Bayesian Inference for Sparse Factor Models

Yong See Foo

January 2020

1 Model

Suppose that we have a matrix $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{G \times N}$ of observed gene expressions, where G is the number of genes, and N is the number of individuals. We wish to model gene expression as a weighted sum of K transcription factor activities: $y_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} l_{ik} f_{kj} + e_{ij}$, where l_{ik} is the regulatory weight of factor k on gene i, f_{kj} is the activation of factor k for individual i, and e_{ij} accounts for any corresponding residual noise. In matrix notation, the model is formulated as $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{LF} + \mathbf{E}$. By assuming that the noise is independently distributed and follows a Gaussian distribution with gene-specific variance, the distribution of the gene expression \mathbf{Y} can be defined as

$$p(\boldsymbol{y}_i \mid \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F}, \boldsymbol{ au}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{y}_i \mid \mathbf{F}^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{l}_i, \tau_i^{-1} \mathbf{I}),$$

where \mathbf{y}_i and \mathbf{l}_i are column vectors indicating the *i*th row of \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{L} repsectively, and τ_i is the precision of Gaussian noise. This may also be written as $p(\mathbf{y}_i \mid \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_j \mid \mathbf{L}\mathbf{f}_j, D_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{-1})$, where \mathbf{y}_j indicates the *j*th column of \mathbf{Y} , and $D_{\boldsymbol{v}} = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{v})$ for any vector \boldsymbol{v} .

As only a small subset of genes are regulated by each transcription factor, the loading matrix \mathbf{L} is known to be sparse. This is encoded with the following prior:

$$p(l_{ik} \mid z_{ik}, \alpha_k) = \begin{cases} \delta(l_{ik}) & \text{if } z_{ik} = 0\\ \mathcal{N}(l_{ik} \mid 0, \alpha_k^{-1}) & \text{if } z_{ik} = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $z_{ik} = 0$ if gene *i* is not regulated by transcription factor *k*, otherwise l_{ik} follows a Gaussian distribution with factor-specific precision α_k . A connectivity matrix **Z** stores the latent binary variables z_{ik} , and we define a Bernoulli prior for each of its elements:

$$p(z_{ik}) = \text{Bernoulli}(z_{ik} \mid \pi_k),$$

where π_k are hyperparameters which control the sparsity of each factor.

To avoid identifiability issues caused by scaling, we define a unit Gaussian prior distribution for the factor matrix \mathbf{F} :

$$p(\mathbf{f}_i) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}_i \mid \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}).$$

Lastly, a gamma prior is defined for each of the precision parameters:

$$p(\tau_i) = \Gamma(\tau_i \mid a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})$$
$$p(\alpha_k) = \Gamma(\alpha_k \mid a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}),$$

where $a_{\tau}, b_{\tau}, a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}$ are hyperparameters to be specified.

2 MCMC

2.1 Full conditionals for Gibbs sampling

We need to ensure that $l_{ik} = 0$ whenever $z_{ik} = 0$. This can achieved by introducing modifications to the conditional distribution of **Y**. We have

$$p(\boldsymbol{l}_{i}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i} \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{F}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \propto \prod_{k:z_{ik}=1} \pi_{k} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{k}}{2\pi}} \times \prod_{k:z_{ik}=0} (1 - \pi_{k}) \, \delta(l_{ik})$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{\tau_{i}}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - [\mathbf{F}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} [\boldsymbol{l}_{i}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - [\mathbf{F}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} [\boldsymbol{l}_{i}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} [\boldsymbol{l}_{i}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} [\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} [\boldsymbol{l}_{i}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} \right\}$$

$$\propto \prod_{k:z_{ik}=1} \pi_{k} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{k}}{2\pi}} \times \prod_{k:z_{ik}=0} (1 - \pi_{k}) \, \delta(l_{ik})$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left([\boldsymbol{l}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}}^{-1} \left([\boldsymbol{l}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} \right\}$$

$$(1)$$

where

 $[\mathbf{F}]_{z_i} = \text{matrix consisting of rows of } \mathbf{F} \text{ whose corresponding entries of } z_i \text{ are equal to } 1$ $[\mathbf{l}_i]_{z_i} = \text{vector consisting of entries of } \mathbf{l}_i \text{ whose corresponding entries of } z_i \text{ are equal to } 1$ $[D_{\alpha}]_{z_i} = \text{matrix consisting of rows of } D_{\alpha} \text{ whose corresponding entries of } z_i \text{ are equal to } 1$

