## THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

## Solution to Tutorial Week 3

STAT3023: Statistical Inference

Semester 2, 2022

1. (a) We have  $Cov(X_i, X_j) = E(X_i X_j) - E(X_i) E(X_j)$ . We have proved in the lecture that  $X_i \sim Bin(m, p_i)$ , so  $E(X_i) = mp_i$  for any i, so we only need to compute  $E(X_i X_j)$ .

We have proved in the lecture that  $X_i \sim \text{Bin}(m, p_i)$  for any i = 1, ..., n, so we will use the argument that a binomial random variable is the sum of independent Bernoulli random variables. More specifically, we can write  $X_i = \sum_{k=1}^m X_{ik}$  and  $X_j = \sum_{l=1}^m X_{jl}$  where  $X_{ik} = 1$  if the kth trial results in the ith outcome, and  $X_{jl} = 1$  if the lth trials results in the jth outcome, and 0 otherwise.

Therefore,

$$Cov(X_i, X_j) = Cov\left(\sum_{k=1}^m X_{ik}, \sum_{l=1}^m X_{jl}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^m Cov(X_{ik}, X_{jl}).$$

Note that if  $j \neq l$ , then  $Cov(X_{ik}, X_{jl}) = 0$  since the kth and the jth trials are independent. Hence, we can reduce the above double sum to be

$$Cov(X_i, X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} Cov(X_{ik}, X_{jk}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \{ E(X_{ik} X_{jk}) - E(X_{ik}) E(X_{jk}) \}$$

For the kth trial, the product  $X_{ik}X_{jk}$  is always equal to zero, because we can only observe only one outcome (i.e at most only one of  $X_{ik}$  and  $X_{jk}$  can be one), hence  $E(X_{ik}X_{jk}) = 0$ . Furthermore, since  $X_{ik}$  is Bernoulli with probability  $p_i$ , then  $E(X_{ik}) = p_i$ . As a result, we have

$$Cov(X_i, X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} Cov(X_{ik}, X_{jk}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-p_i p_j) = -m p_i p_j.$$

(b) We have

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2) = \sum_{(x_3, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}} p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \frac{m!}{x_1! x_2!} p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \sum_{(x_3, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{x_3! \dots x_n!} p_3^{x_3} \dots p_n^{x_n}$$
(1)

 $p_3+\ldots+p_n=1-p_1-p_2$ , and over the set  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have  $x_3+\ldots+x_n=m-x_1-x_2$ . The multinomial theorem gives

$$(1-p_1-p_2)^{m-x_1-x_2} = (p_3+\ldots+p_n)^{m-x_1-x_2} = \sum_{(x_3,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathcal{A}} \frac{(m-x_1-x_2)!}{x_3!\ldots x_n!} p_3^{x_3}\ldots p_n^{x_n},$$

so we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{(x_3,\dots,x_n)\in\mathcal{A}\\ (x_1+\dots,x_n)\in\mathcal{A}}} \frac{1}{x_3!\dots x_n!} p_3^{x_3}\dots p_n^{x_n} = \frac{(1-p_1-p_2)^{m-x_1-x_2}}{(m-x_1-x_2)!}.$$

Substituting it into (1), we have

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2) = \frac{m!}{x_1! x_2! (m - x_1 - x_2)!} p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} (1 - p_1 - p_2)^{m - x_1 - x_2}.$$

We can recognize that this probability is the joint pmf for a multinomial distribution with m trials and the cell probabilities  $\mathbf{p}_{1,2} = (p_1, p_2, 1 - p_1 - p_2)$ .

(c) By definition of conditional probability, we have

$$P(X_3 = x_3, \dots, X_n = x_n | X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2) = \frac{P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = X_n)}{P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2)}.$$

The numerator is the joint pmf of **X** at  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ , so it is equal to

$$P(X_1 = x_1, \dots X_n = X_n) = p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{m!}{x_1! \dots x_n!} p_1^{x_1} \dots p_n^{x_n}.$$

The denominator is the marginal distribution of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  we computed. Therefore,

$$P(X_3 = x_3, \dots, X_n = x_n | X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2)$$

$$= \frac{\frac{p_3^{x_3} \dots p_n^{x_n}}{x_3! \dots x_n!}}{\frac{(1 - p_1 - p_2)^{m - x_1 - x_2}}{(m - x_1 - x_2)!}}$$

$$= \frac{(m - x_1 - x_2)!}{x_3! \dots x_n!} \left(\frac{p_3}{1 - p_1 - p_2}\right)^{x_3} \dots \left(\frac{p_n}{1 - p_1 - p_2}\right)^{x_n}.$$

This is the joint pdf of a multinomial distribution with  $m - x_1 - x_2$  trials and the cell probabilities

$$\mathbf{p}_{-1,-2} = \left(\frac{p_3}{1 - p_1 - p_2}, \dots, \frac{p_n}{1 - p_1 - p_2}\right)$$

2. Since  $g(x) = F_X(x)$  is a monotone increasing function, so is its inverse  $g^{-1}$ . Hence, for any 0 < y < 1,

$$F_Y(y) = P(Y \le y) = P\{F_X(X) \le y\}$$
$$= P\{X \le F_X^{-1}(y)\}$$
$$\stackrel{(i)}{=} F_X(F_X^{-1}(y)) = y,$$

where step (i) follows from the definition of  $F_X$ . Hence,

$$f_y(y) = F_Y'(y) = 1,$$

so Y follows a continuous uniform distribution on (0,1).

