Classification

In this exercise we are going through the application of different classification methods and related concepts.

Submission

In order to submit on gradescope, you need to submit the following:

- the homework jupyter notebook it self hw7.ipynb
- the pdf generated from the notebook, you can get the pdf from File->Print Preview

In []: # import some libraries import numpy as np

1. QMNIST Classification

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
import seaborn as sn
import pickle
np.set printoptions(suppress=True)
```

train data = ... train_labels = ...

```
1.1 Load your data
In [ ]: ...
```

```
test data = ...
        test labels = ...
In [ ]: train_data.shape, test_data.shape
```

fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 5, figsize=(6, 6)) fig.tight layout() for i in range(5):

```
axes[i].axis('off')
plt.show()
1.3 Naive Bayes
sklearn has two different implementions of naive bayes that we can use for this problem:
```

Let's take a loot at both of them.

GaussianNB()

CategoricalNB()

1.3.1 CategoricalNB

feature *i* given class *c* is estimated as:

In []: # make the plot

In []: # train models

In []: # make the plot

senario?

In []: ...

Gaussain Distribution:

In []: # train models

In CategoricalNB, we assume that each feature in the dataset is categorical. Therefore, the The probability of category t in

```
Question: Describe how test accuracy changes and explain.
1.3.2 GaussianNB
In GaussainNB, we no longer assume each feature is categorical. Instead, we assume the likelyhood of each feature follows a
```

 $P(x_i|y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_y^2}} \exp(-\frac{(x_i - \mu_y)^2}{2\sigma_y^2})$

Question: Compare the performance of the model with $var_smoothing = 0$ and the model

Again draw out the test accuracy score for *var smoothing* between 0 and 2 with step to be 0.1.

```
Hint: Take a look at the warning messages generated when you run the models. sklearn documentation might also be useful.
Question: Comparing the test accuracy between the above two different Naive Bayes
models. Which one has a relatively low score? What might be the cause?
```

By definition a confusion matrix C is such that $C_{i,j}$ is equal to the number of observations known to be in group i and predicted to be in group j. For confusion matrix, use sklearn.metrics.confusion matrix

In []: from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix

Now we have gone over a problem with 10 classes. Let's take a deeper look at the classification on a relatively simple dataset. 2.1 Binary Dateset 1 Note that we have two binary datasets in the fold you downloaded. Let's first take a look at the first one. In []: df = pd.read_csv('binary_dataset1.csv') df.head() Let's take a look at the class distribution. Your task here is to draw a bar chart with each bar representing a simple class.

Question: What are the top five confusing pairs (i -> j) of classes for you model? What might

2.1.2 Using Regression for Classification

First we want to solve this problem using Naive Bayes model with proper parameter. Choose a proper Naive Bayes class to

```
\bullet \epsilon =
train_accuracy =
test_accuracy =
```

Write down what you find here:

doing classification?

df.head()

In []: from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression

So your task here is to create such a model, find ϵ , and report test accuracy.

- In []: Question: Compare the distribution with the first dataset. What do you find?
 - Question: According to your accuracy score, how would you evaluate your model? 2.2.2 Confusion Matrix and Different Metrics

In []: # Do train test split with random_state=0 and test_size=0.5

Negatives Negatives (recall, sensitivity) $TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$

A very important tool to debug classifiers is the confusion matrix. For binary classification, it contains four different cells: • True positives (TP): observations that were predicted as belonging to the positive class correctly. False positives (FP): observations that were predicted as belonging to the positive class incorrectly. True negatives (TN): observations that were predicted as belonging to the negative class correctly. False negatives (FN): observations that were predicted as belonging to the negative class incorrectly. These are all interesting in and of themselves, but they can also be combined in aggregate metrics such as:

Let's train a Naive Bayes model again. Similar with 2.1.1, choose a proper Naive Bayes class with a proper smoothing variable

ACTUAL

True

 $ightarrow FPR = rac{FP}{FP+TN}$ (fall-out)

Suppose each data point in the data set represents a patient, and the class 1 represents a patient is tested positive for a

In []: # Draw your confusion matrix here

desease while 0 means tested negative. Choose a metric and report the metric score you choose for your model.

In []: # Calculate the metric you chose here

• the .py file generated from the notebook, you can get the .py file from File->Download as->Python(.py)

1.2 Plot your data Each QMNIST data point represents a 28 * 28 pixel hand written digit. Complete the following code to plot the first five data point from the train dataset. In []: # np.array.reshape might be useful.

In []: from sklearn.naive_bayes import CategoricalNB, GaussianNB

 $P(x_i = t | y = c; \alpha) = \frac{N_t i c + \alpha}{N_c + \alpha n_i}$ This is just what we see in class, with α being the smoothing variable.

Your task here is to draw out the test accuracy score for α between 0 and 2 with step to be 0.1.

with $var_smoothing$ being other values. What do you find? How would you explain this

In this section, we would like to analyze the confusion matrix of a given model.

Firstly, compute confusion matrix using CategoricalNB with $\alpha=0.5$ and test data.

columns = [i for i in labels])

The following is the definition of a confusion matrix:

1.4 Confusion Matrix

labels = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] df cm = pd.DataFrame(cm, index = [i for i in labels],

plt.figure(figsize = (10,7)) sn.heatmap(df_cm, annot=True)

2. Binary Classification

print(df cm)

be the cause?

In []: # Make the bar chart

In []:

In []:

In []: # Get X and y from the dataset

2.1.1 Naive Bayes in Binary Classification

solve this priblem. Report your test accuracy.

In []: # Do train test split with random_state=0 and test_size=0.5

Before training any model, you need to get the X and y out of the dataframe and do train test split

Since this is a binary classification problem, we can solve it in the following steps: 1. Fit a linear regression model 2. Get your raw predicted values x_r from the model 3. Find a threshold ϵ in a way such that 4. Get your final predicted class x_c in a way that $x_c = 0$ if $x_r < \epsilon$, otherwsie $x_c = 1$ One way to choose the ϵ here is to find the one that maximize the train accuracy, and then apply to test data.

Question: Can you think of any model you learnt from class that is similar to this way of

2.2 Binary dataset 2

Then let's take a look at the second binary dataset.

As usual, we take a look at the class distribution.

In []: | df = pd.read_csv('binary_dataset2.csv')

Again you need to get the X and y out the dataframe and do train test split.

In []: # Get X and y from dataset

In []:

to solve this priblem. Report your test accuracy.

2.2.1 Accuracy for Naive Bayes

 $accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{FP + TP + FN + TN}$

 \rightarrow precision = $\frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP+FP}}$ True False **PREDICTION** Positives **Positives**

False

• Accuracy: how often are we predicting the class label? Precision: how many of our positive outcomes are actually positive? • Recall/Sensitivity: how many of the positive outcomes are we able to recall? • Fall-Out: how many of our negative outcomes are actually positive?

Question: Is the metric you chose higher is better or lower is better in this situation? What

would you say about your model using the metric you chose? In []: