCRIBED.—The project described in this paragraph is the following::

Navy: Extension of 2019 Project Authorization

State	Installation	or Loca	ition	Project	Original Authorized Amount
South Carolina	Marine Corps Beaufort.	Air S	Station	Laurel Bay Fire Station	\$10,750,000

- (c) Overseas Contingency Operations.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115-232; 132 Stat. 2240), the au-

thorization set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2902 of that Act (132 Stat. 2286), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for mili-

tary construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) Table.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Navy: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Greece	Naval Support Activity Souda Bay.	EDI: Joint Mobility Processing Center	\$41,650,000

SEC. 2205. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2021 PROJECTS.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (division B of

Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat. 4294), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (134 Stat. 4297), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the en-

actment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

Navy: Extension of 2021 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Guam	Joint Region Marianas	Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$166,000,000
Nevada	Fallon	Range Training Complex, Phase I	\$29,040,000

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 2301. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUC-TION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the au-

thorization of appropriations in section 2303(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or lo-

cations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Air Force: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
District of Columbia	Joint Base Anacostia – Bolling	\$50,000,000
Florida	MacDill Air Force Base	\$131,000,000
	Patrick Space Force Base	\$27,000,000
	Tyndall Air Force Base	\$252,000,000
Georgia	Robins Air Force Base	\$115,000,000
Guam		\$411,000,000
Massachusetts	Hanscom Air Force Base	\$37,000,000
Mississippi	Columbus Air Force Base	\$39,500,000
Montana	Malmstrom Air Force Base	\$10,300,000
South Dakota	Ellsworth Air Force Base	\$235,000,000

Air Force: Inside the United States-Continued

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Utah	Joint Base San Antonio – Lackland Hill Air Force Base	\$314,000,000 \$488,000,000
Wyoming	F.E. Warren Air Force Base	\$85,000,000

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2303(a) and available for military construc-

tion projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military con-

struction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Air Force: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Australia	Royal Australian Air Force Base Darwin Royal Australian Air Force Base Tindal	
Philippines	Rygge Air Station	\$119,000,000 \$35,000,000
United Kingdom	Morón Air Base Royal Air Force Fairford Royal Air Force Lakenheath	\$47,000,000

(c) PROTOTYPE PROJECT.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2303(a) and available for military construction projects as specified in the funding table in section 4601,

the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out a military construction project for the installation, and in the amount, set forth in the following table as a prototype project under the pilot program under section 4022(i) of title 10, United States Code, notwithstanding subchapters I and III of chapter 169 and chapters 221 and 223 of title 10, United States Code:

Air Force Prototype Project

State	Installation	Amount
Massachusetts	Hanscom Air Force Base	\$37,000,000

SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING.

(a) IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.—Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2303(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$249,928,200.

(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2303(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$7.815.000.

(c) Construction and Acquisition.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2303(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installation and in the amount set forth in the following table:

Air Force: Family Housing

Country	Installation	Amount
Japan	Yokota Air Base	\$27,000,000

SEC. 2303. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE.

- (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2023, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Air Force, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.
- (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other

cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2301 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

SEC. 2304. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2017 PROJECTS.

- (a) AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 (division B of

Public Law 114–328; 130 Stat. 2688), the authorizations set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2301(b) of that Act (130 Stat. 2697) and extended by section 2304 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–181; 135 Stat. 2169), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2017 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Germany	Ramstein Air Base	37 AS Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit	
	Spangdahlem Air Base		\$2,700,000
Japan	Yokota Air Force Base	C-130J Corrosion Control Hangar	\$23,777,000

- (b) OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.—
 (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 (division B of Public Law 114-328; 130 Stat. 2688), the authorization set forth in the table in para-

graph (2), as provided in section 2902 of that Act (130 Stat. 2743) and extended by section 2304 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–181; 135 Stat. 2169), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the

date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2017 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Germany	Spangdahlem Air Base	F/A-22 Low Observable/Composite Repair Facility	

SEC. 2305. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2018 PROJECTS.

- (a) AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authoriza-

tion Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (division B of Public Law 115–91; 131 Stat. 1817), the authorization set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2301(a) of that Act (131 Stat. 1825) and extended by section 2304(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (division B of

Public Law 117–263), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2018 Project Authorizations

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Florida	Tyndall Air Force Base	Fire Station	\$17,000,000

- (b) OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (division B of Public Law 115-91; 131 Stat. 1817), the authorizations set forth in the table in paragraph

(2), as provided in section 2903 of that Act (131 Stat. 1876) and extended by section 2304(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the en-

actment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2018 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Hungary	Kecskemet Air Base Kecskemet Air Base Kecskemet Air Base Sanem	ERI: Airfield Upgrades	\$12,900,000 \$30,000,000 \$12,500,000
Slovakia		Storage ERI: Airfield Upgrades	\$67,400,000 \$4,000,000 \$20,000,000

SEC. 2306. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECTS.

- (a) AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authoriza-

tion Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat. 2240), the authorizations set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (132 Stat. 2246), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the en-

actment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations

State/Country	State/Country Installation or Location		Original Authorized Amount
Mariana Islands	Tinian	APR-Cargo Pad with Taxiway Exten-	
	m	sion.	\$46,000,000
	Tinian	APR-Maintenance Support Facility	\$4,700,000
Maryland	Joint Base Andrews	Child Development Center	\$13,000,000
	Joint Base Andrews	PAR Relocate Haz Cargo Pad and EOD	
		Range.	\$37,000,000
New Mexico	Holloman Air Force Base	MQ-9 FTU Ops Facility	\$85,000,000
	Kirtland Air Force Base	Wyoming Gate Upgrade for Anti-Ter-	
		rorism Compliance	\$7,000,000
United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	F-35 ADAL Conventional Munitions MX	\$9,204,000
Utah	Hill Air Force Base	Composite Aircraft Antenna Calibration	
		Fac.	\$26,000,000

⁽b) OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.—

tion Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat. 2240), the authorizations set forth in the table in para-

graph (2), as provided in section 2903 of that Act (132 Stat. 2287), shall remain in effect

⁽¹⁾ EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authoriza-

until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for mili-

tary construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
	RAF Fairford	EDI: Regional Munitions Storage Area EDI: Construct DABS-FEV Storage EDI: Munitions Holding Area	\$59,000,000 \$87,000,000 \$19,000,000

SEC. 2307. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2021 PROJECTS.

(a) AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECT.—

(1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authoriza-

tion Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat. 4294), the authorization set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (134 Stat. 4299), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the en-

actment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2021 Project Authorization

State	Installation or Location Project		Original Authorized Amount
Virginia	Joint Base Langley – Eustis	Access Control Point Main Gate with Lang Acq.	\$19,500,00

(b) Overseas Contingency Operations.—

(1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat. 4294), the au-

thorizations set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2902 of that Act (134 Stat. 4373), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for mili-

tary construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2021 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Germany	Ramstein	EDI: Rapid Airfield Damage Repair Storage	\$36,345,000
	Spangdahlem Air Base	EDI: Rapid Airfield Damage Repair Storage	\$25,824,000

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the au-

thorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations in-

side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Defense Agencies: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Alabama	Redstone Arsenal	\$147,975,000
California	Marine Corps Air Station Miramar	\$103,000,000
	Naval Base Coronado	\$51,000,000
	Naval Base San Diego	\$101,644,000
Delaware	Dover Air Force Base	\$30,500,000
Maryland	Fort Meade	\$885,000,000
	Joint Base Andrews	\$38,300,000
Montana	Great Falls International Airport	\$30,000,000
North Carolina	Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune	
Utah		
Virginia	Fort Belvoir	
	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek - Fort Story	\$61,000,000
	Pentagon	\$30,600,000
Washington	Joint Base Lewis - McChord	\$62,000,000
	Manchester	\$71,000,000
	Naval Undersea Warfare Center Keyport	\$37,000,000

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for military construction projects outside the United States as

specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations

outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SENATE

Defense Agencies: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Cuba		\$257,000,000 \$57,700,000 \$181,764,000
	Kadena Air Base	\$41,300,000 \$100,300,000 \$80,000,000

SEC. 2402. AUTHORIZED ENERGY RESILIENCE AND CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the au-

thorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for energy conservation projects as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under

chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

ERCIP Projects: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
California	Marine Corps Air Station Miramar	\$30,550,000
	Mountain View	\$15,500,000
	Naval Base San Diego	\$6,300,000
	Vandenberg Space Force Base	\$57,000,000
Colorado	Buckley Space Force Base	\$14,700,000
Florida	Naval Air Station Whiting Field	\$31,220,000
Georgia		\$49,500,000
Kansas	Forbes Field	\$5,850,000
Missouri	Lake City Army Ammunition Plant	\$80,100,000
Nebraska	Offutt Air Force Base	\$41,000,000
New Jersey	Sea Girt	\$44,000,000
North Carolina	Fort Liberty (Camp Mackall)	\$10,500,000
Oklahoma	Fort Sill	\$76,650,000
Puerto Rico	Fort Buchanan	\$56,000,000
Texas	Fort Cavazos	\$50,250,000
Utah	Camp Williams	\$20,100,000
Virginia	Pentagon	\$2,250,000
Washington	Joint Base Lewis - McChord	\$49,850,000
_	Naval Base Kitsap	\$31,520,000
	Naval Magazine Indian Island	\$37,770,000
Wyoming	F.E. Warren Air Force Base	\$25,000,000

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for energy conservation

projects as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

ERCIP Projects: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
		\$16,820,000 \$5,650,000 \$18,850,000

(c) IMPROVEMENT OF CONVEYED UTILITY SYSTEMS.—In the case of a utility system that is conveyed under section 2688 of title 10, United States Code, and that only provides utility services to a military installa-

tion, notwithstanding subchapters I and III of chapter 169 and chapters 221 and 223 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department may authorize a contract with the

conveyee of the utility system to carry out the military construction projects set forth in the following table:

Improvement of Conveyed Utility Systems

State	Installation or Location	Project
Nebraska	Offutt Air Force Base	Microgrid and Backup
		Power
North Carolina	Fort Liberty (Camp Mackall)	Microgrid and
		Backup
		Power
Texas	Fort Cavazos	Microgrid and
		Backup
		Power
Washington	Joint Base Lewis - McChord	Power
		Generation
		and Microgrid

SEC. 2403. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES.

- (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2023, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.
- (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of

title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

SEC. 2404. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2018 PROJECTS.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (division B of

Public Law 115–91; 131 Stat. 1817), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2401(b) of that Act (131 Stat. 1829) and extended by section 2404 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

Defense Agencies: Extension of 2018 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
	Iwakuni Punta Borinquen	Construct Bulk Storage Tanks PH 1 Ramey Unit School Replacement	

SEC. 2405. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECTS.

- (a) Extension.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authoriza-

tion Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115-232; 132 Stat. 2240), the authorizations set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2401(b) of that Act (132 Stat. 2249), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the

enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Defense Agencies: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
	Baumholder		\$11,504,000 \$94,851,000 \$33,200,000

- (b) Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2019 Project in Baumholder, Germany.—
- (1) Modification of Project Authority.—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat. 2249) for Baumholder, Germany, for construction of a SOF Joint Parachute Rigging Facility, the Secretary of Defense may construct a 3,200 square meter facility.
 - (2) Modification of project amounts.—
- (A) DIVISION B TABLE.—The authorization table in section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115-232; 132 Stat. 2249), as extended pursuant to

subsection (a), is amended in the item relating to Baumholder, Germany, by striking "\$11,504,000" and inserting "\$23,000,000" to reflect the project modification made by paragraph (1).

(B) DIVISION D TABLE.—The funding table in section 4601 of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Public Law 115-232; 132 Stat. 2406) is amended in the item relating to Defensewide, Baumholder, Germany, SOF Joint Parachute Rigging Facility, by striking "\$11,504" in the Conference Authorized column and inserting "\$23,000" to reflect the project modification made by paragraph (1).

SEC. 2406. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2021 PROJECTS.

(a) Defense Agencies Construction and Land Acquisition Project.—

- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat. 4294), the authorization set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2401(b) of that Act (134 Stat. 4305), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.
- (2) TABLE.—The table referred to in paragraph (1) is as follows:

Defense Agencies: Extension of 2021 Project Authorization

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Japan	Def Fuel Support Point Tsurumi	Fuel Wharf	\$49,500,000

- (b) ENERGY RESILIENCE AND CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS.—
- (1) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (division B of

Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat. 4294), the authorizations set forth in the table in paragraph (2), as provided in section 2402 of that Act (134 Stat. 4306), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the en-

actment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(2) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

ERCIP Projects: Extension of 2021 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Arkansas	Base Marine Corps Air Ground	PV Arrays and Battery Storage	\$2,600,000
	Combat Center Twentynine Palms	Install 10 Mw Battery Energy Storage for Various Buildings	\$11,646,000

ERCIP Projects: Extension of 2021 Project Authorizations—Continued

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
	Military Ocean Terminal Con- cord	Military Ocean Terminal Concord Microgrid	\$29,000,000
	terey	Cogeneration Plant at B236	\$10,540,000
Italy Nevada	Naval Support Activity Naples Creech Air Force Base	Smart Grid	\$3,490,000 \$32,000,000
	Naval Medical Center Ports-		Ψ02,000,000
	mouth	Retro Air Handling Units From Constant Volume; Reheat to Variable Air Volume	\$611,000

SEC. 2407. ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022 PROJECTS.

In the case of a utility system that is conveyed under section 2688 of title 10, United

States Code, and that only provides utility services to a military installation, notwith-standing subchapters I and III of chapter 169 and chapters 221 and 223 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense or the

Secretary of a military department may authorize a contract with the conveyee of the utility system to carry out the military construction projects set forth in the following table:

Improvement of Conveyed Utility Systems

State	Installation or Location	Project
Alabama	Fort Novosel	Construct a 10
		MW RICE
		Generator
		Plant and
		Micro-Grid
Q	Fort Moore	Controls
Georgia	FOR MOORE	Construct 4.8MW
		Generation
		and Microgrid
	Fort Stewart	Construct a 10
		MW
		Generation
		Plant, with
		Microgrid
		Controls
New York	Fort Drum	Well Field
		Expansion
Manth Canalina	Fort Liberty	Project
North Carolina	Fort Liberty	Construct 10
		MW Microgrid Utilizing
		Existing and
		New New
		Generators
	Fort Liberty	Fort Liberty
	2 020 222020	Emergency
		Water System

SEC. 2408. ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2023 PROJECTS.

In the case of a utility system that is conveyed under section 2688 of title 10, United

States Code, and that only provides utility services to a military installation, notwith-standing subchapters I and III of chapter 169 and chapters 221 and 223 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense or the

Secretary of a military department may authorize a contract with the conveyee of the utility system to carry out the military construction projects set forth in the following table:

Improvement of Conveyed Utility Systems

State	Installation or Location	Project
Georgia	Fort Stewart - Hunter Army Airfield	Generation
Kansas	Fort Riley	and Microgrid Power Generation
Texas	Fort Cavazos	and Microgrid Power Generation and Microgrid

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

SEC. 2601. AUTHORIZED ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISI-TION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in sec-

tion 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army National Guard

State	Location	Amount
Arizona	Surprise Readiness Center	\$15,000,000
Florida		\$11,000,000
Idaho	Jerome County Regional Site	\$17,000,000
Illinois	North Riverside Armory	\$24,000,000
Kentucky	Burlington	\$16,400,000
Mississippi	Southaven	\$22,000,000
Missouri	Belle Fontaine	\$28,000,000
New Hampshire	Littleton	\$23,000,000
New Mexico	Rio Rancho Training Site	\$11,000,000
New York	Lexington Avenue Armory	\$90,000,000
Ohio	Camp Perry Joint Training Center	\$19,200,000
Oregon	Washington County Readiness Center	\$26,000,000
Pennsylvania	Hermitage Readiness Center	\$13,600,000
	North Kingstown	\$30,000,000
South Carolina	Aiken County Readiness Center	\$20,000,000
	McCrady Training Center	\$7,900,000
Virginia	Sandston RC & FMS 1	\$20,000,000
Wisconsin	Viroqua	\$18,200,000

SEC. 2602. AUTHORIZED ARMY RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry

out military construction projects for the Army Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army Reserve

State	Location	Amount
	Birmingham	
	San Tan Valley	
Georgia	Parks Reserve Forces Training Area Marine Corps Logistics Base Albany	
Massachusetts	Devens Reserve Forces Training Area	\$39,000,000
Puerto Rico	Fort Buchanan	\$39,000,000

SEC. 2603. AUTHORIZED NAVY RESERVE AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the

Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve

State	Location	Amount
	Battle Creek Marine Forces Reserve Dam Neck Virginia Beach	\$24,549,000 \$12,400,000

SEC. 2604. AUTHORIZED AIR NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National carry out military co Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the United States, and in Air Force may acquire real property and in the following table:

carry out military construction projects for the Air National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Air National Guard

State	Location	Amount
	Montgomery Regional Airport	\$7,000,000
Alaska	Joint Base Elmendorf – Richardson	\$7,000,000
Arizona	Tucson International Airport	\$11,600,000
Arkansas	Ebbing Air National Guard Base	\$76,000,000
Colorado	Buckley Space Force Base	\$12,000,000
Georgia	Savannah/Hilton Head International Airport	\$27,000,000
Indiana	Fort Wayne International Airport	\$8,900,000
Oregon	Portland International Airport	\$71,500,000
Pennsylvania	Harrisburg International Airport	\$8,000,000
Wisconsin	Truax Field	\$5,200,000

SEC. 2605. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in sec-

tion 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for

the Air Force Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Air Force Reserve

State	Location	Amount
California Guam Louisiana	Davis – Monthan Air Force Base March Air Reserve Base Joint Region Marianas Barksdale Air Force Base Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth	\$8,500,000 \$226,500,000 \$27,000,000 \$7,000,000 \$16,000,000

SEC. 2606. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2023, for the costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering services, and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost of acquisi-

tion of land for those facilities), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

SEC. 2607. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2018 PROJECT AT HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT, INDIANA

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (division B of Public Law 115-91; 131 Stat. 1817), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection

(b), as provided in section 2604 of that Act (131 Stat. 1836) and extended by section 2608 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2018 Project Authorization

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Indiana	Hulman Regional Airport	Construct Small Arms Range	\$8,000,000

SEC. 2608. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT AT FRANCIS S. GABRESKI AIRPORT, NEW YORK.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authoriza-

tion Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115-232; 132 Stat. 2240), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2604 of that Act (132 Stat. 2255), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024, or the date of the en-

actment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2019 Project Authorization

State	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
New York	Francis S. Gabreski Airport	Security Forces/Comm. Training Facility	\$20,000,000

SEC. 2609. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2021 PROJECTS.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (division B of

Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat. 4294), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in sections 2601, 2602, and 2604 of that Act (134 Stat. 4312, 4313, 4314), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2024,

or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2025, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2021 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Arkansas California Colorado Guam Ohio Massachusetts	Fort Chaffee Bakersfield Peterson Space Force Base Joint Region Marianas Columbus Devens Reserve Forces Train-	National Guard Readiness Center National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop National Guard Readiness Center Space Control Facility #5 National Guard Readiness Center	\$15,000,000 \$9,300,000 \$15,000,000 \$20,000,000 \$15,000,000
North Carolina Puerto Rico South Carolina Texas Virgin Islands	ing Area Asheville Fort Allen Joint Base Charleston Fort Worth Joint Base San Antonio St. Croix	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range Army Reserve Center/Land	\$8,700,000 \$24,000,000 \$37,000,000 \$15,000,000 \$6,000,000 \$10,800,000 \$28,000,000 \$11,400,000

SEC. 2610. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2022 PROJECT AT NICKELL MEMORIAL ARMORY, KANSAS.

(a) TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—From amounts appropriated for "Military Construction, Army National Guard" pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available as specified in the funding table in section 4601 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (Public Law 117-81, 135 Stat. 2315), the Secretary of Defense may transfer not more than

\$420,000 to an appropriation for "Military Construction, Air National Guard" for use for studying, planning, designing, and architect and engineer services for a sensitive compartmented information facility project at Nickell Memorial Armory, Kansas.

(b) MERGER OF AMOUNTS TRANSFERRED.—Any amount transferred under subsection (a) shall be merged with and available for the same purposes, and for the same time period, as the "Military Construction, Air National Guard" appropriation to which transferred.

(c) AUTHORITY.—Using amounts transferred pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services activities for a sensitive compartmented information facility project at Nickell Memorial Armory, Kansas.

SEC. 2611. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2023 PROJECT AT CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA.

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2602 of the Military

Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263) and specified in the funding table in section 4601 of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law 117–263) for Camp Pendleton, California, for construction of an Area Maintenance Support Activity, the Secretary of the Army may construct a 15,000 square foot facility.

SA 1057. Mrs. GILLIBRAND submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in subtitle G of title X, insert the following:

SEC. ______. 9/11 RESPONDER AND SURVIVOR HEALTH FUNDING CORRECTION ACT OF 2023.

- (a) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ARMED FORCES, OR OTHER FEDERAL WORKER RESPONDERS TO THE SEPTEMBER 11 ATTACKS AT THE PENTAGON AND SHANKSVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA.—Title XXXIII of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300mm et seq.) is amended—
 - (1) in section 3306 (42 U.S.C. 300mm-5)-
- (A) by redesignating paragraphs (5) through (11) and paragraphs (12) through (17) as paragraphs (6) through (12) and paragraphs (14) through (19), respectively;
- (B) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following:
- "(5) The term 'Federal agency' means an agency, office, or other establishment in the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Federal Government."; and
- (C) by inserting after paragraph (12), as so redesignated, the following:
- "(13) The term 'uniformed services' has the meaning given the term in section 101(a) of title 10, United States Code."; and
- (2) in section 3311(a) (42 U.S.C. 300mm-21(a))—
 - (A) in paragraph (2)(C)(i)—
- (i) in subclause (I), by striking "; or" and inserting a semicolon;
- (ii) in subclause (II), by striking "; and" and inserting a semicolon; and
- (iii) by adding at the end the following:
- (III) was an employee of the Department of Defense or any other Federal agency. worked during the period beginning on September 11, 2001, and ending on September 18. 2001, for a contractor of the Department of Defense or any other Federal agency, or was a member of a regular or reserve component of the uniformed services; and performed rescue, recovery, demolition, debris cleanup, or other related services at the Pentagon site of the terrorist-related aircraft crash of September 11, 2001, during the period beginning on September 11, 2001, and ending on the date on which the cleanup of the site was concluded, as determined by the WTC Program Administrator: or
- "(IV) was an employee of the Department of Defense or any other Federal agency, worked during the period beginning on September 11, 2001, and ending on September 18, 2001, for a contractor of the Department of Defense or any other Federal agency, or was a member of a regular or reserve component of the uniformed services; and performed rescue, recovery, demolition, debris cleanup, or other related services at the Shanksville, Pennsylvania, site of the terrorist-related aircraft crash of September 11, 2001, during the period beginning on September 11, 2001,

and ending on the date on which the cleanup of the site was concluded, as determined by the WTC Program Administrator; and"; and

(B) in paragraph (4)(A)—

- (i) by striking "(A) IN GENERAL.—The" and inserting the following:
 - "(A) LIMIT.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—The";
- (ii) by inserting ''or subclause (III) or (IV) of paragraph (2)(C)(i)'' after ''or (2)(A)(ii)''; and
 - (iii) by adding at the end the following:
- "(ii) CERTAIN RESPONDERS TO THE SEPTEMBER 11 ATTACKS AT THE PENTAGON AND SHANKSVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA.—The total number of individuals who may be enrolled under paragraph (3)(A)(ii) based on eligibility criteria described in subclause (III) or (IV) of paragraph (2)(C)(i) shall not exceed 500 at any time."
- (b) ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THE WORLD TRADE CENTER HEALTH PROGRAM.—Title XXXIII of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300mm et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"SEC. 3353. SPECIAL FUND.

"(a) IN GENERAL.—There is established a fund to be known as the World Trade Center Health Program Special Fund (referred to in this section as the 'Special Fund'), consisting of amounts deposited into the Special Fund under subsection (b).

"(b) AMOUNT.—Out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, there appropriated for fiscal year 2024 \$419,000,000 for deposit into the Special Fund, which amounts shall remain available in such Fund through fiscal year 2033.

"(c) USES OF FUNDS.—Amounts deposited into the Special Fund under subsection (b) shall be available, without further appropriation and without regard to any spending limitation under section 3351(c), to the WTC Program Administrator as needed at the discretion of such Administrator, for carrying out any provision in this title (including sections 3303 and 3341(c)).

"(d) REMAINING AMOUNTS.—Any amounts that remain in the Special Fund on September 30, 2033, shall be deposited into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

"SEC. 3354. PENTAGON/SHANKSVILLE FUND.

"(a) In General.—There is established a fund to be known as the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania (referred to in this section as the 'Pentagon/Shanksville Fund'), consisting of amounts deposited into the Pentagon/Shanksville Fund under subsection (b).

"(b) AMOUNT.—Out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, there is appropriated for fiscal year 2024 \$232,000,000 for deposit into the Pentagon/Shanksville Fund, which amounts shall remain available in such Fund through fiscal year 2033.

"(c) Uses of Funds.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Amounts deposited into the Pentagon/Shanksville Fund under subsection (b) shall be available, without further appropriation and without regard to any spending limitation under section 3351(c), to the WTC Program Administrator for the purpose of carrying out section 3312 with regard to WTC responders enrolled in the WTC Program based on eligibility criteria described in subclause (III) or (IV) of section 3311(a)(2)(C)(i).

"(2) LIMITATION ON OTHER FUNDING.—Notwithstanding sections 3331(a), 3351(b)(1), 3352(c), and 3353(c), and any other provision in this title, for the period of fiscal years 2024 through 2033, no amounts made available under this title other than those amounts appropriated under subsection (b) may be available for the purpose described in paragraph (1).

- "(d) REMAINING AMOUNTS.—Any amounts that remain in the Pentagon/Shanksville Fund on September 30, 2033, shall be deposited into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.".
- (c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title XXXIII of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300mm et seq.) is amended—
- (1) in section 331(a)(4)(B)(i)(II) (42 U.S.C. 300mm-21(a)(4)(B)(i)(II)), by striking "sections 3351 and 3352" and inserting "this title":
- (2) in section 3321(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (42 U.S.C. 300mm-31(a)(3)(B)(i)(II)), by striking "sections 3351 and 3352" and inserting "this title".
 - (3) in section 3331 (42 U.S.C. 300mm-41)-
- (A) in subsection (a), by striking "the World Trade Center Health Program Fund and the World Trade Center Health Program Supplemental Fund" and inserting "(as applicable) the Funds established under sections 3351, 3352, 3353, and 3354"; and
 - (B) in subsection (d)-
- (i) in paragraph (1)(A), by inserting "or the World Trade Center Health Program Special Fund under section 3353" after "section 3351":
- (ii) in paragraph (1)(B), by inserting "or the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania under section 3354" after "section 3352"; and
- (iii) in paragraph (2), in the flush text following subparagraph (C), by inserting "or the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania under section 3354" after "section 3352"; and
- (4) in section 3351(b) (42 U.S.C. 300mm-61(b))—
- (A) in paragraph (2), by inserting ", the World Trade Center Health Program Special Fund under section 3353, or the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania under section 3354" before the period at the end; and
- (B) in paragraph (3), by inserting ", the World Trade Center Health Program Special Fund under section 3353, or the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania under section 3354" before the period at the end.
- (d) ENSURING TIMELY ACCESS TO GENERICS.—Section 505(q) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(q)) is amended—
 - (1) in paragraph (1)—
- (A) in subparagraph (A)(i), by inserting ", 10.31," after "10.30";
 - (B) in subparagraph (E)—
- (i) by striking "application and" and inserting "application or";
- (ii) by striking "If the Secretary" and inserting the following:
 - "(i) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary"; and
- (iii) by striking the second sentence and inserting the following:
 - "(ii) PRIMARY PURPOSE OF DELAYING.
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—In determining whether a petition was submitted with the primary purpose of delaying an application, the Secretary may consider the following factors:
- "(aa) Whether the petition was submitted in accordance with paragraph (2)(B), based on when the petitioner knew the relevant information relied upon to form the basis of such netition
- "(bb) When the petition was submitted in relation to when the petitioner reasonably should have known the relevant information relied upon to form the basis of such petition.
- "(cc) Whether the petitioner has submitted multiple or serial petitions or supplements to petitions raising issues that reasonably

could have been known to the petitioner at the time of submission of the earlier petition or petitions.

"(dd) Whether the petition was submitted close in time to a known, first date upon which an application under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act could be approved.

"(ee) Whether the petition was submitted without relevant data or information in support of the scientific positions forming the basis of such petition.

"(ff) Whether the petition raises the same or substantially similar issues as a prior petition to which the Secretary has responded substantively already, including if the subsequent submission follows such response from the Secretary closely in time.

"(gg) Whether the petition requests changing the applicable standards that other applicants are required to meet, including requesting testing, data, or labeling standards that are more onerous or rigorous than the standards the Secretary has determined to be applicable to the listed drug, reference product, or petitioner's version of the same drug.

"(hh) The petitioner's record of submitting petitions to the Food and Drug Administration that have been determined by the Secretary to have been submitted with the primary purpose of delay.

"(ii) Other relevant and appropriate factors, which the Secretary shall describe in guidance.

"(II) GUIDANCE.—The Secretary may issue or update guidance, as appropriate, to describe factors the Secretary considers in accordance with subclause (I).":

(C) by striking subparagraph (F);

- (D) by redesignating subparagraphs (G) through (I) as subparagraphs (F) through (H), respectively; and
- (E) in subparagraph (H), as so redesignated, by striking "submission of this petition" and inserting "submission of this document";
 - (2) in paragraph (2)—
- (A) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (C) as subparagraphs (C) through (E), respectively;
- (B) by inserting before subparagraph (C), as so redesignated, the following:
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—A person shall submit a petition to the Secretary under paragraph (1) before filing a civil action in which the person seeks to set aside, delay, rescind, withdraw, or prevent submission, review, or approval of an application submitted under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act. Such petition and any supplement to such a petition shall describe all information and arguments that form the basis of the relief requested in any civil action described in the previous sentence.
- "(B) TIMELY SUBMISSION OF CITIZEN PETI-TION.—A petition and any supplement to a petition shall be submitted within 180 days after the person knew the information that forms the basis of the request made in the petition or supplement.";
- (C) in subparagraph (C), as so redesignated—
- (i) in the heading, by striking "WITHIN 150 DAYS":
- (ii) in clause (i), by striking "during the 150-day period referred to in paragraph (1)(F),"; and
- (iii) by amending clause (ii) to read as follows:
- "(ii) on or after the date that is 151 days after the date of submission of the petition, the Secretary approves or has approved the application that is the subject of the petition without having made such a final decision.";
- (D) by amending subparagraph (D), as so redesignated, to read as follows:
 - "(D) DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CIVIL ACTIONS.—

- "(i) PETITION.—If a person files a civil action against the Secretary in which a person seeks to set aside, delay, rescind, withdraw, or prevent submission, review, or approval of an application submitted under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act without complying with the requirements of subparagraph (A), the court shall dismiss without prejudice the action for failure to exhaust administrative remedies.
- "(ii) TIMELINESS.—If a person files a civil action against the Secretary in which a person seeks to set aside, delay, rescind, withdraw, or prevent submission, review, or approval of an application submitted under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act without complying with the requirements of subparagraph (B), the court shall dismiss with prejudice the action for failure to timely file a petition.
- "(iii) FINAL RESPONSE.—If a civil action is filed against the Secretary with respect to any issue raised in a petition timely filed under paragraph (1) in which the petitioner requests that the Secretary take any form of action that could, if taken, set aside, delay, rescind, withdraw, or prevent submission, review, or approval of an application submitted under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act before the Secretary has taken final agency action on the petition within the meaning of subparagraph (C), the court shall dismiss without prejudice the action for failure to exhaust administrative remedies."; and
- (E) in clause (iii) of subparagraph (E), as so redesignated, by striking "as defined under subparagraph (2)(A)" and inserting "within the meaning of subparagraph (C)"; and
 - (3) in paragraph (4)—
- (A) by striking "EXCEPTIONS" in the paragraph heading and all that follows through "This subsection does" and inserting "EXCEPTIONS.—This subsection does";
- (B) by striking subparagraph (B); and (C) by redesignating clauses (i) and (ii)
- (C) by redesignating clauses (i) and (ii) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively, and adjusting the margins accordingly.
- SA 1058. Mr. HAWLEY (for himself, Mr. Luján, and Mr. Crapo) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:
 - At the end of title X, insert the following:

Subtitle H—Radiation Exposure Compensation Act

PART I—MANHATTAN PROJECT WASTE SEC. 10_____. CLAIMS RELATING TO MANHATTAN PROJECT WASTE.

- (a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the "Radiation Exposure Compensation Expansion Act".
- (b) CLAIMS RELATING TO MANHATTAN PROJECT WASTE.—The Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101–426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended by inserting after section 5 the following:

"SEC. 5A. CLAIMS RELATING TO MANHATTAN PROJECT WASTE.

"(a) IN GENERAL.—A claimant shall receive compensation for a claim made under this Act, as described in subsection (b) or (c), if— "(1) a claim for compensation is filed with

the Attorney General—

"(A) by an individual described in paragraph (2); or

"(B) on behalf of that individual by an authorized agent of that individual, if the individual is deceased or incapacitated, such as—

"(i) an executor of estate of that individual; or

"(ii) a legal guardian or conservator of that individual;

"(2) that individual, or if applicable, an authorized agent of that individual, demonstrates that the individual—

"(A) was physically present in an affected area for a period of at least 2 years after January 1, 1949; and

"(B) contracted a specified disease after such period of physical presence;

"(3) the Attorney General certifies that the identity of that individual, and if applicable, the authorized agent of that individual, is not fraudulent or otherwise misrepresented; and

"(4) the Attorney General determines that the claimant has satisfied the applicable requirements of this Act.

"(b) Losses Available to Living Affected Individuals.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—In the event of a claim qualifying for compensation under subsection (a) that is submitted to the Attorney General to be eligible for compensation under this section at a time when the individual described in subsection (a)(2) is living, the amount of compensation under this section shall be in an amount that is the greater of \$50,000 or the total amount of compensation for which the individual is eligible under paragraph (2).

"(2) Losses due to medical expenses.—A claimant described in paragraph (1) shall be eligible to receive, upon submission of contemporaneous written medical records, reports, or billing statements created by or at the direction of a licensed medical professional who provided contemporaneous medical care to the claimant, additional compensation in the amount of all documented out-of-pocket medical expenses incurred as a result of the specified disease suffered by that claimant, such as any medical expenses not covered, paid for, or reimbursed through—

"(A) any public or private health insurance;

"(B) any employee health insurance:

"(C) any workers' compensation program; or

"(D) any other public, private, or employee health program or benefit.

"(c) PAYMENTS TO BENEFICIARIES OF DECEASED INDIVIDUALS.—In the event that an individual described in subsection (a)(2) who qualifies for compensation under subsection (a) is deceased at the time of submission of the claim—

"(1) a surviving spouse may, upon submission of a claim and records sufficient to satisfy the requirements of subsection (a) with respect to the deceased individual, receive compensation in the amount of \$25,000; or

"(2) in the event that there is no surviving spouse, the surviving children, minor or otherwise, of the deceased individual may, upon submission of a claim and records sufficient to satisfy the requirements of subsection (a) with respect to the deceased individual, receive compensation in the total amount of \$25,000, paid in equal shares to each surviving child.

"(d) AFFECTED AREA.—For purposes of this section, the term 'affected area' means, in the State of Missouri, the ZIP Codes of 63031, 63034, 63042, 63045, 63074, 63114, 63135, 63138, 63044, 63140, 63145, 63147, 63102, 63304, 63134, 63043, 63341, 63368, and 63367.

"(e) SPECIFIED DISEASE.—For purposes of

"(e) Specified Disease.—For purposes of this section, the term 'specified disease' means any of the following:

- "(1) Any leukemia, other than chronic lymphocytic leukemia, provided that the initial exposure occurred after the age of 20 and the onset of the disease was at least 2 years after first exposure.
- "(2) Any of the following diseases, provided that the onset was at least 2 years after the initial exposure:
 - (A) Multiple myeloma.
- "(B) Lymphoma, other than Hodgkin's disease.
 - "(C) Type 1 or type 2 diabetes.
 - "(D) Systemic lupus erythematosus.
 - "(E) Multiple sclerosis.
 - "(F) Hashimoto's disease.
 - "(G) Primary cancer of the-
 - "(i) thyroid:
 - "(ii) male or female breast;
- "(iii) esophagus:
- "(iv) stomach;
- "(v) pharvnx:
- "(vi) small intestine;
- "(vii) pancreas:
- "(viii) bile ducts:
- "(ix) gall bladder;
- '(x) salivary gland;
- "(xi) urinary bladder;
- "(xii) brain;
- "(xiii) colon;
- "(xiv) ovary;
- "(xv) liver, except if cirrhosis or hepatitis B is indicated;
 - "(xvi) lung;
 - "(xvii) bone; or
 - "(xviii) kidney.
- "(f) PHYSICAL PRESENCE.—For purposes of this section, the Attorney General shall not determine that a claimant has satisfied the requirements of subsection (a) unless demonstrated by submission of contemporaneous written residential documentation and at least one additional employer-issued or government-issued document or record that the claimant, for a period of at least 2 years after January 1, 1949, was physically present in an affected area.
- '(g) DISEASE CONTRACTION IN AFFECTED AREAS.—For purposes of this section, the Attorney General shall not determine that a claimant has satisfied the requirements of subsection (a) unless demonstrated by submission of contemporaneous written medical records or reports created by or at the direction of a licensed medical professional who provided contemporaneous medical care to the claimant, that the claimant, after such period of physical presence, contracted a specified disease."

PART II—COMPENSATION FOR WORKERS INVOLVED IN URANIUM MINING

. SHORT TITLE.

This part may be cited as the "Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023".

SEC. 10 . REFERENCES.

Except as otherwise specifically provided, whenever in this part an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to or repeal of a section or other provision of law, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note).

. EXTENSION OF FUND.

Section 3(d) is amended-

- (1) by striking the first sentence and inserting "The Fund shall terminate 19 years after the date of the enactment of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023."; and
- (2) by striking "2-year" and inserting "19year"

SEC. 10 CLAIMS RELATING TO ATMOSPHERIC TESTING.

