



## Grading for: HW3

**Name:** yuanjieyeu

**Repo URL:** <https://github.ccs.neu.edu/cs5500/Student-418-SP19>

**Commit Date:** Jan 25, 2019, 4:42 PM PST

**Commit ID:** 84bbe4c8c85d1a4bfc93f6274da3866a0df6168

**Late:** No

**Grader:** Nathan Drain

**Score:** 55.0

### Rubric Items

**Question:** Problem 1: for problem 1.factorial(), create a CFG, create a test specification that will produce 100 branch coverage, and comment whether this specification is sufficient for accepting or rejecting the code.

---

-1pts CFG has eight nodes or 11 nodes

*total deduction taken: 1.0*

---

**Question:** Problem 2: for problem2.trim(), create a CFG, create a test specification that will produce 100 branch coverage, and comment whether this specification is sufficient for accepting or rejecting the code.

---

-1pts CFG has nine nodes or 11 nodes

+1pt Other - explained in comments

---

**Question:** Problem 3: Consider the two methods: IRS.youOwe and IRS.youOwe2. From a structural testing perspective, please argue whether one should prefer one version or the other, or explain why neither structure matters.

---

-1pts Simple condition coverage is mentioned in the answer, but does not discuss the actual number of tests required

-1pts Compound condition coverage is mentioned in the answer, but does not discuss the actual number of tests required

-1pts Miscalculates the branch coverage requirements for youOwe (four branches, three tests)

-1pts Miscalculates the branch coverage requirements for youOwe2 (18 branches, 15 tests)

*total deduction taken: 4.0*

---



**Question: Additional Comments**

---

#1: missing a node for i++. #2 should break out the declaration of i=0 from the conditional, missing i++ node.  
+1 good explanation for #2.

---

**Question: Screenshot, if any**

---

None

---