

## Comparison of Face Feature Extraction Methods and Clustering Algorithms

**Input: 2180 images—5 Categories**

### **Feature Extraction Methods:**

#### **1. FaceNet (2015)**

- <https://github.com/davidsandberg/facenet>
- <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1503.03832.pdf>

#### **2. OpenFace (2016)**

- <https://cmusatyalab.github.io/openface/>
- <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~satya/docdir/CMU-CS-16-118.pdf>

#### **3. Dlib (2017)**

- Alignment and Feature Extraction: 1698.65 seconds ~ 28 minutes
- [https://github.com/ageitgey/face\\_recognition](https://github.com/ageitgey/face_recognition)
- <http://blog.dlib.net/2017/02/high-quality-face-recognition-with-deep.html>

#### **4. ArcFace (2019)**

- Alignment and Feature Extraction: 964.16 seconds ~ 16 minutes
- <https://github.com/deepinsight/insightface>
- <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1801.07698.pdf>

<b>Feature Extraction Method</b>	<b>Alignment</b>	<b>Learning Feature Embeddings</b>	<b>Number of Features</b>	<b>Model</b>
<b>FaceNet</b>	MTCNN (160 x 160 px)	Triple Loss Function	512	nn4 network – GoogLeNet
<b>OpenFace</b>	Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) (96 x 96 px)	Triple Loss Function	128	nn4.small2
<b>Dlib</b>	Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG)	Triple Loss Function	128	ResNet 34 network with 29 conv layers
<b>ArcFace</b>	MTCNN (112 x 112 px)	Additive Angular Margin Loss Function	512	LResNet 100E-IR model that uses ResNet100

### **Results**

- The results below show that a combination of **ArcFace** and **K-means** clustering yield the highest F-measure of 0.54
- ArcFace also had the highest F-measure for Spectral and EM clustering algorithms
- FaceNet had the highest F-measure for Hierarchical Agglomerative and Birch clustering

## Comparison of Feature Extraction Methods and F-Measure of Clustering Algorithms

Clustering/Feature Extraction Method	FaceNet	OpenFace	Dlib	ArcFace
K-Means	0.48	0.38	0.39	<b>0.54</b>
Hierarchical Agglomerative	<b>0.44</b>	0.39	0.36	0.42
Spectral	0.45	0.34	0.36	<b>0.47</b>
EM (Gaussian Mixture Model)	0.50	0.38	0.39	<b>0.51</b>
Birch	<b>0.44</b>	0.33	0.41	0.41

## Evaluation of Clustering Algorithms

### 1. FaceNet

Clustering method	Number of clusters	F-Measure	Precision	Recall	False Positives	Runtime (seconds)
<b>K-Means</b>	5	<b>0.48</b>	0.52	0.44	249330	1.50
Hierarchical Agglomerative	5	0.44	0.46	0.43	306,756	1.28
<b>Spectral</b>	5	<b>0.45</b>	0.51	0.4	236,078	1.21
<b>EM (Gaussian Mixture Model)</b>	5	<b>0.50</b>	0.56	0.45	216786	4.80
Birch	5	0.44	0.45	0.43	319743	1.15

### 2. OpenFace

Clustering method	Number of clusters	F-Measure	Precision	Recall	False Positives	Runtime (seconds)
<b>K-Means</b>	5	<b>0.38</b>	0.41	0.36	315014	0.85
<b>Hierarchical Agglomerative</b>	5	<b>0.39</b>	0.37	0.42	438934	1.03
Spectral	5	0.34	0.36	0.33	362277	1.36
<b>EM (Gaussian Mixture Model)</b>	5	<b>0.38</b>	0.41	0.35	312268	1.29
Birch	5	0.33	0.37	0.3	322518	0.73

### 3. Dlib

Clustering method	Number of clusters	F-Measure	Precision	Recall	False Positives	Runtime (seconds)
<b>K-Means</b>	5	<b>0.39</b>	0.41	0.37	319255	1.36
Hierarchical Agglomerative	5	0.36	0.38	0.34	336283	0.80
Spectral	5	0.36	0.39	0.34	331956	1.16
<b>EM (Gaussian Mixture Model)</b>	5	<b>0.39</b>	0.42	0.37	313557	1.14
<b>Birch</b>	5	<b>0.41</b>	0.33	0.53	675900	0.91

### 4. ArcFace

Clustering method	Number of clusters	F-Measure	Precision	Recall	False Positives	Runtime (seconds)
<b>K-Means</b>	5	<b>0.54</b>	0.61	0.48	185839	1.79
Hierarchical Agglomerative	5	0.42	0.43	0.42	345606	1.49
<b>Spectral</b>	5	<b>0.47</b>	0.54	0.42	220327	1.43
<b>EM (Gaussian Mixture Model)</b>	5	<b>0.51</b>	0.58	0.46	208091	1.61
Birch	5	0.41	0.44	0.39	309016	1.70