**3.** Y is a discrete random variable and has realized values on the integer set. For any  $y = 1, 2, \ldots$ , the probability mass function of Y is given by

$$P(Y = y) = P(y - 1 \le X \le y) = \int_{y-1}^{y} f_X(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{y-1}^{y} e^{-x} dx$$

$$= -e^{-x} \Big|_{x=y-1}^{x=y}$$

$$= e^{-(y-1)} - e^{-y} = e^{-(y-1)} (1 - e^{-1})$$

This is the pmf of a Geometric distribution, with  $p = 1 - e^{-1}$ .

**4.** The transformation between (X,Y) and (Z,Y) is one-to-one, since we can recover (X,Y) from (Z,Y). In fact, we have  $X=Z/Y^2$ . The Jacobian of the transformation is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial X}{\partial Z} & \frac{\partial X}{\partial Y} \\ \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial Y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/Y^2 & -Z/2Y^3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the corresponding determinant is  $|J| = 1/Y^2$ . Hence, the joint density of (Y, Z) is given by

$$f_{Z,Y}(z,y) = f_{X,Y}(z,y)|J| = 12\frac{z}{y^2}y(1-y)\frac{1}{y^2} = \frac{12z(1-y)}{y^3}.$$

Since the range of X and Y are between 0 and 1, this above joint density is only defined when 0 < y < 1 and  $0 \le z/y^2 < 1$ , i.e 0 < y < 1 and  $0 < z < y^2$ . This range is critical in establishing the density of Z as

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{\sqrt{z}}^1 f_{Z,Y}(z,y) dy = 12z \int_{\sqrt{z}}^1 \frac{1-y}{y^3} dy = 12z \int_{\sqrt{z}}^1 (y^{-3} - y^{-2}) dy$$

$$= 12z \left( \frac{y^{-2}}{-2} - \frac{y^{-1}}{-1} \right) \Big|_{y=\sqrt{z}}^{y=1}$$

$$= 12z \left( -\frac{1}{2} + 1 + \frac{1}{2z} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \right)$$

$$= 6z + 6 - 12\sqrt{z}, \ 0 < z < 1.$$

5. (a) Since U and V are independent, then

$$f_{U,V}(u,v) = f_U u f_V(v) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) 2^{m/2}} u^{\frac{m}{2} - 1} e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \cdot 2^{n/2}} v^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} e^{-\frac{v}{2}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) 2^{(m+n)/2}} u^{\frac{m}{2} - 1} v^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} e^{-\frac{u+v}{2}}.$$

Consider the transformation from (U, V) to  $X = \frac{U/m}{V/n}$  and Y = V. This bivariate transformation is one-to-one, since we can recover (U, V) from (X, Y).

Specifically, we would have U = (m/n)XY and V = Y. The corresponding Jacobian is given by

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{m}{n}Y & \frac{m}{n}X \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so its determinant is  $|J| = \frac{m}{n}Y$ . Hence, the joint density of (X, Y) is given by

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_{U,V}(x,y)|J|$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})2^{(m+n)/2}} \left(\frac{m}{n}xy\right)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} y^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{(m/n)xy+y}{2}} \frac{m}{n}y$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})2^{(m+n)/2}} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{m/2} x^{\frac{m}{2}-1} y^{\frac{m+n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{(m/n)xy+y}{2}}.$$

Finally, we can get the density of X by integrating Y out of the joint density.

$$f_X(x) = \int_0^\infty f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) 2^{(m+n)/2}} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{m/2} x^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{m+n}{2}-1} \exp\left\{-y\left(\frac{mx/n+1}{2}\right)\right\} dy.$$

The last integral is the unnormalized density of a Gamma distribution with rate  $\alpha = (m+n)/2$  and scale  $\beta = 2/(mx/n+1)$ . Hence,

$$\int_0^\infty y^{\frac{m+n}{2}-1} \exp\left\{-y\left(\frac{mx/n+1}{2}\right)\right\} = \Gamma\left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{mx/n+1}\right)^{(m+n)/2}.$$

Substituting this into the density of X and rearranging terms, we get

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{m/2} x^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \left(1 + \frac{mx}{n}\right)^{-(m+n)/2}.$$

(b) While we can derive the density of  $T^2$ , an easier way to show it is to use the definition of the t and the F-distribution. Let Z be the standard normal random variable and V be a chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom and be independent of Z. Then, we can write

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/n}}.$$

Therefore,

$$T^2 = \frac{Z^2}{V/n} = \frac{U}{V/n} = \frac{U/1}{V/n}$$

where  $U = Z^2 \sim \chi_1^2$ . Since Z is independent of V, so is U. Comparing it with the definition of an F distribution, we can conclude  $T^2 \sim F_{1,n}$ .