# ON THE SPHERE-INVARIANT AUTOMORPHISMS OF FINITE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

## LIMING GE AND WEI YUAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that the subgroup of automorphisms of a finite von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak A$  that leave  $\mathcal L$  invariant is isomorphic to a subgroup of Möbius transformations, where  $\mathcal L$  is a reflexive subspace lattice generated by a double triangle lattice of projections in  $\mathfrak A$ . As a particular case, we show the group is  $S_3$ , the symmetric group of 3 elements, when  $\mathfrak A$  is the interpolated free group factor  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$ , and  $\mathcal L$  is the reflexive lattice determined by the three free projection that generate  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$ .

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $B(\mathcal{H})$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For a set  $\mathcal{L}$  of orthogonal projections in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , we denote by  $Alg\mathcal{L}$  the set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  leaving each element in  $\mathcal{L}$  invariant. Then  $Alg\mathcal{L}$  is an unital weak-operator closed subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . Similarly, for a subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $Lat\mathcal{S}$  be the set of invariant projections for every operators in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then  $Lat\mathcal{S}$  is a strong-operator closed lattice of projections. A subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be reflexive if  $\mathcal{A} = Alg\text{Lat}\mathcal{A}$ , similarly a lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  of projections is reflexive if  $\mathcal{L} = LatAlg\mathcal{L}$ .

Suppose  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  are three projections in a finite von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  are in general position, i.e., the intersection of any two is zero and the join of any two is I, then  $\mathfrak{A} \cong Q_{\infty}\mathfrak{A}Q_{\infty} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})[14$ , Proposition 2.4]. Morevoer we can write  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  in terms of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices (with respect to the standard matrix units in  $I \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ ) as follows:

(1) 
$$Q_{\infty} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{1} & \sqrt{H_{1}(I - H_{1})} \\ \sqrt{H_{1}(I - H_{1})} & I - H_{1} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$Q_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{2} & \sqrt{H_{2}(I - H_{2})}V \\ V^{*}\sqrt{H_{2}(I - H_{2})} & V^{*}(I - H_{2})V \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $H_i$  is a contractive positive operator in  $Q_{\infty}\mathfrak{A}Q_{\infty}$  such that  $Ker(I-H_i)=0$ , i=1,2, and V is a unitary operator in  $Q_{\infty}\mathfrak{A}Q_{\infty}$ .

In order to describe the invariant subspace lattice  $\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))$ , unbounded operator will be used. Let  $\mathfrak A$  be a von Neumann algebra, and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak A}$  be the set of closed, densely defined operators affiliated with  $\mathfrak A$ . When  $\mathfrak A$  is finite, the family of operators affiliated with  $\mathfrak A$  has remarkable properties, that is the operators in  $\widetilde{\mathfrak A}$  admits algebraic operations of addition and multiplication. In another word,  $\widetilde{\mathfrak A}$  is an unital \* algebra (cf. [21]), and the elements in  $\widetilde{\mathfrak A}$  can be manipulated as if

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary .

Key words and phrases. von Neumann algebra, reflexive lattice, automorphism.

they were bounded operators. In the rest of the paper, we will repeatedly make use this fact without mentioning it explicitly. For a elegant treatment of this subject, we refer readers to [22].

**Theorem 1.1** ([14], Theorem 2.1). With the above notation and assumptions, we have  $\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))\setminus\{0,I\}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}(\cong S^2)$  with the homeomorphism given by  $\rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}](\infty)=Q_{\infty}$  and

$$\rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}](z) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} K_z & \sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)}U_z \\ U_z^*\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)} & U_z^*(I-K_z)U_z \end{array} \right), \qquad \forall z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where  $K_z$  and  $U_z$  are uniquely determined by the following polar decomposition:

(2) 
$$\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)^{-1}}U_z = (1+z)\sqrt{H_1(I-H_1)^{-1}} - z\sqrt{H_2(I-H_2)^{-1}}V \\
= zS + \sqrt{H_1(I-H_1)^{-1}} \qquad (S = \sqrt{H_1(I-H_1)^{-1}} - \sqrt{H_2(I-H_2)^{-1}}V).$$

Moreover, the reflexive lattice  $\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))$  can be determined by arbitrary three nontrivial projections (not 0 or I) in it.

Remark 1.1. Since  $\sqrt{K_0(I-K_0)^{-1}}U_0 = 0 \times S + \sqrt{H_1(I-H_1)^{-1}}$  implies  $K_0 = H_0$  and  $U_0 = I$ , we have  $\rho[Q_\infty, Q_0, Q_{-1}](0) = Q_0$ . Similarly,  $\rho[Q_\infty, Q_0, Q_{-1}](-1) = Q_{-1}$ . Therefore, we will also use  $Q_z$  to denote  $\rho[Q_\infty, Q_0, Q_{-1}](z)$  throughout the rest of this paper.

**Example 1.1** (Hopf line bundle on the two-sphere). To get the tautological line bundle over  $CP_1$ , let

$$Q_{\infty}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&0\\0&0\end{array}\right),Q_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0&0\\0&1\end{array}\right),Q_{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{2}&-\frac{1}{2}\\-\frac{1}{2}&\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right),$$

then 
$$Q_z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x^2 + y^2}{1 + x^2 + y^2} & \frac{x + iy}{1 + x^2 + y^2} \\ \frac{x - iy}{1 + x^2 + y^2} & \frac{1}{1 + x^2 + y^2} \end{pmatrix}$$
, where  $z = x + iy$ . It is can be shown that it is

In this paper will study the subgroup of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak A$  which leaves  $Lat(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_\infty,Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))$  invariant. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Next, we point out that the above result naturally induce a coordinate chart of the reflexive lattice generated by a double triangle lattice of projections (exclude 0 and I) in a finite von Neumann algebra. In section 3, we prove that the transition maps between the charts are Möbius transformations. We then study the  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant subgroup of automorphisms of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak A$ , where  $\mathcal L$  is a reflexive subspace lattice contained in  $\mathfrak A$ . In particular, we show if  $\mathfrak A$  is a finite factor or finite dimensional, and  $\mathcal L$  is generated by a double triangle lattice of projections in  $\mathfrak A$ , then the  $\mathcal L$ -invariant automorphism group is homeomorphic to a closed subgroup of SO(3)(Corollary 2.2). In section 4, we compute the  $\mathcal L$ -invariant automorphism group when  $\mathfrak A$  is the interpolated free group factor  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$ , and  $\mathcal L$  is determined by the three free projection that generate  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$ . In the appendix, we give a detailed proof of the following fact: If  $\mathcal L$  is a reflexive lattice in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak A$ , and  $\varphi$  is a \*-isomorphism of  $\mathfrak A$ , then  $\varphi(\mathcal L)$  is also reflexive.

Since any three projections in  $Lat(Alg(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\}))\setminus\{0, I\}$  are in the general position, form Theorem 1.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1. With the notations in the Theorem 1.1 and the Remark 1.1, suppose  $Q_{z_1}$ ,  $Q_{z_2}$  and  $Q_{z_3}$  are three nontrivial projections in  $\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]$  form  $S^2$  onto  $\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))\setminus\{0,I\}$  such that  $\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}](z)$  is determined by the following relation:

$$(I - Q_{z_1}\rho[Q_{z_1}, Q_{z_2}, Q_{z_3}](z)Q_{z_1})^{-1}[Q_{z_1}\rho[Q_{z_1}, Q_{z_2}, Q_{z_3}](z)(I - Q_{z_1})]$$

$$= (1+z)(I - Q_{z_1}Q_{z_2}Q_{z_1})^{-1}[Q_{z_1}Q_{z_2}(I - Q_{z_1})]$$

$$- z(I - Q_{z_1}Q_{z_3}Q_{z_1})^{-1}[Q_{z_1}Q_{z_3}(I - Q_{z_1})].$$

By (3), 
$$\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}](\infty)=Q_{z_1}$$
,  $\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}](0)=Q_{z_2}$  and  $\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}](-1)=Q_{z_3}$ .

The inverse of the homeomorphism  $\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]$  in the Corollary 1.1 actually gives a coordinate chart of  $(\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))\setminus\{0,I,Q_{z_1}\},\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]^{-1})$  of  $\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))\setminus\{0,I\}$ . So  $\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))\setminus\{0,I\}$  is a 2-dimensional (topological) manifold with atlas  $\{\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]^{-1}|z_1,z_2,z_3\in\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}\}$ . In the next section, we will determine the transition maps between the charts in this atlas.

## 2. The transition maps

A Möbius transformation is a 1-1 map of the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{C}$  onto itself such that

$$f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d},$$
  $z \in \widehat{C},$ 

where  $ad - bc \neq 0$ . The set of all Möbius transformations forms a group under the composition called the Möbius group. Möbius group is the automorphism group of the Riemann sphere, and denoted by  $Aut(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ . It is well known that  $Aut(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) \cong PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ . If  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  is a triple of distinct points in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  and let  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  be another such triple, then there is a unique f in  $Aut(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$  such that  $f(z_i) = w_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ .

By corollary 1.1, any three nontrivial projections  $Q_{z_1}$ ,  $Q_{z_2}$  and  $Q_{z_3}$  will determine a continuous map  $\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]$  form  $S^2$  onto  $\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))\setminus\{0,I\}$ , and  $f=\rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}]^{-1}\circ\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^2$  satisfying  $f(\infty)=z_1,\ f(0)=z_2,\ \text{and}\ f(-1)=z_3.$  We will see in the next theorem that f is the unique Möbius transformation determined by the value at  $\infty$ , 0 and -1.

**Lemma 2.1.** With the notations in the last section, let  $Q_{z_1}$ ,  $Q_{z_2}$  and  $Q_{z_3}$  be three nontrivial projections in  $\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))\setminus\{0,I\}$ . Then  $f=\rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}]^{-1}\circ\rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]$  is the unique Möbius transformation satisfying  $f(\infty)=z_1$ ,  $f(0)=z_2$ ,  $f(-1)=z_3$ .

