

MAT224 Linear Algebra II Winter 2019

Lecture Notes

Yuchen Wang

September 15, 2024

Contents

1	Vector Spaces	2
1.1	Vector Spaces	2
1.2	Subspaces	3
1.3	Linear Combinations	4
1.4	Linear Dependence and Linear Independence	5
1.5	Interlude on Solving Systems of Linear Equations (MAT223)	6
1.6	Bases And Dimension (Jan 17)	6
2	Linear Transformations	12
2.1	Linear Transformations	12
2.2	Linear Transformations Between Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces	13
2.3	Kernel and Image	15
2.4	Applications of the Dimension Theorem	17
2.5	Composition of Linear Transformations	19
2.6	The Inverse of a Linear Transformation	21
2.7	Change of Basis	22
3	The Determinant Function	22
3.1	The Determinant as Area	22
3.2	The Determinant of an $n \times n$ Matrix	23
3.3	Further Properties of the Determinant	25
4	Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors, Diagonalization, and the Spectral Theorem in \mathbb{R}^n	26
4.1	Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors	26

4.2	Diagonalizability	28
4.3	Geometry in \mathbb{R}^n	29
4.4	Orthogonal Projections and the Gram-Schmidt Process . . .	30
4.5	Symmetric Matrices	33
4.6	The Spectral Theorem	34
5	Complex Numbers and Complex Vector Spaces	35
5.1	Complex Numbers	35
5.2	Vector Spaces Over a Field	38
5.3	Geometry in a complex vector space	38
6	Jordan Canonical Form	40
6.1	Triangular Form	40
6.2	A Canonical Form For Nilpotent Mappings	42
6.3	Jordan Canonical Form	43
6.4	Computing Jordan Form	45
7	Problem Notes	46
8	Proof Clinic - JCF	46

CS GRAD SCHOOL
ADMISSION CONSULTING

Do you want offers from top CS grad schools?

My team offers

- Personal statements editing
- Resume editing
- Recommendation letter strategies
- Grad school/program list suggestion
- Application timeline planning
- 1:1 mentorship with top school Masters' and PhD graduates

Yuchen Wang
MSCS@Stanford, SWE@Microsoft
www.yuchenwyc.com

Stanford University UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO Microsoft

GET STARTED

yuchenw@stanford.edu

QR code

Figure 1: Hi there, thanks for reading my notes! I also offer CS grad school admission consulting services. If you are interested, click [here](#) to learn more :)

1 Vector Spaces

1.1 Vector Spaces

Definition 1.1.1 A (real) vector space is a set V (whose elements are called vectors) together with

1. an operation called vector addition, which for each pair of vectors

$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$ produced another vector in V denoted $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$, and

2. an operation called multiplication by a scalar (a real number), which for each vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$, and each scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$ produced another vector in V denoted $c\mathbf{x}$

Furthermore, the two operations must satisfy the following axioms: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in V, \forall c, d \in \mathbb{R}$,

1. $(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z})$
2. $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}$
3. $\exists \mathbf{0} \in V$ s.t. $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{x}$ (additive identity)
4. $\exists -\mathbf{x} \in V$ s.t. $\mathbf{x} + (-\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ (additive inverse)
5. $c(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = c\mathbf{x} + c\mathbf{y}$
6. $(c + d)\mathbf{x} = c\mathbf{x} + d\mathbf{x}$
7. $(cd)\mathbf{x} = c(d\mathbf{x})$
8. $1\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$

Proposition 1.1.6 Let V be a vector space. Then

1. The zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ is unique.
2. For all $\mathbf{x} \in V, 0\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.
3. For each $\mathbf{x} \in V$, the additive inverse $-\mathbf{x}$ is unique.
4. For all $\mathbf{x} \in V$, and all $c \in \mathbb{R}, (-c)\mathbf{x} = -(c\mathbf{x})$.

Smooth functions C^∞

Most functions are not smooth.

1.2 Subspaces

Example $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) < C^k(\mathbb{R}) < \text{Differentiable functions} < C(\mathbb{R}) < F(\mathbb{R})$

Definition Let V be a vector space and Let $W \subseteq V$ be a subset. Then W is a (vector) subspace of V if W is a vector space itself under the operations of vector sum and scalar multiplication from V .

Theorem 1.2.8 Let V be a vector space and Let $W \subseteq V$ be a **nonempty** subset of V . Then W is a subspace of V iff $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in W$, and all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $c\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in W$.

proof: \rightarrow : If W is a subspace of V , then $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in W$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in W$ holds since W itself is a real vector space.

\leftarrow : If $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in W$, and all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $c\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in W$

Can have $c = 1$, so $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in W$ (close under addition)

$c = -1$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$, so $-\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \in W$ (additive identity)

$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$, so $c\mathbf{x} \in W$ (close under scalar multiplication)

These implies all the axioms. ■

Examples

1. $W = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) | f(\pi) = 0\}$. W subspace of $C(\mathbb{R})$? -Yes
2. $W = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) | f(e) = e\}$. W subspace of $C(\mathbb{R})$? -No, not close under addition
3. $W = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_i \geq 0 \forall i\}$. W subspace of $C(\mathbb{R})$? -No, there is no additive inverse for each item in W .

Theorem 1.2.13 Let V be a vector space. Then the intersection of any collection of subspaces of V is a subspace of V .

proof: Consider any collection of subspace of V . Note that the intersection of the subspaces is not empty since at least the zero vector from V is in it. Now let \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} be any two vectors in the intersection, so they are in every single subspace in the collection. Therefore $c\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ is also in every single subspace in the collection, so that it is in the intersection as well. Hence the intersection is a subspace of V . ■

Application The set of all solutions of any simultaneous system of equations is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Corollary 1.2.14 Let $a_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n)$ be any real numbers and let $W = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | a_{i1}x_1 + \dots + a_{in}x_n = 0 \text{ for all } i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Then W is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

1.3 Linear Combinations

Definition 1.3.1 Let S be a subset of a vector space V .

1. A *linear combination* of vectors in S is any sum $a_1\mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{x}_n$ where the $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and the $\mathbf{x}_i \in S$
2. If $S \neq \emptyset$, the set of all linear combinations of vectors in S is called the *span* of S , and denoted $\text{Span}(S)$. If $S = \emptyset$, we define $\text{Span}(S) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. (Remark: It is a mathematician convention)
3. If $W = \text{Span}(S)$, we say S spans (or generates) W .

Theorem 1.3.4 Let V be a vector space and let S be any subset of V . Then $\text{Span}(S)$ is a subspace of V .

proof: $\text{Span}(S)$ is non-empty by definition. Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(S)$, then they are linear combinations of vectors in S . Check that $c\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ is also a linear combination of vectors in S , so $c\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(S)$. Hence $\text{Span}(S)$ is a subspace of V . ■

Definition Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of a vector space V . The *sum* of W_1 and W_2 is the set

$$W_1 + W_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in V \mid \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2, \text{ for some } \mathbf{x}_1 \in W_1 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_2 \in W_2\}$$

Proposition 1.3.8 The basis of sum is the union of two bases Let $W_1 = \text{Span}(S_1)$ and $W_2 = \text{Span}(S_2)$ be subspaces of a vector space V . Then $W_1 + W_2 = \text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$

Theorem 1.3.9 Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of a vector space V . Then $W_1 + W_2$ is also a subspace of V .

Proposition 1.3.11 $W_1 + W_2$ is the smallest subspace containing $W_1 \cup W_2$: Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of a vector space V and let W be a subspace of V such that $W_1 \cup W_2 \subseteq W$. Then $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq W$

Remark $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace of V iff one is contained in another.

1.4 Linear Dependence and Linear Independence

Definitions 1.4.2 Let V be a vector space, and let S be a subset of V .

1. A *linear dependence* among the vectors of S is an equation

$$a_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{0}$$

where the $x_i \in S$, and the $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are not all zero (i.e., at least one of the $a_i \neq 0$).

2. the set S is said to be *linearly dependent* if there exists a linear dependence among the vectors in S .

Fact Let S be a set. If $\mathbf{0} \in S$, then S is dependent.

Definition 1.4.4 A subset S of a vector space V is *linearly independent* if whenever we have $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{x}_i \in S$ such that $a_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{0}$, then $a_i = 0$ for all i .

Example In any vector space the empty subset \emptyset is linearly independent.

Proposition 1.4.7

1. Let S be a linearly independent subset of a vector space V , and let S' be another subset of V that contains S . Then S' is also linearly dependent.
2. Let S be linearly independent subset of a vector space V and let S' be another subset of V that is contained in S . Then S' is also linearly independent.

1.5 Interlude on Solving Systems of Linear Equations (MAT223)

1.6 Bases And Dimension (Jan 17)

Definition A subset S of vector space V is called a *basis* of V if $V = \text{Span}(S)$ and S is linearly independent.

Remark A basis is the maximal set of linearly independent vectors / minimal set of spanning vectors.

