

[◀ Back to Week 2](#)[✕ Lessons](#)[Prev](#)[Next](#)

You will evaluate your peers' submission for Task 2 using this rubric.

Criteria	Poor (1 point)	Fair (3 points)	Good (5 points)	Excellent (6 points)
Task 2.1: Visualization of Cuisine Map	The visualization is either absent or useless.	The visualization is present but does not make clear the similarity of cuisines.	The visualization clearly shows what cuisines are similar, but the description of the visualization is poor.	The visualization clearly shows what cuisines are similar, and the description of the visualization is thorough.
Task 2.2: Improving Cuisine Map	The visualizations are either absent or useless.	The visualizations are present but do not make clear the similarity of cuisines. No descriptions of improvements over Task 2.1.	The visualizations clearly show what cuisines are similar. There is a description of the visualization improvements.	The visualizations clearly show what cuisines are similar. There is in-depth analysis/description about the visualization improvements.
Task 2.3: Incorporating Clustering in Cuisine Map	The visualization is either absent or useless.	The visualization does not make clear how clustering was incorporated.	The visualization makes clear how clustering was incorporated but does not describe multiple clustering algorithms for visualization.	The visualization makes clear how clustering was incorporated and describes multiple clustering algorithms for visualization.

Written Report	Report of the results is not present.	The description is not detailed enough to allow replication of work.	The description is detailed enough to allow replication of work. No analysis of the results is present.	The description is detailed enough to allow replication of work. Thorough analysis of the results and insights on the cuisine data are present.
Visualizations: Appropriateness of choice	The visualization method is not suitable for the type of data.	The visualization method is suitable for the type of data, but another way to visualize the data is clearly better.	The visualization method used is quite suitable for the type of data and makes relationships clear.	Extra effort was made to make the visualizations beautifully designed and/or usefully interactive.

[Mark as completed](#)