# On an octonionic construction of the groups of type $E_6$ and $^2E_6$

Yegor Stepanov



A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

2019

#### **Declaration**

I, Yegor Stepanov, confirm that the research included within this thesis is my own work or that where it has been carried out in collaboration with, or supported by others, that this is duly acknowledged below and my contribution indicated. Previously published material is also acknowledged below.

I attest that I have exercised reasonable care to ensure that the work is original, and does not to the best of my knowledge break any UK law, infringe any third party's copyright or other Intellectual Property Right, or contain any confidential material.

I accept that the College has the right to use plagiarism detection software to check the electronic version of the thesis.

I confirm that this thesis has not been previously submitted for the award of a degree by this or any other university.

The copyright of this thesis rests with the author and no quotation from it or information derived from it may be published without the prior written consent of the author.

Signature: NAME HERE Date: DATE HERE

Details of collaboration and publications: parts of this work have been completed in collaboration with NAMES HERE, and are published in the following papers:

- paper 1
- paper 2

## Abstract

ABSTRACT HERE

# Acknowledgements

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS HERE



# Contents

$\mathbf{C}$	onter	nts	1
1	Intr	roduction	3
	1.1	Motivation	3
	1.2	Historical notes	3
	1.3	Notation	3
2	Oct	onions	4
	2.1	Composition algebras	4
		2.1.1 Quadratic and Bilinear Forms	4
		2.1.2 Isometries and Witt's Lemma	5
		2.1.3 Definition of a composition algebra	7
	2.2	Conjugation and inverses	9
	2.3	Alternative laws and Moufang identities	10
	2.4	Octonion algebras	11
	2.5	Split octonion algebras	12
	2.6	A basis for the split octonions	13
	2.7	Centre of an octonion algebra	16
3	$\operatorname{Gro}$	${f endown}$ ups of type ${f E}_6$	18
	3.1	Albert vectors	18
		3.1.1 Albert space $\mathbb{J}$	18
		3.1.2 Dickson–Freudenthal determinant and $SE_6(F)$	19
	3.2		20
	3.3	The white points	23

		3.3.1 The mixed form and the white vectors	23
		3.3.2 Action of $SE_6(F)$ on white points	26
		3.3.3 The stabiliser of a white point	31
	3.4	Simplicity of $E_6(F)$	43
	3.5	Case of a finite field	45
	3.6	Arbitrary octonion algebras	48
4	Gro	ips of type ${}^2\mathrm{E}_6$	51
	4.1	Quadratic field extensions	51
	4.2	Spaces with two forms	52
	4.3	Hermitean form in $\mathbb J$ and the group ${}^2\mathrm{SE}_6(K/F)$	53
	4.4	Some elements of ${}^2{ m SE}_6(K/F)$	53
	4.5	Action of ${}^2\mathrm{SE}_6(K/F)$ on white points	58
		4.5.1 Orbits of ${}^{2}\mathrm{SE}_{6}^{K}(F)$ on white points	60
		4.5.2 The stabiliser of type 1 vector	60
		4.5.3 The stabiliser of type 2 vector	60
		4.5.4 The stabiliser of type 3 vector	60
	4.6	Case of a finite field	60
		4.6.1 White vectors in $\mathbb{J}_8^C$	60
		4.6.2 White vectors in $\mathbb{J}_{16}^{BC}$	63
A:	Son	e private life of $\Omega_{2m}(F,Q)$	66
В:	$\Omega_4^+$	$(F) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \circ \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$	67
C:	$\Omega_4^{-,F}$	$(F) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(K)$	68
D:	Mag	ma code	69

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

- 1.1 Motivation
- 1.2 Historical notes
- 1.3 Notation

## Chapter 2

## **Octonions**

#### 2.1 Composition algebras

#### 2.1.1 Quadratic and Bilinear Forms

Let V be a vector space over a field F. We define a quadratic form Q on V to be a map  $Q:V\to F$  such that

- (i)  $Q(\lambda v) = \lambda^2 Q(v)$  for all  $v \in V$  and  $\lambda \in F$ ;
- (ii) the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to K$ , defined by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = Q(u+v) - Q(u) - Q(v), \tag{2.1}$$

is bilinear. We usually refer to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  as the polar form of Q.

From (2.1) we readily see that the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is symmetric, i.e.  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$  for all  $u, v \in V$ . We also observe that for all  $v \in V$  we have

$$\langle v, v \rangle = 2Q(v),$$
 (2.2)

It follows that in case  $\operatorname{char}(F)=2$  we get  $\langle v,v\rangle=0$  for all v, and the quadratic form carries strictly more information than the associated bilinear form. In all other characteristics, hovever, we get  $Q(v)=\frac{1}{2}\langle v,v\rangle$ .

We say that two non-zero vectors  $u, v \in V$  are orthogonal, if  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ . As already mentioned, this relation is symmetric. Now if U is any subspace of V (and even if it is just a subset), we define its orthogonal complement  $U^{\perp}$  to be

$$U^{\perp} = \left\{ v \in V \mid \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

A vector  $v \in V$  is called *isotropic* if Q(v) = 0, otherwise v is *anisotropic*. Sometimes we also say that Q(v) is the *norm* of v. Now, the quadratic form Q is isotropic if there exists a non-zero isotropic vector in V. The radical of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is  $V^{\perp}$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is non-degenerate if the radical is trivial, or, otherwise speaking, if

$$\langle v, u \rangle = 0$$
 for all  $u \in V$  implies that  $v = 0$ . (2.4)

Similarly, the *radical* of Q is the subset of the radical of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , consisting of isotropic vectors, i.e.

$$rad_V(Q) = \{ v \in V \mid \langle v, u \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in V, \ Q(v) = 0 \}.$$
 (2.5)

If the radical of the form Q is trivial, then Q is said to be non-singular. Throughout this thesis we will be mostly interested in non-singular quadratic and non-degenerate bilinear forms. If U is a subspace of V and the restriction of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on U is non-degenerate, then  $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$ , and the restriction of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $U^{\perp}$  is also non-degenerate. A subspace U of V consisting entirely of isotropic vectors is called totally isotropic.

#### 2.1.2 Isometries and Witt's Lemma

Let  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  be vector spaces over fields  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  respectively, with non-singular quardatic forms  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . Denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$  the polar form of  $Q_i$  (i = 1, 2). Suppose  $\sigma: F_1 \to F_2$  is a field isomorphism. A map  $s: V_1 \to V_2$ , satisfying

$$Q_2(v^s) = \lambda_s Q_1(v)^{\sigma} \quad (v \in V_1), \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\lambda_s \in F_2^{\times}$ , is called a  $\sigma$ -similarity. The scalar  $\lambda_s$  is known as the multiplier of s. Using the definition of polar form, we obtain  $\langle u^s, v^s \rangle_2 = \lambda_s \langle u, v \rangle_1^{\sigma}$ , so s is a

bijection. If  $\lambda_s = 1$ , then s is called a  $\sigma$ -isometry. In the case when a  $\sigma$ -similarity (or  $\sigma$ -isometry) between two spaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  exists, we say that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are  $\sigma$ -similar (or  $\sigma$ -isometric). If  $\sigma$  is the identity map, then  $\sigma$ -similarity (or  $\sigma$ -isometry) is simply called similarity (or isometry).

A key result about isometries, which also plays an important rôle in the study of the geometry of spaces with quadratic forms, is Witt's Lemma (also known as Witt's Theorem).

**Theorem 2.1.1** (Witt's Lemma). If  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  are two  $\sigma$ -isometric vector spaces of finite dimension with non-singular quadratic forms  $Q_1$  on  $V_1$  and  $Q_2$  on  $V_2$ , then every  $\sigma$ -isometry between a subspace of  $V_1$  and a subspace of  $V_2$  extends to a  $\sigma$ -isometry between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

If V is a vector space over F with a non-singular quadratic form Q, then an isometry from V onto itself is called an *orthogonal transformation* of V with respect to Q. These orthogonal transformations form the (general) orthogonal group GO(V,Q). Now suppose  $s:V\to V$  is an invertible linear transformation such that  $Q(v^s)=Q(v)$  for all  $v\in V$  (and thus  $\langle u^s,v^s\rangle=\langle u,v\rangle$  for all  $u,v\in V$ ). Denote  $n=\dim_F(V)$  and pick a basis  $\mathcal{B}=\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ . Then with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ , s can be represented by an  $n\times n$  matrix  $[s]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . The determinant of the resulting matrix is independent of the choice of basis, so there is a group homomorphism det:  $GO(V,Q)\to F^\times$ . Orthogonal transformations have determinant  $\pm 1$ . In case of characteristic 2 we define the quasideterminant qdet:  $GO(V,Q)\to \mathbb{F}_2$  to be the map

$$\operatorname{qdet}: g \mapsto \dim_F(\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{id} - g)) \mod 2.$$
 (2.7)

The subgroup SO(V, Q) of GO(V, Q) is the kernel of the (quasi-)determinant map. The group SO(V, Q) is referred to as *special orthogonal group* or *rotation* group of V with respect to Q.

Note that not every element of GO(V, Q) arises as a rotation. For an anisotropic vector  $v \in V$  define  $r_v$  to be

$$r_v: u \mapsto u - \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{Q(v)} v \quad (u \in V).$$
 (2.8)

If the characteristic is not 2, then  $r_v$  is the reflexion in (the hyperplane orthogonal

to) v. If char(K) = 2, then  $r_v$  is the *orthogonal transvection* with centre v. For simplicity we use the word 'reflexion' in all cases.

We define the *spinor norm* to be a homomorphism  $GO(V,Q) \to F^{\times}/(F^{\times})^2$ , where  $F^{\times}/(F^{\times})^2$  is the *multiplicative group modulo squares* of F. The aforementioned homomorphism is defined as follows. Any element of GO(V,Q) arising as a reflexion in v is sent to the value Q(v) modulo  $(F^{\times})^2$ . This extends to a well-defined homomorphism. The subgroup  $\Omega(V,Q)$  of SO(V,Q) is obtained as the kernel of spinor norm.

Witt's Lemma implies that all maximal totally isotropic subspaces of V (with respect to Q) have the same dimension, which is called the *Witt index* of Q. When Q is non-singular and V is finite-dimensional, Witt index of Q can be at most  $\frac{1}{2}\dim_F(V)$ . Moreover, the isometry group  $\mathrm{GO}(V,Q)$  acts transitively on the set of maximal totally isotropic subspaces.

#### 2.1.3 Definition of a composition algebra

**Definition 2.1.2.** A composition algebra  $C = C_F$  over a field F is a (not necessarily associative) unital algebra over F which admits a non-singular quadratic form N:  $C \to F$  such that the polar form of N is non-degenerate and

$$N(xy) = N(x)N(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in C.$$
 (2.9)

The quadratic form N on C is usually called the *norm* of C, and its polar form is referred to as the *inner product*. We also denote the identity element as  $1_C$ .

Let D be a linear subspace of C such that the restriction of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on D is non-degenerate. If D is closed under multiplication and contains  $1_C$ , then it is called the subalgebra of C.

Let  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  be two composition algebras over fields  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  respectively and suppose  $\sigma: F_1 \to F_2$  is a field isomorphism. A bijective  $\sigma$ -linear transformation  $s: C_1 \to C_2$  is called a  $\sigma$ -isomorphism, if

$$(xy)^s = x^s y^s \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C_1. \tag{2.10}$$

For simplicity, if  $F_1 = F_2$  and  $\sigma = id$ , then s is called an isomorphism.

Definition 2.1.2 allows us to derive a number of useful equations. First of all, we find that

$$N(x) = N(1_C \cdot x) = N(1_C)N(x)$$

for all  $x \in C$ , so it follows that

$$N(1_C) = 1. (2.11)$$

Next, for any  $x_1, x_2, y \in C$  we have

$$N(x_1y + x_2y) = N((x_1 + x_2)y) = N(x_1 + x_2)N(y)$$
  
=  $(N(x_1) + N(x_2) + \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle)N(y)$ .

On the other hand,

$$N(x_1y + x_2y) = N(x_1y) + N(x_2y) + \langle x_1y, x_2y \rangle$$
  
=  $N(x_1)N(y) + N(x_2)N(y) + \langle x_1y, x_2y \rangle$ ,

and so

$$\langle x_1 y, x_2 y \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle N(y) \tag{2.12}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, y \in C$ . Similarly, we obtain

$$\langle xy_1, xy_2 \rangle = N(x)\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \tag{2.13}$$

for all  $x, y_1, y_2 \in C$ . Replacing y by  $y_1 + y_2$  in (2.12), we obtain

$$\langle x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2 \rangle + \langle x_1 y_2, x_2 y_1 \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \tag{2.14}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in C$ .

Any composition algebra is quadratic, that is, every element satisfies a certain quadratic equation.

**Proposition 2.1.3.** Every element x of a composition algebra C satisfies the following equation:

$$x^{2} - \langle x, 1_{C} \rangle x + N(x) \cdot 1_{C} = 0.$$
 (2.15)

In the case when x is not a scalar multiple of  $1_C$ , this is the minimal equation for

 $x. For all x, y \in C we have$ 

$$xy + yx - \langle x, 1_C \rangle y - \langle y, 1_C \rangle x + \langle x, y \rangle \cdot 1_C = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

For example, if x, y are orthogonal to  $1_C$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ , then xy = -yx, but most importantly we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1.4. The norm N in a composition algebra C is uniquely determined by the algebra structure. Any  $\sigma$ -isomorphism of composition algebras is always a  $\sigma$ -isometry.

Any composition algebra is *power associative*, i.e. for all  $x \in C$  and  $i, j \ge 1$ , we have

$$x^i x^j = x^{i+j}. (2.17)$$

#### 2.2 Conjugation and inverses

We define *conjugation* in a composition algebra C to be the mapping  $\bar{}: C \to C$  defined by

$$\bar{x} = \langle x, 1_C \rangle \cdot 1_C - x \quad (x \in C). \tag{2.18}$$

Note that geometrically speaking, the map  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  is  $-r_{1_C}$ , where  $r_{1_C}$  is the reflexion in  $1_C$ . We call  $\bar{x}$  the *conjugate* of x. The following lemma summarises the properties of  $\mathbb{O}$  related to conjugation.

**Lemma 2.2.1.** For all  $x, y \in C$  the following identities hold:

- (i)  $x\bar{x} = \bar{x}x = N(x) \cdot 1_C$ ,
- (ii)  $\overline{xy} = \bar{y}\bar{x}$ ,
- (iii)  $\overline{\bar{x}} = x$ ,
- (iv)  $\overline{x+y} = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$ ,
- (v)  $N(x) = N(\bar{x})$ ,
- (vi)  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle$ .

Furthermore, we have the following important properties.

**Lemma 2.2.2.** For all  $x, y, z \in C$  the following identities hold:

- (i)  $x(\bar{x}y) = N(x)y$ ,
- (ii)  $(x\bar{y})y = N(y)x$ ,

(iii) 
$$x(\bar{y}z) + y(\bar{x}z) = \langle x, y \rangle \cdot z$$
,

(iv) 
$$(x\bar{y})z + (x\bar{z})y = x \cdot \langle y, z \rangle$$
.

If for an element  $x \in C$  we have  $N(x) \neq 0$ , then x is said to be *invertible*. If this is the case, then the *inverse* of x is

$$x^{-1} = N(x)^{-1}\bar{x}. (2.19)$$

**Lemma 2.2.3.** If  $x, y \in C$  are invertible, then

$$(xy)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1}. (2.20)$$

## 2.3 Alternative laws and Moufang identities

Composition algebras are not necessarily associative, but there are certain results which can help us with the bracketing.

**Lemma 2.3.1** (Moufang Identities). For all  $x, y, z \in C$ , the following identities hold:

$$x(yz)x = (xy)(zx),$$

$$x(yzy) = ((xy)z)y,$$

$$(xyx)z = x(y(xz)).$$
(2.21)

This helps us to conclude that any composition algebra C is alternative. That is, for every element  $x \in C$  the left-multiplication by x commutes with right-multiplication by x.

**Lemma 2.3.2** (Alternative Laws). For all  $x, y \in C$  the following are true:

$$(xx)y = x(xy),$$
  

$$(yx)x = y(xx),$$
  

$$(xy)x = x(yx).$$
(2.22)

**Theorem 2.3.3** (Artin). The subalgebra generated by any two elements of an alternative algebra is always associative.

#### 2.4 Octonion algebras

The most important structural result about composition algebras is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.1.** The possible dimensions of a composition algebra are 1, 2, 4, and 8. Composition algebras of dimension 1 only occur if the characteristic of the field is not 2. Composition algebras of dimension 1 and 2 are associative and commutative. Those of dimension 4 are associtaive but not commutative, and those of dimension 8 are neither associative nor commutative.

In this thesis we will be mostly interested in the 8-dimensional composition algebras. To emphasise their importance in our work, we use a separate name for them.

**Definition 2.4.2.** Let F be any field. An octonion algebra  $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}_F$  is an 8-dimensional composition algebra, i.e. it admits a norm defined as a quadratic form  $N: \mathbb{O} \to F$  such that the polar form of N is non-degenerate and N(xy) = N(x)N(y) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$ .

The elements of  $\mathbb{O}$  are called the *octonions*. The multiplicative identity in  $\mathbb{O}$  is denoted  $1_{\mathbb{O}}$ , and for simplicity we sometimes omit the subscript. The polar form of N is denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  as usual. Define the *trace* of an octonion to be the inner product

$$T(x) = \langle x, 1_{\mathbb{O}} \rangle. \tag{2.23}$$

It is easy to see that

$$T(x) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}} = x + \bar{x}. \tag{2.24}$$

Although we define trace through the inner product, using Lemma 2.2.1 we can derive the following important relation.

**Lemma 2.4.3.** For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$ , the following identity holds:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = T(x\bar{y}). \tag{2.25}$$

*Proof.* Lemma 2.2.1 tells us that for all  $x \in \mathbb{O}$ ,  $N(x) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}} = x\bar{x}$ . Polarising N as usual, we obtain

$$\langle x, y \rangle \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}} = \mathcal{N}(x+y) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}} - \mathcal{N}(x) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}} - \mathcal{N}(y) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}}$$
$$= (x+y)(\bar{x}+\bar{y}) - x\bar{x} - y\bar{y} = x\bar{y} + y\bar{x} = \mathcal{T}(x\bar{y}).$$

Proposition 2.1.3 tells us that an arbitrary element  $x \in \mathbb{O}$  satisfies the equation

$$x^{2} - T(x) \cdot x + N(x) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}} = 0.$$
 (2.26)

Finally, as we know, any octonion algebra  $\mathbb O$  is neither associative nor commutative. However, we do have the following.

