

Solutions to Tutorial Week 12

STAT3023: Statistical Inference

Semester 2, 2023

1. Suppose $X \sim B(n, \theta)$ and that $\tilde{d}(X)$ is the Bayes procedure based on a $U[\theta_0, \theta_1]$ prior under squared-error loss. Suppose also that for all $\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nE_\theta \left\{ \left[\tilde{d}(X) - \theta \right]^2 \right\} \rightarrow \theta(1 - \theta).$$

Use the Asymptotic Minimax Lower Bound Theorem to show that the maximum likelihood estimator of θ is asymptotically minimax (over any interval $[a, b]$ for $0 < a < b < 1$).

Solution: Using the information above, according to the AMLB theorem, for any procedure (sequence) $\{d_n(\cdot)\}$ and $0 < a < b < 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} nE_\theta \left\{ [d_n(X) - \theta]^2 \right\} \geq \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} \theta(1 - \theta). \quad (1)$$

The maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = X/n$ is unbiased so the risk is just the variance:

$$E_\theta \left\{ \left[\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} - \theta \right]^2 \right\} = \text{Var}_\theta \left(\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \right) = \text{Var}_\theta \left(\frac{X}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}_\theta(X) = \frac{n\theta(1 - \theta)}{n^2} = \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{n}.$$

Thus the maximum (rescaled) risk over $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$ is

$$\max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} nE_\theta \left\{ \left[\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} - \theta \right]^2 \right\} = \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} n \left(\frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{n} \right) = \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} \theta(1 - \theta)$$

which attains the lower bound (1) above. Thus $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}$ is asymptotically minimax.

2. Suppose $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ consists of iid random variables with a gamma distribution with known shape α_0 but unknown *scale* parameter $\theta = \Theta = (0, \infty)$. Consider the decision problem where the decision space is $\mathcal{D} = \Theta$ and loss is $L(d|\theta) = (d - \theta)^2$. Write $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

- (a) Define the family of estimators $\{d_{k\ell}(\cdot) : k, \ell \in \mathbb{R}\}$ according to

$$d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{T + k}{n\alpha_0 + \ell}.$$

Determine the risk

$$R(\theta|d_{k\ell}) = E_\theta \left\{ [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X}) - \theta]^2 \right\}.$$

Solution: Firstly, T has a gamma distribution with shape parameter $n\alpha_0$ and *scale* parameter θ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta(T) &= n\alpha_0\theta; \\ \text{Var}_\theta(T) &= n\alpha_0\theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta[d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] &= \frac{E_\theta(T) + k}{n\alpha_0 + \ell} = \frac{n\alpha_0\theta + k}{n\alpha_0 + \ell}; \\ \text{Bias}_\theta[d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] &= E_\theta[d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] - \theta = \frac{n\alpha_0\theta + k - \theta(n\alpha_0 + \ell)}{n\alpha_0 + \ell} = \frac{k - \ell\theta}{n\alpha_0 + \ell}; \\ \text{Var}_\theta[d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] &= \frac{\text{Var}_\theta(T)}{(n\alpha_0 + \ell)^2} = \frac{n\alpha_0\theta^2}{(n\alpha_0 + \ell)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the risk is

$$R(\theta|d_{k\ell}) = E_\theta \left\{ [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X}) - \theta]^2 \right\} = \text{Var}_\theta[d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] + \{\text{Bias}_\theta[d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})]\}^2 = \frac{n\alpha_0\theta^2 + (k - \ell\theta)^2}{(n\alpha_0 + \ell)^2}.$$

- (b) Determine $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$, the Bayes procedure using the “flat prior” $w(\theta) \equiv 1$.

