## **Evection Resonance Maximum Growth**

If we require the evection resonance condition  $\dot{\omega} \sim \dot{f}_{\rm out}$ , or

$$\frac{3Gm_{12}}{c^2a}\sqrt{\frac{m_{12}a_{\text{out}}^3}{m_{123}a^3}} \sim 1,\tag{1}$$

then this can be rewritten as

$$\frac{a^5}{a_{\text{out}}^3} \sim \frac{9G^2 m_{12}^3}{c^2 m_{123}}. (2)$$

The  $\epsilon$  associated with the system can then be rewritten as:

$$\epsilon = \frac{m_3 a^4 c^2}{3G m_{12}^2 a_{\text{out}}^3},$$

$$= \frac{3m_3}{m_{123}} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2.$$
(3)

$$=\frac{3m_3}{m_{123}} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2.$$
(4)

Here,  $v = \sqrt{Gm_{12}/a}$  is the orbital velocity of the inner binary. Using the above scalings, we find that  $v \propto a^{-1/2} \propto a_{
m out}^{-3/10}$ , and thus  $\Delta e \propto a_{
m out}^{-3/10}$ . Is this observed? Well, my  $a_{
m out} = 2.38\,{
m AU}$  has  $\Delta e = 0.006$ and my  $a_{\rm out}$  = 238 AU has  $\Delta e \approx 0.0015$ , which is in rough agreement.

## 1.1 With Eccentricity

We showed in our notes that the evection Hamiltonian looks something like

$$H(\Gamma,\phi) = P\Gamma - 4\Gamma^2 + R\cos\phi,$$

$$P = 2\left[1 - \Omega_{\text{out}}/\Omega_{\text{GR},0} + 3\epsilon/4\right],$$

$$R = \frac{15\epsilon}{2}(1 + F_{N2}).$$
(5)

where  $\Gamma \approx -e^2/4$ , and  $F_{N2}$  is the Hansen coefficient. The equilibrium of the Hamiltonian, when it exists is located at

$$\Gamma_{\text{eq}} = \frac{P - R}{8} \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$
(6)

Note that even if  $e_{\text{out}} = 0.9$ ,  $F_{N2}$  only maximizes at  $\sim 20$ , so the evection eccentricity cannot be enhanced by more than a factor of 4–5 realistically except for extremely strong eccentricities: to leading order,  $F_{N2}$  is maximized at  $N \simeq \left(1-e_{\rm out}^2\right)^{-3/2}$  at a value of  $(1-e_{\rm out}^2)^{-3/2}$ , so we expect an enhancement of the evection resonance eccentricity excitation by  $\sim (1 - e_{\text{out}}^2)^{-3/4}$ , not a lot.

So, basically, without some sort of exotic 2+1+1 system, we're probably out of luck.

The problem here is that  $\epsilon \sim \Phi_{ZLK}/\Phi_{GR}$  is too small when  $\Omega_{out} \sim \dot{\omega}_{GR}$ , i.e. the hierarchy of scales between the quadrupole ZLK coupling and the simple Keplerian coupling is too large.