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} = \left(\tau_{i} \left[\mathbf{F}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} + \left[D_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}\right)^{-1}$$
$$\mu_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} = \tau_{i} \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} \left[\mathbf{F}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} \boldsymbol{y}_{i},$$

and hence obtain the full conditional distribution of l_i :

$$p([\boldsymbol{l}_i]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathcal{N}([\boldsymbol{l}_i]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{l}_i}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{l}_i}) \times \prod_{k: z_{ik} = 0} \delta(l_{ik}).$$
(2)

Marginalising out l_i from Equation 1 gives a conditional distribution of z_{ik} :

$$p(z_{ik} \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z}_{-ik}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \propto \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{z_{ik}}{2}} \det |\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{l}_i}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{l}_i}^\mathsf{T} \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{l}_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{l}_i}\right\} \pi_k^{z_{ik}} (1 - \pi_k)^{1 - z_{ik}}.$$
(3)

We also have

$$p(\mathbf{f}_{j} \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{y}_{j} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f}_{j} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} D_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \left(\mathbf{y}_{j} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f}_{j} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{j} \right\}$$
$$\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{f}_{j} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{f}_{j}} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma_{\mathbf{f}_{j}}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{f}_{j} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{f}_{j}} \right) \right\}$$

where

$$\Sigma_{f_j} = \left(\mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} D_{\tau} \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}$$
$$\mu_{f_j} = \Sigma_{f_j} \mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} D_{\tau} y_j,$$

thus arriving at the full conditional distribution of f_i :

$$p(\mathbf{f}_j \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}_j \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{f}_j}, \Sigma_{\mathbf{f}_j}).$$
 (4)

Lastly, we have the full conditional distribution of τ_i :

$$p(\tau_i \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \Gamma\left(\tau_i \mid a_{\tau} + \frac{N}{2}, b_{\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_i - [\mathbf{F}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}^{\mathsf{T}} [\boldsymbol{l}_i]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_i - [\mathbf{F}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}^{\mathsf{T}} [\boldsymbol{l}_i]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}\right)\right), \quad (5)$$

and the full conditional distribution of α_k :

$$p(\alpha_k \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) = \Gamma\left(\alpha_k \mid a_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^G z_{ik}, b_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i:z_{ik}=1} l_{ik}^2\right).$$
(6)

2.2 Relabelling

A relabelling algorithm is used to deal with signflip symmetries and label switching.

3 Variational Inference

3.1 Mean-field approximation

Use the variational factorisation

$$q(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \prod_{i=1}^{G} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{K} q(l_{ik} \mid z_{ik}) q(z_{ik}) \right] q(\tau_i) \times \prod_{j=1}^{N} q(\boldsymbol{f}_j) \times \prod_{k=1}^{K} q(\alpha_k)$$
(7)

as an approximation to the posterior distribution, where

$$q(l_{ik} \mid z_{ik}) = \mathcal{N}(l_{ik} \mid \mu_{l_{ik}}, \sigma_{l_{ik}}^{2})^{z_{ik}} \times \delta(l_{ik})^{1-z_{ik}}$$

$$q(z_{ik}) = \text{Bernoulli}(z_{ik} \mid \eta_{ik})$$

$$q(\mathbf{f}_{j}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{f}_{j}}, \Sigma_{\mathbf{f}_{j}})$$

$$q(\tau_{i}) = \Gamma(\tau_{i} \mid \hat{a}_{\tau_{i}}, \hat{b}_{\tau_{i}})$$

$$q(\alpha_{k}) = \Gamma(\alpha_{k} \mid \hat{a}_{\alpha_{k}}, \hat{b}_{\alpha_{k}}).$$

