

Plant Signaling & Behavior



Taylor & Franc

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/kpsb20

Silicon and soil microorganisms improve rhizospheric soil health with bacterial community, plant growth, performance and yield

Krishan K. Verma, Xiu-Peng Song, Dong-Mei Li, Munna Singh, Jian-Ming Wu, Rajesh Kumar Singh, Anjney Sharma, Bao-Qing Zhang & Yang-Rui Li

To cite this article: Krishan K. Verma, Xiu-Peng Song, Dong-Mei Li, Munna Singh, Jian-Ming Wu, Rajesh Kumar Singh, Anjney Sharma, Bao-Qing Zhang & Yang-Rui Li (2022) Silicon and soil microorganisms improve rhizospheric soil health with bacterial community, plant growth, performance and yield, Plant Signaling & Behavior, 17:1, 2104004, DOI: 10.1080/15592324.2022.2104004

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2022.2104004

9	© 2022 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.	Published online: 09 Aug 2022.
	Submit your article to this journal 🗷	Article views: 1582
Q ^L	View related articles $oldsymbol{C}$	View Crossmark data ☑
2	Citing articles: 1 View citing articles 🗹	



REVIEW

OPEN ACCESS Check for update



Silicon and soil microorganisms improve rhizospheric soil health with bacterial community, plant growth, performance and yield

Krishan K. Verma 🕞, Xiu-Peng Song^a, Dong-Mei Li^a, Munna Singh^b, Jian-Ming Wu^a, Rajesh Kumar Singh^a, Anjney Sharma^a, Bao-Qing Zhang^a, and Yang-Rui Li

aKey Laboratory of Sugarcane Biotechnology and Genetic Improvement (Guangxi), Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs/Guangxi Key Laboratory of Sugarcane Genetic Improvement/Sugarcane Research Institute, Guangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences/ Sugarcane Research Center, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanning, China; Department of Botany, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, India

ABSTRACT

The interaction of silicon and soil microorganisms stimulates crop enhancement to ensure sustainable agriculture. Silicon may potentially increase nutrient availability in rhizosphere with improved plants' growth, development as it does not produce phytotoxicity. The rhizospheric microbiome accommodates a variety of microbial species that live in a small area of soil directly associated with the hidden half plants' system. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) play a major role in plant development in response to adverse climatic conditions. PGPRs may enhance the growth, quality, productivity in variety of crops, and mitigate abiotic stresses by reprogramming stress-induced physiological variations in plants via different mechanisms, such as synthesis of indole-3-acetic acid, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase, exopolysaccharides, volatile organic compounds, atmospheric nitrogen fixation, and phosphate solubilization. Our article eye upon interactions of silicon and plant microbes which seems to be an opportunity for sustainable agriculture for series of crops and cropping systems in years to come, essential to safeguard the food security for masses.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 6 May 2022 Revised 13 July 2022 Accepted 15 July 2022

KEYWORDS

Plant microbes: environmental pressure; plant growth-development; productivity: stress resistance; sustainable agriculture; silicon

Introduction

Problems and concerns regarding the usage of synthetic fertilizers, irrigation, herbicides, and pesticides have prompted a quest for alternate techniques to combat nutrient and water limitations on crop plants. Microorganisms may boost crop nutrition as well as the ability of crops to withstand unfavorable environmental issues.^{1–3} Consequently, microorganisms in agricultural systems may reduce the application of traditional fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides. The interactive demonstrations include bacterial and mycorrhizal stabilization of soil aggregates and morphology, rhizobacterial promotion of plant performance through enhancing the bioavailability of nutrients, and enhancement of plant development by influencing phytohormone levels and production of stress-defense components. 1,4,5 Dodd et al. (2010) demonstrated changes in root hormones, morphology, and plant development mediated by rhizobacteria. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) mediated root trait variations may contribute to the agro-ecosystem via improving crop stand, resource use efficiency, stress resistance capacity with healthy soil profile.^{7,8}

The long-term use of chemical fertilizers may adversely affect environment, soil health, quality, and production capacity of plants. The utilization of rhizospheric microorganisms was found to be beneficial for reducing the detrimental effects caused by synthetic fertilizers. 10,11 Hence, useful plant-bacterial communities have been investigated for precision and sustainable agriculture. Diversified bacteria are closely connected

with plant cells, and as a result, they extend beneficial effects. 12-Acetobacter, Acinetobacter, Azospirillum, Bacillus, Burkholderia, Candida boidinii, Enterobacter, Klebsiella variicola F2, Nocardiopsis alba, Penicillium chrysogenum, Pseudomonas, Promicromonospora, Pseudomonas fluorescens YX2, Raoultella planticola YL2, and few others may colonize with broad range of plant cultivars. 14-20 The distribution of endophytic bacteria is determined by various crops and crop circumstances.^{21,22} The endophytic bacteria on plants have a lot of potential as bio-fertilizers.²³ Endophytic bacteria may get colonized intra and intercellularly promoting plant establishment and plant performance.3,24,25

The phyllosphere, endosphere, and rhizosphere are the different kinds of microorganisms. 26,27 The phyllosphere, the above-ground surface of plants considered as complex ecosystem where microorganisms and the host plant get associated to create dynamic communities.²⁸ The number of microbial species found in the microbiome of a live plant generally outnumbers the host cells.¹⁴ Plant microbiomes are important bioresources for sustainable agriculture as effective microbes may maintain plant development and enhance plant nutritional availability via solubilization of P, K, and Zn, fixation of nitrogen along with other functions. 29,30 Agricultural practices, such as synthetic fertilizers and pesticides may change the composition of the plant microbiomes.³ Plants and microbes may also work together to reduce environmental pollutants and soil toxicity.⁴

Silicon is also considered somewhere between an essential and nonessential element for plants lifecycle, as it is not found essential for many crops. Still, plants get benefit for better adaptation toward adverse environmental conditions, in case having Si. 1,31,32 Silicon constitutes a significant part of the soil, mainly aluminum silicates or other silicate minerals unavailable for plant absorption.³³ The level of plant-available form of Si in the soil solution (H₄SiO₄) differs between 0.1 and .06 mM (2.8-17.1 mg Si L⁻¹) is about two orders of magnitude higher than the phosphorus level.³⁴ Silicon is taken up by plant root systems and translocated through the plant to be deposited as SiO₂ phytoliths in the lumen, cell walls, and intercellular spaces.³⁵ However, plant species vary greatly in their efficiency in accumulating Si ranging from 0.1 to 10% of Si on dry weight basis.^{36–38} Consequently, few plant species are less affected by Si application than others.³⁹ Silicon regulates availability of various elements in soils by competing for binding on soil particles depending on the speciation of silicic acid. 40 Soils contain 100–500 μmol L⁻¹ silicic acid, although the availability varies depending on soil type, temperature, and pH level. 41,42 Hence, it can be re-considered as a recently established definition of the importance of nutrients, according to Epstein and Bloom⁴³ (2005), mostly found to be beneficial when plants face biotic and/or abiotic stresses. 31,44

Our paper reports application Si and PGPRs essential to alleviating biotic and abiotic stresses and enhancing nutrient use efficiency (NUE) to promote plant performance and productivity. The recent advancement in Si and PGPRs interaction relies on the current scientific research to elucidate the benefits conferred by these bio stimulants in response to adverse environmental conditions for sustainable agriculture under climate change.

Impact of Si and plant microbiome on plants

Implementing sustainable approach to increase plant resistance against environmental stresses is essential to enhance food production around the globe. The crop production may require ca. 60-100% enhancement by 2050 to meet the projected global population of 9.7 billion. 20,45 However, achieving this target without any loss of agro-ecosystems is challenging. Nowadays, agricultural and food production sectors are threatened by the era of climate change, land degradation, limited availability of water, and more recently breakthrough in pandemics like COVID-19.20

Biotic factors

Plants are always found in association with various species in natural habitats. They do have an inherent immune system that can detect invading microorganisms and create a possible successful response against specific phytopathogens. The plants' innate immune system plays key role in regulating the action of microbial species in their microbiome system. 46,47 Salicylic acid (SA) is produced in significant amounts by certain PGPRs, and some of these rhizobacteria may induce systemic resistance against stressed plants. Various PGPRs can produce SA in an iron availability-dependent way, and SA is detected on plant roots, 48 although likely initiating from plant root systems upon

interaction with rhizobacteria. Several PGPR strains have been reported to have the ability to produce SA. Pseudomonas is the best-studied genus for SA production, and SA-producing species include P. aeruginosa, P. aureofaciens, P. corrugata, and P. fluorescens. 49,50 It has also been suggested that exudation of the signal molecules jasmonic acid (JA) and salicylic acid (SA) into the rhizosphere can be associated with the interplay between roots and microbes during the initial stage of colonization. 51-53 Ethylene plays a vital role in stress tolerance capacity for some PGPR.⁵⁴ As a result, plant microbiomes depend on the plant genotypes, growth age, and presence of bacterial strains.53

Bacteria are the most thoroughly characterized part of the plant microbiome due to their potential for plant growth promotion.³ Plant species, genotypes, life cycle duration, root proximity, and soil types influence the bacterial microbiome composition. 3,55,56 Bacteria, the core of the complex interconnected microbiome, have a considerable impact on other microbiome residents, including other bacteria. The synergistic effects, such as biofilm development and bacteria cling with the surface protection.⁵⁷ Furthermore, due to additive effects, multispecies biofilms are most efficient compared to monospecies biofilms, resulting in mutual proliferation when a new species is introduced to the microbiome.⁵⁸ Olive trees cultivated with knot disease are an example of a significant association between bacteria in the plant microbiome. The pathogen was responsible for the olive knot found to be Pseudomonas savastanoi PV. savastanoi supplemented by nonpathogenic bacterial species like Pantoea agglomerans and Erwinia toletana, leading the disease to worsen. 59,60 Bacterial interactions with other bacteria in the plant microbiome may be inhibiting. Many Pseudomonas species have also been reported to inhibit R. solanacearum and decrease pathogen density and infection prevalence. 61 The intricate interplay in the plant, bacteria, and other microbes is more likely to impact the fundamental action mechanisms producing variations in the bacterial population of plants by other bacteria.^{3,62}

Bacteria and fungi have been observed to interact extensively, with bacterial populations influencing fungal populations and vice versa. This relationship appears mostly plantindependent, as bacterial-mediated fungal community alterations have been observed in planted and unplanted settings.⁶³ Depending on the precise condition of the interactions, bacteria in the plant microbiome might be advantageous or inhibiting to fungi. Through symbiosis, keystone bacteria, such as members of the Burkholderia genus, may considerably enhance the abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (AMF), but P. fluorescens restrict fungal growth functions. 64 In-plant microbiome, symbiosis is commonly associated with bacteria and fungi, notably with AMF. 4,64,65 Rhizopus spp. of fungi and bacterial spp. Burkholderia developed an endosymbiotic relationship in which Rhizopus fungi relied on Burkholderia activities to create spores that infected Oryza plants with blight.66 Fungi play various important functions in the plant microbiome. The plant microbiome's fungi vary by host, and get influenced by nitrogen deposition,⁶⁷ and soil types.⁶⁸ Fungi may be significant to plant development and cause plant diseases,69 also enhances plant performance, including productivity.70



Archaea have more potential for plant growth enhancement, despite being understudied concerning the plant microbiome. Their close connections with atmospheric variables and other microbial residents frequently form symbiotic relationships with plants. 1,71 Archaea were revealed to interact with plants and fungus in a bog habitat, impacting nutrient delivery and secondary plant capabilities. Archaea have not been thoroughly explored with their possible application in agriculture. The archaeon Methanococcoides burtonii produces non-photosynthetic Rubisco (Ribulose-1,5-biphosphate carboxylase), rate-limiting CO₂ fixation process during leaf gas exchange, which was found to boost photosynthetic performance and plant development capacity in tobacco plants by acquiring in vivo biosynthesis of rubisco encoded by rbcL and rbcS genes of cpDNA and nDNA, respectively.⁷² This response suggests a link between nematodes and the various players in the plant microbiome, which might be used in agriculture to protect against nematode infection.⁷³