*Proof.* First assume that none of  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$  or  $z_3$  is  $\infty$ . Since  $\rho[Q_{z_1}, Q_{z_2}, Q_{z_3}](z) = Q_{f(z)}$ , by (3), we have

$$(I - Q_{z_1}Q_{f(z)}Q_{z_1})^{-1}[Q_{z_1}Q_{f(z)}(I - Q_{z_1})]$$

$$= (1+z)(I - Q_{z_1}Q_{z_2}Q_{z_1})^{-1}[Q_{z_1}Q_{z_2}(I - Q_{z_1})]$$

$$- z(I - Q_{z_1}Q_{z_3}Q_{z_1})^{-1}[Q_{z_1}Q_{z_3}(I - Q_{z_1})].$$

Let  $W=\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{K_{z_1}} & \sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}\\ U_{z_1}^*\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}} & -U_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \end{pmatrix}$ . W is a unitary in the von Neumann algebra  $\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}''$  such that  $WQ_{z_1}W=Q_{\infty}$  and  $WQ_{\infty}W=Q_{z_1}$ . Thus, by (4), we have

(5) 
$$(I - Q_{\infty}WQ_{f(z)}WQ_{\infty})^{-1}[Q_{\infty}WQ_{f(z)}W(I - Q_{\infty})]$$

$$= (1+z)(I - Q_{\infty}WQ_{z_2}WQ_{\infty})^{-1}[Q_{\infty}WQ_{z_2}W(I - Q_{\infty})]$$

$$- z(I - Q_{\infty}WQ_{z_3}WQ_{\infty})^{-1}[Q_{\infty}WQ_{z_3}W(I - Q_{\infty})].$$

For any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , direct computation gives that

$$(WQ_zW)_{1,1} = (Q_{\infty}WQ_zWQ_{\infty})|_{Q_{\infty}\mathcal{H}} = \sqrt{K_{z_1}}K_z\sqrt{K_{z_1}} + \sqrt{I - K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}U_z^*\sqrt{K_z(I - K_z)}\sqrt{K_{z_1}} + \sqrt{I - K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}U_z^*(I - K_z)U_zU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{I - K_{z_1}} + \sqrt{I - K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}U_z^*(I - K_z)U_zU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{I - K_{z_1}}.$$

By (2), we have

$$I - (WQ_{z}W)_{1,1} = \sqrt{K_{z_{1}}}(I - K_{z})\sqrt{K_{z_{1}}}$$

$$- \sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}U_{z_{1}}U_{z}^{*}\sqrt{K_{z}(I - K_{z})}\sqrt{K_{z_{1}}}$$

$$- \sqrt{K_{z_{1}}}\sqrt{K_{z}(I - K_{z})}U_{z}U_{z_{1}}^{*}\sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}$$

$$+ \sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}U_{z_{1}}U_{z}^{*}K_{z}U_{z}U_{z_{1}}^{*}\sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}$$

$$= \sqrt{K_{z_{1}}}(I - K_{z})[\sqrt{K_{z_{1}}}(I - K_{z_{1}})^{-1}U_{z_{1}}$$

$$- \sqrt{K_{z}}(I - K_{z})^{-1}U_{z}]U_{z_{1}}^{*}\sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}$$

$$- \sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}U_{z_{1}}U_{z}^{*}\sqrt{K_{z}}(I - K_{z})[\sqrt{K_{z_{1}}}(I - K_{z_{1}})^{-1}U_{z_{1}}$$

$$- \sqrt{K_{z}}(I - K_{z})^{-1}U_{z}]U_{z_{1}}^{*}\sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}$$

$$= (z_{1} - z)[\sqrt{K_{z_{1}}}(I - K_{z})$$

$$- \sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}U_{z_{1}}U_{z}^{*}\sqrt{K_{z}}(I - K_{z})]SU_{z_{1}}^{*}\sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}$$

$$= (z_{1} - z)\sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}U_{z_{1}}(U_{z_{1}}^{*}\sqrt{K_{z_{1}}}(I - K_{z_{1}})^{-1}$$

$$- U_{z}^{*}\sqrt{K_{z}}(I - K_{z})^{-1})(I - K_{z})SU_{z_{1}}^{*}\sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}$$

$$= |z_{1} - z|^{2}\sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}U_{z_{1}}S^{*}(I - K_{z})SU_{z_{1}}^{*}\sqrt{I - K_{z_{1}}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} (WQ_zW)_{1,2} &= Q_\infty WQ_zW(I-Q_\infty) \\ &= \sqrt{K_{z_1}}K_z\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &+ \sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}U_z^*\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)}\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &- \sqrt{K_{z_1}}\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)}U_zU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &- \sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}U_z^*(I-K_z)U_zU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &= -\sqrt{K_{z_1}}(I-K_z)\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &+ \sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}U_z^*\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)}\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &+ \sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}U_z^*\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)}\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &+ \sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}U_z^*K_zU_zU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &+ \sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}[U_z^*\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)^{-1}} \\ &- U_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}})(I-K_z)\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &+ \sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}[U_z^*\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)^{-1}} \\ &- U_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}]\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)}U_zU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} \\ &= \overline{(z-z_1)}\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}S^*[(I-K_z)\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} + \sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)}U_zU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}) \\ &= \overline{(z-z_1)}\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}S^*[(I-K_z)(\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} + \sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)}U_zU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}). \end{split}$$

Note  $\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)^{-1}}U_z = (z-z_1)S + \sqrt{K_{z_1}(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}$ , we have  $(WQ_zW)_{1,2} = \overline{(z-z_1)}\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}S^*(I-K_z)[(z-z_1)SU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}}U_{z_1} + \sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}].$  This gives us

$$\begin{split} &[I-(WQ_zW)_{1,1}]^{-1}(WQ_zW)_{1,2} = \\ &|z-z_1|^{-2}\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}S^{-1}(I-K_z)^{-1}S^{*-1}U_{z_1}^*\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}} \\ &\times \overline{(z-z_1)}\sqrt{I-K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}S^*(I-K_z)[(z-z_1)SU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}+\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}] \\ &= \sqrt{K_{z_1}(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}+(z-z_1)^{-1}\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}S^{-1}\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}. \end{split}$$

By (5), we have

$$\sqrt{K_{z_1}(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1} + (f(z)-z_1)^{-1}\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}S^{-1}\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}$$

$$= (1+z)\left[\sqrt{K_{z_1}(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1} + (z_2-z_1)^{-1}\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}S^{-1}\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}\right]$$

$$- z\left[\sqrt{K_{z_1}(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1} + (z_3-z_1)^{-1}\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}S^{-1}\sqrt{(I-K_{z_1})^{-1}}U_{z_1}\right],$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{f(z) - z_1} = \frac{1+z}{z_2 - z_1} - \frac{z}{z_3 - z_1}.$$

Solve f(z), we have

$$f(z) = \frac{zz_1(z_3 - z_2) + z_2(z_3 - z_1)}{z(z_3 - z_2) + (z_3 - z_1)}.$$

If any  $z_i$  equals  $\infty$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we can choose three complex number  $z_1'$ ,  $z_2'$  and  $z_3'$  in  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ . By considering  $Q_{z_1'}$ ,  $Q_{z_2'}$  and  $Q_{z_3'}$  as  $Q_{\infty}'$ ,  $Q_0'$  and  $Q_{-1}'$ , we

have  $\rho[Q_{z_1'},Q_{z_2'},Q_{z_3'}]^{-1}\circ \rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]$  is a Möbius transformation by the above argument. Therefore  $\rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}]^{-1}\circ \rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]=(\rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}]^{-1}\circ \rho[Q_{z_1'},Q_{z_2'},Q_{z_3'}])\circ \rho[Q_{z_1'},Q_{z_2'},Q_{z_3'}]^{-1}\circ \rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}]$  is a Möbius transformation, and  $\rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}]^{-1}\circ \rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}](\infty)=z_1,\rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}]^{-1}\circ \rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}](0)=z_2$  and  $\rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}]^{-1}\circ \rho[Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}](-1)=z_3$ .

It is easy to see that  $P \wedge Q = 0$  ( $P \vee Q = I$ ) if and only if  $(I - P) \vee (I - Q) = I((1-P) \wedge (I-Q) = 0)$ . Thus, there is a homeomorphism  $\rho[I-Q_{\infty}, I-Q_0, I-Q_{-1}]$  form  $S^2$  onto Lat(Alg( $\{I-Q_{\infty}, I-Q_0, I-Q_{-1}\}$ )) by Corollary 1.1. Note

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{I - Q_{\infty}, I - Q_{0}, I - Q_{-1}\})) &= \\ I - \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_{0}, Q_{-1}\})) &= \{I - Q | Q \in \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_{0}, Q_{-1}\})), \end{split}$$

we have

$$z \rightarrow \rho[Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}]^{-1}(I-\rho[I-Q_{\infty},I-Q_0,I-Q_{-1}](z)), \qquad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\},$$

is a continuous map from  $S^2$  onto itself.