(a) LEUKEMIA CLAIMS RELATING TO TRINITY TEST IN NEW MEXICO AND TESTS AT THE NE-

- VADA SITE AND IN THE PACIFIC.—Section 4(a)(1)(A) is amended—
 - (1) in clause (i)-
- (A) in subclause (I), by striking "October 31, 1958" and inserting "November 6, 1962"
- (B) in subclause (II)-
- (i) by striking "in the affected area" and inserting "in an affected area"; and
- (ii) by striking "or" after the semicolon;
- (C) by redesignating subclause (III) as subclause (V): and
- (D) by inserting after subclause (II) the following:
- "(III) was physically present in an affected area for a period of at least 1 year during the period beginning on September 24, 1944, and ending on November 6, 1962;
- "(IV) was physically present in an affected area-
- "(aa) for a period of at least 1 year during the period beginning on July 1, 1946, and ending on November 6, 1962: or
- (bb) for the period beginning on April 25. 1962, and ending on November 6, 1962; or"; and
- (2) in clause (ii)(I), by striking "physical presence described in subclause (I) or (II) of clause (i) or onsite participation described in clause (i)(III)" and inserting "physical presence described in subclause (I), (II), (III), or (IV) of clause (i) or onsite participation described in clause (i)(V)"
- (b) Amounts for Claims Related to Leu-KEMIA.—Section 4(a)(1) is amended—
- (1) in subparagraph (A), by striking "an amount" and inserting "the amount"; and
- (2) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:
- (B) AMOUNT.—If the conditions described in subparagraph (C) are met, an individual who is described in subparagraph (A) shall receive \$150.000 "
- (c) CONDITIONS FOR CLAIMS RELATED TO LEUKEMIA.—Section 4(a)(1)(C) is amended-
- (1) by striking clause (i); and
- (2) by redesignating clauses (ii) and (iii) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively.
- (d) SPECIFIED DISEASES CLAIMS RELATING TO TRINITY TEST IN NEW MEXICO AND TESTS AT THE NEVADA SITE AND IN THE PACIFIC. Section 4(a)(2) is amended-
- (1) in subparagraph (A)-
- (A) by striking "in the affected area" and inserting "in an affected area";
- (B) by striking "2 years" and inserting "1 year"; and
- (C) by striking "October 31, 1958" and inserting "November 6, 1962";
 - (2) in subparagraph (B)-
- (A) by striking "in the affected area" and inserting "in an affected area"; and
- (B) by striking "or" at the end;
- (3) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (E); and
- (4) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:
- '(C) was physically present in an affected area for a period of at least 1 year during the period beginning on September 24, 1944, and ending on November 6, 1962:
- "(D) was physically present in an affected
- "(i) for a period of at least 1 year during the period beginning on July 1, 1946, and ending on November 6, 1962; or
- (ii) for the period beginning on April 25, 1962, and ending on November 6, 1962; or'
- (e) Amounts for Claims Related to Spec-IFIED DISEASES.—Section 4(a)(2) is amended in the matter following subparagraph (E) (as redesignated by subsection (d) of this section) by striking "\$50,000 (in the case of an individual described in subparagraph (A) or (B)) or \$75,000 (in the case of an individual described in subparagraph (C))," and inserting "\$150,000"
- MEDICAL BENEFITS.—Section 4(a) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(5) MEDICAL BENEFITS.—An individual receiving a payment under this section shall be eligible to receive medical benefits in the same manner and to the same extent as an individual eligible to receive medical benefits under section 3629 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384t).'
- (g) DOWNWIND STATES.—Section 4(b)(1) is amended to read as follows:
 - '(1) 'affected area' means-
- "(A) except as provided under subparagraphs (B) and (C), Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, and Guam:
- "(B) with respect to a claim by an individual under subsection (a)(1)(A)(i)(III) or subsection (a)(2)(C), only New Mexico; and
- (C) with respect to a claim by an individual under subsection (a)(1)(A)(i)(IV) or subsection (a)(2)(D), only Guam.
- (h) CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA AS A SPECIFIED DISEASE.—Section 4(b)(2)amended by striking "other than chronic lymphocytic leukemia" and inserting "including chronic lymphocytic leukemia

SEC. 10___. CLAIMS RELATING TO URANIUM MIN-ING.

- (a) EMPLOYEES OF MINES AND MILLS.—Section 5(a)(1)(A)(i) is amended-
 - (1) by inserting "(I)" after "(i)":
- (2) by striking "December 31, 1971; and" and inserting "December 31, 1990; or"; and
- (3) by adding at the end the following:
- "(II) was employed as a core driller in a State referred to in subclause (I) during the period described in such subclause; and'
- (b) MINERS.—Section 5(a)(1)(A)(ii)(I) is amended by inserting "or renal cancer or any other chronic renal disease, including nephritis and kidney tubal tissue injury after "nonmalignant respiratory disease"
- (c) MILLERS, CORE DRILLERS, AND ORE TRANSPORTERS.—Section 5(a)(1)(A)(ii)(II) is amended-
- (1) by inserting ", core driller," after "was a miller";
- (2) by inserting ", or was involved in remediation efforts at such a uranium mine or uranium mill," after "ore transporter";
 (3) by inserting "(I)" after "clause (i)"; and
- (4) by striking all that follows "nonmalignant respiratory disease" and inserting "or renal cancer or any other chronic renal disease, including nephritis and kidney tubal tissue injury; or'
- (d) COMBINED WORK HISTORIES.—Section 5(a)(1)(A)(ii) is further amended—
- (1) by striking "or" at the end of subclause (I): and
 - (2) by adding at the end the following:
- '(III)(aa) does not meet the conditions of subclause (I) or (II):
- "(bb) worked, during the period described in clause (i)(I), in two or more of the following positions: miner, miller, core driller, and ore transporter;
- '(cc) meets the requirements of paragraph (4) or (5), or both; and
- "(dd) submits written medical documentation that the individual developed lung cancer or a nonmalignant respiratory disease or renal cancer or any other chronic renal disease, including nephritis and kidney tubal tissue injury after exposure to radiation through work in one or more of the positions referred to in item (bb);
- (e) DATES OF OPERATION OF URANIUM MINE.—Section 5(a)(2)(A) is amended by striking "December 31, 1971" and inserting 'December 31, 1990''
- (f) SPECIAL RULES RELATING TO COMBINED Work Histories.—Section 5(a) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(4) SPECIAL RULE RELATING TO COMBINED WORK HISTORIES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH AT LEAST ONE YEAR OF EXPERIENCE.—An individual meets the requirements of this paragraph if the individual worked in one or

more of the positions referred to in paragraph (1)(A)(i1)(III)(bb) for a period of at least one year during the period described in paragraph (1)(A)(i)(I).

- "(5) SPECIAL RULE RELATING TO COMBINED WORK HISTORIES FOR MINERS.—An individual meets the requirements of this paragraph if the individual, during the period described in paragraph (1)(A)(i)(I), worked as a miner and was exposed to such number of working level months that the Attorney General determines, when combined with the exposure of such individual to radiation through work as a miller, core driller, or ore transporter during the period described in paragraph (1)(A)(i)(T), results in such individual being exposed to a total level of radiation that is greater or equal to the level of exposure of an individual described in paragraph (4)."
- (g) DEFINITION OF CORE DRILLER.—Section 5(b) is amended—
- (1) by striking "and" at the end of paragraph (7);
- (2) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (8) and inserting "; and"; and (3) by adding at the end the following:
- "(9) the term 'core driller' means any individual employed to engage in the act or process of obtaining cylindrical rock samples of uranium or vanadium by means of a borehole drilling machine for the purpose of mining uranium or vanadium."

SEC. 10___. EXPANSION OF USE OF AFFIDAVITS IN DETERMINATION OF CLAIMS; REGULATIONS.

- (a) AFFIDAVITS.—Section 6(b) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(3) Affidavits.—
- "(A) EMPLOYMENT HISTORY.—For purposes of this Act, the Attorney General shall accept a written affidavit or declaration as evidence to substantiate the employment history of an individual as a miner, miller, core driller, or ore transporter if the affidavit—
- "(i) is provided in addition to other material that may be used to substantiate the employment history of the individual;
- "(ii) attests to the employment history of the individual;
- ``(iii) is made subject to penalty for perjury; and
- "(iv) is made by a person other than the individual filing the claim.
- "(B) PHYSICAL PRESENCE IN AFFECTED AREA.—For purposes of this Act, the Attorney General shall accept a written affidavit or declaration as evidence to substantiate an individual's physical presence in an affected area during a period described in section 4(a)(1)(A)(i) or section 4(a)(2) if the affidavit—
- "(i) is provided in addition to other material that may be used to substantiate the individual's presence in an affected area during that time period;
- "(ii) attests to the individual's presence in an affected area during that period;
- "(iii) is made subject to penalty for perjury; and
- "(iv) is made by a person other than the individual filing the claim.
- "(C) Participation at testing site.—For purposes of this Act, the Attorney General shall accept a written affidavit or declaration as evidence to substantiate an individual's participation onsite in a test involving the atmospheric detonation of a nuclear device if the affidavit—
- "(i) is provided in addition to other material that may be used to substantiate the individual's participation onsite in a test involving the atmospheric detonation of a nuclear device:
- "(ii) attests to the individual's participation onsite in a test involving the atmospheric detonation of a nuclear device;
- "(iii) is made subject to penalty for perjury; and

- "(iv) is made by a person other than the individual filing the claim.".
- (b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMEND-MENTS.—Section 6 is amended—
- (1) in subsection (b)(2)(C), by striking "section 4(a)(2)(C)" and inserting "section 4(a)(2)(E)":
- (2) in subsection (c)(2)—
- (A) in subparagraph (A)—
- (i) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking "subsection (a)(1), (a)(2)(A), or (a)(2)(B) of section 4" and inserting "subsection (a)(1), (a)(2)(A), (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(C), or (a)(2)(D) of section 4"; and
- (ii) in clause (i), by striking "subsection (a)(1), (a)(2)(A), or (a)(2)(B) of section 4" and inserting "subsection (a)(1), (a)(2)(A), (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(C), or (a)(2)(D) of section 4"; and
- (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking "section 4(a)(2)(C)" and inserting "section 4(a)(2)(E)"; and
- (3) in subsection (e), by striking "subsection (a)(1), (a)(2)(A), or (a)(2)(B) of section 4" and inserting "subsection (a)(1), (a)(2)(A), (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(C), or (a)(2)(D) of section 4".
 - (c) REGULATIONS.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6(k) is amended by adding at the end the following: "Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023, the Attorney General shall issue revised regulations to carry out this Act.".
- (2) Considerations IN REVISIONS.—In issuing revised regulations under section 6(k) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note), as amended under paragraph (1), the Attorney General shall ensure that procedures with respect to the submission and processing of claims under such Act take into account and make allowances for the law, tradition, and customs of Indian tribes, including by accepting as a record of proof of physical presence for a claimant a grazing permit, a homesite lease, a record of being a holder of a post office box, a letter from an elected leader of an Indian tribe, or a record of any recognized tribal association or organization.

SEC. 10___. LIMITATION ON CLAIMS.

- (a) EXTENSION OF FILING TIME.—Section 8(a) is amended—
- (1) by striking "2 years" and inserting "19 years"; and
- (2) by striking "2022" and inserting "2023".
 (b) RESUBMITTAL OF CLAIMS.—Section 8(b)
- is amended to read as follows:

 "(b) RESUBMITTAL OF CLAIMS.—
- "(1) DENIED CLAIMS.—After the date of enactment of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023, any claimant who has been denied compensation under this Act may resubmit a claim for consideration by the Attorney General in accordance with this Act not more than three times. Any resubmittal made before the date of the enactment of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023 shall not be applied to the limitation under the preceding sentence.
- "(2) Previously successful claims.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—After the date of enactment of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023, any claimant who received compensation under this Act may submit a request to the Attorney General for additional compensation and benefits. Such request shall contain—
- "(i) the claimant's name, social security number, and date of birth;
- "(ii) the amount of award received under this Act before the date of enactment of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023;
- "(iii) any additional benefits and compensation sought through such request; and

- "(iv) any additional information required by the Attorney General.
- "(B) ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION.—If the claimant received compensation under this Act before the date of enactment of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023 and submits a request under subparagraph (A), the Attorney General shall—
- "(i) pay the claimant the amount that is equal to any excess of—
- "(I) the amount the claimant is eligible to receive under this Act (as amended by the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023); minus
- "(II) the aggregate amount paid to the claimant under this Act before the date of enactment of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act Amendments of 2023; and
- "(ii) in any case in which the claimant was compensated under section 4, provide the claimant with medical benefits under section 4(a)(5)."

SEC. 10___. GRANT PROGRAM ON EPIDEMIOLOG-ICAL IMPACTS OF URANIUM MINING AND MILLING.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—
- (1) the term "institution of higher education" has the meaning given under section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001):
- (2) the term "program" means the grant program established under subsection (b); and
- (3) the term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.
- (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall establish a grant program relating to the epidemiological impacts of uranium mining and milling. Grants awarded under the program shall be used for the study of the epidemiological impacts of uranium mining and milling among non-occupationally exposed individuals, including family members of uranium miners and millers.
- (c) ADMINISTRATION.—The Secretary shall administer the program through the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.
- (d) ELIGIBILITY AND APPLICATION.—Any institution of higher education or nonprofit private entity shall be eligible to apply for a grant. To apply for a grant an eligible institution or entity shall submit to the Secretary an application at such time, in such manner, and containing or accompanied by such information as the Secretary may reasonably require.
- (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$3,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2026.

SEC. 10____. ENERGY EMPLOYEES OCCUPATIONAL ILLNESS COMPENSATION PROGRAM.

- (a) COVERED EMPLOYEES WITH CANCER.—Section 3621(9) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 73841(9)) is amended by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:
- "(A) An individual with a specified cancer who is a member of the Special Exposure Cohort, if and only if—
- "(i) that individual contracted that specified cancer after beginning employment at a Department of Energy facility (in the case of a Department of Energy employee or Department of Energy contractor employee) or at an atomic weapons employer facility (in the case of an atomic weapons employee); or
 - "(ii) that individual—
- "(I) contracted that specified cancer after beginning employment in a uranium mine or uranium mill described under section 5(a)(1)(A)(i) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (42 U.S.C. 2210 note) (including any individual who was employed in core drilling or the transport of uranium ore or

vanadium-uranium ore from such mine or mill) located in Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Wyoming, South Dakota, Washington, Utah, Idaho, North Dakota, Oregon, Texas, or any State the Attorney General makes a determination under section 5(a)(2) of that Act for inclusion of eligibility under section 5(a)(1) of that Act; and

"(II) was employed in a uranium mine or uranium mill described under subclause (I) (including any individual who was employed in core drilling or the transport of uranium ore or vanadium-uranium ore from such mine or mill) at any time during the period beginning on January 1, 1942, and ending on December 31, 1990."

(b) MEMBERS OF SPECIAL EXPOSURE CO-

(b) MEMBERS OF SPECIAL EXPOSURE CO-HORT.—Section 3626 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384q) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

"(1) The Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health under section 3624 shall advise the President whether there is a class of employees—

"(A) at any Department of Energy facility who likely were exposed to radiation at that facility but for whom it is not feasible to estimate with sufficient accuracy the radiation dose they received; and

'(B) employed in a uranium mine or uradescribed under nium mill section 5(a)(1)(A)(i) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (42 U.S.C. 2210 note) (including any individual who was employed in core drilling or the transport of uranium ore or vanadium-uranium ore from such mine or mill) located in Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Wyoming, South Dakota, Washington, Utah, Idaho, North Dakota, Oregon, Texas, and any State the Attorney General makes a determination under section 5(a)(2) of that Act for inclusion of eligibility under section 5(a)(1) of that Act, at any time during the period beginning on January 1, 1942, and ending on December 31, 1990, who likely were exposed to radiation at that mine or mill but for whom it is not feasible to estimate with sufficient accuracy the radiation dose they received.": and

(2) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

"(b) Designation of Additional Members.—

"(1) Subject to the provisions of section 3621(14)(C), the members of a class of employees at a Department of Energy facility, or at an atomic weapons employer facility, may be treated as members of the Special Exposure Cohort for purposes of the compensation program if the President, upon recommendation of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, determines that—

"(A) it is not feasible to estimate with sufficient accuracy the radiation dose that the class received; and

"(B) there is a reasonable likelihood that such radiation dose may have endangered the health of members of the class.

"(2) Subject to the provisions of section 3621(14)(C), the members of a class of employees employed in a uranium mine or uranium mill described under section 5(a)(1)(A)(i) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (42 U.S.C. 2210 note) (including any individual who was employed in core drilling or the transport of uranium ore or vanadiumuranium ore from such mine or mill) located in Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Wyoming, South Dakota, Washington, Utah, Idaho, North Dakota, Oregon, Texas, and any State the Attorney General makes a determination under section 5(a)(2) of that Act for inclusion of eligibility under section 5(a)(1) of that Act, at any time during the period beginning on January 1, 1942, and ending on December 31, 1990, may be treated as members of the Special Exposure Cohort for purposes of the compensation program if the President, upon recommendation of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, determines that—

"(A) it is not feasible to estimate with sufficient accuracy the radiation dose that the class received; and

"(B) there is a reasonable likelihood that such radiation dose may have endangered the health of members of the class.".

SA 1059. Mr. SCOTT of Florida (for himself and Mr. LUJÁN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle B of title VIII, add the following:

SEC. 823. PROHIBITION ON THE PURCHASE OF COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-SHELF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ITEMS INVOLVING ENTITIES OWNED OR CONTROLLED BY PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Beginning 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense may not acquire, purchase, lease, or enter into any contract or agreement for the acquisition of computers, printers, televisions, or cameras if the manufacturer is owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by the Government of the People's Republic of China, as determined by the Secretary under subsection (b).

(b) LIST OF COVERED MANUFACTURERS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall compile a list of manufacturers covered by the prohibition under subsection (a). The list shall be updated not less than annually.

(c) Waiver.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the prohibition under subsection (a) for specific acquisitions in exceptional circumstances.

(2) NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 30 days after exercising a waiver under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees of the waiver. The notification shall include—

(A) a detailed justification and reasons for the waiver:

(B) an assessment of the national security risks involved and a description of the measures taken to mitigate them; and

(C) a description of the specific entities or acquisitions affected.

(d) ESTABLISHMENT OF RISK-BASED AP-PROACH.—The Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) establish controls to prevent the purchase of high-risk commercial off-the-shelf information technology items with known cybersecurity risks similar to the controls implemented through the use of the national security systems-restricted list; and

(2) update the Department of Defense acquisition policy to require organizations to review and evaluate cybersecurity risks for high-risk commercial off-the-shelf items before purchase, regardless of the purchase method.

SA 1060. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department

of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end, add the following:

DIVISION I—SMALL BUSINESS MATTERS SEC. 11001. DEFINITIONS.

In this division:

(1) Administration.—The term "Administration" means the Small Business Administration.

(2) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Administration.

(3) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—The term "small business concern" has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).

TITLE LXIX—COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE LOAN PROGRAM AND SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES

Subtitle A—Community Advantage Loan Program Act of 2023

SEC. 11101. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "Community Advantage Loan Program Act of 2023". SEC. 11102. COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE LOAN PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(38) COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE LOAN PROGRAM.—

"(A) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the Community Advantage Loan Program are—

"(i) to create a mission-oriented loan guarantee program;

"(ii) to increase lending to small business concerns in underserved and rural markets, including to new businesses;

"(iii) to ensure that the program under this subsection expands inclusion and more broadly meets congressional intent to reach borrowers who are unable to get credit elsewhere on reasonable terms and conditions;

"(iv) to help underserved small business concerns become bankable by utilizing the small dollar financing and business support experience of mission-oriented lenders;

"(v) to allow certain mission-oriented lenders, primarily financial intermediaries focused on economic development in underserved markets, access to guarantees for loans under this subsection (referred to in this paragraph as '7(a) loans') and provide management and technical assistance to small business concerns as needed; and

"(vi) to assist covered institutions with providing business support services and technical assistance to small business concerns, when needed.

"(B) DEFINITIONS.—In this paragraph:

"(i) COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE NETWORK PARTNER.—The term 'Community Advantage Network Partner'—

"(I) means a nonprofit, mission-oriented organization that acts as a Referral Agent to covered institutions in order to expand the reach of the program to small business concerns in underserved markets; and

"(II) does not include a covered institution making loans under the program.

"(ii) COVERED INSTITUTION.—The term 'covered institution' means an entity that—

"(I) is-

"(aa) a development company, as defined in section 103 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 662), participating in the 504 Loan Guaranty program established under title V of that Act (15 U.S.C. 695 et seq.);

"(bb) a nonprofit intermediary, as defined in subsection (m)(11), participating in the microloan program under subsection (m); "(cc) a non-Federally regulated entity certified as a community development financial institution by the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund established under section 104(a) of the Community Development Banking and Financial Institutions Act of 1994 (12 U.S.C. 4703(a)); or

"(dd) an eligible intermediary, as defined in subsection (l)(1), participating in the small business intermediary lending program established under subsection (l)(2); and

"(II) has approved and disbursed 10 similarly sized loans in the preceding 24-month period and is servicing not less than 10 similarly sized loans to small business concerns in the portfolio of the entity.

"(iii) EXISTING BUSINESS.—The term 'existing business' means a small business concern that has been in existence for not less than 2 years on the date on which a loan is made to the small business concern under the program.

"(iv) NEW BUSINESS.—The term 'new business' means a small business concern that has been in existence for not more than 2 years on the date on which a loan is made to the small business concern under the program.

"(v) PROGRAM.—The term 'program' means the Community Advantage Loan Program established under subparagraph (C).

"(vi) REFERRAL AGENT.—The term 'Referral Agent' has the meaning given the term in section 103.1(f) of title 13, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation.

"(vii) RURAL AREA.—The term 'rural area' means any county that the Bureau of the Census has defined as mostly rural or completely rural in the most recent decennial census

"(viii) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN IN AN UN-DERSERVED MARKET.—The term 'small business concern in an underserved market' means a small business concern—

"(I) that is located in—

"(aa) a low- to moderate-income community;

"(bb) a HUBZone, as that term is defined in section 31(b);

"(cc) a rural area;

"(dd) a community that has been designated as an empowerment zone or enterprise community under section 1391 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

"(ee) a community that has been designated as a qualified opportunity zone under section 1400Z-1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or

"(ff) a community that has been designated as a promise zone by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development;

"(II) for which more than 50 percent of the employees reside in a low- or moderate-income community:

"(III) that is a new business: or

"(IV) that is owned and controlled by veterans or spouses of veterans.

"(C) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a Community Advantage Loan Program under which the Administration may guarantee loans closed by covered institutions under this subsection, with an emphasis on loans made to small business concerns in underserved markets.

"(D) PROGRAM LEVELS.—In fiscal year 2024 and each fiscal year thereafter, not more than 10 percent of the number of loans guaranteed under this subsection may be guaranteed under the program.

"(E) GRANDFATHERING OF EXISTING LEND-ERS.—Any covered institution that was licensed by the Administrator as a Community Advantage small business lending company, or that participated in the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration, during the period beginning on May 1, 2023, and ending on September 30, 2023, and was in good standing during that period, as determined by the Administration—

"(i) shall be designated as participants in the program;

"(ii) shall not be required to submit an application to participate in the program; and

"(iii) for the purpose of determining the loan loss reserve amount of the covered institution, shall have participation in the Community Advantage Pilot Program included in the calculation under subparagraph

"(F) REQUIREMENT TO MAKE LOANS TO UNDERSERVED MARKETS.—Not less than 60 percent of loans closed by a covered institution under the program shall consist of loans made to small business concerns in underserved markets.

"(G) MAXIMUM LOAN AMOUNT; COLLATERAL —

"(i) MAXIMUM LOAN AMOUNT.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), the maximum loan amount for a loan guaranteed under the program is \$350.000.

"(II) EXPERIENCED LENDERS.—

"(aa) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator may approve not more than 8 covered institutions (referred to in this subclause as the 'experienced lenders'), each of which has not less than 5 years of experience making loans under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration or the program established under this paragraph, to be eligible to make loans under this subclause.

"(bb) MAXIMUM LOAN AMOUNT.—Subject to item (dd), an experienced lender may make a loan guaranteed under the program in an amount that is not more than \$750.000.

"(cc) Participation by the administration.—With respect to an agreement to participate in a loan made under this subclause on a deferred basis, the participation by the Administration shall be—

"(AA) 75 percent of the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan, if that balance is more than \$350.000:

"(BB) as described in clause (i) of paragraph (2)(G), if the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan is as described in that clause; or

"(CC) as described in clause (ii) of paragraph (2)(G), if the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan is as described in that clause.

"(dd) REQUIREMENTS TO MAKE LOANS IN CERTAIN AMOUNTS.—Not less than 60 percent of loans closed by each experienced lender under the program shall consist of loans in an amount that is not more than \$350.000.

"(ii) COLLATERAL.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—A covered institution shall not be required to take collateral with respect to a loan guaranteed under the program if the amount of that loan is not more than \$50.000.

"(II) POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OF COVERED INSTITUTION.—In determining the amount of collateral required with respect to a loan guaranteed under the program, a covered institution may use the collateral policies and procedures of the covered institution with respect to similarly sized commercial loans closed by the covered institution that are not guaranteed by the Administration.

"(H) INTEREST RATES.—The maximum allowable interest rate prescribed by the Administration on any financing made on a deferred basis pursuant to the program shall not exceed the maximum allowable interest rate under sections 120.213 and 120.214 of title 13, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulations.

"(I) REFINANCING OF COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE PROGRAM LOANS.—A loan guaranteed under the program or guaranteed under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration may be refinanced into another 7(a) loan made by a lender that does not participate in the program.

"(J) LOAN LOSS RESERVE REQUIREMENTS.—

"(i) LOAN LOSS RESERVE ACCOUNT FOR COVERED INSTITUTIONS.—A covered institution—

"(I) with not more than 5 years of participation in the program shall maintain a loan loss reserve account with an amount equal to 5 percent of the outstanding amount of the unguaranteed portion of the loan portfolio of the covered institution under the program; and

"(II) with more than 5 years of participation in the program shall maintain a loan loss reserve account with an amount equal to the average repurchase rate of the covered institution over the preceding 36-month period, except that such amount shall not be less than 3 percent of the outstanding amount of the unguaranteed portion of the loan portfolio of the covered institution under the program.

"(ii) ADDITIONAL LOAN LOSS RESERVE AMOUNT FOR SELLING LOANS ON THE SECONDARY MARKET.—In addition to the amount required in the loan loss reserve account under clause (i), a covered institution that sells a program loan on the secondary market shall be required to maintain the following additional amounts in the loan loss reserve account:

"(I) For a covered institution with less than 5 years of experience selling program loans on the secondary market, an amount equal to 3 percent of the guaranteed portion of each program loan sold on the secondary market.

"(II) For a covered institution with more than 5 years of experience selling program loans on the secondary market, an amount equal to the average repurchase rate for loans sold by the covered institution on the secondary market over the preceding 36 months, except that such amount shall be not less than 2 percent of the guaranteed portion of each program loan sold into the secondary market.

"(iii) RECALCULATION.—On October 1 of each year, the Administrator shall recalculate the loan loss reserve required under clauses (i) and (ii).

"(K) TRAINING.—The Administration—

"(i) shall provide accessible upfront and ongoing training for covered institutions making loans under the program to support program compliance and improve the interface between the covered institutions and the Administration, which shall include—

"(I) guidance for following the regulations of the Administration; and

"(II) guidance specific to mission-oriented lending that is intended to help lenders effectively reach and support small business concerns in underserved markets, including management and technical assistance deliv-

"(ii) may enter into a contract to provide the training described in clause (i) with an organization—

 $\mbox{``(I)}$ with expertise in lending under this subsection; and

"(II) primarily specializing in—

"(aa) mission-oriented lending; and

"(bb) lending to small business concerns in underserved markets; and

"(iii) shall provide training for the employees and contractors of the Administration that regularly engage with covered institutions or borrowers under the program.

"(L) COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE OUTREACH AND EDUCATION.—The Administrator—

"(i) shall develop and implement a program to promote to, conduct outreach to, and educate prospective covered institutions about the program; and

"(ii) may enter into a contract with 1 or more nonprofit organizations experienced in working with and training mission-oriented lenders to provide the promotion, outreach, and education described in clause (i).

"(M) COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE NETWORK PARTNER PARTICIPATION.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—A covered institution that uses a Community Advantage Network Partner shall abide by policies and procedures of the Administration concerning the use of Referral Agent fees permitted by the Administration and disclosure of those fees.

"(ii) PAYMENT OF FEES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all fees described in clause (i) shall be paid by the covered institution to the Community Advantage Network Partner upon disbursement of the applicable program loan.

"(N) DELEGATED AUTHORITY.—A covered institution is not eligible to receive delegated authority from the Administration under the program until the covered institution has satisfied the following applicable requirements:

"(i) For a covered institution actively participating in the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration, as of the day before the date of enactment of this paragraph—

"(I) the covered institution has approved and fully disbursed not fewer than 10 loans under that Pilot Program; and

"(II) the Administration has evaluated the ability of the covered institution to fulfill program requirements.

"(ii) For any covered institution not described in clause (i)—

"(I) the covered institution has approved and fully disbursed not fewer than 20 loans under the program; and

"(II) the Administration has evaluated the ability of the covered institution to fulfill program requirements.

(O) Reporting.—

"(i) WEEKLY REPORTS.-

"(I) IN GENERAL.—The Administration shall report on the website of the Administration, as part of the weekly reports on lending approvals under this subsection—

"(aa) on and after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the number and dollar amount of loans guaranteed under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration: and

"(bb) on and after the date on which the Administration begins to approve loans under the program, the number and dollar amount of loans guaranteed under the program.

"(II) SEPARATE ACCOUNTING.—The number and dollar amount of loans reported in a weekly report under subclause (I) for loans guaranteed under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration and under the program shall include a breakdown by the demographic information of the owners of the small business concerns, by whether the small business concern is a new business or an existing business, and by whether the small business concern is located in an urban or rural area, and broken down by—

"(aa) loans of not more than \$50,000;

"(bb) loans of more than \$50,000 and not more than \$150,000;

"(cc) loans of more than \$150,000 and not more than \$250,000;

"(dd) loans of more than \$250,000 and not more than \$350,000; and

"(ee) loans of more than \$350,000 and not more than \$750,000.

"(ii) Annual reports.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year in which the program is in effect, the Administration shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives, and make publicly available on the internet, information about loans provided under the

program and under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration.

"(II) CONTENTS.—Each report submitted and made publicly available under subclause (I) shall include—

"(aa) the number and dollar amounts of loans provided to small business concerns under the program, including a breakdown by—

"(AA) the demographic information of the owners of the small business concern;

"(BB) whether the small business concern is located in an urban or rural area; and

"(CC) whether the small business concern is an existing business or a new business, as provided in the weekly reports on lending approvals under this subsection;

"(bb) the proportion of loans described in item (aa) compared to—

"(AA) other 7(a) loans of any amount;

"(BB) other 7(a) loans of similar amounts; "(CC) express loans provided under paragraph (31) of similar amounts; and

"(DD) other 7(a) loans of similar amounts provided to small business concerns in underserved markets:

"(cc) the number and dollar amounts of loans provided to small business concerns under each category described in subitems (AA), (BB), and (CC) of item (aa), which shall be broken down by—

"(AA) loans of not more than \$50,000:

"(BB) loans of more than \$50,000 and not more than \$150,000:

"(CC) loans of more than \$150,000 and not more than \$250,000;

 $^{\prime\prime}(\mathrm{DD})$ loans of more than \$250,000 and not more than \$350,000; and

"(EE) loans of more than \$350,000 and not more than \$750,000;

"(dd) the number and dollar amounts of loans provided to small business concerns under the program by State, and the jobs created or retained within each State; and

"(ee) a list of covered institutions participating in the program and the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration, including—

"(AA) the name, location, and contact information, such as the website and telephone number, of each covered institution; and

"(BB) a breakdown by the number and dollar amount of the loans approved for small business concerns.

"(III) Timing.—An annual report required under this clause shall— $\,$

"(aa) be submitted and made publicly available not later than December 1 of each year; and

"(bb) cover the lending activity for the fiscal year that ended on September 30 of that same year.

"(P) GAO REPORT.—Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Administrator, the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate, and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report—

"(i) assessing-

"(I) the extent to which the program fulfills the requirements of this paragraph; and "(II) the performance of covered institutions participating in the program; and

"(ii) providing recommendations on the administration of the program and the findings under subclauses (I) and (II) of clause (i).

"(Q) REGULATIONS.—

"(i) In general.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations governing the program, including metrics for lender performance, metrics of success and benchmarks of the program, and criteria for appropriate management and technical assistance.

"(ii) UPDATES.—The Administrator shall consult the report submitted under subparagraph (P) and, not later than 180 days after the date on which the Comptroller General of the United States submits the report, promulgate any necessary changes to existing regulations of the Administration based on the recommendations contained in the report."

(b) Participation.—Section 7(a)(2) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(2)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking "and (F)" and inserting "(F), and (G)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(G) PARTICIPATION IN THE COMMUNITY AD-VANTAGE LOAN PROGRAM.—Subject to subparagraph (G)(i)(II)(cc) of paragraph (38), in an agreement to participate in a loan on a deferred basis under that paragraph, the participation by the Administration shall be—

"(i) 80 percent of the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan, if that balance is more than \$150,000 and not more than \$350,000; or

"(ii) 90 percent of the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan, if that balance is not more than \$150,000.".

Subtitle B—Modernizing SBA's Loan Programs Act of 2023

SEC. 11111. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "Modernizing SBA's Business Loan Programs Act of 2023".

SEC. 11112. FINDINGS.

Congress finds that-

(1) in 1982, the Administration placed a moratorium on licensing new small business lending companies because the Administration lacked the resources to effectively service and supervise additional small business lending companies;

(2) according to the Office of the Inspector General of the Administration, the reduction in staff in the Office of Credit Risk Management of the Administration from 42 full-time employees to 29 full-time employees could affect the fiscal year 2023 goals of the Administration for oversight reviews;

(3) the Administration has finalized a rule-making to lift the moratorium on the licensing new small business lending companies and establish a new Community Advantage small business lending company license, and there is no cap on the number of small business lending companies licenses that could be issued by the Administration:

(4) the increased costs and fees for an existing Community Advantage lender in the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration to obtain and maintain a Community Advantage small business lending company license could be cost prohibitive for a majority of current Community Advantage lenders to transition to a Community Advantage small business lending company;

(5) on May 1, 2023, the Administration announced that the Community Advantage Pilot Program would sunset on September 30, 2023, and the authority of a Community Advantage lender to make loans under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) under the pilot program will terminate;

(6) the Administration does not have adequate resources to issue either more than 3 new small business lending company licenses or new Community Advantage small business lending company licenses, as the Office of Credit Risk Management does not have the capacity to assume additional oversight responsibilities; and

(7) in order to increase small dollar lending in underserved areas, the Community Advantage Pilot Program should be made permanent, giving lenders certainty to continue to make loans under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)).

SEC. 11113. LENDING CRITERIA.

- (a) 7(A) LOANS.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(D) UNDERWRITING REQUIREMENTS.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a loan guaranteed under this subsection—
- "(I) the applicant (including an operating company) shall be creditworthy;
- "(II) the loan must be so sound as to reasonably assure repayment; and
- "(III) subject to the approval of the Administrator, the Director of the Office of Credit Risk Management may require additional criteria.
- "(ii) LENDING CRITERIA FOR LOANS OF \$350,000 OR MORE.—With respect to a loan guaranteed under this section that is not less than \$350,000, the Administration and lenders shall, as applicable, consider the following:
- "(I) Credit history of the applicant (and the operating company, if applicable), and the associates and guarantors of the applicant.
 - "(II) Experience and depth of management.
- "(III) Strength of the business.
- "(IV) Past earnings, projected cash flow, and future prospects.
- "(V) Ability to repay the loan with earnings from the business of the applicant.
- "(VI) Sufficient invested equity to operate on a sound financial basis.
 - "(VII) Potential for long-term success.
- "(VIII) Nature and value of collateral (although inadequate collateral may not be the sole reason for denial of a loan application).
- "(IX) The effect any affiliate of the applicant may have on the ultimate repayment ability of the applicant.
- "(iii) LENDING CRITERIA FOR LOANS OF LESS THAN \$350,000.—With respect to a loan guaranteed under this section that is less than \$350,000.—
- "(I) lenders shall use appropriate and generally acceptable commercial credit analysis processes and procedures consistent with those used for similarly-sized commercial loans that are not guaranteed by the Administration;
- "(II) the Administration and lenders may use a business credit scoring model; and
- "(III) the Administration and lenders shall, as applicable, consider—
- "(aa) the credit score or credit history of the applicant (and the operating company, if applicable), and the associates and guarantors of the applicant;
- "(bb) the earnings or cash flow of the applicant;
- "(cc) any equity or collateral of the applicant: and
- "(dd) the effect any affiliates of the applicant may have on the ultimate repayment ability of the applicant.".
- (b) 504/CDC LOANS.—Section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 696) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(8) Underwriting requirements.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a loan made under this section—
- "(i) the applicant (including an operating company) shall be creditworthy; and
- "(ii) the loan must be so sound as to reasonably assure repayment.
- "(B) LENDING CRITERIA.—With respect to a loan made under this section—
- "(i) lenders and certified development companies shall use appropriate and generally acceptable commercial credit analysis processes and procedures consistent with those

- used for similarly-sized commercial loans that are not guaranteed by the Administration:
- "(ii) the Administration, lenders, and certified development companies may use a business credit scoring model; and
- "(iii) the Administration, lenders, and certified development companies shall, as applicable, consider—
- "(I) the credit score or credit history of the applicant (and the operating company, if applicable), and the associates and guarantors of the applicant;
- ``(II) the earnings or cash flow of the applicant: and
- "(III) any equity or collateral of the applicant."

SEC. 11114. AFFILIATION AND FRANCHISE DIRECTORY.

- (a) Affiliation Principles.—
- (1) BUSINESS LOANS.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(E) AFFILIATION PRINCIPLES.—Affiliation under any of the circumstances described below is sufficient to establish affiliation for applicants for a loan guaranteed under this subsection."
 - "(i) AFFILIATION BASED ON OWNERSHIP.—
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—For determining affiliation based on equity ownership, a concern is an affiliate of an individual, concern, or entity that owns or has the power to control more than 50 percent of the voting equity of the concern.
- "(II) OTHER OFFICERS.—If no individual, concern, or entity is found to control a concern under subclause (I), the Administrator shall deem the board of directors, president, or chief executive officer (or other officers, managing members, or partners who control the management of the concern) to be in control of the concern.
- "(III) MINORITY SHAREHOLDER.—The Administrator shall deem a minority shareholder of a concern to be in control of the concern if that individual or entity has the ability, under the charter, by-laws, or shareholder agreement of the concern, to prevent a quorum or otherwise block action by the board of directors or shareholders of the concern.
- "(ii) AFFILIATION ARISING UNDER STOCK OPTIONS, CONVERTIBLE SECURITIES, AND AGREEMENTS TO MERGE.—
- ``(I) IN GENERAL.—In determining the size of a concern, the Administrator shall—
- "(aa) consider stock options, convertible securities, and agreements to merge (including agreements in principle) to have a present effect on the power to control a concern; and
- "(bb) treat options, convertible securities, and agreements described in item (aa) as though the rights granted have been exercised.
- "(II) AGREEMENTS TO OPEN OR CONTINUE NE-GOTIATIONS.—An agreement to open or continue negotiations towards the possibility of a merger or a sale of stock at some later date is not considered an 'agreement in principle' and is not given present effect.
- "(III) CONDITIONS PRECEDENT.—Stock options, convertible securities, and agreements that are subject to conditions precedent that are incapable of fulfillment, speculative, conjectural, or unenforceable under State or Federal law, or where the probability of the transaction (or exercise of the rights) occurring is shown to be extremely remote, are not given present effect.
 - "(IV) TERMINATION OF CONTROL.
- "(aa) IN GENERAL.—An individual, concern, or other entity that controls 1 or more other concerns cannot use stock options, convertible securities, or agreements to appear to

- terminate such control before actually doing so.
- "(bb) DIVESTING.—The Administrator shall not give present effect to the ability of an individual, concern, or other entity to divest all or part of their ownership interest in a concern in order to avoid a finding of affiliation.
- $\lq\lq$ (iii) Affiliation based on management.–Affiliation arises where—
- "(I) the chief executive officer or president of the applicant concern (or other officers, managing members, or partners who control the management of the concern) also controls the management of 1 or more other concerns;
- "(II) a single individual, concern, or entity that controls the board of directors or management of 1 concern also controls the board of directors or management of 1 of more other concerns; or
- "(III) a single individual, concern, or entity controls the management of the applicant concern through a management agreement.
- ''(iv) Affiliation based on identity of interest.—
- ``(I) DEFINITION.—In this clause, the term 'close relative' means—
- "(aa) a spouse, parent, child, or sibling; and
- "(bb) the spouse of any individual described in item (aa).
- "(II) CLOSE RELATIVES.—Affiliation arises when there is an identity of interest between close relatives with identical or substantially identical business or economic interests, such as where the close relatives operate concerns in the same or similar industry in the same geographic area.
- "(III) AGGREGATED INTERESTS.—If the Administrator determines that interests described in subclause (II) should be aggregated, an individual or firm may rebut that determination with evidence showing that the interests deemed to be affiliated are in fact separate.
- "(v) AFFILIATION BASED ON FRANCHISE AND LICENSE AGREEMENTS.—
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—The restraints imposed on a franchisee or licensee by its franchise or license agreement generally shall not be considered in determining whether the franchisor or licensor is affiliated with an applicant franchisee or licensee, if the applicant franchisee or licensee has the right to profit from its efforts and bears the risk of loss commensurate with ownership.
- "(II) NATURE OF AGREEMENT.—For purposes of subclause (I), the Administrator shall only consider the franchise or license agreements of the applicant concern.
- "(vi) Determining the size of a concern, the Administrator counts the receipts, employees, or the alternate size standard (if applicable) of the concern whose size is at issue and all of the domestic and foreign affiliates of the concern, regardless of whether the affiliates are organized for profit.
- "(vii) EXCEPTIONS TO AFFILIATION.—The exceptions to affiliation described in section 121.103(b) of title 13, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation, shall apply."
- (2) 504/CDC LOANS.—Section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 696), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(9) AFFILIATION PRINCIPLES.—Affiliation under any of the circumstances described below is sufficient to establish affiliation for applicants for a loan under this section:
 - "(A) AFFILIATION BASED ON OWNERSHIP.—
- "(i) OWNERSHIP OF ANOTHER BUSINESS.— When the applicant owns more than 50 percent of another business, the applicant and the other business are affiliated.
 - "(ii) OWNERSHIP BY OTHER BUSINESSES.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—When a business owns more than 50 percent of an applicant, the business that owns the applicant is affiliated with the applicant.