Silicon and PGPRs have been shown to mitigate adverse plant diseases. 1,74 Some of the known mechanisms by which Si and PGPRs alleviate the biotic stress in plants are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Many suitable nutrient-rich niches on/ inside roots attract a greater diversity of microorganisms. The basic process by which PGPRs defend plants from phytopathogens is competition for the nutrients and niches. 39,143,144 Induced systemic resistance, which improves defense against a wide range of diseases and insect herbivores, is another meaningful way pathogens control PGPRs in the rhizosphere and endorhiza prime the entire plant body. 1,4,143,145 Like PGPRs, Si may manage plant pathogenic diseases by forming physical barriers. Precipitation of amorphous silica in plants is a mechanical barrier.146

Various chemical changes in soil are linked with PGPRs. Some bacterial strains directly associate plant physiology by mimicking the synthesis of phytohormones, whereas others enhance the availability of minerals and nitrogen content in the soil to augment growth. 147 Another effect of Si on pathogenic disease control to the formation of chemical barriers, that is, the increased defense-related enzymes such as chitinases, β-1,3-glucanases, peroxidase, lipoxygenase, polyphenol oxidases, and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase. 1,2 Silicon may enhance plant resistance capacity to pathogenic infections by (i) enhancing gene expression associated with tolerance to pests and diseases; (ii) increasing phenolic content, callose, or methylaconitate (phytoalexins), and lignin; (iii) upregulating the expression of genes associated in encoding the proline-rich protein (PRP1) and the major enzymes in phenylpropanoids pathways; and (iv) increase polyphenol content, antimicrobial flavonoids, and anthocyanin. 1,2,39,144,148

Role of plant rhizobacteria and Si on plants in response to adverse environmental conditions

Water and salinity stress

Water stress is a major environmental stressor in agroecosystems, resulting in losses ca. millions of dollars annually. 1,75,149 However, water stress on plants has been

Table 1. Impact of silicon on plant biology subjected to stress conditions.

Stress condition	Crop	Impacts	Source
Water- deficit	Saccharum officinarum	Increased photosynthetic capacity, pigments, leaf water status, phytohormones, and antioxidative	1,75–78
	Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa 'Camarosa')	enzyme activities Improved leaf development, injury of membranes, SPAD units, chlorophyll fluorescence variables, leaf gas exchange and biomass traits	79
	Solanum Iycopersicum	Photosynthetic performance, membrane injury, enzymatic and non-enzymatic activities and growth parameters increased	80
	Triticum aestivum, Zea mays	Enhanced plant biomass and photosynthetic and productivity	81,82
Saline stress	Cucumis sativus	Plant biomass, enzymatic and non- enzymatic activities increased with plant development	83
	Oryza sativa	Growth-biomass, photosynthetic CO ₂ assimilation rate, ion distribution improved or balanced	84
	Vigna radiata	Plant productivity, pigments, photosynthesis and stress resistance capacity enhanced	85
Osmotic	Sorghum bicolor	Leaf photosynthesis responses, root hydraulic conductivity and biomass capacity improved	86
	Solanum lycopersicum	Gas exchange rate, leaf water status, MDA, hydrogen peroxide content and enzymatic responses increased during stress with Si application	80
Excess Cd	Oryza sativa	Increased plant weight and enzymatic activities in leaf and roots	87
Excess As	Oryza sativa	Improved leaf photosynthetic, fluorescence variables and Vcmax and Jmax responses	88
Excess Cu	Spartina densiflora	Photosynthesis, pigments, relative growth rate and biomass upregulated	89
Excess Mn	Cucumis sativa	Biomass, leaf mineral content, H ₂ O ₂ and GPx level enhanced	90,91
Excess Al	Zea mays	Root development, citrate and malate exudation and phenol exudation increased or upgraded	92
Low K ⁺	Sorghum bicolor	Increased whole plant biomass, photosynthesis assimilation rate of leaf, chlorophyll level and enzymatic activities	93

extensively researched, but less information about the effect of drought on the plant microbiome. Water stress, of course, causes a complete loss of plant and microbial biomass. 150,151 However, investigations on diverse plants utilizing stress treatments that resulted in an enormous enrichment in bacteria have indicated that some species of bacteria do a better performance during stress conditions. 144,151 Acinetobacter and Pseudomonas bacterial isolates alleviated plant biomass declines in water deficit-stricken grapevines by reducing photosynthetic inhibition caused by stress, most likely through 1-aminocyclopropane-1- carboxylate (ACC) deaminase production. The proposed mechanisms for plant-growth enhancement by PGPRs include bacterial synthesis of the phytohormones indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), cytokinin (CYT), and gibberellin (GA); breakdown of plant-produced ethylene by bacterial production of ACC deaminase; and enhanced the availability of nutrients and nitrogen in the soil.^{3,147,152,153}

Production of ACC deaminase Decrease MDA and H ₂ O ₂ content enhance ROS activity in plant organs
ALIM ASEASON
Reduce ROS, pre-activation of heat shock proteins (HSPs)
Production of ABA content
ROS reduce, enhance proline, photosynthetic pigments, sugar, starch, amino acid and protein and plant harmones
Interaction with INA+ bacteria
Production of ACC deaminase
marcescens SRM, Pseudomonas spp. PGERs17, NARs9
Production of ACC deaminase
sp., Exophiala sp., Improve soil properties and root water conductivity
Pseudomonas chlororaphis TSAU13, Production of IAA
Production of gibberellin
Production of cytokinin
Production of ABA
Achromobacter piechaudii ARV8, B. Iicheniformis Production of ACC deaminase K11, Pseudomonas spp., Ps. flinnescens TDK
Increase aquaporin activities
Up-regulated antioxidant enzyme activities
Ps. putida, Ps. aeruginosa PF23, Glomus Production of EPS mosseae, G. versiforme, G. diaphanum
Bacillus polymyxa, Glomus intraradices, Production of osmolytes G. versiforme

Table 2. (Continued). Stress Salinity

Source	125	126	101	/71	113		128		129	130		131	132		133		134	<u>8</u> 5	99	137	138			55	140	141	<u> </u>	142	
Function	Osmoprotection	Increase germination rate		Upgrade plant growth, development and yield biomass	Increased ACC deaminase activity		Enhanced ACC deaminase level		Improve root morphological capacity and increase secretion of nod-genes	Decrease EC content and increase proline level, balance RWC status in leaves,	and accumulation of K ions	Enhance ACC deaminase activity	Enhance the absorption of	Mg2+, K2+, and Ca2+ and reduce Na2+ uptake from soil	Increase ACC deaminase content		Restored growth injuries by reducing ion distribution. Enhanced growth performances and chlorophyll level	Minimize the harmful effects of Cr on plants and enhanced plant performance and development	Increased growth characteristics, proline and total soluble protein level during metal toxicity applied media and upregulated antioxidative enzymatic activities	Enhanced plant growth and development and reduced contaminated ion uptake and accumulation in plant	Organs Enhanced condition was not assumination and biomans connects, during attack the manded the land of	chilanced seeding rate or germination and biolinas capacity during suess. Opgraded the ever or photosynthetic pigments, enzymatic and non-enzymatic activities and downregulated the production of	ethylene during metal stress condition.	Enhanced the growth parameters, biomass and balance the pH of soil and protect the accumulation of toxic ions uptake	Upgraded the morphological traits with yield productivity. Positively enhanced or balanced the uptake of	Cu, Zn, and Cd ions in plant organs. Increased the level of P and Fe in soil properties.	Significantly enhanced the morphological and biomass activities of plants during stress condition.	Significantly enhanced the growth, biomsss and development of plants and leaf photosynthetic pigments. Cd ion uptake increased.	
Microbe	Azospirillum	Azospirillum		Pseudomonas putida IIW 4	Pseudomonas	fluorescens	Pseudomonas syringae, P. fluorescens,	Enterobacter aerogenes	Azospirillum brasilense	Rhizobium,	Pseudomonas	Pseudomonas sp., Serratia sp	P. putida Rs-198		P. syringae,	P. fluorescens, and Rhizobium phaseoli	Enterobacter sp.	Bacillus sp.	Bacillus megaterium MCR–8	Kocuria sp. CRB15	Moring and MC 2001	אינטאוומ אוופמוויטיוומפ ואורר אסא ו		Azospirillum	Pseudomonas sp. LK9		Achromobacter sp. E4LS, Bacillus sp. E4S1, Bacillus sp. E1S2, Bacillus pumilus E2S2, Stenotronhomonas sp. F11	Pseudomonas putida (ATCC 39213)	
Plant	Zea mays	Lactuca	sativa	Brassica	Arachis	hypogaea	Zea mays		Phaseolus vulgaris	Zea mays		Triticum aestivum	Gossypium	č.	Vigna	radiata	Pisum sativum	Lens culinaris	Vinca rosea	Brassica nigra	0.11	Oryzu sativa		Panicum virgatum	Solanum nigrum		Sedum plumbizincicola	Eruca sativa	
Stress	Salinity																Metal toxicity												

1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acid (ACC), Ice Nucleating Activity (INA⁺), Abscisic Acid (ABA), Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), Indole-3-acetic Acid (IAA), Abscisic Acid (ABA), Exopolysaccharides (EPS)

On the other hand, these bacterial strains did not enhance plant development in normal plants¹⁵³ while in case infected with *Bacillus thuringiensis* IAM 12077 in subtropical climates then found that it improved plant performance and nutritional absorption.¹⁵⁴ Generally, bacteria enhance plant water resistance by boosting root water intake, lowering ethylene production, and enhancing metabolism and nutrient synthesis.^{3,4}

Salinity is a severe problem for crop production, and it has negatively affected plant health by generating nutrient absorption imbalances by changing osmotic pressures in plant cells. 155 Due to the drying and lysis of microorganisms in the plant microbiome, salinity substantially impacts microbiome composition since halophytic bacteria tend to resist extremely saline soils. 1,156 Salinity decreases mycorrhizal colonization, hyphae growth, and germination efficiency, inhibiting fungal growth. The plant microbiome is a complex network of interdependent bacteria that shifts many other factors interacting with fungus. Compared to fungi, the effect of salinity appears to have a more significant influence on bacteria. 157 Because most bacteria are not acclimated to highly saline conditions, rising soil salinity drastically reduces bacterial richness and diversity. 157,158 Plant growth-promoting bacteria have been identified from rhizospheric saline soil and low potential for increasing plant development in salt-stressed areas in an environmentally acceptable approach. 1 Fatima et al. 159 (2020) found that the saline-soil isolate Alcaligenes sp. AF7 had a variety of plant growth-promoting traits, such as the production of exopolysaccharides, indole-3-acetic acid, gibberellic acid, and siderophores that were prominent up to various salinity thresholds, and the bacterium enhanced the Oryza vegetative growth stage more than two-times during salinity.

