Writing a Research Paper

Prof. Thomas Connolly

Presentation Structure

- Why write a research paper?
- Structure of a research paper
- Abstract
- Introduction
- Previous work (Literature Review)
- Proposed Solution
- Evaluation Results Procedure, Methodology, Participants
- Discussion
- Conclusion/Future Directions
- References
- General writing tips

Why write a research paper?

- Publish your results
- Publish in an area that allows you to share your ideas with like minded researchers
- Make an original contribution to the body of knowledge in an area that interests you
- Obtain useful feedback by peer reviewers
- Improve your paper
- Types of paper conference, journal, book chapter.

Structure of a Research Paper

 Structure basically remains the same whether it is an Honours dissertation, Masters dissertation,
 PhD dissertation, conference paper or journal paper.

Structure of a Research Paper

- Abstract
- Introduction
- Previous Work (Literature Review)
- Proposed Solution
- Evaluation Results Procedure, Methodology,
 Participants
- Discussion
- Conclusions/Future Directions
- References

Abstract

- A summary of the entire paper
- Should set the scene and mention:
 - Problem
 - Solution
 - Results
 - Conclusion
- In business papers the abstract is sometime called the executive summary

Introduction

- State the purpose of the research paper in more detail
- Outline the problem in more detail
- Explain structure and sections of the research paper and provide reader with an overview of what to expect next

Previous Work (Literature Review)

- A literature review is a "critical analysis of a segment of a published body of knowledge through summary, classification, and comparison of prior research studies, reviews of literature, and theoretical articles". (Wisconsin) Do not confuse a literature review with an annotated bibliography
- http://uwp.duke.edu/wstudio/resources/genres/lit_re_ view.pdf
- Identify a gap in the academic literature
- Provide the theoretical underpinning for your research
- Prove that you understand the literature

Previous Work (Literature Review)

- Find what interests you realistic and sustains your engagement
- Go with your strengths
- Find an appropriate group of search terms and categorise all identified papers
- Misconceptions about literature reviews
 - Just because you take the first 100 hits from an electronic database doesn't necessarily mean you've found everything. Refine your methods
 - Just because something is published doesn't mean it's brilliant – be critical

Previous Work (Literature Review) Sources

- Books
- Electronic Journals; eg.
 - ACM, IEEE, ScienceDirect, Blackwell Synergy, EBSCO (consisting of Psychology and Behavioural Science, PsycINFO, SocINDEX, Library, Information Science and Technology Abstracts, CINAHL), ERIC, IngentaConnect, Infortrac (Expanded Academic ASAP) and Emerald
- Google Scholar
- ResearchGate
- Conference proceedings
- Check the authors are credible in the field

Previous Work (Literature Review) Example

- Looking for empirical evidence to support the use of GBL in CS/IS/SE.
- "("computer games" OR "video games" OR "serious games" OR "simulation games" OR "games-based learning" OR "MMOG" OR "MMORPG" OR "MUD" OR "online games") AND (education OR learning).
- To restrict a LR impose a sensible time limit.
- 3,500 papers returned only 24 were relevant to the primary research criteria.

Previous Work (Literature Review) Discussion

- Content integration
- Assessment integration
- Evaluation
- HCI
- Adaptivity/personalisation
- Aspects of technology

Proposed Solution

- Example from an honours project:
 - Development of an Entity Relationship Editor and Schema Generator
- Example of a masters project:
 - Development of a Constructivist Learning Environment to teach Database Design
- Example for a research paper
 - Generation of empirical evidence in the field of GBL focusing on motivations
- For Serious Games
 - Development of a game to teach some aspect of Software Project Management

Evaluation Results

- Evaluation can be quantitative/qualitative or both
- Participants
- Methodology Evaluation
 Framework/Experimental Design
- Procedure
- Results
 - How are the results analysed?
 - Mean, Standard Deviation, Parametric and Non-parametric statistical tests

Discussion

- Interpret the results beyond the objective scope of the results section
- Discuss the results and draw together the main points
- Draw comparisons between what you've found and previous studies
- Highlight points that you feel are interesting
- Discuss limitations of the research

Conclusions – Future Directions

- Formulating the main findings of the research paper into a cohesive whole
- Highlighting what you've found out during the study
- Making it clear how you intend to proceed in the research
- Acknowledge what future work is required

- Very important to cite your sources using an appropriate referencing format
- Generally Harvard format
- USE GOOGLE SCHOLAR

Authored book:

• Author, A. A. (1994). *Title of work*. Location/City, State: Publisher.

Edited book:

Thao, F. (Ed.). (2006). Maximize business profits through e-partnerships. Hershey, PA: IRM Press.

Chapter in an edited book:

Jaques, P. A., & Viccari, R. M. (2006). Considering students' emotions in computer-mediated learning environments. In Z. Ma (Ed.), Web-based intelligent e-learning systems: Technologies and applications (pp. 122-138). Hershey, PA: Information Science Publishing.

Instance of publication in press:

• Junho, S. (in press). Roadmap for e-commerce standardization in Korea. *International Journal of IT Standards and Standardization Research*.

Journal article:

■ Sawyer, S., & Tapia, A. (2005). The sociotechnical nature of mobile computing work: Evidence from a study of policing in the United States. *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction*, 1(3), 1-14.

Unpublished doctoral dissertation or master's theses:

 Wilfley, D. (1989). Interpersonal analyses of bulimia: Normal-weight and obese. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Missouri, Columbia.

Paper presented at ...:

Lanktree, C., & Briere, J. (1991, January). *Early data on the Trauma Symptom Checklist for Children (TSC-C)*. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Professional Society on the Abuse of Children, San Diego, CA.

Published proceedings:

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1991). A motivational approach to self: Integration in personality. In R. Dienstbier (Ed.), Nebraska Symposium on Motivation: Vol. 38. Perspectives on motivation (pp. 237-288). Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.

Web site:

■ VandenBos, G., Knapp, S., & Doe, J. (2001). Role of reference elements in the selection of resources by psychology undergraduates. *Journal of Bibliographic Research*, *5*, 117-123. Retrieved October 13, 2001, from http://jbr.org/articles.html

References – Citing in the body of the research paper

- Direct quotes or definitions
 - Laurillard (2002) defines a computer simulation as an "artefact that embodies some model of an aspect of the real world, allows the user to make inputs to the model, runs the model and displays the results."
- Backing up a statement
 - There is a dearth of empirical evidence in the GBL literature (Connolly, 2007; de Freitas, 2006)
- When there are more than 5 names use *et al.* in the body of the paper.
 - Antiphising Phil (Sheng et al., 2007).

References – Citing in the body of the research paper

- Putting a statement into your own words
 - Connolly, Stansfield, McLellan, Ramsay and Sutherland (2004) suggest that computer games build on theories of motivation, constructivism, situated learning, cognitive apprenticeship, problem-based learning, and learning by doing.

Referencing – General Tips

- Try not to give too much recognition to one source
- Try to limit your use of quotes and explain things in your own words
- Try to make sure that all of the references cited in the body of the text are in your reference section and vice versa
- Try to make sure that your references are as complete as possible
- Use full names in the reference section

General writing tips

- Don't use a term unless you know what it means
 - For example 'anchored learning'
- Write objectively without taking a controversial stance
 - For example 'software engineering has a bad reputation'
- Write concisely and accurately
- Try not to use words to lengthen the text such as "in order"
- Do not use slang such as "we're", "don't", "shouldn't" etc.
- Don't use the first person in a research paper you can use:
 - "We discuss..." or make it non-personal "The paper discusses..."