"(II) OTHER BUSINESS OWNED BY OWNER OF APPLICANT.—If a business entity owner that owns more than 50 percent of an applicant also owns more than 50 percent of another business that operates in the same 3-digit North American Industry Classification System subsector as the applicant, then the business entity owner, the other business, and the applicant are all affiliated.

"(iii) OWNERSHIP BY INDIVIDUALS.—When an individual owns more than 50 percent of the applicant and the individual also owns more than 50 percent of another business entity that operates in the same 3-digit North American Industry Classification System subsector as the applicant, the applicant and the individual owner's other business entity are affiliated.

"(iv) LESS THAN 50 PERCENT.—When an applicant does not have an owner that owns more than 50 percent of the applicant, if an owner of 20 percent or more of the applicant also owns more than 50 percent of another business entity that operates in the same 3-digit North American Industry Classification System subsector as the applicant, the applicant and the owner's other business entity are affiliated.

"(v) SPOUSE AND MINOR CHILDREN.—Ownership interests of spouses and minor children shall be combined when determining amount of ownership interest.

"(vi) PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP.—When determining the percentage of ownership that an individual owns in a business, the Administrator shall consider the pro rata ownership of entities.

"(B) AFFILIATION ARISING UNDER STOCK OPTIONS, CONVERTIBLE SECURITIES, AND AGREEMENTS TO MERGE.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall—

"(I) consider stock options, convertible securities, and agreements to merge (including agreements in principle) to have a present effect on the ownership of an entity; and

"(II) treat options, convertible securities, and agreements described in subclause (I) as though the rights granted have been exercised.

"(ii) AGREEMENTS TO OPEN OR CONTINUE NE-GOTIATIONS.—An agreement to open or continue negotiations towards the possibility of a merger or a sale of stock at some later date is not considered an 'agreement in principle' and is not given present effect.

"(iii) CONDITIONS PRECEDENT.—Stock options, convertible securities, and agreements that are subject to conditions precedent that are incapable of fulfillment, speculative, conjectural, or unenforceable under State or Federal law, or where the probability of the transaction (or exercise of the rights) occurring is shown to be extremely remote, are not given present effect.

"(iv) ABILITY TO DIVEST.—The Administrator shall not give present effect to individuals', concerns', or other entities' ability to divest all or part of their ownership interest to avoid a finding of affiliation.

"(C) DETERMINING THE CONCERN'S SIZE.—In determining the size of a concern, the Administrator counts the receipts, employees, or the alternate size standard (if applicable) of the concern whose size is at issue and all of the domestic and foreign affiliates of the concern, regardless of whether the affiliates are organized for profit.

"(D) EXCEPTIONS TO AFFILIATION.—The exceptions to affiliation described in section 121.103(b) of title 13, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation, shall apply.".

(b) FRANCHISE DIRECTORY.—Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administration shall publish and maintain on the website of the Administration a Franchise Directory, which shall contain a list that lenders and certified development companies may use in evaluating whether a franchise is eligible for financing from the Administration.

SEC. 11115. LOAN AUTHORIZATION.

(a) 7(A) LOANS.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(F) LOAN AUTHORIZATION.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a loan made or guaranteed under this subsection, the Administration shall issue a written agreement providing the terms and conditions under which the Administration will make or guarantee the loan.

"(ii) NOT A CONTRACT.—A written agreement issued under clause (i) is not a contract to make a loan.".

(b) 504/CDC LOANS.—Section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 696), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(10) LOAN AUTHORIZATION.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a loan made under this section, the Administration shall issue a written agreement providing the terms and conditions under which the Administration will make the loan.

"(B) NOT A CONTRACT.—A written agreement issued under subparagraph (A) is not a contract to make a loan.".

SEC. 11116. OVERSIGHT OF SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES.

(a) DEFINITION.—Section 3(r) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(r)) is amended, in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking "As used in section 23 of this Act" and inserting "In this Act".

(b) CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS; MAXIMUM NUMBER.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(G) ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS RELATING TO SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES.—

"(i) MAXIMUM NUMBER.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—Not more than 17 small business lending companies may be authorized to make loans under this subsection at any time.

(II) EXISTING SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES.—

"(aa) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (III), each of the 14 small business lending companies authorized to make loans under this subsection as of June 1, 2023 shall retain such authorization on and after the date of enactment of this subparagraph.

"(bb) Loss of Authorization.—With respect to a lender that, as of the date of enactment of this subparagraph, is authorized as a Community Advantage small business lending company, that lender shall, beginning on that date of enactment—

"(AA) no longer have that authorization; and

"(BB) be designated as a lender under the Community Advantage Loan Program established under paragraph (38).

"(III) TRANSFER OR SALE.—The Administrator shall have the discretion to authorize the transfer or sale of a license of a small business lending company to make loans under this subsection to another small business lending company.

"(IV) LIMITATION OF DELEGATED AUTHORITY.—

"(aa) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding paragraph (31), any small business lending company that the Administration authorizes after June 1, 2023 to make loans under this

subsection shall be ineligible for delegated authority from the Administration to process, close, service, and liquidate certain loans made under this subsection for the 5-year period beginning on the date on which the Administration authorizes the small business lending company to make loans under this subsection.

"(bb) EXISTING SBLCS.—Item (aa) shall not apply with respect to each of the 14 small business lending companies authorized to make loans under this subsection as of June 1, 2023.

"(ii) MINIMUM CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS.-

"(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclauses (II) and (III), to be authorized to make loans under this subsection, a small business lending company shall comply with the minimum capital requirements in effect on January 3, 2021.

"(II) APPROVED ON OR AFTER JANUARY 4, 2021.—Any small business lending company authorized by the Administration to make loans under this subsection on or after January 4, 2021, including in the event of a change of ownership or control, shall maintain, at a minimum, the greater of—

"(aa) unencumbered paid-in capital and paid-in surplus of not less than \$5,000,000; or "(bb) an amount equal to 10 percent of the aggregate of its share of all outstanding loans

"(III) REQUIREMENTS ON AND AFTER JANUARY 4, 2024.—On and after January 4, 2024, each small business lending company that makes or acquires a loan under this subsection shall maintain, at a minimum, the greater of—

"(aa) unencumbered paid-in capital and paid-in surplus of not less than \$5.000.000; or

"(bb) an amount equal to 10 percent of the aggregate of its share of all outstanding loans.

"(iii) CRITERIA FOR LICENSING SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES.—The Administrator shall use uniform terms for the licensing of business concerns as small business lending companies and the participation of those companies in the programs under this subsection."

(c) ANNUAL STRESS TESTING AND REVIEWS.—Section 23(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 650(d)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting "IN GENERAL.—" after "(1)";

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting "HEARING.—" after "(2)";

(3) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively;

(4) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

"(3) SPECIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITIES RE-LATED TO SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPA-NIES.—

 $\mbox{``(A)}$ Review and revocation of authority.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Office of Credit Risk Management (in this paragraph referred to as the 'Director')—

"(I) may review and revoke the authority of a small business lending company to make, service, or liquidate business loans under section 7(a) for performance, excessive losses, or predatory lending;

"(II) shall review and may revoke the authority of a small business lending company to make, service, or liquidate business loans under section 7(a) if—

"(aa) the early default rate for the small business lending company exceeds the average default rate for all small business lending companies participating in the loan program under section 7(a);

"(bb) the small business lending company fails to comply with the requirements under subparagraph (B); or

"(cc) the Director finds in an audit conducted under subparagraph (C)(ii) that the

small business lending company is not in compliance with 1 or more of the requirements described in subparagraph (C); and

"(III) shall revoke the authority of a small business lending company to make, service, or liquidate business loans under section 7(a) if the Director has determined the small business lending company has failed to comply with the requirements in subclause (II) or (III) of subparagraph (B)(ii) for 2 or more years in a row.

"(ii) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—If the Director revokes the authority of a small business lending company to make, service, or liquidate business loans under section 7(a), the Director shall report the revocation, along with details and information describing why that decision was made, to the Office of the Inspector General of the Administration.

- "(B) ANNUAL STRESS TESTS.—
- $\hbox{``(i)} \quad \hbox{IN GENERAL.--Each small business lending company shall---}$
- "(I) conduct an annual stress test of the portfolio of the small business lending company under section 7(a) in accordance with the requirements under clause (ii); and
- "(II) report to the Director the findings of each annual stress test conducted under subclause (I).
- "(ii) REQUIREMENTS.—Each stress test conducted under clause (i) shall comply with the following requirements:
- "(I) The small business lending company shall use financial data as of December 31 of the calendar year prior to the reporting year.
- "(II) The small business lending company shall use the scenarios provided by the Director, which shall reflect a minimum of 2 sets of economic and financial conditions, including baseline and severely adverse scenarios that incorporate consideration of interest rate risk. The Director shall provide a description of the scenarios required to be used by each small business lending company not later than February 15 of the reporting year.
- "(III) The board of directors and senior management of each small business lending company shall consider the results of the stress tests conducted under this subsection in the normal course of business, including capital planning, assessment of capital adequacy, and risk management practices of the small business lending company.
- "(C) COMPLIANCE WITH BANK SECRECY ACT AND ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING REQUIREMENTS.—
- "(i) DEFINITION.—In this subparagraph, the term 'Bank Secreey Act' means—
- "(I) section 21 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 II S C 1829b):
- "(II) chapter 2 of title I of Public Law 91–508 (12 U.S.C. 1951 et seq.); and
- "(III) subchapter II of chapter 53 of title 31, United States Code.
- "(ii) ANNUAL REVIEWS.—The Director—
- "(I) shall conduct annual reviews to ensure that small business lending companies are in compliance with the requirements contained in the regulations issued under clause (iii); and
- "(II) in conducting a review under subclause (I), may not rely on self-certification by a small business lending company that the small business lending company is in compliance with those requirements.
- "(iii) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Modernizing SBA's Business Loan Programs Act of 2023, the Administrator shall, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies, issue regulations to provide a framework to ensure that small business lending companies are in compliance with the requirements under the Bank Secrecy Act, including Know Your Customer and anti-

money laundering requirements, and any applicable consumer protection laws, including the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.), the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (15 U.S.C. 1691 et seq.), and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (Public Law 106-102; 113 Stat. 1338).":

- (5) in paragraph (4), as so redesignated, by inserting "NOTIFICATION.—" after "(4)"; and
- (6) in paragraph (5), as so redesignated, by inserting "DELEGATION.—" after "(5)".

SEC. 11117. OFFICE OF CREDIT RISK MANAGE-MENT.

Section 47 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657t) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (c)-
- (A) in paragraph (1), by inserting before the period at the end the following: "with a demonstrated career in or outstanding qualifications or expertise related to finance and financial risk management. The Director shall report directly to the Administrator"; and
 - (B) by adding at the end the following:
- "(3) COMPENSATION.—The Administrator shall fix the compensation of the Director—
- "(A) as necessary to carry out the duties of the Office; and
- "(B) in an amount that is not less than the highest rate of basic pay for the Senior Executive Service under section 5382(b) of title 5, United States Code.": and
 - (2) in subsection (h)(2)-
- (A) in subparagraph (I), by striking "and" at the end:
- (B) in subparagraph (J), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and
- (C) by adding at the end the following:
- "(K) the number of 7(a) lenders that had an early default rate of more than 3 percent; and
- "(L) an analysis of the median and average credit scores of borrowers relating to early default rates, purchase rates, and charge offs."

SEC. 11118. DENIED LOAN OR LOAN MODIFICATION REQUEST.

- (a) 7(A) LOANS.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(H) DENIED LOAN OR LOAN MODIFICATION REQUEST —
- "(i) ROLE OF ADMINISTRATOR.—The Administrator may not intervene or make a final decision with respect to a request for reconsideration of a denied loan or loan modification request made by an applicant or recipient of a loan under this subsection.
- "(ii) FINAL DECISION.—Only the Director of the Office of Financial Assistance may make a final decision with respect to a request for reconsideration of a denied loan or loan modification request made by an applicant or recipient of a loan under this subsection."
- (b) 504/CDC LOANS.—Section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 696), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- ''(11) DENIED LOAN OR LOAN MODIFICATION REQUEST.—
- "(A) ROLE OF ADMINISTRATOR.—The Administrator may not intervene or make a final decision with respect to a request for reconsideration of a denied loan or loan modification request made by an applicant or recipient of a loan under this section.
- "(B) FINAL DECISION.—Only the Director of the Office of Financial Assistance may make a final decision with respect to a request for reconsideration of a denied loan or loan modification request made by an applicant or recipient of a loan under this section.".

SEC. 11119. DIRECT LENDING.

Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this sub-

- title, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(I) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED BEFORE DIRECT LENDING.—Not later than 60 days before the Administration implements any policy or pilot program that would allow the Administration to directly make a loan under this subsection, the Administrator shall submit a notification to Congress for review."

SEC. 11120. RESTRICTION ON REFINANCING DEBT.

Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(J) RESTRICTION ON REFINANCING DEBT.-
- "(i) DEFINITION.—In this subparagraph, the term 'delegated authority' means status granted by the Administration to a lender to allow the lender to process, close, service, and liquidate certain loans made under this subsection without prior review by the Administration.
- "(ii) RESTRICTION.—A lender shall be prohibited from using any delegated authority under this subsection to refinance any debt held by the lender, including any loan made under this subsection."

SEC. 11121. GAO STUDY.

Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study and submit to the Administrator, the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate, and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report that includes—

- (1) an analysis of the use of alternative credit models for loans made under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) in an amount of less than \$350,000, including—
- (A) an analysis of whether appropriate guardrails are in place to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse and provide protections for the borrower:
- (B) an evaluation of the effectiveness of those credit models in reducing barriers to access to capital to underserved and rural communities; and
- (C) recommendations as to whether improvements can be made by Administration in its use of alternative credit models to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse and to improve access to capital to underserved and rural communities;
- (2) an audit of the operations, staffing, and resources of the Office of Credit Risk Management of the Administration, including the efforts of the Office to implement the new oversight provisions under the amendments made by this title; and
- (3) a survey of the practices of lenders under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) relating to the use of criminal history when determining whether to approve a loan under that section or a similarly sized commercial loan that is not guaranteed by the Administration.

TITLE LXX—VETERAN ENTREPRENEUR-SHIP TRAINING ACT OF 2023

SEC. 11201. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Veteran Entrepreneurship Training Act of 2023".

SEC. 11202. BOOTS TO BUSINESS PROGRAM.

Section 32 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(h) Boots to Business Program.-
- "(1) COVERED INDIVIDUAL DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term 'covered individual' means—
- "(A) a member of the Armed Forces, including the National Guard or Reserves;
- "(B) an individual who is participating in the Transition Assistance Program established under section 1144 of title 10, United States Code;

- "(C) an individual who—
- "(i) served on active duty in any branch of the Armed Forces, including the National Guard or Reserves: and
- "(ii) was discharged or released from such service under conditions other than dishonorable; and
- "(D) a spouse or dependent of an individual described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C).
- (2) ESTABLISHMENT —During the period beginning on the date of enactment of this subsection and ending on September 30, 2028, the Administrator shall carry out a program to be known as the 'Boots to Business Program' to provide entrepreneurship training to covered individuals.
- "(3) GOALS.—The goals of the Boots to Business Program are to-
- '(A) provide assistance and in-depth training to covered individuals interested in business ownership; and
- (B) provide covered individuals with the tools, skills, and knowledge necessary to identify a business opportunity, draft a business plan, identify sources of capital, connect with local resources for small business concerns, and start up a small business concern.
- "(4) Program components.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—The Boots to Business Program may include-
- '(i) an in-person and virtual, as applicable, presentation providing exposure to the considerations involved in self-employment and ownership of a small business concern;
- '(ii) an online, self-study course focused on the basic skills of entrepreneurship, the language of business, and the considerations involved in self-employment and ownership of a small business concern;
- "(iii) an in-person and virtual, as applicable, classroom instruction component providing an introduction to the foundations of self employment and ownership of a small business concern; and
- "(iv) in-depth training delivered through instruction, including an online course that leads to the creation of a business plan.
 - "(B) Travel costs.-
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the other provisions of this subparagraph, of the total amount of grant funding that a Veteran Business Outreach Center participating in the Boots to Business Program receives from the Administration, the center may not expend more than 35 percent of that funding on costs relating to international travel with respect to the Boots to Business Program.
- '(ii) Costs not included in Cap.—Costs relating to the salaries of, or stipends for, instructors under the Boots to Business Program shall not be included for the purposes of the limitation under clause (i).
 - '(iii) Petition -
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—A Veteran Business Outreach Center may petition the Administrator for the center to expend additional funds beyond the limitation under clause (i) for the purposes described in that clause.
- '(II) NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—If the Administrator grants any petition submitted under subclause (I), the Administrator shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a notification regarding that decision by the Administrator.
- "(C) COLLABORATION.—The Administrator mav-
- "(i) collaborate with public and private entities to develop course curricula for the Boots to Business Program;
- "(ii) modify program components in coordination with entities participating in a Warriors in Transition program, as defined in section 738(e) of the National Defense Au-

- CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SENATE thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (10 U.S.C. 1071 note); and
 - "(iii) consult with Directors of Veteran Business Outreach Centers regarding the necessity of instructor international travel and the feasibility of incorporating virtual classroom components.
 - "(D) Use of resource partners and dis-TRICT OFFICES.-
 - GENERAL.—The Administrator "(i) IN shall-
 - "(I) ensure that Veteran Business Outreach Centers regularly participate, on a nationwide basis, in the Boots to Business Program; and
 - "(II) to the maximum extent practicable, use district offices of the Administration and a variety of other resource partners and entities in administering the Boots to Business Program.
 - "(ii) GRANT AUTHORITY.-In carrying out clause (i), the Administrator may make grants to Veteran Business Outreach Centers, other resource partners, or other entities to carry out components of the Boots to Business Program.
 - "(E) AVAILABILITY TO DEPARTMENT OF DE-FENSE AND THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.—The Administrator shall make available to the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Labor information regarding the Boots to Business Program, including all course materials and outreach materials related to the Boots to Business Program, for inclusion on the websites of the Department of Defense and the Department of Labor relating to the Transition Assistance Program, in the Transition Assistance Program manual, and in other relevant materials available for distribution from the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Labor.
 - "(F) AVAILABILITY TO DEPARTMENT OF VET-ERANS AFFAIRS.-In consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Administrator shall make available for distribution and display on the website of the Department of Veterans Affairs and at local facilities of the Department of Veterans Affairs outreach materials regarding the Boots to Business Program, which shall, at a minimum-
 - "(i) describe the Boots to Business Program and the services provided; and
 - "(ii) include eligibility requirements for participating in the Boots to Business Program.
 - "(G) AVAILABILITY TO OTHER PARTICIPATING AGENCIES.—The Administrator shall ensure information regarding the Boots to Business program, including all course materials and outreach materials related to the Boots to Business Program, is made available to other participating agencies in the Transition Assistance Program and upon request of other agencies.
 - (5) Competitive bidding procedures.— The Administration shall use relevant competitive bidding procedures with respect to any contract or cooperative agreement executed by the Administration under the Boots to Business Program.
 - "(6) Publication of notice of funding op-PORTUNITY.-Not later than 30 days before the deadline for submitting applications for any funding opportunity under the Boots to Business Program, the Administration shall publish a notice of the funding opportunity.
 - "(7) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, and not less frequently than annually thereafter, the Administrator shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report on the performance and effectiveness of the Boots to Business Program, which-

- "(A) may be included as part of another report submitted to such committees by the Administrator related to the Office of Veterans Business Development; and
- "(B) shall summarize available information relating to-
- "(i) grants awarded under paragraph (4)(D); "(ii) the total cost of the Boots to Business Program:
- "(iii) the amount of program funds used for domestic and international travel expenses;
- "(iv) each domestic location and international location traveled to for Boots to Business program instruction;
- (v) the number of program participants using each component of the Boots to Business Program:
- "(vi) the completion rates for each component of the Boots to Business Program; and "(vii) to the extent possible-
- "(I) the demographics of program participants, to include gender, age, race, ethnicity, and relationship to the Armed Forces:
- (II) the number of program participants that connect with a district office of the Administration, a Veteran Business Outreach Center, or another resource partner of the Administration:
- "(III) the number of program participants that start a small business concern;
- "(IV) the results of the Boots to Business and Boots to Business Reboot course quality surveys conducted by the Office of Veterans Business Development before and after attending each of those courses, including a summary of any comments received from program participants;
- "(V) the results of the Boots to Business Program outcome surveys conducted by the Office of Veterans Business Development, including a summary of any comments received from program participants; and
- "(VI) the results of other germane participant satisfaction surveys;
- "(C) an evaluation of the overall effectiveness of the Boots to Business Program based on each geographic region covered by the Administration during the most recent fiscal year;
- "(D) an assessment of additional performance outcome measures for the Boots to Business Program, as identified by the Administrator:
- "(E) any recommendations of the Administrator for improvement of the Boots to Business Program, which may include expansion of the types of individuals who are covered individuals:
- "(F) an explanation of how the Boots to Business Program has been integrated with other transition programs and related resources of the Administration and other Federal agencies: and
- "(G) any additional information the Administrator determines necessary.'

TITLE LXXI—SMALL BUSINESS CHILD CARE INVESTMENT ACT

SEC. 11301. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Small Business Child Care Investment Act"

SEC. 11302. SMALL BUSINESS LOANS FOR NON-PROFIT CHILD CARE PROVIDERS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(10) Nonprofit child care providers.
- "(A) DEFINITION.—In this paragraph, the term 'covered nonprofit child care provider' means an organization-
 - "(i) that-
- "(I) is in compliance with licensing requirements for child care providers of the State in which the organization is located;
- "(II) is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code;

"(III) is primarily engaged in providing child care for children from birth to compulsory school age; and

"(IV) is in compliance with the size standards established under this subsection for business concerns in the applicable industry;

"(ii) for which each employee and regular volunteer complies with the criminal background check requirements under section 658H(b) of the Child Care and Development Block Grant Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 9858f(b));

"(iii) that may—

"(I) provide care for school-age children outside of school hours or outside of the school year; or

"(II) offer preschool or prekindergarten educational programs; and

"(iv) subject to any exemption under Federal law applicable to the organization, that certifies to the Administrator that the organization will not discriminate in any business practice, including providing services to the public, on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, age, disability, or national origin.

``(B) ELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN LOAN PROGRAMS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection, a covered nonprofit child care provider shall be deemed to be a small business concern for purposes of loans under section 7(a) of this Act or financing under title V of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 695 et seq.).

"(ii) LOAN GUARANTEE.—A covered nonprofit child care center provider—

"(I) shall obtain a guarantee of timely payment of the loan or financing from another person or entity to be eligible for a loan or financing of more than \$500,000 under the authority under clause (i); and

"(II) shall not be required to obtain a guarantee of timely payment of the loan or financing to be eligible for a loan or financing that is not more than \$500,000 under the authority under clause (i).

"(C) LIMITATION ON BASIS FOR INELIGI-BILITY.—The Administrator may not determine that a covered nonprofit child care center provider is not eligible for a loan or financing described in subparagraph (B)(i) on the basis that the proceeds of the loan or financing will be used for a religious activity protected under the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, as interpreted by the courts of the United States."

(b) REPORTING.—

(1) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term "covered nonprofit child care provider" has the meaning given the term in paragraph (10) of section 3(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(a)), as added by subsection (a).

(2) REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Administrator shall submit to Congress a report that contains—

(A) for the year covered by the report—

(i) the number of loans made under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) and the number of financings provided under title V of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 695 et seq.) to covered nonprofit child care providers; and

(ii) the amount of such loans made and the amount of such financings provided to covered nonprofit child care providers; and

(B) any other information determined relevant by the Administrator.

TITLE LXXII—SUPPORTING SMALL BUSINESS AND CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACT OF 2023

SEC. 11401. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Supporting Small Business and Career and Technical Education Act of 2023".

SEC. 11402. INCLUSION OF CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION.

(a) DEFINITION.—Section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(gg) CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION.— The term 'career and technical education' has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006 (20 U.S.C. 2302)."

Education Act of 2006 (20 U.S.C. 2302).".
(b) SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTERS.—Section 21(c)(3) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(c)(3)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (T), by striking "and" at the end:

(2) in clause (v) of the first subparagraph (U) (relating to succession planning), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon;

(3) by redesignating the second subparagraph (U) (relating to training on domestic and international intellectual property protections) as subparagraph (V);

(4) in subparagraph (V)(ii)(II), as so redesignated, by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

"(W) assisting small business concerns in hiring graduates from career and technical education programs or programs of study; and

"(X) assisting graduates of career and technical education programs or programs of study in starting up a small business concern"

(c) Women's Business Centers.—Section 29(b) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking "and" at the end;

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

"(4) assistance for small business concerns to hire graduates from career and technical education programs or programs of study; and

"(5) assistance for graduates of career and technical education programs or programs of study to start up a small business concern."

TITLE LXXIII—SMALL BUSINESS DIS-ASTER DAMAGE FAIRNESS ACT OF 2023 SEC. 11501. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Small Business Disaster Damage Fairness Act of 2023".

SEC. 11502. COLLATERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISASTER LOANS.

Section 7(d)(6) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(d)(6)) is amended, in the third proviso—

(1) by striking "\$14,000" and inserting "\$25,000"; and

(2) by striking "major disaster" and inserting "disaster".

SEC. 11503. GAO REPORT ON DEFAULT RATES.

Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report on the performance, including the default rate, of loans made under section 7(b)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)), and the impact of the amendments to collateral amounts made under section 11502 on the performance of those loans, during the period—

(1) beginning on September 30, 2020; and

(2) ending on the date on that is 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

TITLE LXXIV—NATIVE AMERICAN ENTRE-PRENEURIAL AND OPPORTUNITY ACT OF 2023

SEC. 11601. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Native American Entrepreneurial and Opportunity Act of 2023".

SEC. 11602. OFFICE OF NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS.

The Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) is amended—

(1) by redesignating section 49 (15 U.S.C. 631 note) as section 50; and

(2) by inserting after section 48 (15 U.S.C. 657u) the following:

"SEC. 49. OFFICE OF NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS.

"(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) ASSOCIATE ADMINISTRATOR.—The term 'Associate Administrator' means the Associate Administrator for Native American Affairs appointed under subsection (c).

"(2) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term 'Indian Tribe' has the meaning given the term 'Indian tribe' in section 8(a)(13).

"(3) NATIVE HAWAIIAN ORGANIZATION.—The term 'Native Hawaiian Organization' has the meaning given the term in section 8(a)(15).

"(4) OFFICE.—The term 'Office' means the Office of Native American Affairs described in this section.

"(b) Establishment.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—There is established within the Administration the Office of Native American Affairs, which shall be responsible for establishing a working relationship with Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations by targeting programs of the Administration relating to entrepreneurial development, contracting, and capital access to revitalize Native businesses and economic development in Indian country.

"(2) CONNECTION WITH OTHER PROGRAMS.— To the extent reasonable, the Office shall connect Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations to programs administered by other Federal agencies related to the interests described in paragraph (1).

"(3) ALTERNATIVE WORK SITES.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Office may establish alternative work sites within such regional offices of the Administration as may be necessary, with initial focus on those parts of Indian Country most economically disadvantaged, to perform efficiently the functions and responsibilities of the Office.

"(B) PROHIBITION.—The alternative work sites established under subparagraph (A) shall not be field offices of the Administration

"(c) ASSOCIATE ADMINISTRATOR.—The Office shall be headed by an Associate Administrator for Native American Affairs, who shall—

"(1) be appointed by and report to the Administrator;

"(2) have knowledge of Native American cultures and experience providing culturally tailored small business development assistance to Native Americans;

"(3) carry out the program to provide assistance to Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations and small business concerns owned and controlled by individuals who are members of those groups;

"(4) administer and manage Native American outreach expansion;

"(5) enhance assistance to Native Americans by formulating and promoting policies, programs, and assistance that better address their entrepreneurial, capital access, business development, and contracting needs, and collaborate with other Associate Administrators and intergovernmental leaders with similar missions across Federal agencies on the development of policies and plans to implement new programs of the Administration, while supplementing existing Federal programs to holistically serve those needs;

"(6) provide grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, or other financial assistance to Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations, or to private nonprofit organizations governed by members of those entities, that have the experience and capability to—

- "(A) deploy training, counseling, workshops, educational outreach, and supplier events; and
- "(B) access the entrepreneurial, capital, and contracting programs of the Administration;
- "(7) assist the Administrator in conducting, or conduct, Tribal consultation to solicit input and facilitate discussion of potential modifications to programs and procedures of the Administration; and
- "(8) recommend annual budgets for the Office.
- "(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There is authorized to be appropriated to the Office such sums as may be necessary for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2028 to carry out this section."

TITLE LXXV—RESEARCH ADVANCING TO MARKET PRODUCTION FOR INNOVATORS ACT

SEC. 11701. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Research Advancing to Market Production for Innovators Act".

SEC. 11702. IMPROVEMENTS TO COMMERCIALIZATION SELECTION.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 9 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638) is amended—
- (1) in subsection (g)-
- (A) in paragraph (4)(B)(i), by striking "1 year" and inserting "180 days";
- (B) in paragraph (16), by striking "and" at the end;
- (C) in paragraph (17), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and
- (D) by adding at the end the following:
- "(18) with respect to peer review carried out under the SBIR program, to the extent practicable, include in the peer review—
- "(A) the likelihood of commercialization in addition to scientific and technical merit and feasibility; and
- "(B) not less than 1 reviewer with commercialization expertise who is capable of assessing the likelihood of commercialization.":
- (2) in subsection (o)—
- (A) in paragraph (4)(B)(i), by striking "1 year" and inserting "180 days";
- (B) in paragraph (20), by striking "and" at the end;
- (C) in paragraph (21), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and
- (D) by adding at the end the following:
- "(22) with respect to peer review carried out under the STTR program, to the extent practicable, include in the peer review—
- ``(A) the likelihood of commercialization in addition to scientific and technical merit and feasibility; and
- "(B) not less than 1 reviewer with commercialization expertise who is capable of assessing the likelihood of commercialization.":
 - (3) in subsection (cc)—
- (A) by striking "During fiscal years 2012 through 2025, the National Institutes of Health, the Department of Defense, and the Department of Education" and inserting the following:
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—During fiscal years 2024 and 2025, each Federal agency with an SBIR or STTR program"; and
 - (B) by adding at the end the following:
- "(2) LIMITATION.—The total value of awards provided by a Federal agency under this subsection in a fiscal year shall be—
- "(A) except as provided in subparagraph (B), not more than 10 percent of the total funds allocated to the SBIR and STTR programs of the Federal agency during that fiscal year: and
- "(B) with respect to the National Institutes of Health, not more than 15 percent of the total funds allocated to the SBIR and STTR programs of the National Institutes of Health during that fiscal year.

- "(3) EXTENSION.—During fiscal year 2025, each Federal agency with an SBIR or STTR program may continue phase flexibility as described in this subsection only if the reports required under subsection (tt)(1) have been submitted to the appropriate committees"."
- (4) in subsection (hh)(2)(A)(i), by striking "simplified and standardized procedures and model contracts" and inserting "a simplified and standardized application process and requirements, procedures, and model contracts"; and
 - (5) by adding at the end the following:
- "(yy) TECHNOLOGY COMMERCIALIZATION OF-FICIAL.—Each Federal agency participating in the SBIR or STTR program shall designate a Technology Commercialization Official in the Federal agency, who shall—
- "(1) have sufficient commercialization experience;
- "(2) provide assistance to SBIR and STTR program awardees in commercializing and transitioning technologies;
- "(3) identify SBIR and STTR program technologies with sufficient technology and commercialization readiness to advance to Phase III awards or other non-SBIR or STTR program contracts;
- "(4) coordinate with the Technology Commercialization Officials of other Federal agencies to identify additional markets and commercialization pathways for promising SBIR and STTR program technologies:
- "(5) submit to the Administration an annual report on the number of technologies from the SBIR or STTR program that have advanced commercialization activities, including information required in the commercialization impact assessment under subsection (aaa):
- "(6) submit to the Administration an annual report on actions taken by the Federal agency, and the results of those actions, to simplify, standardize, and expedite the application process and requirements, procedures, and contracts as required under subsection (hh) and described in subsection (aaa)(1)(E); and
- "(7) carry out such other duties as the Federal agency determines necessary.".
- (b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report summarizing the metrics relating to and an evaluation of the authority provided under section 9(cc) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(cc)), as amended by subsection (a), which shall include the size and location of the small business concerns receiving awards under the SBIR or STTR program.

SEC. 11703. IMPROVEMENTS TO TECHNICAL AND BUSINESS ASSISTANCE; COMMERCIALIZATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT; PATENT ASSISTANCE.

Section 9 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638), as amended by this title, is amended— $\,$

- (1) in subsection (q)—
- (A) in paragraph (1), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A)—
- (i) by striking "may enter into an agreement with 1 or more vendors selected under paragraph (2)(A) to provide small business concerns engaged in SBIR or STTR projects with technical and business assistance services" and inserting "shall authorize recipients of awards under the SBIR or STTR program to select, if desired, technical and business assistance provided under subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of paragraph (2) with respect to SBIR or STTR projects";
- (ii) by inserting "cybersecurity assistance," after "intellectual property protections,"; and

- (iii) by striking "such concerns" and inserting "such recipients";
- (B) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following:
- "(C) STAFF.—A small business concern may, by contract or otherwise, use funding provided under this section to hire new staff, augment staff, or direct staff to conduct or participate in training activities consistent with the goals listed in paragraph (1).";
- (C) in paragraph (3), by striking subparagraphs (A) and (B) and inserting the following:
- "(A) PHASE I.—A Federal agency described in paragraph (1) shall authorize a recipient of a Phase I SBIR or STTR award to utilize not more than \$6,500 per project, included as part of the award of the recipient or in addition to the amount of the award of the recipient as determined appropriate by the head of the Federal agency, for the services described in paragraph (1)—
- "(i) provided through a vendor selected under paragraph (2)(A);
- "(ii) provided through a vendor other than a vendor selected under paragraph (2)(A);
- "(iii) achieved through the activities described in paragraph (2)(C); or
- "(iv) provided or achieved through any combination of clauses (i), (ii), and (iii).
- "(B) PHASE II.—A Federal agency described in paragraph (1) shall authorize a recipient of a Phase II SBIR or STTR award to utilize not more than \$50,000 per project, included as part of the award of the recipient or in addition to the amount of the award of the recipient as determined appropriate by the head of the Federal agency, for the services described in paragraph (1)—
- "(i) provided through a vendor selected under paragraph (2)(A);
- "(ii) provided through a vendor other than a vendor selected under paragraph (2)(A):
- "(iii) achieved through the activities described in paragraph (2)(C); or
- "(iv) provided or achieved through any combination of clauses (i), (ii), and (iii)."; and
 - (D) by adding at the end the following:
- "(5) TARGETED REVIEW.—A Federal agency may perform targeted reviews of technical and business assistance funding as described in subsection (mm)(1)(F)."; and
 - (2) by adding at the end the following: "(zz) I-CORPS PARTICIPATION.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Each Federal agency that is required to conduct an SBIR or STTR program with an Innovation Corps (commonly known as 'I-Corps') program shall—
- "(A) provide an option for participation in an I-Corps teams course by recipients of an award under the SBIR or STTR program; and
- "(B) authorize the recipients described in subparagraph (A) to use an award provided under subsection (q) to provide additional technical assistance for participation in the I-Corps teams course.
- "(2) Cost of participation.—The cost of participation by a recipient described in paragraph (1)(A) in an I-Corps course may be provided by—
- "(A) an I-Corps team grant;
- "(B) funds awarded to the recipient under subsection (q);
- $\mbox{``(C)}$ the participating teams or other sources as appropriate; or
- "(D) any combination of sources described in subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C).
- "(aaa) Commercialization Impact Assess-MENT.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall coordinate with each Federal agency with an SBIR or STTR program to develop an annual commercialization impact assessment report of the Federal agency, which shall measure, for the 5-year period preceding the report (except with respect to subparagraph (A)(x))—

- "(A) for Phase II contracts—
- "(i) the total amount of sales of new products and services to the Federal Government or other commercial markets;
- "(ii) the total outside investment from partnerships, joint ventures, or other private sector funding sources;
- "(iii) the total number of technologies licensed to other companies;
- "(iv) the total number of acquisitions of small business concerns participating in the SBIR program or the STTR program that are acquired by other entities;
- "(v) the total number of new spin-out companies:
- "(vi) the total outside investment from venture capital or angel investments:
- "(vii) the total number of patent applications:
- "(viii) the total number of patents acquired;
- "(ix) the year of first Phase I award and the total number of employees at time of first Phase Laward:
- "(x) the total number of employees, as of October 1 of the year preceding the year in which the report is submitted; and
- '(xi) the percent of revenue, as of the date of the report, generated through SBIR or STTR program funding:
- "(B) the total number and value of subsequent Phase II awards, as described in subsection (bb), awarded for each particular project or technology:
- "(C) the total number and value of Phase III awards awarded subsequent to a Phase II award:
- "(D) the total number and value of non-SBIR and STTR program Federal awards and contracts; and
- '(E) actions taken by the Federal agency. and the results of those actions, relating to developing a simplified and standardized application process and requirements, procedures, and model contracts throughout the Federal agency for Phase I. Phase II. and Phase III SBIR program awards in subsection (hh).
- "(2) Reporting by Certain Concerns.—For each fiscal year, each small business concern that has received more than 50 Phase II awards on or after October 1 of the ninth fiscal year before that fiscal year shall report to the Administration-
- '(A) the rate of transition of the small business concern to Federal contracts outside of the SBIR and STTR program; and
- "(B) the gross revenue of the small business concern and the amount of gross revenue derived from SBIR and STTR Phase I and Phase II awards.
- "(3) Publication.—A commercialization impact assessment report described in paragraph (1) of a Federal agency shall be-
- "(A) included in the annual report of the Federal agency required under this section;
- "(B) published on the website of the Administration.
 - "(bbb) Patent Assistance.—
- "(1) Definitions.—In this subsection—
- "(A) the term 'Director' means the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the USPTO; and
- "(B) the term 'USPTO' means the United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- "(2) Assistance.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall enter into an interagency agreement with the Director under which the Director shall assist recipients of an award under the SBIR or STTR program (in this paragraph referred to as 'SBIR and STTR recipients') relating to intellectual property protection by establishing a prioritized patent examination program for SBIR and STTR recipients.
- '(B) PROGRAM DETAILS.—The program established by the Director under subpara-

- graph (A) shall have the following characteristics:
- "(i) The program shall incorporate all existing (as of the date on which the Director establishes the program) benefits under the procedures for prioritized examination described in section 11(h) of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (35 U.S.C. 41 note).
- "(ii) Under the program, with respect to prioritized examination, an SBIR or STTR recipient shall not be required to pay any prioritized examination fee or processing fee otherwise required under section 11(h) of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (35 U.S.C. 41 note).
- "(iii) Under the program, the Director shall ensure that, of the total number of requests for prioritized examination accepted by the USPTO in a fiscal year, the greater of the following shall be reserved for prioritized examinations for SBIR and STTR recipients:
- "(I) 5 percent of the total number of such requests that may be accepted during that fiscal year.
- "(II) 500 requests for prioritized examination
- "(iv) Under the program, the Director may not grant more than 2 prioritized examination requests to any individual recipient.
- '(v) Under the program, the Director may increase the number of requests for prioritized examination that may be accepted in any fiscal year (as described in section 1.102(e) of title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation) by the number determined under clause (iii) for that fiscal year.
- "(C) RULES.—The Director shall issue rules to carry out the prioritized patent examination program established under this paragraph.
- "(3) OUTREACH.—The Administrator shall coordinate with the Director to provide outreach regarding the Pro Se Assistance Program of and scam prevention services provided by, the USPTO.'

