

## Tutorial Week 6 Solution

STAT3023: Statistical Inference

Semester 2, 2023

1. (a)  $T$  is the sum of  $n$  iid  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  distribution, hence  $T \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n \mid T = t) \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n, T = t)}{P(T = t)} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \neq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \frac{P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)}{P(T = t)} & \text{if } t = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \neq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i} / x_i!}{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^t / t!} & \text{if } t = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \neq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^t / \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^t / t!} & \text{if } t = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \neq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \frac{t!}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} & \text{if } t = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which does not depend on the parameter  $\lambda$ .

- (b) The likelihood is

$$L(\lambda; \mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{X_i}}{X_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n X_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^T \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n X_i!}.$$

This is factored into  $g(T, \lambda)h(\mathbf{X})$ , so by the Neyman factorization theorem,  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ .

2. The likelihood of  $\theta$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta; X_1, X_2) &= f(X_1; \theta) f(X_2; \theta) = \binom{n_1}{X_1} \theta^{X_1} (1 - \theta)^{n_1 - X_1} \binom{n_2}{X_2} \theta^{X_2} (1 - \theta)^{n_2 - X_2} \\ &= \binom{n_1}{X_1} \binom{n_2}{X_2} \theta^{(X_1 + X_2)} (1 - \theta)^{n_1 + n_2 - X_1 - X_2} \\ &= \binom{n_1}{X_1} \binom{n_2}{X_2} \theta^{(n_1 + n_2) T_1} (1 - \theta)^{(n_1 + n_2)(1 - T_1)} \end{aligned}$$

The joint likelihood is factored into the form  $g(T_1, \theta)h(\mathbf{X})$ , with  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)$ , so  $T_1$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Regarding  $T_2$ , the joint likelihood can't be factored into the form  $g(T_2, \theta)h(\mathbf{X})$ , with  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)$ , so  $T_2$  is not a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

3. Let  $S$  denote the number that is drawn. The estimator can be written in the form

$$T_n = \begin{cases} \bar{X}, & \text{if } S \in \{2, \dots, n\} \\ n^2, & \text{if } S = 1 \end{cases}$$

(a) To show consistency, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by the total probability formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(|T_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) &= P(|T_n - \mu| > \varepsilon \mid S \in \{2, \dots, n\}) P(S \in \{2, \dots, n\}) \\ &\quad + P(|T_n - \mu| > \varepsilon \mid S = 1) P(S = 1) \\ &= P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) \times \frac{n-1}{n} + P(|n^2 - \mu| > \varepsilon) \times \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Chebyshev inequality, we have

$$P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\varepsilon^2},$$

and also

$$P(|n^2 - \mu| > \varepsilon) \leq 1.$$

As a result,

$$P(|T_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2(n-1)}{n^2\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the right hand side  $\frac{\sigma^2(n-1)}{n^2\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ , so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|T_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0,$$

showing  $T_n$  is consistent.

(b) We need to compute  $E(T_n)$ . Conditioned on  $S \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ , we have  $E(T_n \mid S \in \{2, \dots, n\}) = E(\bar{X}) = \mu$ , and conditioned on  $S = 1$ , we have  $E(T_n \mid S = 1) = n^2$ . Therefore, using the law of iterated expectation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_n) &= E(E(T_n) \mid S) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n E(T_n \mid S = s) P(S = s) \\ &= E(T_n \mid S = 1) P(S = 1) + \sum_{s=2}^n E(T_n \mid S = s) P(S = s) \\ &= n^2 \times \frac{1}{n} + (n-1) \times \mu \times \frac{1}{n} = n + \frac{(n-1)\mu}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

We can see  $E(T_n) \neq \mu$ , so  $T_n$  is not unbiased. Even if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the expectation  $E(T_n) \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $T_n$  is not asymptotically unbiased either.