**Lemma 2.2.** With the above notation,  $\rho[Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}]^{-1}(I - \rho[I - Q_{\infty}, I - Q_0, I - Q_{-1}](z)) = \overline{z}(\overline{\infty} = \infty).$ 

*Proof.* We use  $\rho(z)$  to denote  $\rho[Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}]^{-1}(I - \rho[I - Q_{\infty}, I - Q_0, I - Q_{-1}](z))$ , for the sake of simplicity. Since  $\rho[I - Q_{\infty}, I - Q_0, I - Q_{-1}](z) = I - Q_{\rho(z)}$ , from (3), we have

$$\begin{split} [I-(I-Q_{\infty})(I-Q_{\rho(z)})(I-Q_{\infty})]^{-1}[(I-Q_{\infty})(I-Q_{\rho(z)})Q_{\infty}] \\ &= (1+z)[I-(I-Q_{\infty})(I-Q_{0})(I-Q_{\infty})]^{-1}[(I-Q_{\infty})(I-Q_{-1})Q_{\infty}] \\ &- z[I-(I-Q_{\infty})(I-Q_{-1})(I-Q_{0})]^{-1}[(I-Q_{\infty})(I-Q_{-1})Q_{\infty}]. \end{split}$$

By (1), this implies

$$(1+z)\sqrt{H_1(I-H_1)^{-1}}-zV^*\sqrt{H_2(I-H_2)^{-1}}=U_{\rho(z)}^*\sqrt{K_{\rho(z)}(I-K_{\rho(z)})^{-1}}.$$

Thus by (2), we have  $\rho(z) = \overline{z}$ .

If a von Nemuann algebra  $\mathfrak A$  is generated by a set of projections  $\mathcal L$ , then any automorphism of  $\mathfrak A$  that fixes all projections in  $\mathcal L$  must be the identity mapping. However, there may exists nontrivial automorphisms that map  $\mathcal L$  onto itself.

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is a set of projections in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $G[\mathcal{L},\mathfrak{A}] = \{\varphi \in Aut(\mathfrak{A})|\varphi(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}\}$ . When  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{L}''$ , we will omit  $\mathfrak{A}$  and write  $G[\mathcal{L}]$  for  $G[\mathcal{L},\mathfrak{A}]$ .

Let  $\mathfrak A$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\mathfrak A_*$  be the predual of  $\mathfrak A$ , i.e., the set of normal linear functionals on  $\mathfrak A$ . Then the automorphism group  $Aut(\mathfrak A)$  of  $\mathfrak A$  is a topological group with respect to a natural topology: the topology of pointwise norm convergence in the predual  $\mathfrak A_*$  of  $\mathfrak A$ . That is  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}(\subset Aut(\mathfrak A))$  converges towards  $\varphi$  if and only if

$$\lim_{\alpha} \|\omega \circ \varphi_{\alpha} - \omega \circ \varphi\| = 0, \qquad \forall \omega \in \mathfrak{A}_{*}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a strong-operator (or weak-operator) closed set of projections in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}(\subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ , then  $G[\mathcal{L},\mathfrak{A}]$  is a closed subgroup of of  $Aut(\mathfrak{A})$  when  $Aut(\mathfrak{A})$  is endowed with the natural topology.

*Proof.*  $G[\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{A}]$  obviously is a group, and we only need to show that it is closed. Let  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\} \subset G[\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{A}]$ . If  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}$  converges to  $\varphi \in Aut(\mathfrak{A})$ , then for any vector state  $\omega_{x,x}$  and P in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|(\varphi_{\alpha}(P) - \varphi(P))x\|^2 &= \omega_{x,x}(\varphi_{\alpha}(P) - \varphi(P))^*(\varphi_{\alpha}(P) - \varphi(P))) \\ &= \omega_{x,x}(\varphi_{\alpha}(P) + \varphi(P) - \varphi_{\alpha}(P)\varphi(P) - \varphi(P)\varphi_{\alpha}(P)) \\ &\to \omega_{x,x}(\varphi(P) + \varphi(P) - \varphi(P)\varphi(P) - \varphi(P)\varphi(P)) = 0. \end{split}$$

This implies that  $\varphi_{\alpha}(P)$  tends to  $\varphi(P)$  in strong-operator topology and  $\varphi(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{L}$ . Because  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}^{-1}$  converges to  $\varphi^{-1}$ , by the same argument as before, we have  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{L}$ . This complete the proof.

Because any reflexive lattice of projections is strong-operator closed, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a reflexive lattice of projections in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $G[\mathcal{L},\mathfrak{A}]$  is a closed subgroup of  $Aut(\mathfrak{A})$  when  $Aut(\mathfrak{A})$  is endowed with the natural topology.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\varphi \in G[\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\})], \text{ then } \varphi(Q_z) = Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(z)}, \text{ where } \rho_{\varphi} = \rho[Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}]^{-1} \circ \rho[\varphi(Q_{\infty}), \varphi(Q_0), \varphi(Q_{-1})]. \text{ And } \rho_{\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1} = \rho_{\varphi_2} \circ \rho_{\varphi_1}, \text{ where } \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in G[\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\})].$ 

*Proof.* Apply  $\varphi$  on both sides of (3), for  $z_1 = \infty$ ,  $z_2 = 0$  and  $z_3 = -1$ , we obtain

$$(I - \varphi(Q_{\infty})\varphi(Q_z)\varphi(Q_{\infty}))^{-1}[\varphi(Q_{\infty})\varphi(Q_z)(I - \varphi(Q_{\infty}))]$$

$$= (1 + z)(I - \varphi(Q_{\infty})\varphi(Q_0)\varphi(Q_{\infty}))^{-1}[\varphi(Q_{\infty})\varphi(Q_0)(I - \varphi(Q_{\infty}))]$$

$$- z(I - \varphi(Q_{\infty})\varphi(Q_{-1})\varphi(Q_{\infty}))^{-1}[\varphi(Q_{\infty})\varphi(Q_{-1})(I - \varphi(Q_{\infty}))].$$

Comparing this equation with (3), it is clear that  $\varphi(Q_z) = Q_{\rho_{\alpha}(z)}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose  $\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}\{P_i|i \in \mathcal{I}\}\ and\ \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}\{Q_i|i \in \mathcal{I}\}\ are$  two reflexive lattices, where  $\mathcal{I}$  be an index set. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  be two von Neumann algebras that contain  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  respectively. If  $\varphi$  is a \*-isomorphism form  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  such that  $\varphi(\{P_i\}_{i\in I}) = \{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ , then  $\varphi(\mathcal{L}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ .

*Proof.*  $\varphi(\mathcal{L})$  is reflexive by A.2. This implies

$$\varphi(\mathcal{L}) \supseteq \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_i\}_{i \in I})) = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}},$$

since  $\varphi(\mathcal{L}) \supseteq \varphi(\{P_i\}_{i \in I}) = \{Q_i\}_{i \in I}$ . Similarly, by considering  $\varphi^{-1}$ , we have

$$\varphi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) \supseteq \mathcal{L}.$$
 (\*)

Apply  $\varphi$  to both sides of (\*) will give the opposite direction of the inclusion  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \supseteq \varphi(\mathcal{L})$ .

Let  $d(z_1, z_2)$  be the chordal metric on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ :

(7) 
$$d(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{2|z_1 - z_2|}{(1+|z_1|^2)^{1/2}(1+|z_2|)^{1/2}}, & z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}; \\ \frac{2}{(1+|z_1|^2)^{1/2}}, & z_2 = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then  $Aut(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$  is a topological group with respect to the following metric

$$\sigma(f,g) = \sup_{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}} d(f(z),g(z)).$$

By Lemma 2.4,  $\varphi(\in G[\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\})]) \to \rho_{\varphi}$  is an isomorphic form  $G[\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\})])$  onto some subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ . As will be shown by the next lemma, if the von Neumann algebra generated by  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  is a factor, then the range of this map is closed.

**Lemma 2.6.** With the notation in Lemma 2.4, let  $\mathcal{G} = G[\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\})],$  and  $\Phi(\varphi) = \rho_{\varphi}$  be the Möbius transformations such that  $\varphi(Q_z) = Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(z)}$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$ . If the finite von Neuamann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  generated by  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  has a faithful norm trace  $\tau$  that is  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant, i.e.,  $\tau \circ \varphi = \tau$ ,  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\Phi(\mathcal{G})$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ . Furthermore,  $\Phi^{-1}$  is continuous from  $\Phi(\mathcal{G})$  onto  $\mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_{\varphi_i} = \Phi(\varphi_i)$  be a sequence in  $Aut(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ , where  $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $i = 1, 2 \dots$  We need to show that if  $\rho_{\varphi_i}$  converges to  $\rho$ , then there is a  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\Phi(\varphi) = \rho$ .

Without loss of generality, we could assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  acts on  $L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \tau)$ , and  $\Omega$  is a generating trace vector satisfying  $\langle A\Omega, \Omega \rangle = \tau(A)$ . Since  $\tau$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant, we have  $\|\varphi_i(A-B)\|_2 = \|A-B\|_2$ , where  $\|.\|_2$  is the trace norm induced by  $\tau$ , and  $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Thus the following mapping

$$U_i: P(Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1})\Omega \to P(\varphi_i(Q_{\infty}), \varphi_i(Q_0), \varphi_i(Q_{-1}))\Omega$$
  
=  $P(Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(\infty)}, Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(0)}, Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(-1)})\Omega, \qquad i = 1, 2 \dots,$ 

extends to a unitary operator  $U_i$  such that  $\varphi_i(A) = U_i A U_i^*$  for  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $P(Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1})$  is a polynomial of  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$ . Since  $\rho_{\varphi_i}$  converges to  $\rho$ ,  $Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(\infty)},\,Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(0)}$  and  $Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(-1)}$  converge to  $Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(\infty)},\,Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(0)}$  and  $Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(-1)}$  in strong-operator topology. This implies that  $P(Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(\infty)},Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(0)},Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(-1)})$  converge strongly to  $P(Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(\infty)},Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(0)},Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(-1)})$ , since sums and multiplications of oparators are jointly continuous on the bounded set. Note  $\|Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(z_1)}-Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(z_2)}\|_2=\|Q_{z_1}-Q_{z_2}\|_2$ ,  $z_1,\,z_2\in\{\infty,0,-1\}$ , and  $Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(\infty)},Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(0)},Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(-1)}$  also generates  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Therefore the mapping

$$P(Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1})\Omega \to P(Q_{\rho_{\omega}(\infty)}, Q_{\rho_{\omega}(0)}, Q_{\rho_{\omega}(-1)})\Omega$$

extends to a unitary transformation U of  $L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \tau)$  such that  $UQ_{\infty}U^* = Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(\infty)}$ ,  $UQ_0U^* = Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(0)}$  and  $UQ_{-1}U^* = Q_{\rho_{\varphi}(-1)}$ . Let  $\varphi(A) = UAU^*$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . We have  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{A}$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $\varphi$  is in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Because each functional  $\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_*$  has the form  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_{x_n,y_n}$ , with

$$\sum_{n=1} (\|x_n\|^2 + \|y_n\|^2) < \infty.$$

Then  $\omega \circ \varphi_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_{U_i^* x_n, U_i^* y_n}$ . Since  $\rho_{\varphi_i}$  converges to  $\rho_{\varphi}$  implies  $U_i^*$  tends to  $U^*$  in strong-operator topology,  $\lim_i \|\omega \circ \varphi_i - \omega \circ \varphi\| = 0$ , and  $\Phi^{-1}$  is continuous.  $\square$ 

The elements in  $Aut(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$  are commonly classified into three types: parabolic, loxodromic and elliptic. The next theorem describes the dynamic behavior when a transformation is iterated.