TITLE LXXVI—SUPPORTING COMMUNITY LENDERS ACT

SEC. 11801. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Supporting Community Lenders Act".

SEC. 11802. COORDINATOR FOR COMMUNITY FI-NANCIAL INSTITUTIONS.

Section 7 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- (o) COORDINATOR FOR COMMUNITY FINAN-CIAL INSTITUTIONS.—
- "(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection—
- "(A) the term 'Associate Administrator' means the Associate Administrator of the Office of Capital Access of the Administra-
- "(B) the term 'community financial institution' has the meaning given the term in paragraph (36); and
- "(C) the term 'Coordinator' means the Coordinator for Community Financial Institutions.
- "(2) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within the Office of Capital Access of the Administration the position of Coordinator for Community Financial Institutions, the occupant of which shall be responsible for the planning, coordination, implementation, evaluation, and improvement of the efforts of the Administrator to enhance the performance of community financial institutions and support access to capital for small business concerns.
 - "(3) Coordinator.
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Supporting Community Lenders Act, the Administrator shall designate an individual to serve as Coordinator, who shall-
- "(i) report to the Associate Administrator;

- "(ii) have knowledge of community financial institutions and experience providing access to capital to small business concerns in underserved communities.
- "(B) DUTIES.—The Coordinator shall—
- "(i) create and implement strategies and programs that support the activities, development, and growth of community financial institutions:
- "(ii) administer and manage outreach, technical support, and training programs to existing, and potential, community financial institutions:
- "(iii) establish partnerships within the Administration and with relevant Federal agencies, including the Department of the Treasury, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Department of Agriculture, and the Minority Business Development Agency, to advance the goal of supporting the economic success of small business concerns through community financial institutions:
- "(iv) review the effectiveness and impact of community financial institutions:
- "(v) when appropriate, advocate on behalf of community financial institutions within the Administration, and to outside organizations, including other relevant Federal agencies:
- "(vi) hold public meetings with relevant stakeholders not less frequently than once every 6 months beginning 1 year after the date of enactment of the Supporting Community Lenders Act; and
- "(vii) not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of the Supporting Community Lenders Act, and not less frequently than once every 3 years thereafter, submit to Congress a report on the major activities of the Coordinator, recommendations for congressional action based on the expertise of the Coordinator, and potential for growth within the areas in which the Coordinator operates.
- "(C) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out the duties under this paragraph, the Coordinator shall consult with-
- "(i) district offices of the Administration: and
- "(ii) other relevant Federal agencies, including the Department of the Treasury, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Minority Business Development Agen-CV.

SEC. 11803. OFFICE OF ADVOCACY EMPLOYEE ELIGIBILITY FOR FAMILY AND MED-ICAL LEAVE.

The Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Administration shall immediately notify the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives if, at any point, an employee, including a contracted employee, of the Office of Advocacy who has been employed at the Office of Advocacy for more than 1 year is not eligible for paid leave under subchapter V of chapter 63 of title 5. United States Code.

TITLE LXXVII—SBIC ADVISORY **COMMITTEE ACT OF 2023**

SEC. 11901. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "SBIC Advisory Committee Act of 2023"

SEC. 11902. SBIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section-
- (1) the term "Advisory Committee" means the SBIC Advisory Committee established under subsection (b);
- (2) the term "covered Members" means the Chair and Ranking Member of-
- (A) the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate; and
- (B) the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives;
- (3) the terms "licensee", "small business investment company", and "underlicensed State" have the meanings given those terms in section 103 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 662);

- (4) the term "low-income community" has the meaning given the term in section 45D(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;
- (5) the term "rural area" has the meaning given the term by the Bureau of the Census;
- (6) the terms "small business concern owned and controlled by veterans" and "small business concern owned and controlled by women" have the meanings given those terms in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632);
- (7) the term "socially or economically disadvantaged individual" means a socially disadvantaged individual or economically disadvantaged individual, as described in paragraphs (5) and (6)(A), respectively, of section 8(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)):
- (8) the term "underfinanced State" means a State that has below median financing, as determined by the Administrator; and
- (9) the term "underserved community" means—
- (A) a HUBZone, as defined in section 31(b) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657a(b));
- (B) a community that has been designated as an empowerment zone or an enterprise community under section 1391 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986:
- (C) a community that has been designated as a promise zone by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development; or
- (D) a community that has been designated as a qualified opportunity zone under section 1400Z–1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.
- (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Administrator shall establish an SBIC Advisory Committee to convene outside experts to advise on the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.).
- (c) Composition.—
- (1) Membership.—The Advisory Committee shall be composed of 16 members appointed by the Administrator as follows:
- (A) The Associate Administrator of the Office of Investment and Innovation of the Administration, or another designee of the Associate Administrator, as determined by the Administrator.
- (B) 7 members with competence regarding, interest in, or knowledge of the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.), of whom—
- (i) not fewer than 3 shall have a demonstrated record of expertise in investing in—
- (I) low-income communities;
- (II) communities that have been designated as qualified opportunity zones under section 1400Z-1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986:
- (III) businesses primarily engaged in research and development:
- (IV) manufacturers;
- (V) businesses primarily owned or controlled by individuals in underserved communities before receiving capital from the licensee;
 - (VI) rural areas; or
 - (VII) underfinanced States; and
- (ii) not less than 1 shall be a representative from a trade association for the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.).
- (C) 8 members appointed by the Administrator as follows:
- (i) 2 members shall be selected from among the individuals in the list submitted by the Chair of the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate under paragraph (2).
- (ii) 2 members shall be selected from among the individuals in the list submitted by the Ranking Member of the Committee on

- Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate under paragraph (2).
- (iii) 2 members shall be selected from among the individuals in the list submitted by the Chair of the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives under paragraph (2).
- (iv) 2 members shall be selected from among the individuals in the list submitted by the Ranking Member of the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives under paragraph (2).
- (2) RECOMMENDATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, each of the covered Members shall provide to the Administrator a list of 3 candidates for membership on the Advisory Committee, who shall be individuals who have no conflict of interest in the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.) and hold a high-ranking position or senior leadership role in—
 - (A) a relevant industry trade association;
- (B) the investment industry with expertise in pensions, endowments, and other non-banking institutions;
- (C) academia with expertise in the investment industry; or
- (D) a nonprofit institution, including a nonprofit institution that serves any of the entities described in subclauses (I) through (VII) of paragraph (1)(B)(i).
- (3) PRIVATE SECTOR MEMBERS.—Not fewer than 2 and not more than 4 of the members of the Advisory Committee shall be investors in the private sector who—
- (A) invest in small business concerns; and (B) as of the date of appointment, do not participate in the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.).
- (4) CHAIRPERSON.—The Chairperson of the Advisory Committee shall be the member of the Advisory Committee appointed under paragraph (1)(A).
- (5) PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT.—Members of the Advisory Committee shall be appointed for the life of the Advisory Committee.
- (6) VACANCIES.—Any vacancy in the Advisory Committee shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.
- (d) DEADLINE FOR APPOINTMENT.—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the covered Members provide the lists to the Administrator under subsection (c)(2), the Administrator shall—
- (1) appoint the members of the Advisory Committee; and
- (2) submit to Congress a list of the members so appointed.
- (e) DUTIES.—The Advisory Committee shall provide advice and recommendations to the Administrator concerning—
- (1) policy and program development and other matters of significance concerning activities under the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) and the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), including diversifying management teams or companies;
- (2) incentives for small business investment companies to—
- $\begin{array}{cccc} (A) & invest & and & locate & in & underlicensed \\ States & and & underfinanced & States; & and \\ \end{array}$
- (B) invest in small business concerns, including small business concerns owned and controlled by socially or economically disadvantaged individuals, small business concerns owned and controlled by veterans, and small business concerns owned and controlled by women;
- (3) metrics of success, and benchmarks for success, with respect to the goals described in this section; and
- (4) the impact of the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681

- et seq.) on the private investment market, including whether investments under the program compete with the private sector.
- (f) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date on which the Administrator establishes the Advisory Committee under subsection (b), the Advisory Committee shall submit to the Administrator, the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate, and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report that includes the recommendations of the Advisory Committee described in subsection (e).
- (g) TERMINATION.—The Advisory Committee shall terminate on the date on which the Advisory Committee submits the report required under subsection (f).
- SA 1061. Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself, Mr. Cramer, Mr. Carper, and Mr. Daines) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:
- At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. . CREDIT MONITORING.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.) is amended—
- (1) in section 605A(k) (15 U.S.C. 1681c-1(k)) is amended—
- (A) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:
 - ``(1) Definitions.—In this subsection:
- "(A) UNIFORMED SERVICES.—The term 'uniformed services' has the meaning given the term in section 101(a) of title 10, United States Code.
- "(B) UNIFORMED SERVICES MEMBER CON-SUMER.—The term 'uniformed services member consumer' means a consumer who, regardless of duty status, is—
- "(i) a member of the uniformed services; or "(ii) a spouse, or a dependent who is not less than 18 years old, of a member of the uniformed services."; and
- (B) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking "active duty military consumer" and inserting "uniformed services member consumer"; and
- (2) in section 625(b)(1)(K) (15 U.S.C. 1681t(b)(1)(K)), by striking "active duty military consumers" and inserting "uniformed services member consumer".
- (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date that is 1 year after the date on which the agency described in section 605A(k)(3) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. 1681c-1(k)) issues a final rule that updates existing rules to implement the amendments made by subsection (a).
- SA 1062. Mr. SCHATZ (for himself and Ms. MURKOWSKI) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:
 - At the end, add the following:

DIVISION I—NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING ASSISTANCE AND SELF-DETERMINA-TION REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2023

SEC. 11001. SHORT TITLE.

This division may be cited as the "Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Reauthorization Act of 2023".

SEC. 11002. CONSOLIDATION OF ENVIRON-MENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS.

Section 105 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4115) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(e) CONSOLIDATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS.—

"(1) In General.—In the case of a recipient of grant amounts under this Act that is carrying out a project that qualifies as an affordable housing activity under section 202, if the recipient is using 1 or more additional sources of Federal funds to carry out the project, and the grant amounts received under this Act constitute the largest single source of Federal funds that the recipient reasonably expects to commit to the project at the time of environmental review, the Indian tribe of the recipient may assume, in addition to all of the responsibilities for environmental review, decision making, and action under subsection (a), all of the additional responsibilities for environmental review, decision making, and action under provisions of law that would apply to each Federal agency providing additional funding were the Federal agency to carry out the project as a Federal project.

"(2) DISCHARGE.—The assumption by the Indian tribe of the additional responsibilities for environmental review, decision making, and action under paragraph (1) with respect to a project shall be deemed to discharge the responsibility of the applicable Federal agency for environmental review, decision making, and action with respect to the project.

"(3) CERTIFICATION.—An Indian tribe that assumes the additional responsibilities under paragraph (1), shall certify, in addition to the requirements under subsection (c)—

"(A) the additional responsibilities that the Indian tribe has fully carried out under this subsection; and

"(B) that the certifying officer consents to assume the status of a responsible Federal official under the provisions of law that would apply to each Federal agency providing additional funding under paragraph (1).

"(4) LIABILITY.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—An Indian tribe that completes an environmental review under this subsection shall assume sole liability for the content and quality of the review.

"(B) REMEDIES AND SANCTIONS.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), if the Secretary approves a certification and release of funds to an Indian tribe for a project in accordance with subsection (b), but the Secretary or the head of another Federal agency providing funding for the project subsequently learns that the Indian tribe failed to carry out the responsibilities of the Indian tribe as described in subsection (a) or paragraph (1), as applicable, the Secretary or other head, as applicable, may impose appropriate remedies and sanctions in accordance with—

``(i) the regulations issued pursuant to section 106; or

``(ii) such regulations as are issued by the other head.

"(C) STATUTORY VIOLATION WAIVERS.—If the Secretary waives the requirements under this section in accordance with subsection (d) with respect to a project for which an Indian tribe assumes additional responsibilities under paragraph (1), the waiver shall prohibit any other Federal agency providing additional funding for the project from imposing remedies or sanctions for failure to comply with requirements for environmental review, decision making, and action under provisions of law that would apply to the Federal agency."

SEC. 11003. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-TIONS.

Section 108 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4117) is amended, in the first

sentence, by striking "2009 through 2013" and inserting "2024 through 2034".

SEC. 11004. STUDENT HOUSING ASSISTANCE.

Section 202(3) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4132(3)) is amended by inserting "including college housing assistance" after "self-sufficiency and other services."

SEC. 11005. APPLICATION OF RENT RULE ONLY TO UNITS OWNED OR OPERATED BY INDIAN TRIBE OR TRIBALLY DES-IGNATED HOUSING ENTITY.

Section 203(a)(2) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4133(a)(2)) is amended by inserting "owned or operated by a recipient and" after "residing in a dwelling unit".

SEC. 11006. DE MINIMIS EXEMPTION FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES.

Section 203(g) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4133(g)) is amended by striking "\$5,000" and inserting "\$10,000".

SEC. 11007. HOMEOWNERSHIP OR LEASE-TO-OWN LOW-INCOME REQUIREMENT AND INCOME TARGETING.

Section 205 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4135) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) in subparagraph (C), by striking "and" at the end; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(E) notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, in the case of rental housing that is made available to a current rental family for conversion to a homebuyer or a lease-purchase unit, that the current rental family can purchase through a contract of sale, lease-purchase agreement, or any other sales agreement, is made available for purchase only by the current rental family, if the rental family was a low-income family at the time of their initial occupancy of such unit; and"; and

(2) in subsection (c)-

(A) by striking "The provisions" and inserting the following:

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The provisions"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) APPLICABILITY TO IMPROVEMENTS.—The provisions of subsection (a)(2) regarding binding commitments for the remaining useful life of property shall not apply to improvements of privately owned homes if the cost of the improvements do not exceed 10 percent of the maximum total development cost for the home."

SEC. 11008. LEASE REQUIREMENTS AND TENANT SELECTION.

Section 207 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4137) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(c) NOTICE OF TERMINATION.—The notice period described in subsection (a)(3) shall apply to projects and programs funded in part by amounts authorized under this Act.".

SEC. 11009. INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title II of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4131 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"SEC. 211. IHS SANITATION FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION.

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Director of the Indian Health Service, or a recipient receiving funding for a housing construction or renovation project under this title, may use funding from the Indian Health Service for the construction of sanitation facilities under that project."

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–330; 110 Stat. 4016) is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 210 the following:

"Sec. 211. IHS sanitation facilities construction.".

SEC. 11010. STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO SUSPEND GRANT FUNDS IN EMERGENCIES.

Section 401(a)(4) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4161(a)(4)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking "may take an action described in paragraph (1)(C)" and inserting "may immediately take an action described in paragraph (1)(C)"; and

(2) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

"(B) PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary takes an action described in subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall provide notice to the recipient at the time that the Secretary takes that action.

"(ii) NOTICE REQUIREMENTS.—The notice under clause (i) shall inform the recipient that the recipient may request a hearing by not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary provides the notice.

"(iii) HEARING REQUIREMENTS.—A hearing requested under clause (ii) shall be conducted...

"(I) in accordance with subpart A of part 26 of title 24, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations); and

"(II) to the maximum extent practicable, on an expedited basis.

"(iv) Fallure to conduct a hearing.—If a hearing requested under clause (ii) is not completed by the date that is 180 days after the date on which the recipient requests the hearing, the action of the Secretary to limit the availability of payments shall no longer be effective."

SEC. 11011. REPORTS TO CONGRESS.

Section 407 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4167) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking "Congress" and inserting "Committee on Indian Affairs and the Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(c) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The report described in subsection (a) shall be made publicly available, including to recipients.".

SEC. 11012. 99-YEAR LEASEHOLD INTEREST IN TRUST OR RESTRICTED LANDS FOR HOUSING PURPOSES.

Section 702 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4211) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking "50-YEAR" and inserting "99-YEAR";

(2) in subsection (b), by striking "50 years" and inserting "99 years"; and

(3) in subsection (c)(2), by striking "50 years" and inserting "99 years".

SEC. 11013. AMENDMENTS FOR BLOCK GRANTS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING ACTIVITIES.

Section 802(e) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4222(e)) is amended by—

(1) by striking "The Director" and inserting the following:

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) SUBAWARDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, including provisions of State law requiring competitive procurement, the Director may make subawards to subrecipients, except for for-profit entities, using amounts provided under this title to carry out affordable housing activities upon a determination by the Director that such subrecipients have adequate capacity to carry out activities in accordance with this Act.".

SEC. 11014. REAUTHORIZATION OF NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOMEOWNERSHIP PROVISIONS.

Section 824 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act

of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4243) is amended by striking "such sums as may be necessary" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "such sums as may be necessary for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2034."

SEC. 11015. TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COST MAXIMUM PROJECT COST.

Affordable housing (as defined in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103)) that is developed, acquired, or assisted under the block grant program established under section 101 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4111) shall not exceed by more than 20 percent, without prior approval of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, the total development cost maximum cost for all housing assisted under an affordable housing activity, including development and model activities.

SEC. 11016. COMMUNITY-BASED DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATIONS AND SPECIAL AC-TIVITIES BY INDIAN TRIBES.

Section 105 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5305) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(i) INDIAN TRIBES AND TRIBALLY DESIGNATED HOUSING ENTITIES AS COMMUNITY-BASED DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATIONS.—

- "(1) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term 'tribally designated housing entity' has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C.
- "(2) QUALIFICATION.—An Indian tribe, a tribally designated housing entity, or a tribal organization shall qualify as a community-based development organization for purposes of carrying out new housing construction under this subsection under a grant made under section 106(a)(1).
- "(j) SPECIAL ACTIVITIES BY INDIAN TRIBES.—An Indian tribe receiving a grant under paragraph (1) of section 106(a)(1) shall be authorized to directly carry out activities described in paragraph (15) of such section 106(a)(1)."

SEC. 11017. INDIAN TRIBE ELIGIBILITY FOR HUD HOUSING COUNSELING GRANTS.

Section 106(a)(4) of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701x(a)(4)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

- (A) by striking "and" and inserting a comma; and
- (B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", Indian tribes, and tribally designated housing entities";
- (2) in subparagraph (B), by inserting ", Indian tribes, and tribally designated housing entities" after "organizations)";
- (3) by redesignating subparagraph (F) as subparagraph (G); and
- (4) by inserting after subparagraph (\mathbf{E}) the following:
- "(F) DEFINITIONS.—In this paragraph, the terms 'Indian tribe' and 'tribally designated housing entity' have the meanings given those terms in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103)."

SEC. 11018. SECTION 184 INDIAN HOME LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 184 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z–13a) is amended—
- (1) by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:
- "(a) AUTHORITY.—To provide access to sources of private financing to Indian families, Indian housing authorities, and Indian Tribes, who otherwise could not acquire housing financing because of the unique legal status of Indian lands and the unique

nature of tribal economies, and to expand homeownership opportunities to Indian families, Indian housing authorities and Indian tribes on fee simple lands, the Secretary may guarantee not to exceed 100 percent of the unpaid principal and interest due on any loan eligible under subsection (b) made to an Indian family, Indian housing authority, or Indian Tribe on trust land and fee simple land."; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

- (A) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:
- "(2) ELIGIBLE HOUSING.—The loan shall be used to construct, acquire, refinance, or rehabilitate 1- to 4-family dwellings that are standard housing.":
 - (B) in paragraph (4)—
- (i) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (D) as clauses (i) through (iv), respectively, and adjusting the margins accordingly:
- (ii) by striking "The loan" and inserting the following:

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The loan";

(iii) in subparagraph (A), as so designated, by adding at the end the following:

- "(v) Any entity certified as a community development financial institution by the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund established under section 104(a) of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994 (12 U.S.C. 4703(a))."; and
- (iv) by adding at the end the following:

"(B) DIRECT GUARANTEE PROCESS.—

"(i) AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary may authorize qualifying lenders to participate in a direct guarantee process for approving loans under this section.

"(ii) INDEMNIFICATION.—

- "(I) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines that a mortgage guaranteed through a direct guarantee process under this subparagraph was not originated in accordance with the requirements established by the Secretary, the Secretary may require the lender approved under this subparagraph to indemnify the Secretary for the loss, irrespective of whether the violation caused the mortgage default.
- fraud or material misrepresentation.—If fraud or material misrepresentation is involved in a direct guarantee process under this subparagraph, the Secretary shall require the original lender approved under this subparagraph to indemnify the Secretary for the loss regardless of when an insurance claim is paid.
 - "(C) REVIEW OF MORTGAGEES.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may periodically review the mortgagees originating, underwriting, or servicing single family mortgage loans under this section.
- "(ii) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting a review under clause (i), the Secretary—
- "(I) shall compare the mortgagee with other mortgagees originating or underwriting loan guarantees for Indian housing based on the rates of defaults and claims for guaranteed mortgage loans originated, underwritten, or serviced by that mortgagee:
- "(II) may compare the mortgagee with such other mortgagees based on underwriting quality, geographic area served, or any commonly used factors the Secretary determines necessary for comparing mortgage default risk, provided that the comparison is of factors that the Secretary would expect to affect the default risk of mortgage loans guaranteed by the Secretary;

"(iii) shall implement such comparisons by regulation, notice, or mortgagee letter; and

"(I) may terminate the approval of a mortgagee to originate, underwrite, or service loan guarantees for housing under this section if the Secretary determines that the mortgage loans originated, underwritten, or serviced by the mortgagee present an unacceptable risk to the Indian Housing Loan Guarantee Fund established under subsection (i)—

- "(aa) based on a comparison of any of the factors set forth in this subparagraph; or
- "(bb) by a determination that the mortgagee engaged in fraud or misrepresentation."; and
- (C) in paragraph (5)(A), by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: "except, as determined by the Secretary, when there is a loan modification under subsection (h)(1)(B), the term of the loan shall not exceed 40 years".
- (b) LOAN GUARANTEES FOR INDIAN HOUS-ING.—Section 184(i)(5) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z-13a(i)(5)) is amended—
- (1) in subparagraph (B), by inserting after the first sentence the following: "There are authorized to be appropriated for those costs such sums as may be necessary for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2034."; and
- (2) in subparagraph (C), by striking "2008 through 2012" and inserting "2024 through 2034"

SEC. 11019. LOAN GUARANTEES FOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING.

Section 184A of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z-13b) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (b), by inserting ", and to expand homeownership opportunities to Native Hawaiian families who are eligible to receive a homestead under the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, 1920 (42 Stat. 108) on fee simple lands in the State of Hawaii" after "markets";
 - (2) in subsection (c)—
- (A) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:
- "(2) ELIGIBLE HOUSING.—The loan shall be used to construct, acquire, refinance, or rehabilitate 1- to 4-family dwellings that are standard housing.";
 - (B) in paragraph (4)—
 - (i) in subparagraph (B)—
- (I) by redesignating clause (iv) as clause (v); and
- (II) by adding after clause (iii) the following:
- "(iv) Any entity certified as a community development financial institution by the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund established under section 104(a) of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994 (12 U.S.C. 4703(a))."; and
- (ii) by adding at the end the following:
- "(C) INDEMNIFICATION.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines that a mortgage guaranteed through a direct guarantee process under this section was not originated in accordance with the requirements established by the Secretary, the Secretary may require the lender approved under this section to indemnify the Secretary for the loss, irrespective of whether the violation caused the mortgage default.
- "(ii) DIRECT GUARANTEE ENDORSEMENT.— The Secretary may, dependent on the availability of systems development and staffing resources, delegate to eligible lenders the authority to directly endorse loans under this section.
- "(iii) Fraud or material misrepresentation was involved in the direct guarantee endorsement process by a lender under this section, the Secretary shall require the approved direct guarantee endorsement lender to indemnify the Secretary for any loss or potential loss, regardless of whether the fraud or misrepresentation caused or may cause the loan default.
- "(iv) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary may implement any requirements described

- in this subparagraph by regulation, notice, or Dear Lender Letter.".
- (C) in paragraph (5)(A), by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: "except, as determined by the Secretary, when there is a loan modification under subsection (1)(1)(B), the term of the loan shall not exceed 40 years";
 - (3) in subsection (d)-
- (A) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the following:
- "(C) EXCEPTION.—When the Secretary exercises its discretion to delegate direct guarantee endorsement authority pursuant to subsection (c)(4)(C)(ii), subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph shall not apply.";
- (B) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:
- "(2) STANDARD FOR APPROVAL.—
- "(A) APPROVAL.—The Secretary may approve a loan for guarantee under this section and issue a certificate under this subsection only if the Secretary determines that there is a reasonable prospect of repayment of the loan.
- "(B) EXCEPTIONS.—When the Secretary exercises its discretion to delegate direct guarantee endorsement authority pursuant to subsection (c)(4)(C)(ii)—
- "(i) subparagraph (A) shall not apply; and "(ii) the direct guarantee endorsement lender may issue a certificate under this paragraph as evidence of the guarantee in accordance with requirements prescribed by the Secretary."; and
- (C) in paragraph (3)(A), by inserting "or, where applicable, the direct guarantee endorsement lender," after "Secretary" and
- (4) in subsection (j)(5)(B), by inserting after the first sentence the following: "There are authorized to be appropriated for those costs such sums as may be necessary for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2034."

SEC. 11020. DRUG ELIMINATION PROGRAM.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE.—The term "controlled substance" has the meaning given the term in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802).
- (2) DRUG-RELATED CRIME.—The term "drugrelated crime" means the illegal manufacture, sale, distribution, use, or possession with intent to manufacture, sell, distribute, or use a controlled substance.
- (3) RECIPIENT.—The term "recipient"-
- (A) has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103); and
- (B) includes a recipient of funds under title VIII of that Act (25 U.S.C. 4221 et seq.).
- (4) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.
- (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary may make grants under this section to recipients of assistance under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.) for use in eliminating drug-related and violent crime.
- (c) ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES.—Grants under this section may be used for—
- (1) the employment of security personnel;
- (2) reimbursement of State, local, Tribal, or Bureau of Indian Affairs law enforcement agencies for additional security and protective services;
- (3) physical improvements which are specifically designed to enhance security;
- (4) the employment of 1 or more individuals—
- (A) to investigate drug-related or violent crime in and around the real property comprising housing assisted under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.); and

- (B) to provide evidence relating to such crime in any administrative or judicial proceeding;
- (5) the provision of training, communications equipment, and other related equipment for use by voluntary tenant patrols acting in cooperation with law enforcement officials:
- (6) programs designed to reduce use of drugs in and around housing communities funded under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.), including drugabuse prevention, intervention, referral, and treatment programs:
- (7) providing funding to nonprofit resident management corporations and resident councils to develop security and drug abuse prevention programs involving site residents;
- (8) sports programs and sports activities that serve primarily youths from housing communities funded through and are operated in conjunction with, or in furtherance of, an organized program or plan designed to reduce or eliminate drugs and drug-related problems in and around those communities; and
- (9) other programs for youth in school settings that address drug prevention and positive alternatives for youth, including education and activities related to science, technology, engineering, and math.
 - (d) APPLICATIONS.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—To receive a grant under this subsection, an eligible applicant shall submit an application to the Secretary, at such time, in such manner, and accompanied by—
- (A) a plan for addressing the problem of drug-related or violent crime in and around of the housing administered or owned by the applicant for which the application is being submitted; and
- (B) such additional information as the Secretary may reasonably require.
- (2) CRITERIA.—The Secretary shall approve applications submitted under paragraph (1) on the basis of thresholds or criteria such as—
- (A) the extent of the drug-related or violent crime problem in and around the housing or projects proposed for assistance;
- (B) the quality of the plan to address the crime problem in the housing or projects proposed for assistance, including the extent to which the plan includes initiatives that can be sustained over a period of several years;
- (C) the capability of the applicant to carry out the plan; and
- (D) the extent to which tenants, the Tribal government, and the Tribal community support and participate in the design and implementation of the activities proposed to be funded under the application.
- (e) High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas.—In evaluating the extent of the drug-related crime problem pursuant to subsection (d)(2), the Secretary may consider whether housing or projects proposed for assistance are located in a high intensity drug trafficking area designated pursuant to section 707(b) of the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 1998 (21 U.S.C. 1706(b)).
- (f) Reports.-
- (1) GRANTEE REPORTS.—The Secretary shall require grantees under this section to provide periodic reports that include the obligation and expenditure of grant funds, the progress made by the grantee in implementing the plan described in subsection (d)(1)(A), and any change in the incidence of drug-related crime in projects assisted under section.
- (2) HUD REPORTS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report

- describing the system used to distribute funding to grantees under this section, which shall include descriptions of—
- (A) the methodology used to distribute amounts made available under this section; and
- (B) actions taken by the Secretary to ensure that amounts made available under section are not used to fund baseline local government services, as described in subsection (h)(2).
- (g) NOTICE OF FUNDING AWARDS.—The Secretary shall publish on the website of the Department a notice of all grant awards made pursuant to section, which shall identify the grantees and the amount of the grants.
 - (h) Monitoring.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall audit and monitor the program funded under this subsection to ensure that assistance provided under this subsection is administered in accordance with the provisions of section.
- (2) Prohibition of funding baseline services.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Amounts provided under this section may not be used to reimburse or support any local law enforcement agency or unit of general local government for the provision of services that are included in the baseline of services required to be provided by any such entity pursuant to a local cooperative agreement pursuant under the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.) or any provision of an annual contributions contract for payments in lieu of taxation with the Bureau of Indian Affairs.
- (B) DESCRIPTION.—Each grantee under this section shall describe, in the report under subsection (f)(1), such baseline of services for the unit of Tribal government in which the jurisdiction of the grantee is located
- (3) ENFORCEMENT.—The Secretary shall provide for the effective enforcement of this section, as specified in the program requirements published in a notice by the Secretary, which may include—
- (A) the use of on-site monitoring, independent public audit requirements, certification by Tribal or Federal law enforcement or Tribal government officials regarding the performance of baseline services referred to in paragraph (2):
- (B) entering into agreements with the Attorney General to achieve compliance, and verification of compliance, with the provisions of this section; and
- (C) adopting enforcement authority that is substantially similar to the authority provided to the Secretary under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et
- (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary for each fiscal years 2024 through 2034 to carry out this section

SEC. 11021. RENTAL ASSISTANCE FOR HOMELESS OR AT-RISK INDIAN VETERANS.

Section 8(0)(19) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(0)(19)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(E) INDIAN VETERANS HOUSING RENTAL AS-SISTANCE PROGRAM.—
- "(i) DEFINITIONS.—In this subparagraph:
- "(I) ELIGIBLE INDIAN VETERAN.—The term 'eligible Indian veteran' means an Indian veteran who is—
- ``(aa) homeless or at risk of homelessness; and
 - "(bb) living-
- "(AA) on or near a reservation; or
- "(BB) in or near any other Indian area.
- "(II) ELIGIBLE RECIPIENT.—The term 'eligible recipient' means a recipient eligible to

receive a grant under section 101 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4111).

"(III) INDIAN; INDIAN AREA.—The terms 'Indian' and 'Indian area' have the meanings given those terms in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103).

"(IV) INDIAN VETERAN.—The term 'Indian veteran' means an Indian who is a veteran.

"(V) PROGRAM.—The term 'Program' means the Tribal HUD-VASH program carried out under clause (ii).

"(VI) TRIBAL ORGANIZATION.—The term 'tribal organization' has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

"(ii) PROGRAM SPECIFICATIONS.—The Secretary shall use not less than 5 percent of the amounts made available for rental assistance under this paragraph to carry out a rental assistance and supported housing program, to be known as the 'Tribal HUD-VASH program', in conjunction with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, by awarding grants for the benefit of eligible Indian veterans.

"(iii) Model .-

"(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), the Secretary shall model the Program on the rental assistance and supported housing program authorized under subparagraph (A) and applicable appropriations Acts, including administration in conjunction with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

"(II) EXCEPTIONS —

"(aa) SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DE-VELOPMENT.—After consultation with Indian tribes, eligible recipients, and any other appropriate tribal organizations, the Secretary may make necessary and appropriate modifications to facilitate the use of the Program by eligible recipients to serve eligible Indian veterans

"(bb) SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.— After consultation with Indian tribes, eligible recipients, and any other appropriate tribal organizations, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs may make necessary and appropriate modifications to facilitate the use of the Program by eligible recipients to serve eligible Indian veterans.

"(iv) ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS.—The Secretary shall make amounts for rental assistance and associated administrative costs under the Program available in the form of grants to eligible recipients.

"(v) Funding criteria.—The Secretary shall award grants under the Program based on—

"(I) need;

``(II) administrative capacity; and

"(III) any other funding criteria established by the Secretary in a notice published in the Federal Register after consulting with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

"(vi) ADMINISTRATION.—Grants awarded under the Program shall be administered in accordance with the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.), except that recipients shall—

"(I) submit to the Secretary, in a manner prescribed by the Secretary, reports on the utilization of rental assistance provided under the Program; and

"(II) provide to the Secretary information specified by the Secretary to assess the effectiveness of the Program in serving eligible Indian veterans.

"(vii) Consultation.—

"(I) GRANT RECIPIENTS; TRIBAL ORGANIZATIONS.—The Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall consult with eligible recipients and any other appropriate tribal organization on the design of the Program to ensure the effective deliv-

ery of rental assistance and supportive services to eligible Indian veterans under the Program.

"(II) INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.—The Director of the Indian Health Service shall provide any assistance requested by the Secretary or the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in carrying out the Program.

"(viii) Waiver.-

"(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), the Secretary may waive or specify alternative requirements for any provision of law (including regulations) that the Secretary administers in connection with the use of rental assistance made available under the Program if the Secretary finds that the waiver or alternative requirement is necessary for the effective delivery and administration of rental assistance under the Program to eligible Indian veterans.

"(II) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may not waive or specify alternative requirements under subclause (I) for any provision of law (including regulations) relating to labor standards or the environment.

"(ix) RENEWAL GRANTS.—The Secretary

"(I) set aside, from amounts made available for tenant-based rental assistance under this subsection and without regard to the amounts used for new grants under clause (ii), such amounts as may be necessary to award renewal grants to eligible recipients that received a grant under the Program in a previous year; and

"(II) specify criteria that an eligible recipient must satisfy to receive a renewal grant under subclause (I), including providing data on how the eligible recipient used the amounts of any grant previously received under the Program.

"(x) REPORTING.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subparagraph, and every 5 years thereafter, the Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs and the Director of the Indian Health Service, shall—

"(aa) conduct a review of the implementation of the Program, including any factors that may have limited its success; and

"(bb) submit a report describing the results of the review under item (aa) to—

"(AA) the Committee on Indian Affairs, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(BB) the Subcommittee on Indian, Insular and Alaska Native Affairs of the Committee on Natural Resources, the Committee on Financial Services, the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

"(II) ANALYSIS OF HOUSING STOCK LIMITATION.—The Secretary shall include in the initial report submitted under subclause (I) a description of—

"(aa) any regulations governing the use of formula current assisted stock (as defined in section 1000.314 of title 24, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulation)) within the Program:

"(bb) the number of recipients of grants under the Program that have reported the regulations described in item (aa) as a barrier to implementation of the Program; and

"(cc) proposed alternative legislation or regulations developed by the Secretary in consultation with recipients of grants under the Program to allow the use of formula current assisted stock within the Program.".

SEC. 11022. CONTINUUM OF CARE.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section-

(1) the terms "collaborative applicant" and "eligible entity" have the meanings given those terms in section 401 of the McKinney-

Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11360); and

(2) the terms "Indian tribe" and "tribally designated housing entity" have the meanings given those terms in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103).

(b) NONAPPLICATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS LAWS.-With respect to the funds made available for the Continuum of Care program authorized under subtitle C of title IV of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11381 et seq.) under the heading "Homeless Assistance Grants" in the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2021 (Public Law 116-260) and under section 231 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2020 (42 U.S.C. 11364a), title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.) and title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3601 et seq.) shall not apply to applications by or awards for projects to be carried out-

(1) on or off reservation or trust lands for awards made to Indian tribes or tribally designated housing entities; or

(2) on reservation or trust lands for awards made to eligible entities.

(c) CERTIFICATION.—With respect to funds made available for the Continuum of Care program authorized under subtitle C of title IV of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11381 et seq.) under the heading "Homeless Assistance Grants" under section 231 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2020 (42 U.S.C. 11364a)—

(1) applications for projects to be carried out on reservations or trust land shall contain a certification of consistency with an approved Indian housing plan developed under section 102 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act (25 U.S.C. 4112), notwithstanding section 106 of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 12706) and section 403 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11361);

(2) Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities that are recipients of awards for projects on reservations or trust land shall certify that they are following an approved housing plan developed under section102 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act (25 U.S.C. 4112); and

(3) a collaborative applicant for a Continuum of Care whose geographic area includes only reservation and trust land is not required to meet the requirement in section 402(f)(2) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11360a(f)(2)).

SEC. 11023. LEVERAGING.

All funds provided under a grant made pursuant to this division or the amendments made by this division may be used for purposes of meeting matching or cost participation requirements under any other Federal housing program, provided that such grants made pursuant to the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.) are spent in accordance with that Act.

SA 1063. Ms. SINEMA submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title X of division A, add the following:

Subtitle H—Combating Cartels on Social Media Act of 2023

SEC. 1091. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "Combating Cartels on Social Media Act of 2023". SEC. 1092. DEFINITIONS.

In this subtitle:

- (1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term "appropriate congressional committees" means—
- (A) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and
- (B) the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.
- (2) COVERED OPERATOR.—The term "covered operator" means the operator, developer, or publisher of a covered service.
- (3) COVERED SERVICE.—The term "covered service" means—
 - (A) a social media platform;
- (B) a mobile or desktop service with direct or group messaging capabilities, but not including text messaging services without other substantial social functionalities or electronic mail services, that the Secretary of Homeland Security determines is being or has been used by transnational criminal organizations in connection with matters described in section 1093; and
- (C) a digital platform, or an electronic application utilizing the digital platform, involving real-time interactive communication between multiple individuals, including multi-player gaming services and immersive technology platforms or applications, that the Secretary of Homeland Security determines is being or has been used by transnational criminal organizations in connection with matters described in section 1093.
- (4) CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE.—The term "criminal enterprise" has the meaning given the term "continuing criminal enterprise" in section 408 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 IJ S.C. 848)
- (5) ILLICIT ACTIVITIES.—The term "illicit activities" means the following criminal activities that transcend national borders:
- (A) A violation of section 401 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 841).
- (B) Narcotics trafficking, as defined in section 808 of the Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act (21 U.S.C. 1907).
- (C) Trafficking of weapons, as defined in section 922 of title 18, United States Code.
- (D) Migrant smuggling, defined as a violation of section 274(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii)).
 - (E) Human trafficking, defined as—
- (i) a violation of section 1590, 1591, or 1592 of title 18, United States Code; or
- (ii) engaging in severe forms of trafficking in persons, as defined in section 103 of the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000 (22 U.S.C. 7102).
- (F) Cyber crime, defined as a violation of section 1030 of title 18, United States Code.
- (G) A violation of any provision that is subject to intellectual property enforcement, as defined in section 302 of the Prioritizing Resources and Organization for Intellectual Property Act of 2008 (15 U.S.C. 8112).
- (H) Bulk cash smuggling of currency, defined as a violation of section 5332 of title 31, United States Code.
- (I) Laundering the proceeds of the criminal activities described in subparagraphs (A) through (H).
- (6) TRANSNATIONAL CRIMINAL ORGANIZA-TION.—The term "transnational criminal organization" means groups, networks, and as-

sociated individuals who operate transnationally for the purposes of obtaining power, influence, or monetary or commercial gain, wholly or in part by certain illegal means, while advancing their activities through a pattern of crime, corruption, or violence, and while protecting their illegal activities through a transnational organizational structure and the exploitation of public corruption or transnational logistics, financial, or communication mechanisms.