Coordinate ascent for l_i and z_i gives

$$q^{*}(l_{ik}, z_{ik}) \propto \exp\left\{\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{-ik}, \mathbf{F}, \boldsymbol{z}_{-ik}, \tau_{i}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}}[\log p(l_{ik}, z_{ik} \mid \mathbf{Y}, \boldsymbol{l}_{-ik}, \mathbf{F}, \boldsymbol{z}_{-ik}, \tau, \boldsymbol{\alpha})]\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{\frac{z_{ik}}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_{k}}\left[\log \frac{\alpha_{k}}{2\pi}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{-ik}, \mathbf{F}, \boldsymbol{z}_{-ik}, \tau_{i}}\left[\frac{\tau_{i}}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - [\mathbf{F}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}}[\boldsymbol{l}_{i}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - [\mathbf{F}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}}[\boldsymbol{l}_{i}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}\right)\right]\right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{z_{ik}}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\left[\alpha_{k}l_{ik}^{2}\right]\right\} \times \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k}^{z_{ik}}\left(1 - \pi_{k}\right)^{1 - z_{ik}},$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_{i}}}{2\hat{b}_{\tau_{i}}}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{-ik}, \mathbf{F}, \boldsymbol{z}_{-ik}}\left[-2z_{ik}\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{f}_{k}l_{ik} + 2z_{ik}\boldsymbol{f}_{k}^{\mathsf{T}}\sum_{k' \neq k} z_{ik'}\boldsymbol{f}_{k'}l_{ik'}l_{ik} + z_{ik}\boldsymbol{f}_{k}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{f}_{k}l_{ik}^{2}\right]\right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{z_{ik}}{2}\left(\psi(\hat{a}_{\alpha_{k}}) - \log 2\pi\hat{b}_{\alpha_{k}} - \frac{\hat{a}_{\alpha_{k}}}{\hat{b}_{\alpha_{k}}}l_{ik}^{2}\right)\right\} \times \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k}^{z_{ik}}\left(1 - \pi_{k}\right)^{1 - z_{ik}}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_{i}}}{2\hat{b}_{\tau_{i}}}\left[-2z_{ik}\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{k}}l_{ik} + 2z_{ik}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{k}}^{\mathsf{T}}\sum_{k' \neq k} \eta_{ik'}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{k'}}\mu_{l_{ik'}}l_{ik}\right]$$

$$\left. + z_{ik}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\left[\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}\right]_{kk} + \left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}\right]_{k}^{2}\right)l_{ik}^{2}\right] + \frac{z_{ik}}{2}\left(\psi(\hat{a}_{\alpha_{k}}) - \log 2\pi\hat{b}_{\alpha_{k}} - \frac{\hat{a}_{\alpha_{k}}}{\hat{b}_{\alpha_{k}}}l_{ik}^{2}\right)\right\}$$

$$\times \pi_{k}^{z_{ik}}\left(1 - \pi_{k}\right)^{1 - z_{ik}},$$

which corresponds to the updates

$$\sigma_{l_{ik}}^{2*} = \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_{i}}}{\hat{b}_{\tau_{i}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\left[\Sigma_{f_{j}}\right]_{kk} + \left[\mu_{f_{j}}\right]_{k}^{2}\right) + \frac{\hat{a}_{\alpha_{k}}}{\hat{b}_{\alpha_{k}}}\right)^{-1} \\
\mu_{l_{ik}}^{*} = \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_{i}}}{\hat{b}_{\tau_{i}}} \sigma_{l_{ik}}^{2*} \mu_{f_{k}}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \sum_{k' \neq k} \eta_{ik'} \mu_{f_{k'}} \mu_{l_{ik'}}\right) \\
q(z_{ik}) \propto \exp\left\{\frac{z_{ik}}{2} \left(\psi(\hat{a}_{\alpha_{k}}) - \log 2\pi \hat{b}_{\alpha_{k}} + \frac{\mu_{l_{ik}}^{2*}}{\sigma_{l_{ik}}^{2*}}\right)\right\} \left(\sqrt{\sigma_{l_{ik}}^{2*}} \pi_{k}\right)^{z_{ik}} (1 - \pi_{k})^{1 - z_{ik}}. \tag{9}$$

Coordinate ascent for f_j gives

$$q^{*}(\mathbf{f}_{j}) \propto \exp \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{Z},\boldsymbol{\tau}}[\log p(\mathbf{f}_{j} \mid \mathbf{Y},\mathbf{L},\mathbf{Z},\boldsymbol{\tau},\boldsymbol{\alpha})] \right\}$$

$$\propto \exp \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{Z},\boldsymbol{\tau}} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{y}_{j} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f}_{j} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} D_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \left(\mathbf{y}_{j} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f}_{j} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{j} \right\}$$

$$\propto \exp \left\{ \mathbf{y}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} D_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\tau}}} \overline{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{f}_{j} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \overline{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathsf{T}} D_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f}_{j} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{j} \right\}$$

