



# OCR for Chinese Characters with CNN and EfficientNet

Yubo Cai and Junyuan Wang

CSE204 Machine Learning, Ecole Polytechnique

2022-2023



① Introduction

② Data Preparation

③ First Approach - Convolutional Neural Networks CNN

④ Second Approach - EfficientNet

⑤ Further Direction

# Why OCR in Chinese?

## Reasons for application requirements:

- Important and widely used language
- A large amount of Chinese historical research material needs to be electronic

## Personal Interests:

- Intuitive ideas for recognizing letters and numbers from MNIST datasets in your own native language.
- More interesting and challenging.

# Difficulties compared to MNIST



	Chinese Characters	MNIST (English Alphabet)
Number of Characters	10k+	26
Structure	More Complex	Relatively simple
Diversity of writing styles	A large number of writing styles	More fixed

**Table:** Comparison between Chinese Characters and MNIST (English Alphabet)

楷書  
楷书

**Table:** Writing style: Kaishu and Cursive

此乃西人書法  
楊海雲三月十日  
於上海  
辛卯年  
月  
日  
書



**Table:** Top-bottom and left-right structures

- Large Amount of characters need to label
- Multiple hand-writing styles
- Variety of font structures

# Data Description & Preprocessing

Datasets in the Project: **CASIA Online and Offline Chinese Handwriting Databases**. Here we use **CASIA-HWDB1.0-1.2**. Key information of the datasets:

- 7185 Chinese characters
- 171 English letters, numbers
- 897758 pictures in the training dataset
- 223991 pictures in the testing dataset
- Single character images




Figure: Exmample pictures of the dataset

# Data Description & Preprocessing




Figure: Plots of the training datasets




Figure: Plots of the testing datasets

# Data Description & Preprocessing

Data Preprocessing: From **gnt** file to **tfrecord**:

- Label: decode with **GB2312** to Chinese, then **tf.cast()** transfer into **tf.int64**.
- Image: Using **tf.io.decode\_raw()** decode image data into **tf.uint8**, apply **tf.reshape()** transfer from 1D to 2D.

More detailed information on [\*convert\\_to\\_tfrecord.py\*](#)

Image Processing:

- Segmentation
- Reshaping to  $64 \times 64$  for CNN
- Reshaping to  $224 \times 224$  for EfficientNet B0
- Background uniformization
- Edge finding (for segmentation)
- Image grayscale adjustment

# Simple CNN

Model: "sequential"


Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
conv2d (Conv2D)	(None, 64, 64, 32)	320
max_pooling2d (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 32, 32, 32)	0
conv2d_1 (Conv2D)	(None, 32, 32, 64)	18496
max_pooling2d_1 (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 16, 16, 64)	0
flatten (Flatten)	(None, 16384)	0
dense (Dense)	(None, 3755)	61525675

---

Total params: 61,544,491  
Trainable params: 61,544,491  
Non-trainable params: 0



Since the dataset is quite large and the model might converge very slow, we use the checkpoint saving and logging callback functions to tracking the training of model.

```
tf.keras.callbacks.ModelCheckpoint(ckpt_path,  
                                   save_weights_only=True,  
                                   verbose=1,  
                                   save_freq='epoch',  
                                   save_best_only=True),
```





# Result of Simple CNN



Accuracy on training dataset: around 95%. Accuracy on the testing dataset: around 46% after 120 epochs.

## Result of Simple CNN

The result is good for such a simple CNN model to recognize complex Chinese characters, but how can we improve the accuracy?



Figure: Random Choice of 9 pictures from the testing dataset, 4 are correct

# Complex CNN Model

Model: "sequential\_1"

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
conv2d_2 (Conv2D)	(None, 64, 64, 64)	640
max_pooling2d_2 (MaxPooling 2D)	(None, 32, 32, 64)	0
conv2d_3 (Conv2D)	(None, 32, 32, 128)	73856
max_pooling2d_3 (MaxPooling 2D)	(None, 16, 16, 128)	0
conv2d_4 (Conv2D)	(None, 16, 16, 256)	295168
max_pooling2d_4 (MaxPooling 2D)	(None, 8, 8, 256)	0
conv2d_5 (Conv2D)	(None, 8, 8, 512)	1180160
max_pooling2d_5 (MaxPooling 2D)	(None, 4, 4, 512)	0
flatten_1 (Flatten)	(None, 8192)	0
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 1024)	8389632
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 3755)	3848875