SEC. 1093. ASSESSMENT OF ILLICIT USAGE.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a joint assessment describing—

- (1) the use of covered services by transnational criminal organizations, or criminal enterprises acting on behalf of transnational criminal organizations, to engage in recruitment efforts, including the recruitment of individuals, including individuals under the age of 18, located in the United States to engage in or provide support with respect to illicit activities occurring in the United States, Mexico, or otherwise in proximity to an international boundary of the United States:
- (2) the use of covered services by transnational criminal organizations to engage in illicit activities or conduct in support of illicit activities, including—
- (A) smuggling or trafficking involving narcotics, other controlled substances, precursors thereof, or other items prohibited under the laws of the United States, Mexico, or another relevant jurisdiction, including firearms:
- (B) human smuggling or trafficking, including the exploitation of children; and
- (C) transportation of bulk currency or monetary instruments in furtherance of smuggling activity; and
- (3) the existing efforts of the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of State, and relevant government and law enforcement entities to counter, monitor, or otherwise respond to the usage of covered services described in paragraphs (1) and (2).

SEC. 1094. STRATEGY TO COMBAT CARTEL RE-CRUITMENT ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND ONLINE PLATFORMS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act. the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a joint strategy, to be known as the National Strategy to Combat Illicit Recruitment Activity by Transnational Criminal Organizations on Social Media and Online Platforms, to comthe use of covered services by transnational criminal organizations, criminal enterprises acting on behalf of transnational criminal organizations, to recruit individuals located in the States to engage in or provide support with respect to illicit activities occurring in the United States, Mexico, or otherwise in proximity to an international boundary of the United States.
- (b) Elements.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The strategy required under subsection (a) shall, at a minimum, include the following:
- (A) A proposal to improve cooperation and thereafter maintain cooperation between the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of State, and relevant law enforcement entities with respect to the matters described in subsection (a).
- (B) Recommendations to implement a process for the voluntary reporting of information regarding the recruitment efforts of transnational criminal organizations in the United States involving covered services.

- (C) A proposal to improve intragovernmental coordination with respect to the matters described in subsection (a), including between the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of State, and State, Tribal, and local governments.
- (D) A proposal to improve coordination within the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of State and between the components of those Departments with respect to the matters described in subsection (a).
- (E) Activities to facilitate increased intelligence analysis for law enforcement purposes of efforts of transnational criminal organizations to utilize covered services for recruitment to engage in or provide support with respect to illicit activities.
- (F) Activities to foster international partnerships and enhance collaboration with foreign governments and, as applicable, multilateral institutions with respect to the matters described in subsection (a).
- (G) Activities to specifically increase engagement and outreach with youth in border communities, including regarding the recruitment tactics of transnational criminal organizations and the consequences of participation in illicit activities.
- (H) A detailed description of the measures used to ensure— $\,$
- (i) law enforcement and intelligence activities focus on the recruitment activities of transitional criminal organizations not individuals the transnational criminal organizations attempt to or successfully recruit; and
- (ii) the privacy rights, civil rights, and civil liberties protections in carrying out the activities described in clause (i), with a particular focus on the protections in place to protect minors and constitutionally protected activities.
- (2) LIMITATION.—The strategy required under subsection (a) shall not include legislative recommendations or elements predicated on the passage of legislation that is not enacted as of the date on which the strategy is submitted under subsection (a).
- (c) CONSULTATION.—In drafting and implementing the strategy required under subsection (a), the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall, at a minimum, consult and engage with—
- (1) the heads of relevant components of the Department of Homeland Security, including—
- (A) the Under Secretary for Intelligence and Analysis;
- (B) the Under Secretary for Strategy, Policy, and Plans;
- (C) the Under Secretary for Science and Technology;
- (D) the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection;
 (E) the Director of U.S. Immigration and
- Customs Enforcement;
 (F) the Officer for Civil Rights and Civil
- (F) the Officer for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties;
 - (G) the Privacy Officer; and
- (H) the Assistant Secretary of the Office for State and Local Law Enforcement;
- (2) the heads of relevant components of the Department of State, including—
- (A) the Assistant Secretary for International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs;
- (B) the Assistant Secretary for Western Hemisphere Affairs; and
- (C) the Coordinator of the Global Engagement Center;
- (3) the Attorney General;
- (4) the Secretary of Health and Human Services; and
- (5) the Secretary of Education; and
- (6) as selected by the Secretary of Homeland Security, or his or her designee in the Office of Public Engagement, representatives

- of border communities, including representatives of—
- (A) State, Tribal, and local governments, including school districts and local law enforcement; and
- (B) nongovernmental experts in the fields of—
- (i) civil rights and civil liberties;
- (ii) online privacy;
- (iii) humanitarian assistance for migrants; and
 - (iv) youth outreach and rehabilitation.
 - (d) IMPLEMENTATION.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date on which the strategy required under subsection (a) is submitted to the appropriate congressional committees, the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall commence implementation of the strategy.
 - (2) Report.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the strategy required under subsection (a) is implemented under paragraph (1), and semiannually thereafter for 5 years, the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a joint report describing the efforts of the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State to implement the strategy required under subsection (a) and the progress of those efforts, which shall include a description of—
- (i) the recommendations, and corresponding implementation of those recommendations, with respect to the matters described in subsection (b)(1)(B);
- (ii) the interagency posture with respect to the matters covered by the strategy required under subsection (a), which shall include a description of collaboration between the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of State, other Federal entities, State, local, and Tribal entities, and foreign governments; and
- (iii) the threat landscape, including new developments related to the United States recruitment efforts of transnational criminal organizations and the use by those organizations of new or emergent covered services and recruitment methods.
- (B) FORM.—Each report required under subparagraph (A) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.
- (3) CIVIL RIGHTS, CIVIL LIBERTIES, AND PRIVACY ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 2 years after the date on which the strategy required under subsection (a) is implemented under paragraph (1), the Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties and the Privacy Office of the Department of Homeland Security shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a joint report that includes—
- (A) a detailed assessment of the measures used to ensure the protection of civil rights, civil liberties, and privacy rights in carrying out this section; and
- (B) recommendations to improve the implementation of the strategy required under subsection (a).

SEC. 1095. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed to expand the statutory law enforcement or regulatory authority of the Department of Homeland Security or the Department of State.

SEC. 1096. NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS.

No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subtitle.

SA 1064. Mrs. HYDE-SMITH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the De-

partment of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle G of title X, add the following:

SEC. 1083. READMISSION REQUIREMENTS FOR SERVICEMEMBERS.

Section 484C(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1091c(a)) is amended to read as follows:

"(a) DEFINITION OF SERVICE IN THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.—In this section, the term 'service in the uniformed services' means service (whether voluntary or involuntary) on active duty in the Armed Forces, including such service by a member of the National Guard or Reserve.

SA 1065. Mrs. GILLIBRAND (for herself, Mr. Schumer, and Mr. Braun) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in subtitle G of title X, insert the following:

SEC. ______. 9/11 RESPONDER AND SURVIVOR HEALTH FUNDING CORRECTION ACT OF 2023.

- (a) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ARMED FORCES, OR OTHER FEDERAL WORKER RESPONDERS TO THE SEPTEMBER 11 ATTACKS AT THE PENTAGON AND SHANKSVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA.—Title XXXIII of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300mm et seq.) is amended—
- (1) in section 3306 (42 U.S.C. 300mm-5)—
- (A) by redesignating paragraphs (5) through (11) and paragraphs (12) through (17) as paragraphs (6) through (12) and paragraphs (14) through (19), respectively;
- (B) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following:
- "(5) The term 'Federal agency' means an agency, office, or other establishment in the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Federal Government."; and
- (C) by inserting after paragraph (12), as so redesignated, the following:
- "(13) The term 'uniformed services' has the meaning given the term in section 101(a) of title 10, United States Code."; and
- (2) in section 3311(a) (42 U.S.C. 300mm-21(a))—
- (A) in paragraph (2)(C)(i)—
- (i) in subclause (I), by striking "; or" and inserting a semicolon;
- (ii) in subclause (II), by striking "; and" and inserting a semicolon; and
- (iii) by adding at the end the following:
- "(III) was an employee of the Department of Defense or any other Federal agency, worked during the period beginning on September 11, 2001, and ending on September 18, 2001, for a contractor of the Department of Defense or any other Federal agency, or was a member of a regular or reserve component of the uniformed services; and performed rescue, recovery, demolition, debris cleanup, or other related services at the Pentagon site of the terrorist-related aircraft crash of September 11, 2001, during the period beginning on September 11, 2001, and ending on the date on which the cleanup of the site was con-

cluded, as determined by the WTC Program Administrator; or

"(IV) was an employee of the Department of Defense or any other Federal agency, worked during the period beginning on September 11, 2001, and ending on September 18, 2001, for a contractor of the Department of Defense or any other Federal agency, or was a member of a regular or reserve component of the uniformed services; and performed rescue, recovery, demolition, debris cleanup, or other related services at the Shanksville, Pennsylvania, site of the terrorist-related aircraft crash of September 11, 2001, during the period beginning on September 11, 2001, and ending on the date on which the cleanup of the site was concluded, as determined by the WTC Program Administrator; and"; and

(B) in paragraph (4)(A)—

(i) by striking "(A) IN GENERAL.—The" and inserting the following:

"(A) LIMIT.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The":

(ii) by inserting "or subclause (III) or (IV) of paragraph (2)(C)(i)" after "or (2)(A)(ii)"; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

- "(ii) CERTAIN RESPONDERS TO THE SEP-TEMBER 11 ATTACKS AT THE PENTAGON AND SHANKSVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA.—The total number of individuals who may be enrolled under paragraph (3)(A)(ii) based on eligibility criteria described in subclause (III) or (IV) of paragraph (2)(C)(i) shall not exceed 500 at any time."
- (b) ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THE WORLD TRADE CENTER HEALTH PROGRAM.—Title XXXIII of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300mm et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"SEC. 3353. SPECIAL FUND.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—There is established a fund to be known as the World Trade Center Health Program Special Fund (referred to in this section as the 'Special Fund'), consisting of amounts deposited into the Special Fund under subsection (b).
- "(b) AMOUNT.—Out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, there is appropriated for fiscal year 2024 \$444,000,000 for deposit into the Special Fund, which amounts shall remain available in such Fund through fiscal year 2033.
- "(c) USES OF FUNDS.—Amounts deposited into the Special Fund under subsection (b) shall be available, without further appropriation and without regard to any spending limitation under section 3351(c), to the WTC Program Administrator as needed at the discretion of such Administrator, for carrying out any provision in this title (including sections 3303 and 3341(c)).
- "(d) REMAINING AMOUNTS.—Any amounts that remain in the Special Fund on September 30, 2033, shall be deposited into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

"SEC. 3354. PENTAGON/SHANKSVILLE FUND.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—There is established a fund to be known as the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania (referred to in this section as the 'Pentagon'Shanksville Fund'), consisting of amounts deposited into the Pentagon'Shanksville Fund under subsection (b).
- "(b) AMOUNT.—Out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, there is appropriated for fiscal year 2024 \$232,000,000 for deposit into the Pentagon/ Shanksville Fund, which amounts shall remain available in such Fund through fiscal year 2033.
 - "(c) USES OF FUNDS.-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Amounts deposited into the Pentagon/Shanksville Fund under subsection (b) shall be available, without further appropriation and without regard to

any spending limitation under section 3351(c), to the WTC Program Administrator for the purpose of carrying out section 3312 with regard to WTC responders enrolled in the WTC Program based on eligibility criteria described in subclause (III) or (IV) of section 3311(a)(2)(C)(i).

"(2) LIMITATION ON OTHER FUNDING.—Notwithstanding sections 3331(a), 3351(b)(1), 3352(c), and 3353(c), and any other provision in this title, for the period of fiscal years 2024 through 2033, no amounts made available under this title other than those amounts appropriated under subsection (b) may be available for the purpose described in paragraph (1).

"(d) REMAINING AMOUNTS.—Any amounts that remain in the Pentagon/Shanksville Fund on September 30, 2033, shall be deposited into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts."

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title XXXIII of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300mm et seq.) is amended—

(1) in section 331(a)(4)(B)(i)(II) (42 U.S.C. 300mm-21(a)(4)(B)(i)(II)), by striking "sections 3351 and 3352" and inserting "this title";

(2) in section 3321(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (42 U.S.C. 300mm-31(a)(3)(B)(i)(II)), by striking "sections 3351 and 3352" and inserting "this title".

(3) in section 3331 (42 U.S.C. 300mm-41)-

(A) in subsection (a), by striking "the World Trade Center Health Program Fund and the World Trade Center Health Program Supplemental Fund" and inserting "(as applicable) the Funds established under sections 3351, 3352, 3353, and 3354"; and

(B) in subsection (d)-

(i) in paragraph (I)(A), by inserting "or the World Trade Center Health Program Special Fund under section 3353" after "section 3351":

(ii) in paragraph (1)(B), by inserting "or the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania under section 3354" after "section 3352"; and

(iii) in paragraph (2), in the flush text following subparagraph (C), by inserting "or the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania under section 3354" after "section 3352"; and

(4) in section 3351(b) (42 U.S.C. 300mm-61(b))—

(A) in paragraph (2), by inserting ", the World Trade Center Health Program Special Fund under section 3353, or the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania under section 3354" before the period at the end; and

(B) in paragraph (3), by inserting ", the World Trade Center Health Program Special Fund under section 3353, or the World Trade Center Health Program Fund for Certain WTC Responders at the Pentagon and Shanksville, Pennsylvania under section 3354" before the period at the end.

(d) Ensuring Timely Access to Generics.—Section 505(q) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(q)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in subparagraph (A)(i), by inserting ", 10.31," after "10.30";

(B) in subparagraph (E)–

(i) by striking "application and" and inserting "application or";

(ii) by striking "If the Secretary" and inserting the following:

"(i) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary"; and

(iii) by striking the second sentence and inserting the following:

"(ii) PRIMARY PURPOSE OF DELAYING.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—In determining whether a petition was submitted with the primary purpose of delaying an application, the Secretary may consider the following factors:

"(aa) Whether the petition was submitted in accordance with paragraph (2)(B), based on when the petitioner knew the relevant information relied upon to form the basis of such petition.

"(bb) When the petition was submitted in relation to when the petitioner reasonably should have known the relevant information relied upon to form the basis of such petition.

"(cc) Whether the petitioner has submitted multiple or serial petitions or supplements to petitions raising issues that reasonably could have been known to the petitioner at the time of submission of the earlier petition or petitions.

"(dd) Whether the petition was submitted close in time to a known, first date upon which an application under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act could be approved.

"(ee) Whether the petition was submitted without relevant data or information in support of the scientific positions forming the basis of such petition.

"(ff) Whether the petition raises the same or substantially similar issues as a prior petition to which the Secretary has responded substantively already, including if the subsequent submission follows such response from the Secretary closely in time.

"(gg) Whether the petition requests changing the applicable standards that other applicants are required to meet, including requesting testing, data, or labeling standards that are more onerous or rigorous than the standards the Secretary has determined to be applicable to the listed drug, reference product, or petitioner's version of the same drug.

"(hh) The petitioner's record of submitting petitions to the Food and Drug Administration that have been determined by the Secretary to have been submitted with the primary purpose of delay.

"(ii) Other relevant and appropriate factors, which the Secretary shall describe in guidance.

"(II) GUIDANCE.—The Secretary may issue or update guidance, as appropriate, to describe factors the Secretary considers in accordance with subclause (I).":

(C) by striking subparagraph (F);

(D) by redesignating subparagraphs (G) through (I) as subparagraphs (F) through (H), respectively: and

(E) in subparagraph (H), as so redesignated, by striking "submission of this petition" and inserting "submission of this document";

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (C) as subparagraphs (C) through (E), respectively;

(B) by inserting before subparagraph (C), as so redesignated, the following:

"(A) IN GENERAL.—A person shall submit a petition to the Secretary under paragraph (1) before filing a civil action in which the person seeks to set aside, delay, rescind, withdraw, or prevent submission, review, or approval of an application submitted under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act. Such petition and any supplement to such a petition shall describe all information and arguments that form the basis of the relief requested in any civil action described in the previous sentence.

"(B) TIMELY SUBMISSION OF CITIZEN PETITION.—A petition and any supplement to a petition shall be submitted within 180 days after the person knew the information that forms the basis of the request made in the petition or supplement.";

(C) in subparagraph (C), as so redesignated—

(i) in the heading, by striking "WITHIN 150 DAYS":

(ii) in clause (i), by striking "during the 150-day period referred to in paragraph (1)(F),"; and

(iii) by amending clause (ii) to read as follows:

"(ii) on or after the date that is 151 days after the date of submission of the petition, the Secretary approves or has approved the application that is the subject of the petition without having made such a final decision.";

(D) by amending subparagraph (D), as so redesignated, to read as follows:

"(D) DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CIVIL ACTIONS.—
"(i) PETITION.—If a person files a civil action against the Secretary in which a person seeks to set aside, delay, rescind, withdraw, or prevent submission, review, or approval of an application submitted under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act without complying with the requirements of subparagraph (A), the court shall dismiss without prejudice the action for failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

"(ii) TIMELINESS.—If a person files a civil action against the Secretary in which a person seeks to set aside, delay, rescind, withdraw, or prevent submission, review, or approval of an application submitted under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act without complying with the requirements of subparagraph (B), the court shall dismiss with prejudice the action for failure to timely file a petition.

"(iii) FINAL RESPONSE.—If a civil action is filed against the Secretary with respect to any issue raised in a petition timely filed under paragraph (1) in which the petitioner requests that the Secretary take any form of action that could, if taken, set aside, delay, rescind, withdraw, or prevent submission, review, or approval of an application submitted under subsection (b)(2) or (j) of this section or section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act before the Secretary has taken final agency action on the petition within the meaning of subparagraph (C), the court shall dismiss without prejudice the action for failure to exhaust administrative remedies."; and

(E) in clause (iii) of subparagraph (E), as so redesignated, by striking "as defined under subparagraph (2)(A)" and inserting "within the meaning of subparagraph (C)"; and

(3) in paragraph (4)—

(A) by striking "EXCEPTIONS" in the paragraph heading and all that follows through "This subsection does" and inserting "EXCEPTIONS.—This subsection does";

(B) by striking subparagraph (B); and

(C) by redesignating clauses (i) and (ii) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively, and adjusting the margins accordingly.

SA 1066. Mr. WHITEHOUSE (for Mr. CRUZ) proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 166, honoring the efforts of the Coast Guard for excellence in maritime border security; as follows:

In the third whereas clause, in the matter preceding paragraph (1), strike "through" and insert "executing Coast Guard missions across the world, including the".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (1), strike "15,000" and insert "17,000".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (2), strike "6,300" and insert "6,000 at sea".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (2), strike "100" and insert "90".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (3), strike "interdicted approximately 12,500 illegal immigrants" and insert "conducted approximately 12,500 migrant interdictions".

In the third whereas clause, in paragraph (3), strike "150" and insert "over 350"

SA 1067. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in subtitle G of title X, insert the following:

. NOTIFICATIONS WITH RESPECT TO SEC. 10 THE USE OF FACIAL RECOGNITION TECHNOLOGY IN AIRPORTS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator of the Security Administration Transportation shall, at each airport where the Transportation Security Administration provides the screening of passengers, notify such passengers of the option to refuse to be identified through the use of facial recognition technology or facial matching software in such airport.
 - (b) Sign Requirements.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. the Administrator shall use available funds to post at each location specified in paragraph (2)(C) a sign that reads as follows: "Identification Choices: Passengers have two options for matching their face to their ID. The first option is to hand your ID to the TSA agent who will compare it to your face. The second option is completely voluntary and uses facial recognition software, which will take a photo of you to match your identity with your ID.".
 - (2) SIGN SPECIFICATIONS.-
- (A) ACCESSIBILITY.—A sign posted in accordance with paragraph (1) shall be-
- (i) printed in a large, easy to read font; and accessible to individuals with visual disabilities.
- (B) PRINTING SPECIFICATIONS.—For each sign posted in accordance with paragraph (1), the words "completely voluntary" shall be printed in bold type.
- (C) LOCATION SPECIFICATION.—For each checkpoint or kiosk of an airport where the Transportation Security Administration screens passengers through the use of facial recognition technology or facial matching software, the locations specified in this subparagraph are the following:
- (i) A location that is visible from the security line and is not fewer than 10 feet and not more than 20 feet from the checkpoint or kiosk
 - (ii) The checkpoint or kiosk.
- (iii) Directly under any camera that is used for facial recognition or facial match-
- SA 1068. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end, add the following:

DIVISION I-SMALL BUSINESS MATTERS SEC. 11001. DEFINITIONS.

In this division:

(1) ADMINISTRATION.—The term "Administration" means the Small Business Administration.

- (2) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Administration.
- (3) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—The term "small business concern" has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).

TITLE LXIX—COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE LOAN PROGRAM AND SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES

Subtitle A-Community Advantage Loan **Program Act of 2023**

SEC. 11101. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "Community Advantage Loan Program Act of 2023". SEC. 11102. COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE LOAN PRO-GRAM.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(38) COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE LOAN PRO-GRAM.
- "(A) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the Community Advantage Loan Program are-
- '(i) to create a mission-oriented loan guarantee program:
- "(ii) to increase lending to small business concerns in underserved and rural markets, including to new businesses:
- '(iii) to ensure that the program under this subsection expands inclusion and more broadly meets congressional intent to reach borrowers who are unable to get credit elsewhere on reasonable terms and conditions:
- "(iv) to help underserved small business concerns become bankable by utilizing the small dollar financing and business support experience of mission-oriented lenders;
- "(v) to allow certain mission-oriented lenders, primarily financial intermediaries focused on economic development in underserved markets, access to guarantees for loans under this subsection (referred to in this paragraph as '7(a) loans') and provide management and technical assistance small business concerns as needed; and
- "(vi) to assist covered institutions with providing business support services and technical assistance to small business concerns,
 - "(B) DEFINITIONS.—In this paragraph:
- "(i) COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE NETWORK PART-NER.--The term 'Community Advantage Network Partner'-
- "(I) means a nonprofit, mission-oriented organization that acts as a Referral Agent to covered institutions in order to expand the reach of the program to small business concerns in underserved markets; and
- '(II) does not include a covered institution making loans under the program.
- "(ii) COVERED INSTITUTION —The term 'covered institution' means an entity that-"(I) is-

"(aa) a development company, as defined in section 103 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 662), participating in the 504 Loan Guaranty program established under title V of that Act (15 U.S.C. 695 et seq.);

"(bb) a nonprofit intermediary, as defined in subsection (m)(11), participating in the microloan program under subsection (m);

- "(cc) a non-Federally regulated entity certified as a community development financial institution by the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund established under section 104(a) of the Community Development Banking and Financial Institutions Act of 1994 (12 U.S.C. 4703(a)); or
- "(dd) an eligible intermediary, as defined in subsection (1)(1), participating in the small business intermediary lending program established under subsection (1)(2); and
- "(II) has approved and disbursed 10 similarly sized loans in the preceding 24-month period and is servicing not less than 10 simi-

larly sized loans to small business concerns

- in the portfolio of the entity.
 "(iii) EXISTING BUSINESS.—The term 'existing business' means a small business concern that has been in existence for not less than 2 years on the date on which a loan is made to the small business concern under the pro-
- "(iv) NEW BUSINESS.—The term 'new business' means a small business concern that has been in existence for not more than 2 years on the date on which a loan is made to the small business concern under the pro-
- "(v) PROGRAM.—The term 'program' means the Community Advantage Loan Program established under subparagraph (C).
- "(vi) Referral agent.—The term 'Referral Agent' has the meaning given the term in section 103.1(f) of title 13. Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation.
- "(vii) RURAL AREA.—The term 'rural area' means any county that the Bureau of the Census has defined as mostly rural or completely rural in the most recent decennial census.
- "(viii) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN IN AN UN-DERSERVED MARKET.—The term 'small business concern in an underserved market means a small business concern-
 - "(I) that is located in-
- '(aa) a low- to moderate-income community;
- "(bb) a HUBZone, as that term is defined in section 31(b):
 - "(cc) a rural area;
- "(dd) a community that has been designated as an empowerment zone or enterprise community under section 1391 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986:
- "(ee) a community that has been designated as a qualified opportunity zone under section 1400Z-1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or
- "(ff) a community that has been designated as a promise zone by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development:
- "(II) for which more than 50 percent of the employees reside in a low- or moderate-income community:
 - "(III) that is a new business; or
- (IV) that is owned and controlled by veterans or spouses of veterans.
- (C) ESTABLISHMENT —There is established a Community Advantage Loan Program under which the Administration may guarantee loans closed by covered institutions under this subsection, with an emphasis on loans made to small business concerns in underserved markets.
- "(D) Program Levels.—In fiscal year 2024 and each fiscal year thereafter, not more than 10 percent of the number of loans guaranteed under this subsection may be guaranteed under the program.
- "(E) GRANDFATHERING OF EXISTING LEND-ERS.—Any covered institution that was licensed by the Administrator as a Community Advantage small business lending company, or that participated in the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration, during the period beginning on May 1, 2023, and ending on September 30, 2023, and was in good standing during that period, as determined by the Administration-
- "(i) shall be designated as participants in the program;
- "(ii) shall not be required to submit an application to participate in the program; and
- "(iii) for the purpose of determining the loan loss reserve amount of the covered institution, shall have participation in the Community Advantage Pilot Program included in the calculation under subparagraph (J).
- "(F) REQUIREMENT TO MAKE LOANS TO UN-DERSERVED MARKETS.—Not less than 60 percent of loans closed by a covered institution

under the program shall consist of loans made to small business concerns in underserved markets.

- "(G) MAXIMUM LOAN AMOUNT; COLLATERAL.—
- "(i) MAXIMUM LOAN AMOUNT.—
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), the maximum loan amount for a loan guaranteed under the program is \$350,000.
- "(II) EXPERIENCED LENDERS.—
- "(aa) In general.—The Administrator may approve not more than 8 covered institutions (referred to in this subclause as the 'experienced lenders'), each of which has not less than 5 years of experience making loans under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration or the program established under this paragraph, to be eligible to make loans under this subclause.
- "(bb) MAXIMUM LOAN AMOUNT.—Subject to item (dd), an experienced lender may make a loan guaranteed under the program in an amount that is not more than \$750,000.
- "(cc) Participation by the administration.—With respect to an agreement to participate in a loan made under this subclause on a deferred basis, the participation by the Administration shall be—
- "(AA) 75 percent of the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan, if that balance is more than \$350,000;
- "(BB) as described in clause (i) of paragraph (2)(G), if the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan is as described in that clause; or
- "(CC) as described in clause (ii) of paragraph (2)(G), if the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan is as described in that clause.
- "'(dd) REQUIREMENTS TO MAKE LOANS IN CERTAIN AMOUNTS.—Not less than 60 percent of loans closed by each experienced lender under the program shall consist of loans in an amount that is not more than \$350,000.
 - "(ii) Collateral —
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—A covered institution shall not be required to take collateral with respect to a loan guaranteed under the program if the amount of that loan is not more than $\$50\,000$
- "(II) POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OF COVERED INSTITUTION.—In determining the amount of collateral required with respect to a loan guaranteed under the program, a covered institution may use the collateral policies and procedures of the covered institution with respect to similarly sized commercial loans closed by the covered institution that are not guaranteed by the Administration.
- "(H) INTEREST RATES.—The maximum allowable interest rate prescribed by the Administration on any financing made on a deferred basis pursuant to the program shall not exceed the maximum allowable interest rate under sections 120.213 and 120.214 of title 13, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulations.
- "(I) REFINANCING OF COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE PROGRAM LOANS.—A loan guaranteed under the program or guaranteed under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration may be refinanced into another 7(a) loan made by a lender that does not participate in the program.
- "(J) Loan loss reserve requirements.—
- "(i) LOAN LOSS RESERVE ACCOUNT FOR COVERED INSTITUTIONS.—A covered institution—
- "(I) with not more than 5 years of participation in the program shall maintain a loan loss reserve account with an amount equal to 5 percent of the outstanding amount of the unguaranteed portion of the loan portfolio of the covered institution under the program; and
- "(II) with more than 5 years of participation in the program shall maintain a loan

loss reserve account with an amount equal to the average repurchase rate of the covered institution over the preceding 36-month period, except that such amount shall not be less than 3 percent of the outstanding amount of the unguaranteed portion of the loan portfolio of the covered institution under the program.

- "(ii) ADDITIONAL LOAN LOSS RESERVE AMOUNT FOR SELLING LOANS ON THE SECONDARY MARKET.—In addition to the amount required in the loan loss reserve account under clause (i), a covered institution that sells a program loan on the secondary market shall be required to maintain the following additional amounts in the loan loss reserve account:
- "(I) For a covered institution with less than 5 years of experience selling program loans on the secondary market, an amount equal to 3 percent of the guaranteed portion of each program loan sold on the secondary market.
- "(II) For a covered institution with more than 5 years of experience selling program loans on the secondary market, an amount equal to the average repurchase rate for loans sold by the covered institution on the secondary market over the preceding 36 months, except that such amount shall be not less than 2 percent of the guaranteed portion of each program loan sold into the secondary market.
- "(iii) RECALCULATION.—On October 1 of each year, the Administrator shall recalculate the loan loss reserve required under clauses (i) and (ii).
- "(K) TRAINING.—The Administration—
- "(i) shall provide accessible upfront and ongoing training for covered institutions making loans under the program to support program compliance and improve the interface between the covered institutions and the Administration, which shall include—
- "(I) guidance for following the regulations of the Administration; and
- "(II) guidance specific to mission-oriented lending that is intended to help lenders effectively reach and support small business concerns in underserved markets, including management and technical assistance delivery:
- "(ii) may enter into a contract to provide the training described in clause (i) with an organization—
- ``(I) with expertise in lending under this subsection; and
- "(II) primarily specializing in—
- "(aa) mission-oriented lending; and
- "(bb) lending to small business concerns in underserved markets; and
- "(iii) shall provide training for the employees and contractors of the Administration that regularly engage with covered institutions or borrowers under the program.
- "(L) COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE OUTREACH AND EDUCATION.—The Administrator—
- "(i) shall develop and implement a program to promote to, conduct outreach to, and educate prospective covered institutions about the program; and
- "(ii) may enter into a contract with 1 or more nonprofit organizations experienced in working with and training mission-oriented lenders to provide the promotion, outreach, and education described in clause (i).
- "(M) COMMUNITY ADVANTAGE NETWORD PARTNER PARTICIPATION.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—A covered institution that uses a Community Advantage Network Partner shall abide by policies and procedures of the Administration concerning the use of Referral Agent fees permitted by the Administration and disclosure of those fees.
- "(ii) PAYMENT OF FEES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all fees described in clause (i) shall be paid by the covered institution to the Community Advantage Net-

- work Partner upon disbursement of the applicable program loan.
- "(N) DELEGATED AUTHORITY.—A covered institution is not eligible to receive delegated authority from the Administration under the program until the covered institution has satisfied the following applicable requirements:
- "(i) For a covered institution actively participating in the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration, as of the day before the date of enactment of this paragraph—
- "(I) the covered institution has approved and fully disbursed not fewer than 10 loans under that Pilot Program; and
- "(II) the Administration has evaluated the ability of the covered institution to fulfill program requirements.
- "(ii) For any covered institution not described in clause (i)—
- "(I) the covered institution has approved and fully disbursed not fewer than 20 loans under the program; and
- "(II) the Administration has evaluated the ability of the covered institution to fulfill program requirements.
 - "(O) REPORTING.—
 - "(i) WEEKLY REPORTS.—
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—The Administration shall report on the website of the Administration, as part of the weekly reports on lending approvals under this subsection—
- "(aa) on and after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the number and dollar amount of loans guaranteed under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration: and
- "(bb) on and after the date on which the Administration begins to approve loans under the program, the number and dollar amount of loans guaranteed under the program.
- "(II) SEPARATE ACCOUNTING.—The number and dollar amount of loans reported in a weekly report under subclause (I) for loans guaranteed under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration and under the program shall include a breakdown by the demographic information of the owners of the small business concerns, by whether the small business concern is a new business or an existing business, and by whether the small business concern is located in an urban or rural area, and broken down by—
- "(aa) loans of not more than \$50,000;
- "(bb) loans of more than 50,000 and not more than 150,000;
- "(cc) loans of more than 150,000 and not more than 250,000;
- "(dd) loans of more than \$250,000 and not more than \$350,000; and
- "(ee) loans of more than \$350,000 and not more than \$750,000.
 - "(ii) ANNUAL REPORTS.—
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year in which the program is in effect, the Administration shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives, and make publicly available on the internet, information about loans provided under the program and under the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration.
- "(II) CONTENTS.—Each report submitted and made publicly available under subclause (I) shall include—
- "(aa) the number and dollar amounts of loans provided to small business concerns under the program, including a breakdown by—
- "(AA) the demographic information of the owners of the small business concern;
- "(BB) whether the small business concern is located in an urban or rural area; and
- "(CC) whether the small business concern is an existing business or a new business, as

provided in the weekly reports on lending approvals under this subsection;

"(bb) the proportion of loans described in item (aa) compared to—

"(AA) other 7(a) loans of any amount;

"(BB) other 7(a) loans of similar amounts; "(CC) express loans provided under paragraph (31) of similar amounts; and

"(DD) other 7(a) loans of similar amounts provided to small business concerns in underserved markets;

"(cc) the number and dollar amounts of loans provided to small business concerns under each category described in subitems (AA), (BB), and (CC) of item (aa), which shall be broken down by—

"(AA) loans of not more than \$50,000:

"(BB) loans of more than \$50,000 and not more than \$150,000;

"(CC) loans of more than \$150,000 and not more than \$250,000;

 $^{\prime\prime}(\mathrm{DD})$ loans of more than \$250,000 and not more than \$350,000; and

"(EE) loans of more than \$350,000 and not more than \$750,000;

"(dd) the number and dollar amounts of loans provided to small business concerns under the program by State, and the jobs created or retained within each State; and

"(ee) a list of covered institutions participating in the program and the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration, including—

"(AA) the name, location, and contact information, such as the website and telephone number, of each covered institution; and

"(BB) a breakdown by the number and dollar amount of the loans approved for small business concerns

"(III) TIMING.—An annual report required under this clause shall—

"(aa) be submitted and made publicly available not later than December 1 of each year; and

"(b) cover the lending activity for the fiscal year that ended on September 30 of that same year.

"(P) GAO REPORT.—Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Administrator, the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate, and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report—

"(i) assessing-

"(I) the extent to which the program fulfills the requirements of this paragraph; and "(II) the performance of covered institu-

tions participating in the program; and
"(ii) providing recommendations on the ad-

''(ii) providing recommendations on the administration of the program and the findings under subclauses (I) and (II) of clause (i).

"(Q) REGULATIONS.-

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations governing the program, including metrics for lender performance, metrics of success and benchmarks of the program, and criteria for appropriate management and technical assistance.

"(ii) UPDATES.—The Administrator shall consult the report submitted under subparagraph (P) and, not later than 180 days after the date on which the Comptroller General of the United States submits the report, promulgate any necessary changes to existing regulations of the Administration based on the recommendations contained in the report."

(b) Participation.—Section 7(a)(2) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(2)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking "and (F)" and inserting "(F), and (G)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(G) PARTICIPATION IN THE COMMUNITY AD-VANTAGE LOAN PROGRAM.—Subject to subparagraph (G)(i)(II)(cc) of paragraph (38), in an agreement to participate in a loan on a deferred basis under that paragraph, the participation by the Administration shall be—

"(i) 80 percent of the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan, if that balance is more than \$150,000 and not more than \$350,000; or

"(ii) 90 percent of the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of the disbursement of the loan, if that balance is not more than \$150,000.".

Subtitle B—Modernizing SBA's Loan Programs Act of 2023

SEC. 11111. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "Modernizing SBA's Business Loan Programs Act of 2023"

SEC. 11112. FINDINGS.

Congress finds that-

(1) in 1982, the Administration placed a moratorium on licensing new small business lending companies because the Administration lacked the resources to effectively service and supervise additional small business lending companies;

(2) according to the Office of the Inspector General of the Administration, the reduction in staff in the Office of Credit Risk Management of the Administration from 42 full-time employees to 29 full-time employees could affect the fiscal year 2023 goals of the Administration for oversight reviews;

(3) the Administration has finalized a rule-making to lift the moratorium on the licensing new small business lending companies and establish a new Community Advantage small business lending company license, and there is no cap on the number of small business lending companies licenses that could be issued by the Administration;

(4) the increased costs and fees for an existing Community Advantage lender in the Community Advantage Pilot Program of the Administration to obtain and maintain a Community Advantage small business lending company license could be cost prohibitive for a majority of current Community Advantage lenders to transition to a Community Advantage small business lending company;

(5) on May 1, 2023, the Administration announced that the Community Advantage Pilot Program would sunset on September 30, 2023, and the authority of a Community Advantage lender to make loans under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) under the pilot program will terminate;

(6) the Administration does not have adequate resources to issue either more than 3 new small business lending company licenses or new Community Advantage small business lending company licenses, as the Office of Credit Risk Management does not have the capacity to assume additional oversight responsibilities; and

(7) in order to increase small dollar lending in underserved areas, the Community Advantage Pilot Program should be made permanent, giving lenders certainty to continue to make loans under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)).

SEC. 11113. LENDING CRITERIA.

(a) 7(A) LOANS.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(D) UNDERWRITING REQUIREMENTS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a loan guaranteed under this subsection—

"(I) the applicant (including an operating company) shall be creditworthy;

"(II) the loan must be so sound as to reasonably assure repayment; and

"(III) subject to the approval of the Administrator, the Director of the Office of Credit Risk Management may require additional criteria.

"(ii) LENDING CRITERIA FOR LOANS OF \$350,000 OR MORE.—With respect to a loan guaranteed under this section that is not less than \$350,000, the Administration and lenders shall, as applicable, consider the following:

"(I) Credit history of the applicant (and the operating company, if applicable), and the associates and guarantors of the applicant.

``(II) Experience and depth of management.

"(III) Strength of the business.

"(IV) Past earnings, projected cash flow, and future prospects.

"(V) Ability to repay the loan with earnings from the business of the applicant.

"(VI) Sufficient invested equity to operate on a sound financial basis.

"(VII) Potential for long-term success.

"(VIII) Nature and value of collateral (although inadequate collateral may not be the sole reason for denial of a loan application).

"(IX) The effect any affiliate of the applicant may have on the ultimate repayment ability of the applicant.

"(iii) LENDING CRITERIA FOR LOANS OF LESS THAN \$350,000.—With respect to a loan guaranteed under this section that is less than \$350,000—

"(I) lenders shall use appropriate and generally acceptable commercial credit analysis processes and procedures consistent with those used for similarly-sized commercial loans that are not guaranteed by the Administration:

"(II) the Administration and lenders may use a business credit scoring model; and

``(III) the Administration and lenders shall, as applicable, consider—

"(aa) the credit score or credit history of the applicant (and the operating company, if applicable), and the associates and guarantors of the applicant;

"(bb) the earnings or cash flow of the applicant;

"(cc) any equity or collateral of the applicant; and

"(dd) the effect any affiliates of the applicant may have on the ultimate repayment ability of the applicant.".

(b) 504/CDC LOANS.—Section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 696) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(8) Underwriting requirements.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a loan made under this section—

 $\lq\lq(i)$ the applicant (including an operating company) shall be creditworthy; and

"(ii) the loan must be so sound as to reasonably assure repayment.

"(B) LENDING CRITERIA.—With respect to a loan made under this section—

"(i) lenders and certified development companies shall use appropriate and generally acceptable commercial credit analysis processes and procedures consistent with those used for similarly-sized commercial loans that are not guaranteed by the Administration;

"(ii) the Administration, lenders, and certified development companies may use a business credit scoring model; and

"(iii) the Administration, lenders, and certified development companies shall, as applicable, consider—

"(I) the credit score or credit history of the applicant (and the operating company, if applicable), and the associates and guarantors of the applicant:

"(II) the earnings or cash flow of the applicant; and

``(III) any equity or collateral of the applicant.".