4. (a) The likelihood based on observations  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$  is

$$L(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-X_i/\theta} \right] = \frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-T/\theta}$$

where  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is the sample total. The log-likelihood is

$$\log \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = -\frac{T}{\theta} - n \log \theta$$

and the score function is

$$\frac{\partial \log \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X})}{\partial \theta} = \frac{t}{\theta^2} - \frac{n}{\theta} = \frac{n}{\theta^2} \left( \frac{T}{n} - \theta \right) = \frac{n}{\theta^2} (\bar{X} - \theta).$$

We know that  $E(\bar{X}) = E(X_1) = \theta$ , and the score function is in the form

$$C_\theta (\bar{X} - \theta),$$

with  $C_\theta = n/\theta^2$ , so  $\bar{X}$  is the MVU estimator for  $\theta$ . To verify that  $\bar{X}$  achieves the CRLB for estimating  $\theta$ , first note that

$$\text{Var}_\theta \left[ \frac{\partial \log \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X})}{\partial \theta} \right] = \text{Var}_\theta \left( \frac{n}{\theta^2} \bar{X} \right) = \frac{n^2}{\theta^4} \text{Var}_\theta (\bar{X}) = \frac{n^2}{\theta^4} \frac{\text{Var}_\theta (X_1)}{n} = \frac{n^2}{\theta^4} \frac{\theta^2}{n} = \frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

So for any unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X})$ , the CRLB is

$$\text{Var}_\theta [\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X})] \geq \frac{1}{\text{Var}_\theta \left[ \frac{\partial \log \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X})}{\partial \theta} \right]} = \frac{\theta^2}{n} = \text{Var}_\theta (\bar{X}).$$

(b) (i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_1^2) &= \int_1^\infty x^2 \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta-1} \right) x^{-(\frac{2\theta-1}{\theta-1})} dx = \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta-1} \right) \int_1^\infty x^{-(\frac{1}{\theta-1})} dx \\ &= \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta-2} \right) \left[ x^{\frac{\theta-2}{\theta-1}} \right]_1^\infty = \frac{\theta}{2-\theta} & \text{for } 1 < \theta < 2, \\ \infty & \text{for } \theta \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{Var}_\theta(X_1) = E(X_1^2) - \{E(X_1)\}^2 = \frac{\theta}{2-\theta} - \theta^2 = \frac{\theta - 2\theta^2 + \theta^3}{2-\theta} = \frac{\theta(\theta-1)^2}{2-\theta}$$

for  $1 < \theta < 2$ , otherwise  $\text{Var}_\theta(X_1) = \infty$ ; dividing by  $n$  gives the variance of  $\bar{X}$ , i.e.

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \text{Var}_\theta(X_1) = \frac{\theta(\theta-1)^2}{n(2-\theta)}, \quad 1 < \theta < 2.$$

(ii) The log likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_\theta(\theta; \mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i; \theta) = n \log \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta-1} \right) - \left( \frac{2\theta-1}{\theta-1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i \\ &= n \log \theta - n \log(\theta-1) - \left( 2 + \frac{1}{\theta-1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The score function is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X})}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{n}{\theta} - \frac{n}{\theta - 1} + \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i) \\ &= \frac{-n}{\theta(\theta - 1)} + \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i) \\ &= \frac{n}{(\theta - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i) - \frac{\theta - 1}{\theta} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

This is *not* in the special form, but it suggests that we should change parameters to  $\eta = \eta(\theta) = \frac{\theta-1}{\theta} = 1 - \frac{1}{\theta}$ .

