

Day 1: Introduction and Issues in Quantitative Text Analysis

Kenneth Benoit

Essex Summer School 2011

July 11, 2011

Today's Basic Outline

- ▶ Motivation for this course
- ▶ Logistics
- ▶ Issues
- ▶ Examples
- ▶ Class exercise of working with texts

Class schedule: Typical day

14:15–15:45 Lecture

15:55–16:35 Focus on Examples

16:45–17:45 In-class exercises (Lab)

MOTIVATION

Motivation

- ▶ Whom this class is for

Motivation

- ▶ Whom this class is for
- ▶ Learning objectives

Motivation

- ▶ Whom this class is for
- ▶ Learning objectives
- ▶ Prior knowledge
 - ▶ (very) basic quantitative methods
 - ▶ familiarity with some sort of quantitative analysis software
 - ▶ ability and willingness to try to learn a QTA software package
 - ▶ ability to use a **text editor**

What is Quantitative Text Analysis?

- ▶ A variant of **content analysis** that is expressly quantitative, not just in terms of representing textual content numerically but also in analyzing it, typically using computers
- ▶ “Mild” forms reduce text to quantitative information and analyze this information using quantitative techniques
- ▶ “Extreme” forms treat text units as data directly and analyze them using statistical methods
- ▶ Necessity spurred on by huge volumes of text available in the electronic information age
- ▶ (Particularly “text as data”) An emerging field with many new developments in a variety of disciplines

What Quantitative Text Analysis is not

- ▶ Not discourse analysis, which is concerned with how texts as a whole represent (social) phenomena
- ▶ Not social constructivist examination of texts, which is concerned with the social constitution of reality
- ▶ Not rhetorical analysis, which focuses on how messages are delivered stylistically
- ▶ Not ethnographic, which are designed to construct narratives around texts or to discuss their “meaning” (what they *really* say as opposed to what they *actually* say)
- ▶ Any non-explicit procedure that cannot be approximately replicated

(more exactly on how to define **content analysis** later)

ISSUES

Is there any difference between “qualitative” and “quantitative” text analysis?

- ▶ Ultimately all reading of texts is qualitative, even when we count elements of the text or convert them into numbers
- ▶ But quantitative text analysis differs from more qualitative approaches in that it:
 - ▶ Involves large-scale analysis of many texts, rather than close readings of few texts
 - ▶ Requires no interpretation of texts in a non-positivist fashion
 - ▶ Does not explicitly concern itself with the social or cultural predispositions of the analysts
- ▶ Computer-assisted text analysis is not exclusively quantitative, but aids greatly even in conversion of qualitative text analysis into quantitative summaries — and typically CTA means QTA

Relationship to “content analysis”

- ▶ Classical content analysis receives a day (Day 3) but course is broader than classical content analysis
- ▶ Classical (quantitative) content analysis consists of applying explicit coding rules to classify content, then summarizing these numerically. Examples:
 - ▶ Frequency analysis of article types in an academic journal (this is content analysis at the unit of the *article*)
 - ▶ Determination of different forms of affect in sets of speeches, for instance positive or negative evaluations in free-form text responses on surveys, by applying a dictionary
 - ▶ Machine coding of texts using dictionaries and complicated rules sets (e.g. using *WordStat*, *Diction*, etc.) also covered minimally in this course

Relationship to “content analysis”

- ▶ Classical content analysis receives a day (Day 3) but course is broader than classical content analysis
- ▶ Classical (quantitative) content analysis consists of applying explicit coding rules to classify content, then summarizing these numerically. Examples:
 - ▶ Frequency analysis of article types in an academic journal (this is content analysis at the unit of the *article*)
 - ▶ Determination of different forms of affect in sets of speeches, for instance positive or negative evaluations in free-form text responses on surveys, by applying a dictionary
 - ▶ Machine coding of texts using dictionaries and complicated rules sets (e.g. using *WordStat*, *Diction*, etc.) also covered minimally in this course
- ▶ BUT: much content will be shaped by participant problems

Several main approaches to text analysis

- ▶ Purely qualitative

Several main approaches to text analysis

- ▶ Purely qualitative
(qualitative)
- ▶ Human coded, quantitative summary

Several main approaches to text analysis

- ▶ Purely qualitative
(qualitative)
- ▶ Human coded, quantitative summary
(qualitative/quantitative)

Human coded example: Comparative Manifesto Project

Enterprise & Jobs

Our programme of infrastructure investment through the Scottish Trust for Public Investment will give Scots businesses improved access to world markets through a modern and reliable road/rail/sea and air network. We will ensure Scotland does not get by-passed by the digital revolution by ensuring that Scotland has direct access to the internet and broadband capacity throughout the country. And our focus on reskilling Scotland will work to ensure that one of the key ingredients of a successful economy, a highly educated, flexible and skilled workforce, is in place to allow both the growth of indigenous enterprises, but also to encourage the relocation of high-skill, value-added international investors to our country.