SEC. 11114. AFFILIATION AND FRANCHISE DIRECTORY

- (a) Affiliation Principles.—
- (1) BUSINESS LOANS.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(E) AFFILIATION PRINCIPLES.—Affiliation under any of the circumstances described below is sufficient to establish affiliation for applicants for a loan guaranteed under this subsection:
 - "(i) AFFILIATION BASED ON OWNERSHIP.-
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—For determining affiliation based on equity ownership, a concern is an affiliate of an individual, concern, or entity that owns or has the power to control more than 50 percent of the voting equity of the concern.
- "(II) OTHER OFFICERS.—If no individual, concern, or entity is found to control a concern under subclause (I), the Administrator shall deem the board of directors, president, or chief executive officer (or other officers, managing members, or partners who control the management of the concern) to be in control of the concern.
- "(III) MINORITY SHAREHOLDER.—The Administrator shall deem a minority shareholder of a concern to be in control of the concern if that individual or entity has the ability, under the charter, by-laws, or shareholder agreement of the concern, to prevent a quorum or otherwise block action by the board of directors or shareholders of the concern.
- "(ii) AFFILIATION ARISING UNDER STOCK OPTIONS, CONVERTIBLE SECURITIES, AND AGREEMENTS TO MERGE.—
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—In determining the size of a concern, the Administrator shall—
- "(aa) consider stock options, convertible securities, and agreements to merge (including agreements in principle) to have a present effect on the power to control a concern; and
- "(bb) treat options, convertible securities, and agreements described in item (aa) as though the rights granted have been exercised
- "(II) AGREEMENTS TO OPEN OR CONTINUE NE-GOTIATIONS.—An agreement to open or continue negotiations towards the possibility of a merger or a sale of stock at some later date is not considered an 'agreement in principle' and is not given present effect.
- "(III) CONDITIONS PRECEDENT.—Stock options, convertible securities, and agreements that are subject to conditions precedent that are incapable of fulfillment, speculative, conjectural, or unenforceable under State or Federal law, or where the probability of the transaction (or exercise of the rights) occurring is shown to be extremely remote, are not given present effect.
 - "(IV) TERMINATION OF CONTROL.—
- "(aa) IN GENERAL.—An individual, concern, or other entity that controls 1 or more other concerns cannot use stock options, convertible securities, or agreements to appear to terminate such control before actually doing so
- "(bb) DIVESTING.—The Administrator shall not give present effect to the ability of an individual, concern, or other entity to divest all or part of their ownership interest in a concern in order to avoid a finding of affiliation.
- "(iii) Affiliation based on management.— Affiliation arises where—
- "(I) the chief executive officer or president of the applicant concern (or other officers, managing members, or partners who control the management of the concern) also controls the management of 1 or more other concerns;
- "(II) a single individual, concern, or entity that controls the board of directors or man-

- agement of 1 concern also controls the board of directors or management of 1 of more other concerns; or
- "(III) a single individual, concern, or entity controls the management of the applicant concern through a management agreement.
- "(iv) Affiliation based on identity of interest.—
- ``(I) DEFINITION.—In this clause, the term 'close relative' means—
- "(aa) a spouse, parent, child, or sibling; and
- ``(bb) the spouse of any individual described in item (aa).
- "(II) CLOSE RELATIVES.—Affiliation arises when there is an identity of interest between close relatives with identical or substantially identical business or economic interests, such as where the close relatives operate concerns in the same or similar industry in the same geographic area.
- "(III) AGGREGATED INTERESTS.—If the Administrator determines that interests described in subclause (II) should be aggregated, an individual or firm may rebut that determination with evidence showing that the interests deemed to be affiliated are in fact separate.
- ''(v) Affiliation based on franchise and license agreements.—
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—The restraints imposed on a franchise or licensee by its franchise or license agreement generally shall not be considered in determining whether the franchisor or licensor is affiliated with an applicant franchisee or licensee, if the applicant franchisee or licensee has the right to profit from its efforts and bears the risk of loss commensurate with ownership.
- "(II) NATURE OF AGREEMENT.—For purposes of subclause (I), the Administrator shall only consider the franchise or license agreements of the applicant concern.
- "(vi) DETERMINING THE CONCERN'S SIZE.—In determining the size of a concern, the Administrator counts the receipts, employees, or the alternate size standard (if applicable) of the concern whose size is at issue and all of the domestic and foreign affiliates of the concern, regardless of whether the affiliates are organized for profit.
- "(vii) EXCEPTIONS TO AFFILIATION.—The exceptions to affiliation described in section 121.103(b) of title 13, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation, shall apply."
- (2) 504/CDC LOANS.—Section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 696), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(9) AFFILIATION PRINCIPLES.—Affiliation under any of the circumstances described below is sufficient to establish affiliation for applicants for a loan under this section:
 - "(A) AFFILIATION BASED ON OWNERSHIP.-
- "(i) OWNERSHIP OF ANOTHER BUSINESS.— When the applicant owns more than 50 percent of another business, the applicant and the other business are affiliated.
 - "(ii) OWNERSHIP BY OTHER BUSINESSES.—
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—When a business owns more than 50 percent of an applicant, the business that owns the applicant is affiliated with the applicant.
- "(II) OTHER BUSINESS OWNED BY OWNER OF APPLICANT.—If a business entity owner that owns more than 50 percent of an applicant also owns more than 50 percent of another business that operates in the same 3-digit North American Industry Classification System subsector as the applicant, then the business entity owner, the other business, and the applicant are all affiliated.
- "(iii) OWNERSHIP BY INDIVIDUALS.—When an individual owns more than 50 percent of the applicant and the individual also owns more than 50 percent of another business entity that operates in the same 3-digit North

- American Industry Classification System subsector as the applicant, the applicant and the individual owner's other business entity are affiliated.
- "(iv) LESS THAN 50 PERCENT.—When an applicant does not have an owner that owns more than 50 percent of the applicant, if an owner of 20 percent or more of the applicant also owns more than 50 percent of another business entity that operates in the same 3-digit North American Industry Classification System subsector as the applicant, the applicant and the owner's other business entity are affiliated.
- "(v) SPOUSE AND MINOR CHILDREN.—Ownership interests of spouses and minor children shall be combined when determining amount of ownership interest.
- "(vi) PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP.—When determining the percentage of ownership that an individual owns in a business, the Administrator shall consider the pro rata ownership of entities.
- "(B) Affiliation arising under stock options, convertible securities, and agreements to merge.—
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} ``(i) & In & GENERAL. The & Administrator \\ shall \\ \end{tabular}$
- "(I) consider stock options, convertible securities, and agreements to merge (including agreements in principle) to have a present effect on the ownership of an entity; and
- "(II) treat options, convertible securities, and agreements described in subclause (I) as though the rights granted have been exercised.
- "(ii) AGREEMENTS TO OPEN OR CONTINUE NE-GOTIATIONS.—An agreement to open or continue negotiations towards the possibility of a merger or a sale of stock at some later date is not considered an 'agreement in principle' and is not given present effect.
- "(iii) CONDITIONS PRECEDENT.—Stock options, convertible securities, and agreements that are subject to conditions precedent that are incapable of fulfillment, speculative, conjectural, or unenforceable under State or Federal law, or where the probability of the transaction (or exercise of the rights) occurring is shown to be extremely remote, are not given present effect.
- "(iv) Ability to divest.—The Administrator shall not give present effect to individuals', concerns', or other entities' ability to divest all or part of their ownership interest to avoid a finding of affiliation.
- "(C) DETERMINING THE CONCERN'S SIZE.—In determining the size of a concern, the Administrator counts the receipts, employees, or the alternate size standard (if applicable) of the concern whose size is at issue and all of the domestic and foreign affiliates of the concern, regardless of whether the affiliates are organized for profit.
- "(D) EXCEPTIONS TO AFFILIATION.—The exceptions to affiliation described in section 121.103(b) of title 13, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation, shall apply."
- (b) Franchise Directory.—Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administration shall publish and maintain on the website of the Administration a Franchise Directory, which shall contain a list that lenders and certified development companies may use in evaluating whether a franchise is eligible for financing from the Administration.

SEC. 11115. LOAN AUTHORIZATION.

- (a) 7(A) LOANS.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:
 - "(F) LOAN AUTHORIZATION.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a loan made or guaranteed under this subsection, the Administration shall issue a written

agreement providing the terms and conditions under which the Administration will make or guarantee the loan.

- "(ii) NOT A CONTRACT.—A written agreement issued under clause (i) is not a contract to make a loan.".
- (b) 504/CDC LOANS.—Section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 696), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(10) LOAN AUTHORIZATION.—

- "(A) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a loan made under this section, the Administration shall issue a written agreement providing the terms and conditions under which the Administration will make the loan.
- "(B) NOT A CONTRACT.—A written agreement issued under subparagraph (A) is not a contract to make a loan."

SEC. 11116. OVERSIGHT OF SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES.

- (a) DEFINITION.—Section 3(r) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(r)) is amended, in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking "As used in section 23 of this Act" and inserting "In this Act".
- (b) CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS; MAXIMUM NUMBER.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(G) ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS RELATING TO SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES.—

"(i) MAXIMUM NUMBER.—

- "(I) IN GENERAL.—Not more than 17 small business lending companies may be authorized to make loans under this subsection at any time.
- ''(II) EXISTING SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES.—
- "(aa) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (III), each of the 14 small business lending companies authorized to make loans under this subsection as of June 1, 2023 shall retain such authorization on and after the date of enactment of this subparagraph.
- "(bb) LOSS OF AUTHORIZATION.—With respect to a lender that, as of the date of enactment of this subparagraph, is authorized as a Community Advantage small business lending company, that lender shall, beginning on that date of enactment—
- $\mbox{``(AA)}$ no longer have that authorization; and
- "(BB) be designated as a lender under the Community Advantage Loan Program established under paragraph (38).
- "(III) TRANSFER OR SALE.—The Administrator shall have the discretion to authorize the transfer or sale of a license of a small business lending company to make loans under this subsection to another small business lending company.
- "(IV) LIMITATION OF DELEGATED AUTHORITY.—
- "(aa) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding paragraph (31), any small business lending company that the Administration authorizes after June 1, 2023 to make loans under this subsection shall be ineligible for delegated authority from the Administration to process, close, service, and liquidate certain loans made under this subsection for the 5-year period beginning on the date on which the Administration authorizes the small business lending company to make loans under this subsection.
- "(bb) EXISTING SBLCS.—Item (aa) shall not apply with respect to each of the 14 small business lending companies authorized to make loans under this subsection as of June 1 2023
 - "(ii) MINIMUM CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS.-
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclauses (II) and (III), to be authorized to make loans under this subsection, a small business lending company shall comply with

the minimum capital requirements in effect on January 3, 2021.

"(II) APPROVED ON OR AFTER JANUARY 4, 2021.—Any small business lending company authorized by the Administration to make loans under this subsection on or after January 4, 2021, including in the event of a change of ownership or control, shall maintain, at a minimum, the greater of—

"(aa) unencumbered paid-in capital and paid-in surplus of not less than \$5,000,000; or

- "(bb) an amount equal to 10 percent of the aggregate of its share of all outstanding loans.
- "(III) REQUIREMENTS ON AND AFTER JANUARY 4, 2024.—On and after January 4, 2024, each small business lending company that makes or acquires a loan under this subsection shall maintain, at a minimum, the greater of—
- "(aa) unencumbered paid-in capital and paid-in surplus of not less than \$5,000,000; or
- "(bb) an amount equal to 10 percent of the aggregate of its share of all outstanding loans.
- "(iii) CRITERIA FOR LICENSING SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPANIES.—The Administrator shall use uniform terms for the licensing of business concerns as small business lending companies and the participation of those companies in the programs under this subsection."
- (c) ANNUAL STRESS TESTING AND REVIEWS.—Section 23(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 650(d)) is amended—
- (1) in paragraph (1), by inserting "IN GENERAL.—" after "(1)";
- (2) in paragraph (2), by inserting "HEAR-ING.—" after "(2)";
- (3) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively;
- (4) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:
- "(3) SPECIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITIES RE-LATED TO SMALL BUSINESS LENDING COMPA-NIES.—
- ``(A) REVIEW AND REVOCATION OF AUTHORITY.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Office of Credit Risk Management (in this paragraph referred to as the 'Director')—
- "(I) may review and revoke the authority of a small business lending company to make, service, or liquidate business loans under section 7(a) for performance, excessive losses, or predatory lending:
- "(II) shall review and may revoke the authority of a small business lending company to make, service, or liquidate business loans under section 7(a) if—
- "(aa) the early default rate for the small business lending company exceeds the average default rate for all small business lending companies participating in the loan program under section 7(a):
- "(bb) the small business lending company fails to comply with the requirements under subparagraph (B); or
- "(cc) the Director finds in an audit conducted under subparagraph (C)(ii) that the small business lending company is not in compliance with 1 or more of the requirements described in subparagraph (C); and
- "(III) shall revoke the authority of a small business lending company to make, service, or liquidate business loans under section 7(a) if the Director has determined the small business lending company has failed to comply with the requirements in subclause (II) or (III) of subparagraph (B)(ii) for 2 or more years in a row.
- "(ii) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—If the Director revokes the authority of a small business lending company to make, service, or liquidate business loans under section 7(a), the Director shall report the revocation, along with details and information describing why that decision was made, to the Of-

- fice of the Inspector General of the Administration.
 - "(B) ANNUAL STRESS TESTS.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—Each small business lending company shall—
- "(I) conduct an annual stress test of the portfolio of the small business lending company under section 7(a) in accordance with the requirements under clause (ii); and
- "(II) report to the Director the findings of each annual stress test conducted under subclause (I).
- "(ii) REQUIREMENTS.—Each stress test conducted under clause (i) shall comply with the following requirements:
- "(I) The small business lending company shall use financial data as of December 31 of the calendar year prior to the reporting year.
- "(II) The small business lending company shall use the scenarios provided by the Director, which shall reflect a minimum of sets of economic and financial conditions, including baseline and severely adverse scenarios that incorporate consideration of interest rate risk. The Director shall provide a description of the scenarios required to be used by each small business lending company not later than February 15 of the reporting year.
- "(III) The board of directors and senior management of each small business lending company shall consider the results of the stress tests conducted under this subsection in the normal course of business, including capital planning, assessment of capital adequacy, and risk management practices of the small business lending company.
- "(C) COMPLIANCE WITH BANK SECRECY ACT AND ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING REQUIRE-MENTS —
- "(i) DEFINITION.—In this subparagraph, the term 'Bank Secrecy Act' means—
- "(I) section 21 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 II S.C. 1829b):
- "(II) chapter 2 of title I of Public Law 91–508 (12 U.S.C. 1951 et seq.); and
- "(III) subchapter II of chapter 53 of title 31, United States Code.
- "(ii) ANNUAL REVIEWS.—The Director—
- "(I) shall conduct annual reviews to ensure that small business lending companies are in compliance with the requirements contained in the regulations issued under clause (iii); and
- "(II) in conducting a review under subclause (I), may not rely on self-certification by a small business lending company that the small business lending company is in compliance with those requirements.
- "(iii) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Modernizing SBA's Business Loan Programs Act of 2023, the Administrator shall, in consultawith other appropriate Federal agencies, issue regulations to provide a framework to ensure that small business lending companies are in compliance with the requirements under the Bank Secrecy Act, including Know Your Customer and antimoney laundering requirements, and any applicable consumer protection laws, including the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.), the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (15 U.S.C. 1691 et seq.), and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (Public Law 106-102; 113 Stat. 1338).
- (5) in paragraph (4), as so redesignated, by inserting "NOTIFICATION.—" after "(4)"; and
- (6) in paragraph (5), as so redesignated, by inserting "DELEGATION.—" after "(5)".

SEC. 11117. OFFICE OF CREDIT RISK MANAGE-MENT.

Section 47 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657t) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (c)—
- (A) in paragraph (1), by inserting before the period at the end the following: "with a

demonstrated career in or outstanding qualifications or expertise related to finance and financial risk management. The Director shall report directly to the Administrator"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(3) COMPENSATION.—The Administrator shall fix the compensation of the Director—

"(A) as necessary to carry out the duties of the Office; and

"(B) in an amount that is not less than the highest rate of basic pay for the Senior Executive Service under section 5382(b) of title 5, United States Code."; and

(2) in subsection (h)(2)—

(A) in subparagraph (I), by striking "and" at the end:

(B) in subparagraph (J), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

"(K) the number of 7(a) lenders that had an early default rate of more than 3 percent; and

"(L) an analysis of the median and average credit scores of borrowers relating to early default rates, purchase rates, and charge offs"

SEC. 11118. DENIED LOAN OR LOAN MODIFICA-TION REQUEST.

(a) 7(A) LOANS.—Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(H) DENIED LOAN OR LOAN MODIFICATION REQUEST.—

"(i) ROLE OF ADMINISTRATOR.—The Administrator may not intervene or make a final decision with respect to a request for reconsideration of a denied loan or loan modification request made by an applicant or recipient of a loan under this subsection.

"(ii) FINAL DECISION.—Only the Director of the Office of Financial Assistance may make a final decision with respect to a request for reconsideration of a denied loan or loan modification request made by an applicant or recipient of a loan under this subsection.".

(b) 504/CDC LOANS.—Section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 696), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:

``(11) Denied loan or loan modification request.—

"(A) ROLE OF ADMINISTRATOR.—The Administrator may not intervene or make a final decision with respect to a request for reconsideration of a denied loan or loan modification request made by an applicant or recipient of a loan under this section.

"(B) FINAL DECISION.—Only the Director of the Office of Financial Assistance may make a final decision with respect to a request for reconsideration of a denied loan or loan modification request made by an applicant or recipient of a loan under this section.".

SEC. 11119. DIRECT LENDING.

Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(I) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED BEFORE DIRECT LENDING.—Not later than 60 days before the Administration implements any policy or pilot program that would allow the Administration to directly make a loan under this subsection, the Administrator shall submit a notification to Congress for review."

SEC. 11120. RESTRICTION ON REFINANCING DEBT.

Section 7(a)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), as amended by this subtitle, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(J) RESTRICTION ON REFINANCING DEBT.—

"(i) DEFINITION.—In this subparagraph, the term 'delegated authority' means status

granted by the Administration to a lender to allow the lender to process, close, service, and liquidate certain loans made under this subsection without prior review by the Administration.

"(ii) RESTRICTION.—A lender shall be prohibited from using any delegated authority under this subsection to refinance any debt held by the lender, including any loan made under this subsection.".

SEC. 11121. GAO STUDY.

Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study and submit to the Administrator, the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate, and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report that includes—

(1) an analysis of the use of alternative credit models for loans made under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) in an amount of less than \$350,000, including—

(A) an analysis of whether appropriate guardrails are in place to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse and provide protections for the borrower:

(B) an evaluation of the effectiveness of those credit models in reducing barriers to access to capital to underserved and rural communities: and

(C) recommendations as to whether improvements can be made by Administration in its use of alternative credit models to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse and to improve access to capital to underserved and rural communities:

(2) an audit of the operations, staffing, and resources of the Office of Credit Risk Management of the Administration, including the efforts of the Office to implement the new oversight provisions under the amendments made by this title; and

(3) a survey of the practices of lenders under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) relating to the use of criminal history when determining whether to approve a loan under that section or a similarly sized commercial loan that is not guaranteed by the Administration.

TITLE LXX—VETERAN ENTREPRENEUR-SHIP TRAINING ACT OF 2023

SEC. 11201. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Veteran Entrepreneurship Training Act of 2023".

SEC. 11202. BOOTS TO BUSINESS PROGRAM.

Section 32 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(h) Boots to Business Program.—

"(1) COVERED INDIVIDUAL DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term 'covered individual' means—

"(A) a member of the Armed Forces, including the National Guard or Reserves;

"(B) an individual who is participating in the Transition Assistance Program established under section 1144 of title 10, United States Code;

"(C) an individual who—

"(i) served on active duty in any branch of the Armed Forces, including the National Guard or Reserves; and

"(ii) was discharged or released from such service under conditions other than dishonorable; and

"(D) a spouse or dependent of an individual described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C).

"(2) ESTABLISHMENT.—During the period beginning on the date of enactment of this subsection and ending on September 30, 2028, the Administrator shall carry out a program to be known as the 'Boots to Business Program' to provide entrepreneurship training to covered individuals.

''(3) GOALS.—The goals of the Boots to Business Program are to—

"(A) provide assistance and in-depth training to covered individuals interested in business ownership; and

"(B) provide covered individuals with the tools, skills, and knowledge necessary to identify a business opportunity, draft a business plan, identify sources of capital, connect with local resources for small business concerns, and start up a small business concern

"(4) PROGRAM COMPONENTS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Boots to Business Program may include—

"(i) an in-person and virtual, as applicable, presentation providing exposure to the considerations involved in self-employment and ownership of a small business concern;

"(ii) an online, self-study course focused on the basic skills of entrepreneurship, the language of business, and the considerations involved in self-employment and ownership of a small business concern;

"(iii) an in-person and virtual, as applicable, classroom instruction component providing an introduction to the foundations of self employment and ownership of a small business concern; and

"(iv) in-depth training delivered through online instruction, including an online course that leads to the creation of a business plan.

"(B) TRAVEL COSTS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the other provisions of this subparagraph, of the total amount of grant funding that a Veteran Business Outreach Center participating in the Boots to Business Program receives from the Administration, the center may not expend more than 35 percent of that funding on costs relating to international travel with respect to the Boots to Business Program.

"(ii) COSTS NOT INCLUDED IN CAP.—Costs relating to the salaries of, or stipends for, instructors under the Boots to Business Program shall not be included for the purposes of the limitation under clause (i).

"(iii) Petition.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—A Veteran Business Outreach Center may petition the Administrator for the center to expend additional funds beyond the limitation under clause (i) for the purposes described in that clause.

"(II) NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—If the Administrator grants any petition submitted under subclause (I), the Administrator shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a notification regarding that decision by the Administrator.

"(C) COLLABORATION.—The Administrator mav—

"(i) collaborate with public and private entities to develop course curricula for the Boots to Business Program;

"(ii) modify program components in coordination with entities participating in a Warriors in Transition program, as defined in section 738(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (10 U.S.C. 1071 note); and

"(iii) consult with Directors of Veteran Business Outreach Centers regarding the necessity of instructor international travel and the feasibility of incorporating virtual classroom components.

"(D) USE OF RESOURCE PARTNERS AND DISTRICT OFFICES.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall—

"(I) ensure that Veteran Business Outreach Centers regularly participate, on a nationwide basis, in the Boots to Business Program; and

"(II) to the maximum extent practicable, use district offices of the Administration and

a variety of other resource partners and entities in administering the Boots to Business Program.

"(ii) GRANT AUTHORITY.—In carrying out clause (i), the Administrator may make grants to Veteran Business Outreach Centers, other resource partners, or other entities to carry out components of the Boots to Business Program.

"(E) AVAILABILITY TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.—The Administrator shall make available to the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Labor information regarding the Boots to Business Program, including all course materials and outreach materials related to the Boots to Business Program, for inclusion on the websites of the Department of Defense and the Department of Labor relating to the Transition Assistance Program, in the Transition Assistance Program manual, and in other relevant materials available for distribution from the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Labor.

"(F) AVAILABILITY TO DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.—In consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Administrator shall make available for distribution and display on the website of the Department of Veterans Affairs and at local facilities of the Department of Veterans Affairs outreach materials regarding the Boots to Business Program, which shall, at a minimum—

"(i) describe the Boots to Business Program and the services provided; and

"(ii) include eligibility requirements for participating in the Boots to Business Program.

"(G) AVAILABILITY TO OTHER PARTICIPATING AGENCIES.—The Administrator shall ensure information regarding the Boots to Business program, including all course materials and outreach materials related to the Boots to Business Program, is made available to other participating agencies in the Transition Assistance Program and upon request of other agencies.

"(5) COMPETITIVE BIDDING PROCEDURES.— The Administration shall use relevant competitive bidding procedures with respect to any contract or cooperative agreement executed by the Administration under the Boots to Business Program.

"(6) PUBLICATION OF NOTICE OF FUNDING OP-PORTUNITY.—Not later than 30 days before the deadline for submitting applications for any funding opportunity under the Boots to Business Program, the Administration shall publish a notice of the funding opportunity.

"(7) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, and not less frequently than annually thereafter, the Administrator shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report on the performance and effectiveness of the Boots to Business Program, which—

"(A) may be included as part of another report submitted to such committees by the Administrator related to the Office of Veterans Business Development; and

"(B) shall summarize available information relating to—

"(i) grants awarded under paragraph (4)(D); "(ii) the total cost of the Boots to Business Program:

"(iii) the amount of program funds used for domestic and international travel expenses;

"(iv) each domestic location and international location traveled to for Boots to Business program instruction;

"(v) the number of program participants using each component of the Boots to Business Program; "(vi) the completion rates for each component of the Boots to Business Program; and "(vii) to the extent possible—

"(I) the demographics of program participants, to include gender, age, race, ethnicity, and relationship to the Armed Forces;

"(II) the number of program participants that connect with a district office of the Administration, a Veteran Business Outreach Center, or another resource partner of the Administration:

"(III) the number of program participants that start a small business concern:

"(IV) the results of the Boots to Business and Boots to Business Reboot course quality surveys conducted by the Office of Veterans Business Development before and after attending each of those courses, including a summary of any comments received from program participants;

"(V) the results of the Boots to Business Program outcome surveys conducted by the Office of Veterans Business Development, including a summary of any comments received from program participants; and

"(VI) the results of other germane participant satisfaction surveys:

"(C) an evaluation of the overall effectiveness of the Boots to Business Program based on each geographic region covered by the Administration during the most recent fiscal year;

"(D) an assessment of additional performance outcome measures for the Boots to Business Program, as identified by the Administrator;

"(E) any recommendations of the Administrator for improvement of the Boots to Business Program, which may include expansion of the types of individuals who are covered individuals:

"(F) an explanation of how the Boots to Business Program has been integrated with other transition programs and related resources of the Administration and other Federal agencies; and

"(G) any additional information the Administrator determines necessary."

TITLE LXXI—SMALL BUSINESS CHILD CARE INVESTMENT ACT

SEC. 11301. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Small Business Child Care Investment Act".

SEC. 11302. SMALL BUSINESS LOANS FOR NON-PROFIT CHILD CARE PROVIDERS.

(a) In GENERAL.—Section 3(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(10) NONPROFIT CHILD CARE PROVIDERS.—
"(A) DEFINITION.—In this paragraph, the term 'covered nonprofit child care provider' means an organization—

"(i) that-

"(I) is in compliance with licensing requirements for child care providers of the State in which the organization is located;

"(II) is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code;

"(III) is primarily engaged in providing child care for children from birth to compulsory school age; and

"(IV) is in compliance with the size standards established under this subsection for business concerns in the applicable industry;

"(ii) for which each employee and regular volunteer complies with the criminal background check requirements under section 658H(b) of the Child Care and Development Block Grant Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 9858f(b));

"(iii) that may-

"(I) provide care for school-age children outside of school hours or outside of the school year; or

"(II) offer preschool or prekindergarten educational programs; and

"(iv) subject to any exemption under Federal law applicable to the organization, that

certifies to the Administrator that the organization will not discriminate in any business practice, including providing services to the public, on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, age, disability, or national origin.

``(B) ELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN LOAN PROGRAMS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection, a covered nonprofit child care provider shall be deemed to be a small business concern for purposes of loans under section 7(a) of this Act or financing under title V of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 695 et seq.).

"(ii) LOAN GUARANTEE.—A covered non-profit child care center provider—

"(I) shall obtain a guarantee of timely payment of the loan or financing from another person or entity to be eligible for a loan or financing of more than \$500,000 under the authority under clause (i); and

"(II) shall not be required to obtain a guarantee of timely payment of the loan or financing to be eligible for a loan or financing that is not more than \$500,000 under the authority under clause (i).

"(C) LIMITATION ON BASIS FOR INELIGIBILITY.—The Administrator may not determine that a covered nonprofit child care center provider is not eligible for a loan or financing described in subparagraph (B)(i) on the basis that the proceeds of the loan or financing will be used for a religious activity protected under the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, as interpreted by the courts of the United States."

(b) Reporting.-

(1) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term "covered nonprofit child care provider" has the meaning given the term in paragraph (10) of section 3(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(a)), as added by subsection (a).

(2) REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Administrator shall submit to Congress a report that contains—

(A) for the year covered by the report-

(i) the number of loans made under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) and the number of financings provided under title V of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 695 et seq.) to covered nonprofit child care providers; and

(ii) the amount of such loans made and the amount of such financings provided to covered nonprofit child care providers; and

(B) any other information determined relevant by the Administrator.

TITLE LXXII—SUPPORTING SMALL BUSINESS AND CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACT OF 2023

SEC. 11401. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Supporting Small Business and Career and Technical Education Act of 2023".

SEC. 11402. INCLUSION OF CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION.

(a) DEFINITION.—Section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(gg) CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION.— The term 'career and technical education' has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006 (20 U.S.C. 2302).".

(b) SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTERS.—Section 21(c)(3) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(c)(3)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (T), by striking "and" at the end;

(2) in clause (v) of the first subparagraph (U) (relating to succession planning), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon:

(3) by redesignating the second subparagraph (U) (relating to training on domestic

and international intellectual property protections) as subparagraph (V);

(4) in subparagraph (V)(ii)(II), as so redesignated, by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

- "(W) assisting small business concerns in hiring graduates from career and technical education programs or programs of study; and
- "(X) assisting graduates of career and technical education programs or programs of study in starting up a small business concern.".
- (c) Women's Business Centers.—Section 29(b) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656(b)) is amended—
- (1) in paragraph (2), by striking "and" at the end:
- (2) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and
- (3) by adding at the end the following:
- "(4) assistance for small business concerns to hire graduates from career and technical education programs or programs of study; and
- "(5) assistance for graduates of career and technical education programs or programs of study to start up a small business concern.".

TITLE LXXIII—SMALL BUSINESS DIS-ASTER DAMAGE FAIRNESS ACT OF 2023 SEC. 11501. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Small Business Disaster Damage Fairness Act of 2023".

SEC. 11502. COLLATERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISASTER LOANS.

Section 7(d)(6) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(d)(6)) is amended, in the third proviso—

- (1) by striking "\$14,000" and inserting "\$25,000"; and
- "\$25,000"; and
 (2) by striking "major disaster" and inserting "disaster".

SEC. 11503. GAO REPORT ON DEFAULT RATES.

Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report on the performance, including the default rate, of loans made under section 7(b)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)), and the impact of the amendments to collateral amounts made under section 11502 on the performance of those loans, during the period—

- (1) beginning on September 30, 2020; and
- (2) ending on the date on that is 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

TITLE LXXIV—NATIVE AMERICAN ENTRE-PRENEURIAL AND OPPORTUNITY ACT OF 2023

SEC. 11601. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Native American Entrepreneurial and Opportunity Act of 2023".

SEC. 11602. OFFICE OF NATIVE AMERICAN AF-FAIRS.

The Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) is amended—

- (1) by redesignating section 49 (15 U.S.C. 631 note) as section 50; and
- (2) by inserting after section 48 (15 U.S.C. 657u) the following:

"SEC. 49. OFFICE OF NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS.

- "(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- "(1) ASSOCIATE ADMINISTRATOR.—The term 'Associate Administrator' means the Associate Administrator for Native American Affairs appointed under subsection (c).
- "(2) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term 'Indian Tribe' has the meaning given the term 'Indian tribe' in section 8(a)(13).
- "(3) NATIVE HAWAIIAN ORGANIZATION.—The term 'Native Hawaiian Organization' has the meaning given the term in section 8(a)(15).

- "(4) OFFICE.—The term 'Office' means the Office of Native American Affairs described in this section.
- "(b) Establishment.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—There is established within the Administration the Office of Native American Affairs, which shall be responsible for establishing a working relationship with Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations by targeting programs of the Administration relating to entrepreneurial development, contracting, and capital access to revitalize Native businesses and economic development in Indian country.
- "(2) CONNECTION WITH OTHER PROGRAMS.— To the extent reasonable, the Office shall connect Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations to programs administered by other Federal agencies related to the interests described in paragraph (1).
 - "(3) ALTERNATIVE WORK SITES.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—The Office may establish alternative work sites within such regional offices of the Administration as may be necessary, with initial focus on those parts of Indian Country most economically disadvantaged, to perform efficiently the functions and responsibilities of the Office.
- "(B) PROHIBITION.—The alternative work sites established under subparagraph (A) shall not be field offices of the Administration.
- "(c) Associate Administrator.—The Office shall be headed by an Associate Administrator for Native American Affairs, who shall—
- "(1) be appointed by and report to the Administrator:
- "(2) have knowledge of Native American cultures and experience providing culturally tailored small business development assistance to Native Americans:
- "(3) carry out the program to provide assistance to Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations and small business concerns owned and controlled by individuals who are members of those groups;
- "(4) administer and manage Native American outreach expansion;
- "(5) enhance assistance to Native Americans by formulating and promoting policies, programs, and assistance that better address their entrepreneurial, capital access, business development, and contracting needs, and collaborate with other Associate Administrators and intergovernmental leaders with similar missions across Federal agencies on the development of policies and plans to implement new programs of the Administration, while supplementing existing Federal programs to holistically serve those needs:
- "(6) provide grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, or other financial assistance to Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations, or to private nonprofit organizations governed by members of those entities, that have the experience and capability to—
- "(A) deploy training, counseling, workshops, educational outreach, and supplier events; and
- "(B) access the entrepreneurial, capital, and contracting programs of the Administration;
- "(7) assist the Administrator in conducting, or conduct, Tribal consultation to solicit input and facilitate discussion of potential modifications to programs and procedures of the Administration: and
- ``(8) recommend annual budgets for the Office.
- "(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There is authorized to be appropriated to the Office such sums as may be necessary for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2028 to carry out this section."

TITLE LXXV—SUPPORTING COMMUNITY LENDERS ACT

SEC. 11701. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Supporting Community Lenders Act".

SEC. 11702. COORDINATOR FOR COMMUNITY FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS.

Section 7 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(0) COORDINATOR FOR COMMUNITY FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS.—
- "(1) Definitions.—In this subsection—
- "(A) the term 'Associate Administrator' means the Associate Administrator of the Office of Capital Access of the Administration;
- "(B) the term 'community financial institution' has the meaning given the term in paragraph (36); and
- "(C) the term 'Coordinator' means the Coordinator for Community Financial Institutions.
- "(2) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within the Office of Capital Access of the Administration the position of Coordinator for Community Financial Institutions, the occupant of which shall be responsible for the planning, coordination, implementation, evaluation, and improvement of the efforts of the Administrator to enhance the performance of community financial institutions and support access to capital for small business concerns.
 - "(3) Coordinator.—
- "(A) In general.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Supporting Community Lenders Act, the Administrator shall designate an individual to serve as Coordinator, who shall—
- "(i) report to the Associate Administrator; and
- "(ii) have knowledge of community financial institutions and experience providing access to capital to small business concerns in underserved communities.
 - "(B) DUTIES.—The Coordinator shall—
- "(i) create and implement strategies and programs that support the activities, development, and growth of community financial institutions:
- "(ii) administer and manage outreach, technical support, and training programs to existing, and potential, community financial institutions:
- "(iii) establish partnerships within the Administration and with relevant Federal agencies, including the Department of the Treasury, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Department of Agriculture, and the Minority Business Development Agency, to advance the goal of supporting the economic success of small business concerns through community financial institutions;
- "(iv) review the effectiveness and impact of community financial institutions;
- "(v) when appropriate, advocate on behalf of community financial institutions within the Administration, and to outside organizations, including other relevant Federal agencies."
- "(vi) hold public meetings with relevant stakeholders not less frequently than once every 6 months beginning 1 year after the date of enactment of the Supporting Community Lenders Act; and
- "(vii) not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of the Supporting Community Lenders Act, and not less frequently than once every 3 years thereafter, submit to Congress a report on the major activities of the Coordinator, recommendations for congressional action based on the expertise of the Coordinator, and potential for growth within the areas in which the Coordinator operates.
- "(C) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out the duties under this paragraph, the Coordinator shall consult with—

"(i) district offices of the Administration; and

"(ii) other relevant Federal agencies, including the Department of the Treasury, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Minority Business Development Agency.".

SEC. 11703. OFFICE OF ADVOCACY EMPLOYEE ELIGIBILITY FOR FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE.

The Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Administration shall immediately notify the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives if, at any point, an employee, including a contracted employee, of the Office of Advocacy who has been employed at the Office of Advocacy for more than 1 year is not eligible for paid leave under subchapter V of chapter 63 of title 5, United States Code.

TITLE LXXVI—SBIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT OF 2023

SEC. 11801. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "SBIC Advisory Committee Act of 2023".

SEC. 11802. SBIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

- (a) Definitions.—In this section-
- (1) the term "Advisory Committee" means the SBIC Advisory Committee established under subsection (b);
- (2) the term "covered Members" means the Chair and Ranking Member of—
- Chair and Ranking Member of—

 (A) the Committee on Small Business and
- Entrepreneurship of the Senate; and (B) the Committee on Small Business of
- the House of Representatives;
 (3) the terms "licensee", "small business investment company", and "underlicensed State" have the meanings given those terms in section 103 of the Small Business Invest-
- ment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 662); (4) the term "low-income community" has the meaning given the term in section 45D(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;
- (5) the term "rural area" has the meaning given the term by the Bureau of the Census;
- (6) the terms "small business concern owned and controlled by veterans" and "small business concern owned and controlled by women" have the meanings given those terms in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632):
- (7) the term "socially or economically disadvantaged individual" means a socially disadvantaged individual or economically disadvantaged individual, as described in paragraphs (5) and (6)(A), respectively, of section 8(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)):
- (8) the term "underfinanced State" means a State that has below median financing, as determined by the Administrator; and
- (9) the term "underserved community" means—
- (A) a HUBZone, as defined in section 31(b) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657a(b));
- (B) a community that has been designated as an empowerment zone or an enterprise community under section 1391 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;
- (C) a community that has been designated as a promise zone by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development; or
- (D) a community that has been designated as a qualified opportunity zone under section 1400Z-1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.
- (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Administrator shall establish an SBIC Advisory Committee to convene outside experts to advise on the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.).
 - (c) Composition.-
- (1) MEMBERSHIP.—The Advisory Committee shall be composed of 16 members appointed by the Administrator as follows:

- (A) The Associate Administrator of the Office of Investment and Innovation of the Administration, or another designee of the Associate Administrator, as determined by the Administrator.
- (B) 7 members with competence regarding, interest in, or knowledge of the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.), of whom—
- (i) not fewer than 3 shall have a demonstrated record of expertise in investing in...
 - (I) low-income communities;
- (II) communities that have been designated as qualified opportunity zones under section 1400Z-1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986:
- (III) businesses primarily engaged in research and development;
 - (IV) manufacturers;
- (V) businesses primarily owned or controlled by individuals in underserved communities before receiving capital from the licensee;
 - (VI) rural areas; or
 - (VII) underfinanced States; and
- (ii) not less than 1 shall be a representative from a trade association for the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.).
- (C) 8 members appointed by the Administrator as follows:
- (i) 2 members shall be selected from among the individuals in the list submitted by the Chair of the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate under paragraph (2).
- (ii) 2 members shall be selected from among the individuals in the list submitted by the Ranking Member of the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate under paragraph (2).
- (iii) 2 members shall be selected from among the individuals in the list submitted by the Chair of the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives under paragraph (2).
- (iv) 2 members shall be selected from among the individuals in the list submitted by the Ranking Member of the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives under paragraph (2)
- (2) RECOMMENDATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, each of the covered Members shall provide to the Administrator a list of 3 candidates for membership on the Advisory Committee, who shall be individuals who have no conflict of interest in the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.) and hold a high-ranking position or senior leadership role in—
- (A) a relevant industry trade association:
- (B) the investment industry with expertise in pensions, endowments, and other nonbanking institutions;
- (C) academia with expertise in the investment industry; or
- (D) a nonprofit institution, including a nonprofit institution that serves any of the entities described in subclauses (I) through (VII) of paragraph (1)(B)(i).
- (3) PRIVATE SECTOR MEMBERS.—Not fewer than 2 and not more than 4 of the members of the Advisory Committee shall be investors in the private sector who—
- (A) invest in small business concerns; and (B) as of the date of appointment, do not participate in the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.).
- (4) CHAIRPERSON.—The Chairperson of the Advisory Committee shall be the member of the Advisory Committee appointed under paragraph (1)(A).