The strain NCCP-11 T of Cellulomonas pakistanensis sp. nov., isolated from paddy shown to be moderately halotolerant. 160 Cellulomonas isolates found potential as PGPR in rice, boosting minerals bioavailability by decomposing organic matter via secreted enzymes, that is, cellulases and hemicellulases.¹⁶¹ Most of the trials under salinity-stress conditions could find other important halotolerant microorganisms in the microbiome to be used during salinity to sustain agricultural crop production. Plants with Si and PGPRs are beneficial for the salinity and water-stressed conditions. 1,85,162 Tables 1 and 2 highlights the action mechanisms through which Si and PGPRs help plants cope with saline and waterstressed plants. By limiting the accumulation and distribution of water and minerals, salinity and water deficit negatively affect plant development, development, and output. 163 The length and region of the root surface affect plant nutrient absorption and more exposed areas for the absorption of scattered ions result from an increase in root surface morphology. During salinity and water stress conditions, Si can improve root development, nutrient uptake, phytohormones, and overall plant performance. 144,164,165

The water deficiency also restricts nutrient uptake and accumulation by roots and subsequent transfer to shoots, lowering nutritional bioavailability and metabolism. ¹⁶⁶ PGPRs may directly affect plant behavior by solubilizing P by secreting a variety of extracellular phosphatases and producing organic and inorganic acids and protons and K to improve nutrient uptake. ^{1,74} PGPRs may enhance plant resistance capacity to salt and water deficit by

reducing Na⁺ absorption and affecting the accumulation and distribution of a few primary nutrients in plants treated with Si. ¹⁶⁷ In earlier demonstrations, the exogenous use of Si increased leaf photosynthetic performance in various plant cultivars during salt and limited water supply. ⁷⁵ Higher levels of soluble salts and specific ions (Na⁺ and Cl⁻) severely influence plant performance by enhancing osmotic pressures and inhibiting water absorption and distribution. ^{39,163} Plants require an optimal water level for survival during salinity and water stress conditions via osmotic adjustment. ¹⁶⁸

The incredible action of Si is achieved by adjusting the levels of solutes, such as proline, glycine betaine, carbohydrates, and polyols and antioxidative enzymes, that is, total phenolics, total soluble sugars, and total-free amino acids caused by salinity. Reactive oxygen species play important roles in balancing normal plant development and improving their tolerance efficiency to stresses. 31,39,144 Damage to the plasma membrane and endomembrane systems and disruption of normal metabolism can result from these ROS. Like PGPRs, Si-fertilizer may reduce oxidative injury in various plants during salinity and water stress by increasing antioxidative enzymatic and non-enzymatic activities. 169 Further, Si maintained the permeability and stability of cell membranes in plants cultivated during abiotic stress.³⁹ Reduced leaf relative water status is a common reaction of plants in salinity stress circumstances. 1,32,164,170 Various scientific reports have also shown that the Si may significantly enhance plant tolerance capacity to noxious environmental factors.^{31,32} Inoculating stressed plants with PGPRs may activate signaling pathways that increase the host's disease resistance, the phenomenon known as induced systemic resistance. 148,171 PGPRmediated physical and chemical variations that improve resistance to stressors have also been proposed as induced systemic tolerance.^{8,172} Silicon leads to activating key genes associated with salinity and drought-stressed plants. 39,173

Heavy metal toxicity

When agricultural lands are contaminated with heavy metals (HMs), soil microorganisms are more sensitive to the impacts of HMs. 174,175 The number of soil microorganisms reduces by direct killing or biochemical deactivation. Heavy metal pollution will change the composition of the soil microbial community, and the microorganisms that can adapt to these stress increase in abundance. The different HMs have different effects on the microbiome, with zinc being the more effective in causing alterations in microbial diversity, followed by cadmium and lead. 178,179 Although the impact of metals on different members of the microbiome are not fully understood, the application of plant growth-promoting microbes to aid in contaminated metallic ions reduced through phytoextraction has the potential to become broadly used in agriculture due to its demonstrated effectiveness. 180,181 These strains could be employed to enhance plant performance in metalliccontaminated environments. The effects of toxic ions on the microbiota may identify new players who could help bioremediation efforts in agricultural systems.8

Metal-resistant siderophore-producing PGPRs might reduce metallic toxicity by providing nutrients to plants and binding metals other than Fe.⁴ Plant hormones, i.e., auxins,

gibberellins, and cytokinin-producing-PGPRs, may mitigate metal induced-stress in plants and facilitate adaptation and resistance strategies by triggering physiological variations and boosting the absorption of important minerals as a result of expanding plant root systems.⁸ Interactive use of Si and PGPRs may reduce toxic effects on plants exposed to metal toxicity.1 A variety of plants were shown to have increased tolerance capacity to harmful toxic ions by reducing the accumulation and distribution of metals. 182,183 Under various stresses, PGPRs stimulate HMs tolerance genes as well as the development of more stress response functions, such as ETH and stress proteins.3,184,185

Nutrient deficiency

In plants, Si and PGPRs were found to minimize the negative impacts of nutrient deficiency. 1,186 Tables 1 and 2 highlights some known processes by which Si and PGPRs restore nutritional imbalance in plants. Si also helps plants to cope with N deficiency by (i) increasing nutrient absorption, (ii) improving nodulation and N2 fixation in leguminous crops, (iii) increasing NUE, and (iv) changing primary metabolism by driving amino acid remobilization. 1,39,144 Silicon fertilization was discovered to boost P availability in graminaceous species. At the same time, Si may inhibit P uptake and formation of chlorosis when excessive P levels are applied, presumably by minimizing the rate of transpiration. 144 Si also reduces stress caused by K shortage by regulating soil K availability and nutrient content in plants and altering antioxidative enzyme activities to reduce K-deficiencyinduced MDA content and oxidative stress. 187-189

PGPRs enhance plant micronutrient availability, 14 reducing soil pH and synthesizes chelating agents. Plants require iron as a key micronutrient element in dry, calcareous, and alkaline environments. Microbial synthesis of the plant hormone auxin, i.e., indole-3-acetic acid/indole acetic acid/IAA, has been known for long period. Generally, IAA secreted by rhizobacteria interferes with the various plant developmental processes because the endogenous pool of IAA can be altered by the acquisition of IAA that has been secreted by soil bacteria. 190,191

IAA also acts as signaling molecule affecting gene expression in several microorganisms. It plays vital role in PGPRsplant interactions. Most Rhizobium species have shown to produce IAA. 192,193 Environmental stress factors that modulate the IAA biosynthesis in different bacteria include acidic pH, osmotic and matrix stress, and carbon limitation. 191 Generally, bacteria acquire iron by the secretion of lowmolecular-mass iron chelators referred as siderophores, which have high association with iron. PGPRs vary regarding the siderophore cross-utilizing efficiency; some are proficient in using siderophores of the same genus (homologous siderophores), while others could utilize those produced by other rhizobacteria different genera (heterologous siderophores). 193,194 Hence, bacterial siderophores may help to mitigate adverse environmental stresses. Plants assimilate iron from bacterial siderophores utilizing different mechanisms, for instance, chelation and release of iron, the direct uptake of siderophore-Fe complexes, or ligand exchange reaction. 195 Numerous studies of the plant growth enhancement via siderophore-mediated Fe-uptake. 4,196,197

pН

The pH of the soil is a key component in defining the composition of plant microbiome and its impact on heavy metal accumulation. Bacterial species have shown significant fluctuations with little changes in pH, but fungus types found to be slightly associated. 198-200 The highly alkaline soils impair plant growth due to poor mineral uptake while acidic soil may cause injury due to excessive uptake of toxic ions. 201,202 Some microorganisms that promote plant growth have shown promise in reducing alkaline stress in plants. Dixit et al.203 (2020) isolated different PGPRs such as Alcaligenes sp. NBRI NB2.5, Bacillus sp. NBRI YE1.3, and Bacillus sp. NBRI YN4.4 from alkaline soil and discovered that they improved germination efficiency and productivity of maize plants in vitro condition during alkaline stress with Bacillus strain NBRI YN4.4 having most remarkable enhanced plant growth. The soil inoculation with NBRI NB2.5, NBRI YE1.3, and NBRI YN4.4 enhanced enzyme activities in the soil, such as alkaline phosphatase, beta-glucosidase, and dehydrogenase under alkaline conditions, indicating the importance of these strains for plant performance and soil fertility in alkaline conditions.203

Role of plant hormones with the application of plant microbes and silicon

In addition, endophytic bacteria promote plant growth by producing several phytohormones. Plant nutrient uptake and biomass get boosted by phytohormones. 204,205 Endophytic bacteria create plant growth regulators like CYT, ETH, ABA, GA, and IAA during plant interactions. 1,206 Surprisingly, IAA has a significant impact on overall plant performance. Indole-3-acetic acid is one of the main signals in symbiotic relationship between host and endophytes.²⁰⁷ Ethylene is also an important plant hormone that regulates variety of developmental and physiological functions. 208 Endophytic bacteria produce ethylene precursor enzyme 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase. ^{180,209} Under stressful conditions, ACC hydrolysis improves plant development²⁴ while, some endophytic bacterial strains may emit volatile organic substances like acetoin, pentadecane, 2,3-butanediol, 1 hexanol, and indole, promoting plant development. 147,210,211 Under water stress, phytohormone producing-PGPRs have also been shown to enhance plant resistance against various environmental stresses by changing phytohormone contents viz., auxins, ETH, GA, CYT, and ABA. 1,39,212,213 The generation of IAA by PGPRs alters root morphology by enhancing the number of root tips and surface area, acquisition of water and minerals with an ability to cope up the drought. 32,212

Agricultural approaches

Crop rotation and fertilizer use

Crop rotation, applying natural and synthetic fertilizers and using microbes or transgenic plants are some of the advanced agricultural practices utilized by farmers these days to boost the plant performance, productivity, and quality of the crops including disease-resistant soil²¹⁴ with improved rhizospheric soil.215 However, the impact of crop rotation on microbial

populations in the soil has been poorly known for a long time. 216,217 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and PGPR have been used as biofertilizers for their beneficial effects on plant development, especially by mobilizing soil nutrients and producing plant hormones that stimulate root growth.²¹⁸ Long-term demonstrations may be used to assess the sustainability of intensive cropping systems about the effect of continuous fertilization in different combinations of nutrients on the soil profile and crop yield.²¹⁹ The concentration of amorphous Si sometimes ranged from less than 1-30 mg g⁻¹ on a total soil basis. Crops may remove millions of tons of Si from soil annually around the world. 183,220,221

Microbial activity and bio-mineralization play a major role in nutrient cycling in soil and may be shifted by long-duration fertilization. 222 Cultivation of some crops may also be an alternate source of soil fertility. 223 The downregulating behavior of plant-available silicon affected by crop rotations is accompanied by N, P, and K combined fertilization. The optimum mineral NPK application can increase the Si-use efficiency (SUE) and promote its uptake/accumulation by plants.²²¹ However, the fertilization by Si can still be an important demand to replenish the reduced levels of nutrients depending on its critical levels in soil and the plant nutrient requirements. 224,225 For many years, farmers have used natural and traditional fertilizers on their crops. These fertilizers' made impact on the soil's microbial composition, not well understood.²²⁶ On the other hand, high nitrogen fertilization enhanced abundance of nitrification and denitrification genes in the surrounding soil with an ability to improve crop biomass and nitrogen content. Lang et al. 227 found that when phosphorus fertilizer increased, the overall community richness of AMF and bacteria dropped, while fungal and bacterial gene copies may get enhanced.

Limitations and concluding remarks of the study

As a result of advancements in DNA sequencing methods, studying the microbiome has become an important research topic these days. The breakthrough may explore better understanding of complicated microbial communities.²²⁸ It may reveal wealth of knowledge about micro-ecosystems that occur inside soil by studying the community of bacteria, culturable and unculturable in natural surroundings. 229 Targeted amplicon gene sequencing or metagenomic shotgun sequencing are commonly used to investigate these communities through DNA sequencing²³⁰ with constraints to the soil environment as it is low-cost and effective tool to acquire relevant data of microorganisms. The interplay between Si and the plant microbiome seems to be one the fascinating current studies, also expanding these days with pace. The internationally harmonized regulatory framework (ISO/TC-134) is working on the standardization in the field of fertilizers, soil conditioners, and beneficial substances, that is, materials whose addition is intended to ensure or improve the nourishment of cultivated plants and/ or to improve the properties of soils, and the efficient use thereof. Other key topics include exploration of beneficial mechanisms of Si and bacteria, their plant growthpromoting properties, and the best way to recruit or employ a healthy microbiome consortium to boost crop improvement, cropping systems and plant productivity for sustainable agriculture for fast growing population, globally.

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to the Guangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanning, Guangxi, China, for providing the necessary facilities.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This research was financially supported by the Guangxi Innovation Teams of Modern Agriculture Technology (nycytxgxcxtd-2021-03), the Youth Program of National Natural Science Foundation of China (31901594), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31760415), the Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (2021GXNSFAA220022), the Fund of Guangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences (2021YT011), and Guangxi Key Laboratory of Sugarcane Genetic Improvement Project (21-238-16-K-04-02).

ORCID

Krishan K. Verma (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5501-7905 Yang-Rui Li http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7759-9244

Authors' contributions

KK Verma, XP Song, and YR Li design the article. KK Verma, XP Song, DM Li, RK Singh, A Sharma, and BQ Zhang performed the literature search and data analysis. KK Verma writes the original draft of the manuscript. M Singh, JM Wu, and YR Li critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Consent to Participate

All authors give their consent for participate of this paper.

Consent for Publication

All authors agreed to submission and publishing this article.