**Theorem 2.1** ([11], Theorem 4.3.10). Let  $g(\neq I)$  be any Möbius transformation. Then

(1) If g is parabolic with fixed point  $\alpha$ . Then for all z in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , we have  $g^n(z) \to \alpha$  as  $n \to +\infty$ . The convergence being uniform on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ .

- (2) If g is loxodromic, then the fixed points  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of g can be labeled so that  $g^n(z) \to \alpha$  as  $n \to +\infty$  (if  $z \neq \beta$ ). The convergence being uniform on compact subsets of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\beta\}$ .
- (3) If g is elliptic with fexed points  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then g leaves invariant each circle for which  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are inverse points.

**Lemma 2.7.** With the notation and assumption in Lemma 2.6, for any  $\varphi \in G[\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\})], \rho_{\varphi} \text{ must be elliptic.}$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_{\varphi^n} = \Phi(\varphi^n)$ , where  $\varphi \in G[\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\}, n = 1, 2, .... \text{ If } \rho_{\varphi} \text{ is not elliptic, without lose of generality we may assume that <math>\lim_{n \to +\infty} d(\rho_n(\infty), \rho_n(0)) = 0$  by Theorem 2.1. This implies

$$||Q_{\infty} - Q_0||_2 = ||\varphi^n(Q_{\infty} - Q_0)||_2 = ||Q_{\rho_n(\infty)} - Q_{\rho_n(0)}||_2 \to 0, \quad n \to +\infty,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the 2-norm induced by the  $G[\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))]$ -invariant faithful norm trace. This contradicts with the fact that  $Q_{\infty} \neq Q_0$ .

A subgroup of  $Aut(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$  contains only elliptic transformations (and I) is conjugate to a subgroup of rotations of the sphere  $S^2$ , thus a subgroups of SU(2) (or SO(3)). Furthermore, if a closed subgroup contains only elliptic elements, then it must be compact.

**Lemma 2.8.** With the notation and assumption in Lemma 2.6,  $\Phi$  is a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{G}(=G[\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}]) \text{ and } \Phi(\mathcal{G}) \text{ when } \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \Phi(\mathcal{G}) \text{ are endowed with the natural topology and the topology induce by the metric <math>\sigma$  respectively.

*Proof.* By the proceeding discussion and Lemma 2.6,  $\Phi(\mathcal{G})$  is compact. Since  $\Phi^{-1}$  is continuous, and any every continuous bijective map form compact space to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism,  $\Phi^{-1}$  is homeomorphism.

Because any finite factor and finite dimensional von Neumann algebra has a faithful norm trace that is fixed by any automorphism, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. With the notation in Lemma 2.6, if the von Neumann algebra generated by  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  is a finite factor or finite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{G} = G[\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\})]$  is homeomorphic to a closed subgroup  $\Phi(\mathcal{G})$  of SO(3).

Every finite subgroup of SO(3) is isomorphic to one of the symmetry groups of the regular solids: cyclic groups  $C_n$ , dihedral groups  $D_n$ , tetrahedral group  $T(\approx A_4)$ , octahedral group  $O(\approx S_4)$ , and the icosahedral group  $Y(\approx A_5)$ . There are also two infinite closed subgroups  $C_{\infty} \approx SO(2)$  generated by an arbitrary rotation around an axis and  $D_{\infty}$  which is generated by  $C_{\infty}$  and a rotation  $\pi$  around an axis orthogonal to the axis of rotation of  $C_{\infty}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose  $\{0,Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1},I\}$  is a double triangle lattice, and  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  generate a finite von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For each i in a index set  $\mathcal{I}$ , let  $z_{\infty}^i$ ,  $z_0^i$  and  $z_{-1}^i$  be three elements in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , and  $P_k = \sum_i Q_{z_k^i} \otimes E_i$   $(k = \infty, 0, -1)$  be a projection in  $\mathfrak{A} \otimes l^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$ , where  $E_i$  is the projection onto the space spanned by  $e_i = \delta_i (\in l^2(\mathcal{I})$ . Furthermore, we assume that  $0 \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $z_{\infty}^0 = \infty$ ,  $z_0^0 = 0$  and  $z_{-1}^0 = -1$ . Then  $\{0, P_{\infty}, P_0, P_{\infty}, I\}$  is a double triangle lattice, and any  $P_z \in \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(P_{\infty}, P_0, P_{\infty}))$  has the form  $P_z = \sum_i Q_{\rho^i(z)}$ , where  $\rho^i$  is the Möbius transformation satisfying  $\rho^i(\infty) = z_{\infty}^i$ ,  $\rho^i(0) = z_0^i$  and  $\rho^i(-1) = z_{-1}^i$   $(\rho^0(z) = z)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{A} \otimes l^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$  is a finite von Neumann algebra, we could apply Theorem 1.1, and proceed as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have the result.

**Corollary 2.3.** For any subgroup G of Möbius transformations, there is a double triangle lattice  $\{0, P_{\infty}, P_0, P_{-1}, I\}$  such that  $G \subset G[\text{Lat}(Alg(\{P_{\infty}, P_0, P_{-1}\})].$ 

Proof. Let

$$Q_{\infty} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, Q_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Theorem 1.1, we have

$$Q_z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} & \frac{|z|e^{i(\pi+\theta)}}{1+|z|^2} \\ \frac{|z|e^{-i(\pi+i\theta)}}{1+|z|^2} & \frac{1}{1+|z|^2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z = |z|e^{i\theta}.$$

Let  $P_k = \sum_{\rho \in G} Q_{\rho(k)} \otimes E_{\rho} (\in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes l^{\infty}(G), k = \infty, 0, -1, \text{ and } U_{\rho} = I \otimes R_{\rho},$  where  $R_{\rho}$  is the unitary in right regular representation  $R_G$ . Then for  $\rho_0 \in G$ , we have

$$U_{\rho_0}^* P_k U_{\rho_0} = \sum_{\rho \in G} Q_{\rho(\rho_0^{-1}(k))} \otimes E_{\rho}, \qquad k = \infty, 0, -1,$$

and  $U_{\rho_0}^* P_k U_{\rho_0}$  is in Lat(Alg( $\{P_{\infty}, P_0, P_{-1}\}$ )) by Proposition 2.1. Thus,  $U_{\rho_0}^* \{P_{\infty}, P_0, P_{-1}\}^{"} U_{\rho_0} = \{P_{\infty}, P_0, P_{-1}\}^{"}$  by Lemma 2.5.

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $P_{\infty}$ ,  $P_0$  and  $P_{-1}$  be the projections in the proof of Corollary 2.3. If the group G contains a element that is not elliptic, then the von Neumanm algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  generated by  $P_{\infty}$ ,  $P_0$  and  $P_{-1}$  does not have a faithful norm trace that is preserved by any automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , in another word, the center of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is infinite dimensional.

In the next section we will determine  $G[\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\}))]$  when  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  are three trace half free projections.

3. Automorphism of 
$$L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
 that fixes  $S^2$ 

We first recall some basic facts and terminology relative to free probability theory. A non-commutative  $W^*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{A},\tau)$  is a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with a normal state  $\tau$ . In particular, we only consider the case when  $\mathfrak{A}$  is finite and  $\tau$  is a faithful norm trace. A family of unital \*-subalgebras  $\{\mathfrak{A}_l\}_{l\in\mathcal{I}}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called free if  $\tau(a_1a_2\cdots a_n)=0$  whenever  $a_i\in A_{l_i},\ l_1\neq l_2\neq\cdots\neq l_n$  and  $\tau(a_i)=0$  for all  $i=1,\ldots n$ . In particular, if  $\mathfrak{A}_l$  is the unital \*-algebra generated by  $T_l\in\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\{T_l\}_{l\in\mathcal{I}}$  is called \*-free. Specially, if  $\{G_l\}_{l\in\mathcal{I}}$  is a family of groups, then the group von Neumann algebras  $\{L_{G_l}\}_{l\in\mathcal{I}}$  is free in  $L_G$ , where  $G=*_{l\in\mathcal{I}}G_l$  is the group free product of  $\{G_l\}$ . For a comprehensive treatment on free probability, we refer to [19, 20].

Let  $F_{\frac{3}{2}}=\mathbb{Z}_2*\mathbb{Z}_2*\mathbb{Z}_2*\mathbb{Z}_2$  is an i.c.c group so its associated group von Neumann algebra  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$  is a factor of type II<sub>1</sub> acting on  $l^2(F_{\frac{3}{2}})$ . Throughout this section we assume  $Q_{\infty}=\frac{I-U_1}{2}$ ,  $Q_0=\frac{I-U_2}{2}$  and  $Q_{-1}=\frac{I-U_3}{2}$  where  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  and  $U_3$  are canonical generators for  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$  corresponding to the generators of  $F_{\frac{3}{2}}$  with  $U_i^2=I$ . From the above discussion, we have  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  are three free projections with trace  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and satisfy all the requirements in the statements of the Theorem 1.1

and the Corollary 2.2. Thus  $G[\text{Lat}(Alg(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\}))]$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of SO(3).