Examples

1. the standard basis $S = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n , since every vector $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ may be written as the linear combination $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ne_n$
2. The vector space \mathbb{R}^n has many other bases as well. e.g., in \mathbb{R}^2 , consider the set $S = \{(1, 2), (1, -1)\}$, which is l.i.
3. Let $V = P_n(\mathbb{R})$ and consider $S = \{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$, which is a basis of V .

proof: It is clear that S spans V . For independence, consider

$$a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + a_nx^n = \mathbf{0}$$

Take the derivative of both sides,

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n}(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + a_nx^n) = \frac{d^n}{dx^n}(0)$$

$$n!a_n = 0 \implies a_n = 0$$

Similarly, we have $a_i = 0$ for all i , as wanted.

4. The empty subset, \emptyset , is a basis of the vector space consisting only of a zero vector, $\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Theorem 1.6.3 Let V be a vector space, and let S be a nonempty subset of V . Then S is a basis of V iff every vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$ may be written **uniquely** as a linear combination of the vectors in S .

Proof: \rightarrow : Assume S is a basis of V , then given $\mathbf{x} \in V$, there are scalars $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and vectors $x_i \in S$ s.t. $\mathbf{x} = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$. To show this linear combination is unique, consider a possible second linear combination of vectors in S which also adds up to \mathbf{x} : $\mathbf{x} = b_1x_1 + \dots + b_nx_n$. Subtracting these two expressions for \mathbf{x} , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n - (b_1x_1 + \dots + b_nx_n) \\ &= (a_1 - b_1)x_1 + \dots + (a_n - b_n)x_n \end{aligned}$$

Since S is linearly independent, the equation implies that $a_i = b_i$ for all i .

\leftarrow : Assume every vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$ may be written uniquely as a linear combination of the vectors in S . This implies $\text{Span}(S) = V$. We must show that S is l.i. Consider an equation

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = \mathbf{0}$$

Note that it is also the case that

$$0\mathbf{x} = 0(x_1 + \dots + x_n) = \mathbf{0}$$

Since we assumed that every \mathbf{x} has a unique representation in S , then it must be true that $a_i = 0$ for all i . Hence S is l.i.

Theorem 1.6.6 Let V be a vector space that has a finite spanning set, and let S be a linearly independent subset of V . Then there exists a basis S' of V , with $S \subset S'$

Lemma 1.6.8 Let S be a linearly independent subset of V and let $x \in V$, but $x \notin S$. Then $S \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}$ is l.i. iff $\mathbf{x} \notin \text{Span}(S)$.

Insight the number of vectors in a basis is, in a rough sense, a measure of “how big” the space is.

Theorem 1.6.10 (Basis Theorem) Let V be a vector space and let S be a spanning set for V , which has m elements. Then no linearly independent set in V can have more than m elements.

proof: It suffices to show that every set in V with more than m elements is linearly dependent. Write $S = y_1, \dots, y_m$ and suppose $S' = x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a subset of V with $n > m$ vectors. Consider an equation

$$(1) a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = \mathbf{0}$$

Our goal is to show that a_i not all 0. Since S spans V , there are scalars b_{ij} s.t. for each i ,

$$x_i = b_{i1}y_1 + \dots + b_{im}y_m$$

Substituting these equations into (1), we get

$$a_1(b_{11}y_1 + \dots + b_{1m}y_m) + \dots + a_n(b_{n1}y_1 + \dots + b_{nm}y_m) = \mathbf{0}$$

Collecting terms and rearranging,

$$(a_1b_{11} + \dots + a_nb_{n1})y_1 + \dots + (a_1b_{1m} + \dots + a_nb_{nm})y_m = \mathbf{0}$$

Since S is l.i., this is equivalent to solving the system

$$b_{11}a_1 + \dots + b_{n1}a_n = 0$$

$$\cdot$$

$$\cdot$$

$$\cdot$$

$$b_{1m}a_1 + \dots + b_{nm}a_n = 0$$

But this is a system with n unknowns and m equations and $n > m$, so there must exist a non-trivial solution $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, which is what we wanted to show. ■

Corollary 1.6.11 Let V be a vector space and let S and S' be two bases of V , with m and m' elements, respectively. Then $m = m'$.

proof:

Since S is a spanning set of V and S' is l.i., we have $m' \leq m$. Since S' is a spanning set of V and S is l.i. we have $m \leq m'$. Hence $m = m'$. ■

Definitions 1.6.12

1. If V is a vector space with some finite basis (possibly empty), we say V is finite-dimensional.
2. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space. The dimension of V , denoted $\dim(V)$, is the number of vectors in a (hence any) basis of V .
3. If $V = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we define $\dim(V) = 0$.

Examples

1. For each n , $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$, since the standard basis contains n vectors.
2. $\dim(P_n(\mathbb{R})) = n + 1$, since a basis for $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ contains $n + 1$ functions.
3. The vector spaces $P(\mathbb{R})$, $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $C(\mathbb{R})$ are not finite-dimensional. We say that such spaces are infinite-dimensional.
4. $\dim(\text{Span}\{(1, 2, 3), (4, 5, 6), (7, 8, 9)\}) = 2$

Corollary 1.6.14 Let W be a subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space V . Then $\dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$. Furthermore, $\dim(W) = \dim(V)$ iff $W = V$.

Corollary 1.6.15 Let W be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n defined by a system of homogeneous linear equations. Then $\dim(W)$ is equal to the number of free variables in the corresponding echelon form system.

Theorem 1.6.18 Let W_1 and W_2 be finite-dimensional subspaces of a vector space V . Then

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$$

Remark Analogous to the Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion

proof: Result obvious if either W_1 or W_2 is $\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Therefore, we assume that neither W_1 nor W_2 is $\{\mathbf{0}\}$. Starting from a basis S of $W_1 \cap W_2$. We can always find sets T_1 and T_2 (disjoint from S) such that $S \cup T_1$ is a basis for W_1 and $S \cup T_2$ is a basis for W_2 . We claim that $U = S \cup T_1 \cup T_2$ is a basis for $W_1 + W_2$, since

$$U = S \cup T_1 \cup T_2 = (S \cup T_1) \cup (S \cup T_2)$$

$$\text{Span}(U) = \text{Span}((S \cup T_1) \cup (S \cup T_2)) = W_1 + W_2$$

Next, prove that U is linearly independent. Any potential linear dependence among the vectors in U must have the form

$$\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{0}$$

where $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Span}(S) = W_1 \cap W_2$, $\mathbf{w}_1 \in \text{Span}(T_1) \subset W_1$, $\mathbf{w}_2 \in \text{Span}(T_2) \subset W_2$. (slice the linear combination into the sum of the vectors from 3 vector spaces). It suffices to prove that in any such potential linear dependence, we must have $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ (each vector is a lin comb, and equals $\mathbf{0}$). Consider $\mathbf{w}_2 = -\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}_1$. Since $-\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}_1 \in W_1$, $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W_2$, we must have $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W_1 \cap W_2$. By definition, $\mathbf{w}_2 \in \text{Span}(T_2)$ But $S \cap T_2 = \emptyset$, hence $\text{Span}(S) \cap \text{Span}(T_2) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Therefore we must have $\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{0}$. So then $-\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}_1 \in W_1 \cap W_2$. Since $S \cap T_1 = \emptyset$, $\text{Span}(S) \cap \text{Span}(T_1) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and we have $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ as well. So U is independent.

$$\begin{aligned}|U| &= |S| + |T_1| + |T_2| \\ &= \dim W_1 \cap W_2 + (\dim W_1 - \dim W_1 \cap W_2) + (\dim W_2 - \dim W_1 \cap W_2) \\ &= \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)\end{aligned}$$

Exercises for 1.4 1.(k), 7

Exercises for 1.6 1.(d)(e)(f), 3, 4, 16

2 Linear Transformations

2.1 Linear Transformations

A function T from V to W is denoted by $T : V \rightarrow W$. The vector $\mathbf{w} = T(\mathbf{v})$ in W is called the *image* of \mathbf{v} under the function T . Loosely speaking, we want our functions to turn the algebraic operations of addition and scalar multiplication in V into addition and scalar multiplication in W .

Definition 2.1.1 A function $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called a *linear mapping* or a *linear transformation* if it satisfies

1. $T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v})$ for all \mathbf{u} and $\mathbf{v} \in V$
2. $T(a\mathbf{v}) = aT(\mathbf{v})$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in V$

V is called the *domain* of T and W is called the *target* of T .

We say that a linear transformation preserves the operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

Property A linear mapping always takes the zero vector in the domain vector space to the zero vector in the target vector space.

Proposition 2.1.2 A function $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation if and only if for all a and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and all \mathbf{u} and $\mathbf{v} \in V$

$$T(a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v}) = aT(\mathbf{u}) + bT(\mathbf{v})$$

Corollary 2.1.3 A function $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation if and only if for all $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \in V$:

$$T\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i T(\mathbf{v}_i)$$

Remark prove this by induction!

Examples

1. Let V be any vector space, and let $W = V$. The identity transformation $I : V \rightarrow V$ is defined by $I(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$.

2. Let V and W be any vector spaces, and let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be the mapping that takes every vector in V to the zero vector in W :

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_W$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$. T is called zero transformation.

3. $T(\mathbf{x}) = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$

4. *Differentiation, definite integration*

Remark The inner product plays a crucial role in linear algebra in that it provides a bridge between algebra and geometry, which is the heart of the more advanced material that appears later in the text.