**Lemma 2.4.4.** If 
$$x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$$
, then  $T(xy) = T(yx)$  and  $T(x(yz)) = T((xy)z)$ .

Note that although trace is 3-associative, it is not possible in this case to derive generalised associativity for the trace.

**Lemma 2.4.5.** For all non-zero  $C \in \mathbb{O}$  the map  $\mathbb{O} \to F$ ,  $x \mapsto T(Cx)$  is onto.

*Proof.* This is an F-linear map, so if it is not surjective, then it is a zero map. But if  $T(Cx) = \langle C, \bar{x} \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{O}$ , then C = 0 (a contradiction), since the map  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  is surjective.

### 2.5 Split octonion algebras

There is an important dichotomy with respect to the structure of an octonion algebra: either  $\mathbb{O}$  is a division algebra or there exists a non-zero isotropic octonion. In the latter case  $\mathbb{O}$  is called a *split octonion algebra*.

If  $\mathbb{O}$  is split, then the Witt index of N is 4 (section 1.8 in [?]). Moreover, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.5.1.** Over any given field F there is a unique split octonion algebra, up to isomorphism.

It turns out that any isotropic octonion left- and right-annihilates a 4-dimensional subspace of a split octonion algebra  $\mathbb{O}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.2.** Let  $\mathbb{O}$  be a split octonion algebra. Then for any isotropic  $x \in \mathbb{O}$ , the following is true:

$$\dim_F(\mathbb{O}x) = \dim_F(x\mathbb{O}) = 4. \tag{2.27}$$

Moreover,  $\mathbb{O}x$  is the set of octonions that are right-annihilated by  $\bar{x}$ , and  $x\mathbb{O}$  is the set of octonions that are left-annihilated by  $\bar{x}$ .

*Proof.* We prove the statement for right multiplication by x. The proof for left multiplication is essentially the same. The map

$$R_x: \mathbb{O} \to \mathbb{O}$$
$$y \mapsto yx$$

is an F-linear map with  $\operatorname{Im}(R_x) = \mathbb{O}x$ , which is a totally isotropic subspace of  $\mathbb{O}$ . Indeed,  $(yx)(\bar{x}\bar{y}) = y(x\bar{x})\bar{y} = 0$  for any  $y \in \mathbb{O}$ . Since N is non-singular and its polar form is non-degenerate, we conclude that  $\dim_F(\mathbb{O}x) \leq 4$ .

If  $x \neq 0$  and yx = 0, then y is isotropic for if that were not the case, we would get  $x = y^{-1}(yx) = y^{-1} \cdot 0 = 0$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $\dim_F(\ker(R_x)) \leq 4$ . The Rank–Nullity theorem implies that  $\dim_F(\mathbb{O}x) = \dim_F(\ker(R_x)) = 4$ .

## 2.6 A basis for the split octonions

In this section we assume that  $\mathbb{O}$  is a split octonion algebra. Theorem 2.5.1 allows us to choose a basis for  $\mathbb{O}$  and to use it in our further constructuions. Otherwise speaking, we can 'redefine' split octonion algebras in the following way.

**Definition 2.6.1.** If F is any field, then the split octonion algebra over F is defined as an 8-dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}_F$  with basis  $\{e_i \mid i \in \pm I\}$ , where

 $I = \{0, 1, \omega, \overline{\omega}\}, \pm I = \{\pm 0, \pm 1, \pm \omega, \pm \overline{\omega}\}$  and bilinear multiplication given by the following table.

	$e_{-1}$	$e_{\overline{\omega}}$	$e_{\omega}$	$e_0$	$e_{-0}$	$e_{-\omega}$	$e_{-\overline{\omega}}$	$e_1$
$e_{-1}$	0	0	0	0	$e_{-1}$	$e_{\overline{\omega}}$	$-e_{\omega}$	$-e_0$
$e_{\overline{\omega}}$	0	0	$-e_{-1}$	$e_{\overline{\omega}}$	0	0	$-e_{-0}$	$e_{-\omega}$
$e_{\omega}$	0	$e_{-1}$	0	$e_{\omega}$	0	$-e_{-0}$	0	$-e_{-\overline{\omega}}$
$e_0$	$e_{-1}$	0	0	$e_0$	0	$e_{-\omega}$	$e_{-\overline{\omega}}$	0
$e_{-0}$	0	$e_{\overline{\omega}}$	$e_{\omega}$	0	$e_{-0}$	0	0	$e_1$
$e_{-\omega}$	$-e_{\overline{\omega}}$	0	$-e_0$	0	$e_{-\omega}$	0	$e_1$	0
$e_{-\overline{\omega}}$	$e_{\omega}$	$-e_0$	0	0	$e_{-\overline{\omega}}$	$-e_1$	0	0
$e_1$	$-e_{-0}$	$-e_{-\omega}$	$e_{-\overline{\omega}}$	$e_1$	0	0	0	0

In other words, we get

(i) 
$$e_1 e_{\omega} = -e_{\omega} e_1 = e_{-\omega}$$
;

(ii) 
$$e_1e_0 = -e_0e_1 = e_1$$
;

(iii) 
$$e_{-1}e_1 = -e_0$$
 and  $e_0e_0 = e_0$ ;

and images under negating all subscripts (including 0), and multiplying all subscripts by  $\omega$ , where  $\omega^2 = \overline{\omega}$  and  $\omega \overline{\omega} = 1$ . All other products of basis vectors are 0. Thus,  $e_0$  and  $e_{-0}$  are orthogonal idempotents with  $e_0 + e_{-0} = 1_{\mathbb{O}}$ . Now, if  $x = \sum_{i \in \pm I} \lambda_i e_i$ , then the norm of x can be defined in the following way:

$$N(x) = \lambda_{-1}\lambda_1 + \lambda_{\overline{\omega}}\lambda_{-\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_{\omega}\lambda_{-\omega} + \lambda_0\lambda_{-0}. \tag{2.28}$$

**Lemma 2.6.2.** The norm N defined in (2.28) is multiplicative.

*Proof.* Let  $x = \sum_{i \in \pm I} \lambda_i e_i$  and  $y = \sum_{i \in \pm I} \mu_i e_i$  be two arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{O}$ . Their

product is given by

$$x \cdot y = (\lambda_0 \mu_{-0} - \lambda_{\overline{\omega}} \mu_{\omega} + \lambda_{\omega} \mu_{\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_0 \mu_{-1}) \cdot e_{-1}$$

$$+ (\lambda_{-1} \mu_{-\omega} + \lambda_{\overline{\omega}} \mu_0 + \lambda_{-0} \mu_{\omega} - \lambda_{-\omega} \mu_{-1}) \cdot e_{\overline{\omega}}$$

$$+ (\lambda_{-\overline{\omega}} \mu_{-1} + \lambda_{-1} \mu_{\omega} - \lambda_{-1} \mu_{-\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_{\omega} \mu_0) \cdot e_{\omega}$$

$$+ (\lambda_0 \mu_0 - \lambda_{-\omega} \mu_{\omega} - \lambda_{-\overline{\omega}} \mu_{\overline{\omega}} - \lambda_{-1} \mu_1) \cdot e_0$$

$$+ (\lambda_{-0} \mu_{-0} - \lambda_1 \mu_{-1} - \lambda_{\overline{\omega}} \mu_{-\overline{\omega}} - \lambda_{\omega} \mu_{-\omega}) \cdot e_{-0}$$

$$+ (\lambda_0 \mu_{-\omega} - \lambda_1 \mu_{\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_{-\omega} \mu_{-0} + \lambda_{\overline{\omega}} \mu_1) \cdot e_{-\omega}$$

$$+ (\lambda_{-\overline{\omega}} \mu_{-0} + \lambda_1 \mu_{\omega} - \lambda_{\omega} \mu_1 + \lambda_0 \mu_{-\overline{\omega}}) \cdot e_{-\overline{\omega}}$$

$$+ (\lambda_{-0} \mu_1 + \lambda_{-\omega} \mu_{-\overline{\omega}} - \lambda_{-\overline{\omega}} \mu_{-\omega} + \lambda_1 \mu_0) \cdot e_1.$$

From this it is straightforward to derive

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N}(x\cdot y) &= (\lambda_0\mu_{-0} - \lambda_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_\omega + \lambda_\omega\mu_{\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_0\mu_{-1}) \cdot (\lambda_{-0}\mu_1 + \lambda_{-\omega}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} - \lambda_{-\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-\omega} + \lambda_1\mu_0) \\ &\quad + (\lambda_{-1}\mu_{-\omega} + \lambda_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_0 + \lambda_{-0}\mu_\omega - \lambda_{-\omega}\mu_{-1}) \cdot (\lambda_{-\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-0} + \lambda_1\mu_\omega - \lambda_\omega\mu_1 + \lambda_0\mu_{-\overline{\omega}}) \\ &\quad + (\lambda_{-\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-1} + \lambda_{-1}\mu_\omega - \lambda_{-1}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_\omega\mu_0) \cdot (\lambda_0\mu_{-\omega} - \lambda_1\mu_{\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_{-\omega}\mu_{-0} + \lambda_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_1) \\ &\quad + (\lambda_0\mu_0 - \lambda_{-\omega}\mu_\omega - \lambda_{-\overline{\omega}}\mu_{\overline{\omega}} - \lambda_{-1}\mu_1) \cdot (\lambda_{-0}\mu_{-0} - \lambda_1\mu_{-1} - \lambda_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} - \lambda_\omega\mu_{-\omega}) \\ &\quad = \lambda_{-1}\lambda_1 \cdot (\mu_{-0}\mu_0 + \mu_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} + \mu_\omega\mu_{-\omega} + \mu_0\mu_{-0}) \\ &\quad + \lambda_{\overline{\omega}}\lambda_{\overline{\omega}} \cdot (\mu_{-0}\mu_0 + \mu_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} + \mu_\omega\mu_{-\omega} + \mu_0\mu_{-0}) \\ &\quad + \lambda_\omega\lambda_{-\omega} \cdot (\mu_{-0}\mu_0 + \mu_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} + \mu_\omega\mu_{-\omega} + \mu_0\mu_{-0}) \\ &\quad + \lambda_0\lambda_{-0} \cdot (\mu_{-0}\mu_0 + \mu_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} + \mu_\omega\mu_{-\omega} + \mu_0\mu_{-0}) \\ &\quad = (\lambda_{-0}\lambda_0 + \lambda_{\overline{\omega}}\lambda_{-\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_\omega\lambda_{-\omega} + \lambda_0\lambda_{-0}) \cdot (\mu_{-0}\mu_0 + \mu_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} + \mu_\omega\mu_{-\omega} + \mu_0\mu_{-0}) \\ &\quad = \mathbf{N}(x) \cdot \mathbf{N}(y). \end{split}$$

It follows that  $\mathbb{O}$  is indeed a composition algebra. Let x and y be the same as

in Lemma 2.6.2. We find

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \mathcal{N}(x+y) - \mathcal{N}(x) - \mathcal{N}(y)$$

$$= (\lambda_{-1} + \mu_{-1}) \cdot (\lambda_1 + \mu_1) + (\lambda_{\overline{\omega}} + \mu_{\overline{\omega}}) \cdot (\lambda_{-\overline{\omega}} + \mu_{-\overline{\omega}})$$

$$+ (\lambda_{\omega} + \mu_{\omega}) \cdot (\lambda_{-\omega} + \mu_{-\omega}) + (\lambda_0 + \mu_0) \cdot (\lambda_{-0} + \mu_{-0})$$

$$- (\lambda_{-1}\lambda_1 + \lambda_{\overline{\omega}}\lambda_{-\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_{\omega}\lambda_{-\omega} + \lambda_0\lambda_{-0})$$

$$- (\mu_{-1}\mu_1 + \mu_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} + \mu_{\omega}\mu_{-\omega} + \mu_0\mu_{-0})$$

$$= (\lambda_{-1}\mu_1 + \lambda_1\mu_{-1}) + (\lambda_{\overline{\omega}}\mu_{-\overline{\omega}} + \lambda_{-\overline{\omega}}\mu_{\overline{\omega}})$$

$$+ (\lambda_{\omega}\mu_{-\omega} + \lambda_{-\omega}\mu_{\omega}) + (\lambda_0\mu_{-0} + \lambda_{-0}\mu_0).$$
(2.29)

Thus, the trace of x becomes

$$T(x) = \langle x, 1_{\mathbb{O}} \rangle = \lambda_0 + \lambda_{-0}. \tag{2.30}$$

Note that  $N(e_i) = 0$  for  $i \neq \pm 0$ , so  $\mathbb{O}$  is indeed a split octonion algebra. Finally, the involution  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  is the extension by linearity of

$$e_i \mapsto -e_i \ (i \neq \pm 0), \ e_0 \leftrightarrow e_{-0}.$$
 (2.31)

#### 2.7 Centre of an octonion algebra

We define the centre of an octonion algebra  $\mathbb{O}$  as

$$Z(\mathbb{O}) = \{ c \in \mathbb{O} \mid cx = xc \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{O} \}.$$
 (2.32)

In the literature, for example, in [?], it is sometimes required that central elements also "associate" with all other elements. We do not require this in our definition, however, it will be obvious that we have this property free of charge.

**Proposition 2.7.1.** The centre of an octonion algebra  $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}_F$  is  $F \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}}$ .

This is essentially Proposition 1.9.1 in [?], however, we need to emphasise that in the proof of this proposition the following result is used without mentioning.

**Lemma 2.7.2.** Let K be an extension field of F and let A be an F-algebra with centre Z(A). Then  $Z(A \otimes_F K) = Z(A) \otimes_F K$ .

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward. Pick an arbitrary element  $z = \sum_i (a_i \otimes e_i)$  in  $Z(A \otimes_F K)$ . Here we may assume that the elements  $e_i \in K$  are linearly independent, i.e. they form a (part of) basis for K. Since z is central, in particular it must commute with the elements of the form  $a \otimes 1$ . This means

$$0 = z(a \otimes 1) - (a \otimes 1)z = \sum_{i} ((a_i a) \otimes e_i) - \sum_{i} ((aa_i) \otimes e_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i} ((a_i a - aa_i) \otimes e_i).$$

This holds if and only if  $a_i a = a a_i$ , i.e.  $a_i \in Z(A)$ .

Therefore, any octonion algebra is central, and it follows from Proposition 2.7.1 that central elements "associate" with all other elements.

**Proposition 2.7.3.** If an octonion  $u \in \mathbb{O}$  satisfies

$$(xy)u = x(yu) (2.33)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$ , then  $u \in F \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}}$ . Condition (2.33) is equivalent to the condition (xu)y = x(uy) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$ , and also to (ux)y = u(xy) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$ .

Corollary 2.7.4. Suppose that  $u \in \mathbb{O}$  is an invertible octonion. Then

$$(A\bar{u})(uB) = N(u)AB \tag{2.34}$$

holds for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$  if and only if  $u \in F \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}}$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 2.7.3 tells us that if (xu)y = x(uy) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$ , then  $u \in \mathbb{O}$ . Now put  $x = A\bar{u}$  and y = B; using this together with the alternative laws, we get the result.

Conversely, if  $u \in F \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}}$ , then obviously the statement holds.

## Chapter 3

## Groups of type E<sub>6</sub>

#### 3.1 Albert vectors

#### 3.1.1 Albert space $\mathbb{J}$

For the further discussion we consider  $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}_F$  to be an arbitrary octonion algebra over the field F. In the results which require  $\mathbb{O}$  to be split, we specify this explicitly.

Define the Albert space  $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{J}_F$  to be the 27-dimensional vector space spanned by the elements of the form

$$(a, b, c \mid A, B, C) = \begin{bmatrix} a & C & \overline{B} \\ \overline{C} & b & A \\ B & \overline{A} & c \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $a, b, c, A, B, C \in \mathbb{O}$  and furthermore  $a, b, c \in \langle 1_{\mathbb{O}} \rangle$ . Now, an Albert vector is an element of  $\mathbb{J}$ . To denote certain subspaces of  $\mathbb{J}$  we use the following intuitive notation. The 10-dimensional subspace spanned by the Albert vectors of the form  $(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C)$  is denoted  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ , while the 8-space spanned by the vectors  $(0, 0, 0 \mid A, 0, 0)$  is denoted  $\mathbb{J}_{8}^{A}$  and so on. That is, the subscript determines the dimension and the superscript shows which of the six 'coördinates' we use to span the corresponding subspace. Of course, this notation is by no means complete as it does not allow us to denote any possible subspace of  $\mathbb{J}$ . If this is the case, we specify the

spanning vectors and denote the corresponding space in some other manner.

Suppose  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C) \in \mathbb{J}$  is an arbitrary Albert vector. We define the quadratic form Q on  $\mathbb{J}$  via

$$Q(X) = A\overline{A} + B\overline{B} + C\overline{C} - ab - ac - bc.$$
(3.2)

As usual, this can be polarised to obtain the inner product

$$B(X,Y) = T(A_1\overline{A}_2 + B_1\overline{B}_2 + C_1\overline{C}_2)$$
$$- (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1) - (a_1c_2 + a_2c_1) - (b_1c_2 + b_2c_1), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $X = (a_1, b_1, c_1 \mid A_1, B_1, C_1)$  and  $Y = (a_2, b_2, c_2 \mid A_2, B_2, C_2)$ .

#### 3.1.2 Dickson–Freudenthal determinant and $SE_6(F)$

Lacking the associativity in  $\mathbb{O}$  we also need to be slightly careful when we calculate the determinant of X. For these purposes we define the Dickson–Freudenthal determinant as

$$\Delta(X) = abc - aA\overline{A} - bB\overline{B} - cC\overline{C} + T(ABC). \tag{3.4}$$

This is a cubic form on  $\mathbb{J}$  and it can be shown that it is equivalent to the original Dickson's cubic form [?] used to construct the group of type  $E_6$ .

