

Optimal estimation theory

Dr. Linh Nghiem

STAT3023

Setup (Review from STAT2011/2911)

- X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from a population distribution $f_X(\theta)$, i.e $X_i \sim f_X(x; \theta)$ and they are mutually independent.
- The **parameter** θ characterizes the distribution, but is unknown.
- Estimation problem: construct a function of X_1, \dots, X_n that provides information about θ .
 - ◇ Any function of X_1, \dots, X_n is called a **statistic**.
 - ◇ The statistic that is used to provide information about θ is called an **estimator** of θ , typically denoted as $\hat{\theta}$.
 - ◇ The realized value of an estimator is called an **estimate**.

Methods of finding estimators (Review)

- Method-of-moment: Equate the sample moments

$m_k = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X^k$ with the population moments

$E(X^k) = g(\theta)$, and solve for θ .

- Maximum likelihood: Find θ that maximizes the **likelihood function**

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(X_i; \theta).$$

This is typically done via maximizing the **log likelihood**

$$\ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_X(X_i; \theta)$$

Sufficiency

Sufficiency

A statistic $T(X) = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a **sufficient statistic** for θ if the conditional distribution of X given the value of $T(X)$ does not depend on θ .

Eg: $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ and $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

Hence, any information about θ from the data X_1, \dots, X_n has to go through $T(X)$.

Sufficiency and likelihood function

Neyman factorization theorem: $T(X)$ is sufficient statistic for θ if and only if the likelihood function is written in the following form:

Sufficient statistics in an exponential family

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from an exponential family distribution with the pdf or pmf in the form

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x) \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta) t(x) - A(\theta) \right)$$

Then a sufficient statistic for θ is

Consistency, unbiasedness, and efficiency

Consistency

An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is **consistent** for θ if $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{p} \theta$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$; in other words, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| \geq \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Consistency and mean square error (MSE)

Bias–variance decomposition of mean square error

Unbiased estimator

An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is **unbiased** for θ if and only if

$$\text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}) = E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta = 0.$$

Hence, an unbiased estimator is consistent if

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Given the two unbiased estimators $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$, the relative efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_1$ versus $\hat{\theta}_2$ is defined as

$$\text{eff}(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2)}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1)}.$$

Cramer-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB)

Sufficiency and unbiasedness

(Rao-Blackwell Theorem) Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an unbiased estimator for θ , and let T be a sufficient statistic for θ . Define $\hat{\theta}_2 = E(\hat{\theta} \mid T)$. Then $\hat{\theta}_2$ is unbiased for θ and is a uniformly more efficient than $\hat{\theta}_1$.