It is obvious that any permutation of the three free projections  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  will induce a automorphism of  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{8}}}$ . More precisely, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** With the notation given above, there are two automorphisms  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  of  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$  such that  $\varphi_i(Q_z) = Q_{\rho_{\varphi_i}(z)}$  for any  $Q_z \in \text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\}))$ , where  $\rho_{\varphi_1}(z) = \frac{1}{z}$  and  $\rho_{\varphi_2}(z) = -1 - z$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_1$  be the automorphism satisfying  $\varphi_1(Q_\infty) = Q_0$ ,  $\varphi_1(Q_0) = Q_\infty$  and  $\varphi_1(Q_{-1}) = Q_{-1}$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\rho_{\varphi_1}(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ . Similarly let  $\varphi_2$  be the automorphism that switches  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  and leave  $Q_\infty$  fixed, we have  $\rho_{\varphi_2}(z) = -1 - z$ .

The \*-isomorphisms  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  in the last lemma generate a subgroup of  $Aut(L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}})$ , which is isomorphic to  $S_3$ , the symmetric group of 3 elements. Later it will be shown that  $G[\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))] \approx S_3$ . In order to do this, we must dig out more structure information about  $\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))$ . In doing so, we shall adopt the notation and definitions developed in [7, 19, 20].

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a finite von Neumann algebra. Recall that  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the \*-algebra formed by the operators affiliated with  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $T \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ , by polar decomposition, we have

$$T = U|T| = U \int_0^\infty t dE_{|T|}(t),$$

where  $U \in \mathfrak{A}$  is a unitary, and  $E_{|T|}$  is the spectral measure for |T| taking values in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We may define a probability measure  $\mu_{|T|}$  by

$$\mu_{|T|}(B) = \tau(E_{|T|}(B)), (B \in \mathbb{B}),$$

where  $\mathbb{B}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 3.1** (Definition 3.2, [7]).  $T \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  is said to be R-diagonal if there exist a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$ , with a faithful norm trace state  $\phi$ , and \*-free elements U and H in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , such that U is Haar unitary ( An element  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  is said to be Haar unitary if it is a unitary and  $\phi(U^k) = 0$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ),  $H \geq 0$ , and T has the same \*-distribution as UH.

We will use the Proposition 3.11 in [7] which we state here for the convenience of the reader:

**Proposition 3.1** (Proposition 3.11, [7]). Let  $S, T \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  be \*-free R-diagonal elements. Then

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{|S+T|} = \widetilde{\mu}_{|S|} \boxplus \widetilde{\mu}_{|T|}.$$

Here  $\widetilde{\mu}_{|S|}(\widetilde{\mu}_{|T|})$  is the symmetrization of  $\mu_{|S|}(\mu_{|T|})$ , which is defined by

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{|S|}(B) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{|S|}(B) + \mu_{|S|}(-B)), \qquad (B \in \mathbb{B}).$$

From now on, let  $\mathcal{L} = \text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\}))$ . We will compute the distance form  $Q_z$  to  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $\forall Q_z \in \mathcal{L}$ . By the discussion in section 1, we may assume that

$$Q_{\infty} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{1} & \sqrt{H_{1}(I - H_{1})} \\ \sqrt{H_{1}(I - H_{1})} & I - H_{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{2} & \sqrt{H_{2}(I - H_{2})}V \\ V^{*}\sqrt{H_{2}(I - H_{2})} & V^{*}(I - H_{2})V \end{pmatrix}.$$

The freeness among  $Q_{\infty}$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_{-1}$  ensures that  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  and V are free,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  have the same distribution as  $\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta)$  on [0,1] with respect to Lebesgue measure ([19, Exmaple 3.6.7]) and V a Haar unitary element. And  $Q_{\infty}L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}Q_{\infty}$  is generated by  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  and V. Let tr be the faithful norm trace on  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$ , then

$$tr\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{array}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau(A_{11}) + \tau(A_{22})).$$

where  $\tau$  is the faithful norm trace on  $Q_{\infty}L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}Q_{\infty}$ .

With the notation in Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\|Q_z - Q_\infty\|_2^2 = 1 - 2tr(Q_z Q_\infty) = 1 - \tau(Q_\infty Q_z Q_\infty|_{Q_\infty l_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}^2}) = \tau(I - K_z).$$

Therefore we only need to determine the distribution of  $K_z$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** With the above notation, any  $Q_z$  in  $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{0, I\}$  can be written as

$$Q_z = \begin{pmatrix} K_z & \sqrt{K_z(I - K_z)}U_z \\ U_z^* \sqrt{K_z(I - K_z)} & U_z^*(I - K_z)U_z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where  $K_z$  and  $U_z$  are determined by the polar decomposition:

$$\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)^{-1}}U_z = (1+z)\sqrt{H_1(I-H_1)^{-1}} - z\sqrt{H_2(I-H_2)^{-1}}V.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$d\mu_{\sqrt{\frac{K_z}{T-K_z}}}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{|z| + |z+1|}{t^2 + (|z| + |z+1|)^2} 1_{(0,\infty)}(t) dt.$$

*Proof.* The first part of the lemma is just a restatement of Theorem 1.1. From the discussion following Proposition 3.1,  $H_i(i=1, 2)$  has the same distribution as  $\cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2}\theta$  on [0, 1], thus  $\sqrt{H_i(I-H_i)^{-1}}$  has the same distribution as  $\cot \frac{\pi}{2}\theta$  on [0, 1]. So we have

$$d\mu_{\sqrt{\frac{H_i}{I-H_i}}}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+t^2} 1_{(0,\infty)} dt, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

where dt is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then for any  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$d\mu_r(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d\mu_{(r\sqrt{\frac{H_i}{I-H_i}})}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{r}{r^2 + t^2} 1_{(0,\infty)} dt.$$

Thus the symmetrization of  $\mu_r(t)$  is

$$d\widetilde{\mu_r}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{r}{r^2 + t^2} 1_{(-\infty, +\infty)} dt.$$

The Cauchy transform of  $\widetilde{\mu_r}$  is

$$G_{\widetilde{\mu_r}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\omega - t} \frac{r}{r^2 + t^2} dt = \frac{1}{z + ri}. \quad (Im\omega > 0)$$

Let 
$$F_{\widetilde{\mu_r}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{G_{\widetilde{\mu_r}}(\omega)} = \omega + ri$$
, and  $\varphi_{\widetilde{\mu_r}}(\omega) = F_{\widetilde{\mu_r}}^{-1}(\omega) - \omega = -ri$ .

Since  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  and V are free and V is a Haar unitary, we may assume that  $V = V_2V_1^*$  with  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  Haar unitaries, and  $\{H_1, H_2, V_1, V_2\}$  is a free family. Thus  $\sqrt{K_z(I-K_z)^{-1}}U_zV_1 = (1+z)\sqrt{H_1(I-H_1)^{-1}}V_1 - z\sqrt{H_2(I-H_2)^{-1}}V_2$  is a sum of two \*-free R-diagonal elements. Form Corollary 5.8 in [8] and Proposition 3.1, we have for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\begin{split} &\varphi_{\widetilde{\mu|z|} \boxplus \widetilde{\mu_{|z+1|}}}(\omega) = \varphi_{\widetilde{\mu|z|}}(\omega) + \varphi_{\widetilde{\mu_{|z+1|}}}(\omega) = -i(|z| + |z+1|), \\ &F_{\widetilde{\mu_{|z|}} \boxplus \widetilde{\mu_{|z+1|}}}(\omega) = \omega + i(|z| + |z+1|), \\ &G_{\widetilde{\mu_{|z|}} \boxplus \widetilde{\mu_{|z+1|}}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega + i(|z| + |z+1|)}, \end{split}$$

therefore

$$d\widetilde{\mu_{\sqrt{\frac{K_z}{I-K_z}}}}(t) = d\widetilde{\mu_{|z|}} \boxplus \widetilde{\mu_{|z+1|}}(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{u \to 0+} ImG_{\widetilde{\mu_{|z|}} \boxplus \widetilde{\mu_{|z+1|}}}(t+iu)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{|z| + |z+1|}{t^2 + (|z| + |z+1|)^2} 1_{(-\infty, +\infty)} dt,$$

and

$$d\mu_{\sqrt{\frac{K_z}{I-K_z}}}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{|z| + |z+1|}{t^2 + (|z| + |z+1|)^2} \mathbf{1}_{(0,+\infty)} dt.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** For any projection  $Q_z \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \{0, I\}$ ,  $\|Q_z - Q_\infty\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+|z|+|z+1|}}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the 2-norm induced by the faithful norm trace tr on  $L_{F_3}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta_z = |z| + |z+1|$ . An easy application of the residue theorem gives that

$$\tau(I - K_z) = \frac{\Delta_z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{2i}{(t^2 + 1)(t^2 + \Delta_z^2)} dt = \frac{1}{1 + \Delta_z}.$$

Thus

$$\|Q_z - Q_\infty\|_2^2 = \tau(I - K_z) = \frac{1}{1 + |z| + |z + 1|}.$$

Corollary 3.1. For any  $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , we have  $\| Q_z - Q_0 \|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{|z|}{1+|z|+|z+1|}}$ , and  $\| Q_z - Q_{-1} \|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{|z+1|}{1+|z|+|z+1|}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_2$  be the automorphism in Lemma 3.1. Then we have

$$\parallel Q_z - Q_0 \parallel_2 = \parallel Q_{\frac{1}{z}} - Q_{\infty} \parallel_2 = \sqrt{\frac{|z|}{1 + |z| + |z+1|}}.$$

