

Evection Resonances in BH Triples

Yubo Su

Contents

1	03/15/21—Basics & Introduction	1
1.1	Writing Down the Hamiltonian	1
1.2	Timescale Comparison	2
1.3	Hamiltonian Level Curves	4
2	03/18/21–03/21/21	4
2.1	Deriving the Hamiltonian Carefully: Circular Perturber	4
2.2	Eccentric Perturber	7
2.2.1	Circular Averaging	7
2.3	Eccentric Averaging	8

1 03/15/21—Basics & Introduction

1.1 Writing Down the Hamiltonian

We assume a triple system $m_{1,2,3}$ and a, a_{out} with mutual inclination I . The 1PN apsidal precession of the inner binary has energy/Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{GR}} = -\frac{3G^2 m_1 m_2 m_{12}}{c^2 a^2 j(e)}, \quad (1)$$

while the external companion has averaged energy

$$H_{\text{out}} = -\frac{G m_3 \mu_{12} a^2}{a_{\text{out}}^3} \left[\frac{1}{16} [(6 + 9e^2) \cos^2 I - (2 + 3e^2)] + \frac{15}{32} (1 + \cos I)^2 e^2 \cos(2\varpi - 2\lambda_{\text{out}}) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Here, we have averaged over: $\varpi = \varpi_{\text{L}} + \omega$ is the longitude of pericenter of the inner orbit, so $\hat{\mathbf{e}} = \cos \varpi \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin \varpi \hat{\mathbf{y}}$, and $\lambda_{\text{out}} = \varpi_{\text{out}} + M_{\text{out}}$ is the mean longitude of m_3 , where M_{out} is the outer mean anomaly. Recall that $\Omega_{\text{out}} = \dot{\lambda}_{\text{out}} = \dot{M}_{\text{out}}$, and the useful component form

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}} = \cos \lambda_{\text{out}} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin \lambda_{\text{out}} \cos I \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \sin \lambda_{\text{out}} \sin I \hat{\mathbf{z}}. \quad (3)$$

Why is this interesting? Well, let's write $\epsilon \equiv \frac{G m_3 \mu_{12} a^2}{a_{\text{out}}^3} / H_{\text{GR},0}$, where $H_{\text{GR},0} = [H_{\text{GR}}]_{e=0}$, or

$$\epsilon = \frac{m_3 \mu_{12} a^2 c^2 a^2}{3G^2 m_1 m_2 m_{12} a_{\text{out}}^3}, \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{m_3 a^4 c^2}{3G m_{12}^2 a_{\text{out}}^3}. \quad (5)$$

This is like $\epsilon_{\text{GR}}^{-1}$ from our previous LK work. We are interested in the regime where $\epsilon \ll 1$. The total Hamiltonian of the system is then

$$\frac{H}{H_{\text{GR},0}} = -\frac{1}{j(e)} - \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{16} [(6+9e^2)\cos^2 I - (2+3e^2)] + \frac{15}{32} (1+\cos I)^2 e^2 \cos(2\varpi - 2\lambda_{\text{out}}) \right]. \quad (6)$$

We will eventually expand this Hamiltonian in terms of the conjugate variables $-\varpi$ and $1 - (1-e^2)^{1/2} \approx e^2/2$ and obtain a separatrix'd Hamiltonian [Xu & Lai (26)]. But for now, we can be satisfied that some sort of separatrix might appear at $\epsilon \sim 1$? It's not clear yet.

1.2 Timescale Comparison

This section mostly follows Dong's notes, for completeness.

We need $\Omega_{\text{out}} \equiv \sqrt{Gm_{123}/a_{\text{out}}^3}$ to be of order $\dot{\varpi} \equiv 3Gnm_{12}/(c^2 a j^2)$. Assuming the eccentricity is already mostly damped (when $\epsilon_{\text{GR}} \gg 1$, we expect this), then this gives

$$\frac{3Gm_{12}}{c^2 a} \simeq \frac{\Omega_{\text{out}}}{n} = \sqrt{\frac{m_{123}}{m_{12}}} \frac{a^3}{a_{\text{out}}^3}, \quad (7)$$

$$\left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{out}}} \right)^{5/2} \simeq \frac{3Gm_{12}}{c^2 a_{\text{out}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_{12}}{m_{123}}}. \quad (8)$$

Indeed, since everything is fixed, as a decays, the evection resonance will be crossed.

