

# Introduction

Integrated Development Environments (IDEs) and other more lightweight code editors are by far the most used tool of software developers. Yet, improvements of language intelligence, i.e. code completion, debugging as well as static code analysis refactoring and enrichment, have traditionally been subject to both the language and the editor used. Language support is thereby brought to IDEs by the means of platform dependent extensions that require repeated efforts for each platform and hence varied a lot in performance, feature-richness and availability. Recent years have seen different works [refs?] towards editor-independent code intelligence implementations and unified language-independent protocols one of which being put forward by Microsoft - the Language Server Protocol [ref] which is discussed in greater detail in sec. ???. These approaches reduce the effort required to bring language intelligence to editors. Instead of rewriting what is essentially the same language extension for every editor, all LSP-capable editors can connect to the same LSP implementation. Moreover, LSP client implementations are independent of the servers. Hence, editor communities can focus on developing the best possible and uniform experience which all LSP servers can leverage. As a side effect this also allows for developers to stay in their preferred developing environment instead of needing to resort to e.g. Vim or Emacs emulation or loosing access to other plugins.

Being independent of the editors, the choice of language to implement language servers in lies with the developer. In effect, it is possible for language developers to integrate essential parts of the existing language implementation for a language server. By now the LSP has become the most popular choice for cross-platform language tooling with implementations [langservers and microsoft] for all major and many smaller languages.

Speaking of smaller languages is significant, as both research communities and industry continuously develop and experiment with new languages for which tooling is unsurprisingly scarce. Additionally, previous research [ref], that shows the importance of language tools for the selection of a language, highlights the importance of tooling for new languages to be adopted by a wider community. While previously implementing language tools that integrate with the developer's environment was practically unfeasible for small projects due to the incompatibility between different extension systems, leveraging the LSP reduces the amount of work required considerably.

## Problem Definition

Yet, while many of the implementations are freely available as Open Source Software [ref?], the methodology behind these servers is often poorly documented, especially for smaller languages. There are some experience reports [ref: merlin, and others] and a detailed video series on the Rust Analyzer[ref or footnote] project, but implementations remain very opinionated and poorly guided through. The result is that new implementations keep repeating to develop existing solutions.

Moreover, most projects do not formally evaluate the Language Server on even basic requirements. Naïvely, that is, the server should be *performant* enough not to slow down the developer, it should offer *useful* information and capabilities and of course be *correct* as well as *complete*.

## Research Questions

To guide future implementations of language servers for primarily small scale languages the research presented in this thesis aims to answer the following research questions at the example of the Nickel Project<sup>1</sup>:

- RQ.1** How to develop a language server for a new language with the abovementioned requirements in mind?
- RQ.2** How can we assess the implementation both quantitatively based on performance measures and qualitatively based on user satisfaction?
- RQ.3** Do the methods used to answer RQ.1 meet the expected requirements under the assessment developed in RQ.2?

## Goals

The goal of this research is to describe a reusable approach for representing programs that can be used to query data to answer requests on the Language Server Protocol efficiently. The research is conducted on an implementation of the open source language Nickel[<sup>1</sup><https://nickel-lang.org>] which provides the *Diagnostics*, *Jump to \** and *Hover* features as well as limited *Auto-Completion* and *Symbol resolution*. Although implemented for and with integration of the Nickel runtime, the objective is to keep the internal format largely language independent. Similarly, the Rust based implementation should be described abstractly enough to be implemented in other languages. To support the chosen approach, a user study will show whether the implementation is able to meet the expectations of its users and maintain its performance in real-world scenarios.

## Non-Goals

The reference solution portrayed in this work is specific for the Nickel language. Greatest care is given to present the concepts as generically and transferable as possible. However, it is not a goal to explicitly cover a problem space larger than the Nickel language, which is a pure functional language based on lambda

---

<sup>1</sup><https://nickel-lang.org>

calculus with JSON data types, gradual typing, higher-order contracts and a record merging operation.

## **Research Methodology**

What are the scientific methods

## **Structure of the thesis**