(iv) Let  $Y = \log(X_1) = g(X_1)$ , so  $X_1 = e^Y$ . This transformation is one-to-one, with  $g^{-1}(y) = e^y$ . Hence,

$$f_Y(y) = f_{X_1}(g^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{dg^{-1}(y)}{dy} \right| = \frac{\theta}{\theta - 1} (e^y)^{-\left(\frac{2\theta-1}{\theta-1}\right)} e^y = \frac{\theta}{\theta - 1} e^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}\right)y}, \quad y > 0$$

which is the pdf of an exponential random variable with *mean*  $\eta = \frac{\theta-1}{\theta} = 1 - \frac{1}{\theta}$ . Thus  $\text{Var}_\theta[\log(X_1)] = \eta^2 = \left(\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}\right)^2$ , so the variance of the score is

$$\frac{1}{(\theta - 1)^4} n \left( \frac{\theta - 1}{\theta} \right)^2 = \frac{n}{\theta^2(\theta - 1)^2}.$$

Thus the CRLB for estimating  $\theta$  is

$$\frac{\theta^2(\theta - 1)^2}{n}.$$

(v) If we change to  $\eta = \eta(\theta) = \frac{\theta-1}{\theta} = 1 - \frac{1}{\theta}$ ,  $\theta = \frac{1}{1-\eta}$ ,  $\theta - 1 = \frac{\eta}{1-\eta}$ , then

$$\frac{2\theta - 1}{\theta - 1} = 2 + \frac{1}{\theta - 1} = 2 + \frac{1 - \eta}{\eta} = 1 + \frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{\eta + 1}{\eta}.$$

Thus the density is

$$f_\eta(x) = \frac{1}{\eta} x^{-\frac{\eta+1}{\eta}},$$

the log-likelihood is

$$\ell(\eta; \mathbf{X}) = -n \log \eta - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i),$$

and the score function is

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\eta; \mathbf{X})}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{n}{\eta} + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i) = \frac{n}{\eta^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i - \eta \right\}.$$

This is in “the magic form” which shows us that the logarithmic average  $\hat{\eta}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)$  is the MVU estimator of  $\eta$ , which is the expectation of the exponential  $\log(X_i)$ ’s, which (unsurprisingly) is optimally estimated using their sample mean.

5. (a) Note  $\bar{X} \sim N(\theta, 1/n)$ . Hence, we have

$$E_{\theta}(T_n) = E_{\theta}\left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = E_{\theta}(\bar{X}^2) - \frac{1}{n} = \text{Var}_{\theta}(\bar{X}) + \{E_{\theta}(\bar{X})\}^2 - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} + \theta^2 - \frac{1}{n} = \theta^2,$$

so  $T_n$  is unbiased for  $\theta^2$ . As shown in the lecture,  $N(\theta, 1)$  is a full exponential family distribution and  $\bar{X}$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Hence,  $T_n$ , as a function of  $\bar{X}$ , is the best unbiased estimator for its expected value, i.e.,  $T_n$  is the MVU for  $\theta^2$ .

(b) First, we can compute the variance for  $T_n$  to be

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}(T_n) = \text{Var}_{\theta}\left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = \text{Var}_{\theta}(\bar{X}^2) = E_{\theta}(\bar{X}^4) - \{E_{\theta}(\bar{X}^2)\}^2.$$

Using the formula in the hint, we have

$$E_{\theta}(\bar{X}^4) = \theta^4 + \frac{6\theta^2}{n} + \frac{3}{n^2},$$

and we have

$$E_{\theta}(\bar{X}^2) = \text{Var}_{\theta}(\bar{X}) + \{E_{\theta}(\bar{X})\}^2 = \frac{1}{n} + \theta^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}(T_n) = \theta^4 + \frac{6\theta^2}{n} + \frac{3}{n^2} - \left(\frac{1}{n} + \theta^2\right)^2 = \frac{4\theta^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2}.$$

To compute the CRLB for estimating  $\theta^2$ , the log likelihood function is

$$\ell(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i; \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\sqrt{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta)^2.$$

The score function is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\theta$$

and

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X})\right) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = n.$$

Therefore, the CRLB for estimating  $\theta^2$  is

$$\frac{\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \theta^2\right\}^2}{\text{Var}_{\theta}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X})\right)} = \frac{(2\theta)^2}{n} = \frac{4\theta^2}{n}.$$

Hence,  $\text{Var}_{\theta}(T_n) = \frac{4\theta^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} > \frac{4\theta^2}{n}$ , so  $T_n$  does not achieve the CRLB.