Will there be enough time to excite eccentricity? The eccentricity growth rate due to the evection resonance must of order $t_{\text{ZLK}}^{-1} \sim n(m_3/m_{12})(a/a_{\text{out}})^3$. On the other hand, orbital decay due to GW is of order

$$t_{\text{GW}}^{-1} \simeq \frac{64}{5} \frac{G^3 m_{12}^2 \mu}{c^5 a^4} = \frac{64}{5} n \frac{G^{5/2} m_{12}^{3/2} \mu}{c^5 a^{5/2}}. \quad (9)$$

Thus, the resonance has time to grow if (in the third line, we invoke the resonance condition above)

$$t_{\text{GW}}^{-1} \ll t_{\text{ZLK}}^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{64}{5} \frac{G^{5/2} m_{12}^{3/2} \mu}{c^5 a^{5/2}} \ll \frac{m_3}{m_{12}} \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{out}}} \right)^3, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{64}{5} \frac{G^{5/2} m_{12}^{3/2} \mu}{c^5 a_{\text{out}}^{5/2}} \frac{c^2 a_{\text{out}}}{3Gm_{12}} \sqrt{\frac{m_{123}}{m_{12}}} \ll \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{64}{15} \frac{G^{3/2} m_{123}^{1/2} \mu}{c^3 a_{\text{out}}^{3/2}} \ll \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{64}{15} \left(\frac{v_{\text{out}}}{c} \right)^3 \frac{m_{12}^{1/4}}{m_{123}} \ll \quad (14)$$

$$\left(\frac{v_{\text{out}}}{c} \right)^3 \left(\frac{a_{\text{out}}}{a} \right)^3 \frac{m_{12}^2}{m_{123} m_3} \ll 1. \quad (15)$$

Indeed, this must be the case. Another check requires

$$t_{\text{ZLK}}^{-1} \ll \dot{\omega}, \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{m_3}{m_{12}} \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{out}}} \right)^3 \ll \frac{3Gm_{12}}{c^2 a} \sim \frac{\Omega_{\text{out}}}{n}, \quad (17)$$

$$\ll \left(\frac{m_{123}}{m_{12}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{out}}} \right)^{3/2}, \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{m_3}{m_{12}} \left(\frac{m_{12}}{m_{123}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{out}}} \right)^{3/2} \ll 1. \quad (19)$$

This is also satisfied. Thus, resonance excitation should be possible.

What are the kinds of systems that are interacting? If we want LISA band, we need $n/\pi \sim 10^{-3}$ Hz, and:

$$\Omega_{\text{out}} \simeq \frac{3Gnm_{12}}{c^2 a}, \quad (20)$$

$$\simeq \frac{3n^3 a^2}{c^2}, \quad (21)$$

$$\simeq \frac{3n^3}{c^2} \left(\frac{Gm_{12}}{n^2} \right)^{2/3}, \quad (22)$$

$$\simeq 10^{-7} \left(\frac{P}{10^3 \text{ s}} \right)^{-5/3} \left(\frac{m_{12}}{2M_{\odot}} \right)^{2/3} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (23)$$

$$a_{\text{out}} = \left(\frac{Gm_{123}}{\Omega_{\text{out}}^2} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (24)$$

$$= 2.4 \left(\frac{m_{123}}{3M_{\odot}} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{P}{10^3 \text{ s}} \right)^{10/9} \left(\frac{m_{12}}{2M_{\odot}} \right)^{-4/9} \text{ AU}. \quad (25)$$

Indeed then, this is not going to be super useful unless m_3 is a SMBH, in which case $a_{\text{out}} \sim 100\text{--}1000$ AU. Note that $a \sim 3 \times 10^8$ m.