Solution: The likelihood is

$$f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{X_i^{\alpha_0-1} e^{-X_i/\theta}}{\theta^{\alpha_0} \Gamma(\alpha_0)} \right] = \text{const.} \frac{e^{-T/\theta}}{\theta^{n\alpha_0}} = \text{const.} \frac{T^{n\alpha_0-1} e^{-T/\theta}}{\theta^{(n\alpha_0-1)+1} \Gamma(n\alpha_0-1)}$$

so the posterior is the Inverse Gamma($n\alpha_0 - 1, T$) distribution (this is the distribution of $1/Y$ where Y is gamma with shape $n\alpha_0 - 1$ and rate T).

The Bayes procedure is thus the posterior *mean* (since we are using squared-error loss), which is

$$d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{T}{n\alpha_0 - 2}.$$

- (c) Show that for any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{R}$, $d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ is asymptotically minimax. You may assume that for any $0 \leq \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \infty$, the Bayes procedure $\tilde{d}(\mathbf{X})$ based on the $U[\theta_0, \theta_1]$ prior has the same limiting (rescaled) risk as $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$: for all $\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(\theta|\tilde{d}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(\theta|d_{\text{flat}}). \quad (2)$$

Solution: First we need to determine the RHS of (2) above. Note that $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$ is a special case of $d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ examined in part (a) above, corresponding to $k = 0$ and $\ell = -2$. Therefore we can read the exact risk from that part as

$$R(\theta|d_{k\ell}) = \frac{n\alpha_0\theta^2 + (2\theta)^2}{(n\alpha_0 - 2)^2}$$

so as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} nR(\theta|d_{\text{flat}}) &= \frac{n^2\alpha_0\theta^2}{(n\alpha_0 - 2)^2} + \frac{4n\theta^2}{(n\alpha_0 - 2)^2} \\ &= \frac{n^2\alpha_0\theta^2}{n^2\alpha_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{n\alpha_0}\right)^2} + \frac{4n\theta^2}{n^2\alpha_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{n\alpha_0}\right)^2} \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{\theta^2}{\alpha_0 \left(1 - \frac{2}{n\alpha_0}\right)^2}}_{\rightarrow \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha_0}} + \underbrace{\frac{4\theta^2}{n\alpha_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{n\alpha_0}\right)^2}}_{\rightarrow 0} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha_0} = S(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

So for any procedure (sequence) $\{d_n(\cdot)\}$, by the AMLB Theorem (and the assumption (2) given above),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} nR(\theta|d_n) \geq \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} S(\theta) = \frac{b^2}{\alpha_0}. \quad (3)$$

Finally, we need to derive the limiting maximum (rescaled) risk of $d_{k\ell}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} nR(\theta|d_{k\ell}) &= \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} n \left\{ \frac{n\alpha_0\theta^2 + (k - \ell\theta)^2}{(n\alpha_0 + \ell)^2} \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} \frac{n^2\alpha_0\theta^2}{(n\alpha_0 + \ell)^2} + \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} \frac{n(k - \ell\theta)^2}{(n\alpha_0 + \ell)^2} \\ &= b^2 \underbrace{\frac{\alpha_0}{\left(\alpha_0 + \frac{\ell}{n}\right)^2}}_{\rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha_0}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n\left(\alpha_0 + \frac{\ell}{n}\right)^2}}_{\rightarrow 0} \underbrace{\max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} (k - \ell\theta)^2}_{< \infty} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{b^2}{\alpha_0} \end{aligned}$$

which attains the lower bound (3) above. Thus for each fixed k, ℓ , $d_{k\ell}$ is asymptotically minimax.

- (d) Show that
- (i) the maximum likelihood estimator;
 - (ii) $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$;
 - (iii) any Bayes procedure based on an Inverse Gamma (conjugate) prior
- are all asymptotically minimax.

