

Tutorial Week 5 Solution

STAT3023: Statistical Inference

Semester 2, 2022

1. (a) For each X_i , we have the pmf

$$p_{X_i}(x_i) = P(X_i = x_i) = \theta^{x_i}(1 - \theta)^{1-x_i}, \quad x_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

The likelihood of θ is therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n p_{X_i}(X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{X_i}(1 - \theta)^{1-X_i} = \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \\ &= \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} (1 - \theta)^n. \end{aligned}$$

This likelihood can be written in the canonical form of the exponential family as

$$L(\theta) = I_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left\{ \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + n \log(1 - \theta) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \{(X_1, \dots, X_n) : X_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$. It is in the exponential family form with $\eta = \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)$, $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ being the natural parameter and a sufficient statistic, respectively. To identify $A^*(\eta)$, note that from $\eta = \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)$, we have

$$e^\eta = \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta}, \quad e^\eta - \theta e^\eta = \theta, \quad e^\eta = \theta(e^\eta + 1),$$

so $\theta = \frac{e^\eta}{1 + e^\eta}$. Therefore,

$$A^*(\eta) = -n \log(1 - \theta) = -n \log \left(1 - \frac{e^\eta}{1 + e^\eta} \right) = -n \log \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^\eta} \right) = n \log(1 + e^\eta).$$

From this exponential family form, we have

$$E\{T(\mathbf{x})\} = \frac{dA^*}{d\eta} = n \frac{e^\eta}{1 + e^\eta} = n\theta,$$

$$\text{Var}\{T(\mathbf{x})\} = \frac{d^2 A^*}{d\eta^2} = n \frac{e^\eta(1 + e^\eta) - e^\eta e^\eta}{(1 + e^\eta)^2} = \frac{ne^\eta}{(1 + e^\eta)^2} = \frac{ne^\eta}{1 + e^\eta} \frac{1}{1 + e^\eta} = n\theta(1 - \theta).$$

- (b) By a similar argument, the likelihood of θ is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(X_i - \theta)^2 \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{n}{2} \theta^2 \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right) \exp \left(\theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{n}{2} \theta^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

This likelihood function is already in the canonical form of the exponential family, with $h(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp(-(1/2) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)$ (we don't write out the support function explicitly since there is no constraint on X_i). The natural parameter is $\eta = \theta$, and $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic. The function $A^*(\eta) = (n/2)\theta^2 = (n/2)\eta^2$. From this exponential family form, we have

$$E\{T(\mathbf{x})\} = \frac{dA^*}{d\eta} = n\eta = n\theta,$$

$$\text{Var}\{T(\mathbf{x})\} = \frac{d^2A^*}{d\eta^2} = n.$$

(c) The likelihood of θ is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\theta} X_i^2\right)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \frac{n}{2} \log(\theta)\right)$$

This likelihood function is already in the canonical form of the exponential family, with $h(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}$ (we don't write out the support function explicitly since there is no constraint on X_i). The natural parameter is $\eta = -1/(2\theta)$, and $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is a sufficient statistic.

2. (a) We note that $E(X) = \alpha\beta$. Hence, for the method-of-moment estimator, we set the first sample moment $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ equals to $E(X)$, i.e

$$\bar{X} = \alpha\beta.$$

Hence, a method-of-moment estimate for β is $\hat{\beta}_{mm} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha}$.

For the maximum likelihood estimator, the likelihood function of β is

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} (X_i)^{\alpha-1} e^{-X_i/\beta}$$

$$= \{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha\}^{-n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/\beta}.$$

The corresponding log likelihood is

$$\ell(\beta) = \log L(\beta) = -n \log \Gamma(\alpha) - n\alpha \log(\beta) + (\alpha-1) \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\beta}. \quad (1)$$

To find the maximum likelihood estimator, we differentiate the log likelihood with respect to β and set it equal to zero. In this context,