References

- 1. Verma KK, Li DM, Singh M, Rajput VD, Malviya MK, Minkina T, Singh RK, Singh P, Song XP, Li YR. Interactive role of silicon and plant-rhizobacteria mitigating abiotic stresses: a new approach for sustainable agriculture and climate change. Plants. 2020;9:1055. doi:10.3390/plants9091055.
- 2. Verma KK, Song X-P, Tian -D-D, Guo D-J, Chen Z-L, Zhong C-S, Nikpay A, Singh M, Rajput VD, Singh RK, et al. Influence of silicon on biocontrol strategies to manage biotic stress for crop protection, performance, and improvement. Plants. 2021b;10:2163. doi:10. 3390/plants10102163.
- 3. Zhang J, Cook J, Nearing JT, Zhang J, Raudonis R, Glick BR, Langille MGI, Cheng Z. Harnessing the plant microbiome to promote the growth of agricultural crops. Microbiol Res. 2021;245:126690. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2020.126690.
- 4. Koskey G, Mburu SW, Awino R, Njeru EM, Maingi JM. Potential use of beneficial microorganisms for soil amelioration, phytopathogen biocontrol, and sustainable crop production in smallholder agroecosystems. Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 2021;5:606308. doi:10.3389/fsufs.2021.606308.



- 5. Ke J, Wang B, Yoshikuni Y. Microbiome engineering: synthetic biology of plant-associated microbiomes in sustainable agriculture. Trends Biotechnol. 2021;39:244-261. doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2020.07.008.
- 6. Dodd I, Zinovkina N, Safronova V, Belimov A. Rhizobacterial mediation of plant hormone status. Ann Appl Biol. 2010;157:361379. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.2010.00439.x.
- 7. Andrews M, Cripps MG, Edwards GR. The potential of beneficial microorganisms in agricultural systems. Ann Appl Biol. 2012;160:1-5. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.2011.00519.x.
- 8. Nazli F, Mustafa A, Ahmad M, Hussain A, Jamil M, Wang X, Shakeel Q, Imtiaz M, El-Esawi MA. A review on practical application and potentials of phytohormone-producing plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for inducing heavy metal tolerance in crops. Sustainability. 2020;12:9056. doi:10.3390/su12219056.
- 9. Worlanyo AS, Jiangfeng L. Evaluating the environmental and economic impact of mining for post-mined land restoration and land-use. J Environ Manag. 2021;279:111623. doi:10.1016/j.jenv man.2020.111623.
- 10. Mishra J, Singh R, and Arora NK. Plant growth-promoting microbes: diverse Roles in agriculture and environmental sustainability, In Kumar V, Kumar M, Sharma S, Prasad R. (eds). Probiotics and Plant Health. Springer, Singapore. 2017. pp. 71-111. doi:10.1007/978-981-10-3473-2 4.
- 11. Atieno M, Herrmann L, Nguyen HT, Phan HT, Nguyen NK, Srean P, Than MM, Zhiyong R, Tittabutr P, Shutsrirung A, et al. Assessment of biofertilizer use for sustainable agriculture in the Great Mekong Region. J Environ Manag. 2020;275:111300. doi:10. 1016/j.jenvman.2020.111300.
- 12. Hallmann J, Quadt-Hallmann A, Mahaffee WF, Kloepper JW. Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops. Can J Microbiol. 1997;43:895-914. doi:10.1139/m97-131.
- 13. Patel JS, Yadav SK, Bajpai R, Teli B, Rashid MM. PGPR secondary metabolites: an active syrup for improvement of plant health. In: Molecular Aspects of Plant Beneficial Microbes in Agriculture. Vol. 1. India: Academic Press; 2020. p. 195-208. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-818469-1.00017-1.
- 14. Zhang D, Xu H, Gao J, Portieles R, Du L, Gao X, Borroto Nordelo C, Borrás-Hidalgo O. Endophytic bacillus altitudinis strain uses different novelty molecular pathways to enhance plant growth. Front Microbiol. 2021a;12:692313. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2021.692313.
- 15. Lodewyckx C, Vangronsveld J, Porteous F, Moore ERB, Taghavi S, Mezgeay M, der Lelie DV. Endophytic bacteria and their potential applications. Crit Rev Plant Sci. 2002;21:583-606. doi:10.1080/ 0735-260291044377.
- 16. Weyens N, van der Lelie D, Taghavi S, Vangronsveld J. Phytoremediation: plant-endophyte partnerships take the challenge. Curr Opin Biotech. 2009;20:248-254. doi:10.1016/j.cop bio.2009.02.012.
- 17. Gou W, Tian L, Ruan Z, Zheng P, Chen F, Zhang L, Cui, Z, Zheng, P, Li, Z, Gao, M, Shi, W, Zhang, L, Liu, J, and Hu, J, et al. Accumulation of choline and glycinebetaine and drought stress tolerance induced in maize (Zea mays) by three plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) strains. Pak J Bot. 2015;47(2):581-586.
- 18. Numan M, Bashir S, Khan Y, Mumtaz R, Shinwari ZK, Khan AL, Khan A, AL-Harrasi A. Plant growth promoting bacteria as an alternative strategy for salt tolerance in plants: a review. Microbiol. Res. 2018;209:21-32. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2018.02.003.
- 19. Kumar A, Singh S, Gaurav AK, Srivastava S, Verma JP. Plant growth-promoting bacteria: biological tools for the mitigation of salinity stress in plants. Front. Microbiol. 2020;11:1-15. doi:10. 3389/fmicb.2020.01216.
- 20. Lau SE, Teo WFA, Teoh EY, Tan BC. Microbiome engineering and plant biostimulants for sustainable crop improvement and mitigation of biotic and abiotic stresses. Discover Food. 2022;2:9. doi:10. 1007/s44187-022-00009-5.
- 21. Zinniel DK, Lambrecht P, Harris NB, Feng Z, Kuczmarski D, Higley P, Ishimaru CA, Arunakumari A, Barletta RG, Vidaver AK, et al. Isolation and characterization of endophytic colonizing bacteria from agronomic crops and prairie plants. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2002;68:2198-2208. doi:10.1128/AEM.68.5.2198-2208.2002.

- 22. Ulrich K, Ulrich A, Ewald D. Diversity of endophytic bacterial communities in poplar grown under field conditions. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2008;63:169-180. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00419.x.
- 23. Afzal I, Shinwari ZK, Sikandar S, Shahzad S. Plant beneficial endophytic bacteria: mechanisms, diversity, host range and genetic determinants. Microbiol Res. 2019;221:36-49. doi:10.1016/j. micres.2019.02.001.
- 24. Santoyo G, Moreno-Hagelsieb G, Orozco-Mosqueda Mdel C, Glick BR. Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes. Microbiol Res. 2016;183:92-99. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2015.11.008.
- 25. Gouda S, Kerry RG, Das G, Paramithiotis S, Shin HS, Patra JK. Revitalization of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable development in agriculture. Microbiol Res. 2018;206:131-140. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2017.08.016.
- 26. Lundberg DS, Lebeis SL, Paredes SH, Yourstone S, Gehring J, Malfatti S, Tremblay J, Engelbrektson A, Kunin V, Del Rio TG, et al. Defining the core Arabidopsis thaliana root microbiome. Nature. 2012;488:86-90. doi:10.1038/nature11237.
- 27. Berg G, Grube M, Schloter M, Smalla K. Unraveling the plant microbiome: looking back and future perspectives. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:1-7. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2014.00148.
- 28. Hiltner L. Über neuere Erfahrungen und Probleme auf dem Gebiete der Bodenbakteriologie unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Gründüngung und Brache. Arb DLG. 1904;98:59-78.
- 29. Prasanna R, Nain L, Rana A, and Shivay YS. Biofortification with microorganisms: present status and future challenges. Biofortif Food Crops. 2016; p. 249-262.
- 30. Suman J, Rakshit A, Ogireddy SD, Singh S, Gupta C, Chandrakala J. Microbiome as a key player in sustainable agriculture and human health. Front. Soil Sci. 2022;2:821589. doi:10.3389/ fsoil.2022.821589.
- 31. Verma KK, Singh P, Song XP, Malviya MK, Singh RK, Chen GL, Solomon S, Li YR. Mitigating climate change for sugarcane improvement: role of silicon in alleviating abiotic stresses. Sugar Tech. 2020a;22:741-749. doi:10.1007/s12355-020-00831-0.
- 32. Verma KK, Song XP, Lin B, Guo DJ, Singh M, Rajput VD, Singh RK, Singh P, Sharma A, Malviya MK, et al. (2021) Silicon induced drought tolerance in crop plants: physiological adaptation strategies. Silicon doi:10.1007/s12633-021-01071-x.
- 33. Zhu Y, Gong H. Beneficial effects of silicon on salt and drought tolerance in plants. Agron Sustain Dev. 2014;34:455-472. doi:10. 1007/s13593-013-0194-1.
- 34. Yan G, Nikolic M, Ye M, Xiao Z, Liang Y. Silicon acquisition and accumulation in plant and its significance for agriculture. J Integr Agric. 2018;17:2138-2150. doi:10.1016/S2095-3119(18)62037-4.
- 35. Hodson MJ, Evans DE, Dietz K-J. Aluminium-silicon interactions in higher plants: an update. J Exp Bot. 2020;71:6719-6729. doi:10. 1093/jxb/eraa024.
- 36. Epstein E. The anomaly of silicon in plant biology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1994;91:11-17. doi:10.1073/pnas.91.1.11.
- 37. Epstein E. Silicon. Ann Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol. 1999;50:641-664. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.50.1.641.
- 38. Pavlovic J, Kostic L, Bosnic P, Kirkby EA, Nikolic M. Interactions of silicon with essential and beneficial elements in plants. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:697592. doi:10.3389/fpls.2021.697592.
- 39. Coskun D, Deshmukh R, Sonah H, Menzies JG, Reynolds O, Ma JF, Kronzucker HJ, Belanger RR. The controversies of silicon's role in plant biology. New Phytologist. 2019;221:67-85. doi:10.1111/nph.
- 40. Schaller J, Puppe D, Kaczorek D, Ellerbrock R, Sommer M. Silicon cycling in soils revisited. Plants. 2021;10:295. doi:10.3390/ plants10020295.
- 41. Sommer M, Kaczorek D, Kuzyakov Y, Breuer J. Silicon pools and fluxes in soils and landscapes - A review. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 2006;169:310-329. doi:10.1002/jpln.200521981.
- 42. Thorne SJ, Hartley SE, Maathuis FJM. Is silicon a panacea for alleviating drought and salt stress in crops? Front Plant Sci. 2020;11:1221. doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.01221.
- 43. Epstein E, Bloom AJ. Mineral nutrition of plants: principles and perspectives. 2nd edn. Sunderland (UK): Sinauer Assoc. Inc; 2005.