Solution: The derivative of the log-likelihood with respect to θ is

$$\ell'(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = -\frac{n\alpha_0}{\theta} + \frac{T}{\theta^2};$$

setting equal to zero and solving gives

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = \frac{T}{n\alpha_0} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha_0}.$$

For any fixed $\gamma_0, \lambda_0 > 0$, taking as prior the Inverse Gamma(γ_0, λ_0) density

$$w(\theta) = \frac{\lambda_0^{\gamma_0} e^{-\lambda_0/\theta}}{\theta^{\gamma_0+1} \Gamma(\gamma_0)},$$

the product of the prior and the likelihood is

$$w(\theta)f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{const.} \frac{e^{-(T+\lambda_0)/\theta}}{\theta^{n\alpha_0+\gamma_0+1}} = \text{const.} \frac{(T+\lambda_0)^{n\alpha_0+\gamma_0} e^{-(T+\lambda_0)/\theta}}{\theta^{n\alpha_0+\gamma_0+1} \Gamma(n\alpha_0+\gamma_0)}$$

so the posterior density is the Inverse Gamma($n\alpha_0 + \gamma_0, T + \lambda_0$) density. The corresponding Bayes procedure is the *posterior mean*, which is

$$\frac{T + \lambda_0}{n\alpha_0 + \gamma_0 + 1}.$$

Note that this, $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}$ are all special cases of $d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ and thus by the previous part are all asymptotically minimax.

3. The beta function is given by

$$\text{beta}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}$$

(where $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx$ is the gamma function, satisfying $\Gamma(\alpha+1) = \alpha\Gamma(\alpha)$, for all $\alpha > 0$), and is the normalising constant in the $\text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ density:

$$f_X(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{\text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)} \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1.$$

Suppose X has the density $f_X(\cdot)$ above, and then define $Y = 1/X$.

- (a) For $\alpha > 1$, determine $E(Y)$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y) = E(X^{-1}) &= \int_0^1 x^{-1} \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{\text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)} dx = \frac{\int_0^1 x^{(\alpha-1)-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{\text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= \frac{\text{beta}(\alpha-1, \beta)}{\text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-1)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-1)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-1)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{\alpha-1}, \end{aligned}$$

using the property $\Gamma(\alpha+1) = \alpha\Gamma(\alpha)$ twice.

- (b) Determine the density of Y .

Solution: Note that since $0 < X < 1$, $1 < Y < \infty$. Using the “CDF method”, the CDF of Y is, for $y > 1$,

$$F_Y(y) = P(Y \leq y) = P(X^{-1} \leq y) = P(X \geq y^{-1}) = 1 - P(X < y^{-1}) = 1 - F_X(y^{-1})$$

since X has a continuous distribution. Therefore the density of Y is

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= \frac{d}{dy} F_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy} [1 - F_X(y^{-1})] = -f_X(y^{-1}) \frac{d}{dy} (y^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{y^2} f_X\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)} \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{y}\right)^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{y^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{(y-1)^{\beta-1}}{y^{\alpha+\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

4. If Y has a geometric distribution with

$$P(Y = y) = (1-p)^{y-1}p \text{ for } y = 1, 2, \dots$$

then $E(Y) = 1/p$ and $\text{Var}(Y) = (1-p)/p^2$. Suppose $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ consists of iid geometric random variables with unknown mean $\theta \in \Theta = (1, \infty)$. Consider the decision problem with decision space $\mathcal{D} = \Theta$ and loss $L(d|\theta) = (d - \theta)^2$. Assume that $n \geq 3$.

- (a) Determine $E_\theta(T)$ and $\text{Var}_\theta(T)$ where $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ as functions of θ .