We define the group  $SE_6(F)$  or  $SE_6(F, \mathbb{O})$  if we want to specify the octonion algebra, to be the group of all F-linear maps on  $\mathbb{J}$  preserving the Dickson–Freudenthal determinant. If  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ , then we denote this by  $SE_6(q)$ . The group  $E_6(F)$  is defined as the quotient of  $SE_6(F)$  by its centre. Suppose M is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix written over  $\mathbb{O}$ . If M is written over any sociable subalgebra of  $\mathbb{O}$ , then for an element  $X \in \mathbb{J}$  the mapping  $X \mapsto \overline{M}^\top X M$  makes sense. Indeed, every entry in the matrix  $\overline{M}^\top X M$  is a sum of the terms of the form  $m_1 x m_2$ , where  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  belong to the same sociable subalgebra, and so  $(m_1 x) m_2 = m_1(x m_2)$ . Furthermore, the map  $X \mapsto \overline{M}^\top X M$  is obviously F-linear:

$$\overline{M}^{\top}(\lambda X + \mu Y)M = \lambda(M^{\top}XM) + \mu(M^{\top}YM).$$

#### 3.2 Some elements of $SE_6(F)$

Througout this section, let  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  to be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{J}_F$ . We encode some of the elements of  $\mathrm{SE}_6(F)$  by the  $3 \times 3$  matrices written over social subalgebras of  $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}_F$ . As we mentioned before, if such a matrix M is written over any sociable subalgebra of  $\mathbb{O}$ , then the expression  $\overline{M}^\top XM$  makes sense. If two matrices M and N are written over the same sociable subalgebra, then we have enough associativity to see that the action by the product MN is the same as the product of the actions, that is

$$(\overline{N}\overline{M})^{\top}X(MN) = \overline{N}^{\top}(\overline{M}^{\top}XM)N. \tag{3.5}$$

In general, the action by the product of two matrices is not defined whereas the product of the actions still is. Note that also  $-I_3$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{J}$ .

We first notice that the elements

$$\delta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.6)

preserve the Dickson-Freudenthal determinant. Their actions are given by

$$\delta: (a, b, c \mid A, B, C) \mapsto (b, a, c \mid \overline{B}, \overline{A}, \overline{C}), 
\tau: (a, b, c \mid A, B, C) \mapsto (c, a, b \mid C, A, B).$$
(3.7)

Now let x be any octonion and consider the matrices

$$M_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M'_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M''_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3.8)

Note that the elements  $M'_x$ ,  $M''_x$  can be obtained from  $M_x$  by applying the triality element  $\tau$ , so to show that all three families described above preserve the Dickson–Freudenthal determinant, we only need to consider one of them.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** The elements  $M_x$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{O}$  is any octonion, preserve the

Dickson-Freudenthal determinant, and hence they encode the elements of  $SE_6(F)$ .

*Proof.* The action of  $M_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}$  is given by

$$M_x: (a,b,c \mid A,B,C) \mapsto (a,b+aN(x)+T(\bar{x}C),c \mid A+\bar{x}\overline{B},B,C+ax).$$

The individual terms in the Dickson–Freudenthal determinant are being mapped in the following way:

$$abc \mapsto abc + a^{2}cN(x) + ac T(\bar{x}C),$$

$$-aA\bar{A} \mapsto -aA\bar{A} - a T(ABx) - aN(x)N(B),$$

$$-bB\bar{B} \mapsto -bB\bar{B} - aN(x)N(B) - T(\bar{x}C)B\bar{B},$$

$$-cC\bar{C} \mapsto -cC\bar{C} - ac T(\bar{x}C) - a^{2}cN(x),$$

$$T(ABC) \mapsto T(ABC) + B\bar{B} T(\bar{x}C) + 2aN(x)N(B) + a T(ABx).$$

It is visibly obvious now that all the necessary terms on the right-hand side cancel out, so the result follows.  $\Box$ 

It is obvious enough that we can also consider the transposes

$$L_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L'_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L''_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.9)

for an arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{O}$ . A similar straightforward calculation as in Lemma 3.2.1 can be performed to show that these are also the elements of  $SE_6(F)$ . Further in this thesis we will be able to show that the actions of the elements  $M_x$ ,  $M'_x$ ,  $M'_x$ ,  $M'_x$ ,  $L_x$ ,  $L'_x$  and  $L''_x$  generate the whole group  $SE_6(F)$ .

Finally, we consider the elements of the form

$$P_{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{u} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P'_{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{u} \end{bmatrix}, \quad P''_{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

where u is an octonion of norm one. The action of the element  $P_u$  on  $\mathbb{J}$  is given by

$$P_u: (a,b,c \mid A,B,C) \mapsto (a,b,c \mid uA,Bu,\bar{u}C\bar{u}). \tag{3.11}$$

It is a matter of straightforward computation to show that the elements  $P_u$  preserve the Dickson-Freudenthal determinant. Indeed, we have

$$abc \mapsto abc,$$

$$aA\overline{A} \mapsto a(uA)(\overline{A}\overline{u}) = aN(uA) = aN(A).N(u) = aA\overline{A},$$

$$bB\overline{B} \mapsto b(Bu)(\overline{u}\overline{B}) = bN(Bu) = bB\overline{B},$$

$$cC\overline{C} \mapsto c(\overline{u}C\overline{u})(u\overline{C}u) = cN(\overline{u}C\overline{u}) = cN(C).N(u)^2 = cC\overline{C},$$

$$(3.12)$$

and for the last term we get

$$T((uA)(Bu)(\bar{u}C\bar{u})) = T((\bar{u}C\bar{u})(uA)(Bu)) = T((\bar{u}(C(\bar{u}(uA))))(Bu))$$

$$= T((\bar{u}(CA))(Bu)) = T((Bu)(\bar{u}(CA))) = T(B(u(\bar{u}(CA)))) = T(B(CA))$$

$$= T((BC)A) = T(ABC). \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand, it is not difficult to see that  $P_u = M_{u-1} \cdot L_1 \cdot M_{u^{-1}-1} \cdot L_{-u}$ , so the fact that the matrices  $P_u$  preserve the determinant follows from the calculations already done for the elements  $M_x$  and  $L_x$ . We also notice that the elements  $P_u$  preserve the quadratic form  $\mathbb{Q}_8^C$  defined on  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$  via

$$Q_8^C((0,0,0 \mid 0,0,C)) = C\overline{C}. \tag{3.14}$$

We finish this section by showing that the action of the elements  $P_u$  on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ , as u ranges through all the octonions of norm one, is that of  $\Omega_8(F, \mathbb{Q}_8^C)$  when  $\mathbb{O}$  is split.

**Lemma 3.2.2.** If  $\mathbb{O}$  is split, the actions of the elements  $P_u$  on  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$ , as u ranges through all the octonions of norm one, generate a group of type  $\Omega_8^+(F)$ . The action on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  is also that of  $\Omega_8^+(F)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the action on the last octonionic 'coördinate', i.e.  $C \mapsto \bar{u}C\bar{u}$ . We will show now that this map can be represented as a product of two reflections. To avoid any predicaments in characteristic 2, we notice that since  $\langle x, y \rangle = T(x\bar{y})$ , we

get

$$\frac{2\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\mathcal{N}(y)}.$$
(3.15)

Now, the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to an arbitrary element  $v \in \mathbb{O}$  is the map

$$r_v: x \mapsto x - \frac{\mathbf{T}(x\bar{u})}{\mathbf{N}(u)} \cdot u = x - \frac{x\bar{u} + u\bar{x}}{\mathbf{N}(u)} \cdot u = x - \frac{(x\bar{u})u - u\bar{x}u}{\mathbf{N}(u)} = -\frac{u\bar{x}u}{\mathbf{N}(u)}, \quad (3.16)$$

It is easy to see now that the given action of  $P_u$  on  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$  is the composition  $r_u \circ r_1$ . As u ranges through all octonions of norm one, we get the action of  $\Omega_8(F, \mathbb{Q}_8^C)$  on  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$ . Since we assume that  $\mathbb{O}$  is split, the form  $\mathbb{Q}_8$  is of plus type, so we may denote this group as  $\Omega_8^+(F)$ . When acting on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ , the form  $ab - C\overline{C}$  is preserved, so we again get the action of  $\Omega_8^+(F)$ .

#### 3.3 The white points

#### 3.3.1 The mixed form and the white vectors

Suppose  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  and  $Y = (d, e, f \mid D, E, F)$  are the arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{J}$ . Define the mixed form M(Y, X) as

$$M(Y,X) = bcd + ace + abf - dA\overline{A} - eB\overline{B} - fC\overline{C}$$
$$- a(D\overline{A} + A\overline{D}) - b(E\overline{B} + B\overline{E}) - c(F\overline{C} + C\overline{F})$$
$$+ T(DBC + ECA + FAB). \quad (3.17)$$

Note that if  $F \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ , then M(X,Y) can be obtained from the Dickson–Freudenthal determinant, for we have

$$M(X,Y) = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)} \Delta(X + \alpha Y)$$
$$-\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \Delta(X + Y) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \Delta(X) - (\alpha + 1) \Delta(Y), \quad (3.18)$$

for any  $\alpha \notin \{0, 1\}$ .

We colour the non-zero Albert vectors in  $\mathbb{J}$  according to the following rules.

#### **Definition 3.3.1.** A non-zero Albert vector $X \in \mathbb{J}$ is called

- (i) white if M(Y,X) = 0 for all  $Y \in \mathbb{J}$ ;
- (ii) grey if  $\Delta(X) = 0$  and there exists  $Y \in \mathbb{J}$  such that  $M(Y, X) \neq 0$ ;
- (iii) black if  $\Delta(X) \neq 0$  and X is not white.

A white/grey/black point is a 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{J}$  spanned by a white/grey/black vector.

For example, the vector  $(0,0,1\mid 0,0,0)$  is white, because if Y is an arbitrary Albert vector, then M(Y,X)=0. Similarly,  $(\lambda,1,1\mid 0,0,0)$ , where  $\lambda\neq 0$ , is black, since in this case  $\Delta(X)=\lambda\neq 0$ , and it is certainly not white as there exists  $Y=(a,b,c\mid A,B,C)$  such that  $M(Y,X)\neq 0$ :

$$M(Y,X) = \lambda(bc - A\overline{A}) + (ac - B\overline{B}) + (ab - C\overline{C}). \tag{3.19}$$

Taking, for instance,  $Y = (0, 1, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$ , we get  $M(Y, X) = \lambda \neq 0$ . Finally,  $(0, 1, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$  is grey as  $\Delta(X) = 0$  and for  $Y = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  the value of M is given by

$$M(Y,X) = (ac - B\overline{B}) + (ab - C\overline{C}), \tag{3.20}$$

so we may take  $Y = (1, 1, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0)$  to get  $M(Y, X) = 1 \neq 0$ . The terms white, grey and black were introduced by Cohen and Cooperstein [?]. In the paper by Aschbacher [?] they are called 'singular', 'brilliant non-singular' and 'dark' respectively. Jacobson [?] uses the terms 'rank 1', 'rank 2' and 'rank 3'.

It is clear that the action of  $SE_6(F)$  preserves the colour, except possibly in case  $F = \mathbb{F}_2$ , when white and grey vectors may be intermixed. Later we shall see that  $SE_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is also colour-preserving.

Let  $X=(a,b,c\mid A,B,C)$  be an arbitrary white vector. A white vector W determines the quadratic form  $\Delta(X+W)-\Delta(X)=M(W,X)$  on  $\mathbb{J}$ . Its radical is 17-dimensional and for any non-zero  $\lambda\in F$  we have  $\Delta(X+\lambda W)-\Delta(X)=\lambda(\Delta(X+W)-\Delta(X))$ , so the form determined by  $\lambda W$  has the same radical. Thus, the 17-dimensional space is determined by the white point  $\langle W \rangle$ .

For example, for the white vector  $(0,0,1\mid 0,0,0)$  the quadratic form is  $ab-C\overline{C}$ , whose radical is  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . For the vector  $(0,0,0\mid 0,0,D)$  with  $D\neq 0\neq D\overline{D}$  the form is  $\widehat{Q}(X)=\mathrm{T}(D(AB-c\overline{C}))$  with  $\widehat{B}(X,Y)=\mathrm{T}(D(AB'+A'B-c\overline{C}'-c'\overline{C}))$  being its polar form, where  $Y=(a',b',c'\mid A',B',C')$ . Now X is in the radical of  $\widehat{Q}$  if and only if  $\widehat{Q}(X)=0$  and  $\widehat{B}(X,Y)=0$  for all Y. Taking  $Y=(a',b',1\mid 0,0,0)$  gives us  $\mathrm{T}(D\overline{C})=0$  and taking  $Y=(a',b',0\mid 0,B',0)$  gives us  $\mathrm{T}(DAB')=\mathrm{T}((DA)B')=0$  for all B', so DA=0. If  $Y=(a',b',0\mid A',0,0)$  then  $\mathrm{T}(D(A'B))=\mathrm{T}((BD)A')=0$  for all A', so we get BD=0. Finally, setting  $Y=(a',b',0\mid 0,0,C')$  gives us  $\mathrm{T}(cD\overline{C}')=0$  for all  $\overline{C}'$ , so cD=0, and thus c=0. Therefore the radical is

$$\{(a, b, 0 \mid A, B, C) \mid DA = BD = T(D\overline{C}) = 0\}.$$
 (3.21)

To obtain 17-spaces determined by other "coördinate" white vectors we apply a suitable power of  $\tau$  to these two.

Next, we derive a system of conditions for an arbitrary vector  $X \in \mathbb{J}$  to be white.

**Lemma 3.3.2.** An Albert vector  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  is white if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$A\overline{A} = bc,$$

$$B\overline{B} = ca,$$

$$C\overline{C} = ab,$$

$$AB = c\overline{C},$$

$$BC = a\overline{A},$$

$$CA = b\overline{B}.$$

$$(3.22)$$

If X is white, then  $\Delta(X) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Y = (d, e, f \mid D, E, F)$ . We rewrite M(Y, X) in the form

$$\begin{split} M(Y,X) &= (bc - A\overline{A})d + (ac - B\overline{B})e + (ab - C\overline{C})f \\ &+ \mathsf{T}(D(BC - a\overline{A}) + Q(CA - b\overline{B}) + R(AB - c\overline{C})). \end{split}$$

It is visibly clear now that if all the conditions in the statement are satisfied, then M(Y,X)=0. Now, taking  $Y=(1,0,0\mid 0,0,0)$  forces  $bc-A\overline{A}=0$ . Similarly, we may take  $Y=(0,1,0\mid 0,0,0)$  to get  $ac-B\overline{B}=0$  and, say,  $Y=(0,0,0\mid D,0,0)$  to

obtain  $T(D(BC - a\overline{A})) = 0$  which forces  $BC - a\overline{A} = 0$  as  $D \in \mathbb{O}$  can be arbitrary. The other conditions are proved similarly.

Finally, if X is white, then we get  $T(ABC) = T(aA\overline{A}) = T(abc) = 2abc$ . Also  $bB\overline{B} = bca$ , and so on. Overall we get

$$\Delta(X) = abc - abc - bca - cab + 2abc = 0$$

as required. This completes the proof.

#### 3.3.2 Action of $SE_6(F)$ on white points

In this thesis we will be mostly interested in the action of  $SE_6(F)$  on the white points.

Consider  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  and  $Y = (0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$ . Then we find  $\Delta(X + Y) - \Delta(X) = ab - C\overline{C}$ , which is a quadratic form with 17-dimensional radical in  $\mathbb{J}$ . In case when  $Y = (0, 1, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$  we get  $\Delta(X + Y) - \Delta(X) = a + ab + ac - B\overline{B} - C\overline{C}$ . If  $F = \mathbb{F}_2$ , we have  $a^2 = a$ , so the latter form is quadratic with 9-dimensional radical. This shows that  $(0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$  and  $(0, 1, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$  are in different orbits of the isometry group for any field.

Finally, we investigate the orbits of  $SE_6(F)$  on Albert vectors. One of our main goals is to show that  $SE_6(F)$  acts transitively on white points.

**Lemma 3.3.3.** Suppose X is an arbitrary Albert vector. Then X can be mapped under the action of  $SE_6(F)$  to a vector of the form  $(a, b, c \mid 0, 0, 0)$  with  $(a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ . In case when  $\mathbb{O}$  is split, X can be mapped to precisely one of the following:

- (i)  $(0,0,1 \mid 0,0,0)$ , a white vector;
- (ii)  $(0,1,1 \mid 0,0,0)$ , a grey vector; or
- (iii)  $(\lambda, 1, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$  where  $\lambda \neq 0$ , a black vector.

In the last case there is one orbit for each non-zero value of  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* These vectors are indeed in the different orbits, except possibly for the white and grey vectors, since they have different values of  $\Delta$ . We have already shown that these particular white and grey vectors are in different orbits in case of any field.

First, we show that each orbit of  $SE_6(F)$  contains an Albert vector of the form  $(a, b, c \mid 0, 0, 0)$ . Suppose that  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  is non-zero. If (a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0), then after applying the triality element  $\tau$  a suitable number of times we may assume  $C \neq 0$ . Consider the action of the element  $L_x$  on the Albert vector  $(0, 0, 0 \mid A, B, C)$ :

$$L_x: (0,0,0 \mid A,B,C) \mapsto (T(Cx),0,0 \mid A,B+\overline{A}x,C),$$

so we are allowed to choose orbit representatives with  $(a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ .

As before, using a suitable power of  $\tau$ , we may assume  $c \neq 0$ . Now we apply the element  $M_x$  with  $x = -c^{-1}B$  to X, which gives us the vector of the form  $(a, b, c \mid A, 0, C)$ , where the 'coördinate' c stays the same, while a, b, A, C are possibly different. Next, the vector  $(a, b, c \mid A, 0, C)$  is being mapped to the vector of the form  $(a, b, c \mid 0, 0, C)$  under the action of  $L_x$  with  $x = -c^{-1}A$ , where the value of c stays the same while the values of a, b, C may be adjusted.

If a = b = 0,  $C \neq 0$ , then we apply the element  $L_x$  with x such that  $T(Cx) \neq 0$  to get the vector of the form  $(T(Cx), 0, c \mid 0, 0, C)$ , i.e. we may assume that  $a \neq 0$ . With the latter assumption we apply the element  $M_x$  with  $x = -a^{-1}C$  to  $(a, b, c \mid 0, 0, C)$  to get the vector of the form  $(a, b, c \mid 0, 0, 0)$  with the value of b being adjusted.