Proposition 2.1.14 If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation and V is finite-dimensional, then T is uniquely determined by its values on the members of a basis of V .

proof: Show that if S and T are linear transformations that take the same values on each member of a basis for V , then in fact $S = T$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(v) &= T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_kv_k) \\ &= a_1T(v_1) + \dots + a_kT(v_k) \\ &= a_1S(v_1) + \dots + a_kS(v_k) \\ &= S(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_kv_k) \\ &= S(v) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, S and T are equal as mappings from V to W . ■

2.2 Linear Transformations Between Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces

Proposition 2.2.1 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation between the finite-dimensional vector spaces V and W . If $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a basis for V and $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_l\}$ is a basis for W , then $T : V \rightarrow W$ is uniquely determined by the $l \cdot k$ scalars used to express $T(\mathbf{v}_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, in terms of $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_l$.

Definition 2.2.6 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation between the finite-dimensional vector spaces V and W , and let $\alpha = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ and $\beta = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_l\}$, respectively, be any bases for V and W . Let $a_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq l$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$ be the $l \cdot k$ scalars that determine T with respect to the bases α and β . The matrix whose entries are the scalars $a_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq l$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, is called the *matrix of the linear transformation T with respect to the bases α for V and β for W* . This matrix is denoted by $[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$.

Remark The basis vectors in the domain and target spaces are written in some particular order.

Definition of coordinate vectors If $\mathbf{v} = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\mathbf{v}_k$ and $\mathbf{w} = b_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + b_l\mathbf{w}_l$, we can express \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} in coordinates, respectively, as a $k \times 1$ matrix and as an $l \times 1$ matrix, with respect to the chosen bases. These coordinate vectors will be denoted by $[\mathbf{v}]_{\alpha}$ and $[\mathbf{w}]_{\beta}$, respectively. Thus

$$[\mathbf{v}]_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } [\mathbf{w}]_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_l \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 2.2.15 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation between vector spaces V of dimension k and W of dimension l . Let $\alpha = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ be a basis for V and $\beta = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_l\}$ be a basis for W . Then for each $\mathbf{v} \in V$,

$$[T(\mathbf{v})]_{\beta} = [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}[\mathbf{v}]_{\alpha}$$

proof: Let $\mathbf{v} = x_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + x_k\mathbf{v}_k \in V$. Then if $T(\mathbf{v}_j) = a_{1j}\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + a_{lj}\mathbf{w}_l$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{v}) &= \sum_{j=1}^k x_j T(\mathbf{v}_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^l a_{ij} \mathbf{w}_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j a_{ij} \right) \mathbf{w}_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the i th coefficient of $T(\mathbf{v})$ in terms of β is $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j a_{ij}$ and $[T(\mathbf{v})]_{\beta} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j a_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^k x_j a_{lj} \end{bmatrix} \text{ which is precisely } [T(\mathbf{v})]_{\beta} = [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}[\mathbf{v}]_{\alpha}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 2.2.19 Let $\alpha = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ be a basis for V and $\beta = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_l\}$ be a basis for W , and let $\mathbf{v} = x_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + x_k\mathbf{v}_k \in V$

1. If A is an $l \times k$ matrix, then the function

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}$$

where $[\mathbf{w}]_\beta = A[\mathbf{v}]_\alpha$ is a linear transformation.

2. If $A = [S]_\alpha^\beta$ is the matrix of a transformation $S : V \rightarrow W$, then the transformation T constructed from $[S]_\alpha^\beta$ is equal to S .
3. If T is the transformation of (1) constructed from A , then $[T]_\alpha^\beta = A$

Proposition 2.2.20 Let V and W be finite-dimensional vector spaces. Let α be a basis for V and β a basis for W . Then the assignment of a matrix to a linear transformation from V to W given by T goes to $[T]_\alpha^\beta$ is injective and surjective.

Notes

1. When proving a function T is not a linear transformation, can consider $T(\mathbf{0}) \neq \mathbf{0}$.

2.3 Kernel and Image

Definition 2.3.1 The *kernel* of T , denoted $\text{Ker}(T)$, is the subset of V consisting of all vectors $\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$.

Remark Kernel is different from null spaces. A null space is about a matrix, and it is something in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 2.3.2 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. $\text{Ker}(T)$ is a subspace of V .

Examples

1. Let $V = P_3(\mathbb{R})$. Define $T : V \rightarrow V$ by $T(p(x)) = \frac{d}{dx}p(x)$. $\text{Ker}(T)$ only consists constant polynomials.
2. Let $V = W = \mathbb{R}^2$. Let T be a rotation R_θ . Then $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Proposition 2.3.7 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation of finite-dimensional vector spaces, and let α and β be bases for V and W , respectively. Then $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Ker}(T)$ if and only if the coordinate vector of \mathbf{x} , $[\mathbf{x}]_\alpha$, satisfies the system of equations

$$a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1k}x_k = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{l1}x_1 + \dots + a_{lk}x_k = 0$$

where the coefficients a_{ij} are the entries of the matrix $[T]_\alpha^\beta$.

Remark This says

$$x \in \ker(T) \iff [x]_\alpha \in \text{Nul}[T]_\alpha^\beta$$

Proposition 2.3.8 Independence is Basis-Independent Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space, and let $\alpha = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ be a basis for V . Then the vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m \in V$ are linearly independent if and only if their corresponding coordinate vectors $[\mathbf{x}_1]_\alpha, \dots, [\mathbf{x}_m]_\alpha$ are linearly independent.

Definition 2.3.10 The subset of W consisting of all vectors $\mathbf{w} \in W$ for which there exists a $\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}$ is called the *image* of T and is denoted by $\text{Im}(T)$.

Proposition 2.3.11 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. The image of T is a subspace of W .

Proposition 2.3.12 If $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ is any set that spans V (in particular, it could be a basis of V), then $\{T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_m)\}$ spans $\text{Im}(T)$.

Corollary 2.3.13 If $\alpha = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a basis for V and $\beta = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_l\}$ is a basis for W , then the vectors in W , whose coordinate vectors (in terms of β) are the columns of $[T]_\alpha^\beta$, span $\text{Im}(T)$.

Rank-Nullity Theorem 2.3.17 If V is finite-dimensional vector space and $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then

$$\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \dim(V)$$

Equivalently,

$$\text{Nullity}(T) + \text{Rank}(T) = \dim(V)$$

2.4 Applications of the Dimension Theorem

Proposition 2.4.2 A linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is injective iff $\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$, or $\dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \dim(V)$.

Remark Analogously, in MAT223 we said that **a matrix is one-to-one if all the columns are l.i.**

Corollary 2.4.3 A linear mapping $T : V \rightarrow W$ on a finite-dimensional vector space V is injective iff $\dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \dim(V)$.

Corollary 2.4.4 If $\dim(W) < \dim(V)$ and $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear mapping, then T is not injective.

proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(\text{Im}(T)) &\leq \dim(W) < \dim(V) \\ \implies \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) &> 0\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4.7 If W is finite-dimensional, then a linear mapping $T : V \rightarrow W$ is surjective iff $\dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \dim(W)$

Remark Analogously, in MAT223 we said that **a matrix $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is onto if there is a pivot in every row, or the columns of A spans \mathbb{R}^m .**

Corollary 2.4.8 If V and W are finite-dimensional, with $\dim(V) < \dim(W)$, then there is no surjective linear mapping $T : V \rightarrow W$

proof: $\dim(\text{Im}(T)) \leq \dim(V) < \dim(W) \implies T$ is not surjective

Corollary 2.4.9 A linear mapping $T : V \rightarrow W$ can be surjective iff

$$\dim(V) \geq \dim(W)$$

Proposition 2.4.10 Let $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$. A linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is injective iff it is surjective.

Proposition 2.4.11 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation, and let $w \in \text{Im}(T)$. Let v_1 be any fixed vector with $T(v_1) = w$. Then every vector $v_2 \in T^{-1}(\{w\})$ can be written uniquely as $v_2 = v_1 + u$, where $u \in \text{Ker}(T)$

Remark In this situation $T^{-1}(\{w\})$ is a subspace of V iff $w = 0$.

Corollary 2.4.15 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation of finite-dimensional vector spaces, and let $w \in W$. Then there is a unique vector $v \in V$ such that $T(v) = w$ iff

1. $w \in \text{Im}(T)$, and
2. $\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$

Proposition 2.4.16 With notation as before

1. The set of solutions of the system of linear equations $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is the subset $T^{-1}(\{\mathbf{b}\})$ of $V = \mathbb{R}^n$
2. The set of solutions of the system of linear equations $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is a subspace of V iff the system is homogeneous, in which case the set of solutions is $\text{Ker}(T)$.

Corollary 2.4.17

1. The number of free variables in the homogeneous system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ (or its echelon form equivalent) is equal to $\dim(\text{Ker}(T))$
2. The number of basic variables of the system is equal to $\dim(\text{Im}(T))$

Definition 2.4.18 Given an inhomogeneous system of equations, $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, any single vector \mathbf{x} satisfying the system (necessarily $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$) is called a particular solution of the system of equations.