- 44. Liang Y, Nikolic M, Bélanger R, Gong H, Song A (2015) Siliconmediated tolerance to metal toxicity, silicon in agriculture. Springer, pp. 83-122
- 45. Popp J, Kovacs S, Olah J, Diveki Z, Balazs E. Bioeconomy: biomass and biomass-based energy supply and demand. N Biotechnol. 2021;60:76-84. doi:10.1016/j.nbt.2020.10.004.
- 46. Hacquard S, Spaepen S, Garrido-Oter R, Schulze-Lefert P. Interplay between innate immunity and the plant microbiota. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2017;55:565-589. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-080516-
- 47. Sangiorgio D, Cellini A, Donati I, Pastore C, Onofrietti C, Spinelli F. Facing Climate Change: application of microbial biostimulants to mitigate stress in horticultural crops. Agronomy. 2020;10:794. doi:10.3390/agronomy10060794.
- 48. Hayat S, Ahmad A, and Alyemeni MN. Salicylic acid, plant growth and development. Dordrecht: Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands; 2013.
- 49. Bakker PAHM, Ran L, Mercado-Blanco J. Rhizobacterial salicylate production provokes headaches! Plant Soil. 2014;382:1-16. doi:10. 1007/s11104-014-2102-0.
- 50. Lebeis SL, Paredes SH, Lundberg DS, Breakfield N, Gehring J, McDonald M, Malfatti S, Glavina Del Rio T, Jones CD, Tringe SG, et al. Salicylic acid modulates colonization of the root microbiome by specific bacterial taxa. Science. 2015;349:860-864. doi:10.1126/science.aaa8764.
- 51. Gutjahr C, Paszkowski U. Weights in the balance: jasmonic acid and salicylic acid signaling in root-biotroph interactions. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 2009;22:763-772. doi:10.1094/MPMI-22-7-0763.
- 52. Doornbos RF, Geraats BP, Kuramae EE, Van Loon LC, Bakker PA. Effects of jasmonic acid, ethylene, and salicylic acid signaling on the rhizosphere bacterial community of Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 2011;24:395-407. doi:10.1094/MPMI-05-
- 53. Vejan P, Abdullah R, Khadiran T, Ismail S, Nasrulhaq Boyce A. Role of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in agricultural sustainability—a review. Molecules. 2016;21:573. doi:10.3390/ molecules21050573.
- 54. Nadeem SM, Ahmad M, Zahir ZA, Javaid A, Ashraf M. The role of mycorrhizae and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in improving crop productivity under stressful environments. Biotechnol. Adv. 2014;32:429-448. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.12.
- 55. Bulgarelli D, Garrido-Oter R, Münch PC, Weiman A, Dr"oge J, Pan Y, McHardy AC, Schulze-Lefert P. Structure and function of the bacterial root microbiota in wild and domesticated barley. Cell Host Microbe. 2015;17:392-403. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.011.
- 56. Sasse J, Martinoia E, Northen T. Feed your friends: do plant exudates shape the root microbiome? Trends Plant Sci. 2018;23:25-41. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2017.09.003.
- 57. Buonaurio R, Moretti C, da Silva DP, Cortese C, Ramos C, Venturi V. The olive knot disease as a model to study the role of interspecies bacterial communities in plant disease. Front Plant Sci. 2015;6:434. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00434.
- 58. Burmolle M, Webb JS, Rao D, Hansen LH, Sørensen SJ, Kjelleberg S. Enhanced biofilm formation and increased resistance to antimicrobial agents and bacterial invasion are caused by synergistic interactions in multispecies biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006;72:3916-3923. doi:10.1128/AEM. 03022-05.
- 59. Hosni T, Moretti C, Devescovi G, Suarez-Moreno ZR, Fatmi MB, Guarnaccia C, Pongor S, Onofri A, Buonaurio R, Venturi V. Sharing of quorum-sensing signals and role of interspecies communities in a bacterial plant disease. ISME J. 2011;5:1857-1870. doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.65.
- 60. Passos da Silva D, Casta neda-Ojeda Mpmoretti C, Buonaurio R, Ramos C, Venturi V, Venturi V. Bacterial multispecies studies and microbiome analysis of a plant disease. Microbiology. 2014;160:556-566. doi:10.1099/mic.0.074468-0.

- 61. Hu J, Wei Z, Friman VP, Gu SH, Wang XF, Eisenhauer N, Yang TJ, Ma J, Shen QR, Xu YC, et al. Probiotic diversity enhances rhizosphere microbiome function and plant disease suppression. mBio. 2016;7:e01790-16. doi:10.1128/mBio.01790-16.
- 62. Reis VM, Alves BJR, Hartmann A, James EK, Zilli JE. Beneficial microorganisms in agriculture: the future of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Plant Soil. 2020;451:1-3. doi:10. 1007/s11104-020-04482-8.
- 63. Duran P, Thiergart T, Garrido-Oter R, Agler M, Kemen E, Schulze-Lefert P, Hacquard S. Microbial interkingdom interactions in roots promote Arabidopsis survival. Cell. 2018;175:973-983. doi:10.1016/ i.cell.2018.10.020.
- 64. Banerjee S, Schlaeppi K, van der Heijden MGA. Keystone taxa as drivers of microbiome structure and functioning. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2018;16:567-576. doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0024-1.
- 65. Sharma A, Singh RK, Singh P, Vaishnav A, Guo D-J, Verma KK, Li D-P, Song X-P, Malviya MK, Khan N, et al. Insights into the bacterial and nitric oxide-induced salt tolerance in sugarcane and their growth-promoting abilities. Microorganisms. 2021;9:2203. doi:10.3390/microorganisms9112203.
- 66. Braga LP, Yoshiura CA, Borges CD, Horn MA, Brown GG, Drake HL, Tsai SM. Disentangling the influence of earthworms in sugarcane rhizosphere. Sci Rep. 2016;15:38923. doi:10.1038/
- 67. Dean SL, Farrer EC, Taylor DL, Porras-Alfaro A, Suding KN, Sinsabaugh RL. Nitrogen deposition alters plant-fungal relationships: linking belowground dynamics to aboveground vegetation change. Mol Ecol. 2014;23:1364-1378. doi:10.1111/mec.12541.
- 68. Nallanchakravarthula S, Mahmood S, Alstr"om S, Finlay RD, McCluskey K. Influence of soil type, cultivar and Verticillium dahliae on the structure of the root and rhizosphere soil fungal microbiome of strawberry. PLoS One. 2014;9:e111455. pone. 0111455. doi:10.1371/journal.
- 69. Bonito G, Hameed K, Toome-Heller M, Healy R, Reid C, Liao HL, Aime MC, Schadt C, Vilgalys R. Atractiella rhizophila, sp. nov., an endorrhizal fungus isolated from the Populus root microbiome. Mycologia. 2017;109:18-26. doi:10.1080/00275514.2016.1271689.
- 70. Mishra PK, Bisht SC, Bisht JK, Bhatt JC. Cold-tolerant PGPRs as bioinoculants for stress management. In: Maheshwari DK, editor. Bacteria in agrobiology: stress management. Berlin/Heidelberg (Germany): Springer; 2012. p. 95-118.
- 71. Moissl-Eichinger C, Pausan M, Taffner J, Berg G, Bang C, Schmitz RA. Archaea are interactive components of complex microbiomes. Trend Microbiol. 2018;26:70-85. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2017.07.004.
- 72. Wilson RH, Alonso H, Whitney SM. Evolving Methanococcoides burtonii archaeal Rubisco for improved photosynthesis and plant growth. Sci Rep. 2016;6:22284. doi:10.1038/srep22284.
- 73. Elhady A, Adss S, Hallmann J, Heuer H. Rhizosphere microbiomes modulated by pre-crops assisted plants in defense against plant-parasitic nematodes. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:1133. doi:10. 3389/fmicb.2018.01133.
- 74. Olanrewaju OS, Glick BR, Babalola OO. Mechanisms of action of plant growth promoting bacteria. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2017;33:197. doi:10.1007/s11274-017-2364-9.
- 75. Verma KK, Song XP, Zeng Y, Guo DJ, Singh M, Rajput VD, Malviya MK, Wei KJ, Sharma A, Li DP, et al. Foliar application of silicon boosts growth, photosynthetic leaf gas exchange, antioxidative response and resistance to limited water irrigation in sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.). Plant Physiol Biochem. 2021a;166:582-592. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.06.032.
- 76. Verma KK, Singh RK, Song QQ, Singh P, Zhang BQ, Song XP, Chen GL, Li YR. Silicon alleviates drought stress of sugarcane plants by improving antioxidant responses. Biomed J Sci Tech Res. 2019a;17:002957.
- 77. Verma KK, Wu K-C, Singh P, Malviya MK, Singh RK, Song X-P, Li YR. The protective role of silicon in sugarcane under water stress: photosynthesis and antioxidant enzymes.Biomed. J Sci Tech Res. 2019b;15:002685.



- 78. Verma KK, Liu XH, Wu KC, Singh RK, Song QQ, Malviya MK, Song XP, Singh P, Verma CL, Li YR. The impact of silicon on photosynthetic and biochemical responses of sugarcane under different soil moisture levels. Silicon. 2020b;12:1355-1367. doi:10. 1007/s12633-019-00228-z.
- 79. Safoora D, Cyrus G, Bahram B, Mahdi G, Siamak S. Effect of silicon on growth and development of strawberry under water deficit conditions. Hort Plant J. 2018;4:226-232. doi:10.1016/j.hpj.2018. 09.004.
- 80. Shi Y, Zhang Y, Han W, Feng R, Hu Y, Guo J, Gong H. Silicon enhances water stress tolerance by improving root hydraulic conductance in Solanum lycopersicum L. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:196. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00196.
- 81. Maghsoudi K, Emam Y, Ashraf M. Influence of foliar application of silicon on chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthetic pigments, and growth in water-stressed wheat cultivars differing in drought tolerance. Turk J Bot. 2015;39:625-634.
- 82. Amin M, Ahmad R, Ali A, Hussain I, Mahmood R, Aslam M, Lee DJ. Influence of silicon fertilization on maize performance under limited water supply. Silicon. 2016. doi:10.1007/s12633-015-9372-x.
- 83. Zhu Z, Wei G, Li J, Qian Q, Yu J. Silicon alleviates salt stress and increases antioxidant enzymes activity in leaves of salt-stressed cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.). Plant Sci. 2004;167:527-533. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2004.04.020.
- 84. Flam-Shepherd R, Huynh WQ, Coskun D, Hamam AM, Britto DT, Kronzucker HJ. Membrane fluxes, bypass flows, and sodium stress in rice: the influence of silicon. J Exp Bot. 2018;69:1679-1692. doi:10.1093/jxb/erx460.
- 85. Mahmood S, Daur I, Al-Solaimani SG, Ahmad S, Madkour MH, Yasir M, Hirt H, Ali S, Ali Z. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and silicon synergistically enhance salinity tolerance of mung bean. Front. Plant Sci. 2016;7:876. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016. 00876.
- 86. Hattori T, Sonobe K, Araki H, Inanaga S, AnP MS, Morita S. Silicon application by sorghum through the alleviation of stress-induced increase in hydraulic resistance. J Plant Nutr. 2008;31:1482-1495. doi:10.1080/01904160802208477.
- 87. Farooq MA, Detterbeck A, Clemens S, Dietz KJ. Silicon-induced reversibility of cadmium toxicity in rice. J Exp Bot. 2016;67:3573-3585. doi:10.1093/jxb/erw175.
- 88. Sanglard L, Martins SCV, Detmann KC, Silva PEM, Lavinsky AO, Silva MM, Detmann E, Araujo WL, DaMatta FM. Silicon nutrition alleviates the negative impacts of arsenic on the photosynthetic apparatus of rice leaves: an analysis of the key limitations of photosynthesis. Physiol Plant. 2014;152:355-366. doi:10.1111/ppl.12178.
- 89. Mateos-Naranjo E, Galle A, Florez-Sarasa I, Perdomo JA, Galmes J, Ribas-Carbo M, Flexas J. Assessment of the role of silicon in the Cu-tolerance of the C₄ grass Spartina densiflora. J Plant Physiol. 2015;178:74-83. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2015.03.001.
- 90. Rogalla H, Romheld V. Role of leaf apoplast in silicon-mediated manganese tolerance of Cucumis sativus L. Plant Cell Environ. 2002;25:549-555. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040. 2002.00835.x.
- 91. Maksimovic JD, Mojovic M, Maksimovic V, Romheld V, Nikolic M. Silicon ameliorates manganese toxicity in cucumber by decreasing hydroxyl radical accumulation in the leaf apoplast. J Exp Bot. 2012;63:2411-2420. doi:10.1093/jxb/err359.
- 92. Wang YX, Stass A, Horst WJ. Apoplastic binding of aluminum is involved in silicon-induced amelioration of aluminum toxicity in maize. Plant Physiol. 2004;136:3762–3770. doi:10.1104/pp.104.045005.
- 93. Chen DQ, Cao BB, Qi LY, Yin LN, Wang SW, Deng XP. Siliconmoderated K-deficiency-induced leaf chlorosis by decreasing putrescine accumulation in sorghum. Ann Bot. 2016;118:305-315. doi:10. 1093/aob/mcw111.
- 94. Bensalim S, Nowak J, Asiedu SK. A plant growth promoting rhizobacterium and temperature effects on performance of 18 clones of potato. Am.J Potato Res. 1998;75:145-152. doi:10.1007/ BF02895849.