Finally, we use the elements  $\tau$ ,  $P_u$  and  $P''_v$  to standardise the vector of the form  $(a, b, c \mid 0, 0, 0)$  to one the forms in the statement.

Note that the last part of the proof of this lemma used the fact that the map  $N: \mathbb{O} \to F$  is onto, which is the case when  $\mathbb{O}$  is split. However, this is not true in any octonion algebra, which possibly leads to a bigger number of orbits. A vector of the form  $(a, b, c \mid 0, 0, 0)$  is white if and only if precisely one of the a, b, c is non-zero, so we get the transitive action of  $SE_6(F)$  on white points regardless of the chosen octonion algebra.

Furthermore, we used the fact that N is a non-singular quadratic form on  $\mathbb{O}$ , i.e. provided  $C \neq 0$ , the map  $x \mapsto T(Cx)$  is surjective. This should be true for any octonion algebra.

Later we will use the transitivity on white points to calculate the group order in case  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$  by finding the stabiliser of a white point and calculating the number of white points in case of a finite field.

**Lemma 3.3.4.** Let  $\mathbb{O}$  be an arbitrary octonion algebra over F. Let  $X \in \mathbb{J}$  be white and let  $\mathbb{J}_{17}$  be the 17-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{J}$  determined by X. The stabiliser in  $SE_6(F)$  of  $\langle X \rangle$ , and even of X, is transitive on the white points spanned by the vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_{17} \setminus \langle X \rangle$  (there are no such white points when  $\mathbb{O}$  is non-split). It is also transitive on the white points spanned by the vectors in  $\mathbb{J} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{17}$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality assume  $X = (0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$ . As we know, the white point  $\langle X \rangle$  determines the 17-space  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . We also note that X is stabilised by the actions of the elements  $M_x$ ,  $L_x$ ,  $M'_x$  and  $L''_x$ . Those act on the elements in  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$  in the following way:

$$M_{x}: (0,0,c \mid A,B,0) \mapsto (0,0,c \mid A + \bar{x}\bar{B},B,0),$$

$$L_{x}: (0,0,c \mid A,B,0) \mapsto (0,0,c \mid A,B + \bar{A}x,0),$$

$$M'_{x}: (0,0,c \mid A,B,0) \mapsto (0,0,c + T(\bar{x}A) \mid A,B,0),$$

$$L''_{x}: (0,0,c \mid A,B,0) \mapsto (0,0,c + T(Bx) \mid A,B,0).$$

It follows that a general white vector  $(0,0,c\mid A,B,0)\in\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}\setminus\langle X\rangle$  can easily be mapped to  $(0,0,0\mid A,B,0)$  using the action of  $M'_x$  or  $L''_x$  for some suitable  $x\in\mathbb{O}$ . A vector  $(0,0,0\mid A,B,0)$  is white if  $(A,B)\neq (0,0)$  and  $A\overline{A}=B\overline{B}=AB=0$ . It is obvious enough that  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}\setminus\langle X\rangle$  is empty if  $\mathbb O$  is not split, so we only need to show transitivity on the corresponding white points in case when  $\mathbb O$  is split.

If B=0 then evidently  $A\neq 0$  and so we can apply the duality element  $\delta$  to obtain a white vector of the form  $(0,0,0\mid A,B,0)$  with  $B\neq 0$ . If now  $A\neq 0$ , we act by  $M_x$  to obtain  $(0,0,0\mid A+\bar{x}\bar{B},B,0)$ . Our aim is to show that there exists such  $x\in \mathbb{O}$  that  $A+\bar{x}\bar{B}=0$ . Denote  $U=\{y\in \mathbb{O}\mid \bar{y}B=0\}$ . Since for all  $x\in \mathbb{O}$  we have  $(\bar{x}\bar{B})B=\bar{x}(\bar{B}B)=0$ , we conclude that  $\mathbb{O}\bar{B}\leqslant U$ . Furthermore, we know that both subspaces are four-dimensional, so  $\mathbb{O}\bar{B}=U$ . As AB=0, we have  $A\in U$ , and therefore there exists  $y=\bar{x}\bar{B}\in U$  such that A+y=0.

Now, the elements  $P_u''$  with N(u)=1 act on the Albert vectors of the form  $(0,0,0\mid 0,B,0)$  as

$$(0,0,0 \mid 0,B,0) \mapsto (0,0,0 \mid 0,\bar{u}B\bar{u},0),$$

and as u ranges through all the octonions of norm 1 the action generated is that of

 $\Omega_8^+(F)$  which in case when  $\mathbb{O}$  is split is transitive on isotropic vectors, i.e. those with  $B\overline{B} = 0$ . It follows that  $SE_6(F)$  is indeed transitive on the white points spanned by the vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB} \setminus \langle X \rangle$ .

To show the transitivity on white points spanned by the vectors in  $\mathbb{J} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$  we prove that every white point spanned by a white vector  $(a, b, c \mid A, B, C) \in \mathbb{J} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$  can be mapped to the white point spanned by  $(1, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0)$ . Note that we require  $(a, b, C) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ .

In case (a,b)=(0,0) we choose  $x \in \mathbb{O}$  such that  $T(Cx) \neq 0$  and apply the element  $L_x$ , which maps our vector  $(0,0,c \mid A,B,C)$  to  $(T(Cx),0,c \mid A,B+\overline{A}x,C)$ . If, on the other hand, a=0 and  $b\neq 0$ , we apply  $\delta$ . Hence, we may assume that we deal with a vector  $(a,b,c \mid A,B,C)$  with  $a\neq 0$ . Take  $x=-a^{-1}C$  and act by the element  $M_x$ :

$$M_x: (a,b,c \mid A,B,C) \mapsto (a,b+aa^{-2}C\overline{C}-T(a^{-1}\overline{C}C),c \mid A-a^{-1}\overline{C}\overline{B},B,0).$$

The whiteness conditions imply  $C\overline{C} = ab$  and  $BC = a\overline{A}$ , so additionally we get  $b + aa^{-2}C\overline{C} - T(a^{-1}\overline{C}C) = b + b - T(b) = 0$  and  $A - a^{-1}\overline{C}\overline{B} = A - A = 0$ . This means that the given  $M_x$  acts on the elements of  $\mathbb{J} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$  in the following way:

$$M_x: (a, b, c \mid A, B, C) \mapsto (a, 0, c \mid 0, B, 0),$$

where  $a \neq 0$ . It is still white, so  $B\overline{B} = ca$ . Finally, we act by  $L''_y$  with  $y = -a^{-1}\overline{B}$ :

$$L''_{u}: (a, 0, c \mid 0, B, 0) \mapsto (a, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0),$$

where  $a \neq 0$ . In other words, any white point spanned by an element in  $\mathbb{J} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$  can be mapped by the action of the stabiliser of  $\langle X \rangle$  to the white point spanned by  $(1,0,0 \mid 0,0,0)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.5.** The action of  $SE_6(F)$  on white points is primitive.

*Proof.* From the previous Lemma it follows that if  $\mathbb{O}$  is non-split, then the action of  $SE_6(F)$  on white points in 2-transitive and hence primitive. It remains to prove the statement in case when  $\mathbb{O}$  is split.

Suppose  $X, Y \in \mathbb{J}$  are white vectors such that  $\langle X \rangle \neq \langle Y \rangle$ . Define  $\sim$  to be an  $\mathrm{SE}_6(F)$ -congruence on white points and let  $\langle X \rangle \sim \langle Y \rangle$ . Our aim is to show that this generates the universal congruence. Since for  $\mathbb{O}$  split the action on the white vectors is transitive, we may assume  $X = (0,0,1 \mid 0,0,0)$ . As mentioned in the beginning of this section,  $\langle X \rangle$  determines the 17-dimensional space  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . We now distinguish two cases.

If  $Y \in \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ , then acting by the stabiliser of  $\langle X \rangle$  we get  $\langle X \rangle \sim \langle \widehat{Y} \rangle$  for all white  $\widehat{Y} \in \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . Take  $\widehat{Y} = (0,0,0 \mid e_0,0,0) \in \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$  and  $\widehat{X} = (0,1,0 \mid 0,0,0) \notin \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . As we see from the earlier calculations, both X and  $\widehat{X}$  are in the 17-space determined by  $\langle \widehat{Y} \rangle$ . Acting by the stabiliser of  $\langle \widehat{Y} \rangle$  we map  $\langle X \rangle$  to  $\langle \widehat{X} \rangle$ , and so ensure  $\langle \widehat{Y} \rangle \sim \langle \widehat{X} \rangle$ , and so we have the chain  $\langle X \rangle \sim \langle \widehat{Y} \rangle \sim \langle \widehat{X} \rangle$ . To get  $\langle X \rangle \sim \langle \widehat{X} \rangle$  for all white  $\widehat{X}$  outside  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ , we again act by the stabiliser of  $\langle X \rangle$ . It follows that  $\langle X \rangle$  is congruent to any white point generated by a vector in  $\mathbb{J}$ , and so we get the universal congruence in this case.

On the other hand, if Y lies outside of  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ , then we get  $\langle X \rangle \sim \langle \widehat{Y} \rangle$  for all white  $\widehat{Y} \in \mathbb{J} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$  since the stabiliser of  $\langle X \rangle$  is transitive on the white points spanned by those. In particular, we may take  $\widehat{Y} = (1,0,0 \mid 0,0,0)$ . Acting by the stabiliser of  $\langle \widehat{Y} \rangle$  on both sides in  $\langle X \rangle \sim \langle \widehat{Y} \rangle$ , we map  $\langle X \rangle$  to  $\langle \widehat{X} \rangle$  with  $\widehat{X} = (0,0,0 \mid e_0,0,0)$ . Note that both X and  $\widehat{X}$  are not in  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{aBC}$  which is the 17-space determined by  $\widehat{Y}$ , But  $\widehat{X} \in \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$  and by transitivity we get  $\langle X \rangle \sim \langle \widehat{X} \rangle$ . Again, we act by the stabiliser of  $\langle X \rangle$  to ensure  $\langle X \rangle \sim \langle \widehat{X} \rangle$  for all white points  $\langle \widehat{X} \rangle$  spanned by  $\widehat{X} \in \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ , i.e. our  $SE_6(F)$ -congruence is trivial in this case as well.

Suppose  $\langle W \rangle$  and  $\langle X \rangle$  are two white points and consider a *line*  $\langle W, X \rangle$  as a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb J$  spanned by white vectors W and X. Given a white point  $\langle W \rangle$ , we are interested in finding all the white points  $\langle X \rangle$  such that  $\langle W, X \rangle$  is totally white.

Since  $SE_6(F)$  acts transitively on white points, we may assume that  $W = (0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$  and  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$ . Consider an element  $\lambda W + X \in \langle W, X \rangle$ . First, we calculate the value of Dickson–Freudenthal determinant:

$$\Delta(\lambda W + X) = ab(\lambda + c) - aA\overline{A} - bB\overline{B} - (\lambda + c)C\overline{C} + T(ABC)$$
$$= \lambda(ab - C\overline{C}) + \Delta(X). \quad (3.23)$$

As  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  is white, we get  $\Delta(X) = 0$  and  $ab = C\overline{C}$ , so we conclude  $\Delta(\lambda W + X) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.3.6.** If  $\langle W \rangle$  and  $\langle X \rangle$  are two white points, then any vector in (or any 1-subspace of)  $\langle W, X \rangle$  is either white or grey.

The conditions for  $\lambda W + X$  to be white are

$$A\overline{A} = b(\lambda + c),$$

$$B\overline{B} = (\lambda + c)a,$$

$$C\overline{C} = ab,$$

$$AB = (\lambda + c)\overline{C},$$

$$BC = a\overline{A},$$

$$CA = b\overline{B}.$$

$$(3.24)$$

Since X is white by assumption, we get that  $\lambda W + X$  is white if and only if  $\lambda a = \lambda b = \lambda \overline{C} = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in F$ , which is equivalent to a = b = C = 0, i.e.  $X \in \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . Therefore, we conclude the following.

**Proposition 3.3.7.** Given any white point  $\langle W \rangle$ , the line  $\langle W, X \rangle$ , where  $\langle X \rangle$  is another white point, is totally white if and only if X belongs to the 17-space determined by W. Otherwise,  $\langle W, X \rangle$  contains only two white points.

#### 3.3.3 The stabiliser of a white point

In this section we assume that  $\mathbb{O}$  is a split octonion algebra. It is our aim now to obtain the stabiliser in  $SE_6(F)$  of a white point. In particular, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.8.** If  $\mathbb{O}$  is split, then the stabiliser of a white vector in  $SE_6(F)$  is isomorphic to the group generated by the actions of the elements  $M_x$ ,  $L_x$ ,  $M'_x$  and  $L''_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}$  as x ranges over  $\mathbb{O}$  and this is a group of shape

$$F^{16}: \operatorname{Spin}_{10}^+(F).$$
 (3.25)

The stabiliser of a white point is isomorphic to

$$F^{16}: \operatorname{Spin}_{10}^+(F).F^{\times},$$
 (3.26)

where  $F^{\times}$  is the multiplicative group of the field F.

This whole section is devoted to proving this result. Some of this proof is in the running text, and some of it is contained in a series of technical lemmata. First, we prove that no invertible F-linear maps on  $\mathbb O$  can change the order of the octonion product.

**Lemma 3.3.9.** There are no invertible F-linear maps  $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{O} \to \mathbb{O}$  such that for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$  it is true that  $AB = (B\psi)(A\phi)$ .

Proof. For the sake of finding a contradiction, suppose that  $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{O} \to \mathbb{O}$  are invertible F-linear maps such that the identity  $AB = (B\psi)(A\phi)$  holds for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$ . In particular, substituting  $A = 1_{\mathbb{O}}$ , we get  $B = (B\psi)u$  for all  $B \in \mathbb{O}$ , where  $u = 1\phi$ , so  $B\psi = Bu^{-1}$  for all  $B \in \mathbb{O}$ , which means that the map  $\psi$  is right multiplication by  $u^{-1}$ . Note that the existence of  $u^{-1}$  follows from the invertibility of the map  $\psi$ . Thus, our identity has the form  $AB = (Bu^{-1})(A\phi)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$ . We can substitute B = u which immediately gives us  $A\phi = Au$  for all  $A \in \mathbb{O}$ , so the map  $\phi$  is right multiplication by u. Finally, we get  $AB = (Bu^{-1})(Au)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$  and specifically for  $B = 1_{\mathbb{O}}$  we get  $A = u^{-1}(Au)$ , or likewise uA = Au for all  $A \in \mathbb{O}$ . Therefore u is a scalar multiple of  $1_{\mathbb{O}}$ , i.e.  $u = \mu \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}}$  for some  $\mu \in F$ . Since the linear maps  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are invertible,  $\mu$  is non-zero, and we get  $AB = (Bu^{-1})(Au) = (\mu^{-1}\mu \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}})BA = BA$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$ , which is definitely not true as  $\mathbb{O}$  is not commutative.

Second, we show that if two invertible linear maps commute with the octonion product, then these are mutually invertible scalar multiplication maps.

**Lemma 3.3.10.** Suppose  $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{O} \to \mathbb{O}$  are two invertible F-linear maps such that  $AB = (A\phi)(B\psi)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$ . Then  $\psi : x \mapsto \mu x$  for some non-zero  $\mu \in F$  and  $\phi = \psi^{-1}$ , i.e.  $\phi : x \mapsto \mu^{-1}x$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{O} \to \mathbb{O}$  are F-linear maps such that  $AB = (A\phi)(B\psi)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$ . When  $A = 1_{\mathbb{O}}$  we get  $B\psi = uB$  for all  $B \in \mathbb{O}$  where  $u = (1_{\mathbb{O}}\phi)^{-1}$ , so the map  $\psi$  is left multiplication by u. Substituting  $B = 1_{\mathbb{O}}$  on the other hand gives

us  $A = (A\phi)(1_{\mathbb{O}}\psi)$  for all A and so  $A\phi = Av$  where  $v = (1_{\mathbb{O}}\psi)^{-1}$ , so  $\phi$  is the right multiplication by v. Therefore the condition in this case becomes AB = (Av)(uB) for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$ . Substituting  $B = u^{-1}$ , we get  $Au^{-1} = Av$  for all  $A \in \mathbb{O}$ , and therefore  $v = u^{-1}$ , and our identity turns out to be  $AB = (Au^{-1})(uB)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$ . Now since u is invertible, we can write  $u^{-1} = N(u)^{-1}\bar{u}$ . Finally, by Corollary 2.7.4, u must be a scalar multiple of  $1_{\mathbb{O}}$ , i.e.  $u = \mu \cdot 1_{\mathbb{O}}$ .

The statements in Lemmas 3.3.9 and 3.3.10 are true even when  $\mathbb{O}$  is not split. Everything is ready now for the investigation of the white vector stabiliser. Since it was shown that the group  $SE_6(F)$  acts transitively on the set of white points, it is sufficient to study the stabiliser of a specific white vector. For instance, it is convenient to take  $v = (0,0,1 \mid 0,0,0)$ . First thing to notice is that v is invariant under the action of the elements of the form

$$L_x'' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_y' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.27}$$

where  $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$ .

#### Lemma 3.3.11.

- (a) Let Q be any of the  $\{L, L', L'', M, M', M''\}$ . Then the actions on  $\mathbb{J}$  of the elements  $Q_x$  where x ranges over  $\mathbb{O}$  generate an elementary abelian group isomorphic to  $F^8$ .
- (b) Let (R, S) be any pair from the set  $\{(L, M''), (L', M), (L'', M')\}$  or any of the  $\{(L, M'), (L', M''), (L'', M)\}$ . Then the actions of  $R_x$  and  $S_x$ , as x ranges through  $\mathbb{O}$ , generate an elementary abelian group isomorphic to  $F^{16}$ .