where

$$\begin{split} D_{\overline{\tau}} &= \operatorname{diag}\left(\left\{\frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_i}}{\hat{b}_{\tau_i}}\right\}_{i=1}^G\right) \\ &\left[\overline{\mathbf{L}}\right]_{ik} = \eta_{ik}\mu_{l_{ik}} \\ &\left[\overline{\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}}D_{\tau}\mathbf{L}}\right]_{kk'} = \sum_{i=1}^G \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_i}}{\hat{b}_{\tau_i}}\eta_{ik}\eta_{ik'}^{1-\delta_{kk'}}\left(\delta_{kk'}\sigma_{l_{ik}}^2 + \mu_{l_{ik}}\mu_{l_{ik'}}\right), \end{split}$$

which corresponds to the updates

$$\Sigma_{f_j}^* = \left(\overline{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathsf{T}} D_{\tau} \overline{\mathbf{L}} + \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\mu_{f_j}^* = \Sigma_{f_j}^* \overline{\mathbf{L}}^T D_{\overline{\tau}} y_j.$$
(10)

Coordinate ascent for τ_i gives

$$q^{*}(\tau_{i}) \propto \exp \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{F},\mathbf{Z}} [\log p(\tau_{i} \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})] \right\}$$

$$\propto \exp \left\{ \left(a_{\tau} + \frac{N}{2} \right) \log \tau_{i} - b_{\tau} \tau_{i} - \frac{\tau_{i}}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{F},\mathbf{Z}} \left[\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{l}_{i} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{l}_{i} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\propto \exp \left\{ \left(a_{\tau} + \frac{N}{2} \right) \log \tau_{i} - \left(b_{\tau} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{y}_{i} - 2 \overline{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} \overline{\mathbf{F}} \boldsymbol{y}_{i} + \overline{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{F} \overline{\boldsymbol{r}} \overline{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} \right) \right) \tau_{i} \right\}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \overline{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} &= \{\eta_{ik}\mu_{l_{ik}}\}_{k=1}^{K} \\ \left[\overline{\mathbf{F}}\right]_{kj} &= \mu_{f_{kj}} \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{k'=1}^{K} \left(\eta_{ik}\eta_{ik'}^{1-\delta_{kk'}} \left(\delta_{kk'}\sigma_{l_{ik}}^{2} + \mu_{l_{ik}}\mu_{l_{ik'}}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}\right]_{kk'} + \left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}\right]_{k} \left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}\right]_{k'}\right)\right), \end{split}$$

which corresponds to the updates

$$\hat{a}_{\tau_i}^* = a_{\tau} + \frac{N}{2}
\hat{b}_{\tau_i}^* = b_{\tau} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{y}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}_i - 2 \overline{\mathbf{l}_i}^{\mathsf{T}} \overline{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{y}_i + \overline{\mathbf{l}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{r}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{l}_i} \right).$$
(11)

Coordinate ascent for α_k gives

$$q^*(\alpha_k) \propto \exp\left\{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{Z}}[\log p(\alpha_k \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\tau})]\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{\left(a_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^G z_{ik}\right]\right)\log \alpha_k - b_{\alpha}\alpha_k - \frac{\alpha_k}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{Z}}\left[\sum_{i:z_{ik}=1} l_{ik}^2\right]\right\}$$

which corresponds to the updates

$$\hat{a}_{\alpha_{k}}^{*} = a_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{G} \eta_{ik}$$

$$\hat{b}_{\alpha_{k}}^{*} = b_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{G} \eta_{ik} \left(\sigma_{l_{ik}}^{2} + \mu_{l_{ik}}^{2} \right).$$
(12)

4 Unused attempts

4.1 Capturing dependency within l_i

To capture dependency within l_i , the variational factorisation is modified:

$$q(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \prod_{i=1}^{G} q(\boldsymbol{l}_i, \boldsymbol{z}_i) q(\tau_i) \times \prod_{j=1}^{N} q(\boldsymbol{f}_j) \times \prod_{k=1}^{K} q(\alpha_k)$$
(13)

where

$$\begin{split} q(\boldsymbol{l}_{i}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}) &= \mathcal{N} \big([\boldsymbol{l}_{i}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} \; \big| \; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}} \big) \times \prod_{k \,:\, \boldsymbol{z}_{ik} = 0} \delta(l_{ik}) \times q(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}) \\ q(\boldsymbol{f}_{j}) &= \mathcal{N} \big(\boldsymbol{f}_{j} \; \big| \; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}} \big) \\ q(\tau_{i}) &= \Gamma \Big(\tau_{i} \; \big| \; \hat{a}_{\tau_{i}}, \hat{b}_{\tau_{i}} \Big) \\ q(\alpha_{k}) &= \Gamma \Big(\alpha_{k} \; \big| \; \hat{a}_{\alpha_{k}}, \hat{b}_{\alpha_{k}} \Big). \end{split}$$