Proposition 2.4.19 Let \mathbf{x}_p be a particular solution of the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. Then every other solution to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is of the form $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_p + \mathbf{x}_h$, where \mathbf{x}_h is a solution of the corresponding homogeneous system of equations $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. Furthermore, given \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}_p , there is a unique \mathbf{x}_h such that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_p + \mathbf{x}_h$.

Corollary 2.4.20 The system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a unique solution iff $\mathbf{b} \in \text{Im}(T)$ and the only solution to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ is the zero vector.

2.5 Composition of Linear Transformations

Definition Let U , V , and W be vector spaces, and let $S : U \rightarrow V$ and $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear transformations. The *composition* of S and T is denoted $TS : U \rightarrow W$ and is defined by

$$TS(\mathbf{v}) = T(S(\mathbf{v}))$$

Notice that this is well defined since the image of S is contained in V , which is the domain of T .

Proposition 2.5.1 Let $S : U \rightarrow V$ and $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear transformations, then TS is a linear transformation.

Remark In general, ST is not equal to TS . We emphasize that the composition is well defined only if the image of the first transformation is contained in the domain of the second.

Proposition 2.5.4

1. Let $R : U \rightarrow V$, $S : V \rightarrow W$ and $T : W \rightarrow X$ be linear transformations of the vector space U, V, W and X as indicated. Then

$$T(SR) = (TS)R \text{ (associativity)}$$

2. Let $R : U \rightarrow V$, $S : V \rightarrow W$ and $T : W \rightarrow X$ be linear transformations of the vector space U, V, W and X as indicated. Then

$$T(R + S) = TR + TS \text{ (distributivity)}$$

3. Let $R : U \rightarrow V$, $S : V \rightarrow W$ and $T : W \rightarrow X$ be linear transformations of the vector space U, V, W and X as indicated. Then

$$(T + S)R = TR + SR \text{ (distributivity)}$$

Proposition 2.5.6 Let $S : U \rightarrow V$ and $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear transformations. Then

1. $\text{Ker}(S) \subset \text{Ker}(TS)$
2. $\text{Im}(TS) \subset \text{Im}(T)$

proof:

1. If $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Ker}(S)$, $S(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$. Then $TS(\mathbf{u}) = T(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Ker}(TS)$.
2. If $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Im}(TS)$, then $\exists \mathbf{u} \in U$ s.t. $TS(\mathbf{u}) = T(S(\mathbf{u})) = \mathbf{x}$, then $\exists \mathbf{v} = S(\mathbf{u}) \in V$ s.t. $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{x}$. Therefore $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Im}(T)$ ■

Corollary 2.5.7 Let $S : U \rightarrow V$ and $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear transformations of finite-dimensional vector spaces. Then

1. $\dim(\text{Ker}(S)) \leq \dim(\text{Ker}(TS))$
2. $\dim(\text{Im}(TS)) \leq \dim(\text{Im}(T))$

Proposition 2.5.9 If $[S]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ has entries a_{ij} , $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$ has entries b_{kl} , $k = 1, \dots, p$ and $l = 1, \dots, n$, then the entries of $[TS]_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ are $\sum_{l=1}^n b_{kl}a_{lj}$

Definition 2.5.10 Let A be an $n \times m$ matrix and B a $p \times n$ matrix, then the *matrix product* BA is defined to be the $p \times m$ matrix whose entries are $\sum_{l=1}^n b_{kl}a_{lj}$ for $k = 1, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proposition 2.5.13 Let $S : U \rightarrow V$ and $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear transformations between finite-dimensional vector spaces. Let α, β and γ be bases for U, V and W , respectively. Then

$$[TS]_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[S]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

In words, the matrix of the composition of two linear transformations is the product of the matrices of the transformations

Proposition 2.5.14

1. Let A, B and C be $m \times n, n \times p$ and $p \times r$ matrices, then

$$(AB)C = A(BC) \text{ (associativity)}$$

2. Let A, B and C be $m \times n, n \times p$ and $p \times r$ matrices, then

$$A(B + C) = AB + AC \text{ (distributivity)}$$

3. Let A, B and C be $m \times n, n \times p$ and $p \times r$ matrices, then

$$(A + B)C = AC + BC \text{ (distributivity)}$$

2.6 The Inverse of a Linear Transformation

Definition If $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ is a function from one set to another, we say that g is the *inverse function of f* if for every $x \in S_1$, $g(f(x)) = x$ and for every $y \in S_2$, $f(g(y)) = y$. **If such a g exists, f must be both injective and surjective(bijective).**

To see this, notice that if $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, then

$$x_1 = g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) = x_2$$

So that f is injective. If $y \in S_2$, then for $x = g(y)$, $f(x) = f(g(y)) = y$ so that f is surjective.

Converse is true: bijective \implies exists an inverse

Proposition 2.6.1 If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is injective and surjective, then the inverse function $S : W \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation.

proof: Let \mathbf{w}_1 and $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W$ and a and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. By definition, $S(\mathbf{w}_1) = \mathbf{v}_1$ and $S(\mathbf{w}_2) = \mathbf{v}_2$ are the unique vectors \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 satisfying $T(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{w}_1$ and $T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{w}_2$. By definition, $S(a\mathbf{w}_1 + b\mathbf{w}_2)$ is the unique vector \mathbf{v} with $T(\mathbf{v}) = a\mathbf{w}_1 + b\mathbf{w}_2$ but $\mathbf{v} = a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2$ satisfies $T(a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2) = aT(\mathbf{v}_1) + bT(\mathbf{v}_2) = a\mathbf{w}_1 + b\mathbf{w}_2$. Thus, $S(a\mathbf{w}_1 + b\mathbf{w}_2) = a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2 = aS(\mathbf{w}_1) + bS(\mathbf{w}_2)$ as we desired. ■

Proposition 2.6.2 A linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ has an inverse linear transformation S if and only if T is injective and surjective.

Definition 2.6.3 If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation that has an inverse transformation $S : W \rightarrow V$, we say that T is invertible, and we denote the inverse of T by T^{-1} .

Definition 2.6.4 If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is an invertible transformation, T is called an isomorphism, and we say V and W are isomorphic vector spaces.

Notes $T^{-1}T(\mathbf{v})$ is the identity linear transformation of V , $T^{-1}T = I_V$, and TT^{-1} is the identity linear transformation of W , $TT^{-1} = I_W$. **If S is a linear transformation that is a candidate for the inverse, we need only verify that $ST = I_V$ and $TS = I_W$.**

Proposition 2.6.7 If V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces, then there is an isomorphism $T : V \rightarrow W$ if and only if $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$.

Definition 2.6.10 An $n \times n$ matrix A is called *invertible* if there exists an $n \times n$ matrix B so that $AB = BA = I$. B is called the *inverse* of A and is denoted by A^{-1} .

Proposition 2.6.11 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be an isomorphism of finite-dimensional vector spaces. Then for any choice of bases α for V and β for W

$$[T^{-1}]_{\beta}^{\alpha} = [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta^{-1}}$$

2.7 Change of Basis

Proposition 2.7.3 Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space, and let α and α' be bases for V . Let $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Then the coordinate vector $[\mathbf{v}]_{\alpha'}$ of \mathbf{v} in the basis α' is related to the coordinate vector $[\mathbf{v}]_{\alpha}$ of \mathbf{v} in the basis α by

$$[I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} [\mathbf{v}]_{\alpha} = [\mathbf{v}]_{\alpha'}$$

Theorem 2.7.5 Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation between finite-dimensional vector spaces V and W . Let $I_V : V \rightarrow V$ and $I_W : W \rightarrow W$ be the respective identity transformations of V and W . Let α and α' be two bases for V , and let β and β' be two bases for W . Then

$$[T]_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} = [I_W]_{\beta}^{\beta'} \cdot [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} \cdot [I_V]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}$$

Definition 2.7.6 Let A, B be $n \times n$ matrices. A and B are said to be *similar* if there is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix Q such that

$$B = Q^{-1}AQ$$

3 The Determinant Function

3.1 The Determinant as Area

Corollary 3.1.2 Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$. $T : V \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism if and only if the area of the parallelogram constructed previously is nonzero.

Proposition 3.1.3 The function $\text{Area}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)$ has the following properties for $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}'_1$, and $\mathbf{a}'_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$

1. $\text{Area}(b\mathbf{a}_1 + c\mathbf{a}'_1, \mathbf{a}_2) = b\text{Area}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2) + c\text{Area}(\mathbf{a}'_1, \mathbf{a}_2)$ for $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$

2. $Area(\mathbf{a}_1, b\mathbf{a}_2 + c\mathbf{a}'_2) = bArea(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2) + cArea(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}'_2)$ for $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$
3. $Area(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2) = -Area(\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_1)$
4. $Area((1, 0), (0, 1)) = 1$

Proposition 3.1.4 If $B(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)$ is any real-valued function of \mathbf{a}_1 and $\mathbf{a}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ that satisfies Properties (i),(ii),(iii) of Proposition (3.1.3), then B is equal to the area function.

