A simple Research on Multi-Armed Bandit

Wang Pengyuan

Xi'an, Shaanxi, Northwestern Polytechnical University

E-mail: wpy3458@foxmail.com

This document presents a number of hints about how to set up your Science paper in LATEX. We provide a template file, scifile.tex, that you can use

to set up the LATEX source for your article. An example of the style is the special

{sciabstract} environment used to set up the abstract you see here.

The Advantages and Disadvantages of each Compared to 1

the Different Multi-Armed Bandit Methods

In the section, I used average reward, optimal action, percentage of top 3 superior action,

reward variance and so on to analyze the different Multi-Armed Bandit Methods. There are

two main parts: methods, experiments and analysis.

1.1 Methods

There I attempted the **Value Estimation**(including *Greedy*, ϵ -*Greedy*, *Optimistic Initial Value*,

UCB methods), **Preference Estimation**(including *Gradient method*), **Bayesian Estimation**(including

Thompson Sampling method). The following are the brief introduction for their characters.

Greedy For every state, the agent just select the action whose reward is highest.

1

 ϵ -Greedy The method is an improvement on *Greedy*. The only different is that the action will be chosen at random by the agent with ϵ probability.

Optimistic Initial Value Namely the estimate value is initialized higher than the real value.

UCB The choose policy is different with others. The agent choose action based on $A_t \doteq argmax\left[Q_t(a) + c\sqrt{\frac{\ln t}{N_t(a)}}\right]$, which is proved in Appendix A.

Gradient method It is based on the idea of gradient ascent and uses a preference function $H_t(a)$ to select actions. The proof and understanding are in Appendix B.

Thompson Sampling method // **TODO**

- 1.2 Experiments
- 1.3 Analysis

Appendix A

Q: Why UCB formula is $A_t \doteq argmax \left[Q_t(a) + c\sqrt{\frac{\ln t}{N_t(a)}}\right]$? Why not $A_t \doteq argmax \left[Q_t(a) + c\frac{e^t - e^{-t}}{e^t + e^{-t}}\right]$? Why not others?

A: In real life, we can not get the exact value of every action. Namely $\tilde{q} \approx q$, where \tilde{q} is the value we estimated and q is real value. There we can build the model $\tilde{q} - \Delta \leq q \leq \tilde{q} + \Delta$ (1). According the model, we are optimistic that each action can be rewarded with $\tilde{q} + \Delta$, which is called UCB. So we only need to find Δ to represent the UCB.

There we need Chernoff-Hoeffding Bound

theorem 1 (Chernoff-Hoeffding Bound) $P\{|\tilde{p}-p| \leq \delta\} \geq 1 - 2e^{-2n\delta^2}$

When δ get the value $\sqrt{2 \ln t/n}$, we can get

$$P\left\{|\tilde{p} - p| \le \sqrt{2\ln t/n}\right\} \ge 1 - \frac{2}{T^4} \tag{1}$$

Therefore, we can get the formula $\tilde{p} - \sqrt{2 \ln t/n} \le p \le \tilde{p} + \sqrt{2 \ln t/n}$ held with the probability of $1 - \frac{2}{T^4}$. For each time, we let $p = \tilde{p} + \sqrt{2 \ln t/n}$, which exactly is the *Upper Confidence Bound*(UCB).

Appendix B

Q: For *Gradient method*, why $H_t(a)$ can work?

My Understanding For every action, $H_t(a)$ is updated by the following formula.

$$H_{t+1}(A_t) \doteq H_t(A_t) + \alpha (R_t - \bar{R}_t)(1 - \pi_t(A_t)), \quad \text{for } A_t$$

$$H_{t+1}(a) \doteq H_t(a) + \alpha (R_t - \bar{R}_t)\pi_t(a)), \quad \text{for } a \neq A_t$$
(2)

where \bar{R}_t represents the average reward. I think it acts as a baseline. The agent choose the current action and get a reward R_t . If $R_t > \bar{R}_t$, $H_{t+1}(a)$ should grow up, or it should decline. The step size is controlled by α . Just as the saying goes 'Learning is like sailing against the current, if you don't advance you fall back'. But I can not understand why $1 - \pi_t(A_t)$ when updating the $H_{t+1}(A_t)$, so I proved it in next section.

Mathematical derivation Because I can not understand it well, it is necessary for me to prove it.

In the gradient ascent algorithm, we have

$$H_{t+1}(A_t) \doteq H_t(A_t) + \alpha \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}\left[R_t\right]}{\partial H_t(a)} \tag{3}$$

We know that $\mathbb{E}[R_t] = \sum_x \pi_t(x) q_*(x)$, so

$$\mathbb{E}\left[R_{t}\right] = \sum_{x} \pi_{t}(x)q_{*}(x)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial H_{t}(a)} \left[\sum_{x} \pi_{t}(x)q_{*}(x)\right]$$

$$= \sum_{x} q_{*}(x) \frac{\partial \pi_{t}(x)}{\partial H_{t}(a)}$$

$$= \sum_{x} (q_{*}(x) - B_{t}) \frac{\partial \pi_{t}(x)}{\partial H_{t}(a)}$$
(4)

The B_t is the baseline. Why there B_t is ok (2)?

$$\sum_{x} B_{t} \frac{\partial \pi_{t}(x)}{\partial H_{t}(a)} = B_{t} \sum_{x} \frac{\partial \pi_{t}(x)}{\partial H_{t}(a)}$$

$$= B_{t} \frac{\partial \left[\sum_{x} \pi_{t}(x)\right]}{\partial H_{t}(a)}$$

$$= B_{t} \frac{\partial [1]}{\partial H_{t}(a)}$$

$$= 0$$
(5)

Then we use w(b) represents H_t , b represents the every possible action. We get

$$\frac{\partial \pi_t(x)}{\partial H_t(a)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial \pi(x)}{\partial w(a)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w(a)} [\pi(x)]$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial w(a)} \left[\frac{e^{w(x)}}{\sum_{b=1}^k e^{w(b)}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\partial e^{w(x)}}{\partial w(a)} \sum_{b=1}^k e^{w(b)} - e^{w(a)} e^{w(x)}}{\left(\sum_{b=1}^k e^{w(b)}\right)^2}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{I}_{a=x} e^{w(x)} \sum_{b=1}^k e^{w(b)} - e^{w(a)} e^{w(x)}}{\left(\sum_{b=1}^k e^{w(b)}\right)^2}$$

$$= \mathbb{I}_{a=x} \pi(x) - \pi(x) \pi(a)$$

$$= \pi(x) (\mathbb{I}_{a=x} - \pi(a))$$
(6)

Bringing 6 into 4, we get

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{E}\left[R_t\right]}{\partial H_t(a)} = \sum_x \left(q_*(x) - B_t\right) \pi_t(x) \left(\mathbb{I}_{a=x} - \pi_t(a)\right) \tag{7}$$

That is why $1 - \pi_t(A_t)$ for A_t .

References

- F. Wei, Multi-armed bandit: Ucb (upper bound confidence). https://zhuanlan. zhihu.com/p/32356077 Accessed January 1, 2018.
- 2. Z. Huiwen, Gradient gambling machine algorithm. https://zhuanlan.zhihu.com/p/54159132 Accessed September 6, 2019.