=====  
Total params: 13,788,331  
Trainable params: 13,788,331  
Non-trainable params: 0

```
# Define with a more complex CNN model - However, this model is not even converging
def CNN_complexModel(input_shape, n_classes):
    model = tf.keras.Sequential([
        layers.Conv2D(input_shape=input_shape, filters=64, kernel_size=(3, 3), strides=(1, 1),
            padding='same', activation='relu'),
        layers.MaxPool2D(pool_size=(2, 2), padding='same'),
        layers.Conv2D(filters=128, kernel_size=(3, 3), padding='same'),
        layers.MaxPool2D(pool_size=(2, 2), padding='same'),
        layers.Conv2D(filters=256, kernel_size=(3, 3), padding='same'),
        layers.MaxPool2D(pool_size=(2, 2), padding='same'),
        layers.Flatten(),
        layers.Dense(1024, activation='relu'),
        layers.Dense(n_classes, activation='softmax')
    ])
    return model
```

**Result:** To our surprise, the complex CNN model does not converge. But Why?

# Result Analysis

## Why Simple CNN works:

- We found that simple CNN has better feature extraction for Chinese characters with a clear structure in the dataset. For example, left-right structure, and up-down structure.

## Why 95% accuracy in training and 40% in testing:

- **Limited feature extraction capability:** We found that simple CNNs are less capable of extracting features for more complex structured Chinese characters, especially for the problem of consecutive strokes due to writing style, which is limited by the small number of parameters.

## Why Complex CNN not converge:

- **Optimization Difficulty:** [1] Deeper networks are harder to train. Gradients can vanish or explode as they are backpropagated through many layers, making learning difficult.
- **Not Suitable with dataset:** Complex CNN models are more demanding on the training dataset, and the training is negatively affected by the high level of noise in the training set and some labeling errors.



## Model Building for EfficientNet B0

**Image data processing:** Resize the image to (224, 224). No normalization is needed for EfficientNetB0.

```
def efficientnetB0_model():  
    # EfficientNetB0 expects 3 channels  
    inputs = layers.Input(shape=(IMG_SIZE, IMG_SIZE, 3))  
    base_model = EfficientNetB0(  
        include_top=False, input_tensor=inputs, weights='imagenet')  
    x = base_model.output  
    x = layers.GlobalAveragePooling2D()(x)  
    x = layers.Dense(num_classes, activation='softmax')(x)  
    model = keras.Model(inputs=inputs, outputs=x)  
    return model
```

Here we use **tf.Keras** API to build the EfficientNet B0.

# Result of EfficientNet



Accuracy on training dataset: around 96%. Accuracy on the testing dataset: around 87% after 80 epochs.

## Result of EfficientNet

The EfficientNet model has greatly improved our accuracy. Then what is the advantage compared to CNN? And why such a complex network does not present the problems of the previous complex CNN models?




Figure: Random Choice of 9 pictures from the testing dataset, 7 are correct

# Why EfficientNet Perform better?

Here we cite from the paper of EfficientNet [2]:

## ■ Increase the depth of Network:

- ▶ Advantages: Obtain richer, more complex features.
- ▶ Problems: Gradient Vanish, Hard to train the model.

## ■ Increase the width of Network:

- ▶ Advantages: Obtain higher fine-grained features and be easy for training.
- ▶ Problems: It is difficult to learn deep features for networks with shallow depth.

## ■ Increase image resolution:

- ▶ Advantages: Obtain higher fine-grained features.
- ▶ Problems: Heavy computation.

**Image data processing:** The best ratio of **width**, **depth**, and **resolution** of the model is obtained through **NAS (Neural Architecture Search)** technology to achieve better results.




Figure 2. **Model Scaling.** (a) is a baseline network example; (b)-(d) are conventional scaling that only increases one dimension of network width, depth, or resolution. (e) is our proposed compound scaling method that uniformly scales all three dimensions with a fixed ratio.



## Go further?

A natural idea is we can extend this model to recognize whole paragraphs of text. We have two ideas to expand our project in this direction:

- ① **Segmentation with edge detection.**
- ② **CRNN (CNN+LSTM+CTC) [3] [4]**

**Link of the GitHub Repository:** [\[https://github.com/yubocai-poly/Chinese-character-OCR-with-CNN-and-EfficientNet\]](https://github.com/yubocai-poly/Chinese-character-OCR-with-CNN-and-EfficientNet)

## Reference

- [1] Ralf C Staudemeyer and Eric Rothstein Morris. “Understanding LSTM—a tutorial into long short-term memory recurrent neural networks”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.09586* (2019).
- [2] Mingxing Tan and Quoc V. Le. *EfficientNet: Rethinking Model Scaling for Convolutional Neural Networks*. 2020. arXiv: 1905.11946 [cs.LG].
- [3] Alex Graves et al. “Connectionist temporal classification: labelling unsegmented sequence data with recurrent neural networks”. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on Machine learning*. 2006, pp. 369–376.
- [4] Yong Yu et al. “A review of recurrent neural networks: LSTM cells and network architectures”. In: *Neural computation* 31.7 (2019), pp. 1235–1270.