*Proof.* To show part (a) for the elements  $L_x, L'_x, L''_x$  it is enough to consider just, say,  $L''_x$  as to obtain the result for the rest of them we can apply the action of the triality element

$$\tau = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, out of  $M_x, M'_x, M''_x$  we only need to consider, for instance,  $M'_x$ . The actions of  $L''_x$  and  $M'_y$  on  $\mathbb{J}$  are given by

$$L''_{x}: (a, b, c \mid A, B, C) \mapsto (a, b, c + ax\bar{x} + T(Bx) \mid A + \bar{C}x, B + A\bar{x}, C), M'_{y}: (a, b, c \mid A, B, C) \mapsto (a, b, c + by\bar{y} + T(\bar{y}A) \mid A + By, B + \bar{y}\bar{C}, C).$$
(3.28)

We notice that the action is nontrivial whenever x and y are non-zero. The element  $M'_y$  sends  $(a, b, c + ax\bar{x} + T(Bx) \mid A + \bar{C}x, B + a\bar{x}, C)$  to

$$(a, b, c + ax\bar{x} + T(Bx) + by\bar{y} + T(\bar{y}A) \mid A + \bar{C}x + by, B + a\bar{x} + \bar{y}\bar{C}, C),$$

and the element  $L''_x$  sends  $(a, b, c + by\bar{y} + T(\bar{y}A) \mid A + By, B + \bar{y}\overline{C}, C)$  to

$$(a, b, c + by\bar{y} + T(\bar{y}A) + ax\bar{x} + T(Bx) \mid A + by + \bar{C}x, B + \bar{y}\bar{C} + a\bar{x}, C).$$

Hence, the actions of these elements commute. Similarly, it is straightforward to verify that the actions of  $L''_x$  and  $L''_y$  commute as well as the actions of  $M'_x$  and  $M'_y$ . Moreover, the element  $L''_y$  sends  $(a, b, c + ax\bar{x} + T(Bx) \mid A + \bar{C}x, B + a\bar{x}, C)$  to

$$(a, b, c + ax\bar{x} + T(Bx) + ay\bar{y} + T(By) + aT(\bar{x}y) \mid A + \bar{C}x + \bar{C}y, B + a\bar{x} + a\bar{y}, C),$$

and  $L''_{x+y}$  sends  $(a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  to

$$(a,b,c+ax\bar{x}+a\operatorname{T}(x\bar{y})+ay\bar{y}+\operatorname{T}(B(x+y))\mid A+\overline{C}(x+y),B+a(\bar{x}+\bar{y}),C),$$

so the action of  $L''_{x+y}$  is the same as the product of the actions of  $L''_x$  and  $L''_y$ . A similar calculation shows that the action of  $M'_{x+y}$  is the same as the product of the actions of  $M'_x$  and  $M'_y$ . It follows that the action of  $L''_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{O}$  generates an abelian group  $(F^8, +)$  as well as the action of the element  $M'_y$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{O}$ . We simply denote the abelian group  $(F^n, +)$  as  $F^n$  in our further discussion.

To prove part (b) we need to verify that the intersection of the corresponding abelian groups, isomorphic to  $F^8$  and generated by the actions of  $L''_x$  and  $M'_x$  is trivial. Suppose that the actions of  $L''_x$  and  $M'_y$  are equal. Then, according to (3.28),

in the fourth "coördinate" we have

$$A + \overline{C}x = A + By$$

for arbitrary  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{O}$ . In other words, we get  $\overline{C}x = By$  for arbitrary octonions B and C. In particular, if  $B = 1_{\mathbb{O}}$  and C = 0, we get y = 0 and if B = 0 and  $C = 1_{\mathbb{O}}$  we obtain x = 0. So, the intersection of two copies of  $F^8$  consists of the identity element, as needed, and the result follows. Again, to get (b) for the rest of the pairs in the first set we apply the triality element. The calculations for the second set of pairs are essentially of the same nature.

The next observation is that our white vector v is also invariant under the action of the elements

$$M_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ y & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.29}$$

First, we show that the actions of these on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  generate a group of type  $\Omega_{10}^+(F)$ . As we will see further, instead of arbitrary octonions it is enough for x to range through the scalar multiples of the basis elements  $e_i$ . Define the quadratic form  $Q_{10}$  on  $\mathbb{J}$  via

$$Q_{10}((a, b, c \mid A, B, C)) = ab - C\overline{C}.$$
(3.30)

We notice that  $Q_{10}$  is of *plus* type, so for convenience we denote the group  $\Omega_{10}(F, Q_{10})$  as  $\Omega_{10}^+(F)$ .

To construct  $\Omega_{10}^+(F)$  we follow the series of steps. First, we consider the 4-space  $V_4$  spanned by the Albert vectors of the form  $(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C_{-1}e_{-1} + C_1e_1)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.12.** The actions of the elements  $M_{\lambda e_{\pm 1}}$  and  $L_{\lambda e_{\pm 1}}$  on  $V_4$ , where  $\lambda \in F$ , generate a group of type  $\Omega_4^+(F)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the vectors  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $v_3$  and  $v_4$  defined as

$$v_1 = (1, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0),$$
  

$$v_2 = (0, 1, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0),$$
  

$$v_3 = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{-1}),$$
  

$$v_4 = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_1).$$

It is clear that these span  $V_4$ , so define  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_4, v_3, v_2\}$  to be the basis of our 4-space. The element  $M_{\lambda e_{-1}}$  acts on the basis elements in the following way:

$$v_{1} \mapsto (1,0,0 \mid 0,0,\lambda e_{-1}) = v_{1} + \lambda v_{3},$$

$$v_{4} \mapsto (0,\lambda,0 \mid 0,0,e_{1}) = v_{4} + \lambda v_{2},$$

$$v_{3} \mapsto (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,e_{-1}) = v_{3},$$

$$v_{2} \mapsto (0,1,0 \mid 0,0,0) = v_{2}.$$

As we can see, with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  the action can be written as a  $4 \times 4$  matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product. Similarly, the action of  $M_{\lambda e_1}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is given by

$$v_{1} \mapsto (1,0,0 \mid 0,0,\lambda e_{1}) = v_{1} + \lambda v_{4},$$

$$v_{4} \mapsto (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,e_{1}) = v_{4},$$

$$v_{3} \mapsto (0,\lambda,0 \mid 0,0,e_{-1}) = v_{3} + \lambda v_{2},$$

$$v_{2} \mapsto (0,1,0 \mid 0,0,0) = v_{2},$$

so the corresponding  $4 \times 4$  matrix has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, for convenience, we do the same calculations for  $L_{-\lambda e_{-1}}$ : it acts on the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  as

$$v_{1} \mapsto (1,0,0 \mid 0,0,0) = v_{1},$$

$$v_{4} \mapsto (\lambda,0,0 \mid 0,0,e_{-1}) = \lambda v_{1} + v_{4},$$

$$v_{3} \mapsto (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,e_{-1}) = v_{3},$$

$$v_{2} \mapsto (0,1,0 \mid 0,0,\lambda e_{-1}) = \lambda v_{3} + v_{2},$$

and it can be written in the matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, the action on  $\mathcal B$  of  $L_{-\lambda e_1}$  is given by

$$v_{1} \mapsto (1,0,0 \mid 0,0,0) = v_{1},$$

$$v_{4} \mapsto (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,e_{1}) = v_{4},$$

$$v_{3} \mapsto (\lambda,0,0 \mid 0,0,e_{-1}) = \lambda v_{1} + v_{3},$$

$$v_{2} \mapsto (0,1,0 \mid 0,0,\lambda e_{1}) = \lambda v_{4} + v_{2},$$

and in the matrix form we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As we know,

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in F \right\rangle \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(F).$$

It follows that

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in F \right\rangle \cong$$

$$\cong \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in F \right\rangle \cong \operatorname{SL}_{2}(F),$$

and since

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

we finally get

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda \in F \right\rangle \cong \operatorname{SL}_{2}(F) \circ \operatorname{SL}_{2}(F).$$

Now,  $SL_2(F) \circ SL_2(F) \cong \Omega_4^+(F)$  and this finishes the proof.

In our construction we use the results of section ??. Consider the 6-space  $V_6$  spanned by the Albert vectors  $(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C)$ , where  $C \in \langle e_{-1}, e_{\overline{\omega}}, e_{-\overline{\omega}}, e_1 \rangle$ . Our copy of  $\Omega_4^+(F)$  preserves two isotropic Albert vectors in  $V_6$ :

$$u_{\overline{\omega}} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{\overline{\omega}}), u_{-\overline{\omega}} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{-\overline{\omega}}).$$
(3.31)

The element  $M_{e_{\overline{\omega}}}$  preserves  $u_{\overline{\omega}}$  but not  $u_{-\overline{\omega}}$ . Therefore, adjoining  $M_{e_{\overline{\omega}}}$  to  $\Omega_4^+(F)$ , we obtain a subgroup of  $V_4:\Omega_4^+(F)$  (Lemma ??), and since  $\Omega_4^+(F)$  is maximal in the latter (Theorem ??), we conclude that the action of  $M_{\lambda e_{\pm 1}}$ ,  $L_{\lambda e_{\pm 1}}$  and  $M_{e_{\overline{\omega}}}$  on  $V_6$  is that of  $V_4:\Omega_4^+(F)$ . That is, we have constructed the group  $V_4:\Omega_4^+(F)$  as the stabiliser of  $u_{\overline{\omega}}$  in  $\Omega_6^+(F)$ . Now we use the result of Theorem ??. The element  $M_{e_{-\overline{\omega}}}$  preserves  $V_6$  but it does not preserve  $u_{\overline{\omega}}$ , and as a consequence it does not preserve the 1-space  $\langle u_{\overline{\omega}} \rangle$ . Therefore, if we adjoin  $M_{e_{-\overline{\omega}}}$  to our copy of  $V_4:\Omega_4^+(F)$ , we get the action of the group  $\Omega_6^+(F)$  on  $V_6$ .

Similarly, we consider the 8-space  $V_8$  spanned by the vectors  $(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C)$  with  $C \in \langle e_{-1}, e_{\overline{\omega}}, e_{\omega}, e_{-\omega}, e_{-\overline{\omega}}, e_1 \rangle$ . Consider two isotropic Albert vectors

$$u_{\omega} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{\omega}),$$
  

$$u_{-\omega} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{-\omega}),$$
(3.32)

which are fixed by our copy of  $\Omega_6^+(F)$ . The action of the element  $M_{e_{\omega}}$  on  $V_8$  preserves  $u_{\omega}$  but not  $u_{-\omega}$  and therefore adjoining this element to  $\Omega_6^+(F)$  we get the action of the group  $V_6:\Omega_6^+(F)$ . Next, the element  $M_{e_{-\omega}}$  does not preserve the 1-space  $\langle u_{\omega} \rangle$ , so appending it to  $V_6:\Omega_6^+(F)$  we get the action of the group  $\Omega_8^+(F)$  on  $V_8$ .

Finally, we consider the 10-space  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  with two isotropic Albert vectors

$$u_0 = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_0),$$
  

$$u_{-0} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{-0}).$$
(3.33)

Following the same procedure, we adjoin the element  $M_{e_0}$  which fixes  $u_0$  but not  $u_{-0}$  to get the action of the group of shape  $V_8:\Omega_8^+(F)$ . Appending the action of  $M_{e_{-0}}$ , which does not preserve  $\langle u_0 \rangle$ , to this yields the action of  $\Omega_{10}^+(F)$  on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ . Lemma 3.3.11 allows us to conclude that we have shown the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.13.** The actions of  $M_x$  and  $L_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  generate the group  $\Omega_{10}^+(F)$  as x ranges through  $\mathbb{O}$ .

Now we need to understand the action of the elements  $M_x$  and  $L_x$  on the whole 27-space  $\mathbb{J}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.14.** Suppose an element of the stabiliser in  $SE_6(F)$  of v preserves the decomposition of the Albert space into the direct sum of the form  $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{J}_1^c \oplus \mathbb{J}_{16}^{AB} \oplus \mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ .

(a) If the action of this element on the 10-space  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  is given by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (1,0,0 \mid 0,0,0) & \mapsto & (\lambda,0,0 \mid 0,0,0), \\ (0,1,0 \mid 0,0,0) & \mapsto & (0,\lambda^{-1},0 \mid 0,0,0), \\ (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,C) & \mapsto & (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,C), \end{array}$$

then  $\lambda$  is a square in F.

(b) On the other hand, an action of the type

$$(1,0,0 \mid 0,0,0) \mapsto (0,\lambda,0 \mid 0,0,0),$$

$$(0,1,0 \mid 0,0,0) \mapsto (\lambda^{-1},0,0 \mid 0,0,0),$$

$$(0,0,0 \mid 0,0,C) \mapsto (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,C)$$

is impossible.

(c) Finally, if the action on the 10-space is trivial, then the action on the corresponding 16-space is that of  $\pm I_{16}$  (hence, the action on  $\mathbb{J}$  is that of  $P_{\pm 1}$ ).

Proof. We are considering the elements that fix  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$  pointwise and either fix or swap the 1-dimensional spaces  $\mathbb{J}_1^a$  and  $\mathbb{J}_1^b$ . So we may assume that these elements respectively fix or swap the corresponding 17-spaces  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{aBC}$  and  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{bAC}$ . In particular, their intersection, i.e. the space  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$  is fixed. If the action of the stabiliser swaps  $\mathbb{J}_1^a$  and  $\mathbb{J}_1^b$  while leaving the 1-space  $\mathbb{J}_1^c$  in its place, then it also swaps the 8-spaces  $\mathbb{J}_8^A$  and  $\mathbb{J}_8^B$  as these subspaces are the intersections of the 17-space  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$  with  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{bAC}$  and  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{aBC}$  respectively.

Suppose now that an element in the stabiliser acts in the following manner:

$$(a, b, c \mid A, B, C) \mapsto (\lambda a, \lambda^{-1}b, c \mid A\phi, B\psi, C),$$

where  $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{O} \to \mathbb{O}$  are invertible F-linear maps. As this action is supposed to preserve the determinant, it has to preserve the cubic term T(ABC) in particular, i.e. we must have  $T(ABC) = T((A\phi)(B\psi)C)$  for all  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{O}$ . This is equivalent to the condition  $AB = (A\phi)(B\psi)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$ , since the original identity is equivalent to  $\langle AB, \overline{C} \rangle = \langle (A\phi)(B\psi), \overline{C} \rangle$ . By Lemma 3.3.10 we find that  $A\phi = \mu^{-1}A$  and  $B\psi = \mu B$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$  and some non-zero  $\mu \in F$ . The individual terms in the determinant are being changed in the following way:

$$abc \mapsto abc,$$

$$aA\overline{A} \mapsto \lambda \mu^{-2}aA\overline{A},$$

$$bB\overline{B} \mapsto \lambda^{-1}\mu^{2}bB\overline{B},$$

$$cC\overline{C} \mapsto cC\overline{C},$$

$$T(ABC) \mapsto T(ABC).$$

It follows that in order to preserve the determinant we must have  $\lambda^{-1}\mu^2=1$ , i.e.  $\lambda=\mu^2$ .

In case when our element acts as

$$(a, b, c \mid A, B, C) \mapsto (\lambda^{-1}b, \lambda a, c \mid B\psi, A\phi, C),$$

we get  $T(ABC) = T((B\psi)(A\phi)C)$  for all  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{O}$ . This holds if and only if

 $AB = (B\psi)(A\phi)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{O}$ . Lemma 3.3.9 asserts that there are no such maps  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , and so this rules out the latter case.

Finally, if we assume the trivial action on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ , then we get  $\lambda=1$ , i.e.  $\mu^2=1$ , so the action on  $\mathbb{J}$  is indeed that of  $P_{\pm 1}$ .

Now let  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  and let  $Y = (a', b', c' \mid A', B', C')$ . An isometry which maps X to Y and v to  $\lambda v$  must send  $\Delta(X + v) - \Delta(X) = ab - C\overline{C}$  to  $\Delta(Y + \lambda v) - \Delta(Y) = \lambda(a'b' - C'\overline{C}')$ . The 17-dimensional radical of both of these forms is fixed, and the quadratic form  $ab - C\overline{C}$  is being scaled by a factor of  $\lambda$ . In particular, when  $\lambda = 1$ , the quadratic form is being preserved. So, the action of the vector stabiliser on the 10-dimensional quotient is that of a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GO}_{10}^+(F)$ .

Consider the white vectors of the form  $(a, 0, c \mid A, B, 0)$  and  $(0, b, c \mid A, B, 0)$  with  $a, b \neq 0$ . In the first case the conditions for being white are

$$A\overline{A} = 0,$$

$$B\overline{B} = ac,$$

$$a\overline{A} = 0,$$

$$AB = 0.$$

In other words, we have a white vector of the form  $(a, 0, B\overline{B}/a \mid 0, B, 0)$ . For the second vector we get

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} bc = A\overline{A}, \\ B\overline{B} = 0, \\ b\overline{B} = 0, \end{array} \right\}$$

so the vector has the form  $(0, b, A\overline{A}/b \mid A, 0, 0)$ . The elements  $M'_x$  and  $L''_x$  transform these in the following way:

$$\begin{split} M'_x : & (a,0,B\overline{B}/a \mid 0,B,0) \ \mapsto \ (a,0,B\overline{B}/a \mid 0,B,0), \\ M'_x : & (0,b,A\overline{A}/b \mid A,0,0) \ \mapsto \ (0,b,A\overline{A}/b + bx\bar{x} + \mathrm{T}(\bar{x}A) \mid A + bx,0,0), \\ L''_x : & (a,0,B\overline{B}/a \mid 0,B,0) \ \mapsto \ (a,0,B\overline{B}/a + ax\bar{x} + \mathrm{T}(Bx) \mid 0,B + a\bar{x},0), \\ L''_x : & (0,b,A\overline{A}/b \mid A,0,0) \ \mapsto \ (0,b,A\overline{A}/b \mid A,0,0). \end{split}$$

Note that we already have an elementary abelian group  $F^{16}$  acting on the 17-space  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . We can now invoke Lemma 3.3.14 to conclude that the action of the stabiliser

on the remaining 10-space  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  is that of  $\Omega_{10}^+(F)$  and the kernel of the action on  $\mathbb{J}$  has order no more than two.

**Theorem 3.3.15.** The actions of the elements  $M_x$  and  $L_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}$  where x ranges through a split octonion algebra  $\mathbb{O}$  generate a group of type  $\mathrm{Spin}_{10}^+(F)$  understood as  $\Omega_{10}^+(F)$  in case of characteristic 2.

With the result of Lemma 3.3.11 we conclude that the stabiliser of a white vector is indeed a group of shape  $F^{16}$ : Spin<sup>+</sup><sub>10</sub>(F) as usual understood as  $F^{16}$ :  $\Omega_{10}^+(F)$  in case of characteristic 2.

