

## 19. Sugar-sweetened beverages and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of observational prospective studies (Douglas Weed)

Douglas L. Weed, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D., DLW Consulting Services LLC, Salt Lake City, UT 84103, Dominik D. Alexander, Ph.D., M.S.P.H., Cara L. Frankenfeld, Ph.D.

**Background.** Whether an association exists between sugar-sweetened beverages (SSB) and type 2 diabetes (T2D) is unclear. We undertook a meta-analysis of observational studies to examine this issue.

**Methods.** We searched PubMed and Scopus to identify prospective observational studies on SSB and T2DM. Data were combined using random effects meta-analysis. We identified possible confounders, assessed the control of confounding, and calculated summary relative risks (SRREs) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) including dose-response. Publication bias was assessed using funnel plots and Egger's regression.

**Results.** Eight studies met inclusion criteria. The summary estimate for extreme categories of SSB intake across fully adjusted models (SRRE=1.16; 95% confidence interval, 1.07-1.26) relative to the age-adjusted models (SRRE=1.47) resulted in a large (66%) attenuation in T2D risk and decreased the variance due to statistical heterogeneity (85% to 37%). A dose-dependent increase in risk was observed for categories of SSB intake up to 1 serving/day, although not for the highest serving category (2+/day). Many confounding factors influenced the SSB-T2D association, which differed for each selected study. Statistical examination did not suggest publication bias; however, a tally of cohorts that have reported on diet and T2D (N=22) suggests that the SSB-T2D relationship has been published in only a subset (N=8).

**Conclusion.** Although positive associations between SSB intake and T2D were observed in several meta-analysis models, interpretation is complicated by confounding and the potential for selective reporting of results across cohort studies.

**Source of Support:** Funding was provided by The Coca-Cola Company. By contractual agreement, all decisions regarding the content of the manuscript—including design, analysis, and interpretation—rest solely with the authors.

## 20. Effect of a Low-Glycemic Index/Load Diet on Body Weight: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials (Catherine Braunstein)

Catherine R. Braunstein<sup>\*12</sup>, Cyril WC Kendall<sup>234</sup>, Vivian Choo<sup>23</sup>, Sonia Blanco Mejia<sup>2</sup>, Vanessa Ha<sup>25</sup>, Livia Augustin<sup>2</sup>, Cyril WC Kendall<sup>234</sup>, John L. Sievenpiper<sup>2367</sup>

<sup>1</sup>New College, University of Toronto, <sup>2</sup>Toronto 3D Knowledge Synthesis and Clinical Trials Unit, Risk Factor Modification Center, St. Michael's Hospital, <sup>3</sup>Department of Nutritional Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, <sup>4</sup>College of Pharmacy and Nutrition, University of Saskatchewan, <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical Epidemiology & Biostatistics, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, <sup>6</sup>Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, <sup>7</sup>Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, St. Michael's Hospital.

**Objective:** It is unclear whether low glycemic index and load diets contribute to weight loss. To synthesize the evidence of the effect of low glycemic index/load (GI/GL) diets on body weight in order to inform clinical practice guidelines.