

Note 1

February 23, 2019

1 Summary

AONT is a type of transform defined in section 1. We classify AONT transforms into 2 types: linear AONT and nonlinear AONT. To study the existence of (t, s, v) AONT. For fixed q and t , we define

$$S(q, t) = \{s : \text{there exists a linear } (t, s, q) \text{ AONT}\},$$

$$s_{max}(q, t) = \text{the max value in } S(q, t).$$

Then we find the upper bound(necessary condition for existence) and lower bound(construction) for s_{max} . (s_{max} makes sense for linear AONT because the integers in $S(q, t)$ are consecutive. Proof can be seen in **Theorem 5.1**).

1. When studying linear AONT, we mainly use matrix representations for AONT, and we focus on the invertible submatrix of M . I summarized current results of $S(q, t)$ for $t=1, t=2$ and arbitrary t in section 3 to 5.
2. Orthogonal array is the major tool for studying nonlinear AONT. Existing results include Bush bound and etc. as I summarized in section ?.
3. Computational method is of significant help in the study of AONT. In section , I summarized some computational results.
4. There are certain relationships between resilient function and AONT, which I summarized in section ?.
5. Finally, the last section described the open problem that I'm most interested in now and summarized my thoughts on this problem.

2 Introduction

Definition 2.1. General AONT

Suppose X is a finite field of order q . ϕ is a function that maps an input s -tuple to an output s -tuple, i.e. $\phi : X^s \rightarrow X^s, \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) \mapsto \vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s)$. We call ϕ an (unconditionally secure) (t, s, q) -all-or-nothing transform provided:

1. ϕ is a bijection.
2. If any $s-t$ of the s output values y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s are fixed, then the value of any t inputs are completely undetermined (in an information-theoretic sense), i.e. assume z is a t -input of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s , then for any $w \in X^t, P(z = w) = \frac{1}{|q|^t}$.

Lemma 2.1. Tool: Unbiased Array(General AONT)

A (t, s, q) -AONT is equivalent to a $(q^s, 2s, q)$ -array that is unbiased with respect to the following subsets of columns:

1. $\{1, \dots, s\}$
2. $\{s+1, \dots, 2s\}$
3. $I \cup \{s+1, \dots, 2s\} \setminus J$, for all $I \subset \{1, \dots, s\}$ with $|I|=t$ and all $J \subset \{s+1, \dots, 2s\}$ with $|J|=t$.

Definition 2.2. linear AONT

Suppose X finite field of order q , ϕ is as described above. Then call ϕ a linear AONT if each y_i is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear function of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s . We can write

$$y = \phi(x) = x \cdot M \text{ and } x = \phi^{-1}(y) = y \cdot M^{-1}$$

where M is an s by s matrix with entries from \mathbb{F}_q

Lemma 2.2. Tool:Matrix with invertible $t \times t$ submatrices (Linear AONT)

\mathbb{F}_q is a finite field, M is an invertible s by s matrix with entries from \mathbb{F}_q . Then M defines a linear (t, s, q) -AONT \Leftrightarrow every t by t submatrix of M is invertible.

3 Linear AONT with $t=1$

This is fully solved. The following theorem can be directly concluded from **Lemma 2.4**.

Theorem 3.1. M is an invertible s by s matrix over F_q , such that no entry of M is equal to 0. Then M defines a linear $(1, s, q)$ -AONT.

Applying the theorem above, We can get the following results:

Result 3.1. (Existence)

1. $S(q, 1) = \{\text{All positive integers}\}$.

$q > 2$ is prime power, there exists a linear $(1, s, q)$ -AONT. We can construct such an AONT in many ways including Hadamard matrix, Vandermonde matrix, Cauchy matrix and other computationally-efficient methods. See details in construction

2. $S(2, 1) = \{1, 2\}$

$q=2$, $s \geq 2$, then since the matrix in which all entries equal 1 is not invertible, there does not exist a $(1, s, 2)$ -AONT.

Remark: However, we can get quite close. Assume s is even and $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then since

$\vec{x} = y \cdot M^{-1} = y \cdot M$, x_i depends on all the y_j 's except for y_i . i.e. if $s-1$ of outputs are know, assume y_i is unknown, then all x_j 's are completely undetermined except x_i .

Construct 3.2. ($q > 2$ prime: Hadamard matrix)

When $s \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $q > 2$ is prime, there exists a Hadamard matrix M of order q . Then $MM^T = sI_s \pmod q \Rightarrow M^{-1} = q^{-1}M^T$, since M has no zero entry, M defines a linear $(1, s, q)$ -AONT.