Now we have enough ingredients to produce the vector stabiliser. As before, we consider the stabiliser of the white vector  $v = (0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$ . As we know from Theorem 3.3.15 and Lemma 3.3.14, the actions of the elements  $M_x$  and  $L_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}$  generate a group of type  $\mathrm{Spin}_{10}^+(F)$ . It is easy to check that this copy of  $\mathrm{Spin}_{10}^+(F)$  normalises the elementary abelian group  $F^{16}$  from Lemma 3.3.11. A straighforward computation illustrates the following result:

$$(M'_{x})^{L_{y}}$$
 acts as  $M'_{x}$ ,  
 $(M'_{x})^{M_{y}}$  acts as  $L''_{-yx} \cdot M'_{x}$ ,  
 $(L''_{x})^{L_{y}}$  acts as  $M'_{-yx} \cdot L''_{x}$ ,  
 $(L''_{x})^{M_{y}}$  acts as  $L''_{x}$ ,
$$(3.34)$$

where the products in the right-hand side are understood as the products of the actions rather than as the matrix products. Furthermore, the intersection of the groups  $\mathrm{Spin}_{10}^+(F)$  and  $F^{16}$  is trivial: the action of  $\mathrm{Spin}_{10}^+(F)$  preserves the decomposition  $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{J}_1^c \oplus \mathbb{J}_{16}^{AB} \oplus \mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ , while any non-trivial action of the elementary abelian group  $F^{16}$  fails to do so. Indeed, a general element in  $F^{16}$  has the form  $M_x' \cdot L_y''$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$  and it sends an Albert vector  $(a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  to

$$(a,b,c+a\mathrm{N}(y)+b\mathrm{N}(x)+\mathrm{T}(By)+\mathrm{T}(\bar{x}A)+\mathrm{T}(\bar{x}\bar{C}y)\mid A+\bar{C}y+bx,B+a\bar{y}+\bar{x}\bar{C},C).$$

So, we have shown that the actions of the elements  $M'_x, L''_x, M_x, L_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}$  generate a group of shape  $F^{16}$ : Spin<sup>+</sup><sub>10</sub>(F), as x ranges through a split algebra  $\mathbb{O}$ .

Next, we consider the white point  $\langle v \rangle$  spanned by our white vector. The stabiliser in  $SE_6(F)$  of  $\langle v \rangle$ , where  $v = (0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 0)$ , maps v to  $\lambda v$  for some non-zero  $\lambda \in F$ .

For instance, this can be achieved by the elements

$$P'_{u^{-1}} = \operatorname{diag}(1_{\mathbb{O}}, u^{-1}, u) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3.35)

with u being an invertible octonion of arbitrary norm. Indeed, any such element  $P'_{u^{-1}}$  sends  $(0,0,1 \mid 0,0,0)$  to  $(0,0,N(u) \mid 0,0,0)$  and since N(u) can be any non-zero field element, we get an abelian group  $F^{\times}$  on top of the vector stabiliser. This finishes the proof of the main theorem in this section.

Now, since the vector stabiliser is generated by the actions of  $M_x$ ,  $L_x$ ,  $M'_x$ ,  $L''_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}$ , and the subgroup of  $SE_6(F)$  generated by  $M_x$ ,  $M'_x$ ,  $M''_x$ ,  $L_x$ ,  $L'_x$ ,  $L'_x$  acts transitively on the white points, we make the following conclusion.

**Theorem 3.3.16.** The group  $SE_6(F)$  is generated by the actions of  $M_x, M'_x, M''_x$  and  $L_x, L'_x, L''_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}$  as x ranges through  $\mathbb{O}$ .

## 3.4 Simplicity of $E_6(F)$

The construction we have obtained also allows us to show that the group  $E_6(F)$  is indeed simple without any references to Lie theory. The classical way of showing the simplicity of certain groups is the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.1** (Iwasawa). If G is a perfect group acting faithfully and primitively on a set  $\Omega$ , and the point stabilizer H has a normal abelian subgroup A whose conjugates generate G, then G is simple.

First, we show that the subgroup of  $SE_6(F)$  stabilising all the white points simultaneously acts on  $\mathbb{J}$  by scalar multiplications, and hence the action of  $E_6(F)$  on the set of white points is faithful.

**Lemma 3.4.2.** The subgroup in  $SE_6(F)$  stabilising simultaneously all white points is the group of scalars.

*Proof.* Consider the action of this stabiliser on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  and pick the basis

$$v_{1} = (1, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0),$$

$$v_{2} = (0, 1, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0),$$

$$v_{i+2} = (a_{i}, b_{i}, 0 \mid 0, 0, C_{i}),$$
(3.36)

where  $1 \leq i \leq 8$  and  $C_i \overline{C}_i = a_i b_i$ . Since in particular we stabilise  $\langle v_1 \rangle, ..., \langle v_{10} \rangle$ , the action on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{cAB}$  is that of a  $10 \times 10$  diagonal matrix  $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{10})$  with respect to the basis  $\{v_1, ..., v_{10}\}$ . Consider an Albert vector  $v = (a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C)$ , where  $C = C_1 + \cdots + C_8$  and a, b are such that v is white, i.e.  $C\overline{C} = ab$ . Now, if  $F \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ , we can choose  $a, b \in F$  in such a way that v can be written as a linear combination  $v = \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2 + v_3 + \cdots + v_{10}$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$ . The stabiliser of all white point maps v to  $\lambda v$  for some non-zero  $\lambda \in F$ , so this ensures that  $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = \cdots = \lambda_{10}$ . We now adjust the chosen values of a and b to obtain a linear combination with  $\beta \neq 0$ , and so  $\lambda = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \cdots \lambda_{10}$ . It follows that the action on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  is just the multiplication by  $\lambda$ .

When  $F = \mathbb{F}_2$ , we take  $\mathbb{O}$  to be the split octonion algebra with our favourite basis  $\{e_i \mid i \in \pm \{0, 1, \omega, \overline{\omega}\}\}$ . For the 10-space  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  we choose the basis

$$v_{1} = (1, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0),$$

$$v_{2} = (0, 1, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0),$$

$$v_{i+2} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{i}),$$
(3.37)

and then proceed in the same manner. The vector  $v = v_1 + \cdots + v_{10}$  is white and since there is a single choice for a non-zero scalar in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , it is being fixed and the action on the whole 10-space in this case is that of diag(1, ..., 1).

Now, by using the triality element, we map  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  to  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{bcA}$  and further to  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{caB}$  and so we obtain that the stabiliser of all white points acts on  $\mathbb{J}$  by scalar multiplications. That is, the stabiliser of all the white points is trivial in  $E_6(F)$ .

From Lemma 3.3.5 we know that the action of  $E_6(F)$  on the white points is primitive. We need to show that the group is perfect.

**Lemma 3.4.3.** The group  $SE_6(F)$  is perfect.

*Proof.* This does not present great difficulties. A very straightforward computation shows that

$$(L''_{-1})^{-1} \cdot L'_x \cdot L''_{-1} \cdot (L'_x)^{-1} \text{ acts as } M_x,$$

$$(L_{-1})^{-1} \cdot L''_x \cdot L_{-1} \cdot (L''_x)^{-1} \text{ acts as } M'_x,$$

$$(L'_{-1})^{-1} \cdot L_x \cdot L'_{-1} \cdot (L_x)^{-1} \text{ acts as } M''_x,$$

$$(M'_{-1})^{-1} \cdot M''_x \cdot M'_{-1} \cdot (M''_x)^{-1} \text{ acts as } L_x,$$

$$(M''_{-1})^{-1} \cdot M_x \cdot M''_{-1} \cdot (M_x)^{-1} \text{ acts as } L'_x,$$

$$(M_{-1})^{-1} \cdot M'_x \cdot M_{-1} \cdot (M'_x)^{-1} \text{ acts as } L'_x,$$

where as before  $A \cdot B$  is understood as the product of the actions by the matrices A and B. Hence, every generator is in fact a commutator.

Finally, using the Iwasawa's Lemma we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.4.** The group  $E_6(F)$  is simple.

#### 3.5 Case of a finite field

In this section F is a finite field of q elements, that is,  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ . Our aim is to count the white points in this case, and hence find the group order.

**Theorem 3.5.1.** If  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ , then there are precisely

$$\frac{(q^{12}-1)(q^9-1)}{(q^4-1)}\tag{3.38}$$

white vectors in  $\mathbb{J}$ .

*Proof.* In the proof we study the series of subspaces

$$0 < \mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC} < \mathbb{J}_{26}^{abABC} < \mathbb{J}.$$

First,  $(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C) \in \mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  is white if and only if  $ab - C\overline{C} = 0$ . We notice that  $ab - C\overline{C}$  is a quadratic form of *plus* type defined on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ , so there are  $(q^5 - 1)(q^4 + 1)$  white vectors in this subspace.

Next, suppose  $(a,b,c \mid A,B,C) \in \mathbb{J} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{26}^{abABC}$  is white. Then  $C = \overline{B}\overline{A}c^{-1}$ ,  $b = A\overline{A}c^{-1}$  and  $a = B\overline{B}c^{-1}$ . We may choose A,B,c to be arbitrary (with  $c \neq 0$ ), so there are  $q^{16}(q-1)$  white vectors in  $\mathbb{J} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{26}^{abABC}$ .

Finally, we investigate the white vectors  $(a, b, 0 \mid A, B, C) \in \mathbb{J}_{26}^{abABC} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ . The conditions for such a vector to be white take the following form:

$$A\overline{A} = B\overline{B} = AB = 0,$$

$$C\overline{C} = ab,$$

$$BC = a\overline{A},$$

$$CA = b\overline{B}.$$

$$(3.39)$$

Note that we also require  $(A, B) \neq (0, 0)$ . In case A = 0,  $B \neq 0$  we apply  $\delta$  followed by  $\tau$  to  $(a, b, 0 \mid A, B, C)$  in order to obtain a vector of the form  $(a, b, 0 \mid A, B, C)$  with  $A \neq 0$ . Note that the values of a, b, A, B, C are not the same as in the initial Albert vector. So, assuming  $A \neq 0$ , we have AB = 0 exactly when B is in a particular 4-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{O}$  and any such B satisfies  $B\overline{B}=0$ . For any octonion x, the action by the element  $L_x$  establishes a bijection between the white vectors of the form  $(*,*,0 \mid A,B,*)$  and those of the form  $(*,*,0 \mid A,B+Ax,*)$ . Leftmultiplication by  $\overline{A}$  annihilates a 4-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{O}$  (see Lemma ??), so by the rank-nullity theorem we conclude that the image  $\mathcal{A} = \{Ax \mid x \in \mathbb{O}\}$  is also 4-dimensional. Note that A(Ax) = (AA)x = 0, for any  $x \in \mathbb{O}$ , so A is the 4-space of all octonions left-annihilated by A, and therefore it contains -B. Now we pick an octonion x such that  $\overline{A}x = -B$  to obtain the bijection between the white vectors of the form  $(*,*,0 \mid A,B,*)$  with  $A \neq 0$  and those of the form  $(*,*,0 \mid A,0,*)$ . An Albert vector  $(a, b, 0 \mid A, 0, C)$  is white if and only if  $A\overline{A} = C\overline{C} = CA = 0$  and a=0, with no dependence on b. As before, C lies in a particular 4-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{O}$ , hence  $(0, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C)$  lies in a particular 5-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ , so for any choice of the pair (A,B) there are  $q^5$  white vectors. If  $A\neq 0$ , then there are  $(q^4-1)(q^3+1)$  choices for A, and for each of these  $q^4$  choices for B. If A=0, we have  $(q^4-1)(q^3+1)$  choices for B. It follows that in total there are

$$q^{5}(q^{4}(q^{4}-1)(q^{3}+1)+(q^{4}-1)(q^{3}+1))=q^{5}(q^{8}-1)(q^{3}+1)$$

white vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_{26}^{abABC} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ .

The calculations above give the numbers of white vectors in certain subsets of  $\mathbb{J}$  as shown in the following table.

Adding these numbers gives the result.

#### Corollary 3.5.2. There are precisely

$$\frac{(q^{12}-1)(q^9-1)}{(q^4-1)(q-1)} \tag{3.40}$$

white points in the case  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ .

Theorem 3.3.8 allows us to find the stabiliser of a white point which in our case is a group of shape  $q^{16}$ : Spin<sup>+</sup><sub>10</sub>(q). As a consequence, we now have:

$$|SE_6(q)| = q^{36}(q^{12} - 1)(q^9 - 1)(q^8 - 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^5 - 1)(q^2 - 1).$$
(3.41)

We obtain  $E_6(q)$  as the quotient of  $SE_6(q)$  by any scalars it contains. Note that  $SE_6(q)$  contains non-trivial scalars if and only if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , so

$$|\mathcal{E}_6(q)| = \frac{1}{\gcd(3, q-1)} q^{36} (q^{12} - 1)(q^9 - 1)(q^8 - 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^5 - 1)(q^2 - 1).$$
 (3.42)

We can also invoke Proposition 3.3.7 to count totally white lines in the finite case.

#### **Proposition 3.5.3.** Given any white point $\langle W \rangle$ ,

- (i) there are exactly  $q(q^8-1)(q^3+1)/(q-1)$  white points  $\langle X \rangle$  such that all points in  $\langle W, X \rangle$  are white;
- (ii) there are exactly  $q^8(q^4+1)(q^5-1)/(q-1)$  white points  $\langle Y \rangle$  such that  $\langle W, Y \rangle$  contains only two white points.

Proof. Without loss of generality assume  $W=(0,0,1\mid 0,0,0)$ . By Proposition 3.3.7 we need to count the white points spanned by the vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . Consider a general white vector  $(0,0,c\mid A,B,0)\in \mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . The whiteness conditions are  $A\overline{A}=B\overline{B}=0=AB$ . We distinguish two cases. If  $A\neq 0$ , then there are  $(q^4-1)(q^3+1)$  choices for A. For each of these there are  $q^4$  choices for B and A choices for A. Therefore, there are A0 with A1 white vectors in A1 with

 $A \neq 0$ . In case A = 0 we require  $B \neq 0$ , so there are  $(q^4 - 1)(q^3 + 1)$  choices for B and Q choices for Q, giving  $Q(q^4 - 1)(Q^3 + 1)$  white vectors. Adding these two values together, we get

$$q^{5}(q^{4}-1)(q^{3}+1) + q(q^{4}-1)(q^{3}+1) = q(q^{8}-1)(q^{3}+1)$$

white vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_{17}^{cAB}$ . To find the number of totally white lines, we divide this value by q-1.

Obviously, all white points not already counted have the second property, so there are

$$\frac{(q^9-1)(q^{12}-1)}{(q^4-1)(q-1)} - \frac{q(q^8-1)(q^3+1)}{(q-1)} - 1 = \frac{q^8(q^4+1)(q^5-1)}{(q-1)}$$

choices for  $\langle Y \rangle$ . Note that we subtract 1 because we want  $\langle W \rangle \neq \langle Y \rangle$ .

## 3.6 Arbitrary octonion algebras

Now that we have constructed the group of type  $E_6$  over an arbitrary field, it is to be emphasised that our construction depends on the fact that  $\mathbb{O}$  has to be split. Namely, it is a vital requirement in the proof of Theorem 3.3.8. This completely covers the possibilities in case  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ , but while it was possible to prove many results independently of the choice of  $\mathbb{O}$ , there are still some questions to answer when  $\mathbb{O}$  happens to be non-split. Note that a split octonion algebra exists over any field, so our construction is safe.

The main problem is to be able to tell whether the actions of the matrices  $M_x$  and  $L_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  generate  $\Omega(\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}, \mathbb{Q}_{10})$ . At this stage it is possible to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.1.** The actions of the elements  $M_x$  and  $L_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  where x ranges through a non-split octonion algebra  $\mathbb{O}$ , generate at most a group of type  $\Omega(\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}, \mathbb{Q}_{10})$ . Proof. To verify this we show that the elements encoded by  $M_x$  and  $L_x$  have the correct spinor norm. Recall that  $M_x$  acts on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  in the following way:

$$M_x: (a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C) \mapsto (a, b + aN(x) + T(\bar{x}C), 0 \mid 0, 0, C + ax).$$

Consider two Albert vectors  $v = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, x)$  and  $w = (0, x\bar{x}, 0 \mid 0, 0, x)$ . Reflexion in v sends a vector  $(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C)$  to

$$(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C) - \frac{T(C\bar{x})}{N(x)} \cdot (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, x) = \left(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C - \frac{T(C\bar{x})}{N(x)}x\right).$$

We then reflect the result in w to get

$$\begin{split} \left(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C - \frac{\mathbf{T}(C\bar{x})}{\mathbf{N}(x)}x\right) - \frac{-\mathbf{T}(C\bar{x}) - ax\bar{x}}{\mathbf{N}(x)} \cdot (0, x\bar{x}, 0 \mid 0, 0, x) \\ &= \left(a, b + ax\bar{x} + \mathbf{T}(C\bar{x}), 0 \mid 0, 0, C - \frac{\mathbf{T}(C\bar{x})}{\mathbf{N}(x)}x + \frac{\mathbf{T}(C\bar{x})}{\mathbf{N}(x)}x + a\frac{x\bar{x}}{\mathbf{N}(x)}x\right) \\ &= (a, b + a\mathbf{N}(x) + \mathbf{T}(C\bar{x}), 0 \mid 0, 0, C + ax). \end{split}$$

Note that the action of  $M_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$  is the same as the composition of reflexions in v and w. We find  $Q_{10}(v) = Q_{10}(w) = N(x)$  and conclude that  $M_x$  acts as an element of  $\Omega(\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}, \mathbb{Q}_{10})$ .

For the elements  $L_x$  we consider the reflexions in vectors  $(0,0,0 \mid 0,0,\bar{x})$  and  $(x\bar{x},0,0 \mid 0,0,\bar{x})$  to obtain the same conclusion.

Next, suppose that V is a vector space over F with a quadratic form Q, such that  $V = \langle e, f \rangle \oplus W$ , where (e, f) is a hyperbolic pair and  $W = \langle e, f \rangle^{\perp}$ . Consider an element g in CGO(V,Q) which scales of Q by some  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $V = \langle e^g, f^g \rangle \oplus W^g$  and  $\langle e^g, f^g \rangle$  is isometric to  $\langle e, f \rangle$ . Therefore,  $W^g$  is isometric to W, and so there exists an isometry h in GO(V,Q) such that  $\langle e^g, f^g \rangle^h = \langle e, f \rangle$ . It follows that  $(W^g)^h = W$ , and gh is a  $\lambda$ -scaling of Q which fixes  $\langle e, f \rangle$  and W. Hence, gh is a  $\lambda$ -scaling of  $Q_W$ .