The other scenario then is that we cross this resonance, get a large eccentricity, and it doesn't completely damp by the time it crosses the LISA band? Well, we saw above that $(a/a_{\text{out}})^{5/2} \propto a_{\text{out}}^{-1}$, so if we fix the masses then increasing a_{out} by a factor of 4 increases a by a factor of 32, i.e. $a \sim 0.05$ AU while $a_{\text{out}} = 10$ AU, somewhat believable. Since the rates of change of $\ln a$ and $\ln e$ differ only by a factor of $j^2(e)$, if e is only modest, then it will have to also decay by ~ 30 by the time a enters the LISA band. However, if we can excite a substantial e like $j^2(e) = 0.1$ (corresponding to $e = 0.95$), then e will only decay by ~ 3 upon entering the LISA band, which leaves us with an $e = 0.3$. Wenrui's paper suggests evection isn't quite this strong, but maybe some sort of scenario is possible.

The final solution is to use evection to pump an existing large eccentricity up a little bit. But it's becoming clear that we aren't going to cleanly get excitation in the LISA band, and that we will really need to consider dynamics during *and after* the resonance.

1.3 Hamiltonian Level Curves

Let's try to nondimensionalize the Hamiltonian now, like Wenrui's paper. Call $\gamma = -\varpi$ and $\Gamma = 1 - \sqrt{1 - e^2}$, so that $j(e) = 1 - \Gamma$ and $e^2 = 1 - (1 - \Gamma)^2 = 2\Gamma + \Gamma^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H}{H_{\text{GR},0}} = & -\frac{1}{1-\Gamma} - \frac{\epsilon}{16} [(6+9(2\Gamma+\Gamma^2))\cos^2 I - (2+3(2\Gamma+\Gamma^2))] \\ & - \frac{15\epsilon}{32} (1+\cos I)^2 (2\Gamma+\Gamma^2) \cos(2\gamma+2\lambda_{\text{out}}), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$H' = -\frac{1}{1-\Gamma} - \frac{\epsilon}{16} [(9\cos^2 I - 3)(2\Gamma+\Gamma^2)] - \frac{15\epsilon}{32} (1+\cos I)^2 (2\Gamma+\Gamma^2) \cos(2\gamma+2\lambda_{\text{out}}), \quad (27)$$

$$\approx \Gamma(-1-2\epsilon A) + \Gamma^2(-1+\epsilon A) - \Gamma\epsilon B \cos\theta + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^3, \Gamma^2 \cos\theta), \quad (28)$$

$$A = \frac{9\cos^2 I - 3}{16}, \quad (29)$$

$$B = \frac{15}{16} (1+\cos I)^2, \quad (30)$$

$$\theta = 2\varpi - 2\lambda_{\text{out}}. \quad (31)$$

This is not quite as clean as Wenrui's form, but it has the advantage (for me) that the ϵ dependence is still explicit, while A, B are almost always positive (except for when $\cos^2 I < 1/3$).

2 03/18/21–03/21/21

2.1 Deriving the Hamiltonian Carefully: Circular Perturber

After doing some simulations, it is pretty clear that we will need a precise derivation of the Hamiltonian. We start with the full Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{3G^2 m_1 m_2 m_{12}}{c^2 a^2 j(e)} - \frac{G m_3 \mu_{12} a^2}{r_{\text{out}}^3} \left[\frac{1}{16} [(6+9e^2)\cos^2 I - (2+3e^2)] + \frac{15}{32} (1+\cos I)^2 e^2 \cos(2\varpi - 2\lambda_{\text{out}}) \right]. \quad (32)$$

Note that when the outer orbit is circular, $r_{\text{out}} = a_{\text{out}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{out}} = f_{\text{out}}$. I can't seem to figure out how to non-dimensionalize the Hamiltonian and the time right now, so let's just factor out $H_{\text{GR},0} \equiv 3G^2 m_1 m_2 m_{12}/c^2 a^2$, and drop constant terms

$$H = -H_{\text{GR},0} \left\{ \frac{1}{j(e)} + \epsilon \left[\frac{(6+9e^2)\cos^2 I - 3e^2}{16} + \frac{15}{32} (1+\cos I)^2 e^2 \cos(2\varpi - 2\lambda_{\text{out}}) \right] \right\}. \quad (33)$$