Economic development agencies must become more focused and less bureaucratic. They must be more accessible and less regulatory. Their aim is to facilitate and add value to indigenous and incoming business. They should stimulate not suffocate. /

Finally, because we believe in Scotland, because we stand for Scotland, we will be best placed to sell Scotland as a marketplace as a holiday destination and as a key export partner. We will ensure that Scotland's businesses get better and wider representation across the world and that every effort is made to promote Scotland as a world beating business and tourist centre. To this end, we will bring the tourist agency into Scotland's enterprise network. /

411
402)
602 402 602
401 402
401
402 402
303
201
303 402
402
601
402 402
402 402
402 402
402

Several main approaches to text analysis (continued)

- ▶ Purely machine processed

Several main approaches to text analysis (continued)

- ▶ Purely machine processed
(quantitative with human decision elements)
- ▶ Text as data approaches

Several main approaches to text analysis (continued)

- ▶ Purely machine processed
(quantitative with human decision elements)
- ▶ Text as data approaches
(purely quantitative with minimal to no human decision elements)

LOGISTICS

Detailed Class Schedule

	Date	Topic(s)	Details
Thu	14 April	Introduction and Issues in text analysis	Course goals; logistics; software overview; Conceptual foundations; content analysis; objectives; examples.
Mon	18 April	Descriptive inference in text	Co-occurrence, concordances, keywords in context; complexity and readability measures; also issues concerning sampling, validity, reliability, agreement in text analysis.
Mon	18 April	Classical quantitative content analysis	Manual unitization and coding approaches, including the CMP, Policy Agendas Project, and self-constructed themes. Software will use MaxQDA.
Tues	19 April	Automated dictionary-based approaches	Dictionary construction, and methods for automatically indexing texts for compiling scales of substantive quantities of interest. Also covers a variety of statistical issues surrounding text types, tokens, and equivalencies, including stemming, lemmatization, and trimming of texts based on word frequencies and <i>tf-idf</i> .
Wed	20 April	Words as Data approaches	Automatic "word indexing" and scoring using "Wordscores"; scaling models using parametric (Poisson) and non-parametric (correspondence analysis) methods.
Thur	21 April	Document Scaling	Purely statistical text analysis to recover political ideal points represented in text; some classification methods if we have time; and a brief course review.

Software requirements for this course

Software requirements for this course

- ▶ A text editor you know and love
 - ▶ Nothing beats Emacs
 - ▶ Many others available: see
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_text_editors
 - ▶ The key is that you know the difference between text editors and (e.g.) Microsoft Word

Software requirements for this course

- ▶ A text editor you know and love
 - ▶ Nothing beats Emacs
 - ▶ Many others available: see
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_text_editors
 - ▶ The key is that you know the difference between text editors and (e.g.) Microsoft Word
- ▶ Some familiarity with the **command line** is highly desirable

Software requirements for this course

- ▶ A text editor you know and love
 - ▶ Nothing beats Emacs
 - ▶ Many others available: see
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_text_editors
 - ▶ The key is that you know the difference between text editors and (e.g.) Microsoft Word
- ▶ Some familiarity with the **command line** is highly desirable
- ▶ Prior experience with a statistical package – we will use R in this course

Software requirements for this course

- ▶ A text editor you know and love
 - ▶ Nothing beats Emacs
 - ▶ Many others available: see
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_text_editors
 - ▶ The key is that you know the difference between text editors and (e.g.) Microsoft Word
- ▶ Some familiarity with the **command line** is highly desirable
- ▶ Prior experience with a statistical package – we will use R in this course
- ▶ Any prior use of a computerized content analysis tool is helpful (but not essential)
- ▶ Some of the software is home-grown

Software requirements for this course

- ▶ A text editor you know and love
 - ▶ Nothing beats Emacs
 - ▶ Many others available: see
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_text_editors
 - ▶ The key is that you know the difference between text editors and (e.g.) Microsoft Word
- ▶ Some familiarity with the **command line** is highly desirable
- ▶ Prior experience with a statistical package – we will use R in this course
- ▶ Any prior use of a computerized content analysis tool is helpful (but not essential)
- ▶ Some of the software is home-grown
- ▶ Our exercises using software will be gentle and “assisted”

Who I am

- ▶ Ken Benoit, London School of Economics
kbenoit@lse.ac.uk
- ▶ Head of Methodology Institute
- ▶ <http://www.kenbenoit.net/ceu2011cta>
- ▶ *Introductions* ...