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = \frac{-n\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\beta^2} = \frac{-n\alpha\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\beta^2} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$-n\alpha\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 0, \quad \hat{\beta}_{mle} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n\alpha} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha}.$$

- (b) If both α and β are unknown, then we need to maximize the log likelihood 1 with respect to both α and β . Hence, we need to differentiate the log likelihood with both α and β and set them equals zero. Specifically,

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \alpha} = -n \frac{\Gamma'(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - n \log(\beta) + \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right) = 0. \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = \frac{-n\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\beta^2} = \frac{-n\alpha\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\beta^2} = 0. \quad (3)$$

From (3), we have $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i / (n\alpha)$, or $\beta = \bar{X} / \alpha$. Substituting in into (2), we then have the equation to solve for the maximum likelihood of α is

$$-n \frac{\Gamma'(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - n \log \left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha} \right) + \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right) = 0$$

3. (a) Note that for each X_i , the pmf is

$$p_{X_i}(x) = P(X_i = x) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!}$$

The likelihood function of λ is therefore given by

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_{X_i}(X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{X_i}}{X_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n X_i!}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding log likelihood is

$$\ell(\lambda) = \log L(\lambda) = -n\lambda + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \log(\lambda) - \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i! \right)$$

To find the maximum likelihood estimator, we differentiate the log likelihood function with respect to λ and set it equal to zero. In this context,

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \lambda} = -n + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\lambda} = 0,$$

so the maximum likelihood estimator of λ is $\hat{\lambda}_{mle} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \bar{X}$. Hence, the corresponding maximum likelihood estimator for $\theta = e^{-\lambda}$ is $\hat{\theta}_1 = e^{-\bar{X}}$.

- (b) To find the bias and variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$, we need to compute $E(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(e^{-\bar{X}})$ and $E(\hat{\theta}_1^2) = E(e^{-2\bar{X}})$. We can use the moment-generating function to do it. The moment generating function of each X_i is

$$M_{X_i}(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}.$$

Since X_i are iid, then we have

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t) = e^{n\lambda(e^t - 1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$M_{\bar{X}}(t) = M_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(t/n) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t) = e^{n\lambda(e^{t/n}-1)} = \theta^{-n(e^{t/n}-1)}$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\theta}_1) &= E(e^{-\bar{X}}) = M_{\bar{X}}(-1) = \theta^{-n(e^{-1/n}-1)}, \\ E(\hat{\theta}_1^2) &= E(e^{-2\bar{X}}) = M_{\bar{X}}(-2) = \theta^{-n(e^{-2/n}-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally the corresponding bias and variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}_1) &= E(\hat{\theta}_1) - \theta = \theta^{-n(e^{-1/n}-1)} - \theta \\ \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1) &= E(\hat{\theta}_1^2) - \left\{ E(\hat{\theta}_1) \right\}^2 = \theta^{-n(e^{-2/n}-1)} - \theta^{-2n(e^{-1/n}-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Y just counts the number of zeros out of n trials, so $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$.
(d) An unbiased estimator for θ is $\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{Y}{n}$. The corresponding variance of $\hat{\theta}_2$ is

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{Y}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}(Y) = \frac{1}{n^2} n\theta(1-\theta) = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}.$$

- (e) Since $E(X) = \text{Var}X = \lambda$. Hence, by the central limit theorem, we have

$$\sqrt{n}|\bar{X} - \lambda| \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \lambda)$$

Consider $g(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda} = \theta$, so $\theta = -\log(\theta)$ then $g'(\theta) = -e^{-\lambda}$. An application of the Delta method gives

$$\sqrt{n}|e^{-\bar{X}} - e^{-\lambda}| \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \lambda e^{-2\lambda}),$$

or in other words,

$$\sqrt{n}|\hat{\theta}_2 - \theta| \xrightarrow{d} N(0, -\theta^2 \log \theta),$$

Therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the relative efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ is

$$\frac{-\theta^2 \log(\theta)}{\theta(1-\theta)} = \frac{-\theta \log(\theta)}{1-\theta}$$