Consider a  $\lambda$ -similarity on  $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}_F$  that sends  $1_{\mathbb{O}}$  to some  $u \in \mathbb{O}$ . Then it gives rise to an element in the stabiliser of a white point which scales  $Q_8$  by N(u). In other words, we have shown the following.

**Proposition 3.6.2.** If  $\mathbb{O}$  is an arbitrary octonion algebra over F, then the elements in the stabiliser of a white point can only scale a white vector by  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in F$  is such that there exists  $u \in \mathbb{O}$  with  $N(u) = \lambda$ .

It is easy to check that all such scalings are possible. For example, the elements  $P_{u^{-1}}'$ , defined in (3.35), do the job.

# Chapter 4

# Groups of type <sup>2</sup>E<sub>6</sub>

## 4.1 Quadratic field extensions

Let F and K be two fields such that F is a subfield of K. We say that K is an extension field of F. The degree of K over F, denoted by [K:F], is the dimension of K as a vector space over F. We denote the extension of F by K as K/F.

A non-zero polynomial  $f \in F[x]$  is called *separable*, if each root of f has multiplicity 1. If  $\alpha \in F$  is algebraic, that is,  $\alpha$  is a root of some polynomial  $g \in F[x]$ , then  $\alpha$  is called *separable*, if its minimal polynomial is separable.

We have defined what it means for a field element and a polynomial to be separable. Suppose that [K:F] is finite, then K/F is a separable extension, if every element of K is separable over F. We also say that K/F is normal, if every irreducible polynomial  $f \in F[x]$  that has a root in K, splits into linear factors in K[x]. An extension K/F which is normal, separable, and of finite degree, is called a Galois extension. We are not going into too much detail here, for there are various well-known references on theory of field extensions, of very high quality, for instance, [?], [?], or [?]. In this chapter we are interested in Galois extensions of degree 2. In case when  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ , we have  $|K| = q^2$ , and so  $K = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  (see, for example, [?]).

Given an extension K/F, we define the Galois group of K over F, denoted Gal(K/F), to be the group of automorphisms of K that fix F elementwise. In other

words,

$$Gal(K/F) = \{ \varsigma \in Aut(K) \mid \alpha^s = \alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in F \}.$$
 (4.1)

The most important result for us here is the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.1.** Let K/F be a Galois extension. Then

$$|\operatorname{Gal}(K/F)| = [K : F]. \tag{4.2}$$

It follows that in case [K:F]=2 there is a unique non-trivial field automorphism  $\varsigma:K\to K$ , fixing F elementwise. If  $F=\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $K=\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , then s takes the form  $s:\lambda\mapsto\lambda^q$ .

## 4.2 Spaces with two forms

Let K/F be a Galois extension of degree 2, and let s be a K-automorphism with F being its fixed field. Let also V be a 2m-dimensional vector space over K with a quadratic form Q and a conjugate-symmetric sesquilinear form  $B: V \times V \to K$ , defined with respect to  $\varsigma$ . Denote by f the polar form of Q, and suppose that  $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, f_1, e_2, f_2, ..., e_m, f_m\}$  is a hyperbolic basis of V with respect to f, i.e.

$$Q(e_i) = Q(f_i) = 0, \ f(e_i, f_i) = 1,$$
 (4.3)

and  $f(e_i, e_j) = f(e_i, f_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . The above said means that (V, Q) is a hyperbolic orthogonal space. Denote by G the maximal amongst all the subgroups of GO(V, Q) which preserve B. If U is a subspace of V, then we denote the restrictions of f and B on U as  $f_U$  and  $B_U$  respectively.

**Definition 4.2.1.** A (Q, B)-subspace of V is an F-subspace U of V such that  $V = U \otimes_F K$ ,  $f_U = B_U$  is an F-form on U, and  $Q_U$  is a non-degenerate quadratic form on U of Witt index at least 2.

**Proposition 4.2.2.** If U is a (Q, B)-subspace of V, then it is the unique (Q, B)-subspace of V, and G = GO(U, Q).

We say that an element  $v \in V$  is singular isotropic, if Q(v) = B(v, v) = 0.

**Proposition 4.2.3.** Let U be a (Q, B)-subspace of V if Witt index at least 2. Fix  $\lambda \in K \setminus F$ . The group G has two orbits on doubly singular points with representatives  $\langle u \rangle$  and  $\langle u + \lambda v \rangle$ , where  $u, v \in U$  and  $\langle u, v \rangle$  is a singular line.

## **4.3** Hermitean form in $\mathbb{J}$ and the group ${}^{2}SE_{6}(K/F)$

Suppose as before K/F is a quadratic Galois extension with F being a fixed field of a K-automorphism  $\varsigma$ . In this chapter  $\mathbb{O}_F$  will always be a split octonion algebra over F and  $\mathbb{O}_K = \mathbb{O}_F \otimes_F K$ . We also use the same basis  $\{e_i \mid i \in \pm I\}$  as in Section 2.6.

Denote by  $\sigma$  the automorphism of  $\mathbb{O}_K$  induced by the field automorphism  $\varsigma$ :

$$\left(\sum_{i \in \pm I} \lambda_i e_i\right)^{\sigma} = \sum_{i \in \pm I} \lambda_i^{\varsigma} e_i. \tag{4.4}$$

Consider the following Hermitean form defined on the elements of  $\mathbb{O}_K$ :

$$h(x) = A\overline{A}^{\sigma} + A^{\sigma}\overline{A} = T(A\overline{A}^{\sigma}). \tag{4.5}$$

On the Albert space  $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{J}_K$  this induces the Hermitean form H, where

$$H((a,b,c \mid A,B,C)) = aa^{\sigma} + bb^{\sigma} + cc^{\sigma} + T(A\overline{A}^{\sigma} + B\overline{B}^{\sigma} + C\overline{C}^{\sigma}). \tag{4.6}$$

Using the construction from previous chapter, we obtain the group  $SE_6(K)$  in the usual way. Now define the group  ${}^2SE_6(K/F)$  as the subgroup of  $SE_6(K)$  which preserves H. In case  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $K = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , we denote this by  ${}^2SE_6(q)$ . As before, the group  ${}^2E_6(F/K)$  is defined as the quotient of  ${}^2SE_6(K/F)$  by its centre.

## 4.4 Some elements of ${}^{2}SE_{6}(K/F)$

Let  $X = (a, b, c \mid A, B, C)$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{J}_K$ . First of all we notice that the matrices  $\delta$  and  $\tau$  preserve the Hermitean form, so they encode the elements of  ${}^2\mathrm{SE}_6(K/F)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.1.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{O}_K$  be such that  $\bar{x}^{\sigma}x = x\bar{x}^{\sigma} = 0$ . Then  $x\bar{x} = 0$ .

Consider the matrices

$$N_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & 0 \\ -\bar{x}^{\sigma} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N'_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & -\bar{x}^{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N''_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\bar{x}^{\sigma} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $x\bar{x}^{\sigma} = \bar{x}^{\sigma}x = 0$ . It is easy to see that these encode the elements of  $SE_6(K)$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\bar{x}^{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - x\bar{x}^{\sigma} & x \\ -\bar{x}^{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ -\bar{x}^{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4.8}$$

So, the elements  $N_x$ ,  $N'_x$ , and  $N''_x$  preserve the Dickson-Freudenthal determinant. To verify that they preserve H, we look at the action on  $\mathbb{J}$ :

$$N_{x}: (a,b,c \mid A,B,C) \mapsto (a-\mathrm{T}(C\bar{x}^{\sigma}),b+\mathrm{T}(\bar{C}x),c \mid A+\bar{x}\bar{B},B-\bar{A}\bar{x}^{\sigma},C-x^{\sigma}\bar{C}x+ax-bx^{\sigma}),$$

$$N'_{x}: (a,b,c \mid A,B,C) \mapsto (a,b-\mathrm{T}(a\bar{x}^{\sigma}),c+\mathrm{T}(\bar{A}x) \mid A-x^{\sigma}\bar{A}x+bx-cx^{\sigma},B+\bar{x}\bar{C},C-\bar{B}\bar{x}^{\sigma}),$$

$$N''_{x}: (a,b,c \mid A,B,C) \mapsto (a+\mathrm{T}(\bar{B}x),b,c-\mathrm{T}(B\bar{x}^{\sigma}) \mid A-\bar{C}\bar{x}^{\sigma},B-x^{\sigma}\bar{B}x+cx-ax^{\sigma},C+\bar{x}\bar{A}).$$

$$(4.9)$$

We need to prove an auxiliary lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.2.** Suppose that  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}_F$  with  $x\bar{x} = 0$ . Then

- (i) x T(yx) = x(yx),
- (ii)  $T((xy)(z\bar{x})) = 0$ .

Proof.

(i) 
$$x T(yx) = x(yx + \bar{x}\bar{y}) = x(yx) + x(\bar{x}\bar{y}) = x(yx) + (x\bar{x})y = x(yx),$$

(ii) 
$$T((xy)(z\bar{x})) = T((z\bar{x})(xy)) = T(((z\bar{x})x)y) = T(z(x\bar{x})y) = 0.$$

Obviously, it is enough to verify that the elements  $N_x$  preserve the Hermitean form H. The individual terms in  $H(X) = aa^{\sigma} + bb^{\sigma} + cc^{\sigma} + T(A\bar{A}^{\sigma} + B\bar{B}^{\sigma} + C\bar{C}^{\sigma})$  are being mapped in the following way:

$$aa^{\sigma} \mapsto aa^{\sigma} - a^{\sigma} \operatorname{T}(C\bar{x}^{\sigma}) - a \operatorname{T}(C^{\sigma}\bar{x}) + \operatorname{T}(C^{\sigma}\bar{x}) \operatorname{T}(C\bar{x}^{\sigma}),$$

$$bb^{\sigma} \mapsto bb^{\sigma} + b^{\sigma} \operatorname{T}(\bar{C}x) + b \operatorname{T}(\bar{C}^{\sigma}x^{\sigma}) + \operatorname{T}(\bar{C}x) \operatorname{T}(\bar{C}^{\sigma}x^{\sigma}),$$

$$cc^{\sigma} \mapsto cc^{\sigma},$$

$$\operatorname{T}(A\bar{A}^{\sigma}) \mapsto \operatorname{T}(A\bar{A}^{\sigma}) + \operatorname{T}(AB^{\sigma}x^{\sigma}) + \operatorname{T}(\bar{x}\bar{B}\bar{A}^{\sigma}) + \operatorname{T}((\bar{x}\bar{B})(B^{\sigma}x^{\sigma})),$$

$$\operatorname{T}(B\bar{B}^{\sigma}) \mapsto \operatorname{T}(B\bar{B}^{\sigma}) - \operatorname{T}(\bar{A}\bar{x}^{\sigma}\bar{B}^{\sigma}) - \operatorname{T}(BxA^{\sigma}) + \operatorname{T}((\bar{A}\bar{x}^{\sigma})(xA^{\sigma})),$$

$$\operatorname{T}(C\bar{C}^{\sigma}) \mapsto \operatorname{T}(C\bar{C}^{\sigma}) - \operatorname{T}(C(\bar{x}^{\sigma}C^{\sigma}\bar{x})) - \operatorname{T}(C^{\sigma}(\bar{x}C\bar{x}^{\sigma})) + a \operatorname{T}(x\bar{C}^{\sigma}) +$$

$$+a^{\sigma} \operatorname{T}(C\bar{x}^{\sigma}) - b^{\sigma} \operatorname{T}(C\bar{x}) - b \operatorname{T}(x^{\sigma}\bar{C}^{\sigma}).$$

$$(4.10)$$

Using Lemmas 4.4.1 and 4.4.2, we get  $T((\bar{A}\bar{x}^{\sigma})(xA^{\sigma})) = 0 = T((\bar{x}\bar{B})(B^{\sigma}x^{\sigma}))$ . Next, we also obtain  $T(C(\bar{x}^{\sigma}C^{\sigma}\bar{x})) = T(C\bar{x}^{\sigma}T(C^{\sigma}\bar{x})) = T(C^{\sigma}\bar{x})T(C\bar{x}^{\sigma})$ . Likewise, we get  $T(C^{\sigma}(\bar{x}C\bar{x}^{\sigma})) = T((x^{\sigma}\bar{C}x)\bar{C}^{\sigma}) = T(\bar{C}^{\sigma}(x^{\sigma}\bar{C}x)) = T(\bar{C}^{\sigma}x^{\sigma})T(\bar{C}x)$ . We see that all the terms except  $aa^{\sigma}, bb^{\sigma}, cc^{\sigma}$  and  $T(A\bar{A}^{\sigma}), T(B\bar{B}^{\sigma}), T(C\bar{C}^{\sigma})$  cancel out, so it follows that the elements  $N_x$  preserve the Hermitean form. Hence, we have shown the following.

**Proposition 4.4.3.** The matrices  $N_x$ ,  $N'_x$ , and  $N''_x$  with  $x\bar{x}^{\sigma} = 0 = \bar{x}^{\sigma}x$ , encode the elements of  ${}^2SE_6(K/F)$ .

Of great interest for us is the action of  $N_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ . The rest of the section is devoted to proving the following result.

**Theorem 4.4.4.** The actions of the elements  $N_x$  on  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ , as x ranges through a split octonion algebra  $\mathbb{O}_K$ , generate a group of type  $\Omega_{10}^{-,K}(F)$ .

We prove this theorem in the series of steps. First, consider the 4-dimensional Ksubspace  $V_4$  of  $\mathbb{J}_K$ , spanned by the Albert vectors of the form  $(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C_{-1}e_{-1} + C_1e_1)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.5.** The actions of the elements  $N_{\lambda e_{\pm 1}}$  on  $V_4$ , where  $\lambda \in K$ , generate a group of type  $\Omega_4^{-,K}(F)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  for  $V_4$ , where

$$v_1 = (-1, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0),$$
  

$$v_2 = (0, 1, 0 \mid 0, 0, 0),$$
  

$$v_3 = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, -e_{-1}),$$
  

$$v_4 = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_1).$$

Now we look at the action of  $N_{\lambda e_{-1}}$  on these basis elements:

$$\begin{array}{lll} v_1 \mapsto & (-1,0,0 \mid 0,0,-\lambda e_{-1}) & = v_1 + \lambda v_3, \\ v_2 \mapsto & (0,1,0 \mid 0,0,-\lambda^\sigma e_{-1}) & = v_2 + \lambda^\sigma v_3, \\ v_3 \mapsto & (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,-e_{-1}) & = v_3, \\ v_4 \mapsto (-\lambda^\sigma,\lambda,0 \mid 0,0,-\lambda\lambda^\sigma e_{-1} + e1) & = -\lambda^\sigma v_1 + \lambda v_2 - \lambda\lambda^\sigma v_3 + v_4. \end{array}$$

It follows that the element  $N_{\lambda e_{-1}}$  can be written as a  $4 \times 4$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$[N_{\lambda e_{-1}}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \lambda^{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \lambda^{\sigma} & \lambda & \lambda \lambda^{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For convenience, instead of the element  $N_{\lambda e_1}$  we consider the element  $N_{-\lambda^{\sigma}e_1}$  which acts on the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} v_1 \mapsto & (-1,0,0 \mid 0,0,\lambda^{\sigma}e_1) & = v_1 + \lambda^{\sigma}v_4, \\ v_2 \mapsto & (0,1,0 \mid 0,0,\lambda e_1) & = v_2 + \lambda v_4, \\ v_3 \mapsto (-\lambda,\lambda^{\sigma},0 \mid 0,0,-e_{-1} + \lambda \lambda^{\sigma}e_1) & = \lambda v_1 + \lambda^{\sigma}v_2 + v_3 + \lambda \lambda^{\sigma}v_4, \\ v_4 \mapsto & (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,e_1) & = v_4. \end{array}$$

The matrix  $[N_{-\lambda^{\sigma}e_1}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  has the form

$$[N_{-\lambda^{\sigma}e_{1}}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{\sigma} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda^{\sigma} & 1 & \lambda\lambda^{\sigma} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider the basis  $\mathcal{B}' = \{v_3, v_4, v_1v_4\}$  obtained as a permutation of the elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ . With respect to  $\mathcal{B}'$  the  $4 \times 4$  matrices  $[N_{\lambda e_{-1}}]_{\mathcal{B}'}$  and  $[N_{-\lambda^{\sigma}e_1}]_{\mathcal{B}'}$  take the form

$$[N_{\lambda e_{-1}}]_{\mathcal{B}'} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda^{\sigma} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \lambda \lambda^{\sigma} & \lambda & \lambda^{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [N_{-\lambda^{\sigma} e_{1}}]_{\mathcal{B}'} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda^{\sigma} & \lambda & \lambda \lambda^{\sigma} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \lambda^{\sigma} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We notice that

$$[N_{\lambda e_{-1}}]_{\mathcal{B}'} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda^{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [N_{-\lambda^{\sigma} e_{1}}]_{\mathcal{B}'} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda^{\sigma} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product of two matrices. The mapping

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda^{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda^{\sigma} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

can be extended to a homomorphism  $\phi$  which is obviously surjective as  $\lambda$  ranges through the whole field K. Its kernel is a subgroup

$$\ker(\phi) = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

which has order 2, so we get the action of the group  $\operatorname{PSL}_2(K)$  on  $V_4$  since the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

generate a group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(K)$  by a well-known result. Therefore, as  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(K) \cong \Omega_4^-(q)$  (??), we have the action of  $\Omega_4^{-,K}(F)$ .

We again use the results of section ??. Consider the 6-dimensional K-subspace  $V_6$  spanned by the Albert vectors of the form  $(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C)$  with  $C \in \langle e_{-1}, e_{\overline{\omega}}, e_{-\overline{\omega}}, e_1 \rangle$ .

