An Adaptive Divergence-based Non-negative Latent Factor Model: Supplementary File

Ye Yuan, Guangxiao Yuan, Renfang Wang, and Xin Luo, Senior Member, IEEE

This is the supplementary file for the paper entitled *An Adaptive Divergence-based Non-negative Latent Factor Model*. It mainly contains the convergence analysis of an ADNLF model.

SUPPLEMENTARY CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

1) Proof of Lemma 1

Considering the gradient of (16) on $p_{u,.}$, we have:

$$\begin{split} \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(\, p_{u,\cdot} \right) &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(r_{u,i}^{\alpha} \left(\, p_{u,\cdot} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} \right)^{\beta-1} q_{i,\cdot} - \left(\, p_{u,\cdot} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} \right)^{\alpha+\beta-1} q_{i,\cdot} \right) + \lambda p_{u,\cdot} \Longrightarrow \\ \left\| \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(\, p_{u,\cdot} \right) \right\|_{2} &= \left\| -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(r_{u,i}^{\alpha} \left(\, p_{u,\cdot} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} \right)^{\beta-1} - \left(\, p_{u,\cdot} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} \right)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \right) q_{i,\cdot} + \lambda p_{u,\cdot} \right\|_{2} \end{split} \tag{S1}$$

According to the L_2 -norm's characteristics [66], we have the following inequality based on (S1)

$$\left\| \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot} \right) \right\|_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(r_{u,i}^{\alpha} \left(p_{u,\cdot} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} \right)^{\beta-1} - \left(p_{u,\cdot} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} \right)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \right) \left\| q_{i,\cdot} \right\|_{2} + \lambda \left\| p_{u,\cdot} \right\|_{2}$$
(S2)

Considering the bridging function given in (5), each element in $p_{u.}$ and $q_{i.}$ is constrained in the [0, 1) interval. Thus, the following condition holds:

$$0 \le \|p_{u,\cdot}\|_{2} < \sqrt{f}, \ 0 \le \|q_{i,\cdot}\|_{2} < \sqrt{f},$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \le M = p_{u,\cdot} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} < f.$$
(S3)

Note that in (S3) M denotes the constant achieved by the product $p_{u,q_{i,.}}^T$. By combining (S2) and (S3), we infer that:

$$\left\|\nabla \mathcal{E}_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}\right)\right\|_{2} < \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(r_{u,i}^{\alpha} f^{\beta-1} - f^{\alpha+\beta-1}\right) \sqrt{f} + \lambda \sqrt{f}. \tag{S4}$$

Hence, *Lemma* 1 holds. □

2) Proof of Lemma 2

Assuming that $p_{g,.}$ and $p_{u,.}$ are two arbitrary row vectors of P, following the principle of a directional gradient, we have:

$$\nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{g,\cdot} \right) - \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot} \right) = \nabla^2 \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot} \right) \left(p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot} \right)^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{S5}$$

Considering the L_2 -norm of (S1), we have:

$$\left\|\nabla \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{g,\cdot}\right) - \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}\right)\right\|_{2} = \left\|\nabla^{2} \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}\right)\left(p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot}\right)^{T}\right\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \left\|\nabla^{2} \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}\right)\right\|_{2} \left\|\left(p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot}\right)\right\|_{2}.$$
(S6)

By combing (16) and (S3), we achieve the following equation:

$$\begin{split} &\nabla^{2} \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \Big((\alpha + \beta - 1) \Big(p_{u,\cdot} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} \Big)^{\alpha} - r_{u,i}^{\alpha} \left(\beta - 1 \right) \Big) \Big(p_{u,\cdot} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} \Big)^{\beta - 2} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} q_{i,\cdot} + \lambda E_{f} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \Big((\alpha + \beta - 1) \big(M \big)^{\alpha} - r_{u,i}^{\alpha} \left(\beta - 1 \right) \Big) \Big(M \big)^{\beta - 2} q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} q_{i,\cdot} + \lambda E_{f} \\ &= N q_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}} q_{i,\cdot} + \lambda E_{f}, \end{split}$$

Based on (S3), the last two steps of (S7) are obtained, and N is computed as:

$$N = \frac{1}{\alpha} \Big((\alpha + \beta - 1) (M)^{\alpha} - r_{u,i}^{\alpha} (\beta - 1) \Big) (M)^{\beta - 2}.$$
 (S8)