Course resources

- ▶ **Syllabus:** describes class, lists readings, links to reading, and links to exercises and datasets

Course resources

- ▶ **Syllabus:** describes class, lists readings, links to reading, and links to exercises and datasets
- ▶ **Web page** on <http://www.kenbenoit.net/ceu2011cta>
 - ▶ Contains course handout
 - ▶ Slides from class
 - ▶ In-class exercises and supporting materials
 - ▶ Texts for analysis
 - ▶ (links to) Software tools and instructions for use

Course resources

- ▶ **Syllabus:** describes class, lists readings, links to reading, and links to exercises and datasets
- ▶ **Web page** on <http://www.kenbenoit.net/ceu2011cta>
 - ▶ Contains course handout
 - ▶ Slides from class
 - ▶ In-class exercises and supporting materials
 - ▶ Texts for analysis
 - ▶ (links to) Software tools and instructions for use
- ▶ **Main readings**
 - ▶ Krippendorff
 - ▶ Neuendorf
 - ▶ Other texts, esp. articles, are linked to the course handout and can be downloaded online


EXAMPLES

You have already done QTA!

- Unless you are one of five people on the planet who has never used an Internet search engine...
- Amazon.com also does interesting text statistics:
Here is an analysis of the text of Dan Brown's *Da Vinci Code*:

Readability (learn more)		Compared with other books		
Fog Index:	8.8	20% are easier	▼	80% are harder
Flesch Index:	65.2	25% are easier	▼	75% are harder
Flesch-Kincaid Index:	6.9	21% are easier	▼	79% are harder
Complexity (learn more)				
Complex Words:	11%	34% have fewer	▼	66% have more
Syllables per Word:	1.5	39% have fewer	▼	61% have more
Words per Sentence:	11.0	19% have fewer	▼	81% have more
Number of				
Characters:	823,633	85% have fewer	▼	15% have more
Words:	138,843	88% have fewer	▼	12% have more
Sentences:	12,647	94% have fewer	▼	6% have more

Comparing Texts on the Basis of Quantitative Information



But Political Texts are More Interesting

Bush's second inaugural address:

freedom America

liberty nation American country world

time free citizen hope history people day human right

seen ideal work unite justice cause government move choice

tyranny live act life accept defend duty generation great question honor

states president fire character force power fellow enemy century witness excuse

soul God division task define advance speak institution independence society serve

Obama's inaugural address:

nation America people

work **generation world common**


time seek spirit day American peace crisis hard

greater meet men remain job power moment women

father endure government short hour life hope freedom carried
journey forward force prosperity courage man question future friend

service age history God oath understand ideal pass economy care
promise children Earth stand demand purpose faith hand found interest

Obama's Inaugural Speech in Wordle




Legal document scaling: “Wordscores”

Amicus Curiae Textscores by Party


Using Litigants' Briefs as Reference Texts

(Set Dimension: Petitioners = 1, Respondents = 5)



(from *JELS*, Evans et. al. 2008)


Legislative speeches: “Naive Bayes” classifier



(from work in progress by Nicolas Beauchamp)

Party Manifestos: Poisson scaling

Left–Right Positions in Germany, 1990–2005
including 95% confidence intervals



(from Slapin and Proksch, forthcoming *AJPS* 2008)

Party Manifestos: More scaling with Wordscores





Figure 1. Movement from 1997 Positions on Economic and Social Policy, based on Wordscores Estimates. Bars indicate two standard errors on each scale.

(from Benoit and Laver, *Irish Political Studies* 2003)


No confidence debate speeches (Wordscores)

FIGURE 3. Box Plot of Standardized Scores of Speakers in 1991 Confidence Debate on “Pro- versus Antigovernment” Dimension, by Category of Legislator




(from Benoit and Laver, *Irish Political Studies* 2002)

Text scaling versus human experts




(from Laver, Benoit and Garry, *APSR* 2003)

NAMA and budget debates



Wordscores LBG Position on Budget 2009


(from Lowe and Benoit Midwest 2010)



Normalized CA Position on Budget 2009

NAMA and budget debates 2

Wordfish Tidied Text



Published examples on reading list

- ▶ Schonhardt-Bailey (2008)
- ▶ Gebauer et al. (2007)