Note: The condition above is due to: Hadamard matrix can exist only if $s=1, s=2$ or $s \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. (And it's conjectured that Hadamard matrix exist for all $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$)

Construct 3.3. ($q > 2$ prime power, $q \geq s$: Vandermonde matrix)

When $q \geq s+1$, take s distinct elements from \mathbb{F}_q , say a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s , then $M = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1^2 & \dots & a_1^s \\ a_2 & a_2^2 & \dots & a_2^s \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_s & a_s^2 & \dots & a_s^s \end{pmatrix}$

Construct 3.4. ($q > 2$ prime power, $q \geq 2s$: Cauchy matrix)

Since $q \geq 2s$, we can take $2s$ different values in \mathbb{F}_q , say they are $a_1, \dots, a_s, b_1, \dots, b_s$. Define $M = (m_{ij})_{s \times s}$, where $m_{ij} = 1/(a_i - b_j)$. Then M defines a $(1, s, q)$ -AONT.

Proof: (1) Since a_i, b_j are distinct, every entry of M is non-zero modular q . (2) $\det(M) = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq s} (x_j - x_i)(y_i - y_j)}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq s} (x_i - y_j)}$, thus is non-zero modular q . (for calculation details, see [this page](#))

Construct 3.5. (Construction:More Computationally-efficient)

Suppose $q = p^k$ where $p > 2$ is prime and k is a prime power and s is a positive integer. Then there exists a linear $(1, s, q)$ -AONT.

Pick λ from \mathbb{F}_q , s.t. $\lambda \notin \{s-1 \bmod p, s-2 \bmod p\}$. Define $\gamma = \frac{1}{s-1-\lambda}$,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\gamma & -\gamma & \dots & -\gamma \\ -\gamma & 1-\gamma & \dots & -\gamma \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \gamma & \gamma & \dots & -\gamma \end{pmatrix}, M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda & \lambda & \dots & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

4 Linear AONT with t=2**Result 4.1. $(2, s, 2^n)$ Linear AONT**

If $2^n - 1$ is prime and $s \leq q - 1$, then there exists a linear $(2, s, 2^q)$ AONT over \mathbb{F}_q .

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ be a primitive element and let $M = (m_{ij})$ be s by s Vandermonde matrix in which $m_{ij} = \alpha^{ij}$.

Since α is primitive, M is invertible. Say M' is a 2 by 2 submatrix of M , and $M' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{ij} & \alpha^{ij'} \\ \alpha^{i'j} & \alpha^{i'j'} \end{pmatrix}$

Then $\det(M') = 0 \Leftrightarrow ij + i'j' - i'j - ij' \equiv 0 \bmod (q-1)$

$$\Leftrightarrow (i - i')(j - j') \equiv 0 \bmod (q-1) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} i - i' = 0 \\ j - j' = 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition 4.1. type μ standard form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & & & \\ 1 & & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \\ 1 & & & & 0 & \\ 1 & & & & & \chi \end{pmatrix}$$

There are μ zero diagonal entries, χ is $s - \mu$ by $s - \mu$ matrix.

Theorem 4.1. $(2, q+1, q)$ linear AONT doesn't exist

There is no linear $(2, q+1, q)$ AONT for any prime power $q > 2$.

Proof: Take M to be the type μ standard form. Define $(i, j) \sim (i', j') \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, s.t. $(i, j) = \alpha(i', j')$, then there are $q+1$ equivalent classes in $\mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

Let (i, j) run all the columns of 2 rows fixed. Then every row contains exactly one zero. Therefore,

$$\mu = q + 1. \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ 1 & & 0 & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 1 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{Take } M' \text{ to be the lower right } q \text{ by } q \text{ submatrix of } M, \text{ then each row of}$$

M contain each of the q elements in \mathbb{F}_q exactly once. Thus the sum of all rows of M' is zero vector, thus M' is not invertible.

Lemma 4.2. standard μ type of linear $(2, q, q)$ -AONT

Suppose that M is a matrix for a linear $(2, q, q)$ -AONT in standard form. Then M is of type q or type $q-1$.

Proof: similar to the previous theorem.

Definition 4.2. reduced matrix for linear AONT

Matrix M is reduced if it's a linear $(2, q, q)$ AONT that satisfies:

1. the diagonal of M consists of zeros.
2. the remaining entries in the first row and first column of M are ones
3. the entries in column 3, ..., q of row 2 of M are in increasing order.

Result 4.2. Computational results for linear $(2, q, q)$ -AONT**Result 4.3. Summary for $(2, s, q)$ linear AONT**

5 Linear AONT for arbitrary t

Theorem 5.1. Existence of linear AONT with recursive s

If there exists a linear (t, s, q) AONT with $t < s$, then there exists a linear $(t, s-1, q)$ AONT.

