Research Methods in Computing (COMP09092)

Lecture 4

Qualitative Research Methods

Don't put off making contact – it takes a lot of time to set up meetings, arrange interviews, agree terms etc

Work out an appropriate way to make contact – email, phone, in person, through a contact – always helps if you know someone and don't have to 'cold call'

Rehearse what you are going to say – most people are nervous about making initial contact, try and relax and overcome any nerves – deep breaths etc

Don't give up, keep trying – learn from any mistakes and try other organisations and people, if people aren't available then try again – don't give up at the first hurdle

Remember:

They may not share your time concerns or be as interested in your research area as you are

Always be **polite** and **courteous**

- Which organisation(s) do you need to interact with?
- **How many** organisations/people do you need to talk to?
- Why one particular organisation / set of people rather than another?
- Is your study **geographical**? comparing and contracting between regions/areas
- Do you need a particular size of organisation or particular sector or set of people?

Who do you need to talk to in the organisation?

Is there a particular person or job role?

How do you get access to them?

What if they are busy?

Do you have a fall back plan? (Very Important)

You need this collaboration – so make the relationship work

Be realistic and always overestimate how much time you will need to complete your planned study

Always have a fall-back plan – if people pull out of your planned interviews you cannot use this as an excuse for not completing your primary research

Recording your primary research – are you going to record interviews? How long will typing up transcripts take?

Use your supervisor to help formulate and check your proposed primary research

Don't just go shooting off without thinking things through carefully – be very well organised and planned before you go anywhere and do anything

Rehearse your 'spiel', be aware of things like your language, your body language and dress appropriately

Qualitative Research Methods

Methods generally more suited to qualitative research include:

Interviews

Focus Groups

Observation

Case Studies

Qualitative Research Methods

It is how the methods are applied by the researcher that makes the research qualitative

Don't just state that your research is qualitative but end up applying the methods in a **highly quantitative manner**

Types of interview – (i) and (ii) more suited to qualitative research:

- (i) Informal, conversational interview: more open, interviewer 'goes with the flow'
- (ii) Open ended interview: same open ended questions asked to all interviewees
- (iii) Closed, fixed-response interview: interviewees choose answers from the same set of alternatives

Interviews can be suitable data generation methods when a researcher wants to:

- Obtain detailed information
- Ask questions that are complex, or openended, or whose order and logic might need to be different for different people
- Explore emotions, experiences or feelings that cannot be easily observed or described via pre-defined questionnaire responses

- Investigate sensitive issues, or privileged information, that respondents might not be willing to write about on paper for a researcher that they have not met
- Interviews can be used to 'top-and-tail' a survey strategy – interviews are used to elicit themes that are then included in a questionnaire, and follow-up interviews are used to obtain more detail about some questionnaire responses

Interview can be used to 'top-and-tail' a
design and creation strategy – by
generating data for a requirements
specification and eliciting user feedback on
a finished design

Preparation for interview:

- (i) Explain purpose of the interview
- (ii) Address terms of confidentiality
- (iii) Explain the format of interview
- (iv) Indicate how long the interview takes
- (v) Ask them if they have any questions
- (vi) Get consent if recording the session

Types of interview questions:

- (i) Behaviours what the person does
- (ii) Opinions/values what the person thinks
- (iii) Knowledge facts about a topic
- (iv) Sensory what people have seen, heard etc
- (v) Background/demographics standard background questions (e.g. age, education etc)

Conducting the interview:

- (i) Wording should be open-ended
- (ii) Questions should be as neutral as possible
- (iii) Questions should be asked one at a time
- (iv) Be careful when notetaking don't jump to take a note or be very pleased with an answer, might influence how interviewee answers questions

- (v) Provide transition between major topics– "We've been talking about...now I'd like to move to..."
- (vi) Don't lose control of the interview interviewee strays to another totally unrelated different topic, starts asking questions to interviewer, takes so long answering one question that run out of time

Immediately after the interview:

- (i) Verify that tape recorder worked throughout
- (ii) Make notes on your written notes fill out any incomplete points, make sure pages are numbered etc
- (iii) Write down any observations of the interview (e.g. setting, attitude of interviewee, any surprises during interview)

Methods of interaction can include:

Face-to-Face Interviews - can better for developing a rapport, picking up on social cues, body language, no time delay between question and answer, can react to what is being said

However can take up a lot of time and money in terms of travel costs to a location which can limit scope of study

Methods of interaction can include:

Telephone Interviews - can help hard to reach populations, can extend scope of study, however reduced social cues, body language and rapport.