Similarly, the second equation can be proved by considering the automorphism  $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** With the notation and assumption in this section,  $||Q_{z_1} - Q_{z_2}||_2 = ||Q_{\overline{z}_1} - Q_{\overline{z}_2}||_2$ ,  $\forall z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{I-Q_{\infty}, I-Q_0, I-Q_{-1}\}$  is also a free family of trace half projections, the map  $Q_i \to I-Q_i$  induces an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$ ,  $i \in \{\infty, 0, -1\}$ . Use Lemma 2.2 and argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we have  $\varphi(Q_z) = I - Q_{\overline{z}}$ . Then the equation in the corollary can be verified as follows:

$$||Q_{z_1} - Q_{z_2}||_2 = ||\varphi(Q_{z_1}) - \varphi(Q_{z_2})||_2 = ||(I - Q_{\overline{z}_1}) - (I - Q_{\overline{z}_2})||_2 = ||Q_{\overline{z}_1} - Q_{\overline{z}_2}||_2.$$

Before proceeding to the proof of the fact that  $G[\text{Lat}(Alg(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\}))] \approx S_3$ , we need to learn more about the metric on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  given below:

(8) 
$$dist(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2|z_1 - z_2|}{(1+|z_1|+|z_1+1|)(1+|z_2|+|z_2+1|)}}, & z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}; \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{(1+|z_1|+|z_1+1|)}}, & z_2 = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is not hard to check that dist is a distance function on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** With the notation above, dist is a metric on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

*Proof.* To check the subadditivity  $dist(z_1, z_3) \leq dist(z_1, z_2) + dist(z_2, z_3)$  when  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ , we only need to show

 $|z_1 - z_3|(1 + |z_2| + |z_2 + 1|) \le |z_1 - z_2|(1 + |z_3| + |z_3 + 1| + |z_2 - z_3|(1 + |z_1| + |z_1 + 1|),$  which is an immediate consequence of the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 - z_3| &\leq |z_1 - z_2| + |z_2 - z_3|, \\ |z_2||z_1 - z_3| &\leq |z_3||z_1 - z_2| + |z_1||z_2 - z_3|, \\ |z_2 + 1||z_1 - z_3| &\leq |z_3 + 1||z_1 - z_2| + |z_1 + 1||z_2 - z_3|. \end{aligned}$$

The other cases can be proved similarly.

The following lemma is an easy observation of plane geometry.

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are two ellipses that share the common foci at  $(\pm c, 0)$ . Let  $\frac{x^2}{a_i^2} + \frac{y^2}{a_i^2 - c^2} = 1$  be the equation of  $E_i$ , i = 1, 2. Then the distance between any two points  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2)$  on  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively takes the maximum value if and only if  $(x_1, y_1) = (\pm a_1, 0)$  and  $(x_2, y_2) = (\mp a_2, 0)$ , and the maximum distance is  $a_1 + a_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1, y_1) = (a_1 \cos \theta_1, b_1 \sin \theta_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2) = (a_2 \cos \theta_2, b_2 \sin \theta_2)$ , where  $b_i = \sqrt{a_i^2 - c^2}$ ,  $\theta_i \in [0, 2\pi)$  (i = 1, 2). Then it is easy to see that the following inequality holds, and the conclusion is thus obvious.

$$(a_2 \cos \theta_2 - a_1 \cos \theta_1)^2 + (b_2 \sin \theta_2 - b_1 \sin \theta_1)^2$$
  
=  $a_2^2 \cos^2 \theta_2 + b_2^2 \sin^2 \theta_2 + a_1^2 \cos^2 \theta_1 + b_1^2 \sin^2 \theta_1$   
-  $2a_1 a_2 \cos \theta_2 \cos \theta_1 - 2b_1 b_2 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_1 \le (a_1 + a_2)^2$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** With the above notation,  $dist(z_1, z_2) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $dist(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  if and only if

(i) 
$$z_1 = \infty$$
 and  $z_2 \in [-1, 0]$  or  
(ii)  $z_1 = 0$  and  $z_2 \in (-\infty, -1]$  or  
(iii)  $z_1 = -1$  and  $z_2 \in (0, +\infty)$ .

*Proof.* We need to show

$$4|z_1 - z_2| \le (1 + |z_1| + |z_1 + 1|)(1 + |z_2| + |z_2 + 1|).$$

Assume  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are on the ellipses  $|z| + |z + 1| = r_1$  and  $|z| + |z + 1| = r_2$  respectively, where  $r_2 \ge r_1 \ge 1$ . By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$LHS = 4|z_1 - z_2| \le 4\left|\frac{r_2 - 1}{2} + \frac{r_1 + 1}{2}\right| = 2(r_1 + r_2).$$

Thus 
$$RHS - LHS \ge (r_1 - 1)(r_2 - 1) \ge 0$$
.

If a closed operator T affiliated with a finite von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak A$  satisfying

(9) 
$$\int_0^{+\infty} log^+ t d\mu_{|T|}(t) < \infty,$$

then the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of T

$$\triangle(T) = exp\left(\int_0^\infty logt d\mu_{|T|}(t)\right) \in [0, +\infty)$$

is well-defined ([2]), where  $log^+(t) = max(0, log(t))$ .

Let  $L(T) = log(\triangle(T))$ . It is proved in [7] that if S, T both satisfy the condition (9), then ST also satisfies (9), and  $\triangle(ST) = \triangle(T)\triangle(S)$ . Thus L(ST) = L(S) + L(T). This fact will be used in the proof of the next lemma.

**Theorem 3.1.** 
$$L(I - Q_{z_1}Q_{z_2}Q_{z_1}) = 2log(dist(z_1, z_2)), z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

*Proof.* To avoid confusion, we will use  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  to denote the function L defined in the proceeding discussion on  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$  and  $Q_{\infty}L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}Q_{\infty}$  respectively. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, let W be the unitary such that  $WQ_{z_1}W=Q_{\infty}$  and  $WQ_{\infty}W=Q_{z_1}$ . Since  $L_1(U^*TU)=L_1(T)$  for any unitary U in  $L_{F_{\frac{3}{2}}}$ , we have  $L_1(I-Q_{z_1}Q_{z_2}Q_{z_1})=L_1(I-Q_{\infty}WQ_{z_2}WQ_{\infty})$ . From (6),

$$I - Q_{\infty}WQ_{z_2}WQ_{\infty} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} |z_1 - z_2|^2\sqrt{I - K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}S^*(I - K_{z_2})SU^*_{z_1}\sqrt{I - K_{z_1}} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{array} \right).$$

Note  $L_2(V) = 0$  for any unitary V, we have

$$L_1(I - Q_{\infty}WQ_{z_2}WQ_{\infty}) = \frac{1}{2}(L_2(|z_1 - z_2|^2\sqrt{I - K_{z_1}}U_{z_1}S^*(I - K_{z_2})SU_{z_1}^*\sqrt{I - K_{z_1}}) + L_2(I))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(2L_2(|z_1 - z_2|) + L_2(S^*) + L_2(S) + L_2(I - K_{z_1}) + L_2(I - K_{z_2})).$$

Recall  $d\mu_{\sqrt{\frac{K_z}{I-K_z}}}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\Delta_z}{t^2 + \Delta_z^2} 1_{(0,+\infty)} dt$ , where  $\Delta_z = |z| + |z+1|$ . Therefore

$$L_2(I - K_{z_i}) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} log(t^2 + 1) \frac{\Delta_{z_i}}{t^2 + \Delta_{z_i}^2} dt = -2log(\Delta_{z_i} + 1).$$

Proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have  $d_{\mu_{|S|}}(t) = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{1}{t^2+4} 1_{(0,+\infty)}(t) dt$ , thus

$$L_2(S) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\log(t)}{t^2 + 4} dt = \log(2).$$

It is clear that  $L_2(S^*) = L_2(S)$  and  $L_2(|z_1 - z_2|) = log(|z_1 - z_2|)$ , so we have

$$\begin{split} L_1(I-Q_{\infty}WQ_{z_2}WQ_{\infty}) &= log(|z_1-z_2|) + log(2) - log(\Delta_{z_1}+1) - log(\Delta_{z_2}+1) \\ &= log(\frac{2|z_2-z|}{(1+|z|+|z+1|)(1+|z_2|+|z_2+1|)}). \end{split}$$

Corollary 3.4.  $G[\operatorname{Lat}(Alg(\{Q_{\infty}, Q_0, Q_{-1}\}))] \approx S_3$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\varphi$  is a automorphism in  $G[\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\{Q_{\infty},Q_0,Q_{-1}\}))]$ , and  $\rho$  is the Möbinus transformation such that  $\varphi(Q_z)=Q_{\rho_z}, \, \forall z\in\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $\rho(\infty)=z_1,\, \rho(0)=z_2$  and  $\rho(-1)=z_3$ . First assume that  $z_1,\, z_2$  and  $z_3$  are in  $\mathbb{C}$ . From Theorem 3.1 and the freeness of  $\{Q_{z_1},Q_{z_2},Q_{z_3}\}$ , we have

$$2log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) = L(I - Q_{z_i}Q_{z_j}Q_{z_i}) = 2log(dist(z_i, z_j)), \quad i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

This is impossible by Corollary 3.3. Thus one of  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  is  $\infty$ . Without loss of generality, we could assume that  $z_1 = \infty$ . Since  $||Q_{\infty} - Q_{z_k}|| = dist(\infty, z_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ , k = 2, 3.  $z_2, z_3$  must be in [-1, 0]. Apply Corollary 3.3 again, we have  $\{z_2, z_3\} = \{0, -1\}$ , since  $dist(z_2, z_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  must be in the group generated by the two automorphisms in Lemma 3.1.