- (5) PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT.—Members of the Advisory Committee shall be appointed for the life of the Advisory Committee.
- (6) VACANCIES.—Any vacancy in the Advisory Committee shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.
- (d) DEADLINE FOR APPOINTMENT.—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the covered Members provide the lists to the Administrator under subsection (c)(2), the Administrator shall—
- (1) appoint the members of the Advisory Committee; and
- (2) submit to Congress a list of the members so appointed.
- (e) DUTTES.—The Advisory Committee shall provide advice and recommendations to the Administrator concerning—
- (1) policy and program development and other matters of significance concerning activities under the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) and the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), including diversifying management teams or companies:
- (2) incentives for small business investment companies to—
- (A) invest and locate in underlicensed States and underfinanced States; and
- (B) invest in small business concerns, including small business concerns owned and controlled by socially or economically disadvantaged individuals, small business concerns owned and controlled by veterans, and small business concerns owned and controlled by women:
- (3) metrics of success, and benchmarks for success, with respect to the goals described in this section; and
- (4) the impact of the small business investment program under title III of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681 et seq.) on the private investment market, including whether investments under the program compete with the private sector.
- (f) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date on which the Administrator establishes the Advisory Committee under subsection (b), the Advisory Committee shall submit to the Administrator, the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate, and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report that includes the recommendations of the Advisory Committee described in subsection (e).
- (g) TERMINATION.—The Advisory Committee shall terminate on the date on which the Advisory Committee submits the report required under subsection (f).
- SA 1069. Mr. WHITEHOUSE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:
- At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

SEC. _____. AMENDMENT TO DEPARTMENT OF STATE REWARDS PROGRAM.

Section 36(b) of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 (22 U.S.C. 2708(b)) is amended—

- (1) in paragraph (13), by striking "; or" and inserting a semicolon;
- (2) in paragraph (14), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; or"; and
- (3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(15) the conviction of any individual for a criminal violation of United States sanctions"

SA 1070. Ms. SINEMA (for herself and Mr. Lankford) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title X of division A, add the following:

Subtitle H—Combating Cartels on Social Media Act of 2023

SEC. 1091. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "Combating Cartels on Social Media Act of 2023". SEC. 1092. DEFINITIONS.

- In this subtitle:
- (1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term "appropriate congressional committees" means—
- (A) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and
- (B) the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.
- (2) COVERED OPERATOR.—The term "covered operator" means the operator, developer, or publisher of a covered service.
- (3) COVERED SERVICE.—The term "covered service" means—
 - (A) a social media platform;
- (B) a mobile or desktop service with direct or group messaging capabilities, but not including text messaging services without other substantial social functionalities or electronic mail services, that the Secretary of Homeland Security determines is being or has been used by transnational criminal organizations in connection with matters described in section 1093; and
- (C) a digital platform, or an electronic application utilizing the digital platform, involving real-time interactive communication between multiple individuals, including multi-player gaming services and immersive technology platforms or applications, that the Secretary of Homeland Security determines is being or has been used by transnational criminal organizations in connection with matters described in section 1093.
- (4) CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE.—The term "criminal enterprise" has the meaning given the term "continuing criminal enterprise" in section 408 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 848).
- (5) ILLICIT ACTIVITIES.—The term "illicit activities" means the following criminal activities that transcend national borders:
- (A) A violation of section 401 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 841).
- (B) Narcotics trafficking, as defined in section 808 of the Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act (21 U.S.C. 1907).
- (C) Trafficking of weapons, as defined in section 922 of title 18, United States Code.
- (D) Migrant smuggling, defined as a violation of section 274(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii)).
 - (E) Human trafficking, defined as-
- (i) a violation of section 1590, 1591, or 1592 of title 18, United States Code; or
- (ii) engaging in severe forms of trafficking in persons, as defined in section 103 of the

- Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000 (22 U.S.C. 7102).
- (F) Cyber crime, defined as a violation of section 1030 of title 18, United States Code.
- (G) A violation of any provision that is subject to intellectual property enforcement, as defined in section 302 of the Prioritizing Resources and Organization for Intellectual Property Act of 2008 (15 U.S.C. 8112).
- (H) Bulk cash smuggling of currency, defined as a violation of section 5332 of title 31, United States Code.
- (I) Laundering the proceeds of the criminal activities described in subparagraphs (A) through (H).
- (6) TRANSNATIONAL CRIMINAL ORGANIZA-TION.—The term "transnational criminal organization" means groups, networks, and asindividuals sociated who operate transnationally for the purposes of obtaining power, influence, or monetary or commercial gain, wholly or in part by certain illegal means, while advancing their activities through a pattern of crime, corruption, or violence, and while protecting their illegal activities through a transnational organizational structure and the exploitation of public corruption or transnational logistics, financial, or communication mechanisms.

SEC. 1093. ASSESSMENT OF ILLICIT USAGE.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a joint assessment describing—

- (1) the use of covered services by transnational criminal organizations, or criminal enterprises acting on behalf of transnational criminal organizations, to engage in recruitment efforts, including the recruitment of individuals, including individuals under the age of 18, located in the United States to engage in or provide support with respect to illicit activities occurring in the United States, Mexico, or otherwise in proximity to an international boundary of the United States;
- (2) the use of covered services by transnational criminal organizations to engage in illicit activities or conduct in support of illicit activities, including—
- (A) smuggling or trafficking involving narcotics, other controlled substances, precursors thereof, or other items prohibited under the laws of the United States, Mexico, or another relevant jurisdiction, including firearms:
- (B) human smuggling or trafficking, including the exploitation of children; and
- (C) transportation of bulk currency or monetary instruments in furtherance of smuggling activity; and
- (3) the existing efforts of the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of State, and relevant government and law enforcement entities to counter, monitor, or otherwise respond to the usage of covered services described in paragraphs (1) and (2).

SEC. 1094. STRATEGY TO COMBAT CARTEL RE-CRUITMENT ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND ONLINE PLATFORMS.

(a) In General.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a joint strategy, to be known as the National Strategy to Combat Illicit Recruitment Activity by Transnational Criminal Organizations on Social Media and Online Platforms, to combat the use of covered services by transnational criminal organizations, or criminal enterprises acting on behalf of transnational criminal organizations, to recruit individuals located in the United States to engage in or provide support with

respect to illicit activities occurring in the United States, Mexico, or otherwise in proximity to an international boundary of the United States

- (b) ELEMENTS.
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The strategy required under subsection (a) shall, at a minimum, include the following:
- (A) A proposal to improve cooperation and thereafter maintain cooperation between the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of State, and relevant law enforcement entities with respect to the matters described in subsection (a).
- (B) Recommendations to implement a process for the voluntary reporting of information regarding the recruitment efforts of transnational criminal organizations in the United States involving covered services.
- (C) A proposal to improve intragovernmental coordination with respect to the matters described in subsection (a), including between the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of State, and State, Tribal, and local governments.
- (D) A proposal to improve coordination within the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of State and between the components of those Departments with respect to the matters described in subsection (a).
- (E) Activities to facilitate increased intelligence analysis for law enforcement purposes of efforts of transnational criminal organizations to utilize covered services for recruitment to engage in or provide support with respect to illicit activities.
- (F) Activities to foster international partnerships and enhance collaboration with foreign governments and, as applicable, multilateral institutions with respect to the matters described in subsection (a).
- (G) Activities to specifically increase engagement and outreach with youth in border communities, including regarding the recruitment tactics of transnational criminal organizations and the consequences of participation in illicit activities.
- (H) A detailed description of the measures used to ensure—
- (i) law enforcement and intelligence activities focus on the recruitment activities of transitional criminal organizations not individuals the transnational criminal organizations attempt to or successfully recruit; and
- (ii) the privacy rights, civil rights, and civil liberties protections in carrying out the activities described in clause (i), with a particular focus on the protections in place to protect minors and constitutionally protected activities.
- (2) LIMITATION.—The strategy required under subsection (a) shall not include legislative recommendations or elements predicated on the passage of legislation that is not enacted as of the date on which the strategy is submitted under subsection (a).
- (c) CONSULTATION.—In drafting and implementing the strategy required under subsection (a), the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall, at a minimum, consult and engage with—
- (1) the heads of relevant components of the Department of Homeland Security, including—
- (A) the Under Secretary for Intelligence and Analysis;
- (B) the Under Secretary for Strategy, Policy, and Plans;
- (C) the Under Secretary for Science and Technology;
- (D) the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection;
- (E) the Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement;
- (F) the Officer for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties;
- (G) the Privacy Officer; and

- (H) the Assistant Secretary of the Office for State and Local Law Enforcement;
- for State and Local Law Enforcement;
 (2) the heads of relevant components of the
 Department of State, including—
- (A) the Assistant Secretary for International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs:
- (B) the Assistant Secretary for Western Hemisphere Affairs; and
- (C) the Coordinator of the Global Engagement Center;
 - (3) the Attorney General;
- (4) the Secretary of Health and Human Services; and
 - (5) the Secretary of Education; and
- (6) as selected by the Secretary of Homeland Security, or his or her designee in the Office of Public Engagement, representatives of border communities, including representatives of—
- (A) State, Tribal, and local governments, including school districts and local law enforcement; and
- (B) nongovernmental experts in the fields of—
- (i) civil rights and civil liberties;
- (ii) online privacy;
- (iii) humanitarian assistance for migrants; and
 - (iv) youth outreach and rehabilitation.
- (d) IMPLEMENTATION.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date on which the strategy required under subsection (a) is submitted to the appropriate congressional committees, the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall commence implementation of the strategy.
 - (2) Report.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the strategy required under subsection (a) is implemented under paragraph (1), and semiannually thereafter for 5 years, the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a joint report describing the efforts of the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State to implement the strategy required under subsection (a) and the progress of those efforts, which shall include a description of—
- (i) the recommendations, and corresponding implementation of those recommendations, with respect to the matters described in subsection (b)(1)(B);
- (ii) the interagency posture with respect to the matters covered by the strategy required under subsection (a), which shall include a description of collaboration between the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of State, other Federal entities, State, local, and Tribal entities, and foreign governments; and
- (iii) the threat landscape, including new developments related to the United States recruitment efforts of transnational criminal organizations and the use by those organizations of new or emergent covered services and recruitment methods.
- (B) FORM.—Each report required under subparagraph (A) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.
- (3) CIVIL RIGHTS, CIVIL LIBERTIES, AND PRIVACY ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 2 years after the date on which the strategy required under subsection (a) is implemented under paragraph (1), the Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties and the Privacy Office of the Department of Homeland Security shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a joint report that includes—
- (A) a detailed assessment of the measures used to ensure the protection of civil rights, civil liberties, and privacy rights in carrying out this section; and

- (B) recommendations to improve the implementation of the strategy required under subsection (a).
- (4) RULEMAKING.—Prior to implementation of the strategy required under subsection (a) at the Department of Homeland Security, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall issue rules to carry out this section in accordance with section 553 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 1095. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed to expand the statutory law enforcement or regulatory authority of the Department of Homeland Security or the Department of State.

SEC. 1096, NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS.

No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated for the purpose of carrying out this subtitle.

SA 1071. Mr. DAINES submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following:

SEC. 1049. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR ADULT CABARET PERFORMANCES.

- (a) PROHIBITION.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act for fiscal year 2024 for the Department of Defense and no facilities owned or operated by Department of Defense may be used to host, advertise, or otherwise support an adult cabaret performance.
- (b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) ADULT CABARET PERFORMANCE.—The term "adult cabaret performance" means a performance that features topless dancers, go-go dancers, exotic dances, strippers, or male or female impersonators who provide entertainment that appeals to prurient interest.
- (2) FACILITIES OWNED OR OPERATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—The term "facilities owned or operated by the Department of Defense" means any facility owned, operated, or defended by members of the Armed Forces or civilian employees of the Department of Defense, including maritime vessels, OCONUS installations, Department of State facilities, intelligence community facilities, and cemeteries.
- (3) HOST, ADVERTISE, OR OTHERWISE SUP-PORT.—The term "host, advertise, or otherwise support" includes such activities as social media, background checks, transportation or escort, meal services, event venues, non-governmental or non-military related flags, banners, and fliers.

SA 1072. Mr. SCHATZ (for himself and Ms. Murkowski) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2226, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2024 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end, add the following:

DIVISION I—NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING ASSISTANCE AND SELF-DETERMINA-TION REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2023

SEC. 11001. SHORT TITLE.

This division may be cited as the "Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Reauthorization Act of 2023".

SEC. 11002. CONSOLIDATION OF ENVIRON-MENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS.

Section 105 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4115) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(e) Consolidation of Environmental Review Requirements.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a recipient of grant amounts under this Act that is carrying out a project that qualifies as an affordable housing activity under section 202, if the recipient is using 1 or more additional sources of Federal funds to carry out the project, and the grant amounts received under this Act constitute the largest single source of Federal funds that the recipient reasonably expects to commit to the project at the time of environmental review, the Indian tribe of the recipient may assume, in addition to all of the responsibilities for environmental review, decision making, and action under subsection (a), all of the additional responsibilities for environmental review, decision making, and action under provisions of law that would apply to each Federal agency providing additional funding were the Federal agency to carry out the project as a Federal project.
- "(2) DISCHARGE.—The assumption by the Indian tribe of the additional responsibilities for environmental review, decision making, and action under paragraph (1) with respect to a project shall be deemed to discharge the responsibility of the applicable Federal agency for environmental review, decision making, and action with respect to the project.
- "(3) CERTIFICATION.—An Indian tribe that assumes the additional responsibilities under paragraph (1), shall certify, in addition to the requirements under subsection (c)—
- "(A) the additional responsibilities that the Indian tribe has fully carried out under this subsection; and
- "(B) that the certifying officer consents to assume the status of a responsible Federal official under the provisions of law that would apply to each Federal agency providing additional funding under paragraph (1).
- "(4) Liability.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—An Indian tribe that completes an environmental review under this subsection shall assume sole liability for the content and quality of the review.
- "(B) REMEDIES AND SANCTIONS.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), if the Secretary approves a certification and release of funds to an Indian tribe for a project in accordance with subsection (b), but the Secretary or the head of another Federal agency providing funding for the project subsequently learns that the Indian tribe failed to carry out the responsibilities of the Indian tribe as described in subsection (a) or paragraph (1), as applicable, the Secretary or other head, as applicable, may impose appropriate remedies and sanctions in accordance with—
- ``(i) the regulations issued pursuant to section 106; or
- "(ii) such regulations as are issued by the other head.
- "(C) STATUTORY VIOLATION WAIVERS.—If the Secretary waives the requirements under this section in accordance with subsection (d) with respect to a project for which an Indian tribe assumes additional responsibilities under paragraph (1), the waiver shall prohibit any other Federal agency providing

additional funding for the project from imposing remedies or sanctions for failure to comply with requirements for environmental review, decision making, and action under provisions of law that would apply to the Federal agency.'

SEC. 11003. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-TIONS.

Section 108 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4117) is amended, in the first sentence, by striking "2009 through 2013" and inserting "2024 through 2030"

SEC. 11004. STUDENT HOUSING ASSISTANCE.

Section 202(3) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4132(3)) is amended by inserting "including college housing assistance" after "self-sufficiency and other services,"

SEC. 11005, APPLICATION OF RENT RULE ONLY TO UNITS OWNED OR OPERATED BY INDIAN TRIBE OR TRIBALLY DES-IGNATED HOUSING ENTITY.

Section 203(a)(2) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4133(a)(2)) is amended by inserting "owned or operated by a recipient and" after "residing in a dwelling unit".

SEC. 11006. DE MINIMIS EXEMPTION FOR PRO-CUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERV-ICES.

Section 203(g) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4133(g)) is amended by striking "\$5,000" and inserting "\$10,000"

SEC. 11007. HOMEOWNERSHIP OR LEASE-TO-OWN LOW-INCOME REQUIREMENT INCOME TARGETING.

Section 205 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4135) is amended-

- (1) in subsection (a)(1)-
- (A) in subparagraph (C), by striking "and" at the end; and
 - (B) by adding at the end the following:
- '(E) notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, in the case of rental housing that is made available to a current rental family for conversion to a homebuyer or a lease-purchase unit, that the current rental family can purchase through a contract of sale, lease-purchase agreement, or any other sales agreement, is made available for nurchase only by the current rental family, if the rental family was a low-income family at the time of their initial occupancy of such unit; and"; and
 - (2) in subsection (c)-
- (A) by striking "The provisions" and inserting the following:
 - "(1) IN GENERAL.—The provisions"; and
 - (B) by adding at the end the following:
- "(2) APPLICABILITY TO IMPROVEMENTS.—The provisions of subsection (a)(2) regarding binding commitments for the remaining useful life of property shall not apply to improvements of privately owned homes if the cost of the improvements do not exceed 10 percent of the maximum total development cost for the home.".

SEC. 11008. LEASE REQUIREMENTS AND TENANT SELECTION.

Section 207 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4137) is amended by adding at the end the following:

'(c) NOTICE OF TERMINATION.—The notice period described in subsection (a)(3) shall apply to projects and programs funded in part by amounts authorized under this Act.". SEC. 11009. INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title II of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4131 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"SEC. 211. IHS SANITATION FACILITIES CON-STRUCTION.

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Director of the Indian Health Service, or a recipient receiving funding for a housing construction or renovation project under this title, may use funding from the Indian Health Service for the construction of sanitation facilities under that project

table of (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The contents in section 1(b) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-330; 110 Stat. 4016) is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 210 the following:

"Sec. 211. IHS sanitation facilities construction.

SEC. 11010. STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO SUSPEND GRANT FUNDS IN EMERGENCIES.

Section 401(a)(4) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4161(a)(4)) is amended—

- (1) in subparagraph (A), by striking "may take an action described in paragraph (1)(C)' and inserting "may immediately take an action described in paragraph (1)(C)"; and
- (2) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:
- "(B) Procedural requirements.
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary takes an action described in subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall provide notice to the recipient at the time that the Secretary takes
- "(ii) NOTICE REQUIREMENTS.—The notice under clause (i) shall inform the recipient that the recipient may request a hearing by not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary provides the notice.
- "(iii) HEARING REQUIREMENTS.—A hearing requested under clause (ii) shall be ducted-
- (I) in accordance with subpart A of part 26 of title 24, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations); and
- "(II) to the maximum extent practicable. on an expedited basis.
- '(iv) Failure to conduct a hearing.—If a hearing requested under clause (ii) is not completed by the date that is 180 days after the date on which the recipient requests the hearing, the action of the Secretary to limit the availability of payments shall no longer be effective."

SEC 11011 REPORTS TO CONGRESS

Section 407 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4167) is amended-

- (1) in subsection (a), by striking "Congress" and inserting "Committee on Indian Affairs and the Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives"; and
- (2) by adding at the end the following:
- "(c) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The report described in subsection (a) shall be made publicly available, including to recipients.

SEC. 11012. 99-YEAR LEASEHOLD INTEREST IN TRUST OR RESTRICTED LANDS FOR HOUSING PURPOSES.

Section 702 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4211) is amended-

- (1) in the section heading, by striking "50-YEAR" and inserting "99-YEAR":
- (2) in subsection (b), by striking "50 years" and inserting "99 years"; and
- (3) in subsection (c)(2), by striking "50 years" and inserting "99 years".

SEC. 11013. AMENDMENTS FOR BLOCK GRANTS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING ACTIVI-

Section 802(e) of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4222(e)) is amended by-

(1) by striking "The Director" and inserting the following:

- "(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director"; and (2) by adding at the end the following:
- SUBAWARDS.—Notwithstanding other provision of law, including provisions of State law requiring competitive procure-

ment, the Director may make subawards to subrecipients, except for for-profit entities, using amounts provided under this title to carry out affordable housing activities upon a determination by the Director that such subrecipients have adequate capacity to carry out activities in accordance with this Act.

SEC. 11014. REAUTHORIZATION OF NATIVE HA-WAIIAN HOMEOWNERSHIP PROVI-

Section 824 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4243) is amended by striking "such sums as may be necessary" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "such sums as may be necessary for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2030."

SEC. 11015. TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COST MAX-IMUM PROJECT COST.

Affordable housing (as defined in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103)) that is developed, acquired, or assisted under the block grant program established under section 101 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4111) shall not exceed by more than 20 percent, without prior approval of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, the total development cost maximum cost for all housing assisted under an affordable housing activity, including development and model activities.

SEC. 11016. COMMUNITY-BASED DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATIONS AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES BY INDIAN TRIBES.

Section 105 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5305) is amended by adding at the end the following:

- "(i) Indian Tribes and Tribally Des-IGNATED HOUSING ENTITIES AS COMMUNITY-BASED DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATIONS
- "(1) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term 'tribally designated housing entity' has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103).
- "(2) QUALIFICATION.—An Indian tribe, a tribally designated housing entity, or a tribal organization shall qualify as a community-based development organization for purposes of carrying out new housing construction under this subsection under a grant made under section 106(a)(1).
- "(i) SPECIAL ACTIVITIES BY Indian TRIBES.—An Indian tribe receiving a grant under paragraph (1) of section 106(a)(1) shall be authorized to directly carry out activities described in paragraph (15) of such section 106(a)(1)."

SEC. 11017. SECTION 184 INDIAN HOME LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM.

- (a) IN GENERAL -Section 184 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z-13a) is amended-
- (1) by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:
- "(a) AUTHORITY .- To provide access to sources of private financing to Indian families, Indian housing authorities, and Indian Tribes, who otherwise could not acquire housing financing because of the unique legal status of Indian lands and the unique nature of tribal economies, and to expand homeownership opportunities to Indian families, Indian housing authorities and Indian tribes on fee simple lands, the Secretary may guarantee not to exceed 100 percent of the unpaid principal and interest due on any

loan eligible under subsection (b) made to an Indian family, Indian housing authority, or Indian Tribe on trust land and fee simple land."; and

- (2) in subsection (b)—
- (A) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:
- "(2) ELIGIBLE HOUSING.—The loan shall be used to construct, acquire, refinance, or rehabilitate 1- to 4-family dwellings that are standard housing.":
 - (B) in paragraph (4)—
- (i) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (D) as clauses (i) through (iv), respectively, and adjusting the margins accordingly:
- (ii) by striking "The loan" and inserting the following:
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—The loan":
- (iii) in subparagraph (A), as so designated, by adding at the end the following:
- "(v) Any other lender that is supervised, approved, regulated, or insured by any agency of the Federal Government, including any entity certified as a community development financial institution by the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund established under section 104(a) of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994 (12 U.S.C. 4703(a))."; and
 - (iv) by adding at the end the following:
 - "(B) DIRECT GUARANTEE PROCESS.—
- "(i) AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary may authorize qualifying lenders to participate in a direct guarantee process for approving loans under this section.
 - "(ii) INDEMNIFICATION —
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines that a mortgage guaranteed through a direct guarantee process under this subparagraph was not originated in accordance with the requirements established by the Secretary, the Secretary may require the lender approved under this subparagraph to indemnify the Secretary for the loss, irrespective of whether the violation caused the mortgage default.
- "(II) FRAUD OR MISREPRESENTATION.—If fraud or misrepresentation is involved in a direct guarantee process under this subparagraph, the Secretary shall require the original lender approved under this subparagraph to indemnify the Secretary for the loss regardless of when an insurance claim is paid. "(C) REVIEW OF MORTGAGEES.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may periodically review the mortgagees originating, underwriting, or servicing single family mortgage loans under this section.
- "(ii) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting a review under clause (i), the Secretary—
- "(I) shall compare the mortgagee with other mortgagees originating or underwriting loan guarantees for Indian housing based on the rates of defaults and claims for guaranteed mortgage loans originated, underwritten, or serviced by that mortgagee:
- "(II) may compare the mortgagee with such other mortgagees based on underwriting quality, geographic area served, or any commonly used factors the Secretary determines necessary for comparing mortgage default risk, provided that the comparison is of factors that the Secretary would expect to affect the default risk of mortgage loans guaranteed by the Secretary;
- "(iii) shall implement such comparisons by regulation, notice, or mortgagee letter; and
- "(I) may terminate the approval of a mortgagee to originate, underwrite, or service loan guarantees for housing under this section if the Secretary determines that the mortgage loans originated, underwritten, or serviced by the mortgagee present an unacceptable risk to the Indian Housing Loan Guarantee Fund established under subsection (i)—

- "(aa) based on a comparison of any of the factors set forth in this subparagraph; or
- "(bb) by a determination that the mortgagee engaged in fraud or misrepresentation."; and
- (C) in paragraph (5)(A), by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: "except, as determined by the Secretary, when there is a loan modification under subsection (h)(1)(B), the term of the loan shall not exceed 40 years".
- (b) LOAN GUARANTEES FOR INDIAN HOUS-ING.—Section 184(i)(5) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z–13a(i)(5)) is amended—
- (1) in subparagraph (B), by inserting after the first sentence the following: "There are authorized to be appropriated for those costs such sums as may be necessary for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2030."; and
- (2) in subparagraph (C), by striking "2008 through 2012" and inserting "2024 through 2030".

SEC. 11018. LOAN GUARANTEES FOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING.

Section 184A of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z–13b) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (b), by inserting ", and to expand homeownership opportunities to Native Hawaiian families who are eligible to receive a homestead under the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, 1920 (42 Stat. 108) on fee simple lands in the State of Hawaii" after "markets";
 - (2) in subsection (c)-
- (A) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:
- "(2) ELIGIBLE HOUSING.—The loan shall be used to construct, acquire, refinance, or rehabilitate 1- to 4-family dwellings that are standard housing.";
 - (B) in paragraph (4)—
- (i) in subparagraph (B)—
- (I) by redesignating clause (iv) as clause (v); and
- (II) by adding after clause (iii) the following:
- "(iv) Any other lender that is supervised, approved, regulated, or insured by any agency of the Federal Government, including any entity certified as a community development financial institution by the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund established under section 104(a) of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994 (12 U.S.C. 4703(a))."; and
 - (ii) by adding at the end the following:
 - "(C) INDEMNIFICATION.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines that a mortgage guaranteed through a direct guarantee process under this section was not originated in accordance with the requirements established by the Secretary, the Secretary may require the lender approved under this section to indemnify the Secretary for the loss, irrespective of whether the violation caused the mortgage default.
- "(ii) DIRECT GUARANTEE ENDORSEMENT.— The Secretary may, dependent on the availability of systems development and staffing resources, delegate to eligible lenders the authority to directly endorse loans under this section.
- "(iii) Fraud or misrepresentation was involved in the direct guarantee endorsement process by a lender under this section, the Secretary shall require the approved direct guarantee endorsement lender to indemnify the Secretary for any loss or potential loss, regardless of whether the fraud or misrepresentation caused or may cause the loan default.
- "(iv) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary may implement any requirements described in this subparagraph by regulation, notice, or Dear Lender Letter.".

- (C) in paragraph (5)(A), by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: "except, as determined by the Secretary, when there is a loan modification under subsection (i)(1)(B), the term of the loan shall not exceed 40 years";
 - (3) in subsection (d)—
- (A) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the following:
- "(C) EXCEPTION.—When the Secretary exercises its discretion to delegate direct guarantee endorsement authority pursuant to subsection (c)(4)(C)(ii), subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph shall not apply.";
- (B) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:
 - "(2) STANDARD FOR APPROVAL.—
- "(A) APPROVAL.—The Secretary may approve a loan for guarantee under this section and issue a certificate under this subsection only if the Secretary determines that there is a reasonable prospect of repayment of the loan.
- "(B) EXCEPTIONS.—When the Secretary exercises its discretion to delegate direct guarantee endorsement authority pursuant to subsection (c)(4)(C)(i)—
- "(i) subparagraph (A) shall not apply; and "(ii) the direct guarantee endorsement lender may issue a certificate under this paragraph as evidence of the guarantee in accordance with requirements prescribed by the Secretary.": and
- (C) in paragraph (3)(A), by inserting "or, where applicable, the direct guarantee endorsement lender," after "Secretary" and
- (4) in subsection (j)(5)(B), by inserting after the first sentence the following: "There are authorized to be appropriated for those costs such sums as may be necessary for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2030.".

SEC. 11019. DRUG ELIMINATION PROGRAM.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE.—The term "controlled substance" has the meaning given the term in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802).
- (2) DRUG-RELATED CRIME.—The term "drugrelated crime" means the illegal manufacture, sale, distribution, use, or possession with intent to manufacture, sell, distribute, or use a controlled substance.
 - (3) RECIPIENT.—The term "recipient"—
- (A) has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103); and
- (B) includes a recipient of funds under title VIII of that Act (25 U.S.C. 4221 et seq.).
- (4) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.
- (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary may, in consultation with the Bureau of Indian Affairs and relevant Tribal law enforcement agencies, make grants under this section to recipients of assistance under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.) for use in eliminating drug-related and violent crime.
- (c) ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES.—Grants under this section may be used for— $\,$
- (1) the employment of security personnel;
- (2) reimbursement of State, local, Tribal, or Bureau of Indian Affairs law enforcement agencies for additional security and protective services;
- (3) physical improvements which are specifically designed to enhance security;
- (4) the employment of 1 or more individuals—
- (A) to investigate drug-related or violent crime in and around the real property comprising housing assisted under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.); and

- (B) to provide evidence relating to such crime in any administrative or judicial proceeding;
- (5) the provision of training, communications equipment, and other related equipment for use by voluntary tenant patrols acting in cooperation with law enforcement officials;
- (6) programs designed to reduce use of drugs in and around housing communities funded under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.), including drugabuse prevention, intervention, referral, and treatment programs;
- (7) providing funding to nonprofit resident management corporations and resident councils to develop security and drug abuse prevention programs involving site residents;
- (8) sports programs and sports activities that serve primarily youths from housing communities funded through and are operated in conjunction with, or in furtherance of, an organized program or plan designed to reduce or eliminate drugs and drug-related problems in and around those communities; and
- (9) other programs for youth in school settings that address drug prevention and positive alternatives for youth, including education and activities related to science, technology, engineering, and math.
 - (d) APPLICATIONS.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—To receive a grant under this subsection, an eligible applicant shall submit an application to the Secretary, at such time, in such manner, and accompanied by—
- (A) a plan for addressing the problem of drug-related or violent crime in and around of the housing administered or owned by the applicant for which the application is being submitted: and
- (B) such additional information as the Secretary may reasonably require.
- (2) CRITERIA.—The Secretary shall approve applications submitted under paragraph (1) on the basis of thresholds or criteria such as—
- (A) the extent of the drug-related or violent crime problem in and around the housing or projects proposed for assistance;
- (B) the quality of the plan to address the crime problem in the housing or projects proposed for assistance, including the extent to which the plan includes initiatives that can be sustained over a period of several years:
- (C) the capability of the applicant to carry out the plan; and
- (D) the extent to which tenants, the Tribal government, and the Tribal community support and participate in the design and implementation of the activities proposed to be funded under the application.
- (e) HIGH INTENSITY DRUG TRAFFICKING AREAS.—In evaluating the extent of the drug-related crime problem pursuant to subsection (d)(2), the Secretary may consider whether housing or projects proposed for assistance are located in a high intensity drug trafficking area designated pursuant to section 707(b) of the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 1998 (21 U.S.C. 1706(b)).
- (f) Reports.
- (1) Grantee reports.—The Secretary shall require grantees under this section to provide periodic reports that include the obligation and expenditure of grant funds, the progress made by the grantee in implementing the plan described in subsection (d)(1)(A), and any change in the incidence of drug-related crime in projects assisted under section.
- (2) HUD REPORTS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report

- describing the system used to distribute funding to grantees under this section, which shall include descriptions of—
- (A) the methodology used to distribute amounts made available under this section; and
- (B) actions taken by the Secretary to ensure that amounts made available under section are not used to fund baseline local government services, as described in subsection (h)(2).
- (g) NOTICE OF FUNDING AWARDS.—The Secretary shall publish on the website of the Department a notice of all grant awards made pursuant to section, which shall identify the grantees and the amount of the grants.
 - (h) Monitoring —
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall audit and monitor the program funded under this subsection to ensure that assistance provided under this subsection is administered in accordance with the provisions of section.
- (2) Prohibition of funding baseline services.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Amounts provided under this section may not be used to reimburse or support any local law enforcement agency or unit of general local government for the provision of services that are included in the baseline of services required to be provided by any such entity pursuant to a local cooperative agreement pursuant under the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.) or any provision of an annual contributions contract for payments in lieu of taxation with the Bureau of Indian Affairs.
- (B) DESCRIPTION.—Each grantee under this section shall describe, in the report under subsection (f)(1), such baseline of services for the unit of Tribal government in which the jurisdiction of the grantee is located.
- (3) ENFORCEMENT.—The Secretary shall provide for the effective enforcement of this section, as specified in the program requirements published in a notice by the Secretary, which may include—
- (A) the use of on-site monitoring, independent public audit requirements, certification by Tribal or Federal law enforcement or Tribal government officials regarding the performance of baseline services referred to in paragraph (2):
- (B) entering into agreements with the Attorney General to achieve compliance, and verification of compliance, with the provisions of this section; and
- (C) adopting enforcement authority that is substantially similar to the authority provided to the Secretary under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.)
- (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary for each fiscal years 2024 through 2030 to carry out this section

SEC. 11020. RENTAL ASSISTANCE FOR HOMELESS OR AT-RISK INDIAN VETERANS.

Section 8(o)(19) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(o)(19)) is amended by adding at the end the following: "(E) INDIAN VETERANS HOUSING RENTAL AS-

SISTANCE PROGRAM.—

- "(i) Definitions.—In this subparagraph:
- "(I) ELIGIBLE INDIAN VETERAN.—The term 'eligible Indian veteran' means an Indian veteran who is—
- "(aa) homeless or at risk of homelessness;
 - "(bb) living—
 - "(AA) on or near a reservation; or
 - "(BB) in or near any other Indian area.
- "(II) ELIGIBLE RECIPIENT.—The term 'eligible recipient' means a recipient eligible to receive a grant under section 101 of the Na-

- tive American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4111).
- "(III) INDIAN; INDIAN AREA.—The terms 'Indian' and 'Indian area' have the meanings given those terms in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103).
- ``(IV) INDIAN VETERAN.—The term 'Indian veteran' means an Indian who is a veteran.
- "(V) PROGRAM.—The term 'Program' means the Tribal HUD-VASH program carried out under clause (ii).
- "(VI) TRIBAL ORGANIZATION.—The term 'tribal organization' has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).
- "(ii) PROGRAM SPECIFICATIONS.—The Secretary shall use not less than 5 percent of the amounts made available for rental assistance under this paragraph to carry out a rental assistance and supported housing program, to be known as the "Tribal HUD-VASH program", in conjunction with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, by awarding grants for the benefit of eligible Indian veterans.
 - "(iii) Model.—
- "(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), the Secretary shall model the Program on the rental assistance and supported housing program authorized under subparagraph (A) and applicable appropriations Acts, including administration in conjunction with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.
 - "(II) EXCEPTIONS.—
- "(aa) SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DE-VELOPMENT.—After consultation with Indian tribes, eligible recipients, and any other appropriate tribal organizations, the Secretary may make necessary and appropriate modifications to facilitate the use of the Program by eligible recipients to serve eligible Indian veterans.
- "(bb) Secretary of Veterans Affairs.—After consultation with Indian tribes, eligible recipients, and any other appropriate tribal organizations, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs may make necessary and appropriate modifications to facilitate the use of the Program by eligible recipients to serve eligible Indian veterans.
- "(iv) ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS.—The Secretary shall make amounts for rental assistance and associated administrative costs under the Program available in the form of grants to eligible recipients.
- "(v) FUNDING CRITERIA.—The Secretary shall award grants under the Program based on—
 - "(I) need;
 - "(II) administrative capacity; and
- "(III) any other funding criteria established by the Secretary in a notice published in the Federal Register after consulting with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.
- "(vi) ADMINISTRATION.—Grants awarded under the Program shall be administered in accordance with the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.), except that recipients shall—
- "(I) submit to the Secretary, in a manner prescribed by the Secretary, reports on the utilization of rental assistance provided under the Program; and
- "(II) provide to the Secretary information specified by the Secretary to assess the effectiveness of the Program in serving eligible Indian veterans.
 - "(vii) CONSULTATION.—
- "(I) Grant recipients; Tribal organizations.—The Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall consult with eligible recipients and any other appropriate tribal organization on the design

of the Program to ensure the effective delivery of rental assistance and supportive services to eligible Indian veterans under the Program.

"(II) INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.—The Director of the Indian Health Service shall provide any assistance requested by the Secretary or the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in carrying out the Program.

"(viii) WAIVER.-

"(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), the Secretary may waive or specify alternative requirements for any provision of law (including regulations) that the Secretary administers in connection with the use of rental assistance made available under the Program if the Secretary finds that the waiver or alternative requirement is necessary for the effective delivery and administration of rental assistance under the Program to eligible Indian veterans.

"(II) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may not waive or specify alternative requirements under subclause (I) for any provision of law (including regulations) relating to labor standards or the environment.

"(ix) RENEWAL GRANTS.—The Secretary may—

"(I) set aside, from amounts made available for tenant-based rental assistance under this subsection and without regard to the amounts used for new grants under clause (ii), such amounts as may be necessary to award renewal grants to eligible recipients that received a grant under the Program in a previous year; and

"(II) specify criteria that an eligible recipient must satisfy to receive a renewal grant under subclause (I), including providing data on how the eligible recipient used the amounts of any grant previously received under the Program.

"(x) REPORTING.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subparagraph, and every 5 years thereafter, the Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs and the Director of the Indian Health Service, shall—

"(aa) conduct a review of the implementation of the Program, including any factors that may have limited its success; and

"(bb) submit a report describing the results of the review under item (aa) to—

"(AA) the Committee on Indian Affairs, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(BB) the Subcommittee on Indian, Insular and Alaska Native Affairs of the Committee on Natural Resources, the Committee on Financial Services, the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

"(II) ANALYSIS OF HOUSING STOCK LIMITA-TION.—The Secretary shall include in the initial report submitted under subclause (I) a description of—

"(aa) any regulations governing the use of formula current assisted stock (as defined in section 1000.314 of title 24, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulation)) within the Program;

"(bb) the number of recipients of grants under the Program that have reported the regulations described in item (aa) as a barrier to implementation of the Program; and

"(cc) proposed alternative legislation or regulations developed by the Secretary in consultation with recipients of grants under the Program to allow the use of formula current assisted stock within the Program.".

SEC. 11021. CONTINUUM OF CARE.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

(1) the terms "collaborative applicant" and "eligible entity" have the meanings given

those terms in section 401 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11360); and

(2) the terms "Indian tribe" and "tribally designated housing entity" have the meanings given those terms in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103).

(b) Nonapplication of Civil Rights LAWS.-With respect to the funds made available for the Continuum of Care program authorized under subtitle C of title IV of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11381 et seq.) under the heading "Homeless Assistance Grants" in the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2021 (Public Law 116–260) and under section 231 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2020 (42 U.S.C. 11364a), title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.) and title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3601 et seq.) shall not apply to applications by or awards for projects to be carried out-

(1) on or off reservation or trust lands for awards made to Indian tribes or tribally designated housing entities; or

(2) on reservation or trust lands for awards made to eligible entities.

(c) CERTIFICATION.—With respect to funds made available for the Continuum of Care program authorized under subtitle C of title IV of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11381 et seq.) under the heading "Homeless Assistance Grants" under section 231 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2020 (42 U.S.C. 11364a)—

(1) applications for projects to be carried out on reservations or trust land shall contain a certification of consistency with an approved Indian housing plan developed under section 102 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act (25 U.S.C. 4112), notwithstanding section 106 of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 12706) and section 403 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11361);

(2) Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities that are recipients of awards for projects on reservations or trust land shall certify that they are following an approved housing plan developed under section 102 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act (25 U.S.C. 4112); and

(3) a collaborative applicant for a Continuum of Care whose geographic area includes only reservation and trust land is not required to meet the requirement in section 402(f)(2) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11360a(f)(2)).