Note that the dimensions of μ_{l_i} and Σ_{l_i} depend on z_i , so there is no sensible way to numerically record its value. This will prove to be problematic.

Coordinate ascent for l_i and z_i gives

$$\begin{split} q^*(\boldsymbol{l}_i, \boldsymbol{z}_i) &\propto \exp \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{F}, \tau_i, \alpha}[\log p(\boldsymbol{l}_i, \boldsymbol{z}_i \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{F}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})] \right\} \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k: z_{ik} = 1} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_k} \left[\log \frac{\alpha_k}{2\pi} \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{F}, \tau_i} \left[\frac{\tau_i}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_i - [\mathbf{F}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}^\mathsf{T} \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \right)^\mathsf{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_i - [\mathbf{F}]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}^\mathsf{T} \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \left[\left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}^\mathsf{T} \left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\alpha} \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \right] \right\} \times \prod_{k=1}^K \pi_k^{z_{ik}} \left(1 - \pi_k \right)^{1 - z_{ik}} \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k: z_{ik} = 1} \left(\overline{\log \alpha_k} - \log 2\pi \right) - \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_i}}{2\hat{b}_{\tau_i}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{F}} \left[-2 \boldsymbol{y}_i^\mathsf{T} \left[\mathbf{F} \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}^\mathsf{T} \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} + \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i^\mathsf{T} \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \left[\mathbf{F} \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}^\mathsf{T} \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}^\mathsf{T} \left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{\alpha}} \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \right\} \times \prod_{k=1}^K \pi_k^{z_{ik}} \left(1 - \pi_k \right)^{1 - z_{ik}} \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k: z_{ik} = 1} \left(\overline{\log \alpha_k} - \log 2\pi \right) + \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_i}}{\hat{b}_{\tau_i}} \sum_{k: z_{ik} = 1} l_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^N y_{ij} \overline{f_{kj}} \right. \\ &- \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_i}}{2\hat{b}_{\tau_i}} \sum_{k: z_{ik} = 1} \sum_{k': z_{ik'} = 1} l_{ik} l_{ik'} \sum_{j=1}^N \overline{f_{kj}} \overline{f_{k'j}} - \frac{1}{2} \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}^\mathsf{T} \left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{\alpha}} \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \left[\boldsymbol{l}_i \right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i} \right\} \\ &\times \prod_{k=1}^K \pi_k^{z_{ik}} \left(1 - \pi_k \right)^{1 - z_{ik}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\overline{\log \alpha_k} = \psi(\hat{a}_{\alpha_k}) - \log \hat{b}_{\alpha_k}$$

$$D_{\overline{\alpha}} = \operatorname{diag} \left(\left\{ \frac{\hat{a}_{\alpha_k}}{\hat{b}_{\alpha_k}} \right\}_{k=1}^K \right)$$

$$\overline{f_{kj}} = \left[\mu_{f_j} \right]_k$$

$$\overline{f_{kj}f_{k'j}} = \left[\Sigma_{f_j} \right]_{kk'} + \left[\mu_{f_j} \right]_k \left[\mu_{f_j} \right]_{k'}$$

The variational parameters corresponding to $[\boldsymbol{l}_i]_{\boldsymbol{z}_i}$ are thus updated to

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}}^{*} = \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_{i}}}{\hat{b}_{\tau_{i}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\left[\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i},\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} + \left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}} \left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}}\right) + \left[D_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}}^{*} = \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau_{i}}}{\hat{b}_{\tau_{i}}} \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{l}_{i}}^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{ij} \left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}}$$

$$(14)$$

where $\left[\Sigma_{f_j}\right]_{z_i,z_i}$ is a principal minor of Σ_{f_j} whose rows and columns indices correspond to the entries of 1s in z_i , and $\left[\mu_{f_j}\right]_{z_i}$ is a vector consisting of entries of μ_{f_j} whose corresponding entries of z_i are equal to 1.