Proof: We only need to prove there exists a $s-1$ by $s-1$ invertible submatrix of M . Applying peigion-pole principle, we can get the result aspired.

Result 5.1. Existence of the strongest AONT

When $q \geq 2s$, an s by s Cauchy matrix M can be defined over \mathbb{F}_q (For construction, see Construction 3.4).

Since every submatrix of M is a Cauchy matrix, thus is invertible. Cauchy matrix immediately yield the strongest AONT possible, i.e. M is a (t, s, q) AONT for all $t \leq s$.

6 General AONT for arbitrary t

The most popular method for studying this problem is applying $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ orthogonal array.

Definition 6.1. orthogonal array

A $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ -OA ($t \leq k$) is a $\lambda v^t \times k$ array whose entries are chosen from a set X with v points s.t. in every subset of t columns of the array, every t -tuple of points of X appears in exactly λ rows.

Theorem 6.1. (t, s, v) AONT and $t - (v, s, 1)$ OA

Suppose we represent a (t, s, v) -AONT by a $(v^s, 2s, v)$ -array denoted by A . Let R denote the rows of A that contain a fixed $(s-t)$ -tuple in the last $s-t$ columns of A . Then $|R| = v^t$. Delete all the rows of A not in R and delete the last s columns of A and call the resulting array A' . Within any t columns of A , we see that every t -tuple of symbols occur exactly once, since the rows of A' are determined by fixing $s-t$ outputs of the AONT. This says that A' is an $t - (v, s, 1)$ -OA.

Result 6.1. Bush Bound

If there is an t -OA($v, s, 1$), then

$$s \leq \begin{cases} v + t - 1 & \text{if } t = 2, \text{ or if } v \text{ is even and } 3 \leq t \leq v \\ v + t - 2 & \text{if } v \text{ is odd and } 3 \leq t \leq v \\ t + 1 & \text{if } t \geq v \end{cases}$$

Proof: Left to be studied.

Corollary 6.2. Results deduced from Bush bound

- If there is a $(2, s, v)$ AONT, then $s \leq v+1$
- If there is a $(3, s, v)$ AONT and $v \geq 3$, then $s \leq \begin{cases} v+1 & v \text{ is even} \\ v+2 & v \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$
- If $t \geq v$, $s \in \{t, t+1\}$

7 Connection between resilient function and AONT

Definition 7.1. Resilient function

Let $|X| = v$. An (n, m, t, q) -resilient function is a function $g : X^n \rightarrow X^m$ which has the property that, if any t of the n input values are fixed and the remaining $n-t$ input values are chosen independently and uniformly at random, then every output m -tuple occurs with the same probability $1/q^m$.

Theorem 7.1. AONT and resilient function: Linear

Suppose there is a linear (t, s, q) -AONT. Then there is a linear $(s, s-t, t, q)$ resilient function.

Proof: Suppose that the s by s matrix M over \mathbb{F}_q gives rise to a linear (t, s, q) -AONT. Then, from lemma 3, every t by t submatrix of M is invertible. Construct an s by t matrix M^* by deleting any $s-t$ rows of M . Clearly any t columns of M^* are linearly independent.

Let \mathcal{C} be the code generated by the rows of M^* and let \mathcal{C}' be the dual code (i.e. \mathcal{C} is the parity check matrix of code \mathcal{C}'). Then \mathcal{C} has column rank $t \Leftrightarrow$ code \mathcal{C}' has minimum distance at least $t+1$.

Let N be a generating matrix for \mathcal{C}' , Then the function $f(x) = xN^T$ is a (linear) $(s, s-t, t, q)$ resilient function.

Question: I don't understand why not just choose $s-t$ rows of M to form N . This seems straightforward.

Theorem 7.2. AONT and resilient function: General

Left to be studied.

8 My current focus

1. Is there a similar relationship in non linear AONT transforms as described in **Theorem 5.1**?
2. When $t \geq v$, according to **Cor 6.2**, $s \in \{t, t+1\}$. Then under what circumstances can s take these two values?
3. In the case of $(2, s, v)$ general AONT, can the bound in **Cor 6.2** be strengthened to $s \leq v$, analogous to the linear case?
4. For $(3, s, q)$ AONT, there are already 2 results:
 - When $q \geq 2s$, there exists $(3, s, q)$ AONT
 - If there exists $(3, s, q)$ AONT, then $s \leq \begin{cases} q+1 & q \text{ is even} \\ q+2 & q \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

What additional results can be proven (especially for $(3, q, q)$ AONT)?

Question: what if q is not prime power? Can I simply map q to be a subset of a finite field solve this problem?

5. Are there any additional tool for studying general AONT besides OA?