Here, again, ϵ was given above

$$\epsilon = \frac{m_3 a^4 c^2}{3G m_{12}^2 a_{\text{out}}^3}. \quad (34)$$

Okay, I give up, we non-dimensionalize the Hamiltonian by dividing by $H_{\text{GR},0}$ and scale time via

$$\tau \equiv \dot{\omega}_{\text{GR}} t = \frac{3G n m_{12}}{c^2 a j^2} t. \quad (35)$$

We now seek the appropriate canonical transformation for our rescaled H . We can first directly recast H in terms of the modified Delaunay variables; since the generating function is time-independent, we just need to re-express H in terms of the new variables ($\theta_1 = \lambda$ does not appear in the original H , so $L_D = J_1 = \sqrt{G m_{12} a}$ is conserved, which we've just used in

renormalizing the Hamiltonian and by convention set $L_D = J_1 = 1$)

$$J_2 = 1 - \sqrt{1 - e^2} \quad \theta_2 = -\omega, \quad (36)$$

$$J_3 = \sqrt{1 - e^2}(1 - \cos I) \quad \theta_3 = -\delta, \quad (37)$$

and so $\sqrt{1 - e^2} = 1 - J_2$, $e^2 = 1 - (1 - J_2)^2 = 2J_2 - J_2^2$, and $\cos I = 1 - [J_3/(1 - J_2)]$ (note that J_3 is also a constant, but since e is not constant, neither is $\cos I$, strictly speaking)

$$H(J_2, \theta_2, J_3, \theta_3) = -\frac{1}{1 - J_2} - \epsilon[A + B \cos(-2\theta_2 - 2\lambda_{\text{out}})], \quad (38)$$

$$A = \frac{3 \cos^2 I - 1}{16} 3e^2 + \frac{3}{8} \cos^2 I = \frac{3}{16} (2J_2 - J_2^2) \left(2 - 6 \frac{J_3}{1 - J_2} + 3 \left(\frac{J_3}{1 - J_2} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{3}{8} \cos^2 I, \quad (39)$$

$$B = \frac{15}{32} (1 + \cos I)^2 e^2 = \frac{15}{32} \left[4 - 4 \frac{J_3}{1 - J_2} + \left(\frac{J_3}{1 - J_2} \right)^2 \right] (2J_2 - J_2^2). \quad (40)$$

We further want to transform the Hamiltonian for some canonical variable $\phi = -2\theta_2 - 2\lambda_{\text{out}}$. The canonical variable conjugate to ϕ is just $\Gamma = -J_2/2$, as we can verify via the Poisson bracket:

$$\{\phi, \Gamma\} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_2} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial J_2} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial J_2} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \theta_2} = (-2) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) = 1. \quad (41)$$

The generating function for this canonical transformation is just $S(p, Q) = -J_2\phi/2$, so the Hamiltonian for the resonant angle ϕ becomes

$$H(\Gamma, \phi; J_3) = -\frac{1}{1 + 2\Gamma} - \epsilon(A + B \cos \phi) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}, \quad (42)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{1 + 2\Gamma} - \epsilon(A + B \cos \phi) - \frac{J_2}{2} \left(-2 \frac{d\lambda_{\text{out}}}{dt} \right), \quad (43)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{1 + 2\Gamma} - \epsilon(A + B \cos \phi) - 2\Gamma \frac{\Omega_{\text{out}}}{\Omega_{\text{GR},0}}, \quad (44)$$

$$A = \frac{3}{16} (-4\Gamma - 4\Gamma^2) \left(2 - 6 \frac{J_3}{1 + 2\Gamma} + 3 \left(\frac{J_3}{1 + 2\Gamma} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{3}{8} \left(1 - \frac{J_3}{1 + 2\Gamma} \right)^2, \quad (45)$$

$$B = \frac{15}{32} \left[4 - 4 \frac{J_3}{1 + 2\Gamma} + \left(\frac{J_3}{1 + 2\Gamma} \right)^2 \right] (-4\Gamma - 4\Gamma^2). \quad (46)$$

Note that $\lambda_{\text{out}} = \omega_{\text{out}} + \delta_{\text{out}} + \mathcal{M}_{\text{out}}$, so the time derivative is just the mean motion (at the current order of approximation) in nondimensional units.