Our copy of  $\Omega_4^{-,K}(F)$  preserves two isotropic Albert Vectors in  $V_6$ :

$$u_{\overline{\omega}} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{\overline{\omega}}), u_{-\overline{\omega}} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{-\overline{\omega}}).$$
(4.11)

The element  $N_{e_{\overline{\omega}}}$  preserves  $u_{\overline{\omega}}$ , but not  $u_{-\overline{\omega}}$ . Therefore, adjoining its action to our  $\Omega_4^{-,K}(F)$ , we obtain a subgroup of  $V_4:\Omega_4^{-,K}(F)$ . We know that  $\Omega_4^{-,K}(F)$  is maximal in the latter, so we conclude that the actions of  $N_{\lambda e_{\pm 1}}$  and  $N_{e_{\overline{\omega}}}$  on  $V_6$  is that of  $V_4:\Omega_4^{-,K}(F)$ . Next, the element  $N_{e_{-\overline{\omega}}}$  preserves  $V_6$  but it does not preserve  $\langle u_{\overline{\omega}} \rangle$ , so by Theorem ??, adjoining  $N_{e_{-\overline{\omega}}}$  to  $V_4:\Omega_4^{-,K}(F)$ , we get the action of  $\Omega_6^{-,K}(F)$  on  $V_6$ .

Next, take the 8-space  $V_8$  spanned by the Albert vectors  $(a, b, 0 \mid 0, 0, C)$  with  $C \in \langle e_{-1}, e_{\overline{\omega}}, e_{\omega}, e_{-\omega}, e_{-\overline{\omega}}, e_1 \rangle$ , and consider two isotropic vectors

$$u_{\omega} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{\omega}), u_{-\omega} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{-\omega}),$$

$$(4.12)$$

which are fixed by our copy of  $\Omega_6^{-,K}(F)$ . The action of  $N_{e_{\omega}}$  on  $V_8$  preserves  $u_{\omega}$  but not  $u_{-\omega}$ , and therefore adjoining this element to  $\Omega_6^{-,K}(F)$  we get the action of the group  $V_6:\Omega_6^{-,K}(F)$ . The element  $N_{e_{-\omega}}$  does not preserve the 1-space  $\langle u_{\omega} \rangle$ , so appending it to  $V_6:\Omega_6^{-,K}(F)$ , we get the action of  $\Omega_8^{-,K}(F)$  on  $V_8$ .

Finally, we choose two isotropic Albert vectors

$$u_0 = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_0),$$
  

$$u_{-0} = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_{-0}).$$
(4.13)

in  $\mathbb{J}_{10}^{abC}$ . We adjoin the element  $N_{e_0}$  which fixes  $u_0$  but not  $u_{-0}$  to get the action of the group  $V_8:\Omega_8^{-,K}(F)$ . Appending to this the action of  $N_{e_{-0}}$  which does not preserve  $\langle u_0 \rangle$ , we obtain the action of  $\Omega_{10}^{-,K}(F)$ .

## 4.5 Action of ${}^{2}SE_{6}(K/F)$ on white points

As in the case of  $SE_6$ , we are interested in the action on white points. We will, however, see that although  $SE_6$  acts transitively on white points, the action of  ${}^2SE_6(K/F)$  splits into several orbits.

We first consider some examples. Suppose  $W_1 = (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,e_0)$ . As we know (3.21), it determines a 17-space  $\{ (a,b,0 \mid A,B,C) \mid e_0A = Be_0 = T(e_0\overline{C}) = 0 \}$ . A straightforward calculation shows that this 17-space  $U_1$  is spanned by the Albert vectors of the form  $(a,b,0 \mid A,B,C)$  with

$$A \in \langle e_{\overline{\omega}}, e_{\omega}, e_{-0}, e_{1} \rangle,$$

$$B \in \langle e_{-1}, e_{-0}, e_{-\omega}, e_{-\overline{\omega}} \rangle,$$

$$C \in \langle e_{-1}, e_{\overline{\omega}}, e_{\omega}, e_{0}, e_{-\omega}, e_{-\overline{\omega}}, e_{1} \rangle.$$

$$(4.14)$$

We are also interested in the radical  $R_1$  of H inside this 17-space. In our case it is spanned by the vectors of the form  $(0,0,0 \mid A,B,C)$  with

$$A \in \langle e_{\overline{\omega}}, e_{\omega}, e_{-0}, e_{1} \rangle,$$

$$B \in \langle e_{-1}, e_{-0}, e_{-\omega}, e_{-\overline{\omega}} \rangle,$$

$$C \in \langle e_{0} \rangle.$$

$$(4.15)$$

In other words, our vector  $W_1$  determines the 17-space  $U_1$  and the 9-dimensional radical  $R_1$  of H in  $U_1$ . Note that  $W_1$  is isotropic with respect to H, and also  $W_1 \in R_1$ .

It turns out that there is another type of isotropic white vectors. Consider  $W_2 = (0, 0, 0 \mid 0, 0, e_0 + \lambda e_1)$ , where  $\lambda \in K \setminus F$ . It again determines a 17-space  $U_2$ , spanned by the Albert vectors of the form  $(a, b, 0 \mid A, B, C)$  with

$$A \in \langle e_{\overline{\omega}} + \lambda e_{-\omega}, e_{\omega} - \lambda e_{-\overline{\omega}}, e_{-0}, e_{1} \rangle,$$

$$B \in \langle e_{-1} + \lambda e_{0}, e_{-0} - \lambda e_{1}, e_{-\omega}, e_{-\overline{\omega}} \rangle,$$

$$C \in \langle e_{-1} - \lambda^{2} e_{1} - \lambda, e_{\overline{\omega}}, e_{\omega}, e_{0} + \lambda e_{1}, e_{-\omega}, e_{-\overline{\omega}}, e_{1} \rangle.$$

$$(4.16)$$

We find that the radical  $R_2$  of H in  $U_2$  is spanned by the vectors of the form  $(0,0,0 \mid A,B,C)$  with

$$A \in \langle e_{-0}, e_{1} \rangle, B \in \langle e_{-\omega}, e_{-\overline{\omega}} \rangle, C \in \langle e_{0} + \lambda^{q} e_{1} \rangle,$$

$$(4.17)$$

i.e. it is 5-dimensional. We notice that  $W_2$  is isotropic, but in this case  $W_2 \notin R_2$ .

We coclude that the white points  $\langle W_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle W_2 \rangle$  belong to different orbuts under the action of  ${}^2\mathrm{SE}_6(K/F)$ . Of course, there is also at least one orbit on the

non-isotropic white points.

- 4.5.1 Orbits of  ${}^{2}SE_{6}^{K}(F)$  on white points
- 4.5.2 The stabiliser of type 1 vector
- 4.5.3 The stabiliser of type 2 vector
- 4.5.4 The stabiliser of type 3 vector

#### 4.6 Case of a finite field

## 4.6.1 White vectors in $\mathbb{J}_8^C$

As a practical counting excersise, we count the isotropic white vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$ . As before,  $K = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . First, we need the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 4.6.1.** Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  of dimension 2m. Define the map  $Z_m: V \to \mathbb{F}_q$  in the following way:

$$Z_m(x) = (x_1^q - x_1)(x_2^q - x_2) + (x_3^q - x_3)(x_4^q - x_4) + \dots + (x_{2m-1}^q - x_{2m-1})(x_{2m}^q - x_{2m}),$$

where  $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2m})$ . Denote by  $z_m$  the number of  $x \in V$  such that  $Z_m(x) = 0$ . Then

$$z_n = q^{3m-1}(q^m + q - 1).$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on m. If m=1, the equality  $Z_m(x)=0$  reduces to

$$(x_1^q - x_1)(x_2^q - x_2) = 0.$$

Note that this is possible when  $x_1^q = x_1$  or  $x_2^q = x_2$ , i.e. when  $x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  or  $x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Thus, when m = 1 there are precisely  $2q^3 - q^2 = q^2(q + q - 1)$  solutions.

Now suppose that the statement holds for all integers k such that  $1 \le k \le m-1$ . In the case

$$(x_1^q - x_1)(x_2^q - x_2) = 0, (x_3^q - x_3)(x_4^q - x_4) + \dots + (x_{2m-1}^q - x_{2m-1})(x_{2m}^q - x_{2m}) = 0,$$

we get  $z_1 z_{m-1}$  solutions. On the other hand, if

$$(x_1^q - x_1)(x_2^q - x_2) = \lambda, (x_3^q - x_3)(x_4^q - x_4) + \dots + (x_{2m-1}^q - x_{2m-1})(x_{2m}^q - x_{2m}) = -\lambda$$

for  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , there are

$$(q^4 - z_1) \frac{(q^{4(m-1)} - z_{m-1})}{q - 1}$$

solutions. We divide the second factor by (q-1) since each pair  $(x_1, x_2)$  satisfying the first equation, fixes the value of  $\lambda$  for the second equation. Overall we have

$$z_m = z_1 z_{m-1} + (q^4 - z_1) \frac{(q^{4(m-1)} - z_{m-1})}{q-1}.$$

Thus, we have obtained a recurrence relation and by substituting  $z_1$  and  $z_{m-1}$  in it, we finally obtain  $z_m = q^{3m-1}(q^m + q - 1)$ .

The following theorem allows us to count the elements of V satisfying simultaneously a certain quadratic and a certain Hermitean form.

**Theorem 4.6.2.** Let V be an vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  of dimension 2m. Let the quadratic form  $Q_m: V \to \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  be defined as

$$Q_m(x) = x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4 + \dots + x_{2m-1} x_{2m}, \tag{4.18}$$

where  $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2m})$ , and also define the Hermitean form  $H_m : V \to \mathbb{F}_q$  by

$$H_m(x) = x_1^q x_2 + x_1 x_2^q + x_3^q x_4 + x_3 x_4^q + \dots + x_{2m-1}^q x_{2m} + x_{2m-1} x_{2m}^q.$$
 (4.19)

Let  $w_m$  be the number of  $x \in V$  such that

$$Q_m(x) = 0, 
H_m(x) = 0.$$
(4.20)

Then

$$w_m = q^{2m} + q^{2m-1}(q^m - 1)(q^{m-2} + 1). (4.21)$$

*Proof.* We again proceed by induction on m. When m = 1, the system (4.20) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x_1 x_2 = 0, \\ x_1^q x_2 + x_1 x_2^q = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that each pair  $(x_1, x_2)$  which satisfies the first equation also satisfies the second one, so in this case the number of solutions is  $2q^2 - 1 = q^2 + q(q-1)(q^{-1}+1)$ .

Suppose now that the statement holds for all integers k such that  $1 \le k \le m-1$  and consider the following system:

$$x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \dots + x_{2m-1}x_{2m} = 0, x_1^q x_2 + x_1x_2^q + x_3^q x_4 + x_3x_4^q + \dots + x_{2m-1}^q x_{2m} + x_{2m-1}x_{2m}^q = 0.$$

We distinguish two cases.

First, consider the case  $x_1 = 0$ . Then  $x_2$  can take any of the  $q^2$  possible values and the remaining system is equivalent to

$$\left. \begin{array}{l}
Q_{m-1}(x) = 0, \\
H_{m-1}(x) = 0,
\end{array} \right\}$$

so there are  $q^2w_{m-1}$  solutions in this case.

Now suppose that  $x_1 \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality we may consider the case  $x_1 = 1$ . The system (4.20) takes the form

$$\begin{cases}
 x_2 = -x_3 x_4 - \dots - x_{2m-1} x_{2m}, \\
 x_2 + x_2^q + x_3^q x_4 + x_3 x_4^q + \dots + x_{2m-1}^q x_{2m} + x_{2m-1} x_{2m}^q = 0.
\end{cases}$$

We substitute  $x_2$  from the first equation into the second one to obtain

$$(x_3^q - x_3)(x_4^q - x_4) + \dots + (x_{2m-1}^q - x_{2m-1})(x_{2m}^q - x_{2m}) = 0.$$

Using the result of Lemma 4.6.1, we obtain that in this case there are  $(q^2 - 1)z_{m-1}$  solutions. In total, we obtain the following recurrence relation:

$$w_n = q^2 w_{m-1} + (q^2 - 1) z_{m-1}.$$

By substituting the appropriate values for  $w_{m-1}$  and  $z_{m-1}$ , we obtain the result.

An Albert vector  $(0,0,0\mid 0,0,C)\in \mathbb{J}_8^C$  is white if and only if  $C\overline{C}=0$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{O}_K$  is split, so we can use our favourite basis  $\{e_i\mid i\in\pm I\}$ . Note that with respect to this basis  $C\overline{C}=0$  is equivalent to

$$C_{-1}C_1 + C_{\overline{\omega}}C_{-\overline{\omega}} + C_{\omega}C_{-\omega} + C_{-0}C_0 = 0. \tag{4.22}$$

Next,  $(0,0,0 \mid 0,0,C)$  is isotropic if and only if  $T(C\overline{C}^{\sigma}) = 0$ , which is equivalent to

$$C_{-1}^{q}C_{1} + C_{-1}C_{1}^{q} + C_{\overline{\omega}}^{q}C_{-\overline{\omega}} + C_{\overline{\omega}}C_{-\overline{\omega}}^{q} + C_{\omega}^{q}C_{-\omega} + C_{\omega}C_{-\omega}^{q} + C_{-0}^{q}C_{0} + C_{-0}C_{0}^{q} = 0.$$
 (4.23)

We know that there are exactly  $(q^8-1)(q^6+1)$  white vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$ . Furthermore, there are

$$w_4 - 1 = (q^2 + 1)(q^3 + 1)(q^3(q^2 + 1)(q - 1) + (q^5 + 1))$$
(4.24)

isotropic white vectors of the form  $(0,0,0 \mid 0,0,C)$  and

$$q^{6}(q^{4}-1)(q^{3}-1)(q-1) (4.25)$$

non-isotropic white vectors of the same form.

Now, using Proposition 4.2.3, we find that the full subgroup of  $SE_6(K)$  which preserves Q and H on  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$  has three orbits on white points, and the sizes of these orbits are given by

(i) 
$$(q^4-1)(q^3+1)/(q-1)$$
;

(ii) 
$$q(q^6-1)(q^4-1)(q^2+1)/(q^2-1)$$
;

(iii) 
$$q^6(q^4-1)(q^3-1)/(q+1)$$
.

Of these, the first two are isotropic, while the last one is non-isotropic.

## 4.6.2 White vectors in $\mathbb{J}_{16}^{BC}$

We can also count the isotropic white vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_{16}^{BC}$ ,  $K = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Suppose  $X = (0,0,0 \mid 0,B,C)$  is white and note that the whiteness conditions take form  $B\bar{B} = C\bar{C} = 0 = BC$ .

First, we count the white vectors  $(0,0,0 \mid 0,B,C)$  such that  $B \neq 0$  and  $C \neq 0$ . We notice that given  $B \neq 0$  and  $B\overline{B} = 0 = BC$ , we automatically have  $C\overline{C} = 0$ . Indeed, for if  $C\overline{C} \neq 0$ , C is invertible and BC = 0 implies B = 0, a contradiction. So, there are  $(q^8 - 1)(q^6 + 1)$  choices for B and  $(q^8 - 1)$  choices for C (see Lemma 2.5.2). In total, there are  $(q^8 - 1)^2(q^6 + 1)$  white vectors with  $B \neq 0$  and  $C \neq 0$ .

To count the isotropic white vectors of the form  $(0,0,0\mid 0,B,C)$  we distiguish two cases:

$$B\overline{B} = BC = 0, 
T(B\overline{B}^{\sigma}) = 0, 
T(C\overline{C}^{\sigma}) = 0,$$

$$B\overline{B} = BC = 0, 
T(B\overline{B}^{\sigma}) = \lambda \neq 0, 
T(C\overline{C}^{\sigma}) = -\lambda.$$
(4.26)

In the previous section we learned that the subgroup of  $SE_6(K)$ , preserving Q and H on  $\mathbb{J}_8^C$  has two orbits on isotropic white points. Proposition 4.2.3 the first orbit consists of white points  $\langle X \rangle$  where X is written over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . That is, its representatives are one-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -subspaces generated by the white vectors written over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Suppose  $X_C = (0,0,0 \mid 0,0,C)$  belongs to the first orbit. By taking a particular candidate for C, it is easy to see that in this case there are  $q^8 - 1$  choices for B. Now, if  $X_C$  belongs to the second orbit, there are  $q^4(q^3 + q^2 - q) - 1$  choices for B. If, on the other hand,  $X_C$  is non-isotropic, then there are  $q^3(q^4 - 1)$  choices for B, and overall we have

$$(q^{4}-1)(q^{3}+1)(q+1)(q^{8}-1)$$

$$+ q(q^{6}-1)(q^{4}-1)(q^{2}+1)(q^{4}(q^{3}+q^{2}-q)-1)$$

$$+ q^{6}(q^{4}-1)(q^{3}-1)(q-1)q^{3}(q^{4}-1)$$

$$= (q^{13}+q^{11}-q^{10}+2q^{9}+q^{8}+2q^{7}-q^{6}+2q^{4}+1)(q^{4}-1)^{2} (4.27)$$

isotropic white vectors of the form  $(0,0,0 \mid 0,B,C)$  with  $B,C \neq 0$ .

Recall that the group preserving  $Q_4$  and  $H_4$  has two orbits on the isotropic white points in  $J_8$  with  $(q^4-1)(q^3+1)/(q-1)$  and  $q(q^6-1)(q^4-1)(q^2+1)/(q^2-1)$  elements. Again, by PropositionThe first orbit consists of white points  $\langle X \rangle$  where X is written over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . That is, its representatives are one-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -subspaces generated by the white vectors written over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Since we know the totality of white vectors of

this form, we find that there are

$$q^{6}(q^{4}-1)^{2}(q^{4}+2)(q^{3}-1)(q-1)$$
(4.28)

non-isotropic white vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_{16}^{BC}$  with  $B,C\neq 0$ . Overall there are

$$q^{6}(q^{4}-1)^{2}(q^{4}+2)(q^{3}-1)(q-1)$$

$$+ 2q^{6}(q^{4}-1)(q^{3}-1)(q-1)$$

$$= q^{10}(q^{8}-1)(q^{3}-1)(q-1)$$
 (4.29)

non-isotropic white vectors in  $\mathbb{J}_{16}^{BC}$ .

A: Some private life of  $\Omega_{2m}(F,Q)$ 

**B:** 
$$\Omega_4^+(F) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \circ \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$$

C: 
$$\Omega_4^{-,K}(F) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(K)$$

# D: Magma code