Solution: Writing $p = 1/\theta$ we have

$$E_\theta(X_1) = \frac{1}{p} = \theta \text{ and } \text{Var}_\theta(X_1) = \left(\frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \theta^2 - \theta = \theta(\theta - 1).$$

Therefore

$$E_\theta(T) = n\theta \text{ and } \text{Var}_\theta(T) = n\theta(\theta - 1).$$

- (b) Define the family of estimators $\{d_{k\ell}(\cdot) : k, \ell \in \mathbb{R}\}$ according to

$$d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{T + k}{n + \ell}.$$

Determine the risk

$$R(\theta|d_{k\ell}) = E_\theta \left\{ [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X}) - \theta]^2 \right\}.$$

Solution: We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] &= \frac{E_\theta(T) + k}{n + \ell} = \frac{n\theta + k}{n + \ell}; \\ \text{Bias}_\theta [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] &= E_\theta [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] - \theta = \frac{n\theta + k - \theta(n + \ell)}{n + \ell} = \frac{k - \ell\theta}{n + \ell}; \\ \text{Var}_\theta [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] &= \frac{\text{Var}_\theta(T)}{(n + \ell)^2} = \frac{n\theta(\theta - 1)}{(n + \ell)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the risk is

$$R(\theta|d_{k\ell}) = E_\theta \left\{ [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X}) - \theta]^2 \right\} = \text{Var}_\theta [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})] + \{\text{Bias}_\theta [d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})]\}^2 = \frac{n\theta(\theta - 1) + (k - \ell\theta)^2}{(n + \ell)^2}.$$

- (c) Write down the probability mass function of X_1 as a function of θ .

Solution:

$$P_\theta(X_1 = x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)^{x-1} \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{(\theta - 1)^{x-1}}{\theta^x}, \text{ for } x = 1, 2, \dots$$

- (d) Write out the likelihood.

Solution:

$$f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{(\theta-1)^{X_i-1}}{\theta^{X_i}} \right] = \frac{(\theta-1)^{T-n}}{\theta^T}.$$

- (e) Determine the Bayes procedure $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$ using a flat prior $w(\theta) \equiv 1$ (question 3 may prove useful here).

Solution: The product of the likelihood and the prior is of the form

$$w(\theta)f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{(\theta-1)^{T-n}}{\theta^T} = \text{const.} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{beta}(n-1, T-n+1)} \frac{(\theta-1)^{(T-n+1)-1}}{\theta^{(n-1)+(T-n+1)}}$$

so according to part (b) of question 3 the posterior density is that of $1/Y$ where $Y \sim \text{beta}(n-1, T-n+1)$.

Since we are using squared-error loss, the Bayes procedure is the posterior *mean*, which, according to part (a) of Question 3 is

$$d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{(n-1) + (T-n+1) - 1}{(n-1) - 1} = \frac{T-1}{n-2};$$

note that since $n > 2$ this is finite (this corresponds to $\alpha > 1$ in the previous question).

- (f) Show that

- (i) the maximum likelihood estimator;
- (ii) $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$;
- (iii) any Bayes procedure based on a (conjugate) prior of the form

$$w(\theta) = \frac{1}{\text{beta}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)} \frac{(\theta-1)^{\beta_0-1}}{\theta^{\alpha_0+\beta_0}}, \quad \text{for } \theta > 1 \quad (4)$$

are all asymptotically minimax. You may assume that for any $1 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \infty$, the Bayes procedure $\tilde{d}(\mathbf{X})$ based on the $U[\theta_0, \theta_1]$ prior has the same limiting (rescaled) risk as $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$: for all $\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(\theta|\tilde{d}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(\theta|d_{\text{flat}}).$$

Hint: determine the forms of all the estimators first.

Solution: The *form* of the MLE may be obtained by differentiating the log-likelihood $\ell(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = (T-n)\log(\theta-1) - T\log(\theta)$, setting to zero and solving:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell'(\theta; \mathbf{X}) &= \frac{T-n}{\theta-1} - \frac{T}{\theta} = T \left(\frac{1}{\theta-1} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) - \frac{n}{\theta-1} = T \left(\frac{\theta - (\theta-1)}{\theta(\theta-1)} \right) - \frac{n}{\theta-1} \\ &= \frac{T}{\theta(\theta-1)} - \frac{n}{\theta-1} \\ &= \frac{n}{\theta(\theta-1)} \left(\frac{T}{n} - \theta \right) \end{aligned}$$

yielding $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = T/n = \bar{X}$ the sample mean; this also shows that the score function is in the “nice form” indicating that \bar{X} is MVU in this case.