- 95. Duc NH, Csintalan Z, Posta K. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi mitigate negative effects of combined drought and heat stress on tomato plants. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2018;13:297-307. doi:10. 1016/j.plaphy.2018.09.011.
- 96. El-Daim IA, Bejai S, Meijer J. Improved heat stress tolerance of wheat seedlings by bacterial seed treatment. Plant Soil. 2014;379:337-350. doi:10.1007/s11104-014-2063-3.
- 97. Park YG, Mun BG, Kang SM, Hussain A, Shahzad R, Seo CW, Kim AY, Lee SU, Oh KY, Lee DY, et al. Bacillus aryabhattai SRB02 tolerates oxidative and nitrosative stress and promotes the growth of soybean by modulating the production of phytohormones. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e0173203. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173203.
- 98. Ali SZ, Sandhya V, Grover M, Kishore N, Rao LV, Venkateswarlu B. Pseudomonas sp. strain AKM-P6 enhances tolerance of sorghum seedlings to elevated temperatures. Biol Fert Soils. 2009;46:45-55. doi:10.1007/s00374-009-0404-9.
- 99. Lindow SE, Brandl MT. Microbiology of the phyllosphere. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2003;69:1875-1883. doi:10.1128/AEM.69.4. 1875-1883.2003.
- 100. Selvakumar G, Kundu S, Joshi P, Nazim S, Gupta AD, Mishra PK, Gupta HS. Characterization of a cold-tolerant plant growth-promoting bacterium Pantoea dispersa 1A isolated from a sub-alpine soil in the North Western Indian Himalayas. World J Microb Biot. 2008;24:955-960. doi:10.1007/s11274-007-9558-5.
- 101. Selvakumar G, Mohan M, Kundu S, Gupta AD, Joshi P, Nazim S, Gupta HS. Cold tolerance and plant growth promotion potential of Serratia marcescens strain SRM (MTCC 8708) isolated from flowers of summer squash (Cucurbita pepo). Lett Appl Microbiol. 2008a;46:171-175. doi:10.1111/j.1472-765X.2007.02282.x.
- 102. Mishra PK, Mishra S, Selvakumar G, Bisht SC, Bisht JK, Kundu S, Gupta HS. Characterisation of a psychrotolerant plant growth promoting pseudomonas sp. strain PGERs17 (MTCC 9000) isolated from North Western Indian Himalayas. Ann Microbiol. 2008;58:561-568. doi:10.1007/BF03175558.
- 103. Theocharis A, Bordiec S, Fernandez O, Paquis S, Dhondt-Cordelier S, Baillieul F, Barka EA, Barka EA. Burkholderia phytofirmans PsJN primes vitis vinifera L. and confers a better tolerance to low nonfreezing temperatures. MPMI. 2012;25:241-249. doi:10. 1094/MPMI-05-11-0124.
- 104. Barka EA, Nowak J, Clément C. Enhancement of chilling resistance of inoculated grapevine plantlets with a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium. Burkholderia Phytofirmans Strain PsJN. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006;72:7246-7252. doi:10.1128/AEM.01047-06.
- 105. Aroca R, Rosa P, Ruiz-Lozano JM. How does arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis regulate root hydraulic properties and plasma membrane aquaporins in Phaseolus vulgaris under drought, cold or salinity stresses? N Phytol. 2007;173:808-816. doi:10.1111/j. 1469-8137.2006.01961.x.
- 106. Khan AL, Hussain J, Al-Harrasi A, Al-Rawahi A, Lee IJ. Endophytic fungi: resource for gibberellins and crop abiotic stress resistance. Crit Rev Biotechnol. 2015;35:62-74. doi:10.3109/ 07388551.2013.800018.
- 107. Egamberdieva D. Pseudomonas chlororaphis: a salt-tolerant bacterial inoculant for plant growth stimulation under saline soil conditions. Acta Physiol Plant. 2012;34:751-756. doi:10.1007/ s11738-011-0875-9.
- 108. Liu CY, Zhang F, Zhang DJ, Srivastava AK, Wu Q, Zou YN, Queiroz MGL, Cruz ACR, Vasconcelos BHB, Chiang JO. Mycorrhiza stimulates root-hair growth and IAA synthesis and transport in trifoliate Orange under drought stress. Sci Rep. 2018;8:1-9. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-17765-5.
- 109. Kang SM, Radhakrishnan R, You YH, Khan AL, Park JM, Lee SM, Lee IJ. Cucumber performance is improved by inoculation with plant growth-promoting microorganisms. Acta Agr Scand B-S P. 2015;65:36-44.
- 110. Arkhipova TN, Prinsen E, Veselov SU, Martinenko EV, Melentiev AI, Kudoyarova GR. Cytokinin producing bacteria enhance plant growth in drying soil. Plant Soil. 2007;292:305-315. doi:10.1007/s11104-007-9233-5.



- 111. Kang SM, Radhakrishnan R, Khan AL, Kim MJ, Park JM, Kim BR, Shin DH, Lee IJ. Gibberellin secreting rhizobacterium, Pseudomonas putida H-2-3 modulates the hormonal and stress physiology of soybean to improve the plant growth under saline and drought conditions. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2014;84:115-124. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.09.001.
- 112. Mayak S, Tirosh T, and Glick BR. Glick BR Plant growth-promoting bacteria that confer resistance to water stress in tomatoes and peppers. Plant Sci. 2004;. 166:525-530. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2003. 10.025.
- 113. Saravanakumar D, Samiyappan R. ACC deaminase from Pseudomonas fluorescens mediated saline resistance in groundnut (Arachis hypogea) plants. J Appl Microbiol. 2007;102 (5):1283-1292. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.03179.x.
- 114. Zahir ZA, Munir A, Asghar HN, Shaharoona B, Arshad M. Effectiveness of rhizobacteria containing ACC deaminase for growth promotion of peas (Pisum sativum) under drought conditions. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2008;18:958-963.
- 115. Lim JH, Kim SD. Induction of drought stress resistance by multi-functional PGPR Bacillus licheniformis K11 in pepper. Plant Pathol J. 2013;29:201–208. doi:10.5423/PPJ.SI.02.2013.0021.
- 116. Porcel R, Aroca R, Azcon R, Ruiz-Lozano JM. PIP aquaporin gene expression in arbuscular mycorrhizal Glycine max and Lactuca sativa plants in relation to drought stress tolerance. Plant Mol Biol. 2006;60:389-404. doi:10.1007/s11103-005-4210-y.
- 117. Li T, Hu YJ, Hao ZP, Li H, Chen BD. Aquaporin genes GintAQPF1 and GintAQPF2 from Glomus intraradices contribute to plant drought tolerance. Plant Signal Behav. 2013;8:e24030. doi:10. 4161/psb.24030.
- 118. Heidari M, Golpayegani A. Effects of water stress and inoculation with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on antioxidant status and photosynthetic pigments in basil (Ocimum basilicum L.). J Saudi Soc Agr Sci. 2012;11:57-61.
- 119. Wu QS, Xia RX, Zou YN. Improved soil structure and citrus growth after inoculation with three arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under drought stress. Eur J Soil Biol. 2008;44:122-128. doi:10.1016/ j.ejsobi.2007.10.001.
- 120. Sandhya VZAS, Grover M, Reddy G, Venkateswarlu B, Venkateswarlu B. Alleviation of drought stress effects in sunflower seedlings by the exopolysaccharides producing Pseudomonas putida strain GAP-P45. Biol Fert Soils. 2009;46:17-26. doi:10. 1007/s00374-009-0401-z.
- 121. Tewari S, Arora NK. Multifunctional exopolysaccharides from Pseudomonas aeruginosa PF23 involved in plant growth stimulation, biocontrol and stress amelioration in sunflower under saline conditions. Curr Microbiol. 2014;69:484-494. doi:10.1007/s00284-014-0612-x.
- 122. Porcel R, Ruiz-Lozano JM. Arbuscular mycorrhizal influence on leaf water potential, solute accumulation, and oxidative stress in soybean plants subjected to drought stress. J Exp Bot. 2004;55:1743-1750. doi:10.1093/jxb/erh188.
- 123. Shintu PV, Jayaram KM. Phosphate solubilising bacteria (Bacillus polymyxa)-An effective approach to mitigate drought in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.). Trop Plant Res. 2015;2:17-22.
- 124. Vurukonda SSKP, Vardharajula S, Shrivastava M, SkZ A. Enhancement of drought stress tolerance in crops by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Microbiol Res. 2016;184:13-24. doi:10. 1016/j.micres.2015.12.003.
- 125. Hamdia MA, Shaddad MAK, Doaa MM. Mechanism of salt tolerance and interactive effect of Azospirillum bransilense inoculation on maize cultivars grown under salt stress conditions. Plant Growth Regul. 2004;44:165-174. doi:10.1023/B:GROW.0000049414.03099.9b.
- 126. Barassi CA, Ayrault G, Creus CM, Sueldo RJ, Sobrero MT. Seed inoculation with Azospirillum mitigates NaCl effects on lettuce. Sci Hortic. 2006;109:8-14. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2006.02.025.
- 127. Cheng Z, Park E, Glick BR. 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase from Pseudomonas putida UW4 facilitates the growth of canola in the presence of salt. Can J Microbiol. 2007;53:912-918. doi:10.1139/W07-050.

- 128. Nadeem SM, Zahir ZA, Naveed M, Arshad M. Preliminary investigations on inducing salt tolerance in maize through inoculation with rhizobacteria containing ACC deaminase activity. Can J Microbiol. 2007;53:1141-1149. doi:10.1139/W07-081.
- 129. Dardanelli MS, de Córdoba Fj F, Rosario Espuny M, Rodríguez Carvajal MA, Soria Díaz ME, Gil Serrano AM, Okon Y, Megías M. Effect of Azospirillum brasilense coinoculated with Rhizobium on Phaseolus vulgaris flavonoids and Nod factor production under salt stress. Soil Biol Biochem. 2008;40:2713-2721. doi:10.1016/j. soilbio.2008.06.016.
- 130. Bano A, Fatima M. Salt tolerance in Zea mays (L.) following inoculation with Rhizobium and Pseudomonas. Biol Fertil Soils. 2009;45:405-413. doi:10.1007/s00374-008-0344-9.
- 131. Zahir AZ, Ghani U, Naveed M, Nadeem SM, Asghar HN. Comparative effectiveness of Pseudomonas and Serratia sp. containing ACC deaminase for improving growth and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) under salt-stressed conditions. Arch Microbiol. 2007;191:415-424. doi:10.1007/s00203-009-0466-y.
- 132. Yao L, Wu Z, Zheng Y, Kaleem I, Li C. Growth promotion and protection against salt stress by Pseudomonas putida Rs-198 on cotton. Eur J Soil Biol. 2010;46:49-54. doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2009.11.
- 133. Ahmed M, Qadeer U, Aslam MA. Silicon application and drought tolerance mechanism of sorghum. Afr J Agric Res. 2011;6:594-607.
- 134. Naveed M, Mustafa A, Majeed S, Naseem Z, Saeed Q, Khan A, Nawaz A, Baig KS, Chen JT. Enhancing cadmium tolerance and pea plant health through enterobacter sp. MN17 inoculation together with biochar and gravel sand. Plants. 2020;9:530. doi:10. 3390/plants9040530.
- 135. Khan WU, Ahmad SR, Yasin NA, Ali A, Ahmad A, Akram W. Application of Bacillus megaterium MCR-8 improved phytoextraction and stress alleviation of nickel in Vinca rosea. Int J Phytoremediation. 2017;19:813-824. doi:10.1080/15226514. 2017.1290580.
- 136. Hansda A, Kumar V, Anshumali. Cu-resistant Kocuria sp. CRB15: a potential PGPR isolated from the dry tailing of Rakha copper mine. 3 Biotech. 2017;7:132. doi:10.1007/s13205-017-0757-y.
- 137. Gontia-Mishra I, Sapre S, Sharma A, Tiwari S. Alleviation of mercury toxicity in wheat by the interaction of mercury-tolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. J Plant Growth Regul. 2016;35:1000-1012. doi:10.1007/s00344-016-9598-x.
- 138. Pramanik K, Mitra S, Sarkar A, Soren T, Maiti TK. Characterization of cadmium-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae MCC 3091 promoted rice seedling growth by alleviating phytotoxicity of cadmium. Env Sci Pollut Res. 2017;24:24419-24437. doi:10.1007/s11356-017-0033-z.
- 139. Ma Y, Oliviera RS, Nai F, Rajkumar M, Luo Y, Rocha I, Freitas H. The hyperaccumulator Sedum plumbizincicola harbors metal-resistant endophytic bacteria that improve its phytoextraction capacity in multi-metal contaminated soil. J Environ Manag. 2015;156:62-69. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.03.024.
- 140. He H, Ye Z, Yang D, Yan J, Xiao L, Zhong T, Yuan M, Cai X, Fang Z, Jing Y. Characterization of endophytic Rahnella sp. JN6 from Polygonum pubescens and its potential in promoting growth and Cd, Pb, Zn uptake by Brassica napus. Chemosphere. 2013;90:1960-1965. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.10.057.
- 141. Adediran GA, Ngwenya BT, Mosselmans JFW, Heal KV, Harvie BA. Mechanism behind bacteria induced plant growth promotion and Zn accumulation in Brassica juncea. J Hazard Mater. 2015;283:490-499. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.09.064.
- 142. Kamran M, Malik Z, Parveen A, Huang L, Riaz M, Bashir S, Mustafa A, Abbasi GH, Xue B, Ali U. Ameliorative effects of biochar on rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) growth and heavy metal immobilization in soil irrigated with untreated wastewater. J Plant Growth Regul. 2020;39:266-281. doi:10.1007/s00344-019-09980-3.
- 143. Compant S, Duffy B, Nowak J, Clément C, Barka EA. Use of plant growth promoting bacteria for biocontrol of plant diseases: principles, mechanisms of action, and future prospects. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2005;71:4951-4959. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.9.4951-4959.2005.