Definition 3.1.5 The determinant of a 2×2 matrix A, denoted by $\det(A)$ or $\det(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)$, is the unique function of the rows of A satisfying

1. $\det(\mathbf{a}_1, b\mathbf{a}_2 + c\mathbf{a}'_2) = b\det(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2) + c\det(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}'_2)$ for $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$
2. $\det(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2) = -\det(\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_1)$
3. $\det(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) = 1$

As a consequence of (3.1.4), $\det(A)$ is given explicitly by

$$\det(A) = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}$$

We can rephrase the work of this section as follows

Proposition 3.1.6

1. A 2×2 matrix A is invertible if and only if $\det(A) \neq 0$
2. If $T : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation of a two-dimensional vector space V, then T is an isomorphism if and only if $\det([T]_\alpha^\alpha) \neq 0$

3.2 The Determinant of an $n \times n$ Matrix

Definition 3.2.1 A function f of **the rows of a matrix A** is called multilinear if f is a linear function of each of its rows when the remaining rows are held fixed. That is, f is multilinear if for all b and $b' \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, b\mathbf{a}_i + b'\mathbf{a}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) = bf(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) + b'f(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$$

Definition 3.2.2 A function f of the rows of a matrix A is said to be alternating if whenever any two rows of A are interchanged f changes sign. That is, for all $i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have

$$f(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_j, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) = -f(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_j, \dots, \mathbf{a}_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$$

Lemma 3.2.3 If f is an alternating real-valued function of the rows of an $n \times n$ matrix and two rows of the matrix A are identical, then $f(A) = 0$

Definition 3.2.4 Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries $a_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n$. The ij th minor of A is defined to be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained by deleting the i th row and j th column of A . The ij th minor is denoted by A_{ij} .

Proposition 3.2.5 Let A be a 3×3 matrix, and let f be an alternating multilinear function. Then

$$f(A) = [a_{11} \det(A_{11}) - a_{12} \det(A_{12}) + a_{13} \det(A_{13})]f(I)$$

Corollary 3.2.6 There exists exactly one multilinear alternating function f of the rows of a 3×3 matrix such that $f(I) = 1$

Definition 3.2.7 The determinant function of a 3×3 matrix is the unique alternating multilinear function f with $f(I) = 1$. This function will be denoted by $\det(A)$.

Theorem 3.2.8 There exists exactly one alternating multilinear function $f : M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f(I) = 1$, which is called the determinant function $f(A) = \det(A)$. Further, any alternating multilinear function f satisfies $f(A) = \det(A)f(I)$

Proposition 3.2.10 If an $n \times n$ matrix A is not invertible, then $\det(A) = 0$.

Proposition 3.2.11

$$\det(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) = \det(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_i + b\mathbf{a}_j, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$$

Lemma 3.2.12 If A is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix, then $\det(A) = a_{11}a_{22} \dots a_{nn}$

Proposition 3.2.13 If A is invertible, then $\det(A) \neq 0$

Theorem 3.2.14 Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. A is invertible if and only if $\det(A) \neq 0$

3.3 Further Properties of the Determinant

Let A' be the matrix whose entries a'_{ij} are the scalars $(-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ji})$. The quantity a'_{ij} is called the j th cofactor of A .

Proposition 3.3.1

$$AA' = \det(A)I$$

Corollary 3.3.2 If A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix, then A^{-1} is the matrix whose ij th entry is $(-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ji}) / \det(A)$

Proposition 3.3.4 For any fixed j , $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} \det(A_{ij})$$

Remark 3.3.5 In general, if \mathbf{b} is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n , $A'\mathbf{b}$ is a vector whose i th entry is $\sum_{j=1}^n a'_{ij} b_j = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j (-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ji})$. This is the determinant of the matrix whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$, where \mathbf{a}_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, is the j th column of A . The determinant is expanded along the i th column. This fact will be used in the discussion of Cramer's rule, which appears later in this section.

Proposition 3.3.7 If A and B are $n \times n$ matrices, then

1. $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$
2. If A is invertible, then $\det(A^{-1}) = 1/\det(A)$

Corollary 3.3.8 If $T : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation, $\dim(V) = n$, then

$$\det([T]_{\alpha}^{\alpha}) = \det([T]_{\beta}^{\beta})$$

for all choices of bases α and β for V .

Definition 3.3.9 The determinant of a linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$ of a finite-dimensional vector space is the determinant of $[T]_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ for any choice of α . We denote this by $\det(T)$.

Proposition 3.3.11 A linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$ of a finite-dimensional vector space is an isomorphism if and only if $\det(T) \neq 0$

Proposition 3.3.12 Let $S : V \rightarrow V$ and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be linear transformations of a finite-dimensional vector space, then

1. $\det(ST) = \det(S) \det(T)$ and
2. if T is an isomorphism, $\det(T^{-1}) = \det(T)^{-1}$

Proposition 3.3.13 (Cramer's rule) Let A be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix. The solution \mathbf{x} to the system of equations $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is the vector whose j th entry is the quotient

$$\det(B_j) / \det(A)$$

where B_j is the matrix obtained from A by replacing the j th column of A by the vector \mathbf{b} .

4 Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors, Diagonalization, and the Spectral Theorem in \mathbb{R}^n

4.1 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Definition 4.1.2 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping

1. A vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$ is called an eigenvector of T if $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and there exists a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $T(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\mathbf{x}$
2. If \mathbf{x} is an eigenvector of T and $T(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\mathbf{x}$, the scalar λ is called the *eigenvalue* of T corresponding to \mathbf{x} .

Proposition 4.1.15 A vector \mathbf{x} is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ if and only if $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)$.

Definition 4.1.16 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The λ -eigenspace of T , denoted E_λ , is the set

$$E_\lambda = \{\mathbf{x} \in V | T(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\mathbf{x}\}$$

That is, E_λ is the set containing all the eigenvectors of T with eigenvalue λ , together with the vector $\mathbf{0}$. If λ is not an eigenvalue of T , then we have $E_\lambda = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Proposition 4.1.7 E_λ is a subspace of V for all λ .

Proposition 4.1.9 Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of A if and only if $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$.

Definition 4.1.11 Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. The polynomial $\det(A - \lambda I)$ is called the *characteristic polynomial* of A .

Remark The characteristic polynomial should only depends on the linear mapping defined by the matrix A and not on the matrix itself. (if change to another basis, the characteristic polynomial should be the same.)

Proposition 4.1.12 Similar matrices have equal characteristic polynomials. *proof:* Suppose A and B are two similar matrices, so that $B = Q^{-1}AQ$ for some invertible matrix Q . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B - \lambda I) &= \det(Q^{-1}AQ - \lambda I) \\ &= \det(Q^{-1}AQ - Q^{-1}\lambda IQ) \\ &= \det(Q^{-1}(A - \lambda I)Q) \\ &= \det(Q^{-1}) \det(A - \lambda I) \det(Q) \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(Q)} \det(A - \lambda I) \det(Q) \\ &= \det(A - \lambda I) \end{aligned}$$

■

Examples 4.1.13

1. For a general 2×2 matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ we have

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \lambda^2 - \text{Tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A)$$

2. If we substitute A into its own characteristic polynomial, we get $p(A) = 0$. We find that A satisfies its own polynomial equation.

3. For a general 3×3 matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}$ we have

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = -\lambda^3 + \text{Tr}(A)\lambda^2 - ((ae - bd) + (ai - cg) + (ei - fh))\lambda + \det(A)$$

4. For any $n \times n$ matrix A , the characteristic polynomial has the form

$$(-1)^n \lambda^n + (-1)^{n-1} \text{Tr}(A) \lambda^{n-1} + c_{n-1} \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + c_1 \lambda + \det(A)$$

where the c_i are other polynomial expressions in the entries of the matrix A .

Corollary 4.1.14 Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. Then A has no more than n distinct eigenvalues. In addition, if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are the distinct eigenvalues of A and λ_i is an m_i -fold root of the characteristic polynomial, then $m_1 + \dots + m_k \leq n$

Theorem 4.1.18 Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $p(t) = \det(A - tI)$ be its characteristic polynomial. Then $p(A) = 0$ (the $n \times n$ zero matrix).

4.2 Diagonalizability

Definition 4.2.1 Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space, and let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping. T is said to be diagonalizable if there exists a basis of V , all of whose vectors are eigenvectors of T .

Proposition 4.2.2 $T : V \rightarrow V$ is diagonalizable if and only if, for any basis α of V , the matrix $[T]_\alpha^\alpha$ is similar to a diagonal matrix.

Proposition 4.2.4 Let $\mathbf{x}_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$ be eigenvectors of a linear mapping $T : V \rightarrow V$ corresponding to distinct eigenvalues λ_i . Then $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$ is a linearly independent subset of V .

Corollary 4.2.5 For each $i (1 \leq i \leq k)$, let $\{\mathbf{x}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i,n_i}\}$ be a linearly independent set of eigenvectors of T all with eigenvalue λ_i and suppose the λ_i are distinct. Then $S = \{\mathbf{x}_{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{1,n_1}\} \cup \dots \cup \{\mathbf{x}_{k,1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{k,n_k}\}$ is linearly independent.