Using the conjugate prior $w(\theta)$ given at (4) above, the product of the likelihood and the prior is of the form

$$f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X})w(\theta) = \text{const.} \cdot \frac{(\theta-1)^{T-n+\beta_0-1}}{\theta^{T+\alpha_0+\beta_0}} = \text{const.} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{beta}(n+\alpha_0, T-n+\beta_0)} \frac{(\theta-1)^{(T-n+\beta_0)-1}}{\theta^{(T-n+\beta_0)+(n+\alpha_0)}},$$

so the posterior density is that of $1/Y$ where Y has a $\text{beta}(n+\alpha_0, T-n+\beta_0)$ distribution. According to Question 3 part (a), the mean of this distribution (which is also the posterior mean, i.e. the Bayes procedure) is

$$\frac{T+\alpha_0+\beta_0-1}{n+\alpha_0-1}.$$

Note that all estimators of this form, the MLE $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}$ and $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$ are all special cases of $d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ defined in part (b) above. It thus suffices to show that $d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ is asymptotically minimax for each k, ℓ .

Firstly, for any $1 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \infty$, the Bayes procedure $\tilde{d}(\mathbf{X})$ based on the $U[\theta_0, \theta_1]$ prior has limiting risk equal to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(\theta|\tilde{d}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(\theta|d_{\text{flat}}).$$

But since $d_{\text{flat}}(\mathbf{X})$ is a special case of $d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ (with $k = -1, \ell = -2$), we can use the results of part (b) above to determine the limiting (rescaled) risk:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(\theta|d_{\text{flat}}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left\{ \frac{n\theta(\theta-1) + (2\theta-1)^2}{(n-2)^2} \right\} \\ &= \theta(\theta-1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\left(\frac{n}{n-2} \right)^2}_{\rightarrow 1} + (2\theta-1)^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\left(\frac{n}{(n-2)^2} \right)}_{\rightarrow 0} \\ &= \theta(\theta-1). \end{aligned}$$

Note also that the contribution from the bias (the $\frac{(2\theta-1)^2}{(n-2)^2}$ term) is “asymptotically negligible” compared to the variance contribution.

Thus we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(\theta|\tilde{d}) = \theta(\theta-1) = S(\theta)$$

for all $\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_1$. Thus according to the Asymptotic Minimax Lower Bound Theorem, for any other procedure (sequence) $\{d_n(\cdot)\}$, for any $1 < a < b$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} nR(\theta|d_n) \geq \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} S(\theta) = \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} \theta(\theta-1) = b(b-1)$$

since $S(\theta)$ is an increasing function for $\theta > 1$.

Now, the maximum risk of $d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ is

$$\max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} R(\theta|d_{k\ell}) \leq \frac{n}{(n+\ell)^2} \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} \theta(\theta-1) + \frac{1}{(n+\ell)^2} \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} (k-\ell\theta)^2.$$

The term $(k-\ell\theta)^2$ is a parabola in θ with a positive coefficient of θ^2 , so takes its maximum value over $a \leq \theta \leq b$ at one of the endpoints. So

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} R(\theta|d_{k\ell}) &\leq \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} \theta(\theta-1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\left(\frac{n}{n+\ell} \right)^2}_{\rightarrow 1} \\ &\quad + \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} [(k-a\ell)^2, (k-b\ell)^2] \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\frac{n}{(n+\ell)^2}}_{\rightarrow 0} \\ &= \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} \theta(\theta-1) = \max_{a \leq \theta \leq b} S(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

However this upper bound is also the lower bound for any estimator. Therefore for each k, ℓ , $d_{k\ell}(\mathbf{X})$ is asymptotically minimax. Thus each of the estimators above is too.