SEC. 11022. LEVERAGING.

All funds provided under a grant made pursuant to this division or the amendments made by this division may be used for purposes of meeting matching or cost participation requirements under any other Federal housing program, provided that such grants made pursuant to the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.) are spent in accordance with that Act.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

Mr. WARNOCK. Madam President, I have 18 requests for committees to meet during today's session of the Senate. They have the approval of the Majority and Minority Leaders.

Pursuant to rule XXVI, paragraph 5(a), of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the following committees are au-

thorized to meet during today's session of the Senate:

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

The Committee on Armed Services is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 9 a.m., to conduct a hearing on nominations.

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

The Committee on Energy and Natural Resources is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 9:30 a.m., to conduct a hearing.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

The Committee on Environment and Public Works is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 9:40 a.m., to conduct a business meeting.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

The Committee on Environment and Public Works is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 10 a.m., to conduct a hearing.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

The Committee on Finance is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 2 p.m., to conduct a hearing.

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

The Committee on Foreign Relations is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 10 a.m., to conduct a hearing

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

The Committee on Foreign Relations is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 2:30 p.m., to conduct a hearing on nominations.

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

The Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 9 a.m., to conduct a business meeting.

COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS

The Committee on Indian Affairs is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 2:30 p.m., to conduct a hearing.

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

The Committee on the Judiciary is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 10 a.m., to conduct a hearing on nominations.

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

The Committee on the Judiciary is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 2:30 p.m., to conduct a hearing.

COMMITTEE ON RULES AND ADMINISTRATION

The Committee on Rules and Administration is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 3:30 p.m., to conduct a hearing.

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP

The Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 10 a.m., to conduct a hearing.

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS' AFFAIRS

The Committee on Veterans' Affairs is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 3 p.m., to conduct a hearing.

SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE

The Select Committee on Intelligence is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 2 p.m., to conduct a closed briefing.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CLEAN AIR, CLIMATE, AND NUCLEAR SAFETY

The Subcommittee on Clean Air, Climate, and Nuclear Safety of the Committee on Environment and Public Works is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 2:30 p.m., to conduct a hearing.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

The Subcommittee on Financial Institutions and Consumer Protection of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 9:30 a.m., to conduct a hybrid hearing.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PERSONNEL

The Subcommittee on Personnel of the Committee on Armed Services is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 26, 2023, at 4 p.m., to conduct a hearing.

PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

Mr. PAUL. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the following interns from my office be granted floor privileges until August 18, 2023: Kate Micallef, Sydney Windhorst, Linden Shelby, Spencer Woodall, and Chloe Truett.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. KING. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that my defense fellow, Nick Oltman, be granted privileges of the floor until the conclusion of the first session of the 118th Congress.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. KELLY. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that Ashley Daniel in my office be granted floor privileges for the remainder of the day.

The PRESIDING OFFICER, Without objection, it is so ordered.

FOREIGN TRAVEL FINANCIAL REPORTS

In accordance with the appropriate provisions of law, the Secretary of the Senate herewith submits the following reports for standing committees of the Senate, certain joint committees of the Congress, delegations and groups, and select and special committees of the Senate, relating to expenses incurred in the performance of authorized foreign travel:

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION & FORESTRY FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023

		Per d	iem *	Transpo	rtation *	Miscella	ineous *	Tota	1*
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Laura Votruba:									
Belgium	US Dollar		624.57						624.57
Estonia	US Dollar		769.94						769.94
Iceland	US Dollar		448.05						448.05
United States	US Dollar				12.601.65				12,601.65
Delegation Expenses: **	03 Dullai				12,001.03				12,001.03
Belgium	Euro						883.37		883.37
Delegation Expenses: **									
Iceland	Iceland Krona						2,002.33		2,002.33
Total			1,842.56		12,601.65		2,885.70		17,329.91

Note: All values are United States Dollar Equivalent.

SENATOR DEBBIE STABENOW, Chairman, Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition & Forestry, July 21, 2023.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE US SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 USC. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023

		Per d	liem *	Transpo	rtation *	Miscella	neous *	Tota	al *
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalent or US currency						
Senator John Hoeven:									
South Korea	Won		470.42						470.42
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar		469.03						469.03
United States	US Dollar				14,853.15				14,853.15
loshua Carter:									
South Korea	Won		511.94						511.94
Taiwan			378.45						378.45
United States					8,291.65				8,291.65
Delegation Expenses:									,
South Korea	Won						1,095.42		1,095.42
Delegation Expenses: **							,		,
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar						2.102.56		2,102.56
Senator Christopher Coons:							,		,
Belgium	Euro		1.009.31						1.009.31
Lithuania	Euro		116.00						116.00
Norway			1,079.00						1.079.00
United States	US Dollar				8,398.70				8,398.70
Senator Lisa Murkowski:									.,
Belgium	Euro		1.009.31						1.009.31
Lithuania	Euro		555.32						555.32
Norway	Norwegian Krone		1,079.00						1,079.00
United States	US Dollar		-,		4,934.35				4,934.35
Michael Songer:					.,				.,
Belgium	Euro		1.009.31						1.009.31
Lithuania			555.32						555.32
Norway			1.005.00						1,005.00
Senator Patty Murray:			2,000.00						2,000.00
Belgium	Euro		1.009.31						1.009.31
Lithuania	Euro		555.32						555.32

Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under the authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95-384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25. 1977

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE US SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384—22 USC. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

Name and country								Total *	
	Name of currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalent or US currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalent or US currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalent or US currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalent or US currency
Norway	Norwegian Krone		1,079.00						1,079.0
Belgium Lithuania			1,009.31 555.32						1,009.3 555.3
Norway			1,005.00						1,005.0
da Linquist: Belgium			1,009.31						1,009.3
Lithuania Norway			555.32 1,005.00						555.3 1,005.0
beth O'Bagy: Belgium			1,009.31						1,009.3
Lithuania			555.32 1,005.00						555.0 1,005.0
Schatź: Belgium			1,009.31						1,009.3
Lithuania Norway	Euro		555.32 1,005.00						555.3 1,005.0
gation Expenses: **			1,000.00				3,645.13	•••••	3,645.
Belgiumation Expenses: **	-						,		,
Lithuaniaation Expenses: **							2,126.90		2,126.9
Norwayah Chauvin:							12,372.00		12,372.0
Honduras	Lempira		603.10						603. 941.
el Erlebacher: Honduras			603.10						603.
United Statese Nellor:	US Dollar								941.
Honduras			603.10						603.
United Statesation Expenses: **					941.75				941.
HondurasBrown:	·						1,171.37		1,171.
Brazil			1,719.00		10,406.25				1,719.0 10.406.2
nan Mott: Brazil			1,719.00					•••••	1,719.0
United States			1,713.00		40.070.05				10270.
yn Toomajian: Brazil			1,379.00						1,379.0
United Statesgation Expenses: **	US Dollar				10,500.85				10,500.8
Brazilnder Carnes:	Brazilian Real						8,493.00		8,493.0
Hong Kong			1,411.09 850.39						1,411. 850.
United States	US Dollar								7,830.
ation Expenses: ** Hong Kongation Expenses: **	Hong Kong Dollar						714.14		714.
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar						1,106.76		1,106.
or John Boozman: Singapore	Singapore Dollar		1,487.00						1,487.
United Statesk McGuigan:					12,833.55				12,833.
Singapore United States			1,487.00		13,571.95				1,487. 13,571.
ation Expenses: **					10,071.50		2,112.00	•••••	2,112.
Singaporeor John Boozman:						***************************************	2,112.00		,
Japan Singapore	Singapore Dollar		533.00 1,008.00						533. 1,008.
ThailandVietnam	Baht		553.91 389.00						553. 389.
or John Kennedy: Japan	Yen		527.00						527.
Singapore Thailand	Singapore Dollar		1,008.00 553.91						1,008. 553.
Vietnam Marie Higgins:			389.00						389.
Japan	Yen		504.00						504.
Singapore Thailand	Baht		944.00 553.91						944. 553.
Vietnamin Sapperstein:	_		389.00						389.
JapanSingapore	Yen		504.00 944.00						504. 944.
Thailand Vietnam	Baht		553.91 389.00						553. 389.
ation Expenses: **	_								453.
Japanation Expenses: **									
Singaporeation Expenses: **									2,089.
Thailandgation Expenses: **	Baht						1,717.70		1,717.
Vietnamtor Lindsey Graham:	Dong						1,546.00		1,546.
Israel Saudi Arabia			1,328.66 1,830.28						1,328. 1,830.
United States			1,030.20						15,405.
Strickland: Israel			1,273.55						1,273.
Saudi ArabiaUnited States	Saudi Riyal		1,609.32						1,609. 17,364.
ation Expenses: ** Israel							4,413.97		4,413.
gation Expenses: ** Saudi Arabia							10 000 04		13,898.
tor Lindsey Grahman:							,		813.
Poland	Zloty		813.09						

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE US SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95—384—22 USC. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

		Per o	liem *	Transpo	ortation *	Miscella	neous *	Tota	ıl*
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalent or US currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalent or US currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalent or US currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalen or US currency
ott Graber: Poland	Zloty		830.25						830.2
United Kingdom United States	Pound Sterling		1,532.09		12,648.15				1,532.0 12,648.1
legation Expenses: ** Poland					,		6,369.68		6,369.6
legation Expenses: ** United Kingdom	•						2,899.10		2,899.
le Bockenfeld: Albania			123.00		•••••		2,033.10	•••••	123.
Kosovo	Euro		470.07						470.
Serbia United States			237.00		11,669.45				237. 11,669.
legation Expenses: ** Albania	Lek						211.25		211.
legation Expenses: ** Germany	Euro						513.54		513.
legation Expenses: ** Kosovo	-						318.58		318.
legation Expenses: **	-						805.59		805.
Montenegroegation Expenses: **									
Netherlandslegation Expenses: **							94.09		94.
North Macedonialegation Expenses: **	Denar						186.47		186.
Serbianator Jerry Moran:	Serbian Dinar						713.25		713.
France	US Dollar		4,559.00						4,559
France	Euro		4,666.00						4,666
nator Cindy Hyde-Smith: France	Euro		4,666.00						4,666.
ug Davis: France	Euro		5,642.00						5,642.
United Statesnator John Boozman:					8,521.95				8,521.
France	Euro		3,480.00						3,480.
ni-Marie Higgins: France	Euro		4,666.00						4,666
nator Katie Britt: France	Euro		4,666.00						4,666
ayton Armentrout: France	-		4.666.00						4,666
nator Joe Manchin:			4,666.00				••••••		4,666
Francenator Gary Peters:			,						,
Francenator Jeanne Shaheen:			4,666.00						4,666
Francetherine Kaufer:	Euro		4,666.00						4,666.
Francemes Kungel:	Euro		4,666.00						4,666
France	Euro		5,392.00		0 501 05				5,392 8,521
United Statesson_MacDonald:					8,521.95			•••••	,
Franceitlyn Stephenson:			4,666.00						4,666
Francelegation Expenses: **	Euro		4,666.00						4,666
Francenator John Boozman:	Euro						40,378.14		40,378
France	Euro		926.00 469.00						926. 469.
Germany United States			409.00		747.80				747
trick McGuigan: Germany			433.00						433
United Stateslegation Expenses: **	US Dollar				8,081.45				8,081
France	Euro						3,814.00		3,814
Germany	Euro						4,256.00		4,256
Canada	Canadian Dollar		761.04						761
United Statesmuel Dupont:					512.12				512
Canada United States	US Dollar				531.10				761 531
legation Expenses: ** Canada							2,210.38		2,210
an Shi:							,		
IndonesiaVietnam			639.26 662.47						639 662
legation Expenses: ** Indonesia	Rupiah						1,090.04		1,090
legation Expenses: ** Vietnam							1,359.80		1,359
nator Jeanne Shaheen:			1,154.29				,		1,154
Argentina Brazil	Brazil Real		373.00						373
Colombia Paṇama			821.16 612.00						821 612
sica Berry: Argentina			1,154.29						1,154
Brazil Colombia	Brazil Real		373.00 821.16						373 821
Panama	Balboa		612.00						612
legation Expenses: ** Argentina	Argentina Peso						2,332.97		2,332
legation Expenses: ** Brazil	_						1,536.00		1,536
legation Expenses: ** Colombia							1,077.40		1,077
legation Expenses: **	Ooioiibiaii i tau						1,077.40		1,077

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE US SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 USC. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

		Per d	iem *	Transpo	rtation *	Miscella	neous *	Tota	ıl *
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	US dollar equivalent or US currency						
aul Grove:									
Hong Kong	Hong_Kong Dollar		1,042.43						1,042.4
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar		685.61						685.6
United States	US Dollar				9,026.75				9,026.7
lam_Yezerski:									
South Korea	Won		668.00						668.0
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar		1,127.48						1,127.4
United States	US Dollar				5,970.95				5,970.9
elegation Expenses: **									
Hong Kong	Hong Kong Dollar						1,072.08		1,072.0
elegation Expenses: **									
South Korea	Won						1.472.00		1.472.0
elegation Expenses: **							-,		-,
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar						1.267.39		1.267.3
aul Grove:							-,		-,
China	Yuan Renminbi		1.846.00						1.846.0
Japan	Yen		929.66						929.6
United States	US Dollar		323.00		14.611.95				14.611.9
elegation Expenses: **	03 Dullai				14,011.33				14,011.3
	Yuan Renminbi						3.711.00		3.711.0
China	Tuali Nellillilli						3,711.00		3,/11.0
elegation Expenses: **	V						1.186.59		1 100 5
Japan	Yen						1,186.59		1,186.5
ayn <u>K</u> aldahl:	N T : D !!		040.00						040.0
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar		849.29						849.2
United States	US Dollar				4,675.55				4,675.5
dd Phillips:									
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar		849.29						849.2
United States	US Dollar				4,675.55				4,675.5
therine Kaufer:									
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar		849.29						849.2
United States	US Dollar				4.675.55				4.675.5
obert Leonard:					.,				.,
Taiwan	New Taiwan Dollar		849.29						849.2
United_ States	US Dollar		0.10.20		4.675.55				4,675.5
elegation Expenses: **	00 Dollar				4,070.00				4,070.0
Tajwan	New Taiwan Dollar						2.267.04		2.267.0
arita Vanka:	New Talwall Dullal						2,207.04		2,207.0
	Lek		417.00						417.0
Albania									
Qatar	Qatari Rial		769.05		0.700.55				769.0
United States	US Dollar				9,700.55				9,700.
elegation Expenses: **									
Albania	Lek						343.00		343.0
			146.081.62		257.484.10		141,146.24		544.711.

SENATOR PATTY MURRAY, Chairman, Committee on Appropriations, July 21, 2023.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023

		Per o	liem *	Transpo	rtation *	Miscella	ineous *	Tota	al *
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
nator Steve Daines:									
Albania	Lek		352.98						352.9
Croatia			525.24						525.2
Serbia	Serbian Dinar		190.40		12 172 00				190.4
United States	US Dollar				13,172.98				13,172.9
rin Thacker:			005.10						005 1
Albania			305.19						305.1
Croatia	Kuna		444.20						444.2
Serbia			190.40						190.4
United States	US Dollar				11,999.10				11,999.1
elegation Expenses: **									
Albania	Lek						486.41		486.4
elegation Expenses: **									
Croatia	Kuna						6,768.12		6,768.1
elegation Expenses: **									
Serbia	Serbian Dinar						1,938.00		1,938.0
nator Mark Kelly:									
Poland	Zloty		692.50		1.280.00				1.972.5
Ukraine			287.83		,				287.8
United States					7,838.05				7,838.0
nator Joe Manchin:					7,000.00				7,000.0
Poland	Zloty		554.64						554.6
Ukraine	Hryvnia		199.44		1.280.00				1.479.4
					7,342.95				7,342.9
United Statesenator Lisa Murkowski:	US Dollar				7,342.93				7,342.
	71-4-		EEE CE						555.0
Poland			555.65		1 000 00				
Ukraine	Hryvnia		199.44		1,280.00				1,479.4
United States	UŚ Dollar				13,735.95				13,735.9
Illen Lewis:									
Poland			554.64						554.0
Ukraine	Hryvnia		199.43		1,280.00				1,479.4
United States	UŚ Dollar				7,343.15				7,343.1
elegation Expenses: **									
Netherlands	Euro						1.055.62		1.055.6
							,		,
elegation Expenses: **									
Poland	Zloty						7 504 00		7.504.0
	Liviy						7,004.00		7,004.0
elegation Expenses: **									
	Hnamia						917.05		917.0
Ukraine	Hryvnia						317.03		517.0
anation Funances **									
egation Expenses: **	December 1 Observation or						100.00		100
United Kingdom	Pound Sterling						109.98		109

^{*}Note: All values are United States Dollar Equivalent.

**Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384; and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25,

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

		Per diem *		Transportation *		Miscellaneous *		Total *	
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Total			5,251.98		66,552.18		18,779.18		90,583.34

SENATOR JOE MANCHIN, Chairman, Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, July 21, 2023.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22

		Per	diem *	Transp	ortation *	Miscella	neous *	Tot	al *
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. doll equivale or U.S. currenc
nator Michael Crapo:	V		422.00						422
Japan Singapore	Singapore Dollar		433.00 894.00						433 894
Thailand	Baht		453.91 339.00						453 339
Vietnam enator Ronald Johnson:	Dong								339
Japan	Yen		342.38 926.98						342 926
SingaporeThailand			507.33						507
Vietnamavur Patel:			221.39						221
ayur mater: Japan	Yen		433.00						433
Singapore	Singapore Dollar		894.00						894
ThailandVietnam			453.91 339.00						453 339
egg Richard:									
Japan Singapore			433.00 894.00						433 894
Thailand	Baht		453.91						453
Vietnamsan Wheeler:	Dong		339.00						339
Japan	Yen		305.29						305
SingaporeThailand			898.07 538.41						898 538
Vietnam	Dong		378.14						37
							679.60		679
Japanlegation Expenses **	Yen		•••••				0/3.00		07:
Singapore	Singapore Dollar						3,134.14		3,13
legation Expenses ** Thailand	Baht						2,576.55		2,57
legation Expenses **							,		
Vietnam nator Michael Crapo:	Dong						2,319.00		2,31
Argentina			514.34						51
Brazil Colombia			373.00 764.81						37 76
Panama	Balboa		611.86						61
san Wheeler:			1 001 70						1.00
Argentina Brazil	Argentine Peso		1,081.72 371.02						1,08 37
Colombia	Colombian Peso		740.59						74
Panamaegation Expenses: **	Balboa		478.89						47
Argentina	Argentine Peso						2,332.97		2,33
legation Expenses: ** Brazil	Brazilian Real						1,536.00		1,53
legation Expenses: **			••••••		•••••	•••••	,	***************************************	
Colombiaegation Expenses: **	Colombian Peso						1,077.40		1,07
Panama	Balboa, US Dollar						602.57		60
nator Michael Crapo:			1,596.74						1,59
Switzerland			1,097.69						1,09
United States	US Dollar				0.500.05				6,52
hleen Amacio: Switzerland	Swiss Franc		1,572.85						1,57
United Kingdom	Pound Sterling		1,065.78						1,06
United States yur Patel:	US Dollar				6,528.25				6,52
Switzerland	Swiss Franc		1,471.65						1,47
United Kingdom United States			1,134.16		6.528.25				1,13 6,52
gg Richard:					0,320.23				
Switzerland			1,536.62 1,122.35						1,53 1,12
United Kingdom United States			1,122.33		6,528.25				6,52
egation Expenses: **							2 724 21		
Switzerlandegation Expenses: **	Swiss Franc						3,734.31		3,73
United Kingdom	Pound Sterling						1,401.81		1,40
inia Lenahan: Singapore	Singapore Dollar		3,130.00						3,13
United States					18,818.85				18,8
hel Lang: Singapore	Singapore Dollar		3,215.00						3,21
United States			3,213.00		18,853.85				18,85
ly Newell:			2 121 00						
Singapore			3,121.00		18,818.85				3,12 18,81
rur Patel:					.,		**********	***************************************	
Singapore United States	Singapore DollarUS Dollar		3,139.11		18,818.85				3,13 18,81
egation Expenses: **					10,010.03				,
Singapore	Singapore Dollar						5,755.00		5,75
ncebisi Ndlovu: Kenva	Kenyan Shilling		1,099.03		15,622.05				16,72

^{*}Note: All values are United States Dollar Equivalent.

**Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25,

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

		Per d	iem *	Transpoi	rtation *	Miscella	ineous *	Tota	1*
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Molly Newell:									
Kenya	Kenyan ShillingUS Dollar		818.41		15 657 05				818.41 15.657.05
Colin St. Maxens:									,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Kenya	Kenyan Shilling		828.00		15 000 05				828.00
United States Delegation Expenses: **	O2 Dollar				15,622.05				15,622.05
Kenya	Kenyan Shilling						4,320.68		4,320.68
Total			41,362.34		148,324.55		29,470.03		219,156.92

SENATOR RON WYDEN, Chairman, Committee on Finance, July 21, 2023.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023

		Per	diem *	Transp	ortation *	Miscella	aneous *	Tota	al*
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. doll equivale or U.S. currency
Garah Arkin:	-		000.00						200
Germany Hungary			688.00 1,055.50						688. 1,055.
United States					2,646.85				2,646.
lannah Thoburn:									691.
Germany Hungary			691.16 1,014.00						1.014.
United Stateslelegation Expenses: * *					3,435.57				3,435.
elegation Expenses: * *	Fuse						CE2.00		CEO
Germany Delegation Expenses: * *	Euro						652.00		652
Hungary	Forint						77.00		77.
enator Cory Booker:			F70.0F						F70
Belgium Estonia			579.95 470.44						579 470
Iceland			561.41						561
amantha Schifrin:			500 50						500
Belgium Estonia			596.58 542.11						596 542
Iceland	US Dollar								666
United States	US Dollar				7,185.15				7,185
lelegation Expenses: * *	Furo						1 700 74		1 700
Belgium lelegation Expenses: * *	Euro						1,766.74		1,766
Iceland	lceland Krona						4,004.66		4,004
yler Brace:	110 D II		001.00						001
AlbaniaGreece			231.00 626.00						231 626
North Macedonia	US Dollar		454.00						454
United States	US Dollar				4,658.45				4,658
elegation Expenses: * *							71.26		71
Albaniaelegation Expenses: * *	Lek					•••••	/1.20	•••••	/1
Greece	Euro						1,424.00		1,424
atie Chaudoin:	HO D-H		1 700 00						1 700
India United Arab Emirates			1,723.69 1,441.72						1,723 1.441
United States	US Dollar				9,741.29				9,741.
latthew Sullivan:									
India United Arab Emirates			1,723.69 1,441.72						1,723 1,441
United States					9,741.29				9,741
elegation Expenses: * *					,				
India Delegation Expenses: * *	Indian Rupee						107.92		107
United Arab Emirates	UAE Dirham						1.107.19		1,107
oan Condon:							,		,
Indonesia	US Dollar		1,446.20						1,446
Philippines	US Dollar		800.08		10,248.95				800 10,248
laire Figel:					,				,
Indonesia	US Dollar		1,446.20						1,446
Philippines United States	US Dollar		800.08		10,248.95				800 10,248
elegation Expenses: * *					10,240.50	•••••		•••••	,
Indonesia	Rupiah						2,477.00		2,477
lelegation Expenses: Philippines	Philippine Peso						519.32		519
rian Cullen:							313.32		313
Maldives	US Dollar		1,622.97						1,622
Sri Lanka	US Dollar		447.00		7.050.47				7,058
United Stateslisa Ewers:					7,058.47				7,000
France			1,933.00						1,933
United Kingdom	US Dollar		1,605.52		4.070.55				1,605
United Stateselegation Expenses: * *	US DOIIAL				4,079.55				4,079
Franceelegation Expenses: * *	Euro						1,314.00		1,314
elegation Expenses: **	December 15						,		
United Kingdomeather Flynn:	Pound Sterling						19.51		19
Congo-Kinshasa	US Dollar		1,053.00						1,053
Uganda	US Dollar		1,035.00						1,035
United States	US Dollar				4,713.70				4,713
elegation Expenses: * * Congo-Kinshasa							2,808.00		2,808
enator Bill Hagerty:							2,000.00	•••••	2,000
Chile	Chilean Peso		1.555.67						1,555

^{*}Note: All values are United States Dollar Equivalent.

**Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25, 1977.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

		Per	diem *	Transpo	rtation *	Miscella	aneous *	Tota	al *
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dolla equivalent or U.S. currency
Colombia United States			700.36		1,402.19				700.3 1,402.1
Uruguay	Peso Uruguayo		647.00						647.0
Colombia	US Dollar		556.96						556.9 913.7
United Stateselegation Expenses: * *	US Dollar				913.72				
Chilelegation Expenses: * *	Chilean Peso						1,573.24		1,573.2
Colombiaelegation Expenses	Colombian Peso						4,789.80		4,789.8
Uruguay	Peso Uruguayo						2,898.63		2,898.6
gan Bartley: Belgium			411.23						411.2
Netherlands United States			1,277.00		22.00 5,441.85				1,299.0 5,441.8
drew Keller: Belgium			411.23						411.2
Netherlands	Euro		1,271.92						1,271.9
United Statesx Lusk:					5,548.42				5.548.4
Belgium Netherlands			411.23 1,187.92		9.21 22.49				420.4 1,210.4
United Statesnator Tim Kaine:					5,548.42				5,548.4
Brazil			520.36						520.
Chile Ecuador	US Dollar		430.46						1,320.7 430.4
Uruguay nator Robert Menendez:			454.16						454.
Brazil			713.00						713.
Chile Ecuador	US Dollar		560.00						1,555. 560.
Uruguay mian Murphy:	Peso Uruguayo		647.00						647.
Brazil Chile			513.00 1,404.57						513. 1,404.
Ecuador	US Dollar		560.00						560.0
Uruguayandon Yoder:	Peso Uruguayo		432.00						432.0
Brazil									628. 1,525.
Ecuador	US Dollar		560.00						560.
Uruguayegation Expenses: * *			573.00						573.
Brazilegation Expenses: * *	Brazilian Real						22,179.20		22,179.
Chile egation Expenses: **	Chilean Peso						6,292.99		6,292.
Ecuadorlegation Expenses: ** legation Expenses: * *	US Dollar						2,417.44		2,417.
egation Expenses: * * Uruguay	Peso Uruguayo						11,594.55		11,594.
nator Jeff Merkley: Indonesia			571.65				,		571.
Vietnam			1,487.65						1,487.
nator Chris Van Hollen: Indonesia	Rupiah		356.22						356.
Vietnam	US Dollar		1,142.00						1,142.
Indonesia Vietnam			726.00 1,642.00						726. 1,642.
phne McCurdy:			,						
Indonesia Vietnam			306.00 1,086.98						306. 1,086.
legation Expenses: * * Indonesia	- Rupiah						5,450.21		5,450.
egation Expenses: * *	-						5.439.20		5,439.
Vietnamator Christopher Murphy:							5,439.20		,
Albania Kosovo									154. 191.
Montenegro Serbia									38. 508.
United States					11,669.45				11,669.
egation Expenses: * *	Lek						211.25		211.
egation Expenses: * * Germany	Euro						513 54		513.
egation Expenses: * *									
Kosovoegation Expenses: * *							318.57		318.
Montenegroegation Expenses: * *	Euro						201.39		201.
North Macedoniaegation Expenses: **	Denar						372.94		372.
Serbia	Serbian Dinar						713.25		713.
garet Murphy: Jordan	Jordanian Dinar		1,230.00						1,230.
United Stateslegation Expenses: * *					5,135.55				5,135.
Jordan	Jordanian Dinar						113.00		113.
herine Abrames: France			1,757.00						1,757.
Switzerland					4,071.75				1,492. 4,071.
y Olson:	_								,
France Switzerland	US Dollar			1,707.00 1,492.45					1,707. 1,492.
United Statesegation Expenses **	US Dollar				4,395.95				4,395.
France egation Expenses **	Euro						2,563.00		2,563.
Switzerland	Swiss Franc						1,896.36		1,896.
ana Bovo:									

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

		Per d	liem *	Transpo	rtation *	Miscella	aneous *	Tota	l*
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
United Stateselegation Expenses **	. US Dollar				1,109.32				1,109.3
El Salvador	. El Salvador Colon, US Dollar						232.54		232.5
ictor Cervino: Colombia	. US Dollar			760.22					760.2
Panama				719.00					719.0
United States	. US Dollar			715.00	1.802.04				1.802.0
elsey Kelleher:	. 00 Dollar				1,002.04				1,002.0
Colombia	. US Dollar		760.22						760.2
Panama			700.22		1.802.04				1.802.0
United States	. US Dollar				1,802.04				1,802.0
hristopher Socha:	. 03 Dullai				1,002.04				1,002.0
	. Colombian Peso		760.22						760.2
Colombia			719.00						719.0
Panama					2 201 55				
United States	. US Dollar				2,381.55				2,381.5
elegation Expenses **	0-1hi B						0.705.07		0.705.0
Colombia	. Colombian Peso						2,725.37		2,725.3
elegation Expenses **									
Panama	. Balboa, US Dollar						5,725.00		5,725.0
annah Thoburn:									
United Kingdom	. US Dollar		3,467.00						3,467.0
United States	. US Dollar				3,213.25				3,213.2
elegation Expenses **									
United Kingdom	. Pound Sterling						1,132.80		1,132.8
argaret Doughtery:	· ·						,		
Burkina Faso	. US Dollar		982.00						982.0
Sierre Leone			138.00						138.0
United States	. US Dollar				6,826.05				6,826.0
hn Tomaszewski:					.,				.,
Burkina Faso	. US Dollar		982.00						982.0
Sierre Leone	. US Dollar		138.00						138.0
United States	. US Dollar		100.00		8.898.05				8.898.0
elegation Expenses **	. 00 Dollar				0,000.00				0,000.0
Burkina Faso	. CFA Franc BCEAO						257.00		257.0
elegation Expenses **	. GIA ITAIIC DOLAG						237.00		237.0
Sierre Leone	Leone						280.00		280.0
ihn Tomaszewski:	. Leone						200.00		200.0
	IIC Deller		1 220 00						1.336.0
Liberia	. US Dollar		1,336.00						
Sierre Leone	. US Dollar		370.94		7.047.05				370.9
United States	. US Dollar				7,047.35				7,047.3
elegation Expenses **	13. 1 6.0						41.50		41.5
Liberia	. Liberia Dollar						41.58		41.5
elegation Expenses **									
Sierre Leone	. Leone						85.00		85.0
enator Todd Young:									
India	. US Dollar		920.00						920.0
United States	. US Dollar				10,306.09				10,306.0
randt Anderson:									
India	. US Dollar		1,053.34						1,053.3
United States	. US Dollar		,		5.048.91				5.048.9
elegation Expenses **					0,0.0.01				0,0 70.0
India	. India Rupee						1.620.61		1.620.6
	. птата тарос						1,020.01		1,020.0

SENATOR ROBERT MENENDEZ, Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations, July 20, 2023.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023

		Per d	iem *	Transportation *		Miscellaneous *		Total *	
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Senator Roger Marshall:									
Argentina	US Dollar		997.34						997.34
Brazil	US Dollar		349.11						349.11
Colombia	US Dollar		545.16						545.16
Panama	US Dollar		441.86						441.86
Delegation Expenses **	Ati D						1 000 05		1 000 05
Argentina	Argentine Peso						1,290.25		1,290.25
Delegation Expenses **	Provilian Pool						768.00		768.00
Brazil Delegation Expenses **	Brazilian Real						700.00		700.00
Colombia	Colombian Peso						814.66		814.66
Delegation Expenses **	Odiombian i cao						014.00		014.00
Panama	Balboa, US Dollar						301.00		301.00
Senator Jon Ossoff:	Daibou, Go Dollar						001.00		001.00
South Korea	US Dollar		1.548.00						1.548.00
United States			-,		17.727.85				17.727.85
Reynaldo Benitez:									,
South Korea	US Dollar		1,548.00						1,548.00
United States	US Dollar				17,295.45				17,295.45
Miryam Lipper:									
South Korea	US Dollar		1,548.00						1,548.00
United States	US Dollar				17,727.82				17,727.82
Delegation Expenses **							7 400 00		7 400 00
South Korea	Won						7,496.33		7,496.33
Senator Gary Peters:	HC D-H		700 27						700 07
Panama	US Dollar		726.37		2.959.55				726.37 2.959.55
United States	US Dollar				2,959.55				2,959.55
Panama	US Dollar		809.83						809.83
United States	US Dollar				3.038.75				3,038.75
Michael Stoever:	OS Dollar				3,030.73				3,030.73
Panama	US Dollar		809.83						809.83
United States	US Dollar		005.05		3.038.75				3.038.75
Olliton Otatos	00 Dollar				0,000.70				0,000.70

^{*} Note All values are United States Dollar Equivalent.

* Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25, 1977.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

	Name of currency	Per diem *		Transportation *		Miscellaneous *		Total *	
Name and country		Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Delegation Expenses ** Panama	Balboa, US Dollar						12,221.00		12,221.00
Total			9,323.50		61,788.17		22,891.24		94,002.91

SENATOR GARY PETERS, Chairman, Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, June 27, 2023.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON: SMALL BUSINESS AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023

	Name of currency	Per diem *		Transportation *		Miscellaneous *		Total *	
Name and country		Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Shannon Frede: Greenland	US Dollar		276.00						276.00
Total			276.00		0.00		0.00		276.00

SENATUK BETUAMIN COMMITTEE on Small Business and Entrepreneurship, July 19, 2023. SENATOR BENJAMIN CARDIN

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON: VETERANS AFFAIRS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1 TO JUNE 30, 2023

		Per diem *		Transportation *		Miscellaneous *		Tota	I *
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Senator Jerry Moran									
France United States Asher Allman:	US Dollar		488.20		11,560.55				488.20 11,560.55
France	US DollarUS Dollar		345.20		11,560.55				345.20 11,560.55
France	US DollarUS Dollar		488.20		15,460.65				488.20 15,460.65
James Rapert: France United States	US DollarUS Dollar		345.20		11,560.55				345.20 11,560.55
Delegation Expenses ** France	Euro						3,438.00		3,438.00
Total			1,666,80		50,142.30		3,438.00		55,247.10

SENATOR JON TESTER, Chairman, Committee on: Veterans Affairs, July 17, 2023.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023

	Name of currency	Per diem *		Transportation *		Miscellaneous *		Tota	l*
Name and country		Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Nicolas Adams: Country 1 Country 2 Country 3 Peter Metzger:			248.00 1,611.20		21,937.55				248.00 1,611.20 21,937.55
Country 1 Country 2 Country 3 Steve Smith			228.00 1,611.20		20,767.75				228.00 1,611.20 20,767.75
Country 1			228.00 1,351.95		20,767.75				228.00 1,351.95 20,767.75
Country 1			228.00 1,611.20		20,767.75				228.00 1,611.20 20,767.75
Country 1 Nicolas Adams: Country 1			471.00				2,213.00		2,213.00 471.00
Country 2			1,916.43		15,613.44				1,916.43 15,613.44
Country 1			421.00						421.00

^{*}Note: All values are United States Dollar Equivalent.
**Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under the authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25, 1977.

^{*}Note: All values are United States Dollar Equivalent.

**Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95—384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25,

^{*}Note: All values are United States Dollar Equivalent.

**Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25,

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384—22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

		Per	Per diem *		Transportation *		Miscellaneous *		ıl *
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Country 2 Country 3			1,961.43		16,603.54				1,961.43 16,603.54
eter Metzger: Country 1 Country 2			1,472.23		15,303.91				1,472.23 15,303.91
teve Smith: Country 1			421.00						421.00
Country 2 Country 3 ennifer Barrett:			1,885.18		15,613.44				1,885.18 15,613.44
Country 1 Country 2			1,377.00		8,834.75				1,377.00 8,834.75
on Estridge: Country 1 Country 2			1,677.00		8,799.75				1,677.00 8,799.75
on Rosenwasser: Country 1 Country 2			1,499.00		8,834.75				1,499.00 8,834.75
elegation Expenses: ** Country 1							1,372.00		1,372.00
lichael Casey: Country 1 Country 2			499.90 103.00						499.90 103.00
Country 3			434.83		14,539.55				434.83 14,539.55
arah Istel: Country 1 Country 2			620.90 103.00						620.90 103.00
Country 3			434.83		14,539.55				434.83 14,539.55
elegation Expenses: ** Country 1 aria Mahler-Haug:							332.66		332.66
Country 1			293.50 755.00		0 502 05				293.50 755.00
Country 3 ndrew Polesovsky: Country 1			293.50		8,593.95				8,593.95 293.50
Countrý 2 Country 3			755.00		8,593.95				755.00 8,593.95
ımes Sauls: Country 1Country 2			293.50 755.00						293.50 755.00
Country 3elegation Expenses: **					8,593.95		110.02		8,593.95
Country 1elegation Expenses: ** Country 2							118.93 1,593.86		118.93
arah Istel: Country 1			422.00		337.00				422.00
Country 2 dam Martina: Country 1			896.00		337.00				337.00 896.00
Country 2			387.85		14,790.55				387.8 14,790.5
jun Ravindra: Country 1 Country 2			387.85		12,738.15				387.8 12,738.1
elegation Éxpenses: ** Country 1ric Losick:							455.98		455.98
Country 1 Country 2			1,455.77		17,194.10				1,455.77 17,194.10
eather Melancon: Country 1 Country 2			1,719.05		17,194.10				1,719.05 17,194.10
ichael Pevzner: Country 1			1,827.59						1,827.59
Country 2 athleen Reilly: Country 1			381.54		17,194.10				17,194.10 381.5
Country 2rendan Ruppert:									6,220.0
Country 1			381.54		6,220.05				381.54 6,220.0
Country Ienator Marco Rubio:							8.17		8.17
Country 1 Country 2amantha Roberts:					2.054.20				538.00 3,254.32
Country 1									538.00 1,254.22
elegation Expenses: **							697.63		697.63
Country 1			269.68 467.43						269.68 467.43
Country 3			274.00		8,166.95				274.00 8,166.95
Country 1 Country 2			269.68 467.43						269.68 467.43
Country 3			274.00		8,166.95				274.00 8,166.95
ennis wiscinneier: Country 1 Country 2			269.69 467.43						269.69 467.43
Country 3			274.00		8,421.95				274.00 8,421.95
elegation Expenses: ** Country 1elegation Expenses: **							202.26		202.26
							1,103.88		1,103.88

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95-384-22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2023—Continued

		Per diem*		Transportation *		Miscellaneous *		Total *	
Name and country	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Total			37,519.31		349,857.82		8,632.37		396,009.50

SENATOR MARK WARNER,

Chairman, Committee on Intelligence, July 21, 2023.

ORDERS FOR THURSDAY, JULY 27, 2023

Mr. REED. Mr. President, with that, I believe we have to conclude this evening.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it stand adjourned under the provisions of S. Res. 316 until 10 a.m. on Thursday, July 27; that following the prayer and pledge, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the morning hour be deemed expired, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and morning business be closed; that upon the conclusion of morning business, the Senate resume the consideration of Calendar No. 119, S. 2226; further, that at 11:30 a.m., the Senate vote on the remaining amendments under the previous order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 10 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. REED. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask that it stand adjourned under the previous order.

There being no objection, as a further mark of respect to the late Lowell Weicker, Jr., former Senator from Connecticut, the Senate, at 12:40 a.m., adjourned until Thursday, July 27, 2023, at 10 a.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate:

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

MARTIN O'MALLEY OF MARYLAND TO BE COMMIS-SIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE TERM EXPIRING JANUARY 19, 2025, VICE ANDREW M.

UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

PAUL K. MARTIN, OF MARYLAND, TO BE INSPECTOR GENERAL, UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, VICE ANN CALVARESI BARR, RESIGNED.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

CARDELL KENNETH RICHARDSON, SR., OF VIRGINIA, TO BE INSPECTOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF STATE, VICE STEVE A. LINICK.

^{*}Note: All values are United States Dollar Equivalent.

**Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under the authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May