- 144. Frew A, Weston LA, Reynolds OL, Gurr GM. The role of silicon in plant biology: a paradigm shift in research approach. Ann Bot. 2018;121:1265-1273. doi:10.1093/aob/mcy009.
- 145. Van Loon, L., and Bakker, P. Induced Systemic Resistance as a Mechanism of Disease Suppression by Rhizobacteria. In: Siddiqui, Z.A. (eds) PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4152-7_2.
- 146. Li R, Sun Y, Wang H, Wang H. Advances in understanding silicon transporters and the benefits to silicon-associated disease resistance in plants. Appl. Sci. 2022;12:3282. doi:10.3390/app12073282.
- 147. Ryu CM, Farag MA, Hu CH, Reddy MS, Wei HX, Pare PW, Kloepper JW. Bacterial volatiles promote growth in Arabidopsis. PNAS. 2003;100:4927-4932. doi:10.1073/pnas.0730845100.
- 148. Song XP, Verma KK, Tian DD, Zhang XQ, Liang YJ, Huang X, Li CN, Li YR. Exploration of silicon functions to integrate with biotic stress tolerance and crop improvement. Biol Res. 2021;54:19. doi:10.1186/s40659-021-00344-4.
- 149. Lesk C, Rowhani P, Ramankutty N. Influence of extreme weather disasters on global crop production. Nature. 2016;529:84-87. doi:10.1038/nature16467.
- 150. Bastida F, Torres IF, Andr'es-Abell'an M, Baldrian P, L'opez-Mond'ejar R, V'etrovský T, Richnow HH, Starke R, Ondo no S, García C, et al. Differential sensitivity of total and active soil microbial communities to drought and forest management. Glob Chang Biol. 2017;23:4185-4203. doi:10.1111/gcb.13790.
- 151. Naylor D, DeGraaf S, Purdom E, Coleman-Derr D. Drought and host selection influence bacterial community dynamics in the grass root microbiome. ISME J. 2017;11:2691-2704. doi:10.1038/ismej. 2017.118.
- 152. Timmusk S, Nicander B, Granhall U, Tillberg E. Cytokinin production by Paenibacillus polymyxa. Soil Biol Biochem. 1999;31:1847-1852. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00113-3.
- 153. Rolli E, Marasco R, Vigani G, Ettoumi B, Mapelli F, Deangelis ML, Gandolfi C, Casati E, Previtali F, Gerbino R, et al. Improved plant resistance to drought is promoted by the root-associated microbiome as a water stress-dependent trait. Environ Microbiol. 2015;17:316-331. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12439.
- 154. Armada E, Leite MFA, Medina A, Azc'on R, Kuramae EE. Native bacteria promote plant growth under drought stress condition without impacting the rhizomicrobiome. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2018;94:7. fiy092 fiy092. doi:10.1093/femsec/.
- 155. Yaish MW, Kumar PP. Salt tolerance research in date palm tree (Phoenix dactylifera L.), past, present, and future perspectives. Front Plant Sci. 2015;6:348. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00348.
- 156. Yan N, Marschner P, Cao W, Zuo C, Qin W. Influence of salinity and water content on soil microorganisms. Int Soil Water Cons Res. 2015;3:316-323. doi:10.1016/j.iswcr.2015.11.003.
- 157. Thiem D, Gołębiewski M, Hulisz P, Piernik A, Hrynkiewicz K. How does salinity shape bacterial and fungal microbiomes of *Alnus* glutinosa roots? Front Microbiol. 2018;9:651. doi:10.3389/fmicb. 2018.00651.
- 158. Yaish MW, Al-Lawati A, Jana GA, Vishwas Patankar H, Glick BR, Brusetti L. Impact of soil salinity on the structure of the bacterial endophytic community identified from the roots of caliph medic (Medicago truncatula). PLoS One. 2016;11:e0159007. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0159007.
- 159. Fatima T, Mishra I, Verma R, Arora NK. Mechanisms of halotolerant plant growth promoting Alcaligenes sp. Involved in salt tolerance and enhancement of the growth of rice under salinity stress. 3 Biotech. 2020;10:361. doi:10.1007/s13205-020-02348-5.
- 160. Ahmed I, Kudo T, Abbas S, Ehsan M, Iino T, Fujiwara T, Ohkuma M. Cellulomonas pakistanensis sp. nov., a moderately halotolerant Actinobacteria. Int J Syst Evol Micrbiol. 2014;64:2305-2311. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.059063-0.
- 161. Duy MV, Hoi NT, Ve NB, Thuc LV, and Trang NQ. Influence of Cellulomonas flavigena, Azospirillum sp. and Pseudomonas sp. on rice growth and yield grown in submerged soil amended with rice straw. In: Sayyed RZ, Reddy MS, Al-Turki AI, (eds). Recent trends in PGPR research for sustainable crop productivity. : Asian PGPR Society, Hanoi, Vietnam; 2016. p. 238-242.

- 162. Saleh MSA-G, Mohibul Alam Khan M, Bahieldin A. Plant growth-promoting bacteria and silicon fertilizer enhance plant growth and salinity tolerance in Coriandrum sativum. J Plant Interactions. 2019;14:386-396. doi:10.1080/17429145.2019.
- 163. Hu Y, Schmidhalter U. Drought and salinity: a comparison of their effects on mineral nutrition of plants. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci. 2005;168:541-549. doi:10.1002/jpln.200420516.
- 164. Fahad S, Hussain S, Matloob A, Khan FA, Khaliq A, Saud S, Hassan S, Shan D, Khan F, Ullah N. Phytohormones and plant responses to salinity stress: a review. Plant Growth Regul. 2015;75:391-404. doi:10.1007/s10725-014-0013-y.
- 165. Ryu H, Cho Y-G. Plant hormones in salt stress tolerance. J Plant Biol. 2015;58:147-155. doi:10.1007/s12374-015-0103-z.
- 166. Farooq M, Wahid A, Kobayashi N, Fujita D, and Basra SMA. Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management, sustainable agriculture; 2009;29:185-212. p. 153-188. doi:10.1051/agro:2008021.
- 167. Soundararajan P, Manivannan A, and Jeong BR. Regulatory mechanisms by silicon to overcome the salinity-induced imbalance of essential nutrient elements, silicon in plants: advances and future prospects. CRC Press, Boca Raton; 2016. p. 47-66.
- 168. Osakabe Y, Osakabe K, Shinozaki K, Tran L-SP. Response of plants to water stress. Front Plant Sci. 2014;5:86. doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.
- 169. Kim Y-H, Khan AL, Waqas M, Lee I-J. Silicon regulates antioxidant activities of crop plants under abiotic-induced oxidative stress: a review. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.
- 170. Rizwan M, Ali S, Ibrahim M, Farid M, Adrees M, Bharwana SA, Zia-ur-Rehman M, Qayyum MF, Abbas F. Mechanisms of silicon-mediated alleviation of drought and salt stress in plants: a review. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2015;22:15416-15431. doi:10.1007/ s11356-015-5305-x.
- 171. Van Loon LC, Bakker P, Pieterse CMJ. Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 1998;36:453-483. doi:10.1146/annurev.phyto.36.1.453.
- 172. Sarma BK, Yadav SK, Singh DP, and Singh HB. Rhizobacteria mediated induced systemic tolerance in plants: prospects for abiotic stress management. In: D.K. Maheshwari (ed.). Bacteria in Agrobiology: stress management, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; 2012. p. 225-238. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-23465-1_11.
- 173. Kim YH, Khan AL, Waqas M, Shim JK, Kim DH, Lee KY, Lee IJ. Silicon application to rice root zone influenced the phytohormonal and antioxidant responses under salinity stress. J Plant Growth Regul. 2014;33:137-149. doi:10.1007/s00344-013-9356-2.
- 174. Berg J, Brandt KK, Al-Soud WA, Holm PE, Hansen LH, Sørensen SJ, Nybroe O. Selection for Cu-tolerant bacterial communities with altered composition, but unaltered richness, via long-term cu exposure. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78:7438-7446. doi:10.1128/AEM.01071-12.
- 175. Huang -C-C, Liang C-M, Yang T-I, Chen J-L, Wang W-K, Hung K-H. Shift of bacterial communities in heavy metal-contaminated agricultural land during a remediation process. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(7): e0255137. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0255137.
- 176. David M, Krishna PM, Sangeetha J, Golela MT, Amodu OS. Elucidation of impact of heavy metal pollution on soil bacterial growth and extracellular polymeric substances flexibility. 3 Biotech. 2016;6:6. doi:10.1007/s13205-015-0317-2.
- 177. Igiri BE, Okoduwa SIR, Idoko GO, Akabuogu EP, Adeyi AO, EjioguI K. Toxicity and bioremediation of heavy metals contaminated ecosystem from tannery wastewater: a review. J Toxicol. 2018;2018. doi:10.1155/2018/2568038.
- 178. Hur M, Kim Y, Song H-R, Kim JM, Choi YI, Yi H. Effect of genetically modified poplars on soil microbial communities during the phytoremediation of waste mine tailings. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77:7611-7619. doi:10.1128/AEM.06102-11.
- 179. Golebiewski M, Deja-Sikora E, Cichosz M, Tretyn A, Wr'obel B. 16S rDNA pyrosequencing analysis of bacterial community in heavy metals polluted soils. Microbial Ecol. 2014;67:635-647. doi:10.1007/s00248-013-0344-7.