Up until here, everything is still exact; we can now compute the Hamiltonian to leading order in Γ , Γ^2 and $\cos \phi$ (we drop

constant terms in ϵ and J_3)

$$H(\Gamma, \phi) = 2\Gamma - 4\Gamma^2 - \epsilon(A + B \cos \phi) - 2\Gamma \frac{\Omega_{\text{out}}}{\Omega_{\text{GR},0}} + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^3), \quad (47)$$

$$= 2\Gamma \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_{\text{out}}}{\Omega_{\text{GR},0}}\right) - 4\Gamma^2 - \epsilon(A + B \cos \phi) + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^3), \quad (48)$$

$$A = -\frac{3(\Gamma + \Gamma^2)}{4}(2 - 6J_3) + \frac{3}{8}(4J_3\Gamma) + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^3, \Gamma^2 J_3), \quad (49)$$

$$= \frac{3}{2}(4J_3\Gamma - \Gamma - \Gamma^2) + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^3, \Gamma^2 J_3), \quad (50)$$

$$B = -\frac{15}{2}\Gamma + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^2, J_3\Gamma), \quad (51)$$

$$H(\Gamma, \phi) = 2\Gamma \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_{\text{out}}}{\Omega_{\text{GR},0}}\right) - 4\Gamma^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}[3(4J_3\Gamma - \Gamma - \Gamma^2) - 15\Gamma \cos \phi] + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^3, \Gamma^2 J_3, \Gamma^2 \cos \phi), \quad (52)$$

$$= 2\Gamma \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_{\text{out}}}{\Omega_{\text{GR},0}} - \frac{\epsilon(12J_3 - 3)}{4}\right) - \Gamma^2 \left(4 - \frac{3\epsilon}{2}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}15\Gamma \cos \phi + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^3, \Gamma^2 J_3, \Gamma^2 \cos \phi). \quad (53)$$

Note that, to leading order,

$$J_3 \approx (1 - J_2)(1 - \cos I) = (1 + 2\Gamma)(1 - \cos I) \approx 1 + 2\Gamma - \cos I. \quad (54)$$

This substitution cannot be made into the Hamiltonian directly, however, since J_3 is an independent momentum from Γ and is conserved. Thus, we obtain

$$H(\Gamma, \phi) \approx \Gamma P - \Gamma^2 Q + R \Gamma \cos \phi, \quad (55)$$

$$P \approx 2 \left[1 - \frac{\Omega_{\text{out}}}{\Omega_{\text{GR},0}} - \frac{\epsilon(12J_3 - 3)}{4}\right], \quad Q \approx 4 - \frac{3\epsilon}{2} \approx 4, \quad (56)$$

$$R \approx \frac{15\epsilon}{2}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{m_3 a^4 c^2}{3Gm_{12}^2 a_{\text{out}}^3}, \quad \frac{\Omega_{\text{out}}}{\Omega_{\text{GR},0}} = \frac{(a/a_{\text{out}})^{3/2} (m_{123}/m_{12})^{1/2}}{3Gm_{12}/(c^2 a)} \quad (57)$$

Here, $\Gamma \in [-0.5, 0]$, and $\Gamma \simeq -e^2/4$ for $e \ll 1$; these are checked with `sympy`.

This seems to largely agree with Wenrui's Hamiltonian, except he omitted the ϵ contribution to the Γ^2 coefficient (XL Eq. 17). There are then three questions to answer about this Hamiltonian:

- Are there any bifurcations ([dis]appearances of equilibria)?
- What does the phase portrait look like, qualitatively?
- What is the resonance width?