Proposition 4.2.6 Let V be finite-dimensional, and let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be linear. Let λ be an eigenvalue of T , and assume that λ is an m -fold root of the characteristic polynomial of T . Then we have

$$1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq m$$

Theorem 4.2.7 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping on a finite-dimensional vector space V , and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be its distinct eigenvalues. Let m_i be the multiplicity of λ_i as a root of the characteristic polynomial of T . Then T is diagonalizable if and only if

1. $m_1 + \dots + m_k = n = \dim(V)$, and
2. for each i , $\dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = m_i$

Corollary 4.2.8 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping on a finite-dimensional space V , and assume that T has $n = \dim(V)$ distinct real eigenvalues. Then T is diagonalizable.

Corollary 4.2.9 A linear mapping $T : V \rightarrow V$ on a finite-dimensional space V is diagonalizable if and only if the sum of the multiplicities of the real eigenvalues is $n = \dim(V)$, and either

1. We have $\sum_{i=1}^k \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = n$, where the λ_i are the distinct eigenvalues of T , or
2. We have $\sum_{i=1}^k (n - \dim(\text{Im}(T - \lambda_i I))) = n$, where again λ_i are the distinct eigenvalues.

Remark In order for a linear mapping or a matrix to be diagonalizable, it must have enough linearly independent eigenvectors to form a basis of V .

4.3 Geometry in \mathbb{R}^n

Example

$$f \cdot g = \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx$$

defines an inner product on $[a, b]$.

Definition 4.3.5 The angle, θ , between two nonzero vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined to be

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{y}\|}\right)$$

Definition of Orthogonal and Orthonormal Sets

1. S is an orthogonal set if $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \implies \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$.
2. S is an orthonormal set if it is orthogonal and all elements are unit vectors.

Proposition 4.3.10 If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are orthogonal, nonzero vectors, then $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$ is linearly independent.

Theorem Orthogonal sets of nonzero vectors are independent.

proof:

$$S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

Suppose $c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \dots + c_nx_n = \mathbf{0}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x_i \cdot \mathbf{0} \\ &= x_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_j (x_i \cdot x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_j (0 \text{ if } i \neq j) \\ &= c_i \|x_i\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since x_i nonzero, then $c_i = 0 \forall i$ ■

Definition of Bilinearity A mapping $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be bilinear if B is linear in each variable, or more precisely if

1. $B(c\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = cB(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) + B(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ and
2. $B(\mathbf{x}, c\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}) = cB(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in V$ and all $c \in \mathbb{R}$

4.4 Orthogonal Projections and the Gram-Schmidt Process

Definition 4.4.1 The *orthogonal complement* of W , denoted W^\perp , is the set $W^\perp = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{w} \in W\}$

Remark If we choose a basis $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$ for W , then $\mathbf{v} \in W^\perp$ iff \mathbf{v} is orthogonal to every vector in the basis.

Examples

1. $W = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then $W^\perp = \mathbb{R}^n$
2. $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\text{Span}\{u_1, u_2\}^\perp = \{x \mid x \cdot u_1 = 0\} \cap \{x \mid x \cdot u_2 = 0\} = \text{Ker} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 4.4.3

1. For every subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n , W^\perp is also a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n
2. We have $\dim(W) + \dim(W^\perp) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$
3. For all subspaces W of \mathbb{R}^n , $W \cap W^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}$
4. Given a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n , every vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written uniquely as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$, where $\mathbf{x}_1 \in W$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 \in W^\perp$. In other words, $\mathbb{R}^n = W \oplus W^\perp$

Definition of Orthogonal Projection Every vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written uniquely as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$, where $\mathbf{x}_1 \in W$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 \in W^\perp$. Define $P_W : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $P_W(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_1$.

Proposition 4.4.5

1. P_W is a linear mapping
2. $\text{Im}(P_W) = W$, and if $\mathbf{w} \in W$, then $P_W(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}$ (Identity transformation)
3. $\text{Ker}(P_W) = W^\perp$

Proposition 4.4.6 Let $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis for the subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

1. For each $\mathbf{w} \in W$, we have

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \mathbf{w}_i$$

2. For all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$P_W(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \mathbf{w}_i$$

Remarks The real meaning of the statement is that we can use the inner product to compute the scalars needed to express the relevant vector in W as a linear combination of the basis vectors \mathbf{w}_i

proof: see textbook p194

Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization Process Suppose we are given vectors $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ that are linearly independent but not necessarily orthogonal, and we want to construct an orthogonal set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ with the property that $\text{Span}(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}) = \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_1 &= \mathbf{u}_1 \\ W_1 &= \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1\} \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &= \mathbf{u}_2 - P_{W_1}(\mathbf{u}_2) \\ W_2 &= \text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\} \\ \mathbf{v}_3 &= \mathbf{u}_3 - P_{W_2}(\mathbf{u}_3) \\ &\dots \\ W_k &= \text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k-1}\} \\ \mathbf{v}_k &= \mathbf{u}_k - P_{W_k}(\mathbf{u}_k)\end{aligned}$$

By proposition 4.4.6, we see that

$$P_{W_j}(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle} \mathbf{v}_i$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_1 &= \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &= \mathbf{u}_2 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ &\vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{j+1} &= \mathbf{u}_{j+1} - \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{u}_{j+1} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle} \mathbf{v}_i\end{aligned}$$

Remark The real meaning of the statement is that we can use the inner product to compute the scalars needed to express \mathbf{w} and $P_W(\mathbf{x})$ as a linear combination of the basis vectors \mathbf{w}_i .

Theorem 4.4.9 Let W be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists an orthonormal basis of W .

4.5 Symmetric Matrices

Definition 4.5.1 A square matrix A is said to be *symmetric* if $A = A^T$, where A^T denotes the transpose of A .

Proposition 4.5.2a Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$.

1. For all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, A^T\mathbf{y} \rangle$
2. A is symmetric if and only if $\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{y} \rangle$ for all vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

proof:

1. $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = (A\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^T A^T \mathbf{y} = \langle \mathbf{x}, A^T \mathbf{y} \rangle$
2. Obvious

■

Corollary 4.5.2b Let V be any subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be any linear mapping, and let $\alpha = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$ be any orthonormal basis of V . Then $[T]_\alpha^\alpha$ is a symmetric matrix if and only if $\langle T(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, T(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$ for all vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$.

Definition 4.5.3 Let V be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . A linear mapping $T : V \rightarrow V$ is said to be symmetric if $\langle T(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, T(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$ for all vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$.

Example An important class of symmetric mappings is orthogonal projections see textbook p202

Remark Write out the matrix of orthogonal projection transformation with an orthonormal basis, we see a direct proof that orthogonal projections are diagonalizable.

Fact 4.5.6 For any symmetric matrix:

1. All the roots of the characteristic polynomial are real.
2. eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

proof:

WLOG assume $\lambda_1 \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle &= \langle \frac{T(v_1)}{\lambda_1}, v_2 \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \langle T(v_1), v_2 \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \langle v_1, T(v_2) \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \langle v_1, \lambda_2 v_2 \rangle \\
 &= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, we have $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = 0$ ■

Theorem 4.5.7 Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ be a symmetric matrix, let \mathbf{x}_1 be an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ_1 , and let \mathbf{x}_2 be an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ_2 , where $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. Then \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 are orthogonal vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

4.6 The Spectral Theorem

Theorem 4.6.1 - The Spectral Theorem Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric linear mapping. Then there is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n consisting of eigenvectors of T . In particular, T is diagonalizable.

proof: By induction

Base Case

If $n = 1$, then every linear mapping is symmetric and diagonalizable.

Inductive Step

Assume the theorem is true for mappings from \mathbb{R}^k to \mathbb{R}^k and consider $T : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$.

Let λ be any one of the eigenvalues, and let \mathbf{x}_1 be any unit eigenvector with eigenvalue λ .

Let $W = \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{x}_1\})$. Note that W^\perp is a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^{k+1} , so W^\perp is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^k , and we can apply I.H. to $T|_{W^\perp}$.

1. To see that T takes vectors in W^\perp to vectors in W^\perp , note that if

$\mathbf{y} \in W^\perp$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{x}_1, T(\mathbf{y}) \rangle &= \langle T(\mathbf{x}_1), \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Since } \mathbf{y} \in W^\perp)$$

Hence $T(\mathbf{y}) \in W^\perp$

2. To see that the restriction of T to W^\perp is still symmetric, note that if $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in W^\perp$, then $\langle T(\mathbf{y}_1), \mathbf{y}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}_1, T(\mathbf{y}_2) \rangle$, since this holds more generally for all vectors in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} .

Hence by I.H. applied to $T|_{W^\perp}$, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{k+1}\}$ of W^\perp , consisting of eigenvectors of the restricted mapping. Union with \mathbf{x}_1 , we have the conclusion. ■

Theorem 4.6.3 Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric linear mapping, and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the distinct eigenvalues of T . Let P_i be the orthogonal projection of \mathbb{R}^n onto the eigenspace E_{λ_i} . Then

1. $T = \lambda_1 P_1 + \dots + \lambda_k P_k$, and
2. $I = P_1 + \dots + P_k$

Remark Spectral Decomposition. This says that \mathbf{x} can be recovered or built up from its projections on the various eigenspaces of T .