#### APPENDIX A. SOME TECHNIQUE RESULTS ON REFLEXIVE LATTICES

The purpose of this appendix is to prove that the reflexivity of a lattice of projections in a von Neumann algebra is independent of any particular faithful representation. Precisely, if  $\mathcal L$  is a reflexive subspace lattice in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak A$ ,  $\varphi$  is a \*-isomorphism of  $\mathfrak A$ , and  $\varphi(\mathfrak A)$  is in  $\mathcal B(\mathcal K)$ , then  $\varphi(\mathcal L)$  is also reflexive. This result was mentioned by Morhan in [4], but his proof was incomplete. In the following we will provide a detailed proof of this fact.

**Lemma A.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  ( $\subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ) be a von Neumann algebra,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a subspace lattice in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose P', Q' are two projections in  $\mathfrak{A}'$  such that  $P' \preceq Q'$ , and  $C_{P'} = C_{Q'}$ , where  $C_{P'}$  and  $C_{Q'}$  are the central carriers of P' and Q' respectively. Let  $P'\mathcal{L} = \{P'E|E \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . If  $P'\mathcal{L}$  is reflexive as a subspace lattice in  $\mathcal{B}(P'\mathcal{H})$ , then we have  $Q'\mathcal{L}(=\{Q'E|E \in \mathcal{L}\})$  is also reflexive as a subspace lattice in  $\mathcal{B}(Q'\mathcal{H})$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $C_{P'} = I$  and Q' = I. So we only need to show that if  $P'\mathcal{L}$  is reflexive and  $C_{P'} = I$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  is also reflexive.

For any  $T_1$  in  $\operatorname{Alg}(P'\mathcal{L})$ , let T be the operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that T(I-P')=(I-P')T=0, and  $TP'|_{P'\mathcal{H}}=T_1$ . If E is in  $\mathcal{L}$ , then (I-E)TE=(P'-P'E)TP'E=0, since  $T_1$  is in  $\operatorname{Alg}(P'\mathcal{L})$ . Because  $\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}))$  is a subset of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we have (P'-P'F)P'TP'F=P'(I-F)TFP'=0 for any F belongs to  $\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}))$ . This implies  $FP'|_{P'\mathcal{H}}\in\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(P'\mathcal{L}))=P'\mathcal{L}$ . The map

$$\mathfrak{A} \to P'\mathfrak{A}: A \to AP'|_{P'\mathcal{H}}$$

is \*-isomorphism since  $C_{P'} = I$ , therefore F must be in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Example A.1.** The condition  $C_{P'} = I$  in the above lemma cannot be removed. Let

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, P' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\mathcal{L} = \{0, E_1, E_2, E_3, I\}$ . Then  $C_{P'} = P' \neq I$ , and  $P'\mathcal{L}$  is reflexive. However, it is not hard to check that  $\mathcal{L}$  is not reflexive.

In following lemma is easy, and we omit the proof.

**Lemma A.2.** Suppose  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$  is a family of Hilbert spaces, where  $\mathcal{I}$  is an index set. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}_i$ , and  $E_i$  is the orthonormal projection form  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_i$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a subset of projections in  $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ . For each projection  $P\in\mathcal{L}$ , let  $P_i=E_iP|_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ .

$$Alg(\mathcal{L}) = \{ A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) | (E_i - P_i) E_i A E_j P_j = 0, \text{ for all } i, j \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } P \in \mathcal{L} \}.$$

With the same notations in the above lemma, we give the following definition.

**Definition A.1.** An extension index ex of  $\mathcal{L}$  is a map assigning a cardinal number ex(i) to each i in  $\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I}, and j \in \mathcal{I}(ex(i))\}, and \mathcal{H}^{ex} = \{(i,j)|i \in \mathcal{I},$  $\bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{H}_i\otimes l^2(ex(i))=\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{I}^{ex}}\mathcal{H}_i, \text{ where } \mathcal{I}(ex(i)) \text{ is a set with cardinal } ex(i), \text{ and}$  $l^2(ex(i))$  is the Hilbert space with dimension ex(i). For each  $P \in \mathcal{L}$ , let  $P^{ex}$  be a projection in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{ex})$  such that  $E_i \otimes F_j^i P^{ex} = P^{ex} E_i \otimes F_j^i = P_i|_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ , where  $E_i \otimes F_j^i$  is the projection form  $\mathcal{H}^{ex}$  onto the jth copy of  $\mathcal{H}_i$  in  $\mathcal{H}^{ex}$ . And we will use  $\mathcal{L}^{ex}$  to denote the set  $\{P^{ex}|for\ all\ P\in\mathcal{L}\}.$ 

**Lemma A.3.** With the notations in Lemma A.2 and DefinitionA.1, let ex be an extension index, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is reflexive if and only if  $\mathcal{L}^{ex}$  is reflexive.

*Proof.* First note  $\bigoplus_i I \otimes \mathcal{B}(l^2(ex(i)))$  is in  $\{\mathcal{L}^{ex}\}'$ , this implies that  $Lat(Alg(\mathcal{L}^{ex})) \subset$  $\bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{i}) \otimes I_{ex(i)}. \text{ Thus for any } Q^{ex} \in \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}^{ex})), \text{ there exist } Q_{i} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{i}), i \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ and } Q^{ex} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Q_{i} \otimes I_{ex(i)} = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}^{ex}} Q_{i}.$ 

We need to show

$$Q^{ex} = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}^{ex}} Q_i \in \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}^{ex})) \iff Q = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Q_i \in \operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L})).$$

By LemmaA.2,  $Q = \bigoplus_i Q_i$  is in Lat(Alg( $\mathcal{L}$ )) if and only if

$$(I - Q_i)A_{ij}Q_i = 0, \forall i, j \in \mathcal{I},$$

where  $A_{ij}$  is any operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_j, \mathcal{H}_i)$  such that  $(I - P_i)A_{ij}P_j = 0$  for any  $P \in \mathcal{L}$ . Similarly,  $\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{I}^{ex}}Q_i\in\operatorname{Lat}(\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}^{ex}))$  if and only if

$$(I - Q_i)A_{(i,k),(j,l)}Q_j = 0, \forall (i,k), (j,l) \in \mathcal{I}^{ex},$$

where  $A_{(i,k),(j,l)}$  is any operator in  $\mathcal{B}(E_j \otimes F_l^j \mathcal{H}^{ex}, E_i \otimes F_k^i \mathcal{H}^{ex})$  such that (I - I) $P_i)A_{(i,k),(j,l)}P_j=0$  for any  $P\in\mathcal{L}$ . This end the proof, since  $E_j\otimes F_l^j\mathcal{H}^{ex}$  is just  $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a von Neumann algebra, we say that a projection E with central carrier  $C_E$  in  $\mathfrak A$  is monic if  $E \neq 0$  and there exist a positive integer k and projections  $E_1, \ldots, E_k$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that

$$E_1 \sim E_2 \sim \cdots \sim E_k \sim E$$
,  $E_1 + E_2 + \cdots + E_k = C_E$ .

The following lemma is Proposition 8.2.1 in [1].

**Lemma A.4.** Each non-zero projection E in a finite von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak A$  is the sum of an orthogonal family of monic projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

The following corollary is then immediate consequence of this lemma.

Corollary A.1. Suppose A is a finite von Neumann algebra, then for any non-zero projection P in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , there exists a non-zero projection Q in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $Q \leq P$  and  $C_Q = Q + \sum_i Q_i$ , where  $\{Q_i\}$  is a mutually orthogonal family of projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$ such that  $Q_i \sim Q$  for each i.

In the following, we will prove that the statement in Corollary A.1 is true for any von Neumann algebra. Since any von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak A$  is a direct sum of algebras of types  $I_n(n=1,2,3\ldots)$ ,  $II_1$ ,  $II_{\infty}$  and III, it is suffices to consider only the three cases in which  $\mathfrak A$  is type  $I_{\infty}$ ,  $II_{\infty}$  or III. For basic comparison theory of projections, we refer to [1].

**Lemma A.5.** Suppose  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a type III von Neumann algebra, then for any non-zero projection P in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , there exists a non-zero projection Q in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $Q \leq P$ , and  $C_Q = Q + \sum_i Q_i$ , where  $\{Q_i\}$  is a mutually orthogonal family of projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $Q_i \sim Q$  for each i.

*Proof.* By considering  $C_P\mathfrak{A}$ , we could assume that  $C_P = I$ . Since any properly infinite projection can be "halved," we can find two projection  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  such that  $P = P_1 + P_2$  and  $P \sim P_1 \sim P_2$ . Let  $\{P_\alpha\}$  be a maximal family of equivalent mutually orthogonal projections that contains  $\{P_1, P_2\}$ . If  $\sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} = I$ , let  $Q = P_1$ . Otherwise, by maximality of  $\{P_\alpha\}$ , there exists a non-zero central projection E such that

$$0 \neq F = (I - \sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha})E \prec P_{1}E.$$

Thus we have

$$EP_1 \lesssim EP_2 + F \lesssim E(P_1 + P_2) \sim EP_1$$

which implies  $EP_1 \sim EP_2 + F$ . So we could let  $Q = EP_1$  (Note that  $C_{EP_1} = E$ ).  $\square$ 

**Lemma A.6.** If a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is type  $I_{\alpha}(\alpha)$  is a infinite cardinal number) or type  $II_{\infty}$ , and P is a non-zero projection in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then there exists a non-zero subprojection Q of P such that  $C_Q = Q + \sum_i Q_i$ , where  $\{Q_i\}$  is a mutually orthogonal family of projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $Q_i \sim Q$  for each i.