- 180. Mnasri M, Janou'skov'a M, Rydlov'a J, Abdelly C, Ghnaya T. Comparison of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal effects on the heavy metal uptake of a host and a non-host plant species in contact with extraradical mycelial network. Chemosphere. 2017;171:476-484. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.12.093.
- 181. Gil-Martínez M, L'opez-García A, Domínguez MT, Navarro-Fern'andez CM, Kjøller R, Tibbett M, Mara n'on T. Ectomycorrhizal fungal communities and their functional traits mediate plant-soil interactions in trace element contaminated soils. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:1682. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01682.
- 182. Adrees M, Ali S, Rizwan M, Zia-ur-Rehman M, Ibrahim M, Abbas F, Farid M, Qayyum MF, Irshad MK. Mechanisms of silicon-mediated alleviation of heavy metal toxicity in plants: a review. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2015;119:186-197. doi:10.1016/j. ecoenv.2015.05.011.
- 183. Imtiaz M, Rizwan MS, Mushtaq MA, Ashraf M, Shahzad SM, Yousaf B, Saeed DA, Rizwan M, Nawaz MA, Mehmood S, et al. Silicon occurrence, uptake, transport and mechanisms of heavy metals, minerals and salinity enhanced tolerance in plants with future prospects: a review. J Environ Manag. 2016;183:521-529. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.09.009.
- 184. Dimkpa C, Weinand T, Asch F. Plant-rhizobacteria interactions alleviate abiotic stress conditions. Plant Cell Environ. 2009;32:1682-1694. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02028.x.
- 185. Sessitsch A, Kuffner M, Kidd P, Vangronsveld J, Wenzel WW, Fallmann K, Puschenreiter M. The role of plant-associated bacteria in the mobilization and phytoextraction of trace elements in contaminated soils. Soil Biol Biochem. 2013;60:182-194. doi:10.1016/j. soilbio.2013.01.012.
- 186. Hernandez-Apaolaza L. Can silicon partially alleviate micronutrient deficiency in plants? A review. Planta. 2014;240:447-458. doi:10.1007/s00425-014-2119-x.
- 187. Kaya C, Tuna L, Higgs D. Effect of silicon on plant growth and mineral nutrition of maize grown under water-stress conditions. J Plant Nutr. 2006;29:1469-1480. doi:10.1080/01904160600837238.
- 188. Miao B-H, Han X-G, and Zhang W-H. The ameliorative effect of silicon on soybean seedlings grown in potassium-deficient medium. Ann Bot. 2010;105(6):967-973 doi:10.1093/aob/mcq063.
- 189. Pei ZF, Ming DF, Liu D, Wan GL, Geng XX, Gong HJ, Zhou WJ. Silicon improves the tolerance to water-deficit stress induced by polyethylene glycol in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seedlings. J Plant Growth Regul. 2010;29:106-115. doi:10.1007/s00344-009-
- 190. Glick BR. Plant growth-promoting bacteria: mechanisms and applications. Scientifica (Cairo). 2012;2012:963401. doi:10.6064/ 2012/963401..:;.
- 191. Spaepen S, Vanderleyden J, Remans R. Indole- 3-acetic acid in microbial and microorganism-plant signaling FEMS. Microbiol Rev. 2007;31:425-448.
- 192. Ahemad M, Khan MS. Effect of fungicides on plant growth promoting activities of phosphate solubilizing Pseudomonas putida isolated from mustard (Brassica compestris) rhizosphere. Chemosphere. 2012;86:945-950. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011. 11.013.
- 193. Ahemad M, Kibret M. Mechanisms and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: current perspective. J King Saud Univ - Sci. 2014;26:1-20. doi:10.1016/j.jksus.2013.05.001.
- 194. Khan MS, Zaidi A, Wani PA, Oves M. Role of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in the remediation of metal contaminated soils. Environ Chem Lett. 2009;7:1-19. doi:10.1007/s10311-008-
- 195. Schmidt W. Mechanisms and regulation of reduction-based iron uptake in plants. New Phytol. 1999;141:1-26. doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137.1999.00331.x.
- 196. Rajkumar M, Ae N, Prasad MNV, Freitas H. Potential of siderophore-producing bacteria for improving heavy metal phytoextraction. Trends Biotechnol. 2010;28:142-149. doi:10. 1016/j.tibtech.2009.12.002.

- 197. Ramos-Solano B, García JAL, Garcia-Villaraco A, Algar E, Garcia-Cristobal J, Mañero FJG. Siderophore and chitinase producing isolates from the rhizosphere of Nicotiana glauca Graham enhance growth and induce systemic resistance in Solanum lycopersicum L. Plant Soil. 2010;334:189-197. doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0371-9.
- 198. Rousk J, Bååth E, Brookes PC, Lauber CL, Lozupone C, Caporaso JG, Knight R, Fierer N. Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. ISME J. 2010;4:1340-1351. doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.58.
- 199. Yun Y, Wang H, Man B, Xiang X, Zhou J, Qiu X, Duan Y, Engel AS. The relationship between pH and bacterial communities in a single karst ecosystem and its implication for soil acidification. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:1955. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01955.
- 200. Deng S, Ke T, Li L, Cai S, Zhou Y, Liu Y, Guo L, Chen L, Zhang D. Impacts of environmental factors on the whole microbial communities in the rhizosphere of a metal-tolerant plant: elsholtzia haichowensis Sun. Environ Pollut. 2018;237:1088-1097. doi:10.1016/j. envpol.2017.11.037.
- 201. George E, Horst WJ, and Neumann E (2012) In: E M (Ed.), Adaptation of plants to adverse chemical soil conditions. In: Marschner P., (ed.), Marschner's Minearl Nutrition of higher plants, 3-edition, Academic Press., third p. 409-472. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-384905-2.00017-0.
- 202. Tozser D, Magura T, Simon E. Heavy metal uptake by plant parts of willow species: a meta-analysis. J Hazard Mater. 2017;336:101-109. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.03.068.
- 203. Dixit VK, Misra S, Mishra SK, Tewari SK, Joshi N, Chauhan PS. Characterization of plant growth-promoting alkalotolerant Alcaligenes and Bacillus strains for mitigating the alkaline stress in Zea mays. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek. 2020;113:889-905. doi:10. 1007/s10482-020-01399-1.
- 204. Phetcharat P, Duangpaeng A. Screening of endophytic bacteria from organic rice tissue for indole acetic acid production. Proc Eng. 2012;32:177–183. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2012.01.1254.
- 205. Shi Y, Yang H, Zhang T, Sun J, Lou K. Illumina-based analysis of endophytic bacterial diversity and space-time dynamics in sugar beet on the north slope of Tianshan Mountain. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2014;98:6375-6385. doi:10.1007/s00253-014-5720-9.
- 206. Vacheron J, Desbrosses G, Bouffaud ML, Touraine B, Moenne-Loccoz Y, Muller D, Legendre L, Wisniewski-Dyé F, Prigent-Combaret C. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system functioning. Front Plant Sci. 2013;4:356. doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.
- 207. Jahn L, Hofmann U, Ludwig-Müller J. Indole-3-acetic acid is synthesized by the endophyte Cyanodermella asteris via a tryptophan-dependent and -independent way and mediates the interaction with a non-host plant. IJMS. 2021;22(5):2651. doi:10. 3390/ijms22052651.
- 208. Sun L, Wang X, Li Y. Increased plant growth and copper uptake of host and nonhost plants by metal-resistant and plant growth-promoting endophytic bacteria. Int J Phytoremed. 2016;18:494-501. doi:10.1080/15226514.2015.1115962.
- 209. Sun Y, Cheng Z, Glick BR. The presence of a1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase deletion mutation alters the physiology of the endophytic plant growth-promoting bacterium Burkholderia phytofirmans PsJN. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2009;296:131-136. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01625.x.
- 210. Gutiérrez-Luna FM, López-Bucio J, Altamirano-Hernández J, Valencia-Cantero E, Reyes de la Cruz H, Macías-Rodríguez L. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria modulate root-system architecture in Arabidopsis thaliana through volatile organic compound emission. Symbiosis. 2010;51:75-83. doi:10.1007/s13199-010-0066-2.
- 211. Hernandez-Calderón E, Aviles-Garcia ME, Castulo-Rubio DY, Macías-Rodríguez L, Ramírez VM, Santoyo G, López-Bucio J, Valencia-Cantero E. Volatile compounds from beneficial or pathogenic bacteria differentially regulate root exudation, transcription of iron transporters, and defense signaling pathways in Sorghum bicolor. Plant Mol Biol. 2018;96:291-304. doi:10.1007/s11103-017-0694-5.



- 212. Kaushal M, Wani SP. Rhizobacterial-plant interactions: strategies ensuring plant growth promotion under drought and salinity stress. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2016;231:68-78. doi:10.1016/j.agee. 2016.06.031.
- 213. Tsukanova KA, Chebotar V, Meyer JJM, Bibikova TN. Effect of plant growth-promoting Rhizobacteria on plant hormone homeostasis. S Afr J Bot. 2017;113:91-102. doi:10.1016/j.sajb. 2017.07.007.
- 214. Peters RD, Sturz AV, Carter MR, Sanderson JB. Developing disease-suppressive soils through crop rotation and tillage management practices. Soil Till Res. 2003;72:181-192. doi:10.1016/S0167-1987(03)00087-4.
- 215. Stevenson FC, Kessel CV. The nitrogen and non-nitrogen rotation benefits of pea to succeeding crops. Can J Plant Sci. 1996;76:735-745. doi:10.4141/cjps96-126.
- 216. Breidenbach B, Brenzinger K, Brandt FB, Blaser MB, Conrad R. The effect of crop rotation between wetland rice and upland maize on the microbial communities associated with roots. Plant Soil. 2017;419:435-445. doi:10.1007/s11104-017-3351-5.
- 217. Maarastawi SA, Frindte K, Linnartz M, Knief C. Crop rotation and straw application impact microbial communities in Italian and Philippine soils and the rhizosphere of Zea mays. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:1295. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01295.
- 218. Akinola SA, Babalola OO. The fungal and archaeal community within plant rhizosphere: a review on their contribution to crop safety. J. Plant Nutr. 2021;44:600-618. doi:10.1080/01904167.2020.1845376.
- 219. Zabowski D, Skinner MF, Payn TW. Nutrient release by weathering: implications for sustainable harvesting of PINUS radiata in New Zealand soils. New Zealand J Forest Sci. 2007;37:336-354.3.
- 220. Tubana BS, Babu T, Datnoff LE. A review of silicon in soils and plants and its role in US agriculture: history and future perspectives. Soil Sci. 2016;181:393. doi:10.1097/SS.0000000000000179.
- 221. Rashad RT, El-Zanaty MR, El-Bialy RA. Effect of crop rotations and continuous fertilization on the status of silicon (Si) available in soil in a 97-year permanent experiment. Comm Soil Sci Plant Anal. 2020;51(11):1443-1456. doi:10.1080/00103624.2020.1784915.

- 222. Georgiadis A, Sauer D, Herrmann L, Breuer J, Zarei M, Stahr K. Development of a method for sequential Si extraction from soils. Geoderma. 2013;209-210:251-261. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.
- 223. Fetyan NAH, Massoud ON, Morsy EM, Khalil HM. Biological evaluation of soil cultivated with Egyptian Clover (Trifolium alexndrinum L.) through long term trial at Bahtim Region. Egypt. Middle East J Appl Sci. 2015;5:515-525.
- 224. Schaller J, Hodson MJ, Struyf E. Is relative Si/Ca availability crucial to the performance of grassland ecosystems? Ecosphere. 2017;8 (3):1-11. doi:10.1002/ecs2.1726.
- 225. Wu X, Yu Y, Baerson SR, Song Y, Liang G, Ding C, Niu J, Pan Z, Zeng R. Interactions between nitrogen and silicon in rice and their effects on resistance toward the brown plant hopper Nilaparvatalugens. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8:28. doi:10.3389/fpls. 2017.00028.
- 226. Yeoh YK, Paungfoo-Lonhienne C, Dennis PG, Robinson N, Ragan MA, Schmidt S, Hugenholtz P. The core root microbiome of sugarcanes cultivated under varying nitrogen fertilizer application. Environ Microbiol. 2016;18:1338-1351. doi:10.1111/ 1462-2920.12925.
- 227. Lang M, Christie P, Zhang J, Li X. Long-term phosphorus application to a maize monoculture influences the soil microbial community and its feedback effects on maize seedling biomass. Appl Soil Ecol. 2018;128:12–22. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.01.005.
- 228. Kuczynski J, Costello EK, Nemergut DR, Zaneveld J, Lauber CL, Knights D, Koren O, Fierer N, Kelley ST, Ley RE, et al. Direct sequencing of the human microbiome readily reveals community differences. Genome Biol. 2010;11:210. doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-5-210.
- 229. Fierer N. Embracing the unknown: disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2017;15:579. doi:10. 1038/nrmicro.2017.87.
- 230. Knight R, Vrbanac A, Taylor BC, Aksenov A, Callewaert C, Debelius J, Gonzalez A, Kosciolek T, McCall LI, McDonald D, et al. Best practices for analysing microbiomes. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2018;16:410-422. doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0029-9.