To answer these, we use Hamilton's equations to compute the EOM and equilibria:

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \Gamma} = P - 2\Gamma Q + R \cos \phi, \quad (58)$$

$$\dot{\Gamma} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi} = -R \Gamma \sin \phi. \quad (59)$$

From the second equation, there are only zeros when $\phi = 0, \pi$, and from the first equation, these occur at

$$\Gamma_{\phi=0,\pi} = \frac{P \pm R}{2Q}, \quad (60)$$

where the positive sign corresponds to $\phi = 0$. Recalling that $\Gamma \in [-0.5, 0]$, we see that the solutions only exist when $P \pm 15\epsilon/2 \in [-Q, 0]$. As the inspiral progresses, P is increasing, so we see that the $\Gamma_{\phi=0}$ equilibrium will disappear when $P = -15\epsilon/2$ and the $\Gamma_{\phi=\pi}$ equilibrium will disappear when $P = 15\epsilon/2$.

TODO: Resonance width.

2.2 Eccentric Perturber

The goal here is to find the correct way to average the single-averaged expression

$$\tilde{H}_{\text{out}} \equiv \frac{H_{\text{out}}}{Gm_3\mu_{12}a^2/a_{\text{out}}^3} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{a_{\text{out}}}{r_{\text{out}}} \right)^3 [-1 + 6e^2 + 3(1 - e^2)(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}})^2 - 15e^2(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}})^2]. \quad (61)$$

The coordinate system we choose matches XL16, where $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\text{in}} \propto \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ while $\varnothing_{\text{out}} = 0$. This gives component form (see MD 2.20 and 2.122)

$$r_{\text{out}} = \frac{a_{\text{out}}(1 - e_{\text{out}}^2)}{1 + e_{\text{out}} \cos f_{\text{out}}} \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos v_{\text{out}} \\ \sin v_{\text{out}} \cos I \\ \sin v_{\text{out}} \sin I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (62)$$

Here, $v_{\text{out}} = \varnothing_{\text{out}} + \omega_{\text{out}} + f_{\text{out}} = \omega_{\text{out}} + f_{\text{out}}$ is the *true longitude*. Averaging is done via the identities

$$\left\langle \frac{\cos^2 f_{\text{out}}}{r_{\text{out}}^3} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\sin^2 f_{\text{out}}}{r_{\text{out}}^3} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2a_{\text{out}}^3 (1 - e_{\text{out}}^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (63)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{r_{\text{out}}^3} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{a_{\text{out}}^3 (1 - e_{\text{out}}^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (64)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{\cos f_{\text{out}} \sin f_{\text{out}}}{r_{\text{out}}^3} \right\rangle = 0. \quad (65)$$

2.2.1 Circular Averaging

Just to review the averaging procedure, let's consider the case where $e_{\text{out}} = 0$, then $v_{\text{out}} = \lambda_{\text{out}}$ the *mean longitude* and we can write

$$\tilde{H}_{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{4} [-1 + 6e^2 + 3(1 - e^2) \sin^2 \lambda_{\text{out}} \sin^2 I - 15e^2 (\cos \varnothing \cos \lambda_{\text{out}} + \sin \varnothing \sin \lambda_{\text{out}} \cos I)^2], \quad (66)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}} = \cos(\varnothing - \lambda_{\text{out}}) \left(\frac{1 + \cos I}{2} \right) + \cos(\varnothing - \lambda_{\text{out}}) \left(\frac{1 - \cos I}{2} \right), \quad (67)$$

$$\langle (\dots)^2 \rangle = \cos^2(\varnothing - \lambda_{\text{out}}) \left(\frac{1 + \cos I}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{(1 - \cos I)^2}{8}, \quad (68)$$

$$\langle \tilde{H}_{\text{out}} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left[-1 + 6e^2 + \frac{3}{2} (1 - e^2) (1 - \cos^2 I) - \frac{15}{2} e^2 (1 + \cos(2\varnothing - 2\lambda_{\text{out}})) \left(\frac{1 + \cos I}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{15e^2(1 - \cos I)^2}{8} \right], \quad (69)$$

$$= \frac{1}{16} \left[-4 + 24e^2 + 6(1 - e^2 - \cos^2 I + e^2 \cos^2 I) - 15e^2 (1 + \cos I^2) - \frac{15}{2} e^2 \cos \phi (1 + \cos I)^2 \right], \quad (70)$$

$$= \frac{1}{16} \left[2 + 3e^2 - 6\cos^2 I - 9e^2 \cos^2 I - \frac{15}{2} (1 + \cos I)^2 e^2 \cos \phi \right]. \quad (71)$$

Success!