(S7)

Based on (S7), we reformulate (S6) as:

$$\left\|\nabla \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{g,\cdot}\right) - \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq \left\|Nq_{i,\cdot}^{\mathsf{T}}q_{i,\cdot} + \lambda E_{f}\right\|_{2} \left\|\left(p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot}\right)\right\|_{2},\tag{S9}$$

where $\|Nq_{i,\cdot}^{\mathrm{T}}q_{i,\cdot} + \lambda E_f\|_2$ denotes the largest singular value of $(Nq_{i,\cdot}^{\mathrm{T}}q_{i,\cdot} + \lambda E_f)$. Note that we have $L = \|Nq_{i,\cdot}^{\mathrm{T}}q_{i,\cdot} + \lambda E_f\|_2$. Therefore, *Lemma 2* holds.

3) Proof of Lemma 3

Based on the principle of Taylor-series, we achieve the following equation:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(\,p_{g,\cdot}\,\right) - \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(\,p_{u,\cdot}\,\right) &\approx \nabla \,\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(\,p_{u,\cdot}\,\right) \!\left(\,p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot}\,\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \!\left(\,p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot}\,\right) \!\nabla^2 \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(\,p_{u,\cdot}\,\right) \!\left(\,p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot}\,\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \,. \end{split}$$

According to *Definitions* **5**, the strong-convexity of $\varepsilon_{u,i}$ depends on the following condition:

$$\varepsilon_{u,i}(p_{g,\cdot}) - \varepsilon_{u,i}(p_{u,\cdot}) \ge \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i}(p_{u,\cdot})(p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot})^{\mathrm{T}} + \frac{1}{2}\rho \|p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot}\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(S11)

Based on (S10) and (S11), to make *Lemma* 3 hold, ρ should fulfill the following condition:

$$(p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot}) \nabla^2 \varepsilon_{u,i} (p_{u,\cdot}) (p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot})^{\mathrm{T}} \ge \rho \| p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot} \|_2^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$(p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot}) (Nq_{i,\cdot}^{\mathrm{T}} q_{i,\cdot} + \lambda E_f - \rho E_f) (p_{g,\cdot} - p_{u,\cdot})^{\mathrm{T}} \ge 0.$$
(S12)

where the last inference is achieved based on (S7). Note that (S12) holds when the term $(Nq_{i.}^{T} q_{i..} + \lambda E_f - \rho E_f)$ is positive semi-definite. As discussed in prior studies [66, 67], $(Nq_{i..}^{T}$

 $q_{i,.}+\lambda E_f-\rho E_f$) is a positive semi-definite matrix when ρ is the minimum singular value of the matrix $(Nq_{i,.}^Tq_{i,.}+\lambda E_f)$. Hence, **Lemma 3** holds.

4) Proof of ADNLF's Convergence via Lemmas 1 to 3

Afterwards, we consider the *t*-th training iteration of an ADNLF model, $p_{u,.}$ is updated with the following learning scheme to minimize the instance loss $\varepsilon_{u,i}$:

$$p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau} \leftarrow p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} - \eta^{t-1} \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right). \tag{S13}$$

Let $p_{u_n}^*$ be the optimal state of p_{u_n} , then we achieve:

$$\|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau} - p_{u,\cdot}^*\|_2^2 = \|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} - \eta^{t-1} \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1}\right) - p_{u,\cdot}^*\|_2^2. \tag{S14}$$

By expanding (S14), we have the following deduction:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*} \right\|_{2}^{2} &= \left\| p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*} \right\|_{2}^{2} - 2\eta^{t-1} \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right) \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*} \right) \\ &+ \left(\eta_{j}^{t-1} \right)^{2} \left\| \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right) \right\|_{2}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(S15)

According to *Lemma 3* and *Definition 5*, based on (S15), the following inequality is achieved:

$$\varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^* \right) \ge \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right) + \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right) \left(p_{u,\cdot}^* - p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \rho \left\| p_{u,\cdot}^* - p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right\|_2^2.$$
(S16)

Since $p_{u_n}^*$ stands for the optimal state of p_{u_n} , we naturally obtain:

$$\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^*\right) < \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1}\right). \tag{S17}$$