5 Complex Numbers and Complex Vector Spaces

5.1 Complex Numbers

Definition 5.1.1 The set of *complex numbers*, denoted \mathbb{C} , is the set of ordered pairs of real numbers (a, b) with the operations of addition and multiplication defined by

$$(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$$

and the *product* of (a, b) and (c, d) is the complex number defined by

$$(a, b)(c, d) = (ac - bd, ad + cb)$$

Definition 5.1.2 Let $z = a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$, The *real part* of z , denoted $\operatorname{Re}(z)$, is the real number a . The *imaginary part* of z , denoted $\operatorname{Im}(z)$, is the real number b . z is called a *real number* if $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 0$, and purely imaginary if $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$.

Definition 5.1.4 A field is a set F with two operations, defined on ordered pairs of elements of F , called *addition* and *multiplication*. Addition assigns to the pair x and $y \in F$ their *sum*, which is denoted by $x + y$ and multiplication assigns to the pair x and $y \in F$ their *product*, which is denoted by $x \cdot y$ or xy . These two operations must satisfy the following properties for all x, y and $z \in F$:

1. Commutativity of addition: $x + y = y + x$
2. Associativity of addition: $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$
3. Existence of an additive identity: There is an element $0 \in F$, called zero, such that $x + 0 = x$
4. Existence of additive inverses: For each x there is an element $-x \in F$ such that $x + (-x) = 0$
5. Commutativity of multiplication: $xy = yx$
6. Associativity of multiplication: $(xy)z = x(yz)$
7. Existence of a multiplicative identity: There is an element $1 \in F$, called 1, such that $x \cdot 1 = x$
8. Existence of multiplicative inverses: If $x \neq 0$, then there is an element $x^{-1} \in F$ such that $xx^{-1} = 1$

Examples

1. $F = \mathbb{C}$
2. $F = \mathbb{R}$
3. $F = \mathbb{Q}$
4. $F = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p prime
5. Algebraic numbers $= \{x | p(x) = 0, \text{ for a polynomial } p \text{ with integer coefficients}\}$

Counter-Example $P_n(\mathbb{R})$

Proposition 5.1.5 The set of complex numbers is a field with the operations of addition and scalar multiplication as defines previously.

More definitions about complex numbers $z = a + bi$

complex conjugate: $\bar{z} = a - bi$

$z^{-1} = \frac{\bar{z}}{z\bar{z}}$ since $z\bar{z} = a^2 + b^2$

Proposition 5.1.7

1. The additive identity in a field is unique
2. The additive inverse of an element of a field is unique
3. The multiplicative identity of a field is unique
4. The multiplicative inverse of a nonzero element of a field is unique

Definition 5.1.8 The absolute value of the complex number $z = a + bi$ is the nonnegative real number $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ and is denoted by $|z|$ or $r = |z|$. The *argument* of the complex number z is the angle θ of the polar coordinate representation of z . Can write $z = |z|(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$

Remark In general, if n is an integer,

$$z^n = r^n(\cos(n\theta) + i \sin(n\theta))$$

Definition 5.1.11 A field F is called algebraically closed if every polynomial $p(z) = a_n z^n + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ with coefficients in F , $a_i \in F$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$, has n roots in F .

Statement of De Moivre's Theorem.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, (\cos(x) + i \sin(x))^n = \cos(nx) + i \sin(nx)$$

We can also reformulate this into the familiar notation that we used above, denoting the absolute value, or length, of the complex number, we have

$$z^n = |z|^n (\cos(n\theta) + i \sin(n\theta))$$

Relation w/ Euler's Formula. First, we recall the Euler Formula as below

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$$

Notice that in a special case of $\theta = \pi$, the above identity is a.k.a Euler's Identity ($e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$). Considering any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, to derive the above identity, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} z &= |z|e^{i\theta} \\ z^n &= |z|^n (e^{i\theta})^n \\ &= |z|^n e^{i\theta n} \\ &= |z|^n (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta) \end{aligned}$$

notice that we can now interchange, as we please, $\cos \theta + i \sin \theta$ with $e^{i\theta}$. ■

Theorem 5.1.12 \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed and \mathbb{C} is the smallest algebraically closed field containing \mathbb{R}

5.2 Vector Spaces Over a Field

Definition 5.2.1 A vector space over a field F is a set V (whose elements are called vectors) together with addition and multiplication and 8 axioms as in chapter 1.

Example $F^n = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_i \in F, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$

5.3 Geometry in a complex vector space

Definition 5.3.1 Let V be a complex vector space, A **Hermitian inner product** on V is a complex valued function on pairs of vectors in V , denoted by $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ for $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$, which satisfies the following properties:

1. For all \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} , and $\mathbf{w} \in V$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $\langle a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = a \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + b \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$
2. For all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$, $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}$, and
3. For all $\mathbf{v} \in V$, $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ implies $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$

Example 5.3.2 Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n

For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we define their inner product by $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = x_1 \bar{y}_1 + \dots + x_n \bar{y}_n$, which satisfies the Hermitian inner product properties.

Definition 5.3.7 Let V be a finite dimensional Hermitian inner product space and let α be an orthonormal basis for V . The adjoint of the linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$ is the linear transformation $\overline{T^*}$ whose matrix with respect to the orthonormal basis α is the matrix $([\bar{T}]_\alpha)^\alpha$; that is, $[T^*]_\alpha^\alpha = ([\bar{T}]_\alpha)^\alpha$.

Proposition 5.3.8 Let V be a finite dimensional Hermitian inner product space. The adjoint of $T : V \rightarrow V$ satisfies $\langle T(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*(\mathbf{w}) \rangle$ for all \mathbf{v} and $\mathbf{w} \in V$.

Definition 5.3.9 $T : V \rightarrow V$ is called **Hermitian** or **self-adjoint** if $\langle T(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, T(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$ for all \mathbf{u} and $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Equivalently, T is Hermitian or self-adjoint if $T = T^*$ or $[\bar{T}]_\alpha^\alpha = [T]_\alpha^\alpha$ for an orthonormal basis α . An $n \times n$ complex matrix is called Hermitian or self-adjoint if $A = A^*$.

Proposition 5.3.10 If λ is an eigenvalue of the self-adjoint linear transformation T , then $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 5.3.11 If \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are eigenvectors, respectively, for distinct eigenvalues λ and μ of a self adjoint transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$, then $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$, so \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are orthogonal.

Theorem 5.3.12 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a self-adjoint transformation of a complex vector space V with Hermitian inner product \langle, \rangle . Then there is an orthonormal basis of V consisting of eigenvectors for T and, in particular, T is diagonalizable.

Theorem 5.3.13 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a self-adjoint transformation of a complex vector space V with Hermitian inner product \langle, \rangle . Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ be the distinct eigenvalues for T , and Let P_i be the orthogonal projections of V onto the eigenspaces E_{λ_i} , then

1. $T = \lambda_1 P_1 + \dots + \lambda_k P_k$
2. $I = P_1 + \dots + P_k$

6 Jordan Canonical Form

A next best form after a diagonal form for the matrices of linear mappings that are not necessarily diagonalizable

6.1 Triangular Form

Definition 6.1.2 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping. A subspace $W \subset V$ is said to be *invariant* (or *stable*) under T if $T(W) \subset W$.

Proposition 6.1.4 Let V be a vector space, let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping, and let $\beta = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis for V . Then $[T]_\beta^\beta$ is upper triangular if and only if each of the subspaces $W_i = \text{Span}(\{x_1, \dots, x_i\})$ is invariant under T .

Note that the subspaces W_i in the proposition are related as follows:

$$\{0\} \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \dots \subset W_{n-1} \subset W_n = V$$

The W_i form an *increasing sequence* of subspaces.

Definition 6.1.5 We say that a linear mapping $T : V \rightarrow V$ on a finite-dimensional vector space V is triangularizable if there exists a basis β such that $[T]_\beta^\beta$ is upper-triangular.

Proposition 6.1.6 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$, and let $W \subset V$ be an invariant subspace. Then the characteristic polynomial of $T|_W$ divides the characteristic polynomial of T .

Remark Every eigenvalue of $T|_W$ is also an eigenvalue of T (the set of eigenvalues of $T|_W$ is some subset of the eigenvalues of T on the whole space).

Theorem 6.1.8 Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field F , and let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping. Then T is triangularizable if and only if the characteristic polynomial equation of $p(t)$ has $\dim(V)$ roots (counted with multiplicities) in the field F .

Remark The theorem implies that every matrix $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ may be triangularized.

Proof of Lemma Let $\alpha = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a basis for W and extend α by adjoining $\alpha' = \{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ to form a basis $\beta = \{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ for V . Let $W' = \text{Span}(W')$.

Define $P : V \rightarrow V$ by

$$P(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n) = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k$$

Notice that $\text{Ker}(P) = W'$, $\text{Im}(P) = W$, $P^2 = P$. P is called the projection on W with kernel W' . Then $I - P$ is the projection on W' with kernel W . Then $I - P$ is the projection on W' with kernel W .