2.3 Eccentric Averaging

We cannot just substitute $a_{\text{out}} \Rightarrow a_{\text{out,eff}} \equiv a_{\text{out}} \sqrt{1 - e_{\text{out}}^2}$ because ϕ is no longer a resonant angle. All of the other terms are the same, however, so we effectively just need to compute the expression

$$a_{\text{out}} \left\langle \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}})^2}{r_{\text{out}}^3} \right\rangle \quad (72)$$

Consider a Fourier decomposition of $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}}/r_{\text{out}}^{3/2}$, where Ω_{out} is the outer mean motion (just in the x - y plane), then (we assume $\omega_{\text{out}}(t=0) = 0$ and give an arbitrary phase offset ϖ_0 to the inner eccentricity vector)

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}(t) = \cos(\Omega_{\text{GR}}t + \varpi_0) \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin(\Omega_{\text{GR}}t + \varpi_0) \hat{\mathbf{y}}, \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}}(t)_{\perp}}{r_{\text{out}}^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{r_{\text{out}}^{3/2}} [\cos v_{\text{out}} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin v_{\text{out}} \cos I \hat{\mathbf{y}}], \quad (74)$$

$$= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_N}{a_{\text{out}}^{3/2}} \{\cos(N\Omega_{\text{out}}t) \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin(N\Omega_{\text{out}}t) \cos I \hat{\mathbf{y}}\}, \quad (75)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}}}{r_{\text{out}}^{3/2}} = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_N}{a_{\text{out}}^{3/2}} \{\cos(N\Omega_{\text{out}}t) \cos(\Omega_{\text{GR}}t + \varpi_0) + \sin(N\Omega_{\text{out}}t) \cos I \sin(\Omega_{\text{GR}}t + \varpi_0)\}, \quad (76)$$

$$= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_N}{a_{\text{out}}^{3/2}} \left\{ \cos((N\Omega_{\text{out}} - \Omega_{\text{GR}})t - \varpi_0) \left(\frac{1 + \cos I}{2} \right) + \cos((N\Omega_{\text{out}} + \Omega_{\text{GR}})t + \varpi_0) \left(\frac{1 - \cos I}{2} \right) \right\}, \quad (77)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\text{out}})^2}{r_{\text{out}}^3} \right\rangle = \sum_{M=0}^{N-1} f_{NM} \frac{c_{N_{\text{GR}}+M} c_{N_{\text{GR}}-M}}{2a_{\text{out}}^3} \left(\frac{1 + \cos I}{2} \right)^2 \cos((2N_{\text{GR}}\Omega_{\text{out}} - 2\Omega_{\text{GR}})t - 2\varpi_0). \quad (78)$$

Here, $N_{\text{GR}} \equiv \lfloor \Omega_{\text{GR}}/\Omega_{\text{out}} \rfloor$, and $f_{NM} = 1$ if $M = N/2$ else 2 (double counting factor). Since the c_N should fall off for $N \gtrsim N_p$ where

$$N_p \equiv \frac{\sqrt{1+e}}{(1-e_{\text{out}})^{3/2}}, \quad (79)$$

we see that there will generally be resonances for all $N\Omega_{\text{out}} \sim \Omega_{\text{GR}}$ as long as $N \lesssim N_p$. Furthermore, we guess that the c_N are expected to scale like N^2 for $N \lesssim N_p$, so the sum is dominated by the $M = 0$ contribution.

Does this agree with simulations yet? Well, we do observe resonances for both $N \approx 1$ and $N \approx N_p$, todo more in depth exploration.