Marginalising out l_i then gives

$$q^*(\mathbf{z}_i) \propto \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k: z_{ik}=1} \left(\overline{\log \alpha_k} - \log 2\pi\right) + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{l_i}^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{l_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{l_i}\right\} \det |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{l_i}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^K \pi_k^{z_{ik}} \left(1 - \pi_k\right)^{1 - z_{ik}}. \tag{15}$$

Unfortunately, this distribution is intractable to obtain. We instead approximate $q^*(z_i)$ with

$$\hat{q}(\boldsymbol{z}_i) = \prod_{k=1}^K \hat{q}(z_{ik}) = \prod_{k=1}^K \text{Bernoulli}(z_{ik} \mid \gamma_{ik}).$$
 (16)

Methods for estimating γ_{ik} will be addressed in Section 4.2.

For the remaining variational parameters, computations similar to the previous section give the following updates:

$$\Sigma_{f_j}^* = \left(\overline{\mathbf{L}}^T D_{\tau} \overline{\mathbf{L}} + \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\mu_{f_j}^* = \Sigma_{f_j}^* \overline{\mathbf{L}}^T D_{\overline{\tau}} y_j$$
(17)

$$\hat{a}_{\tau_i}^* = a_{\tau} + \frac{N}{2}$$

$$\hat{b}_{\tau_i}^* = b_{\tau} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{y}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}_i - 2 \overline{\mathbf{l}_i}^{\mathsf{T}} \overline{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{y}_i + \overline{\mathbf{l}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{l}_i} \right)$$
(18)

$$\hat{a}_{\alpha_k}^* = a_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^G \eta_{ik}$$

$$\hat{b}_{\alpha_k}^* = b_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^G \eta_{ik} \left(\sigma_{l_{ik}}^2 + \mu_{l_{ik}}^2 \right).$$
(19)

However, these expectations depend on μ_{l_i} and Σ_{l_i} , which we do not have values of due to their varying dimensions.

4.2 Estimating γ_{ik}

Let $B = \{0,1\}^K$, the set of binary vectors of size K. Through this section, q^* refers to the unnormalised density stated in Equation (15) for some fixed i. The direct approach of estimating γ_{ik} is to compute

$$\gamma_{ik}^* = \sum_{\substack{\zeta \in B \\ \zeta_k = 1}} q^*(\zeta) / \sum_{\zeta \in B} q^*(\zeta) . \tag{20}$$

However, this would take 2^K matrix inversions, which is infeasible for large K. Instead, we seek to estimate γ_{ik} (for all k with some fixed i) using values of $q^*(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}_T$, where \mathbf{B}_T is a random subset of B of size T.

Define

$$g_{ik}(z) = \sum_{\substack{\zeta \in \mathbf{B}_T \\ \zeta_k = z}} q^*(\zeta) / |\{\zeta \in \mathbf{B}_T : \zeta_k = z\}| \text{ for } z = 0, 1.$$

We then have an aggregation-based method for estimating γ_{ik} :

$$\gamma_{ik}^* = \frac{g_{ik}(1)}{g_{ik}(0) + g_{ik}(1)}. (21)$$

Another ad hoc method is to use the independence assumption in Equation (16). Let

$$\gamma_{ik} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-u_{ik}\right)},$$

the approximation

$$q^*(\zeta) \approx \prod_{k=1}^K \gamma_{ik}^{\zeta_k} (1 - \gamma_{ik})^{1 - \zeta_k}$$

is then equivalent to

$$q^*(\zeta) \approx \prod_{k=1}^K \frac{\exp(u_{ik}\zeta_k)}{1 + \exp(u_{ik})}.$$

Taking logs of both sides then gives a regression problem:

$$\log q^*(\zeta) \approx u_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K u_{ik} \zeta_k \tag{22}$$

where u_0 is some constant. Each element ζ of \mathbf{B}_T and its corresponding value of $q(\zeta)$ serves as a data point to be used for regression.

One last approach is to apply coordinate ascent to only a random subset of l_i and z_i during each iteration. If the subset is small enough, the direct approach found in Equation 20 can then be feasible. This idea is motivated by stochastic variational inference, but does not share the same theoretical guarantees.