Let $S = (I - P)T$. Since $\text{Im}(I - P) = W'$, we see by prop2.5.6 that $\text{Im}(S) \subset \text{Im}(I - P) = W'$. Hence W' is an invariant subspace of S . Then the eigenvalues of $S|_{W'}$ is a subset of the set of eigenvalues of T . Since all the eigenvalues of T lie in the field F , the same is true of all the eigenvalues of $S|_{W'}$. Hence there is some nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in W'$ and some $\lambda \in F$ such that $S(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\mathbf{x}$. So

$$(I - P)T(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\mathbf{x}$$

$$\implies T(\mathbf{x}) - PT(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\mathbf{x}$$

$$\implies T(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\mathbf{x} + PT(\mathbf{x})$$

where $\lambda\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{x}\})$ and $PT(\mathbf{x}) \in W$. Therefore $W + \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{x}\})$ is also invariant under T and this finishes the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 6.1.8 \rightarrow : If T is triangularizable, then there exists a basis β for V such that $[T]_\beta^\beta$ is upper-triangular. The eigenvalues of T are the diagonal entries of this matrix, so they are elements of the field F .

\leftarrow : If all the eigenvalues are in F :

Let λ be any eigenvalue of T , and let x_1 be an eigenvector of λ , let $W_1 = \text{Span}(\{x_1\})$. By definition W_1 is invariant under T . Now, assume by induction that we have constructed invariant subspaces $W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \dots \subset W_k$ with $W_i = \text{Span}(\{x_1, \dots, x_i\})$ for each i . By Lemma 6.1.10 there exists a vector $x_{k+1} \notin W_k$ such that the subspace $W_{k+1} = W_k + \text{Span}(\{x_{k+1}\})$ is also invariant under T . We continue this process until we have produced a basis for V . Hence, T is triangularizable. ■

Lemma 6.1.10 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be as in the theorem, and assume that the characteristic polynomial of T has $n = \dim(V)$ roots in F . If $W \subsetneq V$ is an invariant subspace under T , then there exists a vector $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ in V such that $\mathbf{x} \notin W$ and $W + \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{x}\})$ is also invariant under T .

Remark What this says is that we can make a T -invariant subspace 1-dimension bigger.

Corollary 6.1.11 If $T : V \rightarrow V$ is triangularizable, with eigenvalues λ_i with respective multiplicities m_i , then there exists a basis β for V such that $[T]_\beta^\beta$ is upper-triangular, and the diagonal entries of $[T]_\beta^\beta$ are $m_1\lambda_1$'s, followed by $m_2\lambda_2$'s, and so on.

Theorem 6.1.12 (Cayley-Hamilton) If $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping on a finite-dimensional vector space V , and let $p(t) = \det(T - tI)$ be its characteristic polynomial. Assume that $p(t)$ has $\dim(V)$ roots in the field F over which V is defined. Then $p(T) = 0$

6.2 A Canonical Form For Nilpotent Mappings

Definition A linear mapping $N : V \rightarrow V$ is nilpotent if $N^k = 0$ for some integer $k \geq 1$.

Proposition $N : V \rightarrow V$ is nilpotent if and only if it has one eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ with multiplicity $n = \dim(V)$.

Proposition 6.2.3 With all notations as before:

1. $N^{k-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is an eigenvector of N with eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$
2. $C(\mathbf{x})$ is an invariant subspace of V under N .
3. The cycle generated by $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ is a linearly independent set. Hence $\dim(C(\mathbf{x})) = k$, the length of the cycle.

Proposition 6.2.4 Let $\alpha_1 = \{N^{k_i-1}(\mathbf{x}_i), \dots, \mathbf{x}_i\} (1 \leq i \leq r)$ be cycles of lengths k_i , respectively. If the set of eigenvectors $\{N^{k_i-1}(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, N^{k_r-1}(\mathbf{x}_r)\}$ is linearly independent, then $\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_r$ is linearly independent.

Remark For a given $\mathbf{x} \in V$, either $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ or there is a unique integer k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that $N^k(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ but $N^{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Definitions 6.2.1 Let $N, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and k be as before

1. The set $\{N^{k-1}(\mathbf{x}), N^{k-2}(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \mathbf{x}\}$ is called the cycle generated by \mathbf{x} . \mathbf{x} is called the initial vector of the cycle.
2. The subspace $\text{Span}(\{N^{k-1}(\mathbf{x}), N^{k-2}(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \mathbf{x}\})$ is called the cyclic subspace generated by \mathbf{x} , and denoted $C(\mathbf{x})$
3. The integer k is called the length of the cycle

Definition 6.2.5 We say that the cycles $\alpha_i = \{N^{k_i-1}(\mathbf{x}_i), \dots, \mathbf{x}_i\}$ are non-overlapping cycles if $\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_r$ is linearly independent.

Definition 6.2.7 Let $N : V \rightarrow V$ be a nilpotent mapping on a finite-dimensional vector space V . We call a basis β for V a canonical basis (with respect to N) if β is the union of a collection of nonoverlapping cycles for N .

Theorem 6.2.8 (Canonical form for nilpotent mappings) Let $N : V \rightarrow V$ be a nilpotent mapping on a finite-dimensional vector space. There exists a canonical basis β of V with respect to N .

Lemma 6.2.9 Consider the cycle tableau corresponding to a canonical basis for a nilpotent mapping $N : V \rightarrow V$. As before, let r be the number of rows, and let k_i be the number of boxes in the i th row ($k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r$). For each j ($1 \leq j \leq k_1$), the number of boxes in the j th column of the tableau is $\dim(\text{Ker}(N^j)) - \dim(\text{Ker}(N^{j-1}))$.

Corollary 6.2.11 The canonical form of a nilpotent mapping is unique (provided the cycles in the canonical basis are arranged so the lengths satisfy $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r$)

6.3 Jordan Canonical Form

Proposition 6.3.1 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping whose characteristic polynomial has $\dim(V)$ roots (λ_i with respective multiplicities $m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$) in the field F over which V is defined.

(a) There exist subspaces $V'_i \subset V$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) such that

1. Each V'_i is invariant under T
2. $T|_{V'_i}$ has exactly one distinct eigenvalue λ_i , and
3. $V = V'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V'_k$

(b) There exists a basis β for V such that $[T]_\beta^\beta$ has a direct sum decomposition into upper-triangular blocks of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 6.3.2 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping on a finite-dimensional vector space V . Let λ be an eigenvalue of T with **multiplicity m** .

1. The λ -generalized eigenspace, denoted by K_λ , is the kernel of the mapping $(T - \lambda I)^m$ on V .
2. The nonzero elements of K_λ are called generalized eigenvectors of T .

Definitions 6.3.5

1. A matrix of the form $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_i & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & \dots & 0 & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$ is called a Jordan block matrix
2. A matrix $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ is said to be in Jordan canonical form if A is a direct sum of Jordan block matrices.

Theorem 6.3.6 (Jordan Canonical Form) Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping on a finite-dimensional vector space V whose characteristic polynomial has $\dim(V)$ roots in the field \mathbb{F} over which V is defined.

1. There exists a basis γ (called a canonical basis) of V such that $[T]_\gamma^\gamma$ has a direct sum decomposition into Jordan block matrices.
2. In this decomposition the number of Jordan blocks and their sizes are uniquely determined by T . (The order in which the blocks appear in the matrix may be different for different canonical bases, however).

6.4 Computing Jordan Form

Algorithm

1. Find all the eigenvalues of T and their multiplicities by factoring the characteristic polynomial completely (assume the field is algebraically closed)
2. For each distinct eigenvalue λ_i in turn, construct the cycle tableau for a canonical basis of K_{λ_i} with respect to the mapping $N_i = (T - \lambda_i I)|_{K_{\lambda_i}}$ using the method: for each j , the number of boxes in the j th column of the tableau for λ_i will be

$$\dim(\text{Ker}(T - \lambda_i I)^j) - \dim(\text{Ker}(T - \lambda_i I)^{j-1})$$

3. Form the corresponding Jordan blocks and assemble the matrix of T .

7 Problem Notes

1 $S = \{\mathbf{a}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, then we cannot determine whether S is dependent (when $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$) or independent (when $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{0}$)

2 If a set in a vector space contains the zero vector, then it is linearly dependent.

3 The order of Jordan blocks does not matter: if you change the order of Jordan blocks, it is still equivalent to the original one.

8 Proof Clinic - JCF

Facts

1. $E_\lambda \subset K_\lambda$, and both are T-invariant
2. $\forall \mu \neq \lambda, (T - \mu I)|_{K_\lambda}$ is bijective
3. $K_\lambda = \text{Ker}((T - \lambda I)^{m_i})$
4. Bases β_i, β_j for K_{λ_i} and K_{λ_j} , respectively, are disjoint if $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$
5. $\cup_\lambda \beta_{K_\lambda}$ is a basis for V if each β_{K_λ} is a basis for K_λ
6. T is diagonalizable $\iff K_\lambda = E_\lambda \forall \lambda$
7. $V = \bigoplus_\lambda K_\lambda$
8. Similar matrices have the same JCF

Suppose $\beta = \cup_\lambda \gamma_\lambda$ is a basis of V, where each γ_λ is a cycle of generalized eigenvectors of T. Then $\text{Span}(\gamma_\lambda)$ is T-invariant and $[T|_{\text{Span}(\gamma_\lambda)}]_{\gamma_\lambda}$ is a Jordan block and β is a Jordan canonical basis.