HW2

Sijia Yue 10/14/2019

Problem 3: simulation study

Generate uniform [0,1]

```
set.seed(20)
x = runif(50, min = 0, max = 1)
```

Generate 100 training sets

```
sim_train = list()
for (i in 1:100) {
   set.seed(i)
   y = sin(2*pi*x^3)^3 + rnorm(50, mean = 0, sd = 1)
   sim_train[[i]] = cbind(x,y)
}
```

Fit OLS with linear model in each training set and get the vector of fitted value \hat{y}

```
ols_linear_pred = list()
for (i in 1:100) {
  fit = lm(y~x, data = sim_train[[i]] %>% as.data.frame())
  pred = predict(fit)
  ols_linear_pred[[i]] = pred
}
ols_linear_pred = bind_cols(ols_linear_pred)
```

Fit OLS with cubic polynomial model in each training set and get the vector of fitted value \hat{y}

```
ols_cub_pred = list()
for (i in 1:100) {
   fit = lm(y~poly(x,3), data = sim_train[[i]] %>% as.data.frame())
   pred = predict(fit)
   ols_cub_pred[[i]] = pred
}
ols_cub_pred = bind_cols(ols_cub_pred)
```

Fit cubic spline with knot 0.33 and 0.67 in each training set and get the vector of fitted value \hat{y}

```
cub_spline_pred = list()
for (i in 1:100) {
  fit = lm(y~bs(x,knots=c(0.33,0.67)), data = sim_train[[i]] %>% as.data.frame())
  pred = predict(fit)
  cub_spline_pred[[i]] = pred
}
cub_spline_pred = bind_cols(cub_spline_pred)
```

Fit natural cubic spline with 5 knots at 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 0.9 in each training set and get the vector of fitted value \hat{y}

```
ncub_spline_pred = list()
for (i in 1:100) {
  fit = lm(y~ns(x,knots=c(0.1,0.3,0.5,0.7,0.9)), data = sim_train[[i]] %>% as.data.frame())
  pred = predict(fit)
  ncub_spline_pred[[i]] = pred
}
ncub_spline_pred = bind_cols(ncub_spline_pred)
```

Fit smoothing spline with tuning parameter chosen by GCV in each training set and get the vector of fitted value \hat{y}

```
smooth_spline_pred = list()
for (i in 1:100) {
  fit = smooth.spline(x=sim_train[[i]][,1], y =sim_train[[i]][,2], cv=FALSE)
  pred = predict(fit)$y
  smooth_spline_pred[[i]] = pred
}
smooth_spline_pred = bind_cols(smooth_spline_pred)
```


For each method/model, compute the pointwise variance of fitted values across the 100 training sets. This gives you a vector of pointwise variance.

```
ols_linear_var = apply(ols_linear_pred, 1, var)
ols_cub_var = apply(ols_cub_pred, 1, var)
cub_spline_var = apply(cub_spline_pred, 1, var)
ncub_spline_var = apply(ncub_spline_pred, 1,var)
smooth_spline_var = apply(smooth_spline_pred, 1,var)
var_df = data_frame(x,ols_linear_var,ols_cub_var,cub_spline_var,ncub_spline_var,smooth_spline_var)
## Warning: `data_frame()` is deprecated, use `tibble()`.
## This warning is displayed once per session.
```

Plot the pointwise variance curves (against x) for each method/model.

```
ggplot(var_df) +
  geom_line(aes(x = x, y = ols_cub_var, color = "OLS Cubic Spline")) +
  geom_point(aes(x = x, y = ols_cub_var, color = "OLS Cubic Spline")) +
```

Simulation results of pointwise variance between 5 models/methods



Problem 4

Data preparation

Logistic regression

```
logistic_fit = glm(chd~., data = df_train, family = "binomial")
summary(logistic fit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = chd ~ ., family = "binomial", data = df_train)
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
                1Q
                     Median
                                  3Q
                                         Max
## -1.8176 -0.8282 -0.4348
                            0.9484
                                       2.4491
##
## Coefficients:
##
                  Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)
                 -5.013991 1.570094 -3.193 0.00141 **
                 -0.004784
                           0.007500 -0.638 0.52356
## sbp
## tobacco
                  0.069165
                           0.032321
                                      2.140 0.03236 *
## ldl
                  0.111462 0.074524
                                      1.496 0.13474
## adiposity
                  0.046678 0.037295
                                      1.252 0.21072
## famhistPresent 0.826525
                            0.280107
                                      2.951 0.00317 **
                            0.015911
                                      2.949 0.00319 **
## typea
                 0.046926
## obesity
                 -0.063287
                             0.053181 -1.190 0.23404
## alcohol
                  0.005111
                             0.005927
                                      0.862 0.38849
                                      2.675 0.00746 **
## age
                  0.039145
                             0.014631
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 394.29 on 299 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 317.75 on 290 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 337.75
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
log_pred = predict(logistic_fit, newdata = df_test[,-10], type = "response")
log_pred = if_else(log_pred>= 0.5,1,0)
log_error = mean((log_pred != df_test$chd)^2)
log_error_se = sd((log_pred != df_test$chd)^2)/sqrt(nrow(df_test))
```

LDA

```
lda_pred = predict(lda_fit, df_test[,-10])$class
lda_error = mean((lda_pred != df_test$chd)^2)
lda_error_se = sd((lda_pred != df_test$chd)^2)/sqrt(nrow(df_test))
```

QDA

```
qda_fit = qda(chd~., data = df_train)
qda_fit$means
##
                            ldl adiposity famhistPresent
                                                            typea obesity
          sbp tobacco
## 0 134.0105 2.629368 4.468421 23.29147
                                               0.2947368 53.04211 25.57868
## 1 138.9091 5.433818 5.451545 27.90682
                                               0.5545455 56.28182 26.76291
      alcohol
                   age
## 0 12.41268 38.02632
## 1 18.75418 49.47273
qda_pred = predict(qda_fit, df_test[,-10])$class
qda_error = mean((qda_pred != df_test$chd)^2)
qda_error_se = sd((qda_pred != df_test$chd)^2)/sqrt(nrow(df_test))
```

Summarize the test error and its standard error into one form

Models	Test Error	SE
Logistic Regression	0.2531	0.0343
LDA	0.2531	0.0343
QDA	0.2593	0.0345

The test error of logistic regression and LDA shows the same results. The test error of QDA is slightly greater than LDA and logistic regression. All the standard error are pretty similar. I would choose to use logistic regression as my final model since the test error is the smallest and it is easier to interpret.