# Method

This chapter contains a detailed guide through the various steps and components of the Nickel Language Server (NLS). Being written in the same language (Rust(rust?)) as the Nickel interpreter allows NLS to integrate existing components for language analysis. Complementary, NLS is tightly coupled to Nickel's Syntax definition. Hence, in sec. ?? this chapter will first detail parts of the AST that are of particular interest for the LSP and require special handling. Based on that sec. ?? will introduce the main datastructure underlying all higher level LSP interactions and how the AST is transformed into this form. Finally, in sec. ?? the implementation of current LSP features is discussed on the basis of the previously reviewed components.

# Nickel AST

Nickel's Syntax tree is a single sum type, i.e. an enumeration of node types. Each enumeration variant may refer to child nodes, representing a branch or hold terminal values in which case it is considered a leaf of the tree. Additionally, nodes are parsed and represented, wrapped in another structure that encodes the span of the node and all its potential children.

#### **Basic Elements**

The data types of the Nickel language are closely related to JSON. On the leaf level, Nickel defines Boolean, Number, String and Null. In addition to that the language implements native support for Enum values. Each of these are terminal leafs in the syntax tree.

Completing JSON compatibility, List and Record constructs are present as well. Records on a syntax level are HashMaps, uniquely associating an identifier with a sub-node.

These data types constitute a static subset of Nickel which allows writing JSON compatible expressions as shown in lst. 0.1.

```
Listing 0.1 Example of a static Nickel expression
```

```
{
  list = [ 1, "string", null],
  "enum value" = `Value
}
```

2 METHOD

Building on that Nickel also supports variables and functions which make up the majority of the AST stem.

### **Meta Information**

One key feature of Nickel is its gradual typing system [ref again?], which implies that values can be explicitly typed. Complementing type information it is possible to annotate values with contracts and additional meta-data such as documentation, default values and merge priority a special syntax as displayed in lst. 0.2.

Listing 0.2 Example of a static Nickel expression

Internally, the addition of annotations wraps the annotated term in a MetaValue structure, that is creates an artificial tree node that describes its subtree. Concretely, the expression shown in lst. 0.3 translates to the AST in fig. 1. The green MetaValue box is a virtual node generated during parsing and not present in the untyped equivalent.

```
Listing 0.3 Example of a typed expression let x: Num = 5 in x
```




Figure 1: AST of typed expression

NICKEL AST 3

### **Nested Record Access**

Nickel supports the referencing of variables which are represented as Var nodes that are resolved during runtime. With records bound to a variable, a method to access elements inside that record is required. The access of record members is represented using a special set of AST nodes depending on whether the member name requires an evaluation in which case resolution is deferred to the evaluation pass. While the latter prevents static analysis of any deeper element by the LSP, StaticAccess can be used to resolve any intermediate reference.

Notably, Nickel represents static access chains in inverse order as unary operations which in turn puts the terminal Var node as a leaf in the tree. Figure 2 shows the representation of the static access performed in lst. 0.4 with the rest of the tree omitted.

# Listing 0.4 Nickel static access

```
let x = {
  y = {
    z = 1;
  }
} in x.y.z
```




Figure 2: AST of typed expression

# Record Shorthand

Nickel supports a shorthand syntax to efficiently define nested records similarly to how nested record fields are accessed. As a comparison the example in lst. 0.5 uses the shorthand syntax which resolves to the semantically equivalent record defined in lst. 0.6

Yet, on a syntax level different Nickel generates a different representation.

4 METHOD

```
Listing 0.5 Nickel record using shorthand
{
  deeply.nested.record.field = true;
}
```

```
Listing 0.6 Nickel record defined explicitly
{
  deeply = {
    nested = {
      record = {
         field = true
      }
    }
}
```

# Linearization

Being a domain specific language, the scope of analyzed Nickel files is expected to be small compared to other general purpose languages. NLS therefore takes an eager approach to code analysis, resolving all information at once which is then stored in a linear data structure with efficient access to elements. This data structure is referred to as linearization. The term arises from the fact that the linearization is a transformation of the syntax tree into a linear structure which is presented in more detail in sec. ??. The implementation distinguishes two separate states of the linearization. During its construction, the linearization will be in a building state, and is eventually post-processed yielding a completed state. The semantics of these states are defined in sec. ??, while the post-processing is described separately in sec. ??. Finally, sec. ?? explains how the linearization is accessed.

# **States**

At its core the linearization in either state is represented by an array of LinearizationItems which are derived from AST nodes during the linearization process as well as state dependent auxiliary structures.

Closely related to nodes, LinearizationItems maintain the position of their AST counterpart, as well as its type. Unlike in the AST, metadata is directly associated with the element. Further deviating from the AST representation, the type of the node and its kind are tracked separately. The latter is used to distinguish between declarations of variables, records, record fields and variable usages as well as a wildcard kind for any other kind of structure, such as terminals control flow elements.

The aforementioned separation of linearization states got special attention. As the linearization process is integrated with the libraries underlying the Nickel interpreter, it had to be designed to cause minimal overhead during normal execution. Hence, the concrete implementation employs type-states(typestate?) to separate both states on a type level and defines generic interfaces that allow

LINEARIZATION 5

for context dependent implementations.

At its base the Linearization type is just a transparent wrapper around the particular LinearizationState which holds state specific data. On top of that NLS defines a Building and Completed state.

The Building state represents an accumulated created incrementally during the linearization process. In particular that is a list of LinearizationItems of unresolved type ordered as they appear in a depth-first iteration of the AST. Note that new elements are exclusively appended such that their id field during this phase is equal to the elements position at all time. Additionally, the Building state maintains the scope structure for every item in a separate mapping.

Once fully built a Building instance is post-processed yielding a Completed linearization. While being defined similar to its origin, the structure is optimized for positional access, affecting the order of the LinearizationItems and requiring an auxiliary mapping for efficient access to items by their id. Moreover, types of items in the Completed linearization will be resolved.

Type definitions of the Linearization as well as its type-states Building and Completed are listed in lsts. 0.7, 0.8, 0.9. Note that only the former is defined as part of the Nickel libraries, the latter are specific implementations for NLS.

### Listing 0.7 Definition of Linearization structure

```
pub trait LinearizationState {}

pub struct Linearization<S: LinearizationState> {
    pub state: S,
}
```

#### Listing 0.8 Type Definition of Building state

```
pub struct Building {
    pub linearization: Vec<LinearizationItem<Unresolved>>,
    pub scope: HashMap<Vec<ScopeId>, Vec<ID>>>,
}
impl LinearizationState for Building {}
```

#### Listing 0.9 Type Definition of Completed state

```
pub struct Completed {
    pub linearization: Vec<LinearizationItem<Resolved>>,
    scope: HashMap<Vec<ScopeId>, Vec<usize>>,
    id_to_index: HashMap<ID, usize>,
}
impl LinearizationState for Completed {}
```

Transfer from AST

Retyping

Post-Processing

6 METHOD

# Resolving Elements

# LSP Server

Diagnostics and Caching

Capabilities

Hover

Completion

Jump to Definition

Show references