Proof. By choosing a proper finite projection with central carrier I, we may assume  $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{A}_1\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  is a finite von Neumann algebra. Let  $\{E_{\alpha,\beta}\}$  be a system of matrix units for  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . If there is a central projection F such that  $0\neq FE_{\alpha,\alpha}\sim Q\leq FP$  for some  $E_{\alpha,\alpha}$ , then Q satisfies all the requests in the lemma. Indeed, since  $FE_{\alpha,\alpha}\sim Q$  and  $FE_{\alpha,\alpha}$  is a finite projection, there exists a unitary U in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $Q=U^*FE_{\alpha,\alpha}U$ . It clear that  $Q\sim U^*FE_{\beta,\beta}U$  and  $\sum_{\beta}FE_{\beta,\beta}=F$ , thus we have

$$C_Q = F = U^* F E_{\alpha,\alpha} U + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} U^* F E_{\beta,\beta} U = Q + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} U^* F E_{\beta,\beta} U.$$

We may, thus, assume that  $P \prec E_{\alpha}$ . Let U be a unitary in  $\mathfrak A$  such that  $P \leq U^*E_{\alpha}U$ . Replacing  $\{E_{\alpha,\beta}\}$  by  $\{U^*E_{\alpha,\beta}U\}$ , we may now assume that  $P \leq E_{\alpha,\alpha}$ . Remember  $\mathfrak A_1 \cong E_{\alpha,\alpha}\mathfrak A E_{\alpha,\alpha}$  is a finite von Neumann algebra. From Corollary A.1, there is a monic projection Q in  $E_{\alpha,\alpha}\mathfrak A E_{\alpha,\alpha}$  such that  $Q \leq P$  and  $\widetilde{C}_Q = Q + \sum_i Q_i$ , where  $\widetilde{C}_Q$  is the central carrier of Q in  $E_{\alpha,\alpha}\mathfrak A E_{\alpha,\alpha}$ . Since the central carrier of Q in  $\mathfrak A$  is  $C_Q = \sum_{\beta} E_{\beta,\alpha} \widetilde{C}_Q E_{\alpha,\beta}$ , we have

$$C_Q = Q + \sum_i Q_i + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} E_{\beta,\alpha} (Q + \sum_i Q_i) E_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

By combining the above lemmas, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem A.1.** Suppose P is a non-zero projection in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then there exists a non-zero subprojection Q of P and a mutually orthogonal family of projections  $\{Q_i\}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $C_Q = Q + \sum_i Q_i$  and  $Q_i \sim Q$  for each i.

The following corollary is immediate by a maximality argument, and we omit the proof.

Corollary A.2. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a von Neumann algebra and P be a projection in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then there is an orthogonal family  $\{Q_{\alpha}\}$  of subprojections of P in  $\mathfrak A$  such that  $C_P = \sum_{\alpha} C_{Q_{\alpha}}(C_{\alpha_1} \perp C_{\alpha_2}, \alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2)$ . Moreover,  $C_{Q_{\alpha}} = Q_{\alpha} + \sum_{i} Q_{\alpha}^{i}$  where  $\{Q_{\alpha}^{i}\}$  is a orthogonal family of projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $Q_{\alpha}^{i} \sim Q$  for each i.

**Theorem A.2.** Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is a reflexive subspace lattice in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_1(\subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1))$ . If  $\varphi$  is a \*-isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}_2(\subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2))$ , then  $\varphi(\mathcal{L})$  is also reflexive as a subspace lattice in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ .

*Proof.* By [3, Theorem 5.5], there exists a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$ , a projection  $P' \in$  $\mathfrak{A}' \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$  with central carrier I, and an unitary U of  $P'(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K})$  onto to  $\mathcal{H}_2$  such

$$\varphi(A) = U(A \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})P'|_{P'(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K})}U^*, A \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

In other words,  $\varphi$  can be decomposed into the composition of an amplification, an induction, and a spatial isomorphism. Since amplification and spatial isomorphism preserve reflexivity, we could assume that  $\varphi$  is an induction. And we only need to show  $P'\mathcal{L} \subset P'\mathfrak{A}|_{P'\mathcal{H}}$  is reflexive if  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  is reflexive, where P' is a projection in  $\mathfrak{A}'$  such that  $C_{P'}=I$ .

By Theorem A.2, there is a family  $\{Q'_{\alpha}\}$  of subprojections of P' in  $\mathfrak{A}'$  such that  $\sum_{\alpha} C_{Q'_{\alpha}} = I = C_P$ , and  $C_{Q'_{\alpha}} = Q'_{\alpha} + \sum_{i} {Q'_{\alpha}}^i$ , where  $Q'_{\alpha}^i \sim Q'_{\alpha}$ . Let  $Q' = \sum_{\alpha} {Q'_{\alpha}} \leq P'$ . It is obvious  $C_{Q'} = I$ , so if  $Q' \mathcal{L} \subset Q' \mathfrak{A}|_{Q'\mathcal{H}}$  is reflexive, then  $P' \mathcal{L}$  is reflexive by Lemma 4.1  $P'\mathcal{L}$  is reflexive by Lemma A.1.

Let  $W^i_\alpha$  be a partial isometry in  $\mathfrak{A}'$  with initial projection  ${Q'}^i_\alpha$  and final projection  ${Q'}_\alpha$ , i.e.  $W^{i\,*}_\alpha W^i_\alpha = {Q'}^i_\alpha$  and  $W^i_\alpha W^{i\,*}_\alpha = {Q'}_\alpha$ . Then the equation

$$V = \bigoplus_{\alpha} (Q'_{\alpha} \oplus (\bigoplus_{i} W_{\alpha}^{i}))$$

defines a unitary operator from  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} (Q'_{\alpha}\mathcal{H} \oplus (\oplus_{i} Q'_{\alpha}\mathcal{H}))$ . Replacing  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} (Q'_{\alpha}\mathcal{H} \oplus (\oplus_{i} Q'_{\alpha}\mathcal{H}))$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  by  $V\mathfrak{A}V^{*}(\mathfrak{A}')$  by  $V\mathfrak{A}'V^{*}$  and Q' by  $VQ'V^* = \bigoplus_{\alpha} (I_{\alpha} \bigoplus_{i} (0))$ , we may now assume that  $\mathfrak{A}' = \bigoplus_{\alpha} Q'_{\alpha} \mathfrak{A}'Q'_{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{B}(l^2(ex(\alpha)))$ , where  $ex(\alpha)$  equals the cardinal of set  $\{Q'_{\alpha}\} \cup \{Q'^{i}_{\alpha}\}$ , and  $l^{2}(ex(\alpha))$  is a Hilbert space with dimension  $ex(\alpha)$ . Under this assumption,  $\mathcal{L}$  is just  $(Q'\mathcal{L})^{ex}$ . Thus by Lemma A.3,  $\mathcal{L}$  is reflexive if and only if  $Q'\mathcal{L}$  is reflexive, and the theorem follows.  $\square$ 

Corollary A.3. Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is a KS-lattice for a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  acting on some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ), if  $\varphi$  is a \*-isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ , then  $\varphi(\mathcal{L})$ is also KS-lattice for  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A})$ .

*Proof.* If  $\varphi(\mathcal{L})$  is not a KS-lattice, then there is a reflexive sublattice  $\mathcal{L}_0$  of  $\varphi(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_0$  generates  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A})$  as von Neumann algebra. So  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_0)$  is a reflexive lattice that generates  $\mathfrak{A}$  by Theorem A.2, which contradicts the minimality of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

#### References

- R. Kadison and John R. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the theory of Operator Algebras, Volume II (1997)
- [2] B. Fuglede and R. Kadison, Determinant Theory in Finite Factors, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 55, No. 3 (1952), 520-530
- [3] M. Takesaki, Theory of Operator Algebras, Volume I, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol 124, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002)
- [4] Mohan Ravichandran, University of New Hampshire Ph.D. Thesis (2009)
- [5] L. Ge and W. Yuan, Kadison-Singer algebras, II—General case, to appear, 2009
- [6] Uffe Haagerup and Flemming Larsen, Brown's Spectral Distribution Measure for R-diagonal Elements in Finite von Neumann Algebras,, 1999
- [7] Uffe Haagerup and Hanne Schultz, Brown Measures of Unbounded Operators Affiliated with Finite von Neumann Algebras., 1999
- [8] Hari Bercovici and Dan Voiculescu, Free Convolution of Measures with Unbounded Support,
- [9] K.S.KÖLBIG, On The Value Of A Logarithmic-Trigonometric Integral, BIT 11(1971), 21-28
- [10] Mehmet Koca, Ramazan Koc and Muataz Al-Barwani, Breaking SO(3) into its closed subgroups by Higgs mechanism, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 30(1997) 2109-2125
- [11] Beardon, Alan F, The Geometry of Discrete Groups, New York: Springer-Verlag GTM 91.
- [12] Alexandru Nica and Roland Speicher, Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series:335
- [13] Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi, complex analysis, Priceton lectures in analysis II.
- [14] Chengjun Hou and Wei Yuan, Minimal Generating Reflexive Lattices of Projections in Finite von Neumann Algebras
- [15] R. C. Lyndon and J. L. Ullman Groups of Elliptic Linear Fractional Transformations, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 18, No. 6, (1967) 1119-1124
- [16] S. Sakai, On automorphism groups of II<sub>1</sub>-factors, Tôkoku Math. J. (2) 26 (1974), 423-430.
- [17] William L. Green and Anthony To-Ming Lau Strong finite von Neumann algebras, Math. Scand. 40 (1977), 105- 112.
- [18] M. Koca, R. Koc and M. Al-Barwani Breaking SO(3) into its closed subgroups by Higgs mechanism, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997) 2109-2125
- [19] D. Voiculescu, K. Dykema and A. Nica, Free Random Variables, CMR Monograph Series 1, American Mathematical Society (1992)
- [20] A. Nica and R. Speicher, Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability, Londom Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series: 335 (2006)
- [21] F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, On rings of operators, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1937), 208 - 248
- [22] Z. Liu, On Some Mathematical Aspects of The Heisenberg Relation , Science China series Mathematics: Kadison's proceedings
- [23] Masoud Khalkhaili, Basic Noncommutative Geometry, EMS series of Lectures in Mathematics (2009)
- L. K. Hua Key Laboratory of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China and Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \mathtt{liming@math.ac.cn}$ 

L. K. Hua Key Laboratory of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

E-mail address: wyuan@math.ac.com