Peer graded assignment regression models

yinshu zhang October 24, 2018

Introduction

This study if conducted by Motor Trend magazine to explore the relationship between a set of variables and the fuel consumption. The focus of this paper is to address two questions.

"Is an automatic or manual transmission better for MPG"

"Quantify the MPG difference between automatic and manual transmissions"

Data Exploration

```
dim.mtcars <- dim(mtcars)</pre>
```

We will use "mtcars" data to draw conclusions, mtcars is a 32 by 11 data frame, with columns defined as:

| column name | defination |
|-------------|---|
| mpg | miles per gallon(US) |
| cyl | number of cylinders |
| disp | engine displacement(cu. in.) |
| hp | Gross horsepower |
| drat | Rear axle ratio |
| wt | Weight (1000 lbs) |
| qsec | zero to 1/4 mile time in seconds |
| vs | Engine shape $(0 = V\text{-shaped}, 1 = \text{straight})$ |
| am | Transmission $(0 = automatic, 1 = manual)$ |
| gear | Number of forward gears |
| carb | Number of carburetors |

A quick look at each variables

```
## Loading required package: ggplot2
```

MPG ANOVA test against AM

To answer first question, is automatic or manula transmission better or worse for MPG. We will use null hyposis that MPG is the same between auto and manual.

```
anova1 <- aov(mpg ~ am, data = mtcars)
summary(anova1)</pre>
```

```
## Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## am 1 405.2 405.2 16.86 0.000285 ***
## Residuals 30 720.9 24.0
## ---
```

```
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 the result shows strong evident rejecting H0
```

Regression

Executive Summary