Cost of calls can be expensive, less costs in terms of travel and time travelling to locations

Internet-Based Interviews (e.g. Skype) – has the advantage that you can see each other, again can extend geographical scope of study and to a certain extent pick up on some social cues, body language

Cost of calls is free (just need the equipment), also less costs in terms of travel and time travelling to locations. However, need to watch out for slow connections, technical problems etc

Instant messaging not as good because it is text based – less free flowing and you cannot see each other

Transcribing: After an interview you will need to transcribe your recordings in order to search through and analyse the data once it is in written form

This is a very time-consuming task

Involves meeting several participants as a group

Usually audio (sometimes video) recorded in which the researcher (acting as a moderator) asks open-ended questions to the group

Useful for exploring a variety of opinions or experiences within a group

Not the best method for acquiring information on highly personal issues (interviews are better)

Also not the best method for achieving group consensus

Focus groups are useful for accessing a range of norms and opinions in a short time

Richness of focus group data emerges from the group dynamic and from diversity of the group

Participants influence each other through their presence and their reactions to what other people say

Because not everyone will have same views and experiences – different viewpoints likely to be expressed

Generally best conducted by two researchers – one acting as the moderator of the discussion and the other as a note-taker

This **might not be possible** which is why it is important to make an **audio recording of the session**

Do not allow any participants to insult or threaten others in the group

Do **not coerce or cajole participants** into responding to a question or responding in a certain way

Typed transcripts from the recordings are the most utilised form of focus group data

As with interviews:

Make notes on your written notes – fill out any incomplete points, make sure pages are numbered etc

Write down any observations of the interview (e.g. setting, attitude of group members, any surprises during the focus group

Observation as a data generation methods can be used within any research strategy

It can be used to study the behaviour of inanimate objects, such as **software programs**, or **computer controlled devices**

You can devise a schedule to observe, for example:

- Frequency of events counting how often the categories on the observation schedule occur in a given period
- Timing of events recording how long instances of events take, for example the time taken for a computer program to process a given amount of data

 Events at a given time – logging everything that is happening at a specified time and repeating after a pre-defined interval

If you have to design an observation schedule, the items to be studies must:

- Be easily defined and obvious
- Be relevant to your research objectives
- Ensure that the list of categories includes all (reasonable) possibilities

Can involves the **direct observation** of the people

For example, observing people use a particular computer application or prototype

Observe how they **interact** with the system, where they go to on the screen, length of time to conduct tasks, any errors or mistakes used

Can be a problem with researcher bias – the researcher "may see what they want to see"

For example, is someone banging on their keyboard a sign of frustration with the application or just someone who types loudly?

Types of observation

(i) Overt observational research – the researcher identifies themselves as researchers and explain the purpose of their observations

Problem is that subjects modify their behaviour when they know they are being watched – they portray their "ideal self" rather than "true self"

(ii) Researcher participation – the researcher participates in what they are observing

Researchers that participate tend to lose their objectivity

(iii) Covert observational research

This approach **should never be used** unless you have permission from relevant authorities, as can lead to legal and possibly criminal action. Extremely sensitive ethically

Researchers do not identify themselves and mix with subject undetected

Subjects' behaviour is not contaminated by presence of the researcher

Validity:

The problem in with research based on personal observation is that it is dependent on the observer

Validity can be strengthened by:

Verbatim quotes – from people in the setting rather than summarising what was said

Validity:

Triangulation – of data methods. You can use data from other sources and methods to confirm and back-up observations

Reflexivity – reflect on how you might be affecting the situation, what you are taking for granted, assumptions you are making etc. Some of these reflections should be used in the write-up of your research

In a case study your focus might be on a particular group, institution, organisation or community

The rationale is that it is **typical** of many others or a least a significant number – therefore conclusions you draw **can be applicable to those of the same type**

Case studies often firmly located within the category of qualitative research

3 main types of Case Study

Intrinsic Case Study— researcher's aim is to achieve a comprehensive understanding of a particular individual case (e.g. institution or organisation)

Instrumental Case Study – uses of a particular case study in an attempt to understand something else – case study means to an end rather than end in itself

Collective Case Study – involves coordinated set of case studies in order to explore similarities and differences

Interviews, observations and documentary analysis are typically associated with qualitative case study research

Case studies can also involve more quantitative survey

To ensure that a case study is significant and interesting you must relate it back to the broader theoretical themes and the issues highlighted in the literature

You need to state a **clear rationale for choosing the case study** – what it is a case of

A case study cannot just be a descriptive study

You need to analyse the case to provide the basis on which you can suggest ways in which the problems you have identified can be addressed or ...

Explain why a particular action or policy has been successful or unsuccessful

Requires **systematic**, **meticulous detail thoroughly analysed** – otherwise can be series of unstructured descriptions

Selection of Cases

Your choice might be based on:

- Typical instance: the chosen case is typical of many others and can therefore stand as representative of the whole class
- Extreme instance: the case is not typical of others but provides a contrast with the norm
- Test-bed for theory: the case contains elements that make it suitable for testing an existing theory. You would then investigate whether the theory holds true for this particular case.

- Convenience: people in the chosen case have agreed to give you access and it is convenient in terms of time and resources. ***This should not be your main reason for choosing a particular case***
- Unique opportunity: the chance arises to study something that you have not previously planned for, and that may not occur again. Good researchers watch out for opportunities.

The case study can be used to:

- Build a new theory: a case study can lead to the development of a new concept, theory, framework or model
- Test an existing theory: a researcher can take an existing theory and use a case study to see if the results and evidence gained confirms the theory, implies necessary modifications to it, or contradicts it
- Evaluate alternative theories: a researcher could examine all the factors in a case and see which preexisting theory or model best matches what was found in the case

References

Oates, B.J. (2006) Researching Information Systems and Computing.

London: Sage