By substituting (S17) into (S16), we achieve that:

$$\nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right) \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} - p_{u,\cdot}^* \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \rho \left\| p_{u,\cdot}^* - p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right\|_2^2. \tag{S18}$$

Based on (S15) and (S18), we further infer that:

$$\|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\|_{2}^{2} \le (1 - \eta^{t-1}\rho) \|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\|_{2}^{2} + (\eta_{j}^{t-1})^{2} \|\nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} (p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1})\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(S19)

By taking the expectations on both sides of (S19), we have:

$$E\left[\left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq \left(1 - \eta^{t-1}\rho\right) E\left[\left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \\
+ \left(\eta_{j}^{t-1}\right)^{2} E\left[\left\|\nabla \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right].$$
(S20)

According to **Lemma 1**, we see that $\left\|\nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{r-1}\right)\right\|_2$ has the upper bound. Assume that a positive number c enables the following inequality:

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\left\| \nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} \right) \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] \le c^{2}. \tag{S21}$$

Thus, based on (S21), (S20) is equivalent to:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq \left(1 - \eta^{t-1}\rho\right) \mathbf{E}\left[\left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \left(\eta^{t-1}\right)^{2} c^{2}.$$
(S22)

Let $\eta^{t-1} = \gamma/(\rho t)$ for a constant $\gamma > 1$, then (S22) is rewritten as:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{t}\right) \mathbf{E}\left[\left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau-1} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \frac{1}{t^{2}} \left(\frac{c\gamma}{\rho}\right)^{2}. \quad (S23)$$

By expanding the iterative expression of (S23), we see that it satisfies the following condition:

$$E\left[\left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \le \frac{1}{t} \max\left\{\left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{1} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}, \frac{\gamma^{2}c^{2}}{\rho\gamma - 1}\right\}, \quad (S24)$$

where $p_{u_n}^1$ is the initial state of p_{u_n} at the *t*-th iteration. According to *Lemma* 2, with the *L*-smooth property, we achieve:

$$\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau}\right) - \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right) - \nabla\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right)\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau} - p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{L}{2} \left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{*} - p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(S25)

Since $p_{u_n}^*$ denotes the optimal state of p_{u_n} , it has the following property:

$$\nabla \varepsilon_{u,i} \left(p_{u,\cdot}^* \right) = 0, \tag{S26}$$

which enables the following reformulation of (S25):

$$\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau}\right) - \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right) \le \frac{L}{2} \left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{*} - p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}. \tag{S27}$$

Considering the expectation of (S27), we have:

$$E\left[\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau}\right) - \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right)\right] \leq \frac{L}{2}E\left[\left\|p_{u,\cdot}^{*} - p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]. \tag{S28}$$

By substituting (S24) into (S28), we deduce that:

$$E\left[\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau}\right) - \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right)\right] \le \frac{L}{2t}W(\gamma),\tag{S29}$$

where the term $W(\gamma)$ is given as:

$$W(\gamma) = \max \left\{ \|p_{u,\cdot}^1 - p_{u,\cdot}^*\|_2^2, \frac{\gamma^2 c^2}{\rho \gamma - 1} \right\}.$$
 (S30)

Note that $\forall u \in U$, (S29) can be expanded into:

$$E\left[\sum_{r_{u,i}\in\Lambda(u)} \left(\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau}\right) - \varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right)\right)\right] \leq \left|\Lambda(u)\right| \frac{L}{2t} W(\gamma), \quad (S31)$$

where $\Lambda(u)$ is the subset of Λ related to $u \in U$, and we naturally have $\sum_{u \in U} |\Lambda(u)| = |\Lambda|$. Hence, (S31) can be extended into the

following inequality:

$$E\left[\sum_{u\in U}\sum_{r_{u,i}\in\Lambda(u)}\left(\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{\tau}\right)-\varepsilon_{u,i}\left(p_{u,\cdot}^{*}\right)\right)\right]\leq \left|\Lambda\right|\frac{L}{2t}W\left(\gamma\right). \tag{S32}$$

Note that (S32) indicates that $|\Lambda| \frac{L}{2t} W(\gamma) \to 0$ when $t \to \infty$.

Note that the convergence of ADNLF with the update of $q_{i,.}$ can be achieved in the same way.

Based on the above inferences, we see that the convergence of ADNLF is theoretically guaranteed.
■