

<b>ABB</b>	<b>Software Design Review</b>				<b>SDR</b>
Responsibility:	Date:	Language:	Filing system :	Revision:	Page:
Instruments		en	-	-	1/2
Template Issued by:	Template Approved:	Template Released:		Area of validity:	
A. Stelter	PSM AP2.2	10/2004		APR/I	

<b>Project:</b>	<b>NV_mem</b>
<b>Document under Review:</b>	<b>Software Design Description NV-Handler IIMS_V2_1</b>
<b>Revision:</b>	<b>2.1</b>
<b>Review Date:</b>	<b>29-06-2009</b>

**Review-Participant:**

Place	Dept.	Name	
Bangalore	INCRC	Ashwin Herur R	
Bangalore	INCRC	Ganapathi R	

**Decision of the Review:**

Decision	next steps
<input type="checkbox"/> Inspection passed <b>without restrictions</b>	Phase finished
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inspection passed <b>with restrictions</b>	some changes must be done
<input type="checkbox"/> Inspection <b>not</b> passed	Inspection must be repeated


**Changes are proved:** The Reviewer confirms that all changes are done:

proved Rev:	Date:	Reviewer:
2.2	10-07-2009	Ashwin Herur R

**Check list:**

		yes	no
1.	Is the software architecture distinct and documented?	<b>Y</b>	
2.	Fit the modules together?	<b>Y</b>	
3.	Are complex algorithms/procedures explained?	<b>Y</b>	
4.	Is a strategy for error handling designated?	<b>Y</b>	
5.	Is the configuration management system well prepared?	<b>Y</b>	
6.	Are all open issues transferred to the defects table?	<b>Y</b>	

**Remarks:**

		<b>Software Design Review</b>			<b>SDR</b>	
Responsibility:	Date:	Language:	Filing system :	Revision:	Page:	
Instruments		en	-	-	2/2	
Template Issued by:	Template Approved:	Template Released:			Area of validity:	
A. Stelter	PSM AP2.2	10/2004			APR/I	

### Defects

No.	Check point	Description	Major defect	done Date
1		Document name has no numbering  <b>Answer: the document is an external document (Minden), so it a part of an external package of documents called 266_FE_NV_MEM</b>	N	No Action
2		Area of Validity need to be checked  <b>Answer: the document is an external document (Minden), area of validity is referred to Germany</b>	N	No Action
3		Acronyms need to be added.	Y	
4		4.2.3 Conclusion: starts with SI. No. 2, Number 1 is missing.	Y	09/07/2009
5		4.3 Protocol SPI-Bus is not applicable for MiLe2. So it can be deleted or the design should be customized for different project.	N	09/07/2009
6		4.6.1 I <sup>2</sup> C-Bus via interrupt or polling: 1 Byte is given as 9 bits. Please check  <b>Answer: modified in 8 bits + Acknowledge</b>	N	09/07/2009
7		Page 23 is left blank.	N	09/07/2009
		5.3 Static Modeling: Mismatch in the methods in code and Class Diagram.	Y	09/07/2009
8		5.5.6 Load: in the flow chart what is Task-Wechsel?	N	09/07/2009
9		For the Functions CpyPageToPage, FileToNV, InitializeNV, CheckRam, Save, Load, Format etc use return instead of end in the flow charts.	N	09/07/2009
10		Class design missing for NV_Service.	Y	09/07/2009
11		Sequence diagrams can be added to show the Read and Write operations to NV.  <b>Answer: too complex to be in only one diagram</b>	N	No Action