Ay/Ge 117 Final updated poster

Updates and corrections on poster:

* Texts on the poster are reduced and figures are enlarged accordingly.
* Explained the abbreviations.
* Explained the prior for MLE method.
* Made color palette text less diverse, less busy.
* Explained why I want to know the aftershock decay and heat flow.
* Removed the white outlines for figures and equations.
* Removed the MLE equation (too detailed and not interesting here on the poster)
* Pointed out why MCMC is used and the benefit is to get uncertainty that is not clearly stated in previous MLE methods.
* Typos fixed.
* Splitted the poster blocks according to the different sub-topics, easier to follow the streamflow.
* Rearranged corner plot to improve the streamflow of the poster
* Highlighted the results.
* Discarded the MCMC burn-in details
* Loma Prieta: cleaned the catalog (remove early data influenced by previous events), this gives us less biased the parameters now.
* Prague events: Before it was systematically lower and not a good fit. Now I also masked earlier data and the model fit looks better although the error is still higher than other datasets due to perhaps smaller number of data points?
* Plotted the result plot with smaller marker sizes and a bit taller figure see error bars more easily

Suggestions that did not applied:

* Are there any error bars on heat flow values?
  + The heat flow value was actually digitized from figures from previous literatures in the 90s. So their plot does not provide the uncertainties of the heat flow. I also tried to search for heat flow values in California. I ended up finding maps of heat flow values without having the uncertinaties (as shown in Figure 5 on the poster).
  + Of couese, it is better to also include the uncertinaties in the heat flow (x-axis) so that we can systematically look at the errors in both axes.
  